DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 210 194 SE 035 955

AUTHOR Lukco, Bernard J.; Disinger, John F.
TITLE ERIC Clearinghouse for Science, Mathematics, and Environmental Education Fact Sheets. Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 1981.
INSTITUTION ERIC Clearinghouse for Science, Mathematics, and Environmental Education, Columbus, Ohio.
SPONS AGENCY National Inst. of Education (ED), Washington, D.C.
PUB DATE 81
CONTRACT 400-78-0004
NOTE 11p.
AVAILABLE FROM Information Reference Center (ERIC/IRC), The Ohio State Univ., 1200 Chambers Rd., 3rd Floor, Columbus, OH 43212 (subscription for four sheets $3.00; back issues and single copies $1.00).

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Conservation Education; Elementary Secondary Education; *Environmental Education; Higher Education; *Learning Activities; Outdoor Education; *Program Evaluation; *Resource Materials; *Simulation; *State Departments of Education

ABSTRACT

Four fact sheets discuss topics of interest to environmental educators. Number one addresses the relative lack of effective evaluation of environmental education programs. It emphasizes the need to base evaluation on identified goals and objectives and cites an accepted goal statement. Two examples of evaluation methods are given as well as suggestions for further reading. Number two discusses different kinds of simulation games, their purpose, basic components and value to environmental education. An extensive reference list is included. Fact sheet number three explains the purpose and advantages of learning activities for environmental education and describes materials prepared by Stapp and Cox; Area Cooperative Educational Services; Upper Mississippi River ECO-Center; the ERIC Clearinghouse for Science, Mathematics and Environmental Education; and others (materials listed and cited in these three fact sheets are available through ERIC). Number four presents generalizations about the characteristics, duties, and responsibilities of the officially designated contact persons for environmental education in state education agencies. Names and addresses for all fifty individuals are listed. A coordinating association for these individuals is also described. (IC)

ERIC

Clearinghouse for Science, Mathematics, and Environmental Education

1200 Chambers Road, Third Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43212
(614) 422-6717

ERIC/SMEAC Environmental Education Fact Sheet No. 1,

1981

Environmental Education Program Evaluation

With the growth of public awareness about environmental concerns since the late 1960s, there has been an equivalent increase in the number of environmental programs conducted by educational institutions However, little systematic evaluation of the effectiveness of programs — assessments of curriculum materials, gains in student knowledge, attitude shift, teacher effectiveness — has taken place This may be in part attributed to the identification of need to establish programs within schools by educators in response to their perceptions of the seriousness of environmental problems, evaluation of these efforts has been of lower priority

Unlike most established areas of study, environmental education is by its nature interdisciplinary, virtually a part of every curricular area Other characteristics include its emphasis on the process of learning, concern with the refinement of problem solving skills, and use of the community as a learning resource Because of these complexities, educational researchers have not made concerted efforts to determine the degrees of effectiveness in attaining affective, cognitive, or behavioral skill objectives

The purpose of this fact sheet is to provide an overview of assessment considerations pertaining to environmental education A search of the ERIC files provides some evaluation formats used by schools, and their recommended evaluation procedures Those persons involved in evaluation efforts in environmental education will find much in these files that will be of assistance

Identification of Goals and Objectives

The relatively early stages of development in environmental education suggest that evaluation systems will not emerge until more consistent objectives, cognitive and other, evolve Nevertheless, the time is appropriate now for those persons familiar with the goals of environmental education, along with those individuals knowledgeable about assessment procedures, to review the area and its impact on individual students and the educational institution In an era of tightening budgets, assurances that environmental education is of central priority, and not a frill, are required

To enhance environmental education efforts, a common, clear, comprehensive goal statement should be established A commonly-accepted statement which has been repeated numerous times, with variations, is

> Environmental Education is the process aimed at producing a citizenry that is knowledgeable, concerning the biophysical environment and its associated problems, aware of how to help solve those problems, and motivated to work toward their solution (Stapp, 1973)

An analysis of this definition indicates the range of assessment tools required Because environmental education is defined as a "process," measurement of the individual's understandings of the methods and effects of various systems is essential To produce a citizenry that is knowledgeable concerning the biophysical environment and its associated problems indicates that its audience is the broadest range of students in continuous learning situations throughout their lives Implicit in this statement is the recognition of the need to evaluate environmental relationships Becoming aware of how to help solve environmental problems offers a challenge to those involved in assessing problem solving activities Becoming aware of the problems and applying knowledge and skills from scientific, technical, social/political, and economic areas of study and their interrelationships requires careful interpretation and assessment. Motivation to work toward the solution of these problems is without question the most difficult component to evaluate. Motivation is a personal quality, dedication to work toward the solution of environmental problems depends squarely on the individual's values and attitudes

Evaluation Needs

Evaluation generally involves the generation, measurement, analysis, and interpretation of data Some evaluation activities require formal evaluation approaches that are designed to generate conclusive data, while others are management information approaches that result in data upon which decisions must be based Both reliability and validity are required, if the evaluation results are to be considered adequate Validity is an estimate of the degree to which an evaluation procedure measures what it is designed to measure, while reliability indicates that similar results can be obtained by repetition under similar circumstances

The New Jersey State Council for Environmental Education developed an evaluation instrument utilizing a systems analysis approach for self-evaluation, for use by project directors (ED 033 801) Categories highlighted in this approach (planning and design, content, operation, productivity) provide guidelines which must be considered in the conduct of a comprehensive environmental education evaluation scheme

The Colorado Department prepared a two-volume *Environmental Education Needs Assessment and Evaluation Manual* (ED 199 093, ED 199 094) providing additional guidance for the implementation or refinement of programs Both formative and summative evaluation are considered, a competent evaluator must be both a scientist and an expert in human relations to accomplish this The evaluator s role requires organizational skills, knowledge of evaluation methods and strategies, and communication skills Many factors — time, funds, staff assistance, cooperation — influence outcomes Therefore, use of a systematic approach can create confidence of others in the procedure, and assure that data produced are properly used

Evaluation Design

The Colorado *Manual* describes five major steps, and several activities, necessary in conducting an evaluation. They include:

1. Develop an evaluation design
   a. Determine the purpose; discuss with key people, reviewing the proposal, law, and needs assessment. Then list the formative and summative questions.
   b. Determine the data to be collected; select major questions to be answered, list alternative data possibilities, review cost and feasibility, then select the appropriate approach.
   c. Prepare a time line and cost estimates.
   d. Write the evaluation plan; review with key administrators and staff.
2. Select and/or develop instruments
   a. Search for existing instruments
   b. Review existing instruments.
   c. If needed, modify existing instruments or construct new ones.
   d. Pilot instruments and proposed data analysis procedures
   e. Consider reliability and validity
3. Collect appropriate information
   a. Set up appointments
   b. Inform people of what to expect
   c. Willingly reschedule, if requested by respondents
   d. Give advance notice, if you must delay data collection
   e. Use a consistent set of instructions and methods for data collection
4. Analyze data
   a. Plan and pilot analysis before collecting the evaluative data
   b. Aggregate or prepare data
   c. Conduct statistical analyses as appropriate
   d. Use data analysis consultant when/if needed
   e. Interpret the results of the analysis
5. Prepare and present reports
   a. Concentrate on the message of the analysis, prepare recommendations
   b. Prepare short summaries of each report
   c. Use alternative forms of reports, oral and written

Accountability to the community, to funding agencies, and to students is a primary force requiring systematic evaluation of environmental education programs. Detailed information related to the achievement of cognitive and non-cognitive objectives is needed to justify programs and assure that students are prepared to deal with the numerous conservation, pollution control, energy, reclamation, and other environmental issues that will face them as they mature and become primary decisionmakers.

REFERENCES

Lange, Robert R. *Environmental Education Needs Assessment and Evaluation Manual* (two volumes) Denver, CO, Colorado Department of Education, 1980 (ED 199093, ED 199 094).

New Jersey State Council for Environmental Education *Evaluation for Environmental Education (A Systems Analysis Approach for Self-Evaluation)* Washington, DC, US Office of Education, 1969 (ED 033 801)

FURTHER READINGS

Allison, Barbara, and Andrew T. Carrington *Implementing Environmental Education in the School Curriculum.* Virginia Beach City Public Schools, VA, 1980 (ED 183 419)

Bennett, Dean B. *Guidelines for Evaluating Student Outcomes in Environmental Education.* Washington, DC, US Office of Education, 1973 (ED 101 927).

Chester Area Schools, *Administrator's Environmental Education Evaluation Manual.* Washington, DC, US Office of Education, 1971 (ED 067 055).

Fletcher, Richard K., and S. K. Ballal *Cognitive and Affective Changes of Environmental Education – A Model for Evaluation.* Washington, DC; National Science Teachers Association, 1978 (ED 155 055)

Kallingal, Anthony. *Impact of Environmental Education for Guam Schools, An Evaluation* Washington, DC, US Office of Education, 1973 (ED 099 183)

Hepburn, Mary A. *A Comparative Evaluation of Joint and Separate Social Studies and Science Instruction in High School Environmental Education* Washington, DC, US Office of Education, 1978 (ED 148 657)

Milwaukee Public Schools, *Environmental Education Second Year Evaluation Report, 1972-1973* Milwaukee Public Schools, WI, 1973 (ED 085 245).

Payne, David A. *Toward a Characterization of Curriculum Evaluation* University of Georgia, Athens, GA, 1971 (ED 062 370)

Stapp, William B. *Development, Implementation, and Evaluation of Environmental Education Programs (K-12)* 1973 (ED 094 960)

Superka, Douglas P., and Morris Haras *A Comparative Evaluation of Values Oriented and Non-Values Oriented Environmental Education Materials – Final Report* Boulder, CO, Social Science Education Consortium, Inc., 1977 (ED 175 777)

Wright, Ian, and David Williams *An Analysis of Selected Curriculum Materials in Values/Moral Education* Vancouver, BC, British Columbia University, 1977 (ED 143 569)

This publication was prepared with funding from the National Institute of Education, U.S. Department of Education, under contract no. 400-78-0004. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of NIE or the Department of Education.

Prepared by Bernard J. Lukco, Consultant, ERIC/SMEAC

ERIC

Clearinghouse for Science, Mathematics, and Environmental Education

1200 Chambers Road, Third Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43212
(614) 422-6717

ERIC/SMEAC Environmental Education Fact Sheet Number 2 1981

Simulation Activities for Environmental Education

The use of simulation exercises to improve student learning and interpersonal skills has become increasingly common in educational settings Beginning with simple role-playing games, these instructional tools have evolved to include complex computer-based simulations which can mimic a wide variety of technical and social situations Simulation exercises are flexible they can be devised to be narrow in concept or to encompass many concepts They can be designed to be short problem solving activities that can take just a few minutes to complete or to continue over long periods of time

Few educators will dispute the desirability of providing direct learning experiences When students are unable to participate in such experiences simulations should be considered A simulation is a selective representation of reality, containing only those elements of reality relevant to identified instructional objectives

Why Use Simulation Activities?

It is assumed, and appears to be accepted by teachers that in addition to increasing the rate of acquiring basic skills and knowledge, simulation games also motivate individual student performance to levels beyond those achieved through traditional classroom approaches. As with all instructional methods, simulation exercises cannot replace proved instructional techniques. Rather, they are best used where traditional methods are not adequate This is particularly evident where direct experiences are not possible, as illustrated by the following list of occasions appropriate to the use of simulations (Visich and Braun, 1974, pp 4-7)

1 The necessary equipment is not available because of expense or it is too complex or delicate to permit students to use it
2 The sample size available in the real world is too small to permit generalizations
3 The experimental technique is difficult and must be developed over an extended period
4 There are serious dangers to the student
5 The time scale is too short or long to permit the student to make observations
6 The opportunity to experiment directly is not available
7 When it is desirable to measure variables which are difficult to access
8 When measurement and other noise obscure the important phenomena
9 There are times when it is useful to underscore the significance of natural laws by comparing their results with other laws

What Are the Components of Simulations?

The basic characteristic of all simulations, whether they are simple games or complex computer-based systems, is that they allow one to construct a representation of some real object or process and then experiment with the representation rather than with the real object or process A simulation game has four basic components (1) an abstraction of an environment, (2) a series of rules for how the model behaves, or models interact (this is the simulation), (3) the freedom for the participant to interact with the simulation to develop strategies (this is the game), and (4) reality feedback (which is what makes it come alive) (Flake, 1974, p 4)

What Can Simulations Contribute to Environmental Education?

Environmental education objectives are often well-served by use of games and simulations. The environment itself is an imposing complex of interacting systems achieving understanding of the components and operations of the systems is a massive task further magnified when inter-system interrelationships are of concern Because dealing with the environment calls for such understandings prior to or concurrent with the tasks of decision making and because manipulation of large-scale environmental components is generally impractical or impossible games and simulations offer the best available opportunities to provide practice in manipulation and decision making with the added advantage of giving students the opportunity to see and evaluate, the results of their efforts

Computer simulations have characteristics similar to those of classroom games The primary differences are the resources available for communicating with the learner Computer simulations can provide consistent responses to many questions and store large amounts of relevant information In addition to large and often expensive computers, a microcomputers are now available that are inexpensive and can be readily programmed by teachers and students

How Can Use of Simulations Enhance Decision Making?

Another characteristic of simulations is their ability to enhance the decision making abilities of participants

> In order to function in our rapidly changing society, today s educated person must be able to look objectively at his habitat to analyze his needs to manipulate his environment, to meet those needs and at the same time to look forward to future generations needs to evaluate his actions, and to reorganize his mode of operations if it is indicated The success of each of these personal actions is tangent on the single skill—decision making Most educators give students opportunities to communicate, to be objective to analyze Some teachers provide for the experience of evaluation and speculation Few teachers allow manipulation of society through role-playing Rarely is the student given the nod to reorganize and try again (Amoe, 1976 p 5)

Simulation games provide students with opportunities to refine decision making skills Those who use simulations believe that these skills can be learned and refined, just as other skills are learned and refined and that simulations can play an important function in the learning process

This publication was prepared with funding from the National Institute of Education U S Department of Education under contract no 400-78-0004 The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of NIE or the Department of Education

REFERENCES

Ambry, Edward J. Environmental Persistence—Can Education Systems Really Respond? *Social Science Record* 12 (2), 18-21, Winter, 1975

Amoe, Ruth. *The Games Cities Play* Highline Public Schools, Seattle, WA, 1976 ED 132 011

Appleyard, Donald, and others. *The Berkeley Environmental Simulation Laboratory Its Use in Environmental Impact Assessment* University of California-Berkeley, 1973 ED 083 808

Bazan, Eugene J. Environmental Simulation Games *Journal of Environmental Education* 8(2) 41-51, 1976

Bedwell, Lance E. Developing Environmental Education Games *American Biology Teacher* 39(3) 176-177, March, 1977

Borad, Bruce, and Richard Fagerstrom. Environmental Decision A Simulation *Intercom* 73 19-21, September, 1973

Clark, A. Reese, and Roman A. Cybriwsky. *Neighborhood Response in Land-Use Planning A Role-Playing Game* National Council for Geographic Education, 1975 ED 125 933

Cohan, Peter. *Enviro County A Gaming Simulation of Regional Planning Process* Oak Ridge National Laboratories, 1973 ED 085 317

Cohen, Eli. *The Future and Educational Computer Simulation* University of California—Davis 1980 ED 190 118

Crawford, Eugene, and Terry Purcell. Simulation Game on Environmental Concerns *Journal of College Science Teaching* 4(1) 43-44, September, 1974

Dirks, Douglas, and others. *A Study of Computer Simulations for Environmental Science Education* Illinois Series of Educational Applications of Computers 1975 ED 138 279

Eckman, Tom, compiler. *Environmental Games and Simulations* 1974 ED 091 161

Flake, Janice L. *Interactive Computer Simulations for Sensitizing Mathematics Methods Students in Questioning Behaviors* 1974 ED 128 198

Hazen, Jane. Games in Environmental Education *Science and Children* 12(3) 22-23 November December 1974

Heilman, James M., and John Dean Freund. *A Teacher's Guide to Studying the Local Community through Models Games, and Simulations* Worthington (OH) School District, 1974 ED 091 165

House, Peter W., and others. *National Gaming Council* Environmetrics, Inc 1970 ED 049 109

Kidder, Steven J., and Alyce W. Nafziger, compilers. *Proceedings of the National Gaming Council s Eleventh Annual Symposium* Johns Hopkins University 1972 ED 071 394

Kidder, Steven J., and others. *An Instructional Model for the Use of Simulation Games in the Classroom* Johns Hopkins University 1972 ED 071 394

Kidder, Steven J., and others. *An Instructional Model for the Use of Simulation Games In the Classroom* Johns Hopkins University, 1973 ED 084 307

Levow, Roy B. *COFLO A Computer Aid for Teaching Ecological Simulation* Florida Atlantic University 1973 ED 084 780

Livingston, Samuel A. *Simulation Games as Advance Organizers in the Learning of Social Science Materials, Experiment 1-3* John Hopkins University, 1970 ED 039 156

MacLagan, Robert. *Environmental Issues A Courtroom Simulation* Bureau of Land Management, U S Department of the Interior 1973 ED 082 982

McLean, Harvard W. Simulation Games Tools for Environmental Education *Elementary School Journal* 73(7) 374-380, April, 1973

Mintener, Bradshaw, editor. *The Learning Tree The Energy Crisis, Environment, and Careers* Madison (WI) Public Schools, 1973 ED 092 418

Nelson, Herschel R. *Application of the Gestalt Theory of Learning in Teaching a Unit of Study Dealing with Air Pollution in Polk County* Nova University (dissertation), 1974 ED 105 925

New Jersey State Department of Education. *Environmental Education CBRU Resource Manual* 1974 ED 105 925

New York State Education Department. *The Land of Plenty Materials for Using American Issues Forum in the American History Classroom, Topic II* 1976 ED 134 526

Northwest Regional Education Laboratory. *Computer Technology Program Environmental Education Units* 1978 ED 167 965 through ED 167 974

Oakley, Deborah. *Population Stabilization in the United States A Teaching Case Study* Association of American Geographers, 1975 ED 111 716

Papps, Grayce, and others. *A Blueprint for a Television Environmental Simulation Project "The Land and Me"* Maine Public Broadcasting Network, 1974 ED 088 494

Pennsylvania State Department of Education. *Environmental Education Games* 1972 ED 081 595

Phoenix (AZ) Union High School District. *Student Action for the Valley Environment (SAVE)* Arizona State Department of Education 1973 ED 081 601

Place, Daniel R. Social Studies Materials — A Simulating Experience *Social Studies Review* 16(1) 78-80, February 1976

Project COAST. *Simulation Game Superport, A Learning Experience for Coastal and Oceanic Awareness* University of Delaware, 1974 ED 141 162

Shelly, Ann. *Primary A Simulation Game* 1972 ED 080 446

Shelly, Ann Converse. Total Class Development of Simulation Games *Social Education* 37(7) 687, November, 1973

St. Pierre, Carol. *Health Planning and R Buckminster Fuller's World Game* Earthrise, 1971 ED 100 721

Steinwachs, Barbara. *A Selected List of Urban, Environmental, and Social Problems Gaming/Simulations* University of Michigan Extension Service, 1977 ED 135 667

United Nations Children's Fund. *Teaching about Interdependence in a Peaceful World* U S Committee for UNICEF School Services, 1975 ED 116 982

University of Massachusetts. *Futures Information Interchange Newsletter, Volume 3, Number 2 and 3, December 1974 and January 1975* ED 106 194

University of Southern California. *M E T R O-APEX* 1974 ED 104 697 through ED 104 717

VanSickle, Ronald L. Decision-Making in Simulation Games *Social Education* 5(3) 84-95, December, 1977

Visich, Marian, Jr., and Ludwig Braun. *The Use of Computer Simulations in High School Curricula* State University of New York at Stony Brook, 1974 ED 089 740

Wallick, Lorna, and Joanne Troha. *Using Computer Modeling in the Classroom To Examine Environmental Issues A Teacher s Guide* University of Dayton, 1978 ED 178 336

Wu, C. Teaching Energy Awareness by Computer Simulation *Computers and Education* 4(3) 213-224, 1980

epared by Bernard J Lukco, Consultant, ERIC-SMEAC

ERIC

Clearinghouse for Science, Mathematics, and Environmental Education

1200 Chambers Road, Third Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43212
(614) 422-6717

ERIC/SMEAC Environmental Education Fact Sheet No. 3 1981

Learning Activities for Environmental Education

The use of structured activities to improve learning is not a new strategy Ivan Illich, stating in 1971 that "If a person is to grow up, he needs first of all access to see, to touch, to tinker into, to grasp whatever there is in a meaningful setting," is restating what John Dewey promoted two generations ago (Coon and Bowman 1977 p 12) Children learn more readily by actively doing something rather that by just reading and talking about it In addition to its broad implicatons for all of education, this specifically suggests that environmental education will be more effective if activities in meaningful settings precede and/or accompany reading about and discussing environmental concerns

Much of the renewed emphasis on environmental education is due to the continuing concerns confronting society Complex environmental problems make it imperative that students understand the environmental issues facing society and become prepared to be involved in solutions through intelligent action Reasoned judgements should result from educational experiences that develop knowledge through understanding of concepts and interrelationships along with clarification of values

Advantages of Environmental Education Activities

Appropriate educational activities offer a number of experiences to those who must be prepared to make environmental decisions in the future Activities are relatively easy to introduce in already overcrowded curricula They can be flexible allowing teachers to select complex units requiring several months for completion, or to choose short simple experiences that take just a few minutes Another advantage is that many activities are rooted in existing curricular areas such as art home economics, industrial arts, language arts, mathematics, music science, and social studies Almost all activities are structured around real objects and events which are frequently encountered

Using the community as a resource provides students with opportunities to become familiar with community concerns as well as with community capabilities Experts within communities can offer valuable insights and knowledge that teachers cannot be expected to provide

Environmental education activities can be relatively easy to develop and implement even when the school provides limited support If time does not allow for the development of new activities, thousands of activitres, on many topics, have already been developed and may be readily adapted

Environmental Education Activities Manual

William B Stapp and Dorothy A Cox suggest that the following environmental concepts can be explored through activities ecosystem, population economics and technology, environmental decisions, and environmental ethics These concepts are addressed in their revised environmental educaton activities manual containing more than 300 activities for elementary and secondary school students Four activity sections follow an introductory chapter on the philosophy, model and implementation guidelines for the people-environment interaction perspective upon which focus Lessons in all activity sections are identified by level

Reference Stapp and Cox, *Environmental Education Activities Manual, Revised Edition* October 1979 MF $1 48 ED 199 092

Area Cooperative Educational Services (ACES)

The Environmental Education Center of New Haven (Connecticut) Area Cooperative Educational Services has produced a number of major activities publications in environmental education Among them are a two-volume set dedicated to producing environmentally literate citizens who are equipped with factual unbiased information, skilled in problem-solving and decision-making, and motivated to take active roles in working toward the maintenance of an ecologically sound environment One is oriented toward students in grades 3-5 the other for grades 6-8 The activities package in each document is organized in seven sections based on environmental topics ecosystems population, energy and resources economics technology and culture, environmental quality environmental policy and environmental ethics Each activity includes a curriculum topic, an environmental topic a list of skills exercised in activity subject, grade level, location necessary to carry out the activity objective, vocabulary list, and related activities and resources

References Larry Schaefer and Nancy Hungerford, editors, *Earthwatch Designing Environmental Education into the Curriculum, Volume I, Grades 3-5* 1978 MF $0 93 PC $30 05 ED 170 151

Schaefer and Hungerford editors *Earthwatch Designing Environmental Education into the Curriculum, Volume II, Grades 6-8* 1978 MF $0 91 PC $15 20 ED 170 152

Upper Mississippi River ECO-Center

A number of publications containing environmental education activities have been produced by the Upper Mississippi River ECO-Center Thomson Illinois Each publication covers a specific discipline area Most of the activities include objectives description evaluation and grade level information

References Helen Whitney compiler *101 Environmental Education Activities, Booklet 1 - Art and Music Activities* 1975 MF $0 91 PC $2 00 ED 165 972

Helen Whitney compiler *101 Environmental Education Activities, Booklet 2 - Language Arts Activities* 1975 MF $0 91 PC $3 65 ED 165 973

Roger Schalo compiler *101 Environmental Education Activities, Booklet 3 – Mathematics Activities* 1975 MF $0 91 PC $3 65 ED 165 974

Helen Whitney compiler *101 Environmental Education Activities, Booklet 4 - Science Activities* 1975 MF $0 91 PC $13 55 ED 165 975

Helen Whitney compiler *101 Environmental Education Activities Booklet 5 - Science and Social Studies (Interdisciplinary) Activities* 1975 MF $0 91 PC $2 00 ED 165 976

Helen Whitney compiler *101 Environmental Education Activities, Booklet 6 - Social Studies Activities* 1975 MF $0 91 PC $3 65 ED 165 977

Marian College

Trainable mentally retarded students can participate in environmental education activities developed by Marian College of Indianapolis, Indiana. Both out-of-classroom and in-classroom activities emphasize language skills, numerical skills, science, and attention span. The eight topical areas addressed are air, animals, games, light, plants, seasons, soil, and water. The activities are described on separate cards and are written for the teacher.

Reference: Deborah Benedict and others, *Try These, We Have! Environmental Education Activities for the Trainable Mentally Retarded* 1976 MF $0.91, PC $10.25. ED 134 434

SMEAC Information Reference Center

A comprehensive collection of environmental education activities volumes has been developed over the past decade by personnel for the ERIC Clearinghouse for Science, Mathematics, and Environmental Education and may be obtained in booklet form from SMEAC Information Reference Center or in microfiche or paper from ERIC Document Reproduction Service. Although each volume is somewhat unique in format, each generally includes a purpose statement, grade level, subject, concept, references, and description of the activity for each entry. A wide range of environmental education interests is addressed, including energy, land use, population, recycling, urban environmental education, and water.

References:

Mary Lynne Bowman, *Teaching Basic Skills through Environmental Education Activities* 1979 MF $0.91, PC $10.25, IRC $4.00 ED 196 704

Mary Lynne Bowman, *Values Activities in Environmental Education* 1979 MF $0.91, PC $11.90 IRC $3.75 ED 182 118

Mary Lynne Bowman and Herbert L. Coon, *Recycling Activities for the Classroom* 1978 MF $0.91, IRC $4.95 ED 159 075

Mary Lynne Bowman and John F. Disinger, *Land Use Management Activities for the Classroom* 1977 MF $0.91 PC $18.50, IRC $5.50 ED 152 541

Herbert L. Coon and Michele Y. Alexander, *Energy Activities for the Classroom* 1976 MF $0.91, PC $10.25 IRC $4.95. ED 130 833

Herbert L. Coon and Mary Lynne Bowman, *Energy Activities for the Classroom, Volume II* 1978 MF $0.91 PC $11.90, IRC $3.00 ED 173 072

Herbert L. Coon and Mary Lynne Bowman, *Environmental Education in the Urban Setting Rationale and Teaching Activities* 1977 MF $0.91, PC $13.55, IRC $4.40 ED 137 140

Herbert L. Coon and Charles L. Price, *Water-Related Teaching Activities* 1977 MF $0.91 PC $11.90, IRC $4.40 ED 150 026

William R. Hernbrode, *Multidisciplinary Wildlife Teaching Activities*, 1978 MF $0.91, PC $6.95, IRC $3.30 ED 162 897

Robert H. McCabe, J. Terence Kelly, and Doris Lyons, *Man and Environment Teaching Alternatives* 1977 MF $0.91, PC $23.45, IRC $6.60 ED 144 826

Alan J. McCormack, *Outdoor Areas as Learning Laboratories - CESI Sourcebook* 1979 MF $0.91, PC $15.20 IRC $6.50 ED 183 374

Charles E. Roth and Linda G. Lockwood, *Strategies and Activities for Using Local Communities as Environmental Education Sites* 1979 MF $0.91, PC $13.55, IRC $5.50 ED 194 649

Judith M. Schultz and Herbert L. Coon, *Population Education Activities for the Classroom* 1977 MF $0.91, IRC $4.40 ED 141 178

John H. Wheatley and Herbert L. Coon, *One Hundred Teaching Activities in Environmental Education*, 1973 MF $0.91, PC $15.20, IRC $4.50 ED 091 172

John H. Wheatley and Herbert L. Coon, *Teaching Activities in Environmental Education, Volume III* 1975 MF $0.91, PC $13.55, IRC $4.40 ED 125 868

Other Environmental Education Activities

Literally hundreds of environmental education activities publications have been developed over the past decade, many of them are available through the ERIC system. A few additional volumes are noted below, to provide still more examples. The reader should realize that this listing is far from exhaustive.

Allen, Rodney F., editor, *Okeechobee County Energy Education Activities - Middle School Level* Tri-County Education Center, Sebring, Fl, 1981 MF $0.91 ED 201 523

Group for Environmental Education, Philadelphia, PA, *Suggested Activities Using the School and Its Surroundings as a Resource for Environmental Education*. 1972 MF $0.91, PC $12.00 ED 106 054

Klenzman, Elizabeth, and Paula Taylor, *Creating Futures Activity Cards and Teacher Guide* Minneapolis, MN, Public Schools, 1979 MF $0.91 ED 201 561

Montgomery, Herbert, and Mary Montgomery, *Minnesota Energy Activities for Elementary Students* Minnesota State Energy Agency, 1981 MF $0.91 PC $11.90, ED 200 445

National Association of Independent Schools, Boston, MA, *Interdependence A Handbook for Environmental Education* 1979 MF $0.91 ED 170 135

Scherner, Sharon, editor *Conservation of Energy Idea and Activity Guide, An Interdisciplinary Teacher's Guide to Energy and Environmental Activities* Energy and Man's Environment Inc. Portland, OR, 1979 MF $0.91, ED 200 424

Winslow, Donald R. *Multidisciplinary Education 31E Environmental Studies, Study Guide*. Indiana University School of Continuing Studies 1980 MF $0.91 PC $6.95 ED 201 501

Availability

Copies of publications indicating MF (microfiche) or PC (paper copy) prices may be obtained, at prices listed above plus postage, from

ERIC Document Reproduction Service
P.O. Box 190
Arlington, VA 22210

Item indicating IRC prices may be obtained, at prices stated plus postage, from

SMEAC Information Reference Center
1200 Chambers Road — 3rd Floor
Columbus, OH 43212-1792

IRC prices quoted in this fact sheet are for prepaid orders. orders which must be billed will be charged for postage and handling.

Prepared by Bernard J. Lukco, Consultant, ERIC/SMEAC

This publication was prepared with funding from the National Institute of Education, U.S. Department of Education, under contract no. 400-78-0004. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of NIE or U.S. Department of Education.

ERIC

Clearinghouse for Science, Mathematics, and Environmental Education

1200 Chambers Road, Third Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43212
(614) 422-6717

ERIC/SMEAC Science Education Fact Sheet Number 4 1981

The State Education Agencies and Environmental Education

Each of the fifty state education agencies has named at least one 'official' contact person for environmental education Responsibilities of these individuals vary from state to state for a variety of reasons, including the agency's organizational philosophy and the state's particular viewpoint of what environmental education is, or should be, in terms of the educational priorities and needs of the state

In only nine states, California, Florida, Hawaii, Iowa, Minnesota Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, and Wisconsin, is environmental education a fulltime assignment for one or more staff persons A tenth, Colorado, has a full-time specialist in conservation education In 31 states, the environmental education assignment is combined with or part of a science education assignment Assignment patterns in the other nine states are diverse and not apparently generalizable

Subsets and Adjuncts of Environmental Education

In terms of what constitutes the environmental educatron assignment of a state education agency contact person, a variety of patterns exists Most commonly, though not in all cases, environmental education is defined to include *conservation education* and *outdoor education* Frequently listed as either subsets or adjuncts are *energy education, marine-and-aquatic education and or population education*

Responsibilities

Responsiblities of environmental education contact persons sometimes include networking with other states and/or federal agencies, but such duties normally are of a secondary nature Generally, these individuals provide coordination within their own state education agencies and with other in-state agencies, such as resource management, conservation, and environmental protection agencies They also provide consultant or specialist services for schools and teachers within their own states In-service workshops and curriculum development and implementation are frequently part of their job assignments A common corollary responsibility is in working with in-state teacher education institutions in the areas of pre-service and in-service programs

State Environmental Education Coordinators Association

An organization created by these contact persons is the State Environmental Education Coordinators Association (SEECA) Its purpose is to strengthen and promote the leadership role of state environmental education personnel SEECA,s annual meetings are concurrent with those of the National Association for Environmental Education Current SEECA officers include

President	Teresa M Auldridge, Virginia Department of Education
Vice-President	Louis A Iozzi, Rutgers The State University of New Jersey
Secretary	John Hug, Ohio Department of Education
Treasurer	Duane Toomsen, Iowa Department of Public Instruction
Past President	Barry [illegible]mason, New York State Depa[illegible] of Education

State education agency contact persons for environmental education are listed below The listing was developed cooperatively by SEECA and ERIC/SMEAC in Spring 1981, with some updating through October 1981 included Asterisks indicate current SEECA members Names of SEECA members who are not "official agency contact persons are also listed, with affiliations

State Education Agency Contact Persons for Environmental Education, November 1981

Ms Donna Bentley, Education Specialist
Science/Environmental
Alabama Department of Education
111 Coliseum Boulevard
Montgomery, Alabama 36193
(205) 832-5850

Mr John George
Deputy Associate Superintendent
Arizona Department of Education
1535 West Jefferson
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
(602) 255-4275

Mr, Verdell Jackson, Program Manager
Vocational Education
Alaska Department of Education
Pouch F
Juneau, Alaska 99811
(907) 465-2980

*Ms Helen Holmes, Coordinator Economic, Energy, Environmental, and Conservation Education
Arkansas Department of Education
Arch Ford Building Room 404-B
Little Rock, Arkansas 72201
(501) 371-2791

*Mr Rudolph J H Schafer
Program Manager
Environmental/Energy Education
California Department of Education
721 Capitol Mall
Sacramento, California 95814
(916) 323-2602

Mr George A. Ek, Jr , Coordinator
Conservation Education Services CDE/DOW
Colorado Department of Education
State Office Building, #435
201 E Colfax
Denver, Colorado 80203
(303) 866-5719

Dr. Sigmund Abeles, Science Consultant
Connecticut Department of Education
P O Box 2219
Hartford, Connecticut 06115
(203) 566-4825

*Mr John C Cairns, State Supervisor
Science/Environmental Education
Delaware Department of Public Instruction
Townsend Building, P O Box 1402
Dover, Delaware 19901
(302) 736-4885

Mr C Richard Tillis, Director
Office of Environmental Education
Florida Department of Education
Knott Building
Tallahassee, Florida 32304
(904) 488-6547

Mr. Dallas Stewart
Secondary Education Coordinator
Georgia Department of Education
State Office Building
Atlanta, Georgia 30334
(404) 656-2575

Miss Judith A Pool
Educational Specialist-Environmental Education
Hawaii Department of Education
1270 Queen Emma Street Room 1102
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
(808) 548-5914

Dr Richard Kay, Consultant
Science, Energy, Environment
Idaho Department of Education
650 West State Street
Boise, Idaho 83720
(208) 334-2281

Mr Don Roderick
Educational Consultant
Illinois State Board of Education
100 North First Street
Springfield, Illinois 62777
(217) 782-2826

Mr Joe E Wright
Energy Education Consultant
Division of Curriculum
Indiana Department of Public Instruction
Room 229, State House
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204
(317) 927-0111

*Mr Duane Toomsen, Consultant
Environmental Education
Curriculum Division
Iowa Department of Public Instruction
Grimes Office Building
Des Moines, Iowa 50319
(515) 281-3146

Dr Ramona J Anshutz
Science and Mathematics Consultant
Kansas Department of Education
120 East 10th
Topeka, Kansas 66612
(913) 296-2598

*Mrs Nancy S. Theiss, Program Manager
Environmental Education
Kentucky Department of Education
Room 1829 Capitol Plaza Tower
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601
(502) 564-2672

Mr Donald McGehee, Supervisor
Science, Energy, and Environmental Education
Louisiana Department of Education
P O Box 44064
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804
(504) 342-3420

Dr Dean B. Bennett
Acting Environmental Education Consultant
Maine State Department of Educational and Cultural Services
State House Station #23
Augusta, Maine 04333
(207) 582-1332

*Dr Susan P Snyder, Chief
Curricular Programs Section
Office of Curriculum Development
Maryland Department of Education
200 West Baltimore Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21201
(301) 659-2323

Ms Judith Dortz
Assistant to Deputy Commissioner for Program Operations
Massachusetts Department of Education
31 St James Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02116
(617) 727-5518

*Miss Nancy C Mincemoyer
Science Specialist
Michigan Department of Education
P O Box 30008
Lansing, Michigan 48909
(517) 373-8793/1484

*Mr John C Miller
Environmental Education Specialist
Minnesota Department of Education
644 Capitol Square Building
St Paul Minnesota 55101
(612) 296-4069

*Mr Michael G Carothers, Consultant
Science and Environmental Education
Mississippi Department of Education
P O Box 771
Jackson, Mississippi 39205
(601) 354-6955

Dr Robert M Taylor, Director
Health, Physical Education, Safety, and Environmental Education
Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
P O. Box 480
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
(314) 751-2664

Mr Edward Eschler, Consultant
Social Studies, Environmental Education
Montana Office of Public Instruction
State Capitol Building
Helena, Montana 59601
(406) 449-2543

Mr Don Woodburn, Science Consultant
Nebraska Department of Education
P O. Box 94987
Lincoln, Nebraska 68509
(402) 471-2446

Mr Jack O'Leary, Educational Consultant
Nevada Department of Education
400 West King Street — Capitol Complex
Carson City, Nevada 89710
(702) 885-5700

Mr William B Ewert
Consultant, Science Education
New Hampshire Department of Education
64 North Main Street
Concord, New Hampshire 03301
(603) 271-3293

Mr William Burcat
Director of Educational Development
New Jersey Department of Education
Division of School Programs
225 West State Street
Trenton, New Jersey 08625
(609) 292-8777

Mr B K Graham
Science and Conservation Specialist
New Mexico Department of Education
State Education Building
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503
(505) 827-5391

*Mr Barry W Jamason
Coordinator, Environmental Education
New York State Department of Education
Room 314H
Albany, New York 12234
(518) 474-5890

Mr Clinton L Brown, Consultant
Division of Science
North Carolina Department of Public Instruction
Raleigh, North Carolina 27611
(919) 733-3694

Mr George Fors
Science and Mathematics Consultant
North Dakota Department of Public Instruction
State Capitol
Bismarck, North Dakota 58505
(701) 224-2275

*Dr John Hug, Consultant
Environmental Education
Office of Environmental Education
Ohio Department of Education
65 South Front Street, Room 811
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 466-5015

Mr Eugene V Smith, Science Specialist
Oklahoma Department of Education
Oliver Hodge Building
2500 North Lincoln
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105
(405) 521-3361

Mr Raymond E Thiess, Specialist
Science Education
Oregon Department of Education
700 Pringle Parkway, SE
Salem, Oregon 97310
(503) 378-2120

Mr Robert W Schwille
Senior Program Adviser, Environmental Education
Bureau of Curriculum Services
Pennsylvania Department of Education
333 Market Street, Box 911
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17108
(717) 783-3958

Ms Diane Devine, Consultant
Program Development
Rhode Island Department of Education
235 Promenade Street
Providence, Rhode Island 02908
(401) 277-2821

*Mrs Alice Linder
Environmental Education Consultant
South Carolina Department of Education
803 Rutledge Building
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
(803) 758-2652

Mr James Hauck, Science Director
South Dakota Department of Elementary and Secondary Education
Kneip Building
Pierre, South Dakota 57501
(605) 773-3655

Mr John Bennett, Specialist
Science and Environmental Education
Tennessee Department of Education
Tennessee Tech Box 5077
Cookeville, Tennessee 38501
(615) 528-5431

Mr Roger Bynum, Specialist
Science and Environmental Education
Tennessee Department of Education
Memphis State University
Memphis, Tennessee 38152
(901) 454-2980

Dr Joseph J Huckestein, Consultant
Science/Environmental Education
Texas Education Agency
201 East 11th Street
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 475-2608

Dr. Richard S. Peterson, Specialist
Science Education
Utah State Board of Education
250 East 500 South
Salt Lake City, Utah 94111
(801) 533-6040

Mr. George Tanner, Program Coordinator
Science, Energy, & Environmental Education
Vermont Department of Education
120 State Street
Montpelier, Vermont 05602
(802) 828-3111

*Ms. Teresa M. Auldridge
Supervisor of Science
Virginia Department of Education
Science Service
P.O. Box 60
Richmond, Virginia 23216
(804) 225-2651

*Mr. David Kennedy, Supervisor
Science and Environmental Education Programs
Office of the State Superintendent of Public Instruction, *Washington*
7510 Armstrong Street, SW
Tumwater, Washington 98504
(206) 753-2574

Dr. Lloyd Story
Science Education Consultant
West Virginia Department of Education
Capitol Complex
Room B-330, Building 6
Charleston West Virginia 25305
(304) 348-7818

*Mr. David C. Engleson, Supervisor
Environmental, Energy, and Marine Instruction
Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction
125 South Webster Street
Madison, Wisconsin 53702
(608) 267-9266

*Dr. William M. Futrell, Coordinator
Science/Mathematics/Environmental Education
Wyoming Department of Education
241 Hathaway Building
Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002
(307) 777-6247

* Members of the State Environmental Education Coordinators Association. Additional members include:

John F. Disinger, The Ohio State University
H Wells French, Rhode Island Department of Education
William F. Hammond, Lee Country Schools, Florida
J. Reg Houghton, Coordinator of Environmental Education, Province of Alberta
Louis A Iozzi, Rutgers — The State University of New Jersey
June McSwain, American Forest Institute
Jan Rensel, Project Learning Tree
Tillman Turley, Arizona Department of Education
Terry L. Wilson, Murray State University

Prepared by John F. Disinger, Associate Director-Environmental Education, ERIC/SMEAC

This publication was prepared with funding from the National Institute of Education, U S Department of Education under contract no 400-78-0004 The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of NIE or U S Department of Education