ED 209 437

CE 030 371

AUTHOR TITLE

Paisley, William J.: And Others
Assessment of Programs and Services for Displaced
Homemakers in California.

INSTITUTION

Far West Lab. for Educational Research and Development, San Francisco, Calif.

SPONS AGENCY

California State Dept. of Education, Sacramento.: Department of Education, Washington, D.C.

PUB DATE CONTRACT NOTE

Jun 81 8933 386.: For a related document see CE 030 372.

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.
Adults: Community Services: \*Displaced Homemakers:
Educational Needs: Employment Services: Financial
Support: \*Human Services: \*Institutional Role: Needs:/
\*Program Effectiveness: Program Evaluation: Social
Agencies: Statewide Planning

**IDENTIFIERS** 

\*California; Comprehensive Employment and Training

### ABSTRACT

As part of a five-year plan for vocational education. the California State Department of Education and the Chancellor's Office of Community Colleges contracted for a study to be done to obtain information on programs and services that are being provided to displaced homemakers. Through a series of mailed question maires, first to self-identified groups, then to potential service providers; 119 programs and service centers providing aid for displaced homemakers were identified and contacted. An analysis of the responses of the 119 institutions indicated that there are two types of service providers: full-range programs that could be called displaced homemaker centers and programs; and other service providers, which did not provide total services for displaced homemakers but did serve them in various ways. The survey also indicated that the need is greater than the services provided, since there are more than 580,000 displaced homemakers to be served by the 119 centers. However, there are several strengths to the structure. First, the centers are found across the state; second, no single institutional type dominates, so individuals can find the institution that meets their needs. There are also weaknesses: namely, hot all Tocations are served, and almost half of the centers and programs rely on Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA) runds, which are in jeopardy. It was suggested that it would be beneficial for the centers to concentrate on providing a full range of counseling services, while the other service providers should concentrate on training for employment. (Services for and numbers of displaced homemakers by county are provided. An information request form and the survey form are appended.) (KC)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

ASSESSMENT OF PROGRAMS

AND SERVICES FOR DISPLACED

HOMEMAKERS IN CALIFORNIA

William Jo Paisley
Matilda Butler
Carolyno Arnold

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (EPIC)

The document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it

originating it
Minor changes have been mede to improve reproduction quality

Points of wew or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policy" June 1981

Prepared by
Far West Laboratory For Educational Research and Development for
The State of California, Department of Education
Under Contract Number 8933

The activity which is the subject of this report was supported in whole or in part by the U.S. Department of Education. However, the opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the U.S. Department of Education or the Far West Laboratory for Educational Research and Development. No official endorsement by the U.S. Department of Education or the Far West Laboratory for Educational Research and Development should be inferred. Mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government or the Laboratory.

### Discrimination Prohibited

No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded for participating in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance, or be so treated on the basis of sex under most education programs or activities receiving Federal assistance.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRÉFACE	
INTRODUCTION	-
STUDY DESIGN	2
FINDINGS	4
Sources of funding	58882557
SUMMARY 2	
APPENDIX A 2	29
APPENDIX B 3	30

ERIC

#### PREFACE.

Revisions made to the Vocational Education Act of 1963 by the Education Amendments of 1976 (Public Law 94-482) made it possible to address the vocational needs of women. The states were authorized to use a portion of their vocational education basic grants to provide services to several special populations including those who "solely have been homemakers but who now, because of dissolution of marriage, must seek employment." Furthermore, the Federal Regulations for PL 94-482 specified that the five-year state plans for vocational education provide for assessing and meeting the needs of displaced homemakers as well as other special populations. The California State Department of Education and the Chanceller's Office of the California Community Colleges, in responding to this directive and in carrying out their leadership role in the State, sponsored a study of displaced homemakers in California. This report is the third in a series of five from the study. The other four reports are:

- A Statistical Report on the Status of Displaced Homemakers in California
  - Needs of Displaced Homemakers\*
  - A Directory of Services for Displaced Homemakers in California
- Three-Year Plan for Coordination of Programs and Services for Displaced Homemakers in California

These five volumes provide information of use to those designing and implementing programs and services for displaced homemakers as well as information of use to displaced homemakers who need to locate services for themselves.

The staff of the Status Study of Displaced Homemakers in California would like to thank Nona Verloo of the California State Department of Education for her guidance and support throughout this effort. We would also like to express our appreciation to the Advisory Committee whose many helpful suggestions enriched all aspects of the Study. The committee consisted of: Nancy Carlton, Yolo County Manpower Agency; Gay Cobb, Region IX Women's Bureau, San Francisco; Lawrence De Berry, Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Corporation, Oakland; Donna Elder, Project WORTH, Salinas; Marjie Herr, Cuyamaca College; El Cajon; Jo Anna Ito, Sacramento Unified School District; Bettigene Johnson, Mills College Displaced Homemaker Center, Oakland; Joan Suter, Career Planning Center, Los Angeles; and Judy Wood, Women's Resources for Work, Eureka.

### Introduction

California's long history of concern for vocational education began more than 100 years ago. In the 1850's Californians felt that education did not prepare students for work. Wesley Smith, the state director of vocational education from 1949-1973 writes in his review of vocational education that:

"James Lick, a California pioneer with early experience as a mechanic and later success as a businessman in San Francisco, added fuel to the growing concern for practical education by endowing and creating the California School of Mechanical Arts. ...Mr. Lick set aside \$540,000 in 1875 for the new educational enterprise...[that] opened with an enrollment of 140 girls and boys. The curriculum was aimed at combining manual training and trade education in 14 mechanical and industrial arts. Also included was instruction in English, mathematics; and science. Every activity of the students had a direct bearing upon their future occupation."

Smith goes on to state that all the developments during these early years in California's history "were influenced by interest in helping the new state in its surge toward a self-sufficient economy."

About 100 years later, California's now well established vocational education system has turned to the task of helping specific groups of its citizens find their own self-sufficiency. Some of these groups, such as minorities, represent historical underrepresentation in the educational system. Others, such

<sup>1</sup> Smith, Wesley: A History of Vocational Education in California 1900-1975.

Sacramento: California State Department of Education, 1979.

as displaced homemakers, represent changes in the social system that created a sudden need for vocational education.

This report concerns the response of the California State Department of Education and the Chancellor's Office of Community Colleges to the emerging vocational education needs of displaced homemakers. First, they have funded and continue to fund a number of displaced homemaker programs throughout the state. Second, they funded a status study of displaced homemakers in the state so that future plans could be developed. This report is one in a series from that study.

### Study Design

An important activity of the status study was to obtain information on programs and services that are being provided to displaced homemakers. In order to locate the programs and services, a brief questionnaire was sent to a mailing list of 1,175 places requesting either self-identification as a service provider or nomination of other places that may be service providers. The major categories receiving the questionnaire were:

<sup>2 &</sup>quot;Displaced homemakers" is a term coined in California in the mid-1970's to describe individual's who have been full-time homemakers, but who because of death, divorce, or separation no longer are financially supported.

The five products from this study are: A Statistical Report on the Status
of Displaced Homemakers in California, The Needs of Displaced Homemakers in
California, An Assessment of Programs and Services for Displaced Homemakers
in California, A Directory of Programs and Service for Displaced Homemakers
in California, and A Plan for Coordination of Programs and Services for
Displaced Homemakers in California. Contact Nona Verloo, Department of
Education, Vocational Education Support Services Section, 721 Capital Mall,
Sacramento, CA 95814.

•	Adult Education Programs	-311
` •	CETA Prime Sponsors	42
●,	Commissions on the Status of Women	33
•	Community Colleges	120
• ,	Employment Services	171
• ·	Large Businesses	157
•	Regional Occupational Programs (ROPs) and Regional Occupational Centers (ROCs)	63
•	Women's Groups	233
●,	YWCAs .	37 <sup>.</sup>

We received 505 questionnaires for a response rate of 43%. From these we identified a potential number of 330 displaced homemaker programs and services.

Each of these potential service providers was sent a detailed questionnaire to determine type of services provided, type of institutional sponsorship, eligibility criteria for clients, charges for service, kind of program support, and other categories of information. A follow-up questionnaire was sent to all of those who had not responded after three weeks. By the time of the analysis, 70% had responsed. Not all of these, however, were active programs. Upon seeing the detailed questionnaire, some that were self-identified on the short questionnaire wrote that they had misunderstood what was meant by services for displaced homemakers and now believe they do not provide services specifically for the displaced homemaker. In other cases, some institutions that had been nominated wrote that they did not provide services for displaced homemakers. There were 119 programs and service centers included in the analysis.

Information from the questionnaire was used in two ways. First, it provided the data elements for a directory, <u>Services for Displaced Homemakers in California</u>.

<sup>5</sup> See Appendix B

Second, the information on several aspects of the programs was analyzed and is reported in the following section.

### **Findings**

Number of service providers. An earlier phase of this study determined that California has approximately 580,000 displaced homemakers. These are women, aged 22-64, who are widowed, divorced, separated, or never married with children under 18 and out of the labor force but not retired or in the labor force but earning less than the Lower Living Standard Income Level adjusted for family size. First, the survey was conducted to locate how many places are providing services for these women. According to the survey findings there are 119 institutions who indicated they are providing services "specifically designed to meet the needs of displaced homemakers." If these institutions were to serve the 580,000 displaced homemakers it would take at least 10 years with each center serving 500 displaced homemakers per year.

An analysis of the responses of the 119 institutions indicated that there are two types of service providers. There are programs with many services covering the range of counseling, pre-vocational and vocational training, financial assistance, and medical, legal or consumer assistance. In other words, these programs seemed concerned with the full range of services needed to move the women from displacement to placement. For convenience, these programs are

The Lower Living Standard is determined each year by the Department of Labor and was \$3,930 for a family of 1; \$6,440 for 2; and \$8,850 for 3 in the year of the survey data.

<sup>7</sup> As this study was concluding, a number of centers wrote us that they were closing because of lack of funds. However, all 119 were kept in this analysis.

There are 32 of these centers and programs. The remaining 87 respondents are called "other service providers." These institutions had a few courses or services for displaced homemakers but did not seem to have the same range. Once the list of displaced homemaker centers and programs was complete, it was sent to the project's advisory board to see if the list met their sense of "face validity". With their agreement, it was then decided to present the findings for these two groups separately.

Location of service providers. Table 1 shows the number of displaced homemaker centers and programs and other service providers as well as the number of displaced homemakers for each of 24 county or county clusters. These particular clusters were created because of the information used for the status study of displaced homemakers. A complete explanation can be found in that report. 8

Los Angeles County has the largest number of service providers (17), followed by Orange (11), Alameda (10), and Marin/Sonoma (8). The cluster of Inyo, Moño, and San Bernandino has no service providers making it the most underserved area. When the number of displaced homemakers in an area is divided by the number of available services, several county or county clusters appear to be severely underserved although no area is well served. Table 2 rank orders the county and county clusters by the number of displaced homemakers per service provider (combines displaced homemaker centers and other service providers). Aside from the Inyo county cluster where there are no service providers, the Contra Costa cluster and Los Angeles are the next most underserved with 16,750 and 12,500 potential clients per center.

Arnold, Carolyn and Matilda Butler. A Statistical Report on the Status of Displaced Homemakers in California. Sacramento, CA: Department of Education, 1981.



TABLE 1. Number of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs, Other Service Providers, and Displaced Homemakers Tabulated by County/Cluster.

County/Cluster	Number of Displaced Homemaker Centers/ Programs	Number of Other Service Providers	Number of Displaced Homemakers
Colusa, Glenn, Lassen, Modoc, Plumas Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity			•
, Butte, Nevada, Sutter, Yuba	0	- 4.	6,000
Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, Mendocino,	٠ سو		9;750
Napa	2 .	5 -	5,750
Marin, Sonoma	1. =	7	12,750
Contra Costa, Solano . —	. 0	1	16,750
El Dorado, Placer, Yolo	3 .	Ò	9,250
Sacramento	. 1	5	18,000
San Joaquin	. 1	. ~	7,250
Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Stanislaus,			, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
, luolumne . ° '	1	0	6,000
Alameda	v [1]	9 -	34,750
San Francisco	Q	5	23,250
San Mateo	1 : 1	4	14,750
Santa Clara	, 0 ,	7 🚉	27,750
.Fresno, Madera, Mariposa, Mérced		. 2 .	18,250
Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz	. 1 .	2	13,500
Kings, Tulare	0	1	8,500
Kern	į	1-, -	6,750
San Luís Obispo, Santa Barbara	1	1 3.	8,750
Ventura	· j	3 .	8,750
Los Angeles	6	11	212,500
Inye, Mono, San Bernardino	, 0	· 0 ·	17,000
Orange .	4	, 7	33,500
Imperial, Riverside	0. >	6 .	19,250
San Diego	5 ( ) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		42,250
- '	•	-	,

# TABLE 2.

Number of Potential Clients for Each Service Provider Tabulated by County/Cluster.

## County/Cluster

Potential Number of Displaced Homemaker Clients

Inyo, Mono, San Bernardino	, . *
Contra Costa, Solano	16,750
Los Angeles	12,500
_Kings, Tulare	~8,500
San Diego	6,035
Alpine, Amadore, Calaveras, Stanislaus, Tuolumne	6,000
Butte, Nevada, Sutter, Yuba	4,875
Fresno, Madera, Mariposa, Merced	4,562
Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz	4,500
San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara	4,375
Santa Clara	3,964
San Francisco	3,875
San Joaquin /	3,625
Alameda	3,475
Kern	3,375
Imperial, Riverside	3,208
E] Dorado, Placer, Yolo	3,083
Orange:	3,045
Sacramento	3,000
San Mateo	2,950.
Ventura	2,187
Marin, Sonoma	1,594
Colusa, Glern, Lassen, Modoc, Plumas, Shasta	
Sierra, Siskiyou, Tehama, Trinity	1,500
Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, Mendocino, Nápa	821

<sup>17,000</sup> displaced homemakers but no service providers.

The Contra Costa/Solano cluster is the next most underserved area with 16,750 potential clients per center, followed by Los Angeles with 12,500 and Kings/Tulare with 8,500. Even the best served areas of Del Norte/Humboldt/Lake/Mendocino/Napa and Colusa/Glenn/Lassen/Modoc/Plumas/Shasta/Sierra/Siskiyou Tehama/Trinity have 821 and 1,500 potential clients respectively per center. Considering the distances involved in these two county clusters it is impossible for displaced homemakers with limited financial resources for transportation to have access to the few service providers that do exist.

Number of displaced homemakers served. The number of displaced homemakers being served is important to know in order to determine if vocational education needs to make more services available to these individuals. According to the survey, approximately 22,773 displaced homemakers in served in the past year. At this rate, it would take 25 years to serve in the past year. At this rate, it would take 25 years to serve in the past year. Table 3 shows the percentage of displaced homemakers in the past year. Table 3 shows the percentage of displaced homemaker centers and programs and other serve providers by the number of clients they served.

Type of sponsoring institution. The 119 service providers are located within various kinds of institutions that can be grouped as: community college, women's center, community organization, YWCA, adult education program, Employment Development Division/CETA/ROP, and other. Table 4 shows that the displaced homemaker centers and programs are primarily in community colleges (44%) and women's centers (16%) while other service providers are found in adult education programs (28%), community colleges (24%), and EDD/CETA/ROPs (18%).

Sources of funding. Service providers were asked to indicate the funding sources that help to support their programs. Table 5 shows the percentage

TABLE 3. Percentage of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs and Other Service Providers Tabulated by the Number of Clients erved in the Past Year.

Number of Clients	% of Displaced Homemaker Centers/ Programs	% of Other . Service Providers	'% of. Total
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. , .		
25 or less.	14%	18%′	17%
26 - 50	14	21/	19
51 - 100	14	25	22 🍳
101 - 200	31 ."	18,	22
201 - 500	·17	9	11
501 - 1000	10	. 4	, 6
1001 - 3000	· -,	4	, 3
•			ı
Total N	29	76	105

TABLE 4. Percentage of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs and
Other Service Providers Tabulated by Type of Institutional
Sponsor

Type of Institution	of Displaced Homemaker Centers/ Programs	% of Other Service Providers	% of Total
_Community College	44%	24%	29%
Women's Center	16	. 9	11 ,
Community Organization .	6	´ <b>a.</b> 5	- 5
YWCA	6	8	<b>.</b> 8
Adult Education Program	3	28	21
EDD, CETA, ROP	sé;≥ 9	18	16
Other*	15	8	~ .10
Tota 1 N	32	87	119 ′

<sup>\*</sup> Other includes private colleges, public universities, United Way, and one independent -- the Displaced Homemaker Center, Inc. located at, but not a part of, Mills College.

TABLE 5. Percentage of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs and Other Service Providers Responding "Yes" to Funding Sources

Funding Source	% of Displaced Homemaker <u>Centers/Programs</u>	% of Other Service Providers	/% o	f Total
CETA Funds	- 59%	46%		· 50%
Vocational Education Funds	38	22		26
Community College Funds	• 38.	,18	_	24 - *
Donations	16	· 、 17··人		<b>,</b> 17
Adult Education Funds	.6	<b>~</b> 30	•	24
Private Foundation Grants -		. 8	,	7
-Local Government Funds	3	5 .	,	4
Industry, Business, or Labor Contributions	.3	5 ,		· 4
Employment Development Funds		, 7 .		<sub>,</sub> 5
Other	9	30	,	-24

responding yes to each of ten types of funding. For the displaced homemaker centers and programs more than half (59%) said they had CETA funds. Nocational education funds and community college funds were cited equally frequently by the centers and programs (38% and 38%). The other service providers were also most likely to cite CETA funds (46%), followed by adult education funds (30%) and other (30%). Table 6 shows the percentage of service providers indicating the type of CETA funds received for the displaced homemaker program. Only those receiving CETA funds and giving the specific CETA title are shown. The impact of future changes in CETA will depend largely on the specific titles that are affected. Of those receiving CETA funds, 47% of the displaced homemaker centers and programs and 43% of the other service providers use Title IIB in the delivery of their services to displaced homemakers. An additional 10% of the displaced homemaker centers and programs and 13% of the other service providers have Title VI.

When asked to indicate the one major source of funding for the program, quite a different pattern emerges between the displaced homemaker centers and programs and the other service providers. Table 7 shows that the centers and programs are almost exclusively funded by vocational education funds (41%) and CETA funds (48%) while the other service providers are funded by a variety of sources (CETA, 29%; state adult education funds, 27%; vocational education funds, 10%; and community college funds, 10%). Major shifts in the priority of CETA and the use of vocational education funds will almost completely eliminate the centers and programs.

Client qualifications to receive services. When asked if displaced homemaker clients had to meet any special qualifications, 53% of the displaced homemaker centers and programs and 38% of the other service providers responded positively.

TABLE 6.

Percentage of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs and Other Service Providers Who Receive CETA Funds
Tabulated by CETA Title

. <u>Title</u>	÷	% of Dis	splaced Ho ers/Progra	memaker ms	% of . <u></u>	Other Service Providers	% of Fotal
II -	r		5%		•	3%	. 4%
IIB	,		47	• •	•	43	45
ÍID	•	* .	10	•	• (	3 , `., ·	6
III,		•	11 .	•	>.	. <del>3</del>	6
VI .	· .	,	10 . ·		•	13	12
VII			5 ,		: ,	7	· 6 .
2 or more Ti	tles -	•	10	·	,	27	20
Total N	<b>f</b> y		19	, · · •		30	. 49

TABLE 7. Percentage of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs and Other Service Providers Tabulated by Major Source of Funding

Major Funding Source	% of Displaced Centers/Pro	Homemaker grams	% of Other Service Providers	% of Total
Vocational Education Funds	41%		10%	· <b>1</b> 8%
Community College Funds	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		10-	7
Adult Education Funds	· -		27	19 :
CETA	, 48	1	29	- 34
Private Foundation Grants	4		3.	2
Business, Industry, and Labor Contributions	. 3	<i>&gt;</i> .		<b>*</b>
Employment Development Funds			4	3 :
Other •	7.	. '	18	15 (
Total N	29 —	• • •	79	108

These qualifications are primarily those concerned with CETA funding since CETA carries income and employment requirements. For those service providers indicating that at least some of their clients had to meet certain qualifications, Table 8 shows the kinds of qualifications. CETA's income/employment status qualification is the primary one with 47% of the displaced homemaker centers and programs and 30% of the other service providers indicating this is the only qualification they use. Eighteen percent of both the centers and the other service providers indicate they use CEJA plus residence. An additional 12% of both the centers and programs and the other service providers mention an age requirement. Although the age varies, the general trend is to provide the service for women between the ages of 35 and 64.

Charges for services. Because financial difficulties are faced by displaced homemakers, the cost of preparing for a job becomes an important issue. When asked "Do you charge for any of the services you provide?" only 9% of the displaced homemaker centers and programs versus 30% of the other service providers responded yes. These differences seem to reflect the different types of institutional sponsors for the programs.

A second type of charge--membership dues--is found in 9% of both the centers and programs and the other service providers. Some respondents indicated that although there were membership dues, payment was not required in order to receive the services.

Staff. The range of services that can be provided and the number of displaced homemakers that can be served are at least partially dependent on the size of staff available to serve them. Furthermore, the growth and future development of a program depends on the leadership provided by a director. Although it may not be necessary or possible to have a full-time director, the

TABLE 8. Percentage of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs and Other Service Providers Whose Clients Must Meet Qualifications Tabulated by Type of Qualification.

• •		· •	*·'
Qualification	% of Displaced Homemaker Centers/Programs	% of Other Service Providers	% of Total
CETA	. 47% -	30%	36%
CETA & Residence	<b>*</b> 18	. 18	18
· CETA & Age _	.6	9	. 8
CETA, Residence, & Age		3	. 2
Age	12	12	12
Other	18	18	
Total N	17	30	47

CETA has both income and employment status criteria for eligibility

presence of a full-time director seems to add to the program's commitment and helps to ensure a smoothly functioning program. When asked about the employment status of the director, slightly more than half of both the centers and programs (57%) and the other service providers (59%) responded that the director was full-time. Most of the rest of the centers and programs (39%) said the director was part-time and only 4% said there was no director. The other service providers, however were more likely to not have a director (15%) with the remainder (24%) indicating there was a part-time director (See Table 9.)

The staff size of the displaced homemaker centers and programs and the other service providers are similar. As shown in Table 10, more than half of the centers and programs (58%) and the other service providers (53%) have less than 5 staff members working with displaced homemakers. Without additional funds, the size of the staff will continue to limit the number of displaced homemakers that can be provided with the knowledge, skills and attitudes necessary for full employment.

- Services for displaced homemakers. A list of 36 services was developed that represented five major clusters: pre-vocational, counseling, financial and emergency assistance, and medical, legal and consumer assistance. Each program described its offerings by indicating which of the services were provided. Tables 11-15 report the percentage of service providers offering each of the services within the five clusters. When looking across all 36 services, centers and programs most frequently mention providing: Career Information (97%), Job/Career Counseling (94%), Support Groups (94%), Job Opening Information/Referral to Jobs (91%), Self-Awareness/Assertiveness Training (91%), and Resume Development (91%). Other service providers most frequently mention: Career Information (83%), Job/Career Counseling (81%)

TABLE 9. Percentage of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs and Other Service Providers, Tabulated by Employment Status of Director

Employment Status	•	% of <u>Homemake</u>	Displace r Centers	d /Programs	% of (	Other Ser Oviders	vice	% of Total
)		•	-	<i>u</i> .			,	•
Full-time Director	. •		57%	•	•	59% ·	. ad	59%
Part-time Director	•		39		,	24	·	- 28 ·
No Director	<i>a</i> .	٠	4		,	15	a ,	12
•				à	`			•
Total N			28 .	٠ 👡 ر	,	71		101

TABLE 10. Percentage of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs and
Other Service Providers Tabulated by Size of Staff

Staff Size	% of Displaced Homemaker Centers/Programs	% of Other Service of Providers
l - 2 Persons	19%	22%
3 - 4 Persons	39	, 31· ·
5 - 6 Persons	23	19
7 - 8 Persons	13	11
9 or More Persons	. 6	16

Total N

<u>,</u> 31

Job Opening Information/Referral to Jobs (76%), Resume Development (69%), and Pre-Employment Training (60%). The services least offered by the centers and programs are: Housing Assistance and Emergency Shelter (13%), Drug and Alcohol Abuse Counseling (16%), Tuition Aid (16%), and Pre-Apprenticeship Training (16%). The other service providers/were least likely to mention: Housing Assistance and Emergency Shelter (8%), Drug and Alcohol Abuse Counseling (10%), Legal Assistance and Counseling (13%), and Medical/Dental/Health Counseling (14%), Battered Women Services (14%), and Widowhood Counseling (15%).

Table 11 shows the eight services that are described as pre-vocational. There are many similarities in the pattern of offering these services for displaced homemakers by both the centers and programs and the other service providers. For instance, both types of providers are likely to offer Vocational Preference Testing (59% and 58% respectively) and Pre-Employment Training (56% and 60% respectively). They are least likely to offer Pre-Apprenticeship Training (16% and 22% respectively).

Table 12 shows the percentage of service providers who responded "yes" to each of seven vocational services. Although there are some large percentage differences between the centers and programs and the other service providers, the rank ordering is similar. For instance, the two most frequently cited vocational services of both centers and programs and other service providers are Career Information (97% and 83% respectively) and Job/Career Counseling (94% and 81% respectively). Job/Skill Training is the one service that is clearly more likely to be made available by the other service providers (59%) than the centers and programs (44%). This cluster

TABLE 11. Percentage of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs and Other
Service Providers Responding "Yes" to Pre-Vocational Service
Offerings

Pre-Vocational Service	% of Displaced Hor Centers/Progra		% of Other : Provide		% of Total
Tutorial Services			30%	÷ .	··/28%
Pre-Employment Training	. 56		. 60	•	- 59 ·
Pre-Apprenticeship Training	g 16		. 22	<b>~</b>	20
Volunteer Training	31		23		25
Basic Skills Testing	44-		48	-	<b>4</b> 7
Life Skills Assessment	59	•	. 32	;	40
Vocational Preference, Testi	ing 59	, a.	.58		58
Vocational Aptitude Testing	g <b>4453</b>	, 62	41	•	45

TABLE 12. Percentage of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs, and
Other Service Providers Responding "Yes" to Vocational Service
Offerings

Vocational Service	% of Displaced Homemaker Centers/Programs	%] of Other Service Providers	% of Total
Job Opening Information/ Referral to Jobs	91%	. , 76%	, 80%
Job Placement	50 .	 51	50
Career 'Information	97	83	87
Resume Development	91	69	75
Job/Career Counseling	94	81	84 .
Job/Skill Training	44	<sup>^</sup> 59	55
Non-Traditional Job Trainin	g 31	33	33

contains most of the frequently cited services for both groups of service providers.

Table 13 presents the responses for the eight counseling services.

This cluster shows the most diversity between the centers and programs and the other service providers. For instance, 91% of the centers and programs, but only 54% of the other service providers offer Self-Awareness and Assertiveness Training. Similarly, 94% of the centers and programs but only 58% of the other service providers have Support-Groups.

Table 14 shows the pattern of responses to the eight services related to Financial and Emergency Assistance. In general, these services are not widely offered by either the centers and programs or the other service providers. The most frequently mentioned service in this cluster is Drop-In Services with 66% of the centers and programs and 54% of the other service providers responding positively. The service with the largest discrepancy between the two groups is Community Resource Interventions (50% versus 31%). The one service that is offered by more of the other service providers is Tuition Aid (26% of the other service providers versus 16% of the centers and programs).

Table 15 shows responses to the five services representing the Medical.

Legal and Consumer Assistance cluster. Money Management is the most frequently mentioned service although the centers and programs are twice as likely to mention it as the other service providers (63% versus 40%). The least frequently mentioned service is Drug and Alcohol Counseling (16% of the centers and programs and 10% of the other service providers).

Table 13. Percentage of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs and Other

Service Providers Responding "Yes" to Counseling Service Offerings

Counseling Service	% of Displaced Hom Centers/Progra	memaker, % of 0 mms Pro	ther Service oviders	% of Total
Self-Awareness/Assertivene Training	ess 91%		54%	64%
Psychological Counseling	38	•	28	30
Support Groups	94		58	. 67
-Peer Counseling	56		35	40
Divorce Counseling	47		16	24
Widowhood Counseling	41		15	22
Single Parent Counseling	47		. 22	29
Family Counseling	25	,	.16	19

Table 14. Percentage of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs and Other

Service Providers Responding "Yes" to Financial and Emergency

Assistance Service Offerings

Financial and Emergency Assistance Service	% of Disp Cente	olaced Homers/Progra		% of Other Service Providers	% of Total
Tuition Aid .	~	16%		26%	24%
Transportation Assistance	,	· 38 -	•	, <sub>32</sub> 4	34
Financial Aid		31		29	29 ·
Child Care Assistance		44		40	41
Housing Assistance/Emergency Shelter		13	€.	8	9
Battered Women Services *	~	22 .		14	16
Drop-In Services	•	66	•	54	,57
Community Resource Intervent	ions . f	50 .		31 .	36

TABLE 15. Percentage of Displaced Homemaker Centers and Programs and Other

Service Providers Responding "Yes" to Medical, Legal and Consumer

Assistance Service Offerings

Medical, Legal and Consumer Assistance Service  Cent	splaced Homemaker ters/Programs	% of Other Services Providers	% of Total
Medical/Dental/Health Counseling	19% —	, 14% .	15%
Drug and Alcohol Counseling	16,	10	12
Legal Assistance and Counseling	<b>,25</b>	. 13	16·
Consumer Education	25	21	22
Monéy Management	63 .	30	<del>39</del>

### Summary

The survey of California's displaced homemaker service providers has indicated that while there are more than 100 places around the state offering services, the need is greater than the actual number. Given that there are currently more than 580,000 displaced homemakers, it would take the 119 service providers 25 years to serve the existing number of displaced homemakers at their current rate. This does not, of course, take into account the growing number of displaced homemakers and the decreasing number of service providers. During the conduct of the study, a number of centers notified us that changes in funding levels had caused them to stop providing services.

There are several strengths to the present structure. First, the centers can be found across the state. Second, no single institutional type dominates. This means that different individuals can find the kind of institution where they are most comfortable. Unfortunately, there are also some weaknesses.

Not all locations are served and few locations have more than one type of institution offering services. The current pattern of funding also points to a weakness in the structure. Almost half of the centers and programs rely on CETA. Given current uncertainty about future funding levels of CETA, an important service source for displaced homemakers may be in jeopardy.

An analysis of the services offered by the centers and by the other service providers highlights some interesting differences between these two types of programs. The centers are significantly more likely to offer a number of counseling services that are rarely available through the other service providers. If there were to be more specialization of services in the future that could be combined with a better articulation from service to service, then it would seem that the centers would provide counseling (the entire counseling cluster plus resume development, money management, and community resource inter-



28.

ventions) while the other service providers would concentrate more on the training for employment. If the specialization does not occur, then other service providers might consider having staff of a center assist in an in-service program for their counselors and staff.

The survey does not suggest how to increase the number of services available to displaced homemakers even though it does document what is currently happening. However, given California's excellent system of post-secondary opportunities, the potential of adequately serving displaced homemakers exists. What is needed is a state level commitment to helping more individuals become self-sufficient. Without this commitment, an add-on program for displaced homemakers will always be vulnerable.

### APPEŅDIX A

# INFORMATION REQUEST FORM DISPLACED HOMEMAKER PROGRAMS/SERVICES

řes No		,	•	•			1
	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				•	
if yes, who car Contact name:		ed for mo	re intorma	ition a	out the	se act	ivities
•	` •	•				<b>多</b>	
Program name: _	1			,	<u> </u>		_
Address:	<u> </u>			<u>.</u>		•	<del> '</del>
	74			<u>,                                     </u>	• .		<del>,                                    </del>
Phone:	<del></del>			· ~	4		•
Do you know of ities directed  Yes No  If yes, please	at meeting					•	can:
Ities · directed	provide us	with ás mu	ich contac	t infor		•	can:
Yes No No If yes, please Name of organiz	provide us	with ás mu	ich contac	t infor		•	can:
Yes No	provide us	with ás mu	ich contac	t infor		•	can:
Yes No No If yes, please Name of organiz	provide us	with ás mu	ich contac	t infor		•	can:
Yes No	provide us	with ás mu	ich contac	t infor		•	can:
Yes No	provide us	with ás mu	ich contac	t infor		•	can:
Yes No	provide us	with ás mu	ich contac	t infor		•	can:

.34

### (w) FAR WEST LABORATORY FOR EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

1855 FOLSOM STREET - SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94103 - (415) 565-3000

The Far West Laboratory for Educational Research and Development, under contract to the California State Départment of Education, is conducting a displaced homemaker status study. The study will gather information to assist the State in developing an effective long-range plan for responding to the needs of displaced homemakers.

A major activity of the study is to obtain information on programs and services that are being provided for displaced homemakers in California. You have been identified as a project or agency currently providing or sponsoring services that are specifically designed to meet the needs of displaced homemakers. We hope you will take a few minutes to complete the enclosed Displaced Homemakers Programs/Services Survey.

The information gathered from this questionnaire will be used in two ways. The information from questions 1-9 will be used to compile a directory of displaced homemaker programs and services. The remaining information will be used only in analyzing and reporting data across all programs. Individual programs will not be identified with the information gathered from questions 10-19.

Your assistance is greatly appreciated. Enclosed with the questionnaire is a business reply label for your convenience. If our information is incorrect and you are not currently serving displaced homemakers, please indicate that on the survey form and return it to us. Do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions. We can be reached at 415/565-3035 or\*565-3089.

,	«	,		•	<b>b</b>	· ·• '		' 6
1	•	•	•	Matilo	da Butler	and Jea	n Marzońe	***
		•	, , ,	• • •	-		ID #	0
<b>.</b>	• • • -	DISPLAC	ČED HOMEMAKE	R PROGRAM	s,services	SURVEY		
1.	Your name:	•		<u>,                                     </u>			• • • •	. 4
`	Project/Pro	gram Title: _	B	, ,				•
	Address: _		,	•	. , ,	•		*
	<u> </u>	•	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		9	· , °	
			*			•		•
,	Telephone:	Area Code (		0 8	County:	• • •		0
2.	Describe yo	ur displaced	homemaker p	rogram as	you wish i	t to appea	r in the dir	ectory.
;		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>s</b> ,	, 10	•	·-		,. · · ·

3. When did your displaced homemaker program start?



	·	•	•		•	• .	
					<del></del>		<u></u>
	Which of the following services do that apply.)	you provide fo	r displa	ced hom	amakers?	(Chec	k a1
	job opening information/referral to jobs	drug/alcohol a		nseling	<b>%</b>	*	٠
	job/career counseling	money manageme				٠.٠٠	
	career information	medical/denta	-	counsel in	9 .	,	
	job placement a	divorce counse	•	•		•	
	vocational preference testing	widowhood cou	•		- \		
	vocational aptitude testing	family counse		•	rent)	· .	• `
	job/skill training	single parent			·	· •	
	non-traditional job training	legal assista		eling	٠.		
	psychological counseling	child care as:		•	· · ·		
	support groups	tutorial servi	7	• • • •	<b>.</b> *	*	
	peer counseling	tuition aid	•	• .	_	• ~ •	
	drop-in services	housing assist	tance/eme	rgency「sh	elter '	•	•
	transportation assistance	financial aid				•	_
	consumer education	resume develor	. 4	• . ,			
	battered wife services	community reso			s ့	•	•
	life skills assessment	pre-employment		-	•		Q
	basic skills testing	pre- <del>a</del> pprentice	-	ining *	- •	,	
	self-awareness/assertiveness	volunteer trai	•				
	-training	other (please	describe	):		•	ه
•	What services do you think are most now provide?	needed-by disp	placed h	omemaker	s that y	you do :	not
•	· .	•	:		٠.		•
	* \		•.		• '	•	
	What special courses on workshops to	NOU MORE TO THE	. affir				. 1
	What special courses or workshops to homemakers?	you regularly	y orter	specific	ally for	r aisple	ıced
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ģ	:	,		*	
	$\sim 1$		•				
	·	•				,	
	Do your displaced homemaker clients CETA eligibility, age guidelines, or	have to meet a	any spec quiremen	ial qual ts in or	ificatio	ons súcl partici	n as pate
	in your program? Yes No If yes, what are the qualifications?	· `	•	•		•	
0	Jes , mines are the quarry reactions:	` ~	•			. ,	
	• - 4	•			•		•
	• • • • • •	•	à` .	_	<u>.</u>		
	Do you charge for any of the service	s vou provide:	? Yes	No	4	)	
		•	_	'''	_	5_	•
·	If yes, for which ones do you charge	e and now much	· :			/	ą,
	, lange	•	•	•	, .	٠ <b>٠</b>	٠
	On your hours manhaud to the first		٠.			•	•
	Do you have membership dues? Yes	No					

0

-

Ģ

vocational education fundscommunity college fundsadult education fundsCETA funds, indicate title:	donationsprivate foundation grantsindustry, business, labor contributionsemployment development fundsother (please describe):
local government (county, city, et funds	c.) ————————————————————————————————————
b. Which of the above is your ma	ajor source of support?
(e.g. director, counselor, job sp time <u>and</u> paid or volunteer) for e required, please attach separate	program staffed? Please <u>list</u> each position title pecialist) and <u>circle</u> employment status (full or each position title. If additional space is sheet.
Position Title	Employment Status
1.	full time part time paid volunteer
2.	full time part time paid volunteer
3.	full time ' part time paid volunteer
4.	. full time part time paid volunteer
5.	full time part time paid volunteer
6. :	full time part time paid volunteer
What methods do you typically use services? (Check all that apply.	e to reach disabled homemakers to inform them of
posters, brochures media coverage: `radio TV	contacts with family and social service agencies
newspapers	contacts with former and current clients \
paid advertisements:TradioTV	contacts with educational institutions
newspapers	contacts with employment development
public service announcements:ra	ndioother (please describe):
<del></del>	<del></del>
ту *	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<del></del>	
ту *	
ту *	
TV **contacts with women's organizations	p or agreement with any business, labor, or

ç

2٠

•	. ,		• 1		3	. * •	•
14.	programs, v	e a working re	ining schools	agreement wi regional ROI	th any local a P's/ROC's, or	adult education community col	n . lege
	-	YesNo	<del></del>			, ,	•
•	If yes, ple	ease describe.	_			•	• •,
	•	1	•				
•	·			· ·	:	,	
•			•	,	•	•	
15 -	Brighty day	roudho amu oth	e mana samanahadan sama sama s			•	ā
	tions that	scribe any oth are impor <del>ta</del> nt development o	for your dist	olaced homemal	ker program (:	for example, w	anıza- ith an
		,				. '	
				4			- ,
	٠ ٦		4 .		•		•
16.	persons (b)	ials or inform rochures, work e, attach copi	shop materials	u developed the	nat can be acc ease give ordo	quired by inte ering informat	rested ion and,
		• •			-		
	r					•	
	,		*	٠, ٠			•
17.	Approximate	ely how many d	isplaced homen	maker clients	did you serve	e in the past	year?
18.	separated,	nation have yo previous work divorced, wid ach reports th	history; numb owed. disabled	per and age of I spouse, sind	f children; ma leetc.)?	rital status-	
	quayor acce	ich reports th	at address all	orysome of t	inese areas.	•	,
-	•		0				
	•		~ .	•	•		•
	•			<b>k</b>			
·19.	What inform	nation have yo number of cl	u compiled on ients placed i	the success on jobs? -Brie	of your displa efly describe	ced homemaker and/or attach	program, reports.
		•		ب الا			
					لي.		
٠ • و		•	<b>4</b> » /	•		<b>~</b>	•
		12	_	a real	• •	0≈	
	:		•		•		eat
77.94		•		٤,	-	<b>4</b>	-
	· .	•	•			<b>`</b>	
	•				•		
,.	<i>`</i>				• 😯		.
` `		•				2 10.	
Than	k vou/for as	sisting us in	this study	If you would	like a conv	of the divocto	e. nu bacad
on t	he survey in	formation, pl	ease check her	'e. —	TIKE a Copy	i une arrecto	ry based
•			J.		***	. 4	
				·		44	
'Plea	s <b>e</b> use the t	ous <b>in</b> ess reply	label to retu	irn this surve	y by Décember	· 19 to:	
	٠,	•	·	. Hausana -	•		
:- '	•		vear Nier	Marzone laced Homemal	or Statue Sta	idy Project/	•
		colleg.	Far	West Laborato	iei status sti Srv	in troject	
• ,		, *		Folsom Stree			
4	• •	•		Francisco, CA			