DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 203 966

JC 810 440

AUTHOR TITLE

Gold, Ben K.
Performance of Developmental Communications 20
Students, Fall 1980.
Los Angeles City Coll., Calif.
LACC-RS-81-8
Jun 81

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

INSTITUTION

REPORT NO

PUB DATE

NOTE

MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

*Academic Achievement: Community Colleges:
Comparative Analysis: *Day Students: *Developmental
Studies Programs: Diagnostic Tests: *Evening
Students: *Grade Prediction: Grades (Scholastic):
Predictor Variables: Reading Achievement: Reading
Skills: Two Year Colleges: *Two Year College
Students: Vocabulary Skills

ABSTRACT

A study was conducted by Los Angeles City College (LACC) to measure the academic achievement of 103 day and 34 evening students enrolled during Fall 1980 in Developmental Communication 20, a course in basic reading and writing skills. During the study, five measures of academic achievement were recorded for each student: first- and last-week scores on the Stanford Test of Academic Skills (TASK), Level 1-A: first- and last-week scores on an LACC leveloped vocabulary test: and final course grade. In addition, statistical analyses were conducted to determine the correlation between these variables and to assess the statistical value of enrollment status (i.e., day or evening) and the test scores as predictors of the final course grade. Major findings reveal that first- and last-week mean raw scores earned by the students were 44.1 and 51.1 respectively for the TASK exam and 70.8 and 112.2 respectively for the vocabulary test. Though there were no significant differences between the test scores of the day and evening students, the evening students average course grade of 2.76 was higher than the day students average grade of 2.20. Of the variables, post-test vocabulary scores showed the highest correlation with the final grade. The study report presents data tables which compare test score distributions and grades among day and evening students and detail statistical findings. (JP)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.

LOS ANGELES CITY COLLEGE

"PERFORMANCE OF DEVELOPMENTAL COMMUNICATIONS 20 STUDENTS,

Fall 1980"

Research Study #81-8

G.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official NIE position or policing.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

<u>Ben</u> Gold

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Ben K. Gold Research Office June 1981

X 810 440

ERIC

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

This study was undertaken at the request of members of the Developmental Communications Department to provide information on accomplishments of Fall 1980 students enrolled in Developmental Communications 20, a six unit course which "offers a comprehensive program in the basic skills needed for achieving competency in college work."

Students taking the College Placement Test were recommended for placement into D.C. 20 if their Reading Composition score indicated a level below the eighth grade, or if above the eighth grade level, their performance on a writing sample was unacceptable. D.C. 20 is primarily for students whose native language is English.

PROCEDURE OF THE STUDY

Data for the study were furnished by members of the Developmental Communications Department, and consisted of the following items for students enrolled in D.G. 20 in Fall 1980:

- Name
- 2. Social Security Number
- 3. Identification as to Day or Evening class.
- 4. Score on TASK* (Reading Test) given 1st week of semester.
- 5. Score on TASK given last week of semester.
- 6. Score on Vocabulary ** test given 1st/week of semester.
- 7. Score on Vocabulary test given last/week of semester.
- 8. Final grade in D.C. 20.

Data were punched on cards and analyzed by means of the SPSS Computer Packages on the District computer.

FINDINGS

Data were furnished for 137 students initially enrolled in D.C. 20 in Fall 1980. Of these 103 (75%) were day students, 34 (25%) evening.

The following tables present the basic findings of the study.

*Stanford Test of Academic Skills (TASK) Level 1-A **Locally constructed 150 question Vocabulary test.

TABLE 1 - Numbers of Students, Fall 1980 D.C. 20 (%'s in parentheses)

The state of the s	Day	Evening	Total
No. enrolled	•• 103	34	127
	(100.0)	(100.0)	\ \ \ (100.0)
No. taking TASK pre-test	91	33	104
	(88, 3)	(97.1)	\\\(\)(90.5)
No. staking TASK post-test	44	15	, M (En
	(42.7)	(44.1)	59 (43.1)
No. taking Vocabulary pre-test	78	31	300
	/ (75.7)	(91.2)	109 (79.6)
No. taking Vocabulary post-test	. 55		7.75
	(53.4)	18 (52 \9)	73 (53,3)
No. receiving final grade	55	17	70
(A, B, C, D, or F)	(53.4)	(50.0)	72 (52.6)

TABLE 2 - Distribution of Final Grades, Fall 1980, D.C. 20

Grade	Day	% Eve	Total
A	9	. 3	12
В	12	8	20
c	18	5,	23
D	13	1	14
F	3	•	3
Inc.	4 38	1	5 52
Total	103	\-34	-137
GPA	2.2	20 2 76	2.33

TABLE 3 - Performance on TASK, Fall 1980 D.C. 20

Grade		D.	ay 🚽	Eve	ning		rotal \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Level	Raw-Score	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pré	Post
\12	69+			Transfer of the second			
11	64-68	<u>,</u>	6/	1	1	A 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.6). 7 (11.9)
10	∖∖58-63.	8	10	2 2	3	to distribute	.6) 5 (8.5) .1) 13 (22.0)
9∖ .	53-57	. 7	6	< 2	Ō	9 (7.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8 //	47-52	17	5	4.	4	21 (16.	9) 9 (15.2)
7	43-46	11	/ 3` •	. 2	0	13 (10.	5) 3 (5.1)
6 \\	37–42	11	$+$ $oldsymbol{1}$.	8	3	19 (15.	3) 4 (6.8)
5 \	32+36	10	/ 6	4	0		3) 6 (10.2)
- 4	31-	22	/ 4	7	2 \	29 (23.	4) 6 (10.2)
Total		_ 91	44	33	15	124	59
Mean Raw	Score	41.5	L	42.2		41.7	51.3
Median Ra	w Score	43.1	54.5	40.8	51.8	42.5	53.2
Std. Dev.	Raw Score	14.5	14.9	15.1	14.9	14.6	14.7

TABLE 4 - Performance on Vocabulary Test, Fall 1980 D.C. 20

Grade			ay	Eve	ening .	To	tal
Level	Raw-Score	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Pre	Post
5		//	- 1 (4 T			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
N/A	136-150	, O	~ 6	0	1 '	0	7
	121-135	\2	14	3 \	8	5	22
\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.\.	106-120	5 .	14	0	6	5	20
	91-105	13	111	5 7	Ó	18	11
	76-90	13	6	.4	3	17	9
	61-75	14	4	\ 6	0	20	4
	46-60	12	d	. \ 4'	0	16	O
	31-45	13	q	∶ ∖ "3	. 0	16	0
	16-30	5	· O	-, \ 3	• 0	8	0
	0-15	1	0	``\3	0	4	0
ong ing gyerin≢ San ng gara		•		· \.			
Total		78	55	31	18	,109	73
Mean		6 8.5	111.7		115.4	67.6	112.6
Mèdian		64.8	112.2	62.0	120.5	64.7	1
Standard	Diviation	27.6	20.7	35.4	18.6	29.9	20.1

TABLE 5 - GPA According to TASK Pre-Test Grade Level, Fall 1980, D.C. 20

Grade\1	Level	No.,	* * 1	GPA
9th + a	3th	. 17 17		3.18 2.35
6th + 1	pelow	32	Section 1	1.78
Total		66		2.29

TABLE 6 - Correlations Between Variables, Fall 1980, D.C. 20 (Rearson Coefficients, N's in parentheses)

,	Task Task Vocab Vocab Final Pre-Test Post-Test Pre-Test Post-Test Grade	
	Task Pre-Test 1.00 (124)	· ·
	Task Post-Test 0.79 (55) 1.00 (59) Vocab. Pre-Test 0.77 (100) 0.70 (53) 1.00 (109)	
	Vocab. Post Test0.59 (67) 0.72 (57) 0.66 (66) 1.00 (73) Final Grade 0.53 (66) 0.49 (53) 0.50 (64) 0.76 (67) 1.00 (72)

TABLE 7 - Regression Analysis for Final Grade, Fall 1980, D.C. 20

Stepwise multiple regression showed two variables significant in predicting final grade.

	Multiple R	_R 2	Simple r	Beta Wts.
Task Post-Test	0.59	0.34	0.59	0.61
Day or Eve.	0.63	0.40	0.17	0.24

TABLE 8 - T-Tests for Pre-Post Tests

	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	"T" Value	2 tail Prob.
Task Pre-Test	55	44.1 51.1	13.6 14.8	-5.61	0.00*
Vocab. Pre-Test	66	70.8 112.2	2 7. 3 20.9	-16.3	0.00*

*Significant at 1% level.

TABLE 9 - T-Tests, Day vs. Evening

		N	Mean	Std. Dev.	T Value	2 Tail Prob.
			1,0411		value	FLOD:
Task Pre-1	'est				``	
	ц аў , , ,	-91	41.5	14.5		
	Evening	∫ 33	42.2	15.1	0.21	0.84
Task Post-	1				(<i>y</i>	
	Day	44		14.9	+0.21	0.84
	Evening	, / ₁ ,6 , 15 , ,	50.6	14.9	+0.21	0.64
	3.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1				
Vocab. Pre				Turke Turke Salah		
	Day	78. "	68.5	27.6	+0.42	0.68′
	Evening	31	65.5	35.4		
Vocab. Pos	+-mo-+	en de la companya de La companya de la co	الرياد المنظول المنظول المنظول المنظول المنظو		5 5	
and the second s	Day \ /	55 ,	111 7	20.7	in the state of th	
the state of the s	Evening /	18	. 111.7 115.4	20.7 18.6	-0.72	0.48
	Pening/	70	113.4	18.0	and the state of t	
Final Grad	e			L\$ /	•	
	Day	55	2.20	1.14	and the second	
	Evening	17	2.76	0.83	-2.22	0.03*
			, -•••			

*Significant /at 5% level

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This study reports on test performance and grades earned by students taking Developmental Communications 20 in the Fall 1980 semester. Tests given were a Reading Comprehension (TASK) and a Vocabulary test, both given during the first week of the semester and again at the end of the semester. Data were furnished by faculty of the Developmental Communications Department.

Following are some observations on the findings of the study:

(1) 137 students (103 day, 34 evening) enrolled in D.C. 20 during Fall 1980. 72 of these (53%) completed the semester and obtained a final grade of A, B, C, D, or F.

- (2) 55 students took the Reading test at both administrations. Pre-test mean raw score was 44.1 (approximate grade level 7.3), post-test mean raw score was 51.1 (approximate grade level 8.8).
- (3) 66 students took the Vocabulary test at both administrations. Pretest mean raw score was 70.8; post-test mean raw score was 112.2. Maximum raw score was 150, grade levels not available.
- (4) Evening students showed no significant differences from day students on any of the four tests but showed a significant higher average final grade (2.76 compared to 2.20).
- (5) A sorting into three levels on the basis of the Reading pre-test showed that those students reading at the 9th grade level or above earned grades averaging above B while those reading below the 7th grade level earned grades averaging below C.
- (6) Vocabulary and Reading tests showed correlations above 0.7, indicating that in large part the two tests are measuring the same thing.
- (7) Post-test Vocabulary score showed the highest correlations with final grade, suggesting that performance on the Post-test vocabulary test is a major factor in determining final grade.

ERIC Clearinghouse, for Junior Colleges
96 Powell Library Building
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

AUG 1 4 1981 .