DOCUMENT RESUME

!D 196 759 SO 013 031

OTHOR Stahl, Robert J.: And Others

ITLE A Comparison of High School Psychology Courses Taught

by Social Studies, Guidance Counselors, and Other

Area-Certified Teachers.

UE DATE 27 Nov 80

10TE 336p.: Materials are part of a presentation to the National Council for the Social Studies Special

Interest Group: Psychology Annual Meeting (New

Orleans, LA, November 27, 1980).

DFS PRICE MF01/PC14 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Course Content: Course Objectives: *Psychology:

School Counselors: Secondary Education: Social Studies: *State Surveys: Student Characteristics:

Teacher Characteristics: Teaching Methods

:DENTIFIEFS *Illinois

IESTRACT

This publication contains a status study of sychology in secondary schools in Illinois. One of the major bjectives of the study was to examine the status of the psychology ourse from the perspectives of three major groups of certified :eachers--social studies teachers, quidance counselors, and a group of teachers representing all the other miscellaneous areas of ertification -- to determine if their certification area influences :heir approach to the course. The study examines teacher :haracteristics, characteristics of the students enrolled in the ourses, as well as course objectives, content, and methods. Where elevant, findings from this study are compared to those from similar surveys conducted in Florida and Mississippi. To gather data juesticnnaires were sent to teachers and students in the state. Five jundred and fifty four of the 864 schools surveyed (64.1%) returned completed questionnaires. Psychology is taught in 59.7% of the chools in Illinois by 149 social studies teachers, 65 guidance counselors, and 114 teachers in the "Cther" category. Social studies eachers received significantly fewer hours of college level sychology ccurse training than their counterparts. None of the three roups of teachers came closer to meeting the expectations or needs of the students than the others. Also, the three groups of teachers emphasized the same content and topics and used identical teaching ethods. (Author/RM)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document.





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPHO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN. ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCAT ON POSITION OR POLICY

Α

COMPARISON

OF HIGH SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY COURSES

TAUGHT BY

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Robert Stahl

SOCIAL STUDIES, GUIDANCE COUNSELORS, AND OTHER

AREA-CERTIFIED TEACHERS

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

Ó

m

S

Robert J. Stahl
Department of Secondary Education
Arizona State University
Tempe, AZ 85281
(602-965-7101)

With the assistance of

Pamela Hronek
Department of Secondary Education
Arizona State University
Tempe, AZ 85281
(602-965-7101)

and

James Matiya Psychology Teacher Carl Sandburg H. S. Orland Park, Illinois

Materials are part of a presentation to the National Council for the Social Studies Special Interest Group: Psychology annual meeting, New Orleans, November 27, 1980.



ABSTRACT

Data from 331 psychology teachers in Illinois during 1977-78 were compared according to three broad areas of certification: social studies, guidance counselors, and all other areas of certification. Data as to characteristics of teachers, schools, courses, and students were compared as were course objectives, content, and instructional methods used by these teachers. Where possible, teacher data were contrasted with responses from 1,137 students who were enrolled in these courses. Also where possible, Illinois teacher and student data were compared with information obtained from Florida and Mississippi psychology teachers and students.

(90 words)

Dr. Robert J. Stahl



Α

COMPARISION

OF HIGH SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY COURSES

TAUGHT BY

SOCIAL STUDIES, GUIDANCE COUNSELORS, AND OTHER AREA-CERTIFIED TEACHERS

When the smoke cleared from the social studies/science "Curriculum Revolution" of the 1960's and early 70's, individuals involved with social studies on the national level at last seemed to take notice of the emergence of a "non-social studies discipline", Psychology, as the fastest growing social studies course in our nation's high schools (Gross, 1977; Jarolimek, 1977; Stahl and Casteel, 1973). Already in 1971-72, over 600,000 students were enrolled in separate courses in psychology in nearly 7,000 schools with most schools offering the course as a social studies elective (Osterndorf, 1975). Yet, with few exceptions (Engle, 1955; Zunino, 1974; Stahl, 1978; Stahl and Casteel, 1974), the rapid growth and development of the high school level psychology course had been virtually ignored by social studies professional organizations, journals, and leaders. 3,4

This growth has not gone unnoticed by others (Engle, 1967; Noland, 1967; Kasschau and Wertheimer, 1974; Stahl and Casteel, 1973; Stahl, 1974). Data testifying to rapid increases in course enrollments, number of schools offering the course, and number of teachers teaching the course are abundant (Kasschau and Wertheimer, 1974; Engle, 1967; Osterndorf, 1975). These data bases and recent state status surveys reveal that psychology has not only increased in popularity, it has been the fastest growing elective course offering in the high school social studies program over the past two decades.



State and national status surveys conducted in various states since 1932 have consistently revealed that a high percentage of teachers of these psychology courses were/are certified in the broad area of the social studies (Noland, 1966; Snellgrove, 1973; Matiya and Gill, 1977; APA, 1976; Fisher, 1974; Stahl, 1974, 1978). After an extensive longitudinal study, Stahl (1976) reported that better than three-fourths of the psychology teachers in Florida from 1970-1971 through 1974-1975 were certified in the social studies.

While data are available about the overall growth of psychology within the social studies curriculum, there has yet to be reported any details on where these social studies certified psychology teachers teach their courses, what their classes are like, what the objectives for their courses are, what content they include in these courses, and what methods they use to teach psychology to their students. Nor do we know whether psychology courses taught by social studies teachers are similar to or different from those taught by teachers certified in other subject matter disciplines. For instance, do social studies-certified psychology teachers teach a different course than those who hold Guidance-Counselor certificates? Even more interesting, do social studies teachers come closer to meeting the needs of high school students who are actually enrolled in these precollege psychology courses?

While much may be speculated about answers to these and similar questions, previous investigations relevant to assumptions about differences between "Humanistic" and "Behavioristic" psychology teachers (Stahl, 1977a, 1978) and between urban-suburban-rural psychology



teachers (Stahl, Matiya, and Hunt, 1980) produced conclusions <u>contrary</u> to conventional wisdom.

After a brief review of the literature, this article will report the findings of a status study of psychology in one Midwestern state. The article represents the first study comparing psychology courses taught by social studies certified teachers and teachers who held certificatation in other subject matter areas. Where relevant, findings from this study will be compared to those from similar surveys conducted in Florida and Mississippi. Finally, the article includes a comparison between responses made by 331 Illinois psychology teachers and 1,137 high school students who were actually enrolled in courses taught by these same teachers.

A SUMMARY LITERATURE REVIEW

Psychology has been included in the secondary school curriculum since the 1830's. By 1900, it was designated as a separate course with 12,368 students enrolled. By 1935, its growth had become so significant that the American Psychological Association (APA) organized a separate committee to study its progress.

The 1948-49 Biennial Survey of Education reported that enrollment had increased to nearly fifty thousand students (46,547 students). In the twenty years between 1932 and 1952, psychology courses in the high schools grew significantly faster than either sociology or economics courses.

The course gained in popularity and enrollment through the fifties and sixties. Records on student enrollment in 1961 indicated that over



۲.

two hundred percent more students were taking the course than had taken it twelve years before. A sharp rise in schools offering the course and the increase in the number of states teaching psychology further attest to this growth. By 1972-73, 6,870 U. S. schools offered specific courses in psychology which enrolled 611,468 students. This enrollment figure represented 3.3 percent of all students enrolled in school during the 1972-73 school year and 8.8 percent of the enrollment in schools which offered these courses. Overall, the total student enrollment in psychology courses increased a phenomenal 323% from 1961 to 1973 (Osterndorf, 1975).

Studies of secondary school psychology courses during the past two decades have tended to substantiate each other (Engle, 1967; Noland, 1966; Kasschau and Wertheimer, 1974). The list below briefly summarizes many of the more important characteristics relative to the past and present status of psychology within the secondary school curriculum:

- Student enrollment and number of schools offering the course are rapidly increasing.
- Students and teachers see the course as being personally valuable for students.
- Courses are very popular among students as reported by their teachers.
- 4. Courses are offered in all fifty states.
- 5. Courses are most often one semester in length with year long courses a distance second.



- 6. Courses are offered as an elective more often than as a required subject.
- 7. Psychology is not required in any state for graduation, but a few isolated schools require it for graduation.
- 8. Coursesare more likely to be offered in schools with over 500 students enrolled.
- 9. Courses are primarily opened to seniors-juniors combined classes and then to classes open only to seniors.
- 10. Females are much more likely to enroll in the course than males.
- 11. Whites are much more likely to enroll in the course than blacks, regardless of the white/black ratio of the school's enrollment.
- 12. The course is offered in schools across a wide variety of urban-suburban and rural settings.
- 13. Personal or self adjustment and self understanding are two of the most often stated objectives of the course.
- 14. Teachers tend to include in these courses the content and topics they believe should be included in psychology courses offered on this level.
- 15. Courses are usually assigned social studies credit.

٠.

- 16. Teachers are predominantly certified in social studies.
- 17. Teachers have little difficulty in identifying their approaches to the course with the labels--"behavioristic or humanistic."
- 18. Teachers develop and use a great deal of materials such as popular magazines to supplement their courses.



- 19. Until 1973, the T. L. Engle and Louis Snellgrove textbook,

 Psychology: Its principles and applications (various editions)
 was by far the most popular text.
- 20. More schools would offer the course if properly trained teachers and finances were available.

METHOD

In the Spring, 1978, a 4-page questionnaire accompanied by introductory letter and a self-addressed, stamped, return envelope was mailed to public and private secondary school principals in Illinois. The letter requested the principals to forward the questionnaire to the individual in their schools responsible for teaching psychology. A second mailing took place within a month of the first one. Eventually, 554 of the 864 schools surveyed (64.1%) returned completed questionnaires.

In addition to these teacher data, a number of schools were randomly selected for purposes of having the students enrolled in these psychology courses to complete a questionnaire directly related to them. By mid-Spring, 1,137 students in over two dozen schools returned completed forms via their teachers. As appropriate, the results of their responses will be incorporated within this paper as well.

RESULTS

INTRODUCTION TO THE RETURNS

The data analysis revealed that psychology as a <u>separate course of</u> study for credit was taught in 331 of the 554 Illinois secondary schools



responding to the questionnaire (59.7%). The primary focus of this study was to go beyond the mere description of the general status of psychology on the pre-college level. This focus included the comparative investigation of psychology courses taught by teachers with different subject matter backgrounds and college course training. In particular, the possibility that the certification areas of these teachers would translate into different approaches to the course existed. This possibility was especially intriguing since no previous detailed data have been reported on the status of social studies teachers relative to this content area on this level of instruction.

One questionnaire item asked teachers to indicate what certification area they were currently using within the context of their present teaching position. Seven areas were listed with the eighth, or "Other" category left open for certification areas not listed. Of the 331 respondents, 149 (45.0%) indicated their teacher certification area at that time was in the "Social Studies"; 67 (20.2%) indicated "Psychology", 65 (19.6%) indicated "Guidance and Counseling", 8 (2.4%) indicated "Administration", 5 (1.5%) "Science", and 4 (1.2%) "Home Economics". The 29 individuals marking the "Other" certification area reported they held certificates in such diverse content areas as Religion, English, Physical Education, Health, and Business.

In order to investigate how one's certification area may influence the approach one takes to a course, the researchers sought to examine the status of the pre-college psychology course from the perspective of three major groups of certified teachers: the 149 teachers certified in the Social Studies, the 65 certified Guidance Courselors, and the 114



teachers representing all the other miscellaneous areas of certification.

The remainder of this paper will stress the responses of these three groups of teachers from both descriptive and comparative perspectives.

DESCRIPTION OF SCHOOLS OFFERING PSYCHOLOGY COURSES

Information relative to the nature of the schools where these teachers taught was obtained. These data were concerned with the public-private status of the schools, the school population, size, and the geographical location and background setting of the school. The results collected relative to these school characteristics are reported below.

School classification

The responses of these three groups indicated that over 80 percent of the teachers in each group taught psychology in public secondary schools with most of the others in each group teaching in Catholic parochial schools. Of those responding, 123 (82.6%) of the Social Studies, 54 (83.1%) of the Guidance Counselors, and 94 (82.5%) of the "Other" category teachers taught psychology in public school settings. Meanwhile, 24 Social Studies teachers (16.1%), 7 Guidance Counselors (10.8%), and 12 Other category teachers (10.5%) taught their separate courses in Catholic parochial schools. The few other teachers in each of the three groups taught in private religious or non-religious school settings. ⁵

School enrollment characteristics

When the responses of these teachers regarding the enrollment of the schools where they taught were examined, an interesting pattern was revealed. Of the 149 Social Studies teachers, 51 (34.4%) taught in schools with enrollments of less than 500, 29 (19.6%) in schools



with enrollments between 500 and 1,000, (11.5%) in schools with enrollment between 1000 and 1,500, and 51 (34.4%) with enrollments exceeding 1,500 students. Of the 65 Guidance Counselors, 40 (61.5%) taught in schools with less than 500 students each, 18 (27.7%) in schools with enrollments between 500 and 1,000, and 7 (10.8%) in schools with enrollments over 1,000 students. Of the 114 teachers certified in "Other" areas, 47 (41.2%) taught in small enrollment schools of less than 500, 24 (21.1%) in schools with enrollments between 500 and 1,000, 12 (10.5%) in schools with enrollments between 1,000 and 1,500 students, and 31 (27.2%) taught in schools exceeding 1,500 enrolled students.

These data clearly show that as the size of the school enrollment increases, the psychology teacher was less likely to be certified in Guidance and Counseling and more likely to be certified in either the Social Studies or some other area (primarily "Psychology"). This pattern suggests that one of the duties of Guidance Counselors in small schools is to teach the psychology course with this course being turned over to full time teachers as the school increases in enrollment. Of the 118 schools with enrollments exceeding 1,000 students, only 7 offered courses taught by Guidance Counselors while 68 schools offered similar courses taught by Social Studies certified teachers.

Geographic settings_of the school

The respondents were asked to identify the rural-suburban-urban setting of their particular school location and student population. While the largest number of teachers in each of these settings held Social Studies certificates, it was interesting to discover that far more rural schools (165 of 328, or 50.8%) offered separate courses in



psychology for credit than did either suburban (89 or 27.4%) or urban (65 or 20.0%) schools. Sixty-one (41.2%) of the Social Studies certified psychology teachers taught in rural schools while 46 (31.1%) and 37 (25.0%) taught in suburban and urban school settings. Of the Guidance Counselors, 48 or 75.0% taught their psychology courses in rural schools. The pattern for the 113 teachers certified in "Other" areas resembled that revealed in the Social Studies teacher responses.

These data lend support to the distribution revealed above in the school enrollment figures. With rural schools traditionally having smaller enrollments, the task of teaching the separate course in psychology appears to be assigned, whenever possible, to the Guidance Counselors. As school enrollment increases, as in urban and suburban settings, the course increasingly is assigned to teachers certified in the Social Studies or some "Other" area of subject matter training (Stahl, Matiya, & Hunt, 1980).

In review, the above information indicates that regardless of school type, size, or location, the majority of psychology course teachers held Social Studies certificates. Only in small schools in rural settings did Guidance Counselors challenge this dominance. Finally, the distribution of psychology teachers holding "Other" areas of certification tended to parallel that of the Social Studies teacher responses.

COURSE DESCRIPTIONS

Elective or required status of the course

When asked whether the specific course in psychology was offered as an elective or required course in their schools, 144 of the Social Studies teachers (96.6%), 62 of the Guidance Counselors, (95.4%) and 112 of the



"Other" certified teachers (99.1%) marked the 'elective' response category. Of the 324 teachers responding to this item across all three categories, only 6 reported that psychology course was a required one at their particular school. In 4 of these 6 schools, the psychology courses were taught by Social Studies certified teachers.

Number of sections taught

In response to the item concerning the number of class sections of psychology they taught each day, the data from the Social Studies teachers revealed that 52 teachers (35.4%) taught one section, 37 (25.2%) taught two sections, 29 (19.7%) taught three sections, 20 (13.6%) taught four sections, and 9 (6.2%) taught five or six sections each day. Among the Guidance Counselors, 44 (68.8%) taught only one section each day while 13 (20.3%) taught two sections, 5 (7.8%) taught three sections, 2 (3.1%) taught four sections, and none taught five or more sections of the course. Of the teachers certified in "Other" areas 46 (41.4%) taught one section, 22 (19.8%) taught two sections, 21 (18.9%) taught three sections, 10 (9.0%) taught four sections, and 12 (10.8%) taught five or six sections on a given day.

Of the 322 psychology teachers who responded to this item, 142 or 44.1% taught only one section of the course per day. Collectively, these teachers taught a total of 678 sections among themselves with 340 or 49.8% of these being taught by Social Studies certified teachers. As suggested by these overall data, if a school offered but one section of psychology, it was almost equally likely the teacher would come from any one of these three groups of certified teachers. However, should two or more sections be offered, the chances that the teacher held a



Guidance Counselor certificate greatly diminished in favor of teachers in the Social Studies or in some other area of subject matter training.

Additional information concerning the number of sections taught support the above explanation. The Guidance Counselors averaged only 1.45 sections of psychology each day (s.d. = .78) in contrast with the 2.31 sections (s.d. = 1.29) and 2.30 sections (s.d. = 1.42) averaged respectively by the Social Studies and "Other" certified teachers. This distribution of mean differences among the sections taught by these groups was found to be a statistically significant one using ANOVA (F = 11.89, P < .001; See Table 1).

Number of students taught

Not only do Social Studies certified psychology teachers teach more sections of this course per day, but they also come into contact with more students per year in these courses. During the 1977-78 school year, the Social Studies teachers faced an average of 111.4 students each (s.d. = 103.0). This student enrollment figure is to be contrasted with 54.3 students averaged by the Guidance Counselors (s.d. = 54.9) and the 110.1 students averaged by the "Other" teachers (s.d. = 120.7). Collectively, the 325 teachers responding to this item reported teaching a total of 32,347 students in their respective courses during the 1977-78 school year. Of this total, 16,376 or 50.6 percent were taught psychology by teachers certified in the broad area of the Social Studies.

The total enrollment figures of 32,347 for 1977-78 should be examined in light of the 1976-77 enrollment total of 30,985 students as reported by these same teachers. The previous year found the average Social Studies teachers teaching 117.9 students with the Guidance



TABLE 1

ANOVA Data Related to Number of Sections of Precollege Psychology

These Three Groups of Teachers Taught Each Day

Source	df	SS	MS	F-Ratio
Between Groups	2	37.33	18.67	11.89*
Within Groups	319	500.65	1.57	
TOTAL	321	537.98		



10

^{*} p < .001

Counselors and "Other" area teachers averaging 57.6 and 108.6 students, respectively. The 1977-78 total represents an increase of 1,362 students or 4.4 percent in enrollment for the psychology course over the previous year. According to the results, 23 teachers of psychology during the 1977-78 period had not taught the course in their schools the previous year. Of these 23 new teachers of psychology, 12 were certified in the Social Studies.

Length of the course

Approximately three-fourths of the courses taught by teachers in each of these three certification-area groups were one semester in length (i.e., 76.5%, 76.9%, and 71.1%, respectively, for the Social Studies, Guidance Counseling, and "Other" certification area teachers). The second most popular length for such courses was the full year course with an approximate 20 percent frequency for each of these three groups. Courses of various lengths including six and nine week units were taught by about 5 percent of the teachers in each of these three groups. In general, the distribution among these teachers in respect to the length of their psychology courses was nearly identical across all three categories.

POPULARITY OF THE PSYCHOLOGY COURSES

The teachers were asked to reveal whether they thought the psychology course was considered a "popular" one for students to take in their particular schools. Their responses showed 93.9% of the Social Studies and 89.1% of the "Other" certified teachers considered the course to be popular among students in their schools. Somewhat surprisingly, only



79.9% of the responding Guidance Counselors reported the course as being popular. A Chi-square analysis revealed the margin of difference among these three groups relative to course popularity was a significant one $(X^2 = 10.13, p = .04)$. For whatever reason, students appear to like psychology more when it is taught by Social Studies and "Other" certified teachers than they do courses taught by Guidance Counselors.

DESCRIPTIVE DATA CONCERNING STUDENTS ENROLLED IN PSYCHOLOGY COURSES: TEACHER SURVEY RESULTS

Besides information in the school setting and course characteristics, descriptive data relative to the student make-up of the psychology classes themselves were obtained.

Sex of the students enrolled

When data regarding the sexual make-up of students enrolled in these courses were examined, it was revealed that 239 of the 322 teachers responding to this item taught classes with predominant female enrollment (74.2%) while 36 teachers (11.2%) taught predominantly male classes, and 47 teachers (14.6%) taught equally balanced classes of males and females. This heavily female enrollment pattern parallels similar data from previous studies of schools in several different states (Stahl, 1974, 1976, 1977b).

From the perspective of certificates, 103 Social Studies teachers (70.5%) taught female dominant and 21 (14.4%) taught male dominant classes while 22 teachers (15.1%) had classes of about equal sex distribution. Guidance Counselors tended to teach either predominantly female classes (42 or 66.7%) or balanced classes (14 or 22.2%) while a large majority



of the "Other" teachers taught classes enrolling mostly females (94 or 83.2%).

Racial mixture within psychology courses

Better than 4/5 of the courses taught by these three groups of teachers enrolled white-to-black students at a ratio of 95% white/5% black regardless of the rural-urban-suburban location of the school or of the white-to-black ratio of the overall student population of the school. Thus, 126 Social Studies teachers (86.3%), 57 Guidance Counselors (87.7%), and 104 "Other" teachers (92.9%) taught classes enrolling a white-to-black student ratio which approximated 95% white/5% black. Only 3 Social Studies teachers (2.1%), 5 Guidance Counselors (7.7%), and 3 "Other" teachers (2.7%) taught in courses with an enrollment of more than 50% black-to-white students.

These data reveal that better than 90 percent of the teachers in each category taught classes which enrolled whites-to-blacks at a ratio of better than 80% white, to 20% black. This enrollment pattern of extremely heavy white student populations is identical to that found in Florida and Mississippi schools (Stahl, 1976, 1977b). For whatever reason, it is clear that even though the course is an elective one, black students in Illinois, like in Florida and Mississippi, do not enroll in psychology regardless of what proportion of blacks make up the overall school enrollment.

Grade level of students in psychology classes

The teachers were asked to indicate what grade levels of students were eligible to enroll in their particular psychology courses. An



analysis of the Social Studies teacher responses revealed 93 (63.3%) taught courses enrolling 11-12th grade students, 39 (26/5%) taught courses for 12th graders only, and 10 (6.8%) taught courses which enrolled 10-12th graders. An almost identical pattern was found for the "Other" teachers with figures of 73 (64.6%), 30 (26.5%), and 9 (8.0%) for these same three grade categories. At the same time, only 33 of the Guidance Counselors (50.8%) taught courses for 11-12th graders while 22 (33.8%) taught courses for 12th graders only, and 9 (13.8%) taught courses for 10-12th graders. Other grade levels and grade combinations made up the remaining categories.

In looking at all these teachers collectively, 199 or 61.2% taught courses enrolling 11-12th graders, while courses for 12th graders only was a distant second (91 or 28.0%). Twenty-eight teachers (8.6%) taught courses with 10-12th graders enrolled. Of special interest is the pattern of enrollment of psychology courses which was revealed in a longitudinal study of Florida from 1970-71 to 1974-75 (Stahl, 1976). Its pattern clearly showed that gradually schools maintained or increased their levels of student enrollments by opening up the course to other grade level students. Schools often initiated the course exclusively for 12th graders, then opened it to 11th-12th graders, and then gradually to 10th through 12th graders. Hence, this opening of course enrollment to lower grade level students has often been mis-read as though a greater number of students (usually 12th graders) are enrolling in the course. Consequently, Psychology course enrollments are temporarily showing increases in some schools/states only because lower grade level students are increasingly being allowed to take the course. Combining



this enrollment tendency with the prevailing "back-to-basics" movement, psychology may well begin to loose enrollment over the next few years as budgets tighten and as elective courses give way to more basic skills oriented programs and units.

DESCRIPTIVE DATA CONCERNING STUDENTS ENROLLED IN PSYCHOLOGY COURSES: STUDENT SURVEY RESULTS

These teacher data relative to some of the characteristics of students enrolled in their courses can be examined in light of the information collected from the 1,137 students who were surveyed. Being randomly selected, these student responses represent a cross-sampling of students and classes across Illinois. Included as part of the student questionnaire were five items designed to gather descriptive data relevant to the sex, race, age, and grade level of each respondent. Information was also collected as to the grade each student earned in the psychology course for the previous grading term.

Sex of students enrolled

Overall, nearly three-fourths of the teachers indicated their classes consisted of a majority of female students. Taken collectively, these teacher respondent data are consistent with numerous other studies reporting a preponderant female majority in high school level psychology classes (Kasschau and Werthiemer, 1974).

As expected from the teacher data, females did make up a clear majority of the 1,137 student population sampled in this survey. Of these students, 684 or 60.3% were female and 450 or 39.7% were males. These percentages run nearly parallel to the population data for sex



of students in similar courses in both Florida and Mississippi (Stahl, 1976, 1977b).

Combined with other available data, a composite description of the 'typical' female and male psychology student can be developed. The typical female student was white, in the twelfth grade, and most likely 17 years of age. The typical male was a white 12th grader and equally likely to be 17 or 18 years of age. The chances are very good that both students received a better grade of "A" or "B" in psychology for the last grading term.

Race of students enrolled

When these data were analyzed according to the race of the students enrolled, the results were consistent with those reported by their teachers. Of the 328 urban/suburban/rural teachers, 308 had reported heavy white enrollments of 80 percent or higher in the separate psychology courses. This high proportion of white enrollment was supported by the student data. An overwhelming majority of the 1,137 students, 955 students or 84.5% were white. Black students, 142 or 12.6%, were the second most numerous racial group while only 33 or 2.9% made up a third category of "other" students.

Grade levels of students enrolled

According to the teacher survey results, of the 328 schools represented, 290 opened the psychology courses to just 12th graders or to 11th-12th graders combined. Far fewer schools, 38, even allowed 9th and/or 10th graders to enroll in these courses. The student results confirm these teachers reports of a larger senior majority in these classes.



When the student responses were examined, the findings revealed that two-thirds of the 1,137 students (753 or 66.9%) were seniors, with juniors (326 or 29.0%), sophomores (46 or 4.1%), and freshmen (1 or .1%) following in that order. As expected, 12th and 11th graders do make up the vast majority of students enrolled in these courses.

At all grade levels, the typical student was a white female. As one would expect, as the grade level rose, so the age level of the students increased with the ninth graders being 14 years of age, tenth graders primarily 15 and 16 years of age, eleventh graders about evenly divided between 16 and 17, and twelfth graders nearly equally divided between 17 and 18 years of age. In summary, 62.9% of these students were 17 or 18 year old seniors.

Age level of students enrolled

In one study Stahl (1976) reported that 45.8% of the 1,215 Florida psychology students in 1974-75 were 17 years of age, 36.1% were 18, 16.9% were 16, with the rest being 14, 15, or 19 years of age. Data from the survey of Illinois students found that 505 students were 17 years of age (44.5%), 383 were 18 years old (33.7%), 193 were 16 years of age (17.0%), 36 or 3.2% were 19, 16, or 1.4% were 15, and 2 or .2% were 14 years of age. Collectively, 887, or 78.2% of these 1,137 students were 17 or 18 years of age. These overall percentages of students per each age level are somewhat equivalent for students in Florida, Mississippi, and Illinois (see Table 2).

Academic grades these students received

Each student was also asked to identify the grade s/he received in the psychology course for the previous grading term. Nearly a



Student	1,215	724	754	1137
	Florida	Mississippi	Mississippi	Illinois
	Students	Students	Students	Students
Student Characteristic	1974-75	1975-76	1977-78	1977-78
Females	58.6	63.5	57.8	60.3
Males	41.4	36.5	40.2	39.7
Whites	88.1	78.8	90.8	84.5
Blacks	9.1	21.0	4.9	12.6
Other	2.8	.2	4.3	2.9
Freshman	.6	2.8	.1	.1
Sophomores	2.3	3.8	3.1	4.1
Juniors	31.1	21.5	27.6	29.0
Seniors	66.0	71.9	69.2	66.9
14 years old	.3	2.1	.1	.2
15 years old	.9	3.1	.9	1.3
16 years old	16.9	15.8	16.0	17.1
17 years old	45.8	49.3	46.2	44.6
18 years old	36.1	28.2	35.9	33.7
19 years old	.0	1.7	1.9	3.1
"A" Grade "B" Grade "C" Grade "D" Grade "E/F" Grade N.A.	26.3 37.4 23.4 8.7 4.1	33.6 37.4 17.7 8.0 3.9	25.3 31.9 26.5 11.7 3.8	26.7 31.7 25.3 10.8 4.5 1.0



third of the grades received by these students were " \underline{B} " grades (356 responses of 31.7%). "A's" were the second most received grades (299 responses or 26.6%) followed very closely by "C" grades (285 responses or 25.4%). "D" grades were received by 121 students (10.8%) while 50 students (4.5%) reported a grade of "F". Eleven students reported not receiving grades the previous grading term and fifteen failed to respond to this item.

Summary of student descriptive data

As a result of these data, a composite description of the 'typical' high school psychology student in Illinois can be developed. She is a white, 17 year old senior student enrolled in a school of over 500 students. If her class averaged 30 students, it would include approximately 15 white females, 10 white males, 3 black females, and two black males. The majority of her classmates are 17 or 18 year old seniors with about a third being juniors. Of the 30 students, about 10 received a "B", while approximately 8 received "A", 8 "C"'s, 3 "D"'s and 1 "F" for the last grading term. Our 'typical' student probably earned an "A" or "B" on her report card. With few minor exceptions, these student data are nearly identical to the composites of the 'typical' psychology student found in both Florida and Mississippi (Stahl, 1976, 1977b).

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE TEACHERS

Besides school and course descriptive data, an attempt was made to obtain information about the teachers themselves. Were teachers in each of these three certification groups different in terms of their sex, college training, or felt adequacy to teach the course? Were teachers



in one of these three groups more "humanistic" or "behavioristic" in their approach to the course. The obtained data revealed some interesting patterns among these teachers.

Sex of psychology teachers

Although not specifically requested, the researchers assigned a sex code to each respondent according to the title and/or name cited on the questionnaire name and address section. By using titles (e.g., Ms., Mrs., Miss, Mr.) or first names, 308 of the 331 teachers were able to be identified as either being female or male. As a consequence of this procedure, it was possible to examine the female-male makeup of these three groups of teachers.

Overall the 308 sex-identified teachers, 214 were male (69.5%) in the remaining (94 or 30.5%) being female. The data revealed 77.6% of the "Other" certified teachers were males with the corresponding difference in each group being females (i.e., 22.4%, 34.5%, and 39.1%, respectively).

College psychology course preparation

Information was obtained concerning the number of undergraduate and graduate level psychology and educational psychology courses these teachers completed on the college/university level. In order of frequency, 112 teachers making up the "Other" category averaged 32.9 hours of psychology course background in college (s.d. = 17.41). The 63 Guidance Counselors averaged 32.6 college credit hours in psychology and/or educational psychology courses (s.d. = 15.87). The 144 Social Studies teachers responding to this time reported a much lower average of 24.8 hours (s.d. = 14.85). Using ONEWAY ANOVA, the difference between the first two groups means and that of the Social Studies teachers was



found to be a significant one (F = 9.87, p < .001, see Table 3).

At least one teacher in each of these three groups reported having over 90 hours of college level psychology coursework. Quite remarkably, one Social Studies and one "Other" category of teachers revealed having taken no specific course in psychology or educational psychology on the college level.

Felt adequacy towards the course

The teachers were asked to indicate whether they felt they were adequately prepared to teach psychology on the pre-college level. Of the 114 teachers in the "Other" certification group, 104 or 91.2% reported they felt adequately prepared followed closely by 59 of the 65 Guidance Counselors (90.8%). Meanwhile, only 127 of the 149 Social studies teachers (85.2%) indicated they were secure about their college preparation to teach the course. Since a majority of the 114 "Other" category teachers, 66 or 57.9%, were certified in the content area of Psychology and since the Social Studies teachers were the least likely of these three groups to have had extensive college level Psychology course preparation, the pattern above was not unexpected.

In general these data suggest very strongly that increased college level coursework in psychology relates rather directly to one's felt adequacy to teach 'psychology' to secondary school students. However, this is not to imply that either college course background training or felt adequacy translates directly into quality and effectiveness of teaching 'psychology' for the teachers involved.

Humanistic-Behavioristic approach of teachers

One item on the questionnaire asked teachers to indicate whether the approach they took to their psychology course was "Humanistic" or



TABLE 3

ANOVA Data Related to Number of College Credit Hours

These Teachers had Received in Psychology and Educational Psychology Courses

Source	df	SS	MS	F-Ratio
Between Groups	2	5048.74	2524.37	9.87*
Within Groups	316	80809.02	255.72	
TOTAL	318	85857.76		

*p < .001



23

"Behavioristic" in nature. Neither term was defined for the respondents. Overall, 317 of the 331 teachers responded to this item with 47 or 14.8% of these marking both the humanistic and behavioristic options on the form. For purposes of analysis, this double response was converted into a third category and assigned the label, "Eclectic." The response to these three categories of approaches were then examined.

Of the three groups of teachers, a slightly higher percentage of Social Studies certified teachers were found to be 'behavioristic' (56 or 143 or 39.2%) than were either the "Other" group (42 or 37.8%) or the Guidance Counselors (18 or 28.6%). In contrast, 60.3 percent of the Guidance Counselors and 50.2% or the "Other" teachers reported being 'humanistic' in their approach compared to only 42.0 percent of the Social Studies teachers who followed a similar approach. A larger percentage of Social Studies teachers, 18.9%, reported being 'eclectic' in their approach than did the other two groups (i.e., 11.7% and 11.9% for these two groups). (See Table 4).

The Social Studies certified psychology teachers involved in this study were more likely to adopt a 'behavioristic' approach to the psychology course than were teachers from the other two groups. When the 'eclectic' category is dropped, the majority of the remaining 116 Social Studies teachers, 60 or 51.7%, were found to adhere to a "humanistic" approach.

The data pattern reflected in the 'behavioristic-humanistic' item responses is open to a variety of possible interpretations. From these data one might argue that a far greater percentage of Guidance Counselors were humanistic in their approach than were Social Studies or "Other"



TABLE 4

Frequencies and Percentages for These Three Groups of Teachers Relevant

To the Humanistic-Behavioristic-Eclectic Approach They Took to Their Courses

Area of Certification	Humanistic F %		Behavi F	oristic %	Ecle F	TOTAL	
Social Studies	56	39.2	60	42.0	27	18.9	143
Guidance Counselor	18	28.6	38	60.3	7	11.1	63
'Other'	42	37.8	56	50.5	13	11.7	111
TOTALS	116		154		47		317
Percentage of all Respondents	36.6%		48.6%		14.8%		

Chi-Square = 7.47 (df=4), p = .11



certified teachers. At the same time, it must be remembered that what is/was meant by the labels 'humanistic' and 'behavioristic' was completely up to the persons completing the questionnaire item. While it is often assumed that teachers who adhere to these two approaches are quite different teachers who teach very different psychology courses, previous research (Stahl, 1977a, 1978) has revealed that groups of teachers claiming these two labels taught identical courses. These results would suggest that an identification or association with either the humanistic or behavioristic labels does not necessarily represent an accurate description of what the teacher actually does in terms of teaching the psychology course.

COURSE OBJECTIVES, CONTENT, AND METHODS

Besides looking at the characteristics of the schools, the course offering, and the teachers themselves, data were also obtained concerning the objectives set for the course, the topics and content subject matter included in the course, and the method used by these teachers to teach psychology.

Course objectives

These teachers were asked to identify the objectives they set for their respective psychology courses. A list of 12 instructional objectives commonly cited for these courses was provided along with the request that the teachers check any and all of the objectives which reflected those they set for their own courses. If those provided were inappropriate, they could write in those course objectives they did set. As stated in the order of their appearance in the questionnaire, the 12 objectives are:



- (a) To help students in their vocational planning.
- (b) To help students develop an <u>appreciation for psychology</u> as a field of scientific knowledge and inquiry.
- (c) To prepare students for college psychology courses.
- (d) To <u>eliminate</u> many of the <u>misconceptions</u> students have about psychology and psychologists.
- (e) To assist students in preparing for future family life.
- (f) To assist students in developing a basic philosophy of life.
- (g) To help students understand and deal with the <u>personal</u> problems.
- (h) To assist students in <u>understanding the vocabulary</u> associated with psychology.
- (i) To assist students in <u>adjusting to life</u> and solving life's problems.
- (j) To help students better <u>understand</u> and accept <u>themselves</u> as individuals.
- (k) To help students <u>apply psychological knowledge</u> to understand contemporary social problems and events.
- (1) To help <u>cope with problems associated with emerging adolescence</u>. The percentage of total responses for each group of teachers, the ranking assigned each objective, and the Chi-square value for each objective are given in Table 5.

As shown in Table 5, the teachers in each of these three groups agreed nearly exactly in the objectives they most emphasized in their respective courses. The greatest differences in rankings are for the <u>Cope with</u> adolescence objective (a difference of 4 ranks between the Social Studies



Table 5

A Summary of Frequencies, Percentages, Adjusted Chi-Square Values, and Ranks Assigned to Course Objectives by the Three Certification-Area Groups of Teachers and Their Students

Course Objectives	Socia F	1 Studi	es R	Guida F	nce Couns	selors R	F	Others %	R	Chi- Square	Р	Stude %	ents R
Course Objectives		,o 		<u> </u>						<u> </u>			
Understand themselves	135	90.6	1	57	87.7	3	94	82.5	1	3.86	.15	45.6	3
Understand personal problems	116	77.9	2	58	89.9	1.5	88	77.2	2	4.43	.11	55.8	ן
Adjusting to life	114	76.5	3	58	89.9	1.5	84	73.7	3	6.21	.04	47.4	2
Appreciation for psychology	105	70.5	4	43	66.2	4	79	69.3	4.5	.40	.82	28.8	8
Understanding vocabulary	102	68.5	5	41	63.1	6	79	69.3	4.5	.81	.67	15.5	17
Cope with adolescence	94	63.1	6	38	58.5	8	63	55.3	11	1.67	.43	24.1	
Prepare for college psychology	92	61.7	7	39	60.0	7	71	62.3	6	.09	.95	34.0	ı
Future family life	. 89	59.7	8	37	56.9	9	66	57.9	10	.18	.92	40.5	ı
Philosophy of life	88	59.1	9	42	64.6	5	70	61.4	7	.60	.74	31.9	•
Apply psychological knowledge	86	57.7	10	35	53.8	10	67	58.8	9	.43	.80	37.8	,
Eliminate misconcep- tions	85	57.0	11	29	44.6	11	68	59.6	8	4.06	.13	22.5	1
Vocational planning	29	19.5	12	6	9.2	12	16	14.0	12	3.91	.14	21.3	1

and 'Other' teachers). The only significant Chi-square value (6.21, \underline{p} = .04) was found for the <u>Adjusting to life</u> objective. However, despite the significant differences among the percentage of teachers in these groups who set this p_{ℓ} icular objective for their course, this objective was still among the top three posited by all three groups. Interestingly, all three groups of teachers ranked the <u>Vocational planning</u> objective in 12th position.

When the ranking for these topics by the teachers were compared, the Spearman rank order correlation coefficients between the Social Studies and Guidance Counselor rankings was found to be .90, .84 between Social Studies and "other," and .88 between Guidance Counselors and "Other".

All three coefficients were significant at the .01 level.

Table 5 also presents the rankings of these objectives by the 1,137 students who responded to this item as reasons for enrolling in the course. The comparison of teacher-student rankings revealed that the same three objectives most stressed by teachers were identical to the three most important reasons why their students took the course. The two objectives-reasons with the greatest disagreement in rankings were <u>Understanding vocabulary</u> and <u>Future family life</u>. Spearman rank-order correlation coefficients were computed between the student rankings and each of the three groups of teacher rankings. These coefficients were found to be .55 for the Social Studies, .61 for the Guidance Counselors, and .49 for the "Other" teachers.

Content included within these courses

One way to describe the subject matter content of a course is to obtain information concerning the specific topics and concepts covered



by the teacher. The questionnaire listed 22 topics generally included in pre-college psychology courses, with the request the teachers identify those topics they had included or would include in their courses during the school year. The responses of these three groups of teachers were totaled and ranked. Table 6 presents the percentages, rankings, and Chi-square values for this item.

Unlike the nearly identical rankings for the course objective, the teachers in each of these three groups were somewhat dissimilar in the topics and content they most often included in their courses. For example, even though <u>Personality theory</u> was ranked first by both the Social Studies teachers and Guidance Counselors and second by the "Other" groups of teachers, the difference in the percentage of teachers in each group who taught this particular topic was found to be a significant one ($x^2 = 6.47$, p = .04). Yet, few real differences exist among these three groups in the content which they taught. Of the 22 topics, an average of 13.7 were taught by the Social Studies teachers, 13.4 by the Guidance Counselors, and 14.4 by the "Other" teachers.

When the ranks were contrasted via rank order correlation analysis, coefficients of .98 for the Social Studies-Guidance Counselor rankings, .96 for the Social Studies-"Other" rankings, and .94 for the Guidance Counselor-"Other" teacher rankings were computed. All of these were found to be in significant agreement with one another ($\underline{P} < .001$). Taken collectively, these data would strongly suggest that teachers in these three groups tended to teach with nearly the same degree of emphasis the same topics and content in their respective psychology courses while focusing on nearly identical objectives which these teachers themselves set for this particular course.



Table 6
A Summary of Frequencies, Percentages, Adjusted Chi-Square Values, and Ranks Assigned to the Topics These Teachers Actually Included in Their Pre-College Psychology Courses

Topics	Social Studies			Guidance Counselors			All Others			Chi-	
	F	%	R	F.	2 /2	R	F	%	R	Square	p
a) Personality Theory	144	96.6	1	60	92.3	1	101	88.6	2	6.47	.04
b) Mental Illness	125	83.9	2.5	51	78.5	4	105	92.1	1	6.98	.03
c) Learning Theory	125	83.9	2.5	48	73.8	6	86	75.4	6.5	4.06	.13
d) Mental Health	123	82.6	4	50	76.9	5	95	83.3	5	1.27	.53
e) Emotions	121	81.2	5	58	89.2	2	96	84.2	4	2.17	. 3/
f) Intelligence	119	79.9	6	54	83.1	3	85	74.6	8	2.03	.36
g) Abnormal Behavior	116	77.9	7	47	72.3	7	98	86.0	3	5.25	.07
h) Motivation	113	75.8	8	46	70.8	8	86	75.4	6.5	.67	.72
i) Human Growth-Development	105	70.5	9	41	63.1	10.5	83	72.8	9	1.92	. 38
j) The Adolescent	101	67.8	10	37	56.9	13.5	78	68.4	12	2.89	. 24
k) Sensation and Perception	96	64.4	11	39	60.0	12	78	68.4	12	1.32	.52
1) Parapsychology, ESP	90	60.4	12	41	63.1	10.5	73	64.0	14	.39	. 82
m) Social Behavior	88	59.1	13	45	69.2	9	81	71.1	10	4.66	.10
n) Mental Retardation	85	57.0	14	36	55.4	15	78	68.4	12	4.45	.11
o) History of Psychology	78	52.3	15	37	56.9	13.5	63	55.3	16	.45	.80
p) Drugs, Alcoholism, etc.	65	43.6	16	32	49.2	16	70	61.4	15	8.26	.02
q) Love	61	40.9	17	31	47.7	17	59	51.8	18	3.13	.21
r) Heredity/Genetics	58	38.9	18	30	46.2	18.5	61	53.5	17	5.56	.06
s) Marriage and the Family	52	34.9	19	30	46.2	18.5	50	43.9	19	3.33	.19
t) Human Body/Physiology	51	34.2	20	23	35.4	20	44	38.6	20	.55	.76
u) Child Care	44	29.5	21	20	30.8	21	42	36.8	21	1.67	.43
v) Statistic	28	18.8	22	17	26.2	22	26	22.8	22	1.58	.45

In sharp contrast to the strong agreement with one another, when these teacher rankings were contrasted with the rankings students gave to the same topics indicating what they wanted taught in the psychology courses, the coefficients were not so high. When computed, rank order coefficients between student rankings and the Social Studies, Guidance Counselors, and "Other" teachers were found to be .47, .51, and .53, respectively.

Topics which should be taught

An individual may argue that these teachers may have been prevented for whatever reasons from teaching the content and topics they really believed should be included in psychology courses on this level. Was it possible that these teachers were restricted to the content they indicated they included in the above section? One way to offset the possible influence of outside controlling factors as well as to identify what these teachers would include in such courses if given the freedom to select their own content was to ask teachers to specify the topics they thought ought to be included in psychology courses offered to secondary school students.

The same list of 22 topics in the identical order of display as presented previously was provided the respondents. They were asked to indicate all of those topics they believed should be included in their courses and in similar courses in other schools. A space was provided for those who desired to write in other topics and content not included on the original list. Table 7 gives the percentages, ranks, and Chi-square values for the teacher and student responses to this item.



Table 7

A Summary of Frequencies, Percentages, Adjusted Chi Square Values, and Ranks Assigned the Topics These Teachers and Students Indicated Should be Included in Pre-College Psychology Courses

	Social Studies			Guida	nce Coun	selors		Others		Chi-		Stud	
Topics	F	%	R	F	%	R	F	%	R	Square	<u>P</u>	% 	R
a) Personality Theory	137	91.9		57	87.7	2	103	90.5	1	.96	.62	60.8	5
b) Emotions	127	85.2	2	58	89.2]	99	86.8	4.5	.63		76.3	3
c) Mental Illness	125	83.9	3	52	80.0	5	102	89.5	2.5	3.22	.20	64.9	J
d) Mental Health	122	81.9	4	50	76.9	9	102	89.5	2.5	5.29	.07	55.7	10
e) Learning Theory	121	81.2	5	49	75.4	10	92	85.1	7	2.58	.28	50.9	
f) Motivation	118	79.2	6	51	78.5	7	99	86.8	4.5	3.10	.21	42.7	16
q) The Adolescent	116	77.9	7	53	81.5	4	90	78.9	9	.37	.83	56.7	9
h) Abnormal Behavior	115	77.2	8	48	73.8	11	96	84.2	6	3.20	.20	59.8	7
i) Growth and Development	113	75.8	9	54	83.1	3	88	77.2	11	1.40	.50	45.2	14.5
j) Social Behavior	111	74.5	10	51	78.5	7	80	78.9	9	.84	.66	65.7	2
k) Intelligence	110	73.8	11	51	78.5	7	90	78.9	9	1.11	.57	45.5	13
1) Sensation and Perception	100	67.1	12	40	61.5	13	84	73.7	13	3.00	.22	38.7	18
m) Mental Retardation	85	57.0	13	37	56.9	14	86	75.4	12	10.89	.004	50.0	12
n) Parapsychology, ESP	77	51.7	14 •	29	44.6	19.5	69	60.5	15	4.52	.10	42.0	17
o) History of Psychology	76	51.0	15	33	50.8	17	62	54.4	18.5	.36	.84	30.6	20
-\ D Alashaliam ata	72	49.0	16	36	. 55.4	15	70	61.4	14	4.03	.13	60.0	6
p) Drugs, Alcoholism, etc.	73 70	47.0	17	42	64.6	12	66	57.9	17	6.60	.04	59.0	8
q) Marriage and the Familyr) Love	68	45.6	18	35	53.8		68	59.6	16	5.18	.08	61.1	4
ון נטיל	00	10.0							00	11	٥٢	Ar n	1 <i>1</i> E
s) Child care	67	45.0	19	29		19.5	49	43.0	20 10 F	.11	.95		14.5 19
t) Heredity and Genetics	58	38.9	20	32	49.2	18	62	54.4	18.5	6.48 .73	.04 .69	35.0 22.3	21
u) Human body/physiology	54	36.2	21	27	41.5	21 22	46 32	40.4 28.1	21 22	.73 .60	.74	18.4	22
v) Statistics	36	24.2	22	18	27.7	L L	JL	20.1	LL	•00	177	1011	

41

William Chan

As in previous studies involving both Florida (Stahl and Casteel, 1973; Stahl, 1976) and Mississippi (Stahl, 1977b) teachers, the topic selected as most important to teach and to be included in high school psychology courses was Personality theory. And, as with the 'topics included' section, these teachers were found to be in relatively close agreement as to what content ought to be taught in their courses. A statistically significant difference was found among the percentage of teachers in each of the three groups who favored the three topics, Mental retardation, Marriage and the family, and Heredity and genetics, yet their rankings for these same topics were very similar. Of the 22 topics listed, the average Social Studies teacher thought 14.0 topics should be included while the Guidance Counselors and Other teachers averages were greater than the averages computed for the actual number of concepts and topics then being taught by these same teachers.

When the rankings for these topics were examined using rank correlations, it was found that the Social Studies and "Other" teacher rankings were closer (\underline{r}_s = .97) than were those for Social Studies-Guidance Counselors (\underline{r}_s = .88) and the Guidance Counselor-"Other" teachers (\underline{r}_s = .86). The rankings of each of these three groups were correlated with the rankings of the students as to those topics the students wanted taught in the psychology courses they were taking. The correlation procedure produced coefficients of .57 for the Social Studies-student rankings, .65 for the Guidance Counselor-student rankings, and .63 for the "Other"-student rankings. As can be seen in Table 7, the student rankings were more different from all three sets of teacher rankings than were the teacher rankings with one another.



Finally, the rankings for topics being taught and those that should be taught were compared to determine the degree these teachers were able to emphasize the content in their courses they believed ought to be included in these courses.

The rank order coefficient for the Social Studies teacher ranking was .85, for the Guidance Counselors, .89, and for the "Other" teachers, .86.

Methods used in teaching the psychology course

Yet another way of looking at what may actually be going on inside a particular course is to examine the methods the teachers used in teaching their psychology courses. Eight methods were listed as were five scale categories ranging from "Use a great deal" (5) to "Rarely use" (1). This would allow for the examination of frequency of use of one method over another. Table 8 provides the frequencies, Mean frequency of use, and ANOVA values for the responses to this item.

The data displayed in Table 8 illustrates the degree of similarity among these three groups of teachers in the order of overall frequency of their use of methods. It is interesting to note that across all three groups, the teachers used a wide range of methods and differed greatly in the extent of their use of these methods. The ANOVA results reveal that these teachers were quite similar in their frequency of use of these methods.

USE OF AUDIO-VISUAL INSTRUCTIONAL AIDS

Information was collected regarding the orientation of these teachers relative to different types of instructional resources which could be used in teaching the psychology course. When asked whether they felt a need



Table 8

A Summary of the Frequencies, Means, Standard Deviations, and ANOVA F-Values Associated With the Methods
These Three Groups Used to Teach Their Respective Psychology Courses

	Soc	ial Stud	lies	Guida	ance Cour	selors		All_Othe	ers		
Method of Instruction	F	χ	s.d.	F	X	s.d.	F	X	s.d.	F-Values	<u> </u>
a) Discussion	143	4.17	.71	59	4.03	.79	107	4.12	.74	.70	.50
b) Text and lecture	136	3.77	1.00	55	3.53	.90	107	3.77	.98	1.38	.25
c) Lecture	135	3.70	.95	57	3.74	.86	96	3.67	1.00	.10	.90
d) Teacher demonstra- tions	132	3.16	.84	52	3.25	.71	100	3.11	.86	.49	.62
e) Small group dis- cussions	141	2.96	.92	59	3.11	.98	102	2.98	.93	.48	.62
f) Values clarification activities	129	2.81	1.02	51	3.06	.97	97	2.88	1.06	1.10	.33
g) Student lab experi- ments	132	2.59	.99	52	2.63	.91	97	2.75	1.05	.75	.48
h) Guest speakers	132	2.37	.83	55	2.40	.87	97	2.46	.82	.35	.71

for more audio-visual materials and aids to help them do a more adequate job of teaching psychology, 117 of the Social Studies teachers (79.1%), 52 of the Guidance Counselors (80.0%), and 92 of the "Other" teachers (82.1%) indicated the affirmative option. When these same teachers were asked to indicate whether they would actually use such materials and resources were they to be made available to them, 145 Social Studies teachers (97.3%), 63 Guidance Counselors (98.4%), and 109 "Other" teachers (97.3%) reported they would use these materials. Hence, while 4 of 5 teachers felt a real need for audio-visual aids, nearly 100% of the teachers in all three groups reported they would actually use such materials were they made available.

The availability of resources is tied to funding. Was there money available to purchase psychology-related instructional resources? Eighty-eight Social Studies teachers (61.1%), 32 Guidance Counselors (51.6%), and 55 "Other" certified teachers (50.0%) responded by saying their schools had the funds by which they could purchase teaching aids for their courses.

Types of instructional aids desired

In an attempt to identify the exact types of resource aids these teachers wanted to see made available to them, the questionnaire listed 17 different types of resource aids for teachers to indicate their preferences. Teachers were told to mark any and all of those instructional aids they desired. Table 9 reports their responses to this item.

The overwhelming first choice of the Social Studies teachers (106 responses or 71.1%) and Guidance Counselors (49 responses or 75.4%)



Table 9

A Summary of Frequencies, Percentages, Adjusted Chi-Square Values, and Ranks Assigned the Types of Instructional Aids
These Teachers and Their Students Wanted to See Made Available to Them for Their Use

	Social Studies			Guidance Counselors				Others		Ch-		Stud	
Instructional Aids	F	% 	- R	F 	<u>"</u>	R 	F	% 	R	Square	<u>p</u>	% 	R
Films, movies	106	71.1	1	49	75.4	1	74	64.9	2.5	2.38	.30	82.1	1
Materials for classroom experiments	94	63.1	2	36	55.5	3	74	64.9	2.5	1.69	.43	52.7	4
List of local guest speakers	82	55.0	3	31	47.7	4.	73	64.0	4	4.82	.09	73.5	2
Sample psychological tests	81	54.4	4	29	44.6	5.5	64	56.1	5	2.40	.30	52.2	5
Simulation games	80	53.7	5	44	67.7	2	80	70.2]	8.51	.01	56.6	3 11
Student workbooks	55	36.9	6	22	33.8	7.5	39	34.2	9	.29	.87	18.9	11
Filmstrips	53	35.6	7	21	32.3	9	45	39.5	6.5	.98	.61	49.8	(
Newsletters for teachers	50	33.6	8	12	18.5	13.5	45	39.5	6.5	8.42	.01		•
Overhead transparencies	48	32.2	9	22	33.8	7.5	35	30.7	10	.19	.91	15.7	1:
Values clarification			10		44 C		41	7C 0	0	E 60	.06	15.9	12
activities	42	28.2	10	29	44.6	5.5	41	36.0	8	5.69	.00	10.9	14
Different kind of a text- book	39	26.2	11	17	26.2	10	32	28.1	13	.14	.93	19.9	1
Audio-cassette tapes	36	. 24.2	12	14	21.5	11.5	34	29.8	11.5	1.79	.41	30. 3	{
Maro cassed and	•												
Reference service for	٥٢	00 5	10	T A	01 E	11 E	20	26.3	14	.57	.75	30.4	,
students Postona of famous psychological	35	23.5	13	14	21.5	11.5	30	۲۵۰۵	17	.31	, f J	3017	
Posters of famous psychologists	- 34	22.8	14	11	16.9	15	28	24.6	15	1.45	.49	5.9	1
Career-related pamphlets	32	21.5	15	12	18.5	13.5	34	29.8	11.5	3.75	.15	29.4	1
•													
Materials for slow	26	17.4	16.5	7	10.8	16.5	25	21.9	16	3.55	.17	11.8	1
learners Surriculum guide for	26	17.4	10.3	,	10+0	10.5	LJ	41.5	10	V.00	• • •		
ichers	26	17.4	16.5	7	10.8	16.5	18	15.8	17	1.55	.46		-

was the <u>Films, movies</u> option while most of the "Other" certified teachers desired <u>Simulation games</u> as their highest preferred choice (80 responses or 70.2%). Overall, these three groups of teachers tended to rank these options in similar orders of preferences with a few exceptions. The largest difference in ranks found the Guidance Counselors minimizing the need for a <u>Newsletter for teachers</u> (13.5 ranking) while the "Other" certified teachers saw this as being much more important to them (a 6.5 ranking). An examination of the Chi-square values revealed that the percentage of teachers in these three groups who preferred <u>Simulation games</u> and a <u>Newsletter for teachers</u> differed significantly from one another ($\underline{p} < .05$). A larger percentage of Guidance Counselors (44.6%) preferred <u>Values clarification activities</u> for use in their psychology courses than did Social Studies (28.2%) or the "Other" teachers (36.0%).

When the rankings were formally compared using the Spearman formula, the resulting coefficients were .85 for the Social Studies-Counselor rankings, .86 for the Social Studies-"Other" teacher rankings, and .88 for the Guidance Counselor-"Other" teacher rankings. All these coefficients were found to be significant at the .01 level. Thus, while the Chi-square analysis revealed major differences among these three groups on a few individual items, the correlation coefficients indicated a significant degree of overall agreement for these instructional aids.

SUMMARY

In reviewing the extensive data reported above, it was found that the 149 Social Studies, 65 Guidance Counselors, and 114 "Other" - certified psychology teachers tended to teach psychology:



- a) in similar public/private school settings,
- almost exclusively as an elective rather than required course offering,
- c) in courses with heavy female enrollments,
- d) in courses with heavy white student enrollments regardless of the ratio of white to-blacks in the entire school population,
- e) in courses with heavy senior-junior enrollments,
- f) with the same level of perceived adequacy about their preparation to teach psychology to high school students,
- g) from a similar distribution of behavioristic-humanisticeclectic perspectives,
- h) with the identical objectives posited for their respective courses,
- j) with the same emphasis on content and topics,
- k) with the same ideas about what these courses should include as far as topic and content are involved,
- using identical methodologies with about the same frequency of use for each method,
- m) with the desire to have the identical instructional aids made available to them for use in their respective classes.

The above represents areas where these teachers were nearly or exactly identical to one another. Where the teachers data were contrasted



to student responses regarding the reasons why they enrolled in these courses, topics they wanted taught, etc., the teachers were consistently closer to one another than they were to the student responses. None of these three groups of teachers came closer to meeting the expectations or needs of the students than the others.

Besides the above similarities among these three groups of teachers, several significant differences were found in respect to themselves and their courses. Included in these differences were:

- a) Guidance-Counselors were more likely to teach courses in smaller schools with less than 500 students enrolled while Social Studies and "Other" certified teachers taught in small enrollment and dominated large enrollment schools,
- b) Guidance Counselors were more likely to teach courses in exclusively rural school settings while Social Studies and "Other" teachers were distributed across rural, urban, and suburban school settings,
- c) Social Studies and "Other" teachers were more likely to teach courses in schools offering more than one section of psychology each day,
- d) Social Studies and "Other" teachers averaged teaching a significantly larger number of sections of psychology each day over their Guidance Counselor counterparts,
- e) Social Studies and "Other" teachers nearly doubled the Guidance Counselors in terms of the average number of students they taught each year,



- f) Psychology courses taught by Social Studies and "Other" teachers were perceived as being significantly more popular among students than were those taught by Guidance Counselors,
- g) Guidance Counselors and "Other" teachers possessed significantly more college credit hours in psychology and educational psychology than did the Social Studies teachers.

Importantly, while Social Studies certified teachers made up 45.0% of the Illinois psychology teachers and these teachers taught 49.8% of the sections offered and 50.6% of the students enrolled in these courses, as a group they had received significantly less hours of college level psychology course training than had their counterparts. This difference in training was reflected in the lower percentage of Social Studies teachers who felt adequately prepared to teach the course (85.2%) than did the "Other" teachers (91.2%) and Guidance Counselors (90.8%).

The emergence of psychology as the fastest growing social studies course offering has helped to maintain the student enrollment in the social studies. At the same time, these data and results from other states (APA, 1976; Snellgrove, 1973; Stahl, 1974) clearly indicate that social studies-certified teachers are not the only ones who teach the course. Furthermore, merely because it is included in the social studies departments in most schools does not imply that it is perceived nor taught from the perspective of the social studies/sciences. The placing of psychology as a social studies discipline within the pre-



college curriculum indicates only that it fits there better than it fits in English, Science, or Math Departments.

Meanwhile, there is much to be done relative to improving the teaching of psychology to high school students. In 1973, Stahl and Casteel argued that important decisions needed to be made in respect to teaching psychology on the precollege level. These decisions emphasized three important areas or concerns:

- Psychology as an instructional discipline for high school students requires definition.

 This definition should be functional (purposeful), structural (organizational, including scope and sequence), and pedagogical (instructional procedures).
- 2. Once psychology has been defined, a decision should be made relative to clientele. Who will/should take the course? Preparing course objectives, selection, content and instructional materials, etc., requires different orientation for students on different grade levels. Without decisions for "1" and "2", psychology appears to be a course designed around whatever textbook is used, rather than one which exists on its own merits and disciplinary integrity.



2.

3. With psychology defined, then descriptions as to qualifications and training of teachers to teach the course can be made more specific.

In the years since these suggestions, little has been done to achieve closure on these as well as numerous other areas where decisions regarding precollege psychology ought to be made. While the social studies profession continues to pride itself for increased or maintained enrollments in the elective "non-social studies" psychology course, there is a great deal to be done to convert energies from mere quantitative data to qualitative improvements in the psychology that is taught to one-half million students each year.



Notes

- Appreciation is extended to Carl Sandberg High School, Orland Park,
 Illinois and the School of Education, Mississippi University for
 Women, Columbus, Mississippi for helping provide some of the funding for conducting this study.
- Blanche Sherman Hunt, Pamela Hronek, and Gary Murphy are to be recognized for their efforts in preparing the tables and figures included herein.
- 3. The National Council for the Social Studies Special Interest Group: Psychology and the American Psychological Associations Clearing-house on Pre-College Psychology are two groups which have sought to promote and improve the teaching of psychology on the high school level.
- 4. Despite its phenomenal growth, a recent informed survey of the literature revealed far fewer articles have been published on precollege psychology in professional journals since 1975 than during the 1950-1960, 1961-1970, and 1971-75 periods.
- 5. All percentages throughout the rest of this article are based upon the total number in each group who actually responded to the particular item being considered.



APPENDIX A

ADDITIONAL TABLES AND INFORMATION, INCLUDING TEACHER AND STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRES, RELEVANT TO THIS SURVEY.



Code	No.		
------	-----	--	--

QUESTIONNAIRE ON THE TEACHING OF PSYCHOLOGY IN THE SECONDARY SCHOOL

(Please type of print)

Name	Name :	Position:	
Scho	School: City:	State	:
		Zip:	
1.	1. Circle the grades which included in your school: 7 8	3 9 10 11 12	
2.	2. Indicate the appropriate classification of your school:		
	a) Public b) Private (non-religious b) Private (non-religious b) Other (specify)	s) c) Private	(religious)
3.	3. Indicate the size of your school's enrollment (grades 9 a		
	less than 150	351 to 500 1,501 to 3,000	
4.	4. What is the closest approximate ratio of whites to blacks	s in your school?	
	a) 95%white/5%black b) 80%/20% d) 50%/50% e) 35%/65%	c) 65%/35% f) 20%/80%	
5.	5. Indicate the most appropriate description of the area whi	ich your school serves:	
	a) Urbanb) Ruralc) Suburb	band) Inner (City
6.	6. If psychological content is included as a portion of any curriculum, indicate the course(s) which includes it:	course in your school's	
	a) Contemporary Issues e) Chil b) Senior Social Studies f) Soci c) Problems of Democracy g) Home d) Family Life/Marriage & the Family h) Other	ld Development ciology ne Economics ner (specify)	
	7. Is Psychology taught as a separate course for credit in y		
8.	8. Do you teach the separate course(s) in Psychology in your	r school? a) Yes	b) No
9.	9. Is there an Advanced Psychology or Psychology II course of	offered at your school?	a) Yes b) No
10.	10. Is the Psychology course an elective or required course?		
	a) Elective b) Required		
	11. How many sections of Psychology do you teach each day?		
12.	12. Does your course tend to be "Behavioristic" or "Humanisti	ic" in its approach?	
	a) Behavioristic b) Humanistic		
13.	13. Indicate the length of time the Psychology course is offer study at your school:		
	a) No Psychology course is taught b) six weeks e) a full ye	cs c) nine we rear course f) Other	eeks (Specify)
14.	14. How many students took Psychology as a course of study la school? students	ast year at your	
	representation of the students took or are currently enrolled in Psychological Students.		at

16.	Please indicate the sex of the majority of students in your Psychology classes:
	a) Femaleb) Male
17.	What is the closest approximate ratio of whites to blacks in your Psychology classes?
	a) 95%white/5%black b) 80%/20% c) 65%/35% d) 50%/50% e) 35%/65% f) 20%/80%
18.	What grade level(s) of students are eligible to take the Psychology course?
	a) 9th grade b) 10th grade c) 11th grade d) 12th grade e) 10-12th grades f) 11-12th grades g) 0ther(specify)
	Topics and content generally taught in Psychology courses in the secondary school are listed below. Please indicate those topics <u>you include</u> in your Psychology course:
	a) Intelligence b) Personality Theory c) History of Psychology d) Motivation e) Emotions f) Mental Illness g) Mental Health h) Mental Retardation i) Statistics j) Learning Theories k) The Human Body (Physiology) 1) Heredity and Genetics m) Human Growth and Development o) Sensations and Perception p) Social Behavior q) Child Care r) Marriage and the Family s) Drugs, Alcoholism, etc. u) Parapsychology, ESP v) Abnormal Behavior
20.	bo you use a state-adopted textbook in teaching this course a, ies a,
	Below are listed several textbooks which are most often used in this course. Please indicate the text(s) you use:
	a) Psychology: Its Principles and Applications (Engle and Snellgrove) b) Psychology: Understanding Ourselves and Others (Tallent and Spungin) c) Understanding Psychology (CRM/Random House) d) Living Psychology (Hershey and Lugo) e) Psychology (McKeachie and Doyle) f) Introduction to the Behavioral Sciences (Sandberg and Fenton) g) Psychology Today-(Text, not magazine) (CRM) h) Psychology For You (Sol Gordon) i) Psychology For Living (Sorenson and Malm) j) Other (Specify)
22.	To what extent do you use the textbook in teaching your course?
	a) it is never used b) it is used occasionally c) it is used often e) it is used for lack of any other relevant reading material(s)
23.	What suggestions, if any, would you make to authors and publishers of psychology textbooks and supplementary reading materials in order for their books to better meet your needs?
24.	Below are listed a number of Objectives for teaching psychology on the secondary school level. Check those Objectives which most accurately reflect the objectives you set for your Psychology course(s):
	a) to help students in their vocational planning. b) to help develop an appreciation for Psychology as a field of scientific knowledge and inquiry.
	c) to prepare students for college psychology courses.

ERIC

	d) to eliminate many of the misconceptions students have about psychology and psychologists. e) to assist students in preparing for family life and family living. f) to assist students in developing a basic philosophy of life. g) to help students understand and deal with their personal problems. h) to assist students in understanding the vocabulary associated with psychology. i) to assist students in adjusting to life and solving life's problems. j) to help students better understand and accept themselves as individuals. k) to apply psychological knowledge to understand contemporary social problems and events. l) to help students cope with problems associated with emerging adolescence. m) other (specify) n) other (specify)
	Without looking back to the previous page, please indicate those topics that you believe should be included in high school psychology courses:
	a) Intelligence b) Personality Theory c) History of Psychology d) Motivation e) Emotions f) Mental Iliness g) Mental Health h) Mental Retardation i) Statistics j) Learning Theory k) The Human Body (Physiology) l Mental Growth and Development n) Human Growth and Development n) The Adolescent o) Sensation and Perception p) Social Behavior q) Child Care r) Marriage and the Family s) Drugs, Alcoholism, etc. t) Love v) Abnormal Behavior w) Other l) Heredity and Genetics x) Other
	Please indicate the highest level of your college course training:
2-	
.</td <td>Please indicate the one area of certification you are <u>currently using</u> in your present school position:</td>	Please indicate the one area of certification you are <u>currently using</u> in your present school position:
	a) Social Studies/Science b) Science c) Psychology d) Administration e) Home Economics f) Guidance and/or Counseling g) Other (Specify)
28.	How many hours of college course credit have you had in Psychology and Educational Psycholog course work? (Indicate "O" if no hours):
29.	To you feel adequately prepared to teach psychology on the secondary school level in light of your college course background in this subject area? a) Yes b) No
3¢.	Do you feel a need for more materials and instructional resources to help you do a more adequate job of teaching psychology? a) Yes b) No
	Would you consider using more materials, audio-visual aids, and instructional resources if they were made available for your use? a) Yes b) No
	If such instructional materials were available for purchase, would you or your school have funds available to purchase these teaching aids? a) Yes b) No
33.	Is Psychology as a course of study considered a popular course for students to take at your school? a) Yes b) No



	Method	Very Frea.	Frequently	Sometimes	Rarely	Never_
	a) Text-and-lecture					
	b) Lecturec) Teacher demonstrations					
	d) Discussion (other than review)					
	e) Student lab experiments					
	f) Small group discussions					
	g) Guest speakersh) Values clarification activities					
	i) Other (Specify)					
5.	What type(s) of additional materials a of psychology would you want to see ma these you believe you really would use	de available	for your use	e? (Indicat see availah	e as many le for/vo	of ur use):
	 a) a different kind of textbook 		j) films k) simul l) poste m) value n) stude o) mater p) a cur q) sampl r) Other	trips		
	b) overhead transparencies c) audio-cassette tapes d) materials for slow learners/r e) films/movies f) a reference service for stude g) career-related pamphlets h) a newsletter for teachers		k) simul	ation games	neveholo	aiete
	d) materials for slow learners/r	eaders	m) value	s clarificat	ion activ	ities
	ε) films/movies		n) stude	nt workbooks		
	f) a reference service for stude	ents	o) mater	ials for cla	ss ro om ex	periment
	h) a newsletter for teachers		q) sampl	e psychologi	cal tests	
	h) a newsletter for teachers i) list of local guest speakers	who	r) Other	(sp ec ify)		
	were knowledgeable in psychol	ogy				
6.	Are you a member of the National Coun	cil for the	Social Studi	es?		
	Yes No No,	please sent	d etail s			
7.	Membership Program?					
	<u>a</u> Yes <u>b</u> No, and <u>not</u> inter	ested	<u> </u>	se send deta	ils	
8.	Do you receive a copy of the APA newsl entitled, <u>Periodically</u> , but now entitl	etter concer ed, <u>High Sch</u>	ning precoll ool Psycholo	ege psycholo gy Teacher?	gy former	·ly
	a) Yes b) No	c) No, bu	t I would li	ke to recéiv	e it!	•
9.	Are you a member of your state's Counc	il for the S a) Y	ocial Studie es	s? b) No		
0.	If you had the opportunity, would you to the reasons why they enrolled in you in the course? (You would receive the	our course an	d what they	would like 1	to see off	erea
1.	Would you like to see the results of t	this survey?	a)	Yes	b) No	
	Additional comments:					
-•						
					•	



STUDENT QUESTIONNAIRE ON HIGH SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGY

FUI	the Items below, prease mark the most appropriate answer.
	Your age:a) 14b) 15c) 16d) 17e) 18f) 19
2.	Your sex:a) Femaleb) Male '
3.	Your race:a) Blackb) Whitec) Other(specify)
4.	Your grade level:a) 9thb) 10thc) 11thd) 12th
5.	Grade you received in Psychology for the last grading term:a) Ab) B
	c) Cd) De) Ff) Did not receive a grade
6.	Below are a number of reasons or objectives for taking a course in Psychology in high school. Check those reasons which most accurately fit those <u>you</u> had for taking this Psychology course.
	a) to help me in my vocational planning. b) to help me develop an appreciation for Psychology as a field of scientific knowledge and inquiry. c) to prepare me for college Psychology courses.
	d) to eliminate many of the misconceptions I have about Psychology and psychologists. e) to assist me in preparing for my future family life. f) to assist me in developing a basic philosophy of life. g) to help me understand and deal with my personal problems. h) to assist me in understanding the vocabulary associated with Psychology. i) to assist me in adjusting to life and in solving life's problems. j) to help me better understand and accept myself as an individual. k) to apply psychological knowledge to understand contemporary social problems and events.
	e) to assist me in preparing for my future family life. f) to assist me in developing a basic philosophy of life.
	g) to help me understand and deal with my personal problems.
	h) to assist me in understanding the vocabulary associated with respondingly. i) to assist me in adjusting to life and in solving life's problems.
	j) to help me better understand and accept myself as an individual.
	k) to apply psychological knowledge to understand contemporary social problems
	1) to help me cope with problems associated with emerging adolescence.
	m) other (specify)
7.	Below are a number of topics and subjects that could be included in high school Psychology courses. Please mark those topics that you believe should be included in the high school Psychology course you are taking:
	a) Intelligence m) Growth and Development b) Personality Theories n) The Adolescent
	c) History of Psychology o) Sensations and Perception
	d) Motivation p) Social Behavior
	f) Mental Illness r) Marriage and the Family
	g) Mental Health s) Drugs, Alcoholism, etc.
	h) Mental Retardation
	j) Learning and Thinkingv) Abnormal Behavior
	k) The Human Bodyw) Other
	1) Heredity and Genetics x) Other



8,	Below are listed a number of differ teacher might use to teach the Psyc types of materials and aids you woo you psychology:	chology cours	e you are now	taking. Ma	ark the	
	a) A different kind of textbook b) Overhead transparencies c) Audio-cassette tapes d) Materials for slow learners slow readers e) Movies/Films f) A weekly newspaper related to psychology g) Pamphlets related to careers psychology h) A reference service for stud on psychology assignments a	or to s in	i) Filmstr j) Simulat k) Posters l) Values m) Student n) Materia o) Guest s p) Sample q) Other (ion games of famous p clarification	al tests	eriments
9.	Below are listed a number of differ There is also a scale to indicate h how often you would like to see you Leave blank those methods you do no	now often the ur teacher us	se methods co e each of the	uld be used.	. Mark	•
	METHOD	Very Freq.	Frequently	Sometimes	Rarely	Never
	a) Textbook-and-lecture b) Lecture c) Teacher demonstrations d) Discussion (other than review) e) Student lab experiments f) Small group discussions g) Guest speakers h) Values clarification activities i) Field trips j) Other					

10. Do you have anything else you would like to report about you and your Psychology course? Please use the space below to write any additional comments:



TABLE 2

Descriptive Data on Psychology Students in Three States

Student	1,215	724	754	1137
	Florida	Mississippi	Mississippi	Illinois
	Students	Students	Students	Students
Characteristic	1974-75	1975-76	1977-78	1977-78
Females	58.6	63.5	57.8	60.3
Males	41.4	36.5	40.2	39.7
Whites	88.1	78.8	90.8	84.5
Blacks	9.1	21.0	4.9	12.6
Other	2.8	.2	4.3	2.9
Freshman	.6	2.8	.1	.1
Sophomores	2.3	3.8	3.1	4.1
Juniors	31.1	21.5	27.6	29.0
Seniors	66.0	71.9	69.2	66.9
14 years old 15 years old 16 years old 17 years old 18 years old 19 years old	.3 .9 16.9 45.8 36.1	2.1 3.1 15.8 49.3 28.2 1.7	.1 .9 16.0 46.2 35.9 1.9	.2 1.3 17.1 44.6 33.7 3.1
"A" Grade "B" Grade "C" Grade "D" Grade "E/F" Grade N.A.	26.3 37.4 23.4 8.7 4.1	33.6 37.4 17.7 8.0 3.9	25.3 31.9 26.5 11.7 3.8 .7	26.7 31.7 25.3 10.8 4.5 1.0

trapas Padracitus and		SEX		<u>nce</u>			GRADE	LEVEL			AGI	LEVEL	<u> </u>			GRA	IDES EAL	INED			- <u>-</u>
	Hales	Females	Whites	Blacks	Others	Frestmen	Sophomores	Júniors	Seniors	14 Year-Olds	15 Year-Olds	16 Year-Olds	17 Year-Dids	18 Year-01ds	19 Year-Olds	*A" Grades	B. Grades	.C. Grades	*D* Grades	"E/F" Grades	Did not re- ceive grade
Hales	40.2		35,8	2.8	1.6	,0	,4	10.8	20.8	,0	.1	5,3	18.5	15,0	1.2	7.2	10.6	11.3	7,8	3,3	,3
Females		57.0	55.0	2,1	2.7	,1	2.7	16.0	40,3	1.	.8	9.7	27.7	20,9	.7	18.1	21.2	15,3	4.0	.0	.4
Whites			90.8	•	-	,0	3.1	25.9	61.9	.0	.9	14.4	42,2	31.0	1,5	23.1	28.1	24,7	10,8	3.5	.1
Blacks				4.9	•	1.	0	1.1	3.7	ا, ا	.0	.4	2.1	2.1	.1	1.3	1.6	, ,9	.8	.1	0
Others					4.3	0	0	.7	3.5	.0	0	.3	1.6	2.1	ξ,	1.1	2.0	.9	,1	.1	0
Freshmen						,1	4	•		۱,	0	0	0	0	0	0	.1	0	0	0	0
Sophomores	i						3,1	•	-	0	,9	2.0	.1	0	0	.4	1.2	1.2	0	.1	0
Juniors								27.6	•	0	0	12.7	13,2	1.7	0	6.5	7.3	7.4	4,9	1.6	.3
Seniors									69.2	0	0	.4	32.8	34.0	1.9	18.5	23.3	17.9	6,9	2.0	.1
14 Year-01	ds		_			<u></u> -				.1	•	•	_	-	•	0	.1	0	0	0	0
15 Year-01	ds										,9		-	-	•	۱.	.3	.3	0	.1	0
16 Year-01	ds											16.0	-	-	•	3,0	4.6	4.2	1,6	.8	.1
17 Year-01	ds									,			46.2	-	•	12.3	13.2	12.1	6,3	1.7	.4
18 Year-01	ds													35.9	•	8.9	13.4	9.3	3.5	.9	่ง
19 Year-01	ds														1,9	.4	.3	.7	,4	.1	0
"A" Grades																25.3	:	•	-	•	•
"B" Grades																	31.9	-	•	*	-
"C" Grades																		26.5	-	•	
"D" Grades																			11.7	•	٠,
"E/F" Grad	es																			3.8	-
Did not re ceive grad																					.1

Differences in totals due to students who did not respond to one of these 5 categories of items.



A Crosstabulation of Descriptive Data Portaining to the 754 Mississippi Secondary School Students Who Responded to the (1977-1978) Psychology Surveys Frequency Data*

a a magagarana agada ya sa ku	SE	X	RA	CE			GRADE	LEVEL	شو چا ده ده هم چه د چه .			IGE LEV	fl.				CRADI	S EARN	<u>ED</u>		
	#21es	Sa	Minites	DIE CKS	0=theirs	n euiseri	Sophamores	ביסדחים	Seniors	14 Year-Olds	15 Year-Dlas	15 Year-01ds	17 Year-Olds	18 Year-01ds	19 Year-0145		Satisfied and the same of the		ड्रेंग्स्य दि	** STEAMS	Did not receive
Malo	302	*	269	21	12	0	J	61	216	0	1	40	139	113	9	54	79	64	50	27	2
Femalo .	,	450	413	16	20	<u> </u>	20	206	302]	6_	73	200	157	6	136	158	114	30	6	3
Hh I tas			682	•	٦	0	23	194	463	0	7	100	317	239	11	172	209	184	00	26	6
Blacks				37	•	1	0	8	28	1	0	3	16	16	١	10	13	7	6	1	0
Others					32	0	()	5	26	0	()	2	12	16	2	8	15	7	<u> </u>	<u> </u>)
Freshmen						1		•	-	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	()	()	()
Sophonores							23	•	•	0	1	15	1	0	0	1	9	9	0	I	0
duntors								207	-	0	0	95	99	13	0	48	54	55	36	12	2
Seniors									518	0	0	3	246	255	14	137	173	133	51	15	3
14 Year-Olds							<u> </u>			1	•			•	•	0	1	0	0	0	0
15 Year-Olds											7	•	•	-	•	1	2	5	0	1	0
16 Year-Olds												113	-	•	-	20	34	31	12	6	1
17 Year-Olds													346	•	-	92	98	90	47	13	3
18 Year-Olds														260	•	66	100	69	26	1	1
19 Year-Olds															14	3	2	5	3	1	0
"A" Grades																190	•	•	•	•	-
"B" Grades																	237	•	-	•	•
"C" Grades																		197	-	•	•
D Grades																			88	-	•
"E/F" Grades												٠								28	•
DId not re- ceive grade																					5

Differences in totals due to students who did not respond to one of these 5 categories of items.



	S	EX	R,	ACE			GRADE	LEVEL		AGE LEVEL				GRADES EARNED							
	Males	Fema les	Whites	Blacks	Others	Freshmen	Sophomores	Juniors	Seniors	14 Year-Olds	15 Year-Olds	16 Year-Olds	17 Year-Olds	18 Year-Olds	19 Year-Olds	"A" Grades	"B" Grades	"C" Grades	"D" Grades	"E/F" Grades	Did not re- ceive grade
Males	39.7	•	33.6	5.1	2.9	0	1.1	11.2	27.4	0	.3	6.2	17.5	14,4	1.4	7.1	11.7	11.3	6,3	2.8	.4
females		60.3	50.9	7.4	1.9	.1	3.0	17.0	39.5	.2	1.1	10.8	27.1	19.3	1.8	19.5	20.1	14.1	1.5	1.7	.5
Whites			84.5	-	-	0	2.7	25.8	56.2	0	.9	14.7	39.6	28.1	1.3	23.5	26.4	22.0	8.8	3.4	.6
Blacks				12.6	•	.1	1.4	2.7	8.3	.2	.5	2.1	3.6	,4.4	1.7	2.4	3.9	2.9	1.9	.9	.4
Others					2.9	0	0	.4	2.4	0	0	.2	1.2	1.4	.2	8.	1.3	,6	.1	.1	0
Freshmen						.1		.		.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	.1	0	0	0	0
Sophomores							4.1	-	•	.1	1.3	2.5	.1	0	.1	.9	1,3	1.1	.5	.2	.1
Juniors								29.0	•	0	0	13.6	13.5	1.8	.1	1.7	7.7	7.3	3.9	2.2	.4
Seniors									66.9	0	0	1.1	31.0	31.9	2.9	18.0	22.8	17.0	6.3	2.1	.5
11 Year-Olds										.2		-	**	-	-	0	.2	0	0	0	0
15 Year-Olds											1.3	-	-	-	-	.4	.4	.3	.2	.2	0
16 Year-Olds												17.1	•	-	•	5.3	4.5	4.1	1,9	1.0	.4
17 Year-Olds													44.6	•	•	12.7	13.2	11.3	5,3	1,8	.3
18 Year-Olds														33.7	•	8.0	12.5	8.6	2.9	1.2	.4
19 Year-Olds															3.1	.4	1.0	1.0	.5	.3	0
"A" Grades													-	-	-	20.7	•	•	-	-	•
"B" Grades																	31.7	•	•	-	-
"C" Grades																		25.3	-	•	
"D" Grades																			10.8		•
"E/F" Grades																				4.5	•
Did not re- ceive grade						=							<u>.</u>				 •				1.0

Differences in totals due to students who did not respond to one of these 5 categories of items.



	SEX		RΛ	ICE			GRADE	LEVEL				AGE LI	EVEL				GRADE	S EARN	ED		
	Males Females		Whites	Blacks	Others	Freshmen	Sophomores	Juniors	Seniors	14 Year-Olds	15 Year-Olds	16 Year-Olds	17 Year-01ds	18 Year-Olds	19 Year-Olds	"A" Grades	"B" Grades	"C" Grades	"D" Grades	"E/F" Grades	Did not re- ceive grade
Males	450 -		380	58	12	0	12	126	308	0	3	70	198	163	16	80	131	127	71	31	5
Females	684		575	84	21	1	34	200	445	2	13	123	307	219	20	219	225	158	50	19	6
Whites			955	•	•	0	30	289	631	0	10	166	447	317	15	263	295	246	98	38	1
Blacks				142	•	1	16	30	93	2	6	24	41	50	19	27	44	32	21	10	4
Others					33	0	0	5	27	0	0	2	13	16	2	9	15	7	1	1	0
Freshmen				·		1		-	•	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Sophomores							46	-	•	1	15	28	1	0	1	10	14	12	6	2	1
Juniors								326	-	0	0	153	152	20	1	86	86	81	44	24	4
Seniors									753	0	0	12	349	359	33	201	254	189	70	23	6
14 Year-Olds	,		,							2	•		u	•	•	0	2	0	0	0	0
15 Year-Olds	;										16	•	-	-	-	4	4	3	2	2	0
16 Year-Olds	;											193	-	-	•	59	51	46	21	11	4
17 Year-Olds	; *												505	•	-	142	148	127	59	20	3
18 Year-Olds													1	383	-	90	140	97	33	14	4
19 Year-Olds	;														36	5	11	11	6	3	0
"A" Grades		•			_ _			- 								300	•	•	,	-	
"B" Grades																	356	-	-	•	-
"C" Grades																		284	-	•	•
"D" Grades																			121	-	•
"E/F" Grades	i																* + 0			50	-
Did not re- ceive grade																					11

Olfferences in totals due to students who did not respond to one of these 5 categories of items.



List of Additional Reasons Why The 1,137 Illinois Students Enrolled in the Psychology Course

(1977**-197**8)

	Reasons for Take Course	Number of Students
3.	I thought it would be interesting I needed a credit To understand the actions of others It is required To understand more about psychology	29 22 18 18 15
8.	I needed the course to graduate The class time fit my schedule To see what psychology was all about To help me deal with others I thought it would be easy	13 10 10 8 5
13. 14.	This was the best of all the electives to choose from I like psychology I want to be with my friends who were taking to class To understand children and how to deal with the I liked the teacher	4 4 his 3
17 18. 19.	I was placed in here by my counselor It was recommended to me by my counselor Others told me it was a good class To broaden my education To understand more about the human mind	3 3 2 2 1
	I didn't know what else to take To "use" psychology on others To add some variety to the kinds of classes I' been taking	1 1 ve 1



List of Additional Topics the 1,137 Illinois Students Thought Should be Included in Their Psychology Courses (1977-1978)

	Topics	Number of	Students
4.	Sex Hypnotism The brain Dream analysis Transactional analysis	5 3 3 2 2	
8. 9.	Parents Schizophrenia Relaxation Techniques Mind control Mental Institutions	1 1 1 1	
12. 13. 14.	Oral contraceptives Behavior modification The criminal mind Freud's theories Psychology research and experiments	1 1 1 1	
18.	Death Religion Juvenile Behavior Practical psychology - day-to-day application ESP, Telepathy	of 1	



List of Additional Instructional Aids and Methods the 1,137 Illinois Students Wanted to See Used to Teach Them Psychology (1977-1978)

	Instructional Aids and Materials	Number of Students
2. 3. 4.	A mental patient (for observation) Biofeedback Machine Sample tests Home-made movies and films Optical illusions	2 1 1 1
7. 8. 9.	Study Sheets (for upcoming tests) Case Studies Outline of class topics and activities Oral reports Individual projects	1 1 1 1
	Reaction paper No textbook	1 1

Instructional Methods/Activities

1.	Observations	3
2.	Party]
3.	Experimenting on others (using principles acquired in class)	7
Δ	Free days	7

List of the Summary of "Additional Comments" the 1,137 Illinois Students

Made in the Free Response Segment of the Questionnaire (1977-1978)

	Additional Comments	<u>Number</u>	of	Students
1. 2. 3.	I thought it was interesting I enjoyed this class very much I had a very good teacher		51 29 25	
4. 5. 6.	I gained a lot of personal insight from this cl We need more diversity in methods I learned a lot	ass	19 16 14	
7. 8. 9.	This class has helped me understand others bett Everyone should take the class This class was very beneficial	er	14 12 12	
	This course was very educational I like psychology The textbook is too difficult		11 9 8)
13. 14. 15.	This was a difficult class		7 6 6	i
16. 17. 18.	When application of learning was demonstrated I gained more insight This should be a year-long class Getting away from lectures was helpful		6	5
19. 20. 21.	I think psychology is an important class We need a different textbook More out-of-class activities are needed		4	ļ
22.	This class has fostered an interest in psychological accordance.	gy	4	1
23. 24.		⁻ ered	3	3 3
25. 26.	Getting away from the textbook was beneficial Learning (memorizing) facts for tests is not		3	3
27.	going to help me This should be a required class		3	3 3



Illinois

Additional comments - page 2

Number of students

28. 29. 30.	Materials should be covered slower This is a good class for college prep This subject was easy to understand	3 2 2
31. 32.	This was an easy class (in terms of grades) This class has cleared up some misconceptions about	2
33.	psychology The teacher should take more effort to explain things	2
34. 35. 36.	More movies More time should be devoted to adolescent psychology I haven't learned anything new	1 1 1
37. 38.	The reading and material were too difficult The reading and material should be adapted to slow	1
39. 40. 41.	learners Material should be covered faster Get away from history of psychology More relevance is needed (for the here and now)]]]
42.	This class helped me in my decision about a future career	1
43. 44.		1
45.	Grading should be based on more than just tests	1
46.	out of	1
47.	High school psychology should not be placed on the same level as a college psychology course	1
48. 49.	Reading should include more than just the textbook This course gave me a good basic understanding of	1
50.	psychology	1
51.		1
52.	he voluntary	1
53. 54.	The best ways to learn are through lectures More should have been covered on motivation	1



REFERENCES

- Matiya, J. C. <u>The state of Illinois survey of precollege psychology</u>, Unpublished manuscript, 1977.
- Matiya, J. C., & Gill, N. "A comparative analysis of the perceived role of psychology in the high school curriculum." Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Washington, D. C. September 3, 1976.
- Stahl, R. J. (Ed.) <u>High school psychology in the United States and Canada: An anthology of recent status reports</u>. IDHR Research Monograph. Gainesville, FL: University of Florida, 1974. (ERIC Microfiche No. ED 096 208).
- Stahl, R. J. The status of precollege psychology in Florida from

 1970-71 through 1974-75: A report of a longitudinal study.

 Research Monograph No. 22. Gainesville, FL: P.K. Yonge Laboratory School, 1976a (ERIC No. ED 147 214).
- Stahl, R. J. The need for standardized studies of high school psychology. <u>Teaching of Psychology</u>, 1976b, <u>3</u>, (3,0ctober), 136-137.
- Stahl, R. J. The status of precollege psychology in Mississippi and and Florida: A comparative report. Columbus, Ms. School of Education, Mississippi University of Women, 1977. 41 pages (ERIC Microfiche No. ED 142 499).
- White, K. M., Marcuella, H., & Oresick, R. Psychology in the high schools. <u>Teaching of Psychology</u>, 1979, <u>1</u>, 39-42.



APPENDIX B

COPIES OF THE IBM COMPUTER PRINTOUTS OF TEACHER DATA
OBTAINED FROM THE QUESTIONNAIRES.

THROUGHOUT THIS APPENDIX, THE THREE GROUPS ARE TO BE READ AS FOLLOWS ALONG THE LEFT HAND SIDE OF EVERY TABLE.

- 1. SOCIAL STUDIES REPRESENTS THE "SOCIAL STUDIES" CERTIFIED TEACHERS
- 2. SCIENCE SHOULD READ "GUIDANCE COUNSELORS"
- 3. PSYCHOLOGY SHOULD READ "ALL OTHERS-AREA" CERTIFIED TEACHERS

READERS MAY WANT TO REFER TO THE TEACHER QUESTIONNAIRE
PROVIDED IN APPENDIX A TO FOLLOW THESE COMPUTER PRINTOUT
FORMS.



entutt i kna Pet i	X 6 K - 1 2	LT 7-12	7=12	8-12	9-12	10-12	11-12	12	ROW
cor Per i For Per i		_						_	TOTAL I
T. T	1 I •7 1 25•0 I	2 1 1.5 1 22.2 1	3 1 2.2 1 27.3 1	33.3	112 81.8 44.1 35.9	7 5.1 43.8 12.2	6 4,4 66.7 1.9	5 3.6 83.3 1.6	137 143.9
Guidance	1.5 1 25.0 1	3 4.6 33,3 1.0	4 6.2 36.4 1.3	0 1	51 78.5 20.1 16.3	3 4.6 18.8 1.0	3.1 22.2 .6	1 1.5 16.7	1 65 1 20•8 1
all others	2 1 1.8 1 50.0 1	3.6 44.4 1.3	3 • 6 3 6 • 4 1 • 3	2 • 8 66 • 7	91 82•7 35•8 29•2	6 1 5.5 1 37.5 1 1.9	11.1	0 D 0	1 110 1 35•3 1
	1.3	9	11 3.5	3	254 81•4	16 5•1	9 2,9	6	312 100+0

TICH AMEA OF CERTIFICATION CROSSTABULATION OF THE BREAK STATES OF THE ST

	X 7				
xind	PUALIC 1.1	PRIVATE NR	PRIVATE R	CATHOLIC P	ROW JATOT
SUCTAL STUDIES	123 R2.6 45.4 37.5	.7 20,0	1 •7 •1!•1	24 [16•1 1 55•8 1 7•3 I	. 149 45•4
5CTTHE	54 83.1 19.9 16.5	n , n , n	4 6.2 44.4 1.2	7 I 10.8 I 16.3 I 2.1 I	65 19•8
2. 5. 1. HOT UUA.	74 82.5 34.7 28.7	4 3.5 8ŋ.ŋ 1.2	4 3.5 44.4 1.2	12 [[[ŋ.5] [27.9]	114 34•8
LOTAL	271 82.6	ς 1.5	9 2•7	43 30	32A 100•0

CHI SUPERI = 11.85320 WITH 6 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .0653

HORRIE DE HILLETTO DESERVATIONS = 3



ANTA OF CENTIFICATION

BY XB SIZE

TO THE TRANSPORT OF TH

1104 101 601 (of 601 601 601 601 601 601 601 601 601 601	XA I ILT 153 I I '•!	150 to 3 50 2.1	J)	501 TO 1 000 4.1	1n01 TO 1500 5•	000	GT 3nun 7•1	RON TOTAL
SOCIAL STUDIES	7 4.7 24. 7.	23 1 15,5 1 33.3 1 7.n	21 14.2 52.5 6.4	29 19+6 40+8 8+9		45 30.4 60.0	6 1 4 1 1 5 0 0 1	148 45.3
SCH MCF	1 8 1 12,3 1 27,6 1 2,4	74 36.9 34.8 7.3	8 1 12.3 1 20.0 1 2.4	18 27.7 25.4 5.5	2 3•1 6•5 •6	5 7,7 6,7	1 D. 1	65 19•9
neathofort	14 12.3 48.3 14.3	22 19.3 31.9 6.7	11 9.6 27.5 3.4	24 1 71 · l 1 33 · 8 1 7 · 3	12 10•5 38•7 3•7	25 21.9 33.1 7.6	6 1 5.3 1 50.0 1	114 34.9
COLLAND	29 8.9	69 21,1	4g 12•2	71 21.7	31 9•5	75 22.9	12	327 100•0

CHI SQUARE = 34.80321 WITH 12 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .0005

THERE IS A GOOD TO SERVATIONS = 4



CRUSSTABULATION 17 Commit 404 PCT 1.954-.05 .804-.29 .654-.35 .504-.50 .354-.65 .20#-.80 COL PCT IN B B B B ROW 2.1 3.1 1.1 4.1 5.1 6.1 -113 22 SOCIAL STUDIES 76.9 4 • 1 .7 • 7 42.2 71.n 60.D 33.3 50 • g 6.8 1.2 57 2011 Life 87.7 3.1 1.5 1.5 20.0 1 21.3 6.5 10.0 50.0 1 17.5 • 3 • 3 ŋ PSYCHOLOGY 86.7 6.7 2.7 i • 9 1 • [] 3.5 36.6 22.4 30.0 33.3 • [] 30.2 2.2 1 49 [.3 COLUMN 268 31 10 11

100.0 CHI SQUARE = 13.23725 WITH IN DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .2107

3.1

9.4

MINNER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS =

82.5

TOTAL

325

.6

3.4

TIPE AREA OF CERTIFICATION

NY XIO AREA

PAGE 1 OF

(1)	11117	ָ מו א				
Rn# Cni			RUPAL 2.	SUBURBAN J J.	TY	RDW TOTAL
SOCIAL STUDI	1.	1 37 1 25.0 1 56.9 1 11.4	61 41.2 37.0 18.8	1 46 31.1 51.7 14.2	4 2.7 66.7 1.2	148 45•5
SCLENCE	1.	1 7 1 10.9 1 10.8 1 2.2	49 75.0 79.1 14.0	12.5 9.0 2.5		19.7
ም ናኛ _ር ዘወተ <u></u> ባሊት	١.	1 21 1 1 18.6 1 1 32.3 1 1 6.5 1	56 49.6 33.9 17.2	35 31.0 37.3 10.8	1 1 .9 1 16.7 1	113 34.8
10.	144) V [65 20.0	165 50.8	89 27•4	6 •8	325 100•0

CHI SUMARE = 22.31518 WITH & DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = . DOLL

number of "ITSI'NG OBSERVATIONS = 6

• • CROSSTABULATION OF BY XII X [] Congl. 1 tul 1,C1 1 kuly 1,C1 100 AE2 ROW TOTAL Interior Oct 1-1 1101 1. i 134 i SOCIAL STUBLES 1 A9.9 1 10.1 [45.4 41.7 1 45,9 1 40.9 1 57 56 11 111 1 A7.7 12.3 19,5 22.7 1 17.4 1. i 101 114 PSYCHOLOGY 1 AB.6 34.8

CHI SQUARE = .26527 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .8758

100.0

328

HUHBY CONTINUES HISSING ORSERVATIONS # 3

Culfflyte

TOTAL

1 34.6

292

89.0

1 30.8 1 4.0 1

11.0

* * * * * CROSSTABULATION OF * * * Count 1 RUN LCI INU ROW COLPCT 1 TOTAL TOT POLIT 0+1 1+1 (1) P 125 i 50(14) 5100145 83.9 1 16.1 1 45.4 44.2 | 53.3 | 1 38.1 1 7.3 55 SCHULL 94.6 15.4 19.A 17.4 103 114 PSYCHOLOGY 9.6 90.4 34.8 24.4 36.4 Cornelly 45 283 328 701AL 86.3 13.7 100.0 HI SUMARE = 2.46557 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .2915 MHATER OF MISSING AUSERVATIONS =

· · · · · CRDSSTABULATION X 1 3 t_{00001} ROA PCT IND YFS Ro₩ col PCT TOTAL 1 ini rei i 0.1 X104 138 1 149 SOCIAL STUDIES 1 92.6 7.4 1 45.4 45.A 42.1 59 90.8 50 11 11/1 9.2 1 1 19.4 25.0 18.0 107 114 PSYCHOLOGY 93.9 6.1 1 34.8 1 35.2 1 29.2 1 1 32.6 2.1 (of p_{ij}) 3 ე 4 24 328 10111 92.7 7.3 100.0 THE SOUNTE - . SHA72 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .7465 NUMBER OF HISSING ORSERVATIONS = 3

ERIC Full taxt Provided by ERIC

C 8 O 5 S T A B U L A T 1 D N CODULT 1 ROW PCT IND YES ROW TOTAL ini per 1 0.1 1.1 59 1 90 1 SOCIAL STUDIES 1 60.4 1 39.4 45.4 1 50.0 1 39.9 1 27.4 1 18.n 37 65 SCHING 56.9 1 43.1 19.8 20.6 1 18.9 53 61 114 53.5 1 PSYCHOLOGY 46.5 34.8 29.4 1 41.2 1 1 16.2 1 18.6 CULUMN 180 148 328 TOTAL 54.9 45.1 100.0 HI SQUARE = 5.18462 MITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE - .0748 UMBER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS = .

RY X15 X15 COUNT Ray Pet ind YFS ROW col PCT T TOTAL in per i 0.1 1.7 112 SOCIAL STUDIES 55.0 45.4 1 50.4 1 42.1 25.0 1 20.4 46 SCIFFICE 19.8 23.6 14.0 114 PSYCHOLOGY. 58.4 34.B 34,4 35.3 14.3 1 20.4 1 COLUMN 195 133 320 59.5 TOTAL 40.5 log.n MI SQUARE = 4.68200 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .0962 IUHRE" OF HISSING ORSERVATIONS = 3

· · · CRDSSTABULATION OF

Cutilit 1	XIA		
	 NO 	YF5	ROW TOTAL
104	72 48.3 39.3	77 51.7 53.1]47 45•4
SCIFFICE	22.0 40 61.5 21.9 12.2	23,5 	65 19•8
3. PSYCHOLOGY 	71 62.3 38.8 21.6	43 37.7 29.7 13.1	114 34•8
CULANA	183 55•8	145	328 100•ņ

CHI SQUARE = 4.14712 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # - + 0453

number of PISSING ORSEPVATIONS = 3

XION CROSSTABULATION OF ANALYSIS POR

	X 1 7 .		
COUNT COUNT TOT PCT TOT PCT XID'I	1 1 N O 1 1 O •	YE5	RON TUTAL
SOCIAL STUDIES	1 125 1 83.9 1 45.3 1 38.1	1 24 1 16.1 1 46.2 1 7.3	149 45•4
SCIFNCE	53 81.5 19.2 16.2	1 12 1 1 18.5 1 1 23.1 1	65 19•8
PSYCHOLOGY	98 1 86.0 1 35.5 1 29.9	1 16 1 1 14.n 1 3 n. s 1	114 34•8
LULVE CUERWH	276 84.1	52 15.9	329 · 100•0

CHI SQUARE - . AZII9 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .7330

NUMBER OF MESSING ORSERVATIONS = 3



X I fin	•	* * * * ^ {}_	of CERTIF		r R O S	5 T A	A U L	A T RY	1 0 N X I A	0	r OTHER	• • }	•	h #	• •		• •	• a •	• • • • • •) ()
	<u>, (, ()</u>	ППТ	X 1 A 1	инс	ti o lii															
	COL	PCT PCT	1 0.	YES	KOW TOTAL															
SUCTAL A	 5 Un [1. E5	1 137 1 91.9 1 45.2 1 41.8	12 1 8 1 1 1 48 0 1	149 45•4															
SCIFNCE		7,	1 61 1 93.8 1 20.1 1 18.6	1 6.7 1 16.0 1 1.7	65 19•8 															
PSYCHOL:	uaY	3.	1 105 1 92.1 1 34.7 1 32.0	1 9 1 7.9 1 36.0	1 114 1 34.8 1															
	C () (.	uttu TAL	303 92.4	25 7.6	328 100.0															
CHI SQUAR	r =	•	25047 WIT	H 2 nEG	RFES OF	FREFOO	M 51	GNIF	1 CANCE	T tt	. 8823									
unurik of	MT55	THE U	NSFRVATIO	NS =	3		ı													
								• •	, ,			• •	-	-	_ (• •	~ *			-

r utilit	X 1 9 1 1		
Rnv Pr Cnt Pr Tn T	CT TYPS	NO 2.	ROW TOTAL
1111 - 1	₹ 887 Тиненине	ничн _{ин} ия	Ì
2011VI 211VII.2		100.n	1 149 1 45•4 1
SCH MCI	100.0 17.7 17.8	n •n •n	 65 19•8
PSYCHOLOGY	1 114 1 100.0 1 34.9 1 34.8		 1 4 34.8
(0 <u>),</u> (14) (10) (4)		.3	328 100•ņ

CHI SQUARE T 1.20502 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # 15474

MUMBER OF MISSING DUSERVATIONS = 3

* * * * CROSSTABULATION OF TCATION RY X20 TEX X20 COUNT T POW PCT TYES COL PCT T TOT PCT T ROW TOTAL X104 1. i 148 i SOCIAL STUDIES 1 100.0 SCHULL 1 100.0 19.8 19.B 112 $\mathsf{L2ACHUFOCA}$ 34.6 COLUMN TOTAL 324 324 100.0 100.0 NUMBER OF ALTSING OBSERVATIONS .

107

* the PAGE 1 OF

CO(14) 1 ROW RON PCT 1YF5 TOTAL COL PCT 1 TOT PCT T 11n4 83.1 SOLIAL STUDIES 16.9 55.6 44.1 38.ņ 63 19.4 SCLEHUE 19.8 113 15.9 84.1 PSYCHOLOGY 40.0 34.1 29.3 5.6 45 279 324 COLUMN 100.0 707AL 13.9 86.1 CHI SQUARE = 7.55628 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = . 0229 MUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS #

ROSSTABULATION OF PARAMENTED ARE A PARAMENTED BY X22 FLECTIVE OR REQUIRED

	X 2 2			
104 COUNT 101 PCT 101 PCT	I IFLECTIVE I I		3,1	RNN TOTAL
SOCIAL SIMPLE?	1 144 1 96.6 1 45.3 1 44.0	2.7 66.7	7 1 33.3 1	49 45•6
SCIENCE	1 62 1 95.4 1 19.5 1 19.0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	? 3•1 66.7 •6	65 19•9
3, -	! 112 ! 99.1 ! 35.2 ! 34.3	1 1 9 1 16.7	0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0	113 34.6
101vf Cuf _n un	318 97.2	6 1 . 8	3	327 100.0

CHI SQUARE T 5.68164 WITH 4 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .2242

HILBER OF HISSING ORSERVATIONS # 4

lii

XICH ARFA OF CERTIFICATION BY X23 NO. OF SECTIONS

Compt	X23							
RÖN PCT COL PCT TOT PCT	i ! ! [•]	J 2. j	3.1	[4 _*]	[5•]	l 6.	1 7.1	ROW TOTAL
xiny 1.	52 35.4	37 25.2	29 1 19•7	20 20	7 4 • 8	2 1 1,4		147 45.7
2.	36.6 16.1 	5 ,4 1 ,5 	52.7 9.0 	62.5 6.2	38.9	1 100.0 1 .6 1	4 6 = 11 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	t. n
SCIFNCE	1 68.8 1 31.0 1 13.7	20.3 18.1 4.7	7 • R 1 • 9 • 1 1 • 6 1	3+1 4.3	0 •D •D	1 .0 1 .0 1 .0	1 0 1 1 0 1 1 n 1	64 17.9
.3. PSYCHOLOGY	46 41.4 32.4 14.3	27 19.8 30.6	21 18.9 38.2 6.5	10 9.0 31.3 3.1	9.9 61.1 3.4	0 . n . n	1 100.0	111 34.5
Lulyr Culfign	142 44•1	77 22.4	55 17•1	32 9•9	18 5•6	2	1	322 100•0

CHI SQUARE = 33.35536 WITH 12 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .0009

NUMBER OF HISSING OBSERVATIONS = 9

* * * * * CROSSTABULATION X24 COUNT 1 ROW PCT INCHAVIOR HUMANIST FCLECTIC ROM. COL PCT 115TIC 10 TOTAL INT PCT I X104 56 60 SUCTAL STUDIES 39.2 39.n 48.3 18.9 17.7 28.6 19.9 SCIENCE 60.3 -111 PSYCHOLOGY 37.8 317 COLUMN 116 47 48.6 14.8 TOTAL 100.0 36.6

CHI SQUAPE = 7.446830 WITH 4 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .1131

NUMBER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS = 14

THE AMEN OF CERTIFICATION BY X25 LENGTH OF TIME

COL PCT	X25 SIX WEEK S	K5	ONE SEME STER	R COURSE	_	ROW TOTAL
SOCIAL STUDIES	.7 .7 .100.0 .3	2.7 44.4 1.2	114 76.5 46.5 34.9	28 18+8 41•2 8•6	2 1•3 50•0 46	149 45.6
7. SCIFN(F	0 0 0	3 4.6 33.3	50 76.9 20.4 15.3	12 1 18•5 1 17•6 1 3•7	• 0 • 0 • 0	65 19•9
PSYCHOLOGY	0 •0 •0	2 1.8 22.2	81 71.7 1 33.1 1 24.8	28 1 24.8 1 41.2 1 8.6	2 1 8 50 0	113 34.6
COLUMN TOTAL	1 . 3	9 2.8	245 74.9	68 20.8	4	327 100.0

CHI SQUARE = 5.06488 WITH 8 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .7506

NUMBER OF HISSING OBSERVATIONS =

XIDH ARIA OF CERTIFICATION BY X28 SEX OF MAJORITY

	X 2 8	•		
COUMT ROW PCT COL PCT TOT PCT	T TEMALE T L.	MALE 2.	FVEN	ROW TOTAL
1. SOCIAL STUDIES	I 103 I 70.5 I 43.1 I 32.0	21 14.4 158.3 16.5	22 15•1 46•8 6•8	146 45•3
SCIENCE	1 42 1 66.7 1 17.6 1 13.0	7 11.1 19.4 2.2	14 22.2 29.8 4.3	63 19.6
#5YCHOLOGY	1- 94 I 83.2 I 39.3 I 29.2	1 8 1 7.1 1 22.7 1 2.5	11 9.7 23.4 3.4	113 35•1
COLUMN	239 74,2	36 11.2	47 14•6	322 100•0

HI SQUARE F 9.37537 WITH 4 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .0524

UMBER OF MISSIMG DASERVATIONS =

TICH AMIA OF CERTIFICATION RY X29 RATIO OF WHITES TO BLACKS

AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

COL PCT TOT PCT	X29 I I.95 WHIT IF05 BL I I.					•70-•80 6•1	ROW TOTAL
CIOTAL STUDIES	1 126 1 86.3 1 43.9 1 39.0	15 19,3 71.4 14.6	2 •4 50•0 •6	0 0 0	1 •7 •100•0	2 1.4 1.5.0 1.6	146 45.2
SCIFUCE	57 [87.7 [19.9 [17.6	7 3.1 9.5	1 1•5 25•0	2 3.1 1nn.0	0 • 0 • 0	3 4.6 37.5 I ,9	65 20•1
F5Y(HOLOGY	1 104 1 1 92.9 1 1 36.2 1	3.6 19.r 1.2	1 9 25 0 3	0 •0 •0	0 0 0	3 2.7 37.5	112 34.7
1014F 	287 88.9	21 6.5	4	2	1 • 3	8 2•5	323 100•0

CHI SQUARE # 17.43363 WITH ID DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .0653

NUMBER OF HITTING OBSERVATIONS = 8

121 ERÎC PROSTABULATION OF TRANSPORT PAGE

OF THE AMERICATION BY X30 GRADE LEVEL

OF THE AMERICAN PAGE

OF THE AMERICAN

×104	COUNT ROL PCT (OL PCT TOT PCT	X3C 1 110TH GRA 10E 1 Z.	DÉ	nΕ	GRADES	GRADES	OTHER 7.1	ROW TOTAL
x10,4 ≥0(1)(1) S	I. TUNTES	1 .7 1 .7 1 100.0	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	39 26.5 42.9 12.0	1 1D 1 6.8 1 35.7 1 3.1	93 63.3 46.7 28.6	3 2.n 75.n .9	147 45.7
SCIENCE	7,	0 0 0	1 1 1 1.5 1 50.0	22 33.8 24.2	9 1 13.8 1 32.1 2.8	33 50.8 1 16.6 1 10.2	0 0 0	65 20•n
r5YcHoLO	. 3 . °	0 1 0 1 0	[30 26.5 33.0 9.2	9 8.0 32.1 2.8	73 1 64 • 6 1 36 • 7 1 22 • 5	1 9 25•n •3	113 34.8
	COLUMN	1 .3	?	91 28•0	28 8 • 6	199 61•2	4	325 100•0

CHI SQUARE = 9.65297 WITH 10 nEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .4714

HUBBER OF HISSING ORSERVATIONS = 6

CROSSTABULATION X31 Commit 1 ROW PCT IND YES. ROW COI PCT I TOTAL TOT PCT T X104 LUCIAL SIDDIE? 20.1 79.9 45.4 42.9 11 SCIFNER 16.9 83.1 19.1 15.7 20.9 29 114 PSYCHULDAY 25.4 34.8 41.4 32.9 8.8 25.9 70 COLUMN 251 328 78.7 TOTAL 21.3 100.0 CHI SQUARE = 2.07525 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .3633

125

NUMBER OF MISSING DRSERVATIONS =

TICH TOP OF CERTIFICATION BY ASS PERSONALITY THEORY

tuffi	, X 3 2		
Rpy PC Col. PC 101 PC	T THO T T	YFS 1.1	ROW Total
50CTAL STUDIES	1 5 1 3.4 1 21.7 1 1.5	1 144 1 1 96.6 1 1 47.2 1 1 43.9 1	149 45•4
SCIENCE	1 5 1 7.7 1 21.7 1 1.5	60 1 92.3 1 19.7 1 18.3	65 19•8
rsychology	1 13 1 11.4 1 56.5 1 4.0	101 188.6 133.1 130.8	114 34•8
LDTVF CULA _I A		3n5 93.n	328 100.0

CHI SQUARE = 6.47271 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .0393

NUMBER OF MISSING DRSERVATIONS = 3

CROSSTABULATION · · · · FAGE | OF Coppil 1 ROW LCT IND ROW cou PCT I TOTAL înî l'et t 0.1 1.1 X1114 149 SOCIAL STUDIES 52.3 47.7 45.4 47.3 43.A 28 SCHHILF 43.1 56.9 19.B 20.8 18.7 51 114 PSYCHOLOGY 55.3 44.7 34.8 35,4 34.D 19.7 1 15.5 COUNTH 150 178 328 TOTAL 45.7 100.0 54.3 .45122 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .7980 CHI SUUARE = NUMBER OF HISSING OBSERVATIONS #

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A OF CERTIO	FICATION	r R O S S T I	A B U L A T I O N HY X34	N OF MOTIVATION	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • 1 OF
	x 3 4			•			
count Row Pci Col Pci Tot Pci	l j	YES 1.	ROW Total I				
XICH I, SOCIAL STUDIES	1 36 1 24.2 1 43.4 1 11.0	1 113 1 75.8 1 46.1 1 34.5	1 1 149 1 45•4 1	,	-e.		
Z. SCIENCE	1 19 1 29.2 1 22.9 1 5.8	1 46 1 70.8 1 18.8 1 14.0	1 65 1 19•8 1				
3 . PSY(NOLOGY	1 28 1 24.6 1 33.7 1 8.5	1 86 1 75.4 1 35.1 1 26.2	1 114 1 34.8 1				•
COLU ^M N TOTAL	83 25.3	245 74.7	328 100•0				
CHI SOUNDE =	. K. K. K. 49 W. 1	TH 2 nEG	RFES OF FREED	OOM SIGNIFICANCE	F = .7166		
MUMBER OF HISSING	URSERVATI	011S =	3				

Dri na

)	V 1/5 V	or certif		(R 0 S	5 T A R	(I L A T	1 0 N O	ENOTIONE	 • • • PAGE 10
۲, ۲,۱	1000 11 PCT 11 PCT	X35 100 1 0.	YES I I.	ROW Total					
\$104 - 50(1A) 510	1. 1. 115	1 28 1 18 a 8 1 52 a 8 1 8 a 5	1 121 1 11.2 1 44.0 1 36.9	49 45•4 					
SCIENCE	λ,	7 [0.8 [3.2 2.1	1 5A 1 89.2 1 21.1 1 17.7	1 65 1 19•0 1					
բ5YrnnLagY	١,	1 18 1 15.8 1 34.0 1 5.5	1 9 A 1 84 2 1 34 9 1 29 1	114 34.8 					
С,	nt inn Tutvi	53 16.2	275 83.8	328 100.0					•
CHI SOUARE =	?	•16771 WIT	H 2 nEG	RFES OF	FREEDOM	SIGNIFI	CANCE =	.3383	,
NUMBER OF ME	\$\$ #G	DRSERVATIO	NS =	J 					 g (6 or no p 18 18 or

ROSSTABULATION OF THE THE TON OF THE TON OF THE TRANSPORT OF THE TRANSPORT

		X 3.5		
000 Row Col Tot n4	PCT I	1 140 1	YF5 1.1	ROW TOTAL
"""	1			1.410
E O S. L. C. William	Į	1 24 1	125	149
SOCIAL STUDIE)	6. 51. 7.3	1 83.9 1 1 44.5 1 1 38.1 1	45.4
	2,	14	51	65
Colther	•	21.5	78.5	19.8
201Ence		29.8	1 18.1	1700
•		1 4.3	1 15,5	
	_	763 		
	3.	9		114
PSYCHOLOGY	J	•	195 92.1	
3. 2.1 (HILL D.C.)		7.9		34.8
		1 19.1	37.4	
		2.7	32.N	
en H		 	30.	330
COLU		47	281	328
101	4 L	14.3	85.7	100.0

11 SOUARE = 4.98051 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .0305

INBER OF MIGNING ORSERVATIONS = 3



	25.14.0.4	X37							
	COUNT ROW PCT COL PCT 191 PCT	+	YES	ROW TOTAL					
nu social s	,	1 26 1 17.4 1 43.3 1 7.9	1 123 1 123 1 82.6 1 45.9 1 37.5	I I I 149 I 45•4 I					
SCIFNOF	2,	1 15 1 23.1 1 25.0 1 4.6	5 5 7 6 . 9 1 18 . 7 1 15 . 2	1 65 1 65 1 19•8 1					
25YEHALO	3. Y	19 16.7 31.7 5.8	1 95 1 83.3 1 35.4 1 29.0	1 114 1 34.8 1					
	UMUJO) JATOT	60 8.3	26R 81.7	328 100•C					
i Souari	*	.26791 #17	H 2 nEG	REES OF FREET	nm Sig	MIFICANCF =	.4395		

* * ^ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	5 A 9	* *	\ ()F	r e	RT	1 F 1	CA	10N	•	•	R ◆	0 9	s	5 1			- 8) Y	X.	0 N 38 • •	0	M	IEN	• т Тд1	ET	ΛRI	•	•	•	• •	•	INU Pagi	• (1	OF
) 	1	3 A			v r																										•	., 1
1.04		PC1 PC1		טו	(].	YES)	1.1	Ī	RO OT																							
50(1A)	51001	 , 5	- - 	43 49	64		Ĺ	85 7.ņ 2.7	Ì		1 45	49 •4																						1
5C1FMC1	Γ	2.		44 22 8	29	1 1 1	 :	36.4	j 		19	65 • 8																						

HI SOUARE # 4.45096 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .1080

114

34.8

328

100.0

78 1

199

60.7

1 31.6 | 68.4 | 1 27.9 | 39.2 | 1 11.0 | 23.8 |

UMBER OF MISSIMG DRSERVATIONS =

129

39.3

COLUMN

TOTAL

139

PSYCHOLOGY

A TOP AREA (OF CERTIFI	CATION	c R O S	5 T A B	BA X34	OF STATISTICS
COL PCT TOT PCT	X39 HO O • 1	YES 1.1	ROW TOTAL			
SUCTAL STUDIES	1	2R 18.R 39.4 8.5	149 45•4			
2. SCIFMOF	1 48 1 73.8 1 18.7 1 14.6	17 26.2 23.9 5.2	1 65 19•8			
3. rsychology	1 88 1 77•2 1 34•2 1 26•8	76 22.8 36.6 7.9	1 114 1 34•8			
COLUMN 1014	257 78•4	71 21.6	328 100•0			
MI SOUARE = 1.0 UMBER OF MISSING OF	58496 WITI 9SERVATION		R _F ES OF	FREEDOM	SIGNIFICANC	F ≠ •4527

XION AREA OF CERTIFICATION BY X40 LEARNING THEORIES

	CPX		
COL PCT I TOT PCT I	(1) (1)	YES 1.1	ROW TOTAL
SOCIAL SIUDIES	24 16.1 34.8 7.3	125 83.9 48.3 30.1	149 45•4
2. 501EHCE 1	17 26.2 24.6 5.2	48 1 73.8 1 18.5 1	65 19•8
3. [F57(HOLOGY 1	28 24.6 40.6 8.5	86 75.4 33.7 26.2	114 34•8
- 1 COLUMN TOTAL	69 21 • 0	259 79.n	328 100•n

HI SOUATE = 4.05675 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .1315

IUMBER OF HISSING OBSERVATIONS = 3

• • CROSSTABULATION X41 Cuthat 1 ROA PCT INO YES Ro₩ cor Lct 1 TOTAL 101 111 0.1 XID4 149 SOCIAL STUDIES 1 65.8 1 29.9 42 SCIFNCE 64.6 19.8 20.0 19.5 114 rsychology 61.4 33.3 37.3 1 21.3 210 CULLINI 119 328 1014 64.0 36.0 100.0 CHI SQUARE = .54736 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .7606 ANNHER OF HISSIMA ORSERVATIONS = 3

XIOH AREA OF CERTIFICATION CROSSTABULATION OF THE REDITY AND GENETICS

TO STABULATION BY X42 HEREDITY AND GENETICS

a outlit	X42		
rot pot i	NO 0.1	YES	ROW TOTAL
1. SDCIAL STUNTES	91 6!•1 50.8 27.7	58 38.9 38.9	149 45•4
SCIFNCE	35 53.8 17.6 10.7	30 46.2 20.1 9.1	65 19•8
3, #5Y(HOLOGY	53 46.5 29.6 16.2	61 53.5 40.7	114 34•8
COLUMN 1017F	179 54.6	149	328 100.0

CHI SQUIRE = 5.55733 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = . n621

NUMBER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS = 3

147

CROSSTABULATION 143 control 1 ROW ROA PCT IND YES TOTAL LOL PCT I 0.1 1.1 Tot P(T T 105 1 44 1 SUCTAL STUDIES, 1 29.5 1 70.5 1 45.4 44.4 1 45.9 1 13.4 1 32.0 1 24 1 19.8 36.7 63.1 SCIENCE. 24.2 1 17.9 1 7.3 1 12.5 1 114 31 1 34.8 72.9 1 1 27.2] · ኮንሃርዘባቪክፍ^ሃ 1 31.3 1 36.2 1 1 9.5 1 25.3 1 229 328 99 COLUMN 100.0 69.8 TOTAL 30.2 CHI SCUARE = 1.91504 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .3838 NUMBER OF HISSING ORSERVATIONS ≈

	الغام	t •	X 4 4												
	COUNT COLP COLP TOIP	(T T	 NO D•1	YES 1.1	ROW TOTAL										
)	TUDIES		48 32.2 42.9 14.6	101 67.8 46.8 30.8	149 45.4										
SCTF#CF	7	• [28 [43.1 [25.0 [37 56.9 17.1	1 65 1 19•8 I									•	
<u>የ</u> ፍታረብላኒ፥	3 NGY	• 1	36 I 31.6 I 32.1 I	78 68.4 36.1 23.8	1 114 1 34.R										
	101V (ul lu)		112 34•1	216 65.9	328 190.ņ										
I SQUAR			ATIN CASBA	1 2 nEG	RES OF	FREEDOM	SIGNIF	TCANCE	=	.236	l				

CROSSTABULATION OF A CONTROL OF THE STATE OF BY X45 X45 Connt 1 ROW PCT IND YES ROW COL PCT 1 TOTAL Tol Per 1 53 SOCIAL STUDIES 35.6 64.4 1 45.1 46.1 26 SCHULL 40.0 90.4 19.A 22.6 36 114 PSYCHOLOGY 31.6 68.4 34.B 36.6 31.3 213 115 Cothin 32R TOTAL 64.9 35.1 lop•ù CHI SQUARE = 1.32047 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .5167 HUMBET OF MISSING DUSERVATIONS = 3

ALON ARIA OF CERTIFICATION BY X46 SOCIAL REHAVIOR

	X 4 6		
COL PCT TOT PCT T	1 NO O.	YES I l.j	ROW Total
104		*****	
SOCIAL STUDIES	1 61 1 40.9 1 53.5 1 18.6	1 88 1 1 59.1 1 1 41.1 1 1 26.8 1	149 45•4
SCIENCE	20 30.8 17.5 6.1	1 45 1 1 69.7 1 1 21.0 1 1 13.7 1	65 19•8
PSYCHOLOGY 3.	33 20.9 28.9	81 1 1 71 1 1 1 37 9 1 1 24 7 1	114 34•8
COLUMN TOTAL	114	214 65.7	328 170•0

THE SCHARE = 4.66427 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .0971

ADMRES OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS = 3

alud VRIV	OF CERTIF	ICATION	C R O S	S T A B	U L A I I X YA	ON OF	(ARI
cullit	X47						
COL PCT TOT PCT	I I I G•	YES 1.	ROW TOTAL				
xin4 I. Suctai studie5	1 105 1 70.5 1 47.3 1 32.0	1 44 1 29.5 1 41.5 1 13.4					
7. 5011 Mg 1	1 45 1 69.2 1 20.3 1 13.7	1 2n 1 30.A 1 1A.9	1 65 1 19•8 1				
. Е. Тұн Дон 172 п	1 72 1 63.2 1 32.4 1 72.0	1 47 1 36.8 1 39.6 1 12.8	1 114 1 34•A 1				
tutyf Côfnyn "	227 67•7	106	328 100•ņ				
CHT SOUNDE = 1.	66744 WIT	H 7 nEG	RFES DF	FREEDOM	SIGNIFICA	ANCF = .4344	
ทุนพกระ 🗠 พ.135146 ก	RSERVATIO	NS =	3	•			

· · · · · · CROSSTABULATION 0 | • • • RY X4A MARRIAGE AND THE FAMILY (0)111 1 ROW PCT 140 YE5 ROW COL PCI I TOTAL Ini P(T) 0.1 97 57 1 149 SUCTAL STUBLES 65.1 34.9 45.4 49.5 1 39.4 1 27.6 1 15.9 1 35 311 65 46.7 SCHARE 53.8 19.A 17.9 22.7 10.7 9.1 64 51 114 PSYCHOLOGY 43.9 1 56.1 34.8 32.7 19.5 37.9 1 15.2 1 ((([)))) 196 132 32 R TOTAL. 59.8 40.2 100°ù HI SOMARE = 3.33363 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREFDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .1888 HUMBER OF PLASTING OPSERVATIONS = 3

* * * CROSSTABILATION OF . * * ny Xua DRUGS, ALCOHOLISM X117 (000) nos per ina YF5 ROW CAL PCT T TOTAL 0.1 x104 149 SPITAL STUDIES 43.6 54,4 45.4 52.2 38.9 25.6 19.8]] 32 45 40 letter 47.7 40.8 19 · A 20.5 19.2 10.1 114 PSYCHOLOGY 38.6 61.4 34.1 27.3 41.9 13,4 21.1 167 161 COLUMN 328 TOTAL 49.1 50.9 log.g CHI SWUARE = R.26104 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .0161 MUMBER II (ESTUG OBSERVATIONS =

PP TO STABULATION OF PROPERTIFICATION CROSSTABULATION OF PROPERTIFICATION BY X50 LOVE

THE REPORT OF CERTIFICATION BY T

	x5n		
crt l'cr ini f'cr	 	YE5 [ROW TOTAL
1. 1. 50CTAL STUDIES	1 88 1 59•1 1 49•7 1 26•8	1 61 1 46.9 1 40.4 1 18.6 1	149 45•4
7. [5(1FN _E F]	34 52•3 1 19•2 1 10•4	31 47.7 20.5 9.5	65 19•8
- 3. פאר אטנ מהץ 1	55 1 48•2 1 31•1 1 16•8	59 51.8 39.1 18.0	114 34•8
TOTAL Cof nut	177 54•0	151 46.0	328 100.0

CHI SOUNDE = 1.13012 NITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .2091

NUMBER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS = 3

, , , , ,	• , •	•	t e d t 1	• • • •	• • • •	• •	, , ,	* * *	•	* *	•	•	•	• •	•	•	Ť		PAG	E 1
	COUNT Rg., PC Cot PC Tot PC		X51 IIN D•	yES 1 1.	ROW TOTAL 1															
20(1A) - 2 XIna	1. 100115	1	59 39.6 47.6 18.0	1 9n 1 60.4 1 44.1 1 27.4	I 1 149 1 45.4 I				,											
<u> የር</u> ዘነ ካረ፣	7,	-1 	24 36.9 19.4 7.3	1 41 1 63.1 1 70.1 1 12.5	1 65 1 19•8 1															
ԻՏ Υ/ ԵՐԼ Ո	3, (, ^Y	1	41 36.0 33.1 12.5	1 73 1 64.n 1 35.8 1 22.3	1 114 1 34.8 1			,												
	TOTAL COLUM		124 37.8	2n4 62.2	328 190•0															
CHI SQUARE	=	• 3	8973 WIT	H 2 nEG	RFES OF	FREED	nn 9	SIGNIF	TCAN	(F =		• A	231							

жүнд түйд Эм	A OF CERTIF	TCATION	C R O S S	T A B U L A	A T I O N O BY X52	F ABNORMAL R
(0)11 Roa Po Cot Po Tot Po	CT 140 CT 1	YES 1.	ROW TOTAL I			
XION SUCIAL STUDIES	33 22.1 49.3 10.1	116 177.9 1 44.4 1 35.4	1 149 1 45.4 1			
Z, SCIFII(F	1 18 1 27.7 1 26.9 1 5.5	1 47 1 72.3 1 19.0 1 14.3	1 65 1 19.8			
F5YCHALAGY	. 1 16 1 14.0 1 23.9 1 4.9	98 86.0 37.5 29.9	1 114 1 34.8 1			
COLUM TOTAL		261 79.4	328 100.0 RFES OF FRI	Frnon Stat	NIFICANCE =	• ŋ725

HUMBER OF HISSEMS ORSERVATIONS =

```
* * * * * * CROSSTABULATION
                                                             0 F + + +
                                                   μΥ Х53
          Contra 1
         KU" LCI INEC
                                  ROW
                       NO
         (1) 1 (1)
                                 TOTAL
         Tot Por i
                                   125
 SUCTAL STUDIES
                 15.2
                 44.2
                           39.4 1
 SHITTER
                          88.ŋ
                  12.0 1
                                  18.6
                 14.0 1
                          19.5
PSYCHOLOGY
                 19.1
                          80.9 1
                                  34.9
                  41.9
                          33.6
                   6.7
                          28.7 1
       COLUMN
                  43
                           226
                                  269
         101AL
                                 100.0
                  16.0
                          84.n
HI SQUARE = 1.34925 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .5093
```

TUMBER OF HISSING BASERVATIONS #

CROSSTABULATION OF AREA OF CERTIFICATION

BY X54 ITS PRINCIPLES AND APPLICATIONS

PAGE 1 C

	ر() ا ا	13	X54	No P	
	COL.	L(1 L(1 L(1	INO I I D.	YES ! !,	ROW TOTAL I
104	~ = - ,	- " - <i>a</i> -			Ī
SULTAT ST	Սոլբ	5	1 97 1 65.1 1 46.0 1 29.6	1 52 1 34.9 1 44.4 1 15.9	1 149 1 45•4 1
scirner		2,	1 40 1 61.5 1 19.0 1 12.2	I 25 I 38.5 I 21.4 I 7.6	1 65 1 19•8
PSYCHOLOG	Y	3, -	74 1 64.9 1 35.1 1 22.6	1 40 1 35.1 1 34.2 1 2.2	114 34.8
	inil Int		211 64.3	117 35.7	328 100•0

CHI SQUAPE = .27615 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .8710

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 3

CROSSTABULATION OF ARIA OF CERTIFICATION OF BY X55 UNDERSTANDING OURSELVES AND OTHERS
PAGE 10

	r OUN F	X55		
Ki Ci	OUNT DEPCT DEPCT	NO '	YES	ROW TOTAL
40(1M1 51Vi	 	129 86.6 44.8 39.3	20 1 13.4 50.0	149 45•4
SCIFFICE	2.	55 84.6 19.1 16.8	15.4 25.0 3.0	65 19•8
PSYCHOLOGY	3. 1	104 91.2 36.1 31.7	10 8.8 25.0 3.0	114 34.8
	nital Tutal	288 87•8	4n 12.2	328 100•ņ

CHI SQUARE = 2.07482 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .3544

MINBER OF HISSING CASERVATIONS = 3

* * * * * CROSSTABULATION X56 (900) Ràm PCT 1110 YES ROW COL PCT T TOTAL 0.1 XICH 149 SOCIAL STUDIES 78.5 65 SCTFULF 19.8 114 PSYCHOLOGY 34.8 34.2 27.4 36.9 7.3 Collability 263 45 328 TOTAL 89.2 19.9 100.0 CHI SUNAPE # 1.82613 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .4013

175

NUMBER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS =



COUNT ROW PCT COL PCT	457 1 1110	YFS	RU∜ TOTAL					
int for		; . !						
SUCTAL STRUCTS	1 139 1 93.3 1 44.8 1 42.4	1 10 1 6.7 1 55.6 1 3.0	149 45•4 					
SCIENCE 2.	1 62 1 95.4 1 20.0 1 18.9	1 3 1 4.4 1 16.7 1 .9	I I 65 I 19•8 I					
.t. PSYchologi	1 109 1 95.6 1 35.2 1 33.2	I 5 I 4.4 I 27.8 I 1.5	I I 114 I 34•8 I I					
COLUMN LOTAL	310	ļα ξα 5.5	I 328 100•0					
HT 52UARE #	.77234 WIT	H 2 nEG	RFES OF FREEDO	M SIGNIFI	CANCE =	+6729		
month of 41351 of	OBSERVATIO	MS =	3					

ROS P(1 100 YES RON TOTAL TOTAL TOT P(1 0.1 1.1		ç0011	15 8						
1.	14	ni Pit	1		TOTAL				
7.	· ·		1 148 1 1 99.3 1 1 45.4		1 149				,
SYCHOLOGY	Clunce	7,	I 65 I 100.0 I 19.9 I 19.8	((1)					
COLIMI 326 7 328	SYCHOLOGY	⅓.	1 113 1 1 99•1 1 1 34•7 1	50.g	114 34.8				
1014 44.4 • Y 199•0		TOTAL OFTGAR	326 99.4	7	328 100.0				

```
CROSSTABULATION
          COUNT
         Pha Per Inn
                         YES
                                    ROW
          COL PCT I
                                    TOTAL
          Int PCT 1
1171
                     145
                                     149
 EUCTAL STUDIES
                   97.3
                   45.2
                            57.1 1
                   44.2
                            1.2
                                     65
 SCHULF
                    98.5
                                    19.8
                            14.7
                    112
                                     114
 PSYCHULOGY
                    98.2
                    74.9
                            28.4 1
                              • 6 1
          Coffigure
                     321
                             7
                                     328
          TOTAL
                             2.1
                    97.9
                                 100.0
                           2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = . . . 8166
C 5 1 105 = .40528 #1TH
NVAPEC OF HISSING ONSERVATIONS =
```



r R O S S T A B U L A T | G N рү хьр X60 ا اللالان KAN PCT IND Y F 5 ROW COL POT T TOTAL ini Pet t 0+1 1+1 141 SOCIAL STUDIES 5.4 1 94.6 45,4 45.0 53.3 43.0 2.4 1 63 SCHINER 96.9 3.1 20.1 19.2 109 114 PSYCHOLOGY 95.6 4.4 [34.8 14.8 33.3 1 33.2 313 15 COLUMB .328 TOTAL 95.4 4.4 ំប្រ.ព HI SQUARE # .99892 WITH 2 DEGRETS OF FREEDON SIGNIFICANCE = .7562

UMBER OF MI STHE DUSERVATIONS =

0 F C00/11 1 Ras Per inn YFS Row THE POLIT TOTAL 101 601 1/104 133 16 30(1) 5140[15 A9.3 10.7 45.4 43.8 46.5 3(11116) 93.8 6.2 14.8 20.1 110 1 | 4 #547 IIII III(.Y 96.5 3.5 1 34.8 36.2 16.7 1 33.5 COLUMB 304 24 328 [n] A [92.7 7.3 lnn.n THE SOURCE TO S. 13926 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .0766 HERRER OF MISSIMO ORSERVATIONS =

, u(ili)	, X 6 7		
TOT PCT COL PCT GOM PCT	1110	YES [ROW TOTAL
CLUM CAMPAGE	1 144 1 96.6 1 46.2 1 43.9	3,4 3,3 1,5	149 45•4
SCITHEF.	1 60 1 97.3 1 19.2 1 18.3	5 7.7 3 .1	65 19•8
PSYCHOLDGY 3.	108 194.7 134.6 132.9	6 1 5.3 1 37.5	114 34•8
Enting total	312	16	328 190•9

CHI SOURCE = 1.89010 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .3887

THERE'S HE HAD THE MASERVATIONS = 3

Comit	X 4 4				
Ring PCT	INEVER 65	451011	FN	AT DEAL	ROY TOTAL
SOCIAL SIUNIES	1 1 7 1 1 6 7 1		62 43.7 53.4 20.1	61 61 1 43.0 1 48.0 1 19.7 1	14; 46•0
SCIPHER	3 1 4.9 1 50.0 1 1.0	17 27.9 28.3 5.5	21 34.4 18.1 6.8	20 32.8 15.7 6.5	19•7
#5YEHDLAGY	1 2 1 1.9 1 33.3 1 .6	25 23.6 41.7 8.1	33 31.1 28.4 10.7	1 46 1 1 43,4 1 36.2 1 1 14.9 1	106 34•3
TOTAL Cultiful	6	60 19.4	116 37•5	127	100°Ü 309

CHI SCHARF F 14.43541 WITH 6 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .0251

HUMBER HISSING OBSERVATIONS = 22

CROSSTABULATION RY X65 1 DF X65 COUPT I ROW PCT IND YE5 RON TOTAL INT PCT I 0.1 139 149 SUCTAL STUDIES 93.3 6.7 1 45.4 47.6 45.3 42.4 62 SCHARE 95.4 19.R 70.2 18.9 104 114 PSYCHOLOGY 93.0 7.0 34.8 34.5 38.1 32.3 2.4 1 COLUMN 307 21 328 TOTAL 93.6 6.4 100.0 H1 SQUARE = .44211 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .BD17 UMBER OF HITTING ORSERVATIONS =

CROSSTABULATION DE

RY X67

COUNT I

HOW FCT IND YES ROW

COL FCT I

TOTAL

TOT FCT I

TOTAL

TOT FCT I

TOTAL

TO

104 120 45.4 SOCIAL STUDIES 50.5 19.5 43.3 56.9 65 59 90.8 19.8 SCIENCE 71,3 18.0 114 98 A6.0 34.8 **FSYCHOLOGY** 35.4 31.4 29.9 51 328 COLUMB 277 100.0 84.5 15,5 TOTAL.

HI SOMARE = 3.91343 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .1413

UMBER OF HISSING OPSERVATIONS =

193

ERIC

XID OF THE RESERVE OF CROSSTABULATION OF THE ARCHIVE OF THE RESERVE OF THE RESERV

cotillt	X 6 B		
COL PCT I	 	YES ! 1.1	KOW TOTAL
SUCTAL STUDIES	44 29.5 43.6 13.4	1 105 1 70.5 1 46.3 1 32.0 1	147 45•4
2. 1 SCITHET.	22 33.8 21.8 6.7	43 66.2 18.9 13.1	65 19•A
1. PSYCHOLOGY	35 30.7 34.7 15.7	79 69.3 34.8 24.1	114 34•8
	101 30.8	227	32A 100.ņ

CHI SOUARE # . . . 39626 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .8203

MUMBER OF HISSING DRSERVATIONS = 3

Y LOUIS AREA	OF CERTIF	CATION			N L N I I U RY X65	N OF PREPARE S
Conat	X 6 9					
ROS PCT COL PCT FOT PCT	INO I I 0.1	YFS 1. **	ROW TOTAL			
KTŮN POCTAL STUDIES	57 38.3 45.2 17.4	92 61.7 45.5 28.0	149 145•4			
7. [*] 501€MCF	1 26 1 40.0 1 20.6 1 7.9	39 60.n 19.3	65 19•8			
PSYCHOLOGY	1 43 37.7 34.1 13.1	71 62.3 35.1 21.6	114 34•8			
CDF.U"N TOTAL	1 <i>26</i> 38.4	202 61.6	328 100•0			
HI SOUNRE = .	09396 WITH	e 2 nEG	≀FES OF F	REEDOM	SIGNIFICANO	F = .9541
JUHBER OF MISSING O	IRSERVATION	15 =	3			

PART OF CERTIFICATION PY X70 ELININATE OF THE MISCONCEPTIONS

AREA OF CERTIFICATION PY X70 ELININATE OF THE MISCONCEPTIONS

AREA OF CERTIFICATION PAGE 1

	X7N		
COL PCT 1 TOT PCT 1	 NO O• '	YFS 1.1	ROW TOTAL
TATESTUNIES 1. TENTES 1. T	64 43.0 43.8 19.5	1	149 45•4
SCIFUCE	36 55.4 24.7	79 44.4 15.9 8.8	65 19•8
יים אני איניים איני די איניים אינ די איניים איני	46 40.4 31.5 14.0	68 59.6 37.4 1 20.7	114 34•8
- 1 COLUMN 1 O T A I.	146	182 55.5	328 100±0

CHI SQUARE = 4.05684 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .1315

NUMBER OF HISSING ORSERVATIONS =

COUNT THAT COL. PCT THAT HON TOTAL Tot Per i X104 |49 |5•4 59.7 46.4 40.3 65 19.0 SCIENCE 114 57.9 PSYCHOLOGY 34.8 328 COLUMB 54.5 100.0 10140 41.5 .9154 2 negrees of freedom Significance # CD1 SQUARE # .17673 WITH 3 MULBER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS #



CROSSTABULATION OF COUNT THO ROW COL PCT 1 TOTAL Tot Pet i 0.1 ¥111X 1 59.1 SUCTAL REPORTED 40.9 45.4 47.7 65 35.4 SCIENCE 64.6 19.8 18∙0 44 75 114 PSYCHOLOGY 34.6 1 34.4 35.9 13.4 21.3 128 COLUMN 200 329 39.0 TOTAL 61.1 100.0 CHI SQUARE = .60034 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .7407 NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS .

NOTE OF CERTIFICATION BY X73 HELP STUDENTS UNDERSTAND

TO STATE OF CERTIFICATION BY X73 HELP STUDENTS UNDERSTAND

TO STATE OF CERTIFICATION PAGE I

cunit	X73		
ROW PCT T COL PCT T TOT PCT	 NO 0 1	YES 1.1	ROW TOTAL
SOCIAL STUDIES	33 22•1 50•0 10•1	1 116 1 77.9 1 44.3 1 35.4 1	147 45•4
z. Scleter	7 10.8 10.6 2.1	59.2 89.2 22.1 17.7	65 19•8
ր 5 Y (դու դգ Y	26 22.8 39.4 7.9	8A 1 77.2 33.6 26.8	114 34.8
COLUMU	66 20•1	267 79.9	328 100•ŋ

X

CHI SQUARE = 4.42921 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .1092

BUPBLE OF HISTING OBSERVATIONS # 3

• • CROSSTABULATION 0 F . . . gY X74 THE VOC X74 (000) ROD PCT IND ROW COL PCT 1 TOTAL Tot PCt t 0.1 149 SUCTAL STUDIES 31.5 1 SCIFFICE 19.8 63.1 22.6 18.5 7.3 17.5 114 35 PSYCHOLOGY 39.7 69.3 1 34.R 35.6 33.0 24.1 10.7 222 328 COLUMN 106 TOTAL 32.3 67.7 100.0 CHI SQUARE = .80719 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .6679 NUMBER OF HISSING OBSERVATIONS = 3

207

CROSSTABULATION LIFE COUNT IND YES ROW TOTAL Tot PCT t 0.1 XIN4 SOCIAL STUDIES 73.5 45.4 44.5 48.6 65 10.8 SCIENCE 89.7 19.8 30 Ρ5Υςμομοκ^γ 41.7 32.A 25.6 Cot fight 328 . 72 22.0 78.n 100.0 TUTAL CHI SOUARE = 6.21726 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .1147

210

NUMBER OF BLASING OBSERVATIONS #

	X76		
COL POT 1 TOT POT 1	INO 	YES	ROW Total
SOCIAL STUDIES	9.4 33.3 4.3	1 135 90.6 1 47.2	149 45•4
SCIFACE	8 12.3 19.0 2.4	57 87.7 19.9	65 19•8
3. 7 P5Y(HOLOGY 1	20 17.5 47.6 6.1	94 82.5 32.9 28.7	114 34•R
tutvf Cuffniiti ⊷i	42 12.8	286 87.2	328 100•0

CHI SQUARE = 3.85818 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .1453

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS # 3

RY X77 TO APPLY PSYCHOLOGICAL KNOWLENGE 1

X77							
COUNT ROW PCT COL PCT TOT PCT XIN4	1	YES 1 1.1	ROW Fotal				
SOCIAL STUDIES	1 63 1 42.3 1 45.0 1 19.2	86 57.7 45.7 26.2	149 45•4				
2. SCIFMCF	1 30 1 46.2 1 21.4 ! 9.1	35 I I 53.8 I I 18.6 I I 10.7 I	65 19•8				
rsychology	1 47 1 41.2 1 33.6 1 14.3	67 [58.8 [35.4 [20.4 [114				
COLUMN 101AL	140	188 57.3	328 100•0				

CHI SQUARE # .42851 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .AO71

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS =

CROSSTABULATION COMMIT ROA PCT IND YES ROW COL PCT I TOTAL TOT PCT I 0.1 SOCIAL STUDIES 36.9 63.1 45,4 27 41.5 SCIFNER 20.3 114 PSYCHOLOGY 44.7 34.8 195 59.5 133 COLUMN 328 TOTAL 100.0 40.5 CHI SQUARF = 1.67302 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .4332 NUMBER OF HISSING DASERVATIONS =

X80

CROSSIABULATION OF

NTELLIGENCE

PAGE

X80

COUNT 1

GOA PCI IND YES ROW

COL PCI 1

TOTAL

THE PCI I O.I 1.I

SOCIAL SIUDILS | 26.2 | 73.8 | 45.4

11.9	33.5
11.9	33.5
11.9	33.5
11.9	33.5

CHI SQUARE = 1.11247 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .5734

19.8

114

32A

1 դը "ր

MUMPIN OF HISSING ORSERVATIONS = 3

Chilling

TOTAL

21.5

18.2

I 21.1 I 31.2 I 7.3

· 71

23.5

78.5

20.1

78.9 35.9

27.4

251

76.5

SCIFFICE

P5YCHOLOGY

CROSSTABULATION X81 count 1 Ros Pet ino YES ROW COL PET 1 TOTAL Int Pet 1 1101 91.9 SUCTAL STUDIES 8.1 45.4 46.1 38.7 87.7 SCHARF 12.3 19.R 25.8 17.4 11 PSYCHOLOGY 9.6 90.4 34.8 34.7 35.5 3.4 31.4 297 31 COLUMN 328 10114 9.5 90.5 100.0 CHI SQUARE # .96499 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .6172

3

NUMBER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS =

1 1 A 1	. • • ^	ι ^η ΕΛ (e e e e e		C R 0 S S	T A B	U L A T HY	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *) F	PSYCHOLOGY	PAGE
	רטן: בייו	WT 1	X82	_				•			
	Rija (ni. Tot	P(1 '	IND I I 0.	YES I le	ROW Total					,	
x1n4 50(1)(5	7 1 Un 1 F	1.	73 1 49.0 1 46.5 1 22.3	76 51.0 1 44.4 1 23.2	149 145•4	·					
SCILMEL		2.	1 32 1 49.2 1 20.4 1 9.8	33 1 50.8 1 19.3 1 10.1	65 19•8						
p\$YrIIntu	ς, γ	3.	52 1 45.6 1 33.1 1 15.9	67 1 54.4 1 36.3 1 18.9	1 114 1 34•8 1						
	COLU		157 47.9	171 52.1	328 100•0					,	
CHI SQUARE		•	356p7 WiT	H 2 DEG	RFES OF FR	REEDOM	SIGNIF	ICANCE =	.8369		·
number of	11 55	146 O	RSERVATIO	NS =	3						
						·					

THE ROY OF CERTIFICATION

CROSSTABULATION OF A SECTION BY X83 MOTIVATION

XA3

CHUNT I

FOR PCT IND YES ROW

	4 N 3		
COUNT ROS PCT COL PCT TOT TOT	 	YES ! !.!	ROW TOTAL
SOCIAL STUPLES	1 31 1 20.8 1 51.7 1 9.5	1 11R 1 79.2 1 44.0 36.0	149 45•4
setenge 2.	1 14 1 21.5 1 23.3 1 4.3	51 78.5 1 19.0 1 15.5	65 19•8
PSYCHOLOGY	1 15 1 13.2 1 25.0 1 4.6	99 86.8 36.9 30.2	114 34.8
COLUMN TOTAL	60 18.3	26A 81.7	328 100•0

HI SQUARE # 3.09855 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .2124

UNBER OF HITSING ORSERVATIONS # 3

CROSSTABULA T 1 0 M FMOTIONS COUNT IND ROW TOTAL TOT PCT I SUCTAL STUDIES 14.8 44.7 50.0 38.7 89.2 19.8 SCIFFICE 20.4 17.7 114 84.8 34.8 13.2 PSYCHOLOGY 34.9 30.2 1 328 COLUMN .284 13.4 100.0 86.6 TOTAL CHI SUUNRE = .63205 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICATION .7290 NUMBER OF HISSING ORSERVATIONS = 3

CROSSTABULATION 0 F AY XUS XAS COT LCT IND YES ROW TOTAL 161 161 1 0.1 1101 24 125 149 SOCIAL STUDIES 83.9 16.1 45.4 44.8 30,1 13 65 SCLEPCE 50.0 80.7 18.6 4.0 15.9

CHI SCHARF = 3.21711 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = 2002

114

34.8

328

130.0

102

89.5

36.4 31.1

279

85.1

HUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS =

COFORD

TOTAL

12

10.5

24.5

3.7

49

14.9

BEACHUFURA

XIDA ABLA OF CERTIFICATION BY XBA MENTAL HEALTH

	eanht i	XBA		
u Lali	COURT COL PCT TOT PCT	NO G•	YES 141	ROW TOTAL
XIN ⁴ SOCIAL S	TUDIES	27 18	122 181.9 144.5 37.2	149 45•4
SCLEMO	2.	15 23 • 1 27 • 8 4 • 6	5n 76.9 1 18.2 1 15.2	65 19•8
բՏҰբնույ	3. (,Y	12 10.5 72.2 3.7	102 189.5 37.2 31.1	114 34•8
	1014F (OFA ₁ (I)	54	274 83.5	328 100•0

CHI SQUARE = 5.28664 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = . 0711

NUMBER OF HISSING OBSERVATIONS =

CROSSTABULATION XR7 1 1400 ROS PCT IND ROW cot ict i TOTAL TOT PCT 1 ¥n1x 57.n l SUCTAR STUBLES 43.0 40.9 56.9 19.8 5(1111)(1 43.1 23.3 28 114 PSYCHOLOGY COLUMN 120 20 A 328 100.0 TOTAL 63.4 36.6 CHI SQUARE = 10.88868 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .0043 NUMBER OF MISTING OBSERVATIONS =



X1()4 AREA	OF CERTIF	ICATION	(R 0 5	STAB	BY	VN OI	STATISTICS
	AAA						
COUNT ROW PCT	1 1NO	YES	ROW				
COL PCT TOT PCT] [0•]	1 1.	TOTAL !				
SOCIAL STUDIES	1 113 1 75.8 1 46.7 1 34.5	1 36 1 24.7 1 41.9 1 11.0	1 149 1 45•4 1				
?. [™] SCITU(E	1 47 1 72.3 1 19.4 1 14.3	1 18 1 27.7 1 20.9 1 5.5	1 65 19•8 				
F5YCHOLOGY	1 82 1 71.9 1 33.9 1 25.0	1 32 1 28.1 1 37.2 1 9.8	1 114 1 34•8 1				
COLUMN 101AL	242 73.8	86 26.7	328 190•n				
CHI SQUARE = .	ADINB WITH	H 2 NEGI	RFES OF F	REEDOM	SIGNIFIC	ANCE =	.7404
NUMBER OF HISSING O	nservatio	NS =	3	# H + H			

CROSSTABULATION XA9 ROW PCT INO YE5 ROW COL PCT I TOTAL Int PCT XIn4 149 SUCTAL STUDIES 18.8 45.3 SCIENCE 24.6 75.4 19.8 114 PSYCHOLOGY 14.9 34.8 27.9 COLUMN 61 267 328 TOTAL 100.0 18.6 81.4 CHI SOUNHE # 2.58132 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE * .2751 NUMBER OF PISSING DASERVATIONS *

* * * * * * * * *	• ·	AREA * * *	OF CERTIF		c R 0 5	• • •			ВЧ	, Y90	• •		*	HE * ·	HU!'	A N	₿0 • •	9	•	•	• •	ı •	Pa	GE	1
			X90																						
	Roa Joo	PCT PCT PCT PCT	1 1NO 1	ΫES 1 1.	ROW Total T																				
X104 50r1al	STUNI	1.	1 95 1 63.8 1 47.3 1 29.0	1 54 1 36.2 1 42.5 1 16.5	! ! 149 ! 45•4 !																•				
SCIFNCE		2.	38 1 58.5 1 18.9 1 11.6	27 1 41.5 1 21.3 1 8.2	1 65 1 19•8																				
₽5¥CH0F	ባርሃ	3.	59.6 33.8 20.7	1 46 1 40.4 1 36.7 1 14.n	1 114 1 34.8 1																				
	10)	UMN TAL	201 61.3	127 38.7	328 100.0									107	10		ı								
CHI SQUAF	∤ [#		.73112 NI	TH 2 DEG	RFES OF	FREED	OM	516	NIF	T [AN	Ι(Έ	£	•	693	10										
number of	: MIS	51116	ORSERVATIO	NS #	3				,					. #						~	•	h. •	h '4'	-	. ~



	TABULAT! 0	N DF	
EA OF CERTIFICAT	87 %9	1 HEREDITY	AND GENETIC
 • • • • • • • •	 		* * * * * * PAGE 1

	= (X91		
	COU FOW COU TOT	**	INO 0•	YES 1.	RON TOTAL
xin4 50cini st	Unte	1. 5	91 61.1 51.7 27.7	58 38.9 38.2 17.7	149
SCIENCE		2.	33 50.8 18.8 19.1	32 49.2 21.1 9.8	19.A
PSYCHOLOG	Y	J. 1	52 45.6 29.5 15.9	62 54.4 40.8 18.9	114 34.8
	00LU		176 53.7	152 46.3	328 100.0

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 3

CROSSTABULATION OF AREA OF CERTIFICATION BY X92 HUMAN GROWTH

COUNT	X 9 2	1	
N = 1. P	 NO O•	YE5	ROW Total
SOCIAL STUDIES	1 36 1 24.2 1 49.3 1 11.0	1 113 1 75.8 1 44.3 1 34.5	 49 45•4
SCIFNCE	16.9 15.1 3.4	54 83.1 21.2 16.5	65 19•8
P5YCHOLOGY 3. [26 72.8 35.6 7.9	77.7 34.5 26.8	114 34•8
LOLVE COFINN -1	73 22.3	²⁵⁵ 77.7	328 100•0

CHI SQUARE = 1.40092 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .4964

NUMBER OF HISSING OBSERVATIONS *

241

XIP4 ARFA OF CERTIFICATION BY X93 THE ADDIESCENT

	X93		
COL PCT Int PCT	NO 0 • 1	YES 1.1	ROW TOTAL
xin4 1. 1 SOCIAL STUDIES	33 22•1 47•8 10•1	1 116 1 77.9 1 44.8 1 35.4	149 45•4
SCIENCE 2.	12 18.5 17.4 3.7	1 53 1 1 81.5 1 1 20.5 1 1 16.2	65 19•8
PSYCHOLOGY	24 21.1 34.8 7.3	78.9 78.9 1 34.7 1 27.4	114 34•8
COLUMN	69 21•0	259 79.n	328 100.0

CHI SQUARE = .37021 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .8310

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 3

	outli .	X 9 4							
	COUNT ROW PCT COL PCT	I [NO T	YE2	ROW Total					
n (i	TOT PCT	j 0.1	[
ŋ4 Ṣ₽CTAL S	1. 519D1E5	1 49 1 32.9 1 47.1 1 14.9	100 67.1 44.6 30.5	149 45•4					
SCIENCE	2.	1 25 1 38.5 1 74.0 1 7.6	1 40 1 61.5 1 17.9 1 12.2	65 19•8					
pSYCHOLI	3. NGY	1 30 1 26.3 1 28.8 1 9.1	1 84 1 73.7 1 37.5 1 25.6	: [114] 34•8 !					
	CULANN LOTAL	104	274 68,3	328 100.0 RFES OF F	 C a whi d	F1CANCE	. 2236		



CROSSTABULATION 195 (0041 1 ROW PCT INC YE5 R D W COL PCT I TOTAL X104 38 SUCTAL STUDIES 25.5 74.5 50.0 1 11.6 SCIENCE 21.5 78.5 20.2 15.5 18.4

CHI GOUARE # .84000 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .6570

328

100.0

90

78.9

252

76.A

WUMBER OF HISSING ONSERVATIONS = 3

COLUMN

TOTAL

21.1

76

23.2

1 31.6 1 35.7 1 7.3 1 27.4 1

248

PSYCHOLOGY

	X96		
COL PCT 1 TOT PCT 1	NO D+1	YES 1.1	ROW TOTAL
SOCIAL STUDIES	82 55.0 44.8 25.0	67 45.n 46.2 20.4	149 45•4
SCIENCE	36 55.4 19.7 11.0	29 44.4 1 20.0	65 19•8
PSYCHOLOGY	65 57.0 35.5 19.8	1 49 1 43.n 1 33.8 1 14.9	114 34.8
COLUMN	183	145	328 100.0

CHI SQUARE = .10855 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .9472

NUMBER OF MISSING DRSERVATIONS = 3

COUNT IND YES ROW TOTAL TOT PLT 1 1.0 X 1 1 1 4 SOCIAL STUDIES 53.0 1 47.0 39.3 23 35.4 65 SCIENCE 19.8 15,3 23.4 66 114 **FSYCHOLOGY** 57.9 34.8 32.0 37.1 14.6 20.1 150 COLUMN 328 100.0 TOTAL 45.7 54.3 NUMBER OF HISSING UNSERVATIONS =

C R D S S T A B U L A T I O N

CROSSTABULATION 0 F • • • • • BY X98 nRUGS, AI COHOLISH X98 COUNT ROW PCT IND YES ROW CAL PCT T TOTAL Int Pct t XIOT. 76 73 1 SOCIAL STUDIES 49.0 1 51.0 51.0 23.2 40. A 22.3 29 SCIFNEE 55.4 1 44.6 1 20.1 19.5 1 11.0 PSYCHOLOGY 38.6 61.4 34.8 1 39.1 1 1 21.3 1 29.5 1 13.4 COLUMN 149 179 328 45,4 54.4 100.0 CHI SQUARE = 4.03392 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .1331 number of missing observations =

hi w	X 9 9		
COUNT ROW ECT COL PCT TOT PCT	 NO 	YE5 [ROW TOTAL
50CTAL STUDIES	81 54.4 51.6 24.7	681 1 45.6 1 1 39.8 1 20.7 1	- 149 45•4
SCIENCE 2.	30 46.2 19.1 9.1	1 35 1 1 53.8 1 1 20.5 1 1 10.7 1	65 19•8
FSYCHOLOGY 3	1 46 1 40•4 1 29•3 1 14•0	1 6A I 1 59.4 I 1 39.A I 20.7 I	114 34•B
COLUMN TOTAL	157 47.9	1/1 52.1	328 100.0

CHI SQUARE * 5.17633 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .0752

HUMBER OF SISSING OBSERVATIONS = 3

	XIDO		
COL PCT TOT PCT	 	YES I let	ROW TOTAL
X104	用有有有有有	****	1.05
SOCIAL STUNTES	72 48.3 47.1 22.0	/7 51.7 44.0 23.5	149 145 4 1
SCIENCE	36 55.4 23.5 11.0	29 1 44.6 1 16.6 1 8.8	65 1 19.8
PSYCHOLOGY	45 39.5 29.4 13.7	60.5 39.4 21.0	I I 114 I 34•R
101VI COFfini	153 46.6	175 53,4	328 100•0

CHI SOUARE * 4.51898 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .1044

NUMBER OF MISSING DRSERVATIONS # 3

257

ERIC

CROSSTABULATION OF

BY XIOI ABNORMAL REHAVIOR

FAGE

COUNT I

ROW FLT INO YES ROW

COL PCT I TOTAL

		A LU L		
(00 Row (01 Tot (104	PCT :	 NO 	YES 	ROW TOTAL
•	,	34 22.8 47.3 10.4	115 77,2 44.4 35.1	149 45.4
SCHARE	7,	17 26.2 24.6 5.2	48 73.8 18.5 14.6	65 19•8
PSYCHOLOGY	},	18 15.8 26.1 5.5	96 84.2 37.1 29.3	114 34•8
COLU. 101)		69 21.0	259 79.0	328 100•0

CHI SQUARE = 3.19987 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .2020

NUMBER OF MISSIMG DASERVATIONS =

259

CROSSTABULATION OF ANLA OF CERTIFICATION BY X103 HIGHEST LEVEL OF COURSE (RAINING BY X103 HIGHEST LEVEL OF COURSE (RAINING BAGE)

. 05	X103						
COUNT RAM PC COL FC TOT PC X 104	7 75	POST-NAC HELORS 1 2.		POST=MAS TEH	ST	DOCTORAT E	ROW
50 CIAL STUDIES	7 24 1 16.2 1 43.6 1 7.3	1 26 1 17.6 1 57.8 1 8.0	1 42 1 28•4 1 39•3 1 12•8	49 33.1 45.8 15.0	2 1•4 50•0 •6	5 1 3.4 1 55.6 1 1.5	148 45.3
SCIENCE.		1 2 1 3.1 1 4.4 1 .6	34 I 52•3 I 31•8 I 10•4	27 41.5 25.2 8.3	0 0 0	1 1 1.5 1 11.1	65 19.9
p5ycHnlogy	1 30 1 26.3 1 54.5 1 9.2	1 17 1 14.9 1 37.8 1 5.2	31 27•2 29•0 1 9•5	31 27.2 29.0 9.5	2 1 • 8 50 • 0	3 1 2.6 1 33.3	114
totyr Culingii	55 6 • 8	45 13.8	107 32•7	107 32•7	4 1 • 2	9 2 • B	327 100.0

CHI SOUARE = 34.02504 WITH 10 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .0001

HUMBER OF HISSING OBSERVATIONS #

CROSSTABULATION OF •• BY XIDA CONHI I ROW PCT IYES NO ROW COL PLT 1 TOTAL TOT PCT 1 1+1 2+1 149 SOCIAL STUDIES 45.4 54 SCIFTICE 40 · 8 19.8 15.A 18.0 104 114 PSYCHOLOGY 91.2 34.8 35.9 1 31.7 290 3 A COLUMN 328 88.4 TOTAL 100.0 11.6 HI SQUARE = 2.70348 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .2588 Unber of MISSING DASERVATIONS =

CROSSTABULATION X107 COUNT 1 ROW PCT IYES TOTAL ini per i 1.1 X104 SOCIAL STUDIES . 79.1 52 13 SCIENCE 20.0 20.3 92 PSYCHOLOGY 82.1 T 28.3 COLUMN 261 100.0 TOTAL 80.3 19.7 CHI SOUARE = .38949 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .8230 NUMBER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS =

CROSSTABULATION OF AN AREA OF CERTIFICATION RY XION AUDIO-VISUAL AIDS

coult	X106		
n	YES 1	NO 2.1	ROW
SOCIAL STUDIES	145 97.3 45.7 44.6	1 4 1 1 2.7 1 5 0. n 1	149 45•8
λ	63 78,4 17,9 19,4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	64 19•7
3. [PSYCHOLOGY 1	109 97.3 34.4 33.5	3 I 2.7 I 37.5 I	112 34.5
TOTAL COLUMN	317	8 2.5	325 100•0

CHI SOUARE = .26829 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEHOM SIGNIFICANCE = .8745

NUMBER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS .

· · · · · · CROSSTABULATION BY XID9 FUNDS AVAILABLE TO PURCHA TEACHING AID XIN9 COURT RAW PCT IYES NO POSSIALY ROW COL PCT 1 TOTAL TOT PCT T 1.1 2.1 1101x SOCIAL STUDIES 1 32.6 61.1 1 39.2 32 62 SCHARE 51.6 41.9 55 47 110 PSYCHOLOGY 50.0 42.7 7.3 34.8 39.2 38.1 175 COLUMN 120 21 316 10141 55.4 38.0 6.6 100.0 CHI COHARE = 3.68039 WITH 4 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .4510 SUMPLY OF MISSING DASERVATIONS = 15

CROSSTABULATION Connt 1 ROW PCT IYES NO NOT SURE HON (OL PCT I TOTAL int per t 1.1 X104 147 SOCIAL STUDIES 93.9 1 24.1 48.8 43.7

59

110

34.8

316

100.0

CHI SQUARE = ID-12662 WITH 4 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .0383

•9

25.0

1.3

NUMBER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS * 15

COLUMN

TOTAL

79.7 16.6

98

87.1

34.6

283

89.6

37.9

10.0

37.9

29

9.2

271

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

3)111)2

PSYCHOLOGY

CROSSTABULATION X120 rating) ROW PCT 140 YES RO# · COL PLT ! TOTAL Int PCT 1 0 • 1 (194 110 39 1 149 SOCIAL STUNTES 73.8 26.2 45.4 94.3 45.8 1 33.5 11.9 48 17 SCIFBER 73.8 26.7 19.6 19,3 14.6 82 114 PSYCHOLOGY 71.9 34+8 34.2 25.0 9.8

CHI SOUNDE . . . 13707 MITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .9338

328

100.0

8.8

26.A

BURRER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS =

240

73.2

.. Coj umn

CROSSTABULAT 1 ON 0 F X121 Cutill 1 KOT PLT IND YES RON COL PCT 1 TOTAL Tol Pet 1 0.1 **1104** 101 32.7 SUCTAL STUDIES 67.8 45.3 22 65 SCIFTICE 66.2 33.8 19.A 21.n 19.3 79 35 114 rsychology 69.3 30.7 34.8 33.3 24.1 10.7 COLUMN 223 105 328 TOTAL 48.0 32.0 100.0 CHI SHULRE # .19321 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDON SIGNIFICANCE = .9079 NUMBER OF DISTING PRSERVATIONS =

275

CROSSTARULATION OF A SAME AND AMEA OF CERTIFICATION BY X122 AUDIO-CASSETTE TAPES

X127

COUNTY THO TYPES

ROW

COUNTY TO THE TOTAL

כטוווון ו	X122	\	
PAN PLT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I	NO 0.	YE5	ROW TOTAL
104 1. 1. 500 AL STUDIES 	113 75.8 46.3 34.5	36 1 24.7 1 42.9 1 11.0	149 45•4
SCIENCE	51 78.5 70.9	1	65 19•8
ე. ე გეგოცეგბე გეგოცეგბე	80 70.2 32.8 24.4	34 1 29.8 1 40.5 1	114 34•8
TOTAL COLUMN	244 74.4	84 25•6	328 100.0

THE SUMMER = 1.77268 FITH 2 DEGREES/OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .4081

NUMBER OF OISSING ORSERVATIONS = 3

277

X173

CHUNT TO THE TOTAL

TOTA

5 B 89.2 SCIFFICE 10.A A 9 34.A Trantot A 78.1 43.1 33.0 27.1 7.6 58 270 321 Chraqu 17.7 100.3 TOTAL 82.3

1 45.6

37.5

44.9

CHI SOUNDE = 3.55259 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM \$16NIFICANCE = .1693

FUMPER OF MISSING DASERVATIONS #

CRUSSIAHULAIIUN 0 F X124 count 1 ROW PCT IND Y E 5 ROW TOTAL Tot PCT t 0 • 1 1 • 1 X 1 0 7 106 1 SOCIAL SEUDIES 20.7 45.4 43.4 46.3

50 1 F 11 (E. 24.6 19.8 16.2 21.4 14.9 40 114 **FRACHULOUX** 64.9 35.1 34.8 40.4 32.3 1 12.2 22.6 99 30.2 229 378 69.8 THIAL 100.0

1 13.1

1 32.3

CHI SQUARE = 2.38149 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .3040

NUMBER OF MISSING OFSERVATIONS =

BY X125 X125 C0001 L ROS PCT IND ROW COL PCI I TOTAL Tot Pet i Del lat 1111 114 23.5 1 50(1A) 510p115 76.5 45.8 44.3 10.7 65 78.5 20.5 15.5 41 11 11 1 21.5 19.8 17.7 84 3,1 114 P. A. CHULDUA 26.3 73.7 34.19 33.7 38.n 25.6 9.1 1 249 COL WAY 79 328 TOTAL 24.1 100.0 75.9 CHI SOUARE T .56966 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .7521 MUMBER HE HISSING OBSERVATIONS =



WELV OF CERTIFICATION X176 CUUUT ROY PET IND YES ROW TOL POT T TOTAL 0.1 111 1111 POTK SUCTAL STUDIES 45.4 78.5 3(11111) 19.B 114 70.2 29.A 34.8 <mark>ድና</mark>ሃርዛበ<u>ር</u>በርሃ 43.4 32.0 1 10.4 250 328 (0), 1/4/1 23.1 76.2 lso.a 10141. CHI SQUARE # 3.74855 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .1535 HUMBER OF PISSING DRSERVATIONS =

CROSSTABULATION

CRUSSTABULATION BY X177 X127 Callet ROW PCT IND YES ROW LOL PCT I TOTAL Tot Pet i X194 SUCTAL STUDIES 1 - 66.4 33.6 44.8 53 17 SCIENCE 81.5 18.5 19.8 24.0 69 45 39.5 114 PSYCHOLOGY 60.5 34.B 31.2 12.1 21.0 13.7 COLUMB 221 197 328 TOTAL 67.4 32. h 100.0 CHI SOURRE # 6-42391 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .0148 NUMBER OF PISSING ORSERVATIONS =

• • • CROSSTABULATION coult t RON PET IND YES ROW COL PCT I TOTAL Int Pet t 0.1 67 1 82 1 149 45.0 | 55.0 | OCTAL STUDIES 47.2 44.1 1 20.4 25.0 1 34 CTENCE 47.7 1 52.3 1 19.8 23.9 1 16.7 1 41 I 36.0 I 73 1 114 SYCHOLOGY 64.n 1 34.6 78.9 1 39.2 1 12.5 1 22.3 1 COLUMN 142 184 328 56.7 43.3 160.0 TOTAL

BER OF MISSING DASERVATIONS =

Allid Villy	OF CERTIF	ICATION	C R O S	5 T 4	B U L A T BY	1 0 N	O F • • • FILHSTRI
COL PCI	X129 INO I G.	YE5 I I.	ROW TOTAL	•		,,	••••
EN TOURS	1 96 1 44.4 1 45.9 1 29.3	1 57 1 35.4 1 44.5 1 16.2	1 149 45.4 				
in state of the st	44 67.7 21.1 13.4	32.3 17.6 6.4	I 65 I 19.8 I				
3. 1 PSYCHOLOGY 1	69 60.5 33.0 21.0	45 39.5 37.8 13.7	1 114 1 34•8				
TOTAF Collina	209 63.7	119	328 100.0				
T SCHARL = .9	79NB WITH	•	3 3	REEnnm	SIGNIFI	CANCE n	.6129

· · · · · · · · · CROSSTABULATION 0 F • • • • • • BY XIan SIMULATION GAMES X130 Commit 1 ROY PCT INO YES. ROW TOTAL Int Pci i 69 SUCIAL STUDIES 53.7 46.3 39,7 21.0 24.4 SCHENCE 32.3 67.7 16.9 Нņ 114 FSYCHOLOGY 29.8 70.7 34.8 27.4 39.7 10.4 24.4 CULINIA 124 204 328 TOTAL 37.8 62.2 100.0 THI SWUARE = 8.50574 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .0142 HUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS =

C R O S S T A P U L A Ţ T O N X | 3 | Connt 1 ROV PCT IND YFS ROW TOTAL Int Pct t 0.1 115 77•2 SUCTAL STUDIES 22.8 45.4 45.1 46.4 35.1 54 SCHULF 19.A 21.2 16.5 86 28 114 PSYCHOLOGY 75.4 34.8 33.7 26.2 8.5 (OF BUN 255 73 328 1014 22.3 77.7 100.0 CHI SOUARE = 1.44584 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .4853 NUMBER OF RESTING UNSERVATIONS =

CROSSTABULATION OF RY XIAP Chillil 1 ROW CCL IND YES ROW uni pri TOTAL înî Pij X | 19.9 149 RULLY RIBBLE 71.8 20.2 45.4 31.4 49.5 12.6 65 SCHEEL 14.4 25.9 55.4 19.A 16.7 73 114 PSYCHOLOGY 64.0 36.1 34.R 36.6 Culfulli 216 112 358 10140 45.9 34.1 100.0 THE SQUARE # S.ABB27 WITH 2 negrees of freenom IMPRIR OF PICCING ORSERVATIONS =

ALOH AREA OF CERTIFICATION AY XI33 STUDENT WORKBOOKS

X133							
(COUNT ROW PCT LOL PCT TOT PCT	 NO O•	YES	ROW TOTAL			
	Jņ 16 5	94 63.1 44.3 28.7	1 55 1 36.9 1 47.4 1 16.8	149			
SCIENCE	2. 1	43 66.2 20.3	22 1 33.8 1 19.0 1	65 19•11			
ኮኖሃ(ዛብ) በ ፍ)	3, " 	75 65.8 35.4 22.9	39 1 34.7 1 33.6 1 11.9 1	114 34•8			
(TOTAL	212 64•6	116 35.4	328 100.0			

CHI SQUARE = .78822 WITH 2 DEGREES OF TREEDON SIGNIFICANCE = .8658

NUMBER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS =

CROSSTABULATION Culifit 1 YES BUR ECT IND ROW con Pci i TOTAL TOT PCT 1 X104 SOLIAL STUDIES 36.9 29 SCHUCE 41.6 23.4 1 17.6 8.8 114 PSYCHOLOGY 35.1 32.3

CHI SQUARE = 1.69063 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE * .4294

62.7

328

100.0

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 3

TOTAL

124

37.8

CROSSTABULATION X135 (thin) ROW PCT INO YES ROW COL PCT I TOTAL Int Pit X104 123 76 SUCTAL STUBLES 149 A2.6 45.4 51.0 37.5 7.9 2. 58 65 SCIENCE IO.A 19.9 13.7 96 PSYCHOLOGY 18 114 84.2 15.A 34.8 34.7 29.3 5.5 COLUMN 277 328 TOTAL 84.5 15.5 100.9 CHI SOUARE = 1.54584 WITH 2 nEGRFES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE # .4617 HUMBER OF HISSING ORSERVATIONS =

CROSSTABULATION OF ... ACIX YA SAMPLE PSYCHOLOGICAL X136 COUNT 1 ROW PCI INO YES COL PCT I ROW TOTAL SOCIAL STUDIES 45.6 45.4 36 SCIFFICE 65 55.4 44.4 19.B 23.4 16.7 50 rsychology 114 43.9 56.1 36.8 34.8 32.5 15.2 MUJIN 154 174 TOTAL 328 47.0 53.rı 100.0 1 SOURCE = 2.39677 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .3017 MRER DE PICTING ORSERVATIONS =

CROSSTABULAȚION X139 COUNT 1 ROW PCT TYES RO₩ NO COL PCT I TOTAL Tot par 1 1.1 1194 48 94 SUCTAL STUDIES 33.8 66.2 45.5 48.5 16 61 SCIFILL 35 74 109

CHI SQUARE = 1.14089 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .5653

34.9

312

100.0

67.9

213

68.3

NUMBER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS = 19

CULUMN

TO FAL

99

31.7

307

PSYCHOLOGY

		3.1	114			4	Tx	n	F	CF	k1		7	Ċ٨	Ť	loi	J													-8	Y	χ	H	40			R	ECI	[]	٧E	A	כטו	βY	01	F	TH	Ϊ,	1 P V	, ł	IF. W	2	F	ı
		•		1	è	•	% A(- #		•	1	•	•	•				•	1		٠	٠	٠	*		٠		4	1)	• .	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	٠	•	٠		•		ı	PA	GΓ		l
•	•								-	-																																											
						1			Y I	43																																											
						ail	17		۸۱	7.0																																											
					٠.)HI		l		_																161																											
					fin:									M ())				ŅΨ	. F	ĮIJ [.		H ()	Ιψ																											
					(:0)	. 1	`(1											1 K	3	T))	ď	Ţ	ηŢ	۸L				•																							
					Tù	1	'(1	1				1	·i				2	• 1				3	. 1																														

v 4 s 11	101 PCT 1	 1• -	2.1		INTAL
X1 ⁿ⁴ 50(1 <u>A</u> 1	1. STUD1F5 	3/ I 25.9 I 1 38.9 I 1 11.7 I	15 10.5 33.1 4.7	71 1 63.6 1 51.7 1 28.8 1	143 45.3
• \$011 NGE	2.	20 I 32.3 I 21.1 I 6.3 I	13 21.7 28.9 4.1	29 46.8 16.5 9.2	62 19•6
PSYCHOL	3. 1 (16. ^y	38 1 34.2 1 40.0 1 12.0 1	17 15.3 37.8 5.4	56 1 50.5 1 31.8 1	111 35•1
	COLUMBI TOTAL	95 10.1	45 14.2	176 55.7	316 100.U

NUMBER OF HISSING OBSERVATIONS = 15

· · · · · CROSSTABULATION 0 F AY XIII X141 COUNT 1 RUL PCT TYES ROY COL PCT I TOTAL Int PCT 1 Pn1x 106 1 141 SUCTAL STUDIES 24.8 77.8 40.2 1 1 11.3 34.3 1 61 SCIENCE • 0 1 100.5 23.1 ٠Ū 10 97 107 PSYCHOLOGY 9.3 90.7 22.2 I 36.7 31.4 1 COLUMN 45 264 309 TOTAL 14.6 100.0 85.4 CHI SQUARE = 24.46693 WITH 2 DEGREES OF FREEDOM SIGNIFICANCE = .0000 NUMPER OF MISSING ORSERVATIONS =

1 1 1 1 4 1,

ONEWAY

VARIABLE X23

No. OF SECTIONS

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Shurce	D.E.	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARES	F RATIO	F PROR.
HETHEFN GROUPS	7	17.3336	18.6668	[1.894	•000
WITHIN GROUPS	319	500•6549	1.5694		
TOTAL	321	537 • 9876			

GROHP	Connt	MEAN	STANDARŅ DEVIATION	STANDARN Error	NINIMUM	HUNIXAN
GREDI (1) GREDZ (1) GREDJ (6)	··· 64	2.3129 1.4531 2.2973	1 • 2864 • 775? 1 • 4180	•1061 •0969 •1346	1 • 0000 1 • 0000	4•0000 4•0000 7•0000
1014	372	2 - 1366	1 • 2946	•0721	1 • 0000	7•0000
	FIXED FFF	ECTS MODEL	1 + 2528	•0698		
	RAUDON FFF	ECTS MODEL	•5119	• 2955		

TESTS FOR HOMOGENETTY OF VARIANCES

COCHRAMS C = MAX. VARIANCE/SUM(VARIANCES) = .4713, P = .DDO (APPROX.)

BARTLEIT-BOX F = 12.683, P = .DDO

MAYINDA VARIANCE / MINIMUM VARIANCE # 3.346

VARIABLE X74

NO. OF STUDENTS TOOK PSYCHOLOGY

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

SOURCE	D.F.	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARFS	F RATIO	F PROH.
BFTWEEN GROUPS	?	157181.0000	78590.50nD	8,100	.000
WITHIN GROUPS	299	2901117.7500	9702.7349		
TOTAL	391	3058298.7500			

Chulib	Connt	MEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION	STANDARD ERROR	WINIWOH	HAXIMUM
GRP01 GRP02 GRP03	135 60 107	117.9481 57.5833 108.5514	102•3453 64•4500 108•6995	8.8085 8.3205 10.5084	1 n • 0 n n n 9 • 0 n n n 6 • 0 n n n	500 • 0000 360 • 0000 581 • 0000
101 A L	3n2 -	102+6258	100+7991	5.8003	V • 6000	581.0000
	FIXED FFF	FCTS MODEL	98.5025	5.6687		
	wangou eff	ECTS MODEL	33.9022	19.5734		

TESTS FOR HUMBGENEITY OF VARIANCES

COCHRAGO C = MAX. VARIANCE/SHM(VARIANCES) = .4468, P = .004 (APPROX.)

GARTIETI-BOX F = .000

GARIANCE / MINIMUM VARIANCE = .2.845

316

VARIABLE X27 NO. OF STUDENTS CURRENTLY ENROLLED

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Source	() • F *	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARES	F RATIO	F PROR.
BETWEEN GROUPS	7	166326 • 1250	83163.0625	7,936	•001
WITHIN GROUPS	322	3374507 • 1875	10479.8359		
TOTAL	324	3540A33.3125			

GROUP	COUNT	MEAN	STANDARN DEVIATION	STANDARN ERROR	ититин	MAXIMUM
GRPD1 GRPD2 GRPD3	147 65 113	111.3673 54.2769 110.0973	103+0341 54+8633 120+7095	8•4981 6•805n 11•3554	6 • 0 0 0 0 4 • 0 0 0 0 3 • 0 0 0 0	600 • 0000 240 • 0000 627 • 0000
TOTAL	32 ^t i	99.5077	104.5395	5.7989	3.0000	622.0000
	•	FECTS MODIL FECTS MODEL	162•3711 33•9014	5 • 6785 19 • 5730		

TESTS FOR HOHOGENETTY OF VARIANCES

COCHRADS C = MAX, VARIANCE/SUM(VARIANCES) = .5168, P = .CON (APPROX.)

PARTIFIT-BOX F = 20.430, P = .000 MAXIMUM VARIANCE = 4.841

DNEWAY

VARIABLE X105

HOURS OF COLLEGE COURSE CREDIT

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

SOURCE	D.F.	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARFS	F RATIO	r PROH.
RETWEEN GROUPS	2	5048.7383	2524.3691	9.871	• and
TITHIN GROUPS	316	80899•0195	255.7747		
TOTAL	318	ASA57.7578			

GRalip	00011	ME A N	STANDARD DEVIATION	STANDARD ERROR	HUMJNIH	Maximum
68P01 68P02 68P03	194 73 117	24 • 8194 32 • 6349 32 • 9197	14·8483 15·8718 17·4147	1.2374 1.9997 1.6455	• 0 0 0 0 8 • 6 0 0 0 • 0 0 0 0	95•0000 98•0000 99•0000
10106	317	29.2038	16 • 4315	• 8500	• 0000	99•0000
	FIXID FFF	ECTS MODEL	15.9914	.8953		
	RAHDON EFF	ECTS MODEL	4 • 7 2 9 5	2.7304		

TESTS FOR HOMOGENETTY OF VARIANCES

(OCHRANS C = MAX. VARIANCE/SHM(VARIANCES) = .3910, P = .130 (APPROX.) RAPILETT-BUX F = 1.600, P = .200

MANTHUM VARIANCE / MINIMUM VARIANCE = 1.376

320,

SECTABLE FILE TEXT AND LECTURE

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

SnURCE	Dif	SUH OF SQUARES	MEAH SQUARES	r RATIO	F PROR.
NETWEEN GROUPS	2	2.6344	1 4 3 1 7 2	1,384	. 251
WITHIN GROUPS	295	280.8019	• 9519		
THITAL	297	283.4363			

alloga	C0001	MEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION	STANDARD ERROR	мійімим	MAXIMUM
68001 68002 68003	136 55 107	3.7721 3.5273 3.7664	1 • 0035 • 8997 • 9769	•0860 •1213 •0944	1 • 0 0 0 0 2 • 0 0 0 0 1 • 0 0 0 0	₭∙ᲘՐ₢Ე ₭∙ᲘՐᲔᲔ ₭∙ᲘՐᲔᲔ
TOTAL	7711	. 3.7248	•9769	.0564	1.0000	4.0000
	FIXI ^{II} FFF	FCTS MODEL	• 9756	.0565		
	RAMBON TER	ECTS MODEL	•1466	.0848		

15515 FOR HOHUGEHELTY OF VARIANCES

(OCHRANS C = MAX. VARIANCE/SUM(VARIANCES) = .3634. P = .435 (APPROX.) .443, P = .648GARILLITHOX F =

MAXIMUM VARIANCE / MINIMUM VARIANCE = 1.244 -- OHEWAY --

VARIABLE XIII

LICTURE

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

SUMBCE	l) • F •	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARES	F RATIO	f PROn.
OFTWEEN GROUPS	7	•1846	.0973	.103	· B 9 7
WITHIN GROUPS	285	256.5342	.9001		
INTAL	267	256+7188			

GROVE	Cuint	HEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION	STANDARD ERROR	MINIMUH	MAXINUM
68 P Ø J 68 P Ø Z 68 P Ø 3	135 57 70	3.7037 3.7368 3.6667	•9469 •8562 1•9018	. 1815 • 1134 • 1027	2•0000 1•0000 1•0000	5 • NO 0 0 5 • NO 0 0 5 • NO 0 0
10141	549	3 • 69 19	•9458	• 0557	1.0000	s•n000
	FIXID FFF	ECTS MODEL	•9487	• 0559		
	BVAIDUH tet	ECTS MODEL	+0355	.0205		

11515 FOR HONOGENEITY OF VARIANCES

COCHRANS (= MAX. VARIANCE/SUM(VARIANCES) = .3811, P = .229 (APPROX.) PARILETT-HOX F = .839, P = .435

FA THE VARIANCE / MINIMUM VARIANCE =

1.369

323

1114 JULATURA

TLACHER DEHOMSTRATIONS

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

hallrer	0.5.	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARES	r RATIO	f phon.
BETWEEN GROUPS	7	+6706	.3363	,493	+617
ALTHIN GROUPS	201	191 - 1991	46804		
INTAL	203	191.888			

GROUP	(1)1141	MI, V N	STANDARD DEVIATION	STANDARD ERROR	WINIMIIM	Maximum
GREDS GREDS GREDS	132 52 100	3•1591 3•2590 3•1100	•8365 •7106 •8633	•0728 •0985 •0863	1 • 0 0 0 0 2 • 0 0 0 0 1 • 0 0 0 0	510000 510000 510000
TOTAL	284	3 • 1585	•8234	• O 4 A 9	1.0000	5,0000
	FIXID FFFE	ECTS MODEL	•8249	.0489		
	nymon eele	ECTS MODEL	•0732	.0423		

TESTS FOR HOMOGENETTY OF VARIANCES

VARIABLE X114 DISCUSSION

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

SOURCE	0.5.	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARES	F RATIO	F PROA.
RETWEEN GROUPS	2	•7555	.3777	.695	•504
WITHIN GROUPS	308	167.3539	.5434		
TOTAL	319	168 • 1094			

GRAUP	COUNT	MEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION	STANDARD EHROR	MINIMUM	MUNIXAM
58601 58602 58603	143 59 127	4.1678 4.0339 4.1193	•7119 •7871 •7419	•0595 •1025 •0711	2•0000 2•0000 2•0000	\$•0000 \$•0000 \$•0000
TOTAL	311	4 • 1254	•7364	•0418	2+0000	5•0000
	FIXID FFF	ECTS MODEL	•7371	.0418		
	RANDOM FFF	ECTS MODEL	•0715	.0413		

TESTS FOR HOMOGENETTY OF VARIANCES

COCHRADS C = MAX. VARIANCE/SHM(VARIANCES) = .3695, P = .340 (APPROX.)

#ART(ETT=180x F = .656

MAXIMUM VARIANCE / MINIMUM VARIANCE = 1.222

---DIEMAY---

VARIABLE XIIS STUDENT LAR EXPERIMENTS

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

Source	() • F •	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARES	F RATIO	r PROR.
BET*EEN GROUPS	7	1.4874	.7437	.749	.478
FITHIN GROUPS	27 A	276.0287	.9979		
TOTAL	28 n	777.5161			

CKUNP	COUNT	MEAN	DEVIATION	STANDARD ERROR	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM
68P91 68P02 68P03	132 52 91	2.59n9 2.6346 2.7526	•9881 •9081 1•9511	•0860 •1259 •1067	1 • 0 0 0 0 1 • 0 0 0 0	5•0000 4•0000 5•0000
101VI	201	2.6548	•9956	. ŋ594	1.0000	5•0000
	FIXED FFF	FCTS MODEL	•9964	.0594		
	RAUNOH EFF	ECTS MODEL	• 0838	.0484		

TESTS FOR HOMOGENETTY OF VARIANCES

COCHRADS C = MAX. VARIANCE/SHM(VARIANCES) = .3802, P = .243 [APPROX.]

PARTILLTI-BOX F = •702, P = •50n MAXIMUM VARIANCE / MINIMUM VARIANCE =



VARIABLE ATTA SHALL GROUP DISCUSSIONS

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

SOURCE	D.r.	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARES	F HATIO	F PROA.
ALTWEEN GROUPS	,	.8448	. 4224	.482	.624
WITHIN GROUPS	297	240:1519	.8759		
THTAL	299	260.9967			

r.Roup	Connt	MEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION	STANDARD ERROR	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM
68003 68003	141 47 172	2•9645 3•1053 2•9804	•9213 •9762 •9332	•0776 •1293 •0924	1 • 0000 1 • 0000 1 • 0000	5.00005.0000
TOTAL .	30.1	2.9967	•9343	•0539	1.0000	5.0000
	tixty tet	ECTS MODEL	• 935 9	.0540		
	RAMBON FFF	ECTS MODEL	•0809	.0467		

18315 FOR HUMOGENELTY OF VARIANCES

(OCHRAUS C = MAX. VARIANCE/SHM(VARIANCES) = .3566, P = .540 (APPROX.) PAPTULITHOX F = •137, P = •869

MAXIMIN VARIANCE / MINIMUM VARIANCE = 1.123

---ONEWAY-

VARIABLE XII7 GUEST SPEAKERS

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

SOURCE	D.F.	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARES	FRATIO	F PROR.
BETWEEN GROUPS	7	.4854	.2427	.348	.712
WITHIN GROUPS	281	196•1343	.6980		a,
TOTAL	283	196.6197			

group	Culint	MEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION	STANDARD ERROR	MINIMUM	Maximum
GRPO1 GRPO2 GRPOJ	132 55 97	2.3712 2.4000 2.4639	•8326 •8735 •8173	•0725 •1178 •083n	1 • 0000 1 • 0000 1 • 0000	5 • 0000 5 • 0000 4 • 0000
10141	2 E. A	2.4085	•8335	.0495	1.0000	5 • ŋ000
	LIXID EFF	ECTS MODEL	•8355	.0496		
	RANDON FEF	ECTS MODEL	•9476	•027s		

TESTS FOR HOHOGENFITY OF VARIANCES

COCHRANS C = MAX. VARIANCE/SHM(VARIANCES) = .3592, P = .508 (APPROX.)

BARTLITT-BOX F =

•157, P = •853

MAXIMUM VARIANCE / MINIMUM VARIANCE =

1+142

VARIABLE X118 VALUES CLARIFICATION ACTIVITIES

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

SOURCE	D.F.	SUM OF SQUARES	MEAN SQUARES	F RATIO	F PROH.
BETWEEN GROUPS	7	2.3327	1.1664	1.104	•334
WITHIN GROUPS	274	299.4940	1.0565		
TOTAL	274	291.8268			

GROUP	Connt	NEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION	STANDARD ERROR	MINIMUM	MaXthum
68P01 68P02 68P03	179 51 97	2.8062 3.0588 2.8763	1 • 023A • 9677 1 • 0632	•0901 •1355 •1080	1 • 0000 1 • 0000	5•0000 5•0000 5•0000
FOTAL	277	2.8773	. 1.0283	• ŋ 6 t A	1 • 0000	5•0000
	FIXED FFF	ECTS HODEL	1 • 0279	•061a	•	
	pAUDOI! FFF	ECTS MODEL	•1379	•0796		

TESTS FOR HONOGENETTY OF VARIANCES

COCHEANS C = MAX. VAHIANCE/SUN(VARIANCES) = .3629, P = .458 (APPROX.) .287, P = .754 1.207

RAPTLETT-BOX F = MARTHUM VARIANCE / MINIMUM VARIANCE =