DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 196 461 JC 800 620

TITLE Results of the Fall 1980 Entering Student Survey.

Student Flow Program, Report 7.

INSTITUTION Hawaii Univ., Honolulu. Kapiolani Community Coll.

SPONS AGENCY Department of Education, Washington, D.C. PUE DATE Oct 80

Oct 80

EDFS PRICE MF01/FC02 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Academic Achievement: Age: Career Choice; College

Choice: Community Colleges: Enrollment Influences: Females: Financial Surport: Males: Questionnaires:

Self Evaluation (Individuals): *Student

Characteristics: *Student Educational Objectives;

Student Employment: Tables (Data): Two Year Colleges:

*Two Year College Students

ABSTRACT

NOTE

A survey of 2,338 students entering Kapiolani Community College (KCC), Hawaii, in Fall 1980 was conducted during registration to determine students' educational objectives and to assess the financial, employment, and academic factors that may affect college success. The survey instrument asked students to indicate their: (1) reasons for attending college and choosing KCC: (2) career and degree goals: (3) work experience in their fields of interest: (4) degree of concern about college expenses: (5) sources of financial support: (6) present employment status: (7) average grade in high school: (8) perceptions of their preparation in eight curricular areas: and (9) perceptions of the likelihood of selected events, such as attaining a degree, utilizing counseling services, or finding work in their major field. Selected findings, based on 1,573 usable returned questionnaires, indicate that 62% of the students were female, 70% were 21 years old or younger, and 57% were attending full-time. Most respondents (68%) were preparing for a career, 33% interded to earn a bachelors degree, and 58% were relying upon wages and savings for financial support while in school. Only 10% of the respondents felt they were inadequately prepared in the areas of reading and writing, and most students felt they had a good chance of attaining a E average. The study report details methodology and findings. (JF)



RESULTS OF THE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENT SURVEY

Report 7

Student Flow Program

U 5 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENTOFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY "PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY JOYCE TSUNOda

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."

October 1980

Office of the Provost Kapiolani Community College University of Hawaii Honolulu, Hawaii

The production of this report was supported, in part, by the U.S. Department of Education. However, the opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and no official endorsement by the U.S. Department of Education should be inferred.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
A.	Int	roduction	
	1.	Purposes	1
	2.	Plan for Analysis	2
	3.	Organization of this Report	2
В.	Con	duct of the Survey	
	1.	Identification of Entering Students	3
	2.	Administration of the Entering Student Survey	3
c.	The	Returns	
	1.	Percentage of Returns	3
	2.	Representativeness of Respondents	3
D.	Fine	dings	
	1.	Nature of College Plans	5
	2.	Selected Factors Which May Affect College Success .	8
E.	Summ	mary and Concluding Comments	
	1.	Profile of Respondents	14
	2.	Comparison of Fall 1980 and Fall 1979 Respondents .	16
	3.	Concluding Comments	17
APPI	ENDIX		
Α.		ring Student Survers	٠ <u>.</u>
в.		es Related to 🚟 🕝 e of College Plans	23
c.	Table	es Related to Selected Factors Which May lect	29



LIST OF TABLES

	·	Page
Table		
1.	Representativeness Data, Entering Student Survey	· 4
2.	Ratings on Preparation in Eight Selected Areas	11
3,	Adequate or Better (Combined) Preparation in Eight Selected Areas, by Program	12
4.	Self-Perceptions Regarding Likelihood of Selected Events	13



2. Plan for Analysis

While we recognized that registration is often a hectic and bewildering experience for entering students, we again opted to contact the entering students at that time. Since measures were taken to improve the collection of the surveys, we were optimistic and hoped for a return rate close to 85 percent. No follow-up was planned.

The plan for analysis included (a) tests of representativeness, i.e., comparing respondents and non-respondents on the following characteristics: sex, entry age, enrollment status, educational objective, program, and entry status; (b) cross tabulations of responses by program and any of the above characteristics on which respondents are representative of the total. Chi-square analysis was to be applied through the use of the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Results are disregarded when more than one-fourth of the expected frequencies are under five.

Finally, all questionnaires, with an indication only of the students' majors, would be returned to the chairmen of the various instructional programs. This was to be done while the report was being prepared to give this group of administrators early feedback about how students in their programs responded to the survey.

3. Organization of this Report

The remainder of this report contains a discussion of each of the following:

- conduct of the survey
- returns
- findings
- concluding comments

While responses to each item in the survey (see Appendix A for a copy) are summarized in the section on findings, Appendices B and C include further details for all items by program only. Details, by sex, are available in the institutional research unit. Interested campus personnel are encouraged to study these data.

B. Conduct of the Survey

As planning for the Fal. 1980 Entering Student Survey progressed, it was evident that the institutional research unit would need help from other campus personnel, particularly in Student Services, as well as off-campus personnel.



Identification of Entering Students

Identification of entering students was done with computer support through the Management Systems Office (MSO) of the University of Hawaii. The data base maintained there was used to prepare a set of labels which were then affixed to the surveys by student workers from the Office of Admissions and Records. The "labeled surveys" were then placed in the appropriate registration packets for distribution.

2. Administration of Entering Student Survey

On August 20 and 21, each entering student received the survey, accompanied by an explanatory note, in his/her registration packet.

Of 1,972 surveys returned, all but 15 came from students as they completed their registration and paid their fees. Student Services personnel were involved in this phase: both encouraging the students to fill out the questionnaires and then collecting them.

The surveys were edited and were coded according to predetermined codes. They were then keypunched by the University's Computing Center.

C. The Returns

During the editing and coding process, 49 surveys were found to be either blank or lacking an identification number (15). We could not use the latter surveys because there would be no way of summarizing their responses on the basis of group characteristics.

1. Percentage of Returns

While 1,923 surveys were taken for keypunching, only 1,573 (67 percent) matched registration records for entering students. Since procedures for registration assign new, transfer, and returning students to register as a group, it is possible that the "extra" surveys came from returning students or from entering students no longer registered in the third week of the semester when the preliminary enrollment count is taken.

Representativeness of Respondents

Data on the representativeness of returns are found in Table 1. Respondents did not differ significantly from non-respondents on only one characteristic: sex.



TABLE 1

REPRESENTATIVENESS DATA
ENTERING STUDENT SURVEY
KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE
FALL 1980

Characteristics ^a	Enteri Pop No.	ng Studen ulation V%		ondents V%	Non-R	espondents V%
TOTAL	2338	100.0	1573	200.0	765	100.0
PROGRAM***						
Liberal Arts Business Food Svc and Hoper ^b Health Services Legal Assistant Unclassified	1033 574 344 99 30 256	44.2 24.6 14.7 4.2 1.3 10.9	694 427 253 57 10 130	27.1 16.1 3.6 0.6	339 147 91 42 20 126	44.3 19.2 11.9 5.5 2.6 16.5
SEX						
Female Male	1454 876	62.2 37.5	980 587	62.3 37.3	474 289	62.0 37.8
AGE***						
Below 19 years 19-21 years 22-24 years 25-29 years Over 29 years	870 641 269 288 268	37.2 27.4 11.5 12.3 11.5	669 417 163 163 160	42.5 26.5 10.4 10.4 10.2	201 224 106 125 108	26.3 29.3 13.9 16.3 14.1
ENROLLMENT STATUS***						
Full-time Part-time	1218 1120	52.1 42 9	896 677	57.0 43.0	322 443	42.1 57.9
EDUCATIONAL OBJECTIVE***						
None Certificate AS AA	255 289 754 1037	10.9 12.4 32.2 44.4	130 206 536 698	8.3 13.1 34.1 44.4	125 83 218 339	16.3 10.8 28.5 44.3
ENTRY STATUS***					·	
New Trans ren	1656 682	70.8 29.2	1155 418	73.4 26.6	501 264	65.5 34.5

aLevels of significance, based on chi-square analysis, are denoted thus: * .05, ** .01, *** .001.



bThe Food Services and Hospitality Education Department was officially established beginning Fall 1980. At this time, the hotel operations program was transferred; prior to this time, it was in Business.

COmitted from the table are 8 students who provided no data on their sex.

Higher proportions of the following groups were found among the respondents than the entering student population:

- business majors (27% vs 25%)
- students below 19 years (43% vs 37%)
- full-time students (57% vs 52%)
- AS degree students (34% vs 32%)
- new students (73% vs 71%)

D. Findings

The results of the Fall 1980 Entering Student Survey are presented in two sections. The first deals generally with items related to the college plans of entering students, e.g., their reasons for coming to college and for selecting Kapiolani Community College, their plans regarding highest degree and occupation, and their work experience. The second section relates to factors which may affect college success and includes data on financial concerns and sources of support, present employment, approximate high school grade point average and self-perceptions regarding previous preparation in various areas (math, science, study skills, etc.), and selected events (attend classes regularly, change major, find a job in field of study, etc.).

1. Nature of College Plans

Five items in the survey dealt with the nature of the student's college plans. For convenience, the items will be discussed in chronological order as they appeared in the survey.



The data also show that there were 10 (out of 30) legal assistant students who responded to the survey and that not all of the 10 responded to each item. This should be borne in mind in interpreting the findings which follow. High proportions of surveys from legal assistant majors were returned completely blank (4) or without an identification number (12).

a. Reasons for Attending College (Item 1) -- Data are reported in two ways: the single most important reason and all reasons for attending college. 2

The following three responses were selected most often as the <u>single most important</u> reason for attending college (Appendix B, Table B-1):

579	47%	prepare for a career
266	22%	get a certificate or degree
225	18%	transfer to a four-year college

While attending college to prepare for a career was the single most important reason among respondents in all programs, this was especially true for vocational education majors in health (61%). food services and hotel operations (55%), and business (52%).

Unlike the rest of the respondents, but as expected, greater proportions of liberal arts majors indicated transfer to a four-year college (31%) ahead of getting a certificate or degree (18%).

The tallies of <u>all</u> reasons for attending lege (Appendix B, Table B-1) reveal the same rank in choice for the great majority of items. The top five reasons were:

1075	68%	prepare for a career
917	58%	get a certificate or degree
598	₹8%	transfer to a four-year college
519	33%	enrich daily life
385	25%	meet people and make friends

b. Reasons for Selecting this College (Item 2) -- Data are reported in two ways: the single most important reason and all important reasons for selecting Kapiolani. 2

Responses to the <u>single most important</u> reason differed significantly by program and by sex (Appendix B, Table B-2). The dominant responses were:

404	34%	desired courses	
249	21%	close and convenient	location

²It should be noted that the following numbers of students did not identify their most important reason: Item 1 = 334, Item 2 = 385.



Health majors (90%), in contrast to liberal arts majors (18%), selected Kapiolani because of the courses offered. Of importance is the proportion of unclassified students (33%) and liberal arts majors (27%) who find Kapiolani's location close and convenient.

The tallies of <u>all</u> reasons for selecting KCC (Appendix B, Table B-2) reveal the following top five reasons:

709	45%	close and convenient location 3
680	43%	desired courses
487	31%	low tuition
377	24%	advice of former students, friends
354	23%	good reputation of KCC

c. Choice of Career/Occupation (Item 5)

Students were asked to indicate their choice of career or occupation. (Appendix B, Table B-3). The top two responses were:

263	17%	clerical
255	16%	service, including food and beverage
		and health assisting occupations

Noteworthy is the fact that 503 students (32%) did <u>not</u> identify the career or occupation they plan to enter. This was especially true of liberal arts majors (345 students).

d. Intention on Highest Degree (Item 6)

Students differed significantly by sex only on the highest college degree they planned to seek (Appendix B, Table E-4). Degree aspirations of the respondents were:

221	16%	certificate
288	20%	associate
471	33%	bachelor's
266	19%	master's
81	67	dector's



In addition, students were asked to designate which campus had a "close and convenient location." Of 709 responses, the results were: no designation, 22%; Pensacola, 61%; Diamond Head, 17%.

One-third of the respondents indicated that a bachelor's degree was their goal, this was especially true for more males (35%) than females (32%). Percentages for the other degrees being sought are: certificate or associate degree (females, 40%; males, 27%) and post-baccalaureate degree (females, 21%; males, 30%).

As expected, aspirations of liberal arts majors were for the higher degrees: bachelor's (43%) and post-baccalaureate (35%). However, 15 percent of the vocational education majors also held post-baccalaureate degree aspirations.

e. Work Experience in Major Field (Item 8)

Students were asked to indicate whether they have worked in their field of study. Data show that responses differed by program only. (Appendix B, Table B-5). The responses were:

952	64%	no
237	16%	yes, right now
198	13%	yes, but not right now
111	7%	can't answer (uncertain about major)

Higher proportions of majors in health (70%), liberal arts (68%) and business (65%) have had no work experience in their field of study. In contrast are legal assistant majors (60%), food services and hotel operations majors (42%) and unclassified students (38%) who have had previous or current work experience in their field of study.

2. Selected Factors Which May Affect College Success

The remaining six items in the survey are selected factors which may affect college success. Again for convenience, the items will be discussed in chronological order, as they appeared in the survey, except Item 9 which is discussed last.

a. Concern About College Expenses (Item 3)

Students were asked to indicate whether they were "wcrried about paying for their tuition, books, and supplies." Their responses were:

1029	66%	not worried
405	26%	somewhat worried
73	<i>5 %</i>	worried and asked for financial aid
48	3 %	worried but did not ask for financial
		aid

Students, by program and by sex, did not differ significantly in their response. (Appendix C, Table C-1).



b. Source(s) of Financing First Year of College (Item 4)

Students identified <u>all</u> the sources of financing their first year of college (multiple responses accepted) with the following results:

906 667	58% 42%	<pre>job and/or savings parents and/or relatives, including</pre>
		spouses
123	8%	financial aid from the college
158	10%	benefits (GI, social security, etc.)

We should note that the top two sources of financing for students in all programs, except legal assisting, were job/savings and parents/relatives, including spouses. For further details, by program, see Appendix C, Table C-2.

c. Present Employment Status (Item 7) -- Data are reported in two ways: work status now and where the job was located.

Students were asked to indicate if they were "now working in a paying job." Responses differed, by program and by sex (Appendix C, Table C-3), and are presented below:

175	12%	no, I have never worked for pay
414	28%	no, but I have worked for pay in
		other years
601	41%	yes, part-time
292	20%	yes, full-time

About three-fifths of the respondents indicated that they were now working (41% part-time and 20% full-time), ranging from legal assistant majors (78%) and unclassified students (71%) to health majors (37%). More males (66%) than females (56%) are currently working.

Although 30 percent of this group of respondents did not indicate the type of job they held, the jobs of those who did respond were concentrated in three areas: service, including food and beverage handling (31%), marketing and sales (14%), and clerical positions (14%).



A majority (661) of the students who are now working indicated where their jobs were located. Their responses differed by program only (Appendix C, Table C-3) and are as follows:

6	1%	part-time,	on-campus
431	65%		off-campus
3	1%	full-time,	
221	33%		off-campus

A great majority (98%) of students are working off-campus. Off-campus, part-time rates ranged from health (79%) and food services and hotel operations (74%) to unclassified students (42%) and legal assisting majors (0%). Off-campus, full-time rates ranged from legal assisting (5 or 100%) to health majors (21%).

d. Approximate Average Grade in High School (Item 10)

Students were asked to approximate their average grade in high school. Their responses, which differed significantly by sex only (Appendix C, Table C-4), were:

99	7%	A (3.5 and above)
573	38%	B (3.0 - 3.4) C (2.0 - 2.9)
679	45%	C(2.0-2.9)
26	2%	D(1.0 - 1.9)
120	8%	No idea

Nearly one-half (45%) of the respondents estimated their high school grade average as a "C"; this was especially true for males (53%). More than half of the females (51%) indicated "A" and "B" averages.

It should be noted that legal assistant majors (90%) and health majors (61%) approximated their high school GPA in the "A" and "B" range, 4 while the great majority of liberal arts majors (84%) rated their average in the "B" and "C" range.

⁴There are special requirements for admission to the legal assistant and most health programs.



e. Self-Perceptions Regarding Preparation in Selected Areas (Item 11)

Students were asked to rate how well prepared they felt in several areas. The results are summarized in Table 2. Responses to three (math skills, science, history/social studies) of the selected areas were found to differ significantly, by program, and also on three (math skills, science, study habits), by sex.

TABLE 2

RATINGS ON PREPARATION IN EIGHT SELECTED AREAS KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

Note: The percentages (%) are based on the number of respondents that differed from item to item, as shown in the table.

AREA	Characterístic ^a		Very Well		Adequately		Poorly		Not at All	t A11	Number of
	Prog	Prog Sex		No. H%		No. H%		No. H%		H%	Respondents
Math Skills	**	*	159	11	937	65	288	20	61	4	1445
Reading	***		310	21	957	66	163	11	19	1	1449
Writing	***		240	17	984	68	202	14	20	1	1446
Science	. ***	***	94	7	857	60	409	29	68	5	1428
Hist/Soc Stud	**		144	10	956	67	276	20	43	3	1419
Job Skills			319	23	956	68	108	8	29	2	1412
Music/Art			216	15	713	51	340	24	130	9	1399
Study Habits	***	**	176	12	970	68	246	17	30	2	1422

Levels of significance, based on chi-square analysis, are denoted thus: * .05, ** .01, *** .001.

In all but two areas (science, 60%; music/art, 51%), more than three-fifths of the respondents felt their preparation was "adequate." In addition, students felt "very well" prepared in job skills (23%) and reading (21%). On the other hand, except in the area of job skills (10%), at least one-eighth of the students rated their preparation as "poor" or "not at all"; this was especially true for science (34%) and music/art (33%).



Reading and job skills were among the highest ranked areas (adequate or better preparation) for all programs, especially for health majors (98% and 93%, respectively). Table 3 presents the combined rankings for adequate or better preparation, by program. The combined rankings for music/art were the lowest for all programs except food services and hotel operations majors (science, 58%).

TABLE 3

ADEQUATE OR BETTER (COMBINED) PREPARATION IN EIGHT SELECTED AREAS, BY PROGRAM KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

Note: Percentages given are based upon the number of respondents that differed from item to item, as shown in the appendix.

AREA	Liberal Arts		Health	Food/Hoper	Public Service	Unclassified
	No 72-	No. 72	No. 7%	NoV3	No V2	No. 72
Math Skills	481 <i>25</i>	317 79	50 <i>89</i>	164 71	6 60	. 78 <i>66</i>
Reading	559 <i>88</i>	348 <i>86</i>	55 98	190 <i>86</i>	10 100	· 105 88
Writing	540 <i>85</i>	338 <i>84</i>	55 <i>98</i>	178 <i>81</i>	10 <i>100</i>	103 <i>87</i>
Science	431 69	253 <i>63</i>	53 95	126 <i>58</i>	7 28	81 <i>69</i>
Hist/Soc Stud	491 79	300 76	51 <i>91</i>	264 <i>75</i>	9 100	85 <i>74</i>
Job Skills ^a	,552 <i>90</i>	359 <i>90</i>	51 93	201 91	10 100	102 87
Music/Art ^a	422 <i>69</i>	247 63	43 80	142 68	5 <i>56</i>	70 <i>60</i>
Study Habits	495 <i>80</i>	334 8≤	50 <i>89</i>	163 <i>75</i>	10 100	94 81

^aOn these items only, there were no significant differences, by program. (See Table 2)

Health majors, except for music/art (80%) consistently rated their preparation favorably (at least 89%), especially in the areas of reading and writing (98%) and science (95%). Noteworthy is the fact that among health majors were the highest proportions of students who felt their preparation was "adequate or better" in all eight areas.

A few comments follow on the items on which responses showed significant differences, by sex: higher proportions of males felt their preparation was adequate or better in math (80%) and science (73%), while 83 percent of the females felt similarly prepared in their study habits.

The findings in this section highlight selected data in Appendix C, Table C-5. Campus personnel are urged to carefully study the details to obtain a clearer picture of their clientele and the demands on their programs or subject area.



f. Self-Perceptions Regarding Likelihood of Selected Events (Item 9)

Students were presented with a list of circumstances and asked to indicate their applicability or likelihood of occurrence. The responses, summarized in Table 4, show that the items fall into two groups. The first group includes six items with more than a one-third "likelihood" rate, ranging from "transfer to another college" and "find work in major field" (36%) to "attend classes regularly" (85%). About half indicated they would "make at least a B average" and would "be satisfied with KCC." Among items in the second group are three items with a 1% likelihood rate: "drop out temporarily," "fail one or more courses," and "drop out after one semester."

TABLE 4

SELF-PERCEPTIONS REGARDING LIKELIHOOD OF SELECTED EVENTS^a

KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE
FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

Note: The following percentages show the proportion of students who, by checking the item, answered "yes.

"Chances are very good that you will": Total	Yes (N 1571	total) % 100		Range:	Progr	am	Higher	Proportion: Sex
Attend classes regularly	1341	85					89%	female
Make at least a 8 average	846	54	74%	heal th	44%	food/hoper	56%	female
Be satisfied with KCC	734	.47	70%	legal	42%	unclassified	50%	female
Get a degree from KCC	638	41	88%	health	15%	unclassified	44%	female
Transfer to another college	558	36	52%	liberal arts	0%	legal	40%	male
Change major field of study	174	11	14%	liberal arts	0%	legal		
Seek job counseling	299	19	21%	food/hoper,	5%	health	22%	female
Need tutoring	116	7		business				
Drop out temporarily	17	7						
Fail one or more courses	17	. 1						
Drop out after this semester	13	1					2	
Find work in major field	567	36	60%	health	24%	unclassified	40%	female

^aRange/higher proportion given only when responses differed significantly by program or by sex.



Responses to all but one (attend class regularly) of the six top ranked items were found to differ significantly by program (Appendix C, Table C-6). Health majors seemed especially determined about the pursuit of their education at Kapiolani, as reflected by several items: "attend classes regularly" (93%), "get a degree from KCC" (88%), "make at least a B average" (74%). As expected, higher proportions of liberal arts majors planned to "transfer to another college" (52%) and "change major field of study" (14%).

Responses to all of the six top ranked items were found to differ significantly, by sex. Females had higher likelihood rates, except for "transfer to another college" (males, 40%).

The data in Table 4 represent a small fraction of what is available in Appendix C, Table C-6. Campus personnel are urged to study these details on students in their program.

E. Summary and Concluding Comments

Despite a lower rate of return (67%) than anticipated, the responses to the Fall 1980 Entering Student Survey, coupled with the characteristics for all Fall 1980 entering students and similar data for the Fall 1979 entering group, provide campus personnel with useful data in their efforts to plan and evaluate programs and services.

1. Profile of Respondents

In general, the Fall 1980 entering students who responded to the survey (the majority or plurality) were:

- 44% majors in liberal arts; business, 27%
- 62% females
- 43% below 19 years old; 19 21 years, 27%
- 57% full-time students
- 73% new students

This report is currently being prepared from files which contain official (sixth week) enrollment data.

These students also said they were:

 attending college to prepare for a career 	68%	
seeking as their		
highest degree	33%	bachelor's
	24%	post-baccalaureate
section :	20%	
attending KCC		
because of	45%	close and convenient location
	43%	courses offered
not worrying about		
college expenses	66%	
 relying on their wages or savings for financing their first year of college 	58%	
 lacking experience in work related to their field of study 	64%	•
• working	41% 20%	part-time full-time

Another set of questions sought the self-perceptions of respondents in several areas related to their academic preparation and likelihood of selected events occurring. The respondents felt that they:

maintained grade point averages in high school of:

45% C 38% B

 were adequately or better prepared in eight selected areas:

90% job skills
87% reading
85% writing
81% study habits
78% history, social studies
76% math skills
67% science
66% music, art

About a third or more of the respondents also felt that there was a very good chance of the following six (out of twelve) events taking place:

85% attend classes regularly

54% make at least a B average

47% be satisfied with KCC

41% get a degree from KCC

36% find work in major field

36% transfer to another college

2. Comparison of Fall 1980 and Fall 1979 Respondents

In general, the responses of both the Fall 1980 and Fall 1979 entering groups were similar, differing by only a few percentage points on most of the items. There were some differences, however, and these are presented below for the Fall 1980 and Fall 19796 respondents, respectively:

fewer were

below 19 years old	(43% vs 50%)
 attending college to prepare for a career 	(68% vs 75%)
 optimistic about finding work in field of study 	(36% vs 40%)
more were	
 attending KCC because of the close and convenient location 	(45% vs 39%)
seeking post-baccalaureate degrees	(24% vs 20%)
• working full-time	(20% vs 16%)

⁶Due to a difference in computer programming, percentages for Fall 1979 data (as reported in KSFP Report No. 3) were recalculated for this comparison.



3. Concluding Comments

The majority of the respondents indicated goals which were serious and related to their future activities (e.g., attending college to prepare for a career, 68%). They also felt adequately prepared in many areas and indicated generally positive attitudes about their future at Kapiolani Community College. These responses may, in part, be attributed to the fact that the respondents were generally new to higher education (73%) and young (below 19 years, 43%; 19 - 21 years, 27%).

Further examination of the data shows some noteworthy relationships in responses; for example,

- while more than two-thirds of the respondents are attending college to prepare for a career (68%), few felt there was a good chance of finding work in their field of study (36%).
 Also, despite this pessimism, few expected to seek job counseling (19%) or to change their major (11%).
- while less than half of the respondents expected to be satisfied with KCC (47%), very few planned to drop out after this semester (1%) or drop out temporarily (1%). (Data on the Fall 1979 entering group show that only 69% returned for their second semester.)
- while many respondents expected to attend classes regularly (85%) and make at least a B average (54%), large numbers of them were working (fulltime, 20%; and part-time, 41%) and few expected to need tutoring (7%).

We hope that the results of this survey will be viewed in light of all the data (in particular, the progression data⁷) already collected on the Fall 1979 entering group.



⁷Kapiolani Community College, "Fall 1979 Entering Students at the Beginning of their Second Semester (Spring 1980)," KSFP Report No. 5, July 1980.

As campus personnel study their areas of concern, perhaps such questions as the following should be explored:

- Are we doing the best job possible in making entering students aware of what is expected of them at Kapiolani Community College? When is the best time to make these expectations known: before applications or after acceptance? What techniques and information will be most effective?
- Why do so many students expect not to be satisfied with KCC? To what extent is this feeling due to the nature of entering students (i.e., the rather large numbers of students, especially liberal arts majors, expecting to transfer)? Could the negative response be a reflection of their recent registration experience? Why do relatively few select KCC because of its (good) reputation and upon the advice of former students or friends?
- Are the students attending KCC as well prepared as they perceive so as to be able to accomplish their goals with little anticipated in the way of support services? Are outreach activities necessary to familiarize students with the services that could help them to reassess their needs in the light of their goals and KCC's performance expectations?

Several items were deliberately included in the survey to test their effectiveness in predicting second-semester enrollment and first-semester grade point average. We hope that campus personnel will find all of these findings helpful. Finally, anyone who is interested in further analyzing the data is encouraged to contact the staff working on the Student Flow Program.



APPENDIX



APPENDIX A

ENTERING STUDENT SURVEY
Kapiolani Community College
Fall 1980

Dear Entering Student:

Welcome to Kapiolani Community College!

We are especially interested in getting to know our students and their needs. You can help by supplying us with information at different times during the school year to help evaluate and improve what we're doing.

After you have completed all phases of your registration, we hope you will take a few minutes to answer the Entering Student Survey. Your questionnaire has a label on it to make it easy for us to summarize the characteristics of respondents on a group basis. Responses are confidential and not reported for specific individuals.

Thank you very much for your help.

Joyce	S.	Tsunoda	la, Provost	

1.	Why are you coming to College? (Check all that apply; then circle the single most important reason).
	1. get a degree or certificate 2. prepare for a career 3. increase chances for a raise and/or promotion in my present job 4. prepare for transfer to a four-year college 5. leven skills for enriching my daily life 6. improve my ability to get along with people 7. become involved in campus activities 8. develop self-confidence 9. must people and make friends 10. satisfy wishes of parents
2.	all that apply; then <u>circle the single most important reason</u>). 1. wishes of parents and/or other relatives
	2. advice of teachers, counselors
	3. good reputation of college 4. advice of former students, friends
	5. this college has courses I want
	6. low tuition
	7. close and convenient location (8. Pensacola,9. Diamond Head

(Please turn over and continue)



3.	Are you worried about how to pay for tuition, books,	and sup	plies?	(Check one).
	1. no2. somewhat3. yes, a lot. Have you applied for financial	aid? y∈	2S	no
4.	You will get money for your first year of college frapply).	om: (Cl	neck all	that
	1. your full-time or part-time job; and/or your 2. parents and/or relatives, including spouse (3. financial aid from the college (including CW 4. GI and/or social security and other benefits 5. other, please indicate:	husband SP)	or wife)
5.	What career or occupation are you planning to enter?	(e.g.,	service medical data pr	eper, accounting cook, food manager, LPN, l lab technician rocessing mmer, legal
6.	What is the highest degree you plan to obtain from a	ny Colle	ge? (Cl	neck one)
•	1. none 2. certificate 3. associate 4. bachelor's 5. master's 6. doctor's or professional degrees 7. other; please specify			
7.	Are you working in a paying job this semester? (Che	ck one)		
	2. no; worked for pay before Emp 3. yes; part-time (lower than 35 hours)	are wor loyer:_ Title:_ long:_		lease indicate:
8.	Have you worked in the field you'll be majoring in?	(Check	one)	
	1. no 2. yes; working in same field now 3. yes; worked in the field before (but not right can't answer; I'm not sure what I'll major in		•	

(Please turn over and continue)



9.	The chances are	very good that	you will: (Ch	neck all that app	ly) 23	
	2. make at 10 3. be satisf 4. get the do 5. transfer 6. change ma 7. seek couns 8. need tuto 9. temporari 10. fail one o 11. drop out	ly stop coming t	llege from this college dy t job to choos cocollege	ege se		
10.	Your average grad	de in high schoo	l was approxim	ately: (Check or	ne)	
1. A (3.5 and above) 2. B (3.0 - 3.4) 3. C (2.0 - 2.9) 4. D (1.0 - 1.9) 5. no idea 11. How well prepared do you feel in the following areas: (Check one reeach area:						
	Area	1. Not at all	2 Poorly	3. Adequately	4. Very Well	
	1. math skilis	I. NOT AT AIT	2 100119	5. Adequatery	4. Very Nerr	
	2. reading		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	3. writing				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	4. science					
	5. history, social studies					
	6. job skills	,				
	7. music and/or art skills					
	8. study habits	,				

Please turn in your survey to the monitor before you leave.

Mahalo!

APPENDIX B TABLES RELATED TO NATURE OF COLLEGE PLANS

		Page
Table		2
B-1.	Reasons for Attending College	25
B-2.	Reasons for Selecting Kapiolani	26
B-3.	Choice of Career/Occupation	27
B-4.	Intention on Highest Degree	28
B-5.	Work Experience in Major Field	28



TABLE B-1

REASONS FOR ATTENDING COLLEGE KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

COUNT	ORGSTRC						
COL PCT MOST IMPORTANT REASON	ILIBERAL IARTS I I	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVC E HOPER‡	PUBLIC SERVICE 5	UNCLAS- SIFIED	ROW TEFAL
GET CERT-DEGREE	i 98 i 17.8	80 I 24-1	1 17 1 34.7	55 I 28.2	16.7	I 15 I 14.2	I I 266 I 21.5
PREP FOR CAREER	i 229 41.6	l 172 I 51.8	30 61.2	1 10(; 55.4	50.0	37	I I 579 I A6-7
RAISE - PROMOTION_	I 1.5 I	i 27 I 8.1'	0.0	2.6	33.3	21.7	l I 65 I 5.2
TRANSFER 4-YR COL_	1 172 1 1 31.2 1	24	2.0	10 5.1	0.0	18	I I 225 I 18-2
ENRICH LIFE 5	1 25 I	15 4.5	2.0	12 6.2	0.0	13	I I 66 I 5-3
GET ALONG-PEOPLE	0,5	0.9	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.0	7 0,6
DEV. CONFIDENCE	0.5	1.2 I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7
9 9 9 1934 1 9 9 9 9 1934 1 -	0.7 1	2 i	0.0	0.5 I	0.0	0 + 0	7 0 • 6
SATISFY PARENTS	1.8 [5 i 1.5 i	0.0	1.5	0 - 0 I	0 1	17 1-4
COLUMN TOTAL	551 44.5	332 26.8	4.0	195	0 . 5	106 8.6	1239

30 OUT OF 54 (55.6%) OF THE VALID CELLS MAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. RAW CHI SQUARE = 240.15395 WITH 40 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0000 NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 334

ALL REASONS FOR ATTENDING COLLEGE

	GET OP	DEGREE	FOR C	REPARE Career		RAISE- MOTTON		ANSFER YR COL		ENRICH LIFE.	
LIB ARTS	358	51.5	447	64.4	86	12.3	394	56.7	208	29.9	
BUSINESS	284	66.5	318	74.4	107	25.0	97	22.7	140	34.A	
HEALTH	41	71.9	43	75-4	6	10.5	7	12.2	18	31.5	
FS-HOTEL	171	67.5	192	75.8	56	22.1	53	20.9	95	37.5	
PUB. SER	8	80.0	7	70.0	. 4	40.0			3	30.0	
UNCLASS.	55	42.3	68	52.3	30	23.0	47	36.1	46	35.3	
TOTAL	917	58.3	1075	68.4	289	18.3	598	38.0	519	33.0	
		ALCNG EOPLE) V1T)A	AMPUS ITIES		VEL OP DENCE	P	MEET EOPLE		TISFY	TOTAL
LIB ARTS	111	15.9	45	6.4	127	18.3	161	23.1	111	15.9	2048
BUSINESS	76	17.7	37	8 • 6	102	23.8	107	25.0	73	17.0	1350
HEALTH	7	12.2	2	3.5	7	12.2	9	15.7	2	3 +5	142
FS-HOTEL	41	16.2	20	7.9	56	22.1	ឧ០	31.6	42	16.6	306
PUB. SER	2	20.0			4	40.0	2	20.0			30
UNCLASS.	21	16.1	7	5.3	26	20.0	26	20.0	5	3.8	331
TOTAL	258	16.4	111	7 - 0	322	20.4	385	24.5	233	14.8	4707



TABLE B-2

REASONS FOR SELECTING KAPIOLANI KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

	DRGSTAC	BUSINESS		FOOD SVC & HOPER+	PUBLIC SERVICE 5	UNCLAS- SIFIED	ROM TOTAL
PARENT'S WISHES	1 29 1 5.6	19 5.8	0.0	8 4.5	0.0	3 ! 2-9	59 5.0
TEACHER'S ADVICE_	1 70 1 13.4	9.8	2.0	26 14.5	0.0	5 4 - 9	134
GOOD REPUTATION	[54] I 10 4]	31 9.5	2.0	24 13.4	0.0	2.0	112
FORMER STUDENTS	1 57 1 10.9	26 7.9	4.0	5.0	12.5	6 5•9	101 8-5
DESTRED COURSES	91 1 17.5	140	45 90.0	84 46.5	75.0	38 37.3	404 34-0
LOW TUITION O	77 I	30 i	0.0	3.9	12.5	13.7	129 10.9
GGOD LOCATION 7	143 27.4	50 15.2	2 • 0	21 i 11•7 i	0 - 0	34 33.3	242
COLUMN TOTAL	521 43.9	328 27.6	50 9 • 2	179 15-1	0.7	102 8-6	1188

10 OUT OF 42 (23.8%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 0.397
RAW CHI SQUARE = 210.53496 WITH 30 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0
NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 385

ALL REASONS FOR SELECTING KAPIOLANI

		ES OF		CE OF				ORMER	LOC	GOOD		SIRED	TL	LOM	TOTAL
LIÐ ARTS	82	11.8	158	22.7	144	20.7	1 84	26.5	340	48,9	172	24.7	231	33.2	1311
BUSINESS	50	11.7	83	19.4	1 04	24.3	91	21.3	185	43.3	239	55.9	1 32	30.9	884
HEALTH			3	5.2	5	8.7	6	10.5	8	14.0	52	91.2	9	15.7	83
FS-HCTEL	37	14.6	76	30.0	96	31.6	72	28.4	98	38.7	154	60.8	60	23.7	577
PUB. SER			1	10.0	3	30.0	1	10.0	1	10.0	. 9	90.0	4	40.0	, 19
UNCLASS.	14	10.7	17	13.0	18	13.8	23	17.6	77	59.2	54	41.5	51	39.2	254
TOTAL	183	:1.6	338	21.5	354	22.5	377	23.9	709	45.1	680	43.2	487	30.9	3128



TABLE B-3

CHOICE OF CAREER/OCCUPATION KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

	ORGSTRC						
COUNT	I IL IBERAL IARTS I I	BUSINESS ! 2	HEALTH SERVICES	FODD SVC E HOPER:	PUBLIC SERVICE 5	UNCLAS- SIFTED	RDW TOTAL
NO DATA	345	1 52 1	l 1 !	1 41	l l 1 !	f 63	I 503 I 32.0
EXECUTIVE, ADMIN	t 41	57 I	0	5 I	0	I a	I I 111 I 7-1
ENG. ARCHITECT	I 8 I	(0	0	0	0	! 1	1 0.6
NAT. SCI MATH	I 2 I	7	0	1	o	! !	1 1 11 1 0-7
SOCIAL WORK. LAW	I 32 I	0	0	0	1	I 3	1 36 1 2.3
HLTH DIAG-TREAT	[11 I I	1	0	o i	0	i o	12
RN. PHARMACIST	I 23 I	0 1	o 	0 1	0	i 2	I 25
ARTIST. ENTER.	1 24 1 1 1	1 I 	0	0 I	0	. 5	1 30
HEALTH TECH.	1 58 1 1 1	2 1	48	1 i	0	10	1119
TECH NOT HEALTH	[59 / [: [72 I I 1	o i	2 i	8	11	1 152 1 9.7
MARKETING. SALES	[12 [o i	i i ! !	o 	4	1 2 0
CLERIGAL ~1	[28] [214 [1 O	i 6 !	o i	15	263 16.7
SERVICE 13 1	38 I	9 i	0 i 1 	1 96 ľ	0	4	255 16•2
AGRIC., FISHING	2 I	o : r	0 [0 i 1	0 i	o i	0 - 1
TRANS., MOVING I		0 I	0 I I	1 0 1	o i	1 1	0.2
HECHANIC . REPAIR I	1 I !	1 0] 	i	0 i	0 <u>i</u> 1 1	0 i	0.1
NILITARY 20 I			0 t	. 0 i	0 i I I	0 1 1	0 - 1
MISCELLANEOUS I	8 I I	0 I	0 I 	0 i 1 	0 I I	. s : I 	0.6
COL UMN TOTAL	694 44.2	427 27.2	57 3•6	253 16-1	1 G 0 • 6	130 8.3	1571 100.6



NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2



TABLE B-4

INTENTION ON HIGHEST DEGREE KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

	UNT PCT	GRGSTRC ILIBERAL IARTS	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES J	FOOD SVC & HOPER*	PUBLIC SERVICE 5	UNCLAS- SIFIED I 6	RDW TOTAL
NONE,	1 _	I 35 I 5.6	25 I 6. 3	3.7	1 14 I 6-2	0.0	I 25 I 21.9	I I 101 I 7.1
CERTIFICATE	2 _	i 40 i 6.4 i	83 20.9	14 25.9	60 1 30.1	10.0	15	1 1 221 1 15.5
ASSOCIATE	3 -	I 63	127 32.0	25.9	70 31.0	30.0	9.6	283
BACHELOR	4 _	1 8-54 1 1 8-54 1	24.9	16 33.3	45 19.9	30.0	37 32.5	471 33-0
MASTER'S	5 -	I 164 I I 26•1 I	53 I	5.6	26 I	20.05	15.8	266 18 - 6
DOCTOR*S	6 	57 [9•1 [2. 3 i	3 5.6	3 I 1.3 [10.0	7.0	81 5.7
OTHER	7 -1	0.0	0.3	0,0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
101 101		628 43.9	397 27.8	54 3.6	226 15.8	10	114	1429 100.0

14 GUT DF 42 (33.3%) DF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. RAW CHI SQUARE = 303.17065 WITH 30 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0 NUMBER OF MISSING DESERVATIONS = 144

TABLE B-5

WORK EXPERIENCE IN MAJOR FIELD KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

	COUNT COL PC	T	ORGSTRC ILIBERAL IARTS	8 1 8 1	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVC 6 HOPER*	PUBLIC SERVICE	UNCLAS- SIFIED 1 6 1	ROW TOTAL
NC	1	_	I 449 I 67.6	270 65.1	39 69.6	133	40.0	57 I 47•1	952 63.6
YES-RIGH	MON T	_	74 11-1	62	6 10.7	62 26.7	40.0	29 24•0	237 15•8
YES-BEFO	RE 3	_	I 62 I 9.3	. 71 17+1	10 17.9	J6 15•5	20.0	17	198 13.2
CAN'T AN	SWER 4	_	1 79 1 1 11-9	12 2.9	1 1-8	0.4	0.0	18	111 7.4
	COLUMN TOTAL		6 64 44-3	415 27.7	56 3.7	232 15•5	10	121 8.1	1498

4 CUT OF 24 (16.7%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 0.741 RAW CHI SQUARE = 117.68073 WITH 15 DEGREES CF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0000 NUMBER CF MISSING CESERVATIONS = 75



APPENDIX C

TABLES RELATED TO SELECTED FACTORS WHICH MAY AFFECT COLLEGE SUCCESS

		Page
Table		
c-1.	Concern About College Expenses	31
C-2.	Source(s) of Financing First Year of College	31
C-3.	Present Employment Status	32
C-4.	Approximate High School GPA	33
C-5.	Self-Perceptions Regarding Preparation in Selected Areas	34
C-6.	Self-Perceptions Regarding Likelihood of Selected Events	37



TABLE C-1

CONCERN ABOUT COLLEGE EXPENSES KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

COL PCT	DRGSTRC I ILIBERAL IARTS	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES I 3	₹000 SVC E HOPER† } 4	PUBLIC SERVICE 5	UNCLAS- SIFIED [6]	ROW TOTAL
NO 1	I 461 I 66.8	200 66.2	35 63.6	160	60.0	67.4	1029 66.2
SOMEWHAT 2	180 1 26.1	107 25.3	15 27.3	72 29.0	20.0	29 1	405 26.0
YES-ASKO FOR ATO	29	5.2 5.2	5. 3 5. 5	4.0	20.0	5.4	73 4-7
YES-DID NOT ASK	20 i	14 I 3-3	3.6	2.4	0.0	6 I 4-7 I	48 3.1
COLUMN	690 +3.4	423 27.2	55 3.5	248 15.9	10	129	1555 100.0

6 OUT OF 24 (25.0%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY . 0.309 RAW CHI SQUARE = 9.94398 WITH 15 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.8232.

NUMBER OF MISSING DESERVATIONS = 18

TABLE C-2

SOURCE(S) OF FINANCING FIRST YEAR OF COLLEGE KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

,	s	SONIV.		RENTS		LLEGE		FICA EFITS	. 1	OTHER		TOTAL
LIB ARTS	375	54.0	321	46.2	50	7.2	76	10.9	21	3.0	843	121.4
BUSINESS	265	65.0	176	41.2	42	9.8	34	7.9	14	3.2	531	124.3
HEALTH	31	54.3	31	54.3	4	7.0	2	3.5	'2	3.5	70	122.8
FS-HOTEL	142	56 . 1	100	39.5	20	7.9	36	. 2	11	4.3	309	122.1
PUB. SER	7	70.0	1	10-0	1	10.0	1	10.0			10	100.0
UNCLASS.	86	66.1	38	29.2	6	4.6	9	6.9	6	4.6	145	111.5
TOTAL	906	57.6	667	42.4	123	7.8	158	10.0	54	3.4	1908	121.4



TABLE C-3

PRESENT EMPLOYMENT STATUS KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

COUNT	DRGSTRC						
COL PCT	ILIBERAL IARTS	8051m£55	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVG 6 HOPER*	PUBLIC SERVICE	UNCLAS- SIFIED	ROW TOTAL
SYATUS	Î	I		[)	[
NO-NEVER WORKED	1 90 1 13.6	11.3	3 .5	25 11.0	11.1	9.1	175 11•8
NO-WORKED SEFORE.	173 26-2	116 28.4	34 59•6	29.1	11.1	19.8	414 27.9
YES - PARTTYNE	279 1 4 2.3	167	18 31.6	96 42.3	0.0	33.9	601 40-6
YES - FULLTIME	118	79 19.4	3 5.3	17.6	77.8	45 37.2	292 19-7
COLUMN	660 44.5	403 27.5	57 3•8	227 15.3	0.6	121	14 6 2 100.0

4 CUT OF 24 (16.7%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL PREQUENCY = 1.063
RAW CHI SQUARE = 79.92101 WITH 15 DEGREES OF FREEDON. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0000
NUMBER OF MISSING DBSERVATIONS = 91

ON OR OFF		ORGSTĀC I ILIBERAL IARTS I I	. 802 INE 3 2	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVC 6 HOPER*	PUBLIC SERVICE 5	UNCLAS- SIFIED I 6 I	ROW TOTAL
PARTT INE	DN CAM	(4) I 1.4	1 1 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9
PARTTIME	DFF CAN	1 199 1 68 2	124 64-2	78.6	72 73.5	0.0	25 42.4	431 65.2
FULLTIME	UN CAM	I 0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.5
FULLTIME	OFF CAM_	I 88 I 30.1	67 34. 7	21.4	26 26.5	100.0	32 I 54.2	221 33.4
	COLUMN TOTAL	292 44.2	193 2 9- 2	14 2-1	98 14.8	5 0 • 8	8.9	661 100.0



TABLE C-4

APPROXIMATE HIGH SCHOOL GPA KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

	URGSTRC I ILIBERAL IARTS I 1	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVC E HOPER*	PUBLIC SERVICE	UNCLAS- SIFIED	ROW TOTAL
3.5 6 A80VE 1	35 1 5.3	29 7.0	13.0	11	20.0	1'5	99
8 3.4 - 3.4 2	233 35.0	188 I 45.1	26 46-1	71 30.6	70.0	40.7	573 38.3
C 2.0 - 2.9 3	324 48.6	174 I 41.7 I	35.2	122 I 52.6 I	10.0	33.1	679 45.4
D 1.0 - 1.9 4	2.0	5 Î 1 2 I	0.0	2.6 I	0.0	2 1	26 1.7
NTI IDEA 5	61 I 9 • 2	21 I 5-0 I	3.7	9.5 I	0.0	14 1	120 8.0
COLUMN	666 44.5	417 27.9	54 3.6	232 15.5	10	1 1 8 7 . 9	1497

10 CUT OF 30 (33.3%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXFECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. NINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 0.174
RAW CHI SQUARE = 57.39656 WITH 20 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0000

NUMBER OF MISSING DESERVATIONS = 76



SELF-PERCEPTIONS REGARDING PREPARATION IN SELECTED AREAS KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

	COUNT	ORESTRO			-			
	COL PCT	ILTBERAL LARTS	8 US INESS	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVC & HOPER+	PUBLIC SERVICE	UNCLAS- SIFIED	ROW TOTAL
MATH SKILLS	ī]	1	(2) !	l 3 .	[4	5	6 1	
NOT AT AL	1	I 23 I 3.6	1 19	C.0	11 4.9	0.0	6 . 8	61 4.2
POGRLY	2 _	1 135 I 21.1	63 1 15.8	10.7	48 21.5	40.0	32 27.1	288 19.9
ADEQUATEL	, y ['] 3 _	I 425 I 66.5	253 63.4	43 76.8	149 1 66.8	40.0	63 53.4	9 3 7 64.8
VERY WELL	. 4	1 56 1 8.8	16.0	7 12.5	15 6.7	20.0	15 1	159 11.0
	COLUMN TOTAL	639 44.2	399	56 3•9	223 15.4	10	116 8- 2	1445

S.CUT DF 24 (20.8%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 0.422 CHI SQUARE = 39.47383 WITH 15 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0005 NUMBER OF MISSING DESERVATIONS = 128

COUNT	DRESTRC						
	LIBERAL IARTS	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SYC	PUBLIC SERVICE	UNCLAS- SIFIED	RØW TØTAL
READING	! ! !	2	33	4	5	1 6	
NOT AT ALL	I 9 I 1.4	7 1 • 7	0.0	1 0 • 5	0.0	1.7	19 1-3
POORLY	71	12.1	1.8	30 13.6	0.0	12	163 11.2
ADEQUATELY 3	423 66.2	277 68.6	3 2 57. i	157 71.0	50.0	63 52.9	957 66.0
VERY WELL	136	71 17.6	23 41+1	33 14 .9	5 50.0	35.3	310 21.4
COLUMN TOTAL	639 44-1	404 27.9	56 3.9	221 15-3	10	11 9 8.2	1449

GOUT OF 24 (25.0%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 0.131
RAW CHI SQUARE = 47.06393 WITH 15 DEGREES G FREEDON. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0000
NUMBER OF MISSING DESURVATIONS = 124

COUNT COL PCT	ORGSTHC I ILIBERAL IARTS I	8US [NESS	HEALTH SERVICES J 3	FOOD SVC C HOPER*	PUBLIC SERVICE (5	UNCLAS- SIFIED	ROW TOTAL
NOT AT ALL	[]] . 7	5	0.0	3	0.0	0.8	20 1 - 4
POORLY _	i 88 i 13.8	59 14.7	1.8	39 17-7	0.0	15 12.6 I	202 14.0
ADEQUATELY 3	1 435 68.1	2 0 5 70.9	38 67.9	153 69.5	50.0	68 I 57•1 I	984 68.0
VERY WELL _	1 105 [16.4]	53 13.2	17 30.4	25 11.4	5 50.0	35 I 29.4 I	240 16+6
COLUMN TOTAL	639 44.2	402 27•8	5 6 3.9	, 5.2 , 5.2	0.7	119	1446 100-0

6 QUT OF 24 (25.0%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. HINIMUM EXPECTED COLL FREQUENCY = 0.138 CHI SQUARE = 46.10106 WITH 15 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 9.0001 NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 127



TABLE C-5 (continued)

	COUNT	ORGSTRC						
	COL PCT	IL IBERAL IARTS	8051NE55	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVC	PUBLICE	UNCLAS- SIFIED	ROV TOTAL
SCIENCE		I	I 2	3	4	5	6 1	
TA TON	ALL 1	1 2.3	l 21 I 5.3	1-8	15 6.8	0.0	10 1	68 4.8
POORLY	2	i 175 I 27.9	126 31.5	3.0	78 35-6	22.2	26 1	409 28.6
ADEQUAT	ELY 3	1 384 1 61.2	236 I 59.0	45 80.4	118 53.9	5 55.6	59.0	857 60•0
VERY WE	LL 4 _	1 47 1 7.5	17 4-3	14.3	3.7	22.2	1 5.01	94 6.6
	COLUMN TOTAL	627 43.9	400 28.0	56 / 3 - 9	219 15.3	0.6	117	1428

5 CUT OF 24 (20.8%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. 0.429 15 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0000 NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 145

HISTORY SOCIAL STUD	—	DRGSTRC I ILIBERAL IARIS I	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES J 3	FOOD SVC & HOPER* I 4	PUBLIC SERVICE 5	UNCLAS- SIFIED I 6 I	ROW TOTAL
NOT AT ALI	1	1 14 1 2.2	10 1 2.5	I 1.8	11 5.0	0.0	7 6.1	43 3.0
POCO Y	2 ~	I 119 I 19-1	96 21.7	7+1	20.1	0.0	23 -	276 19.5
ADEQUATELY	, 3 _	1 430 1 68.9	270 6 8. 2	39 6 9• 6	146 66-7	66.7	65 I	95 6 67 • 4
VERY WELL	4 -	i 61 i 1 9-8 i	30 7.6	12 21.4	1 6 I 8•2 I	33.3	20 I	144 10-1
(TOTAL	624 44.0	396 27.9	5 6	219 15.4	9	115 8.1	1419

S OUT OF 24 (20.8%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 0.273 RAW CHI SQUARE = 39.38206 WITH 15 DEGREES CF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0006 NUMBER CF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 154

	COUNT COL PCT	DRGSTRC ILIBERAL IARTS I I	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVC & HOPER* I 4	PUBLIC SERVICE 5	UNCLAS- SIFIED I 6	ROW TOTAL
JOB SKILLS	1	I 15 I 2.4	1.3	I 0.0	1.8	0.0	5 4.3	29 2•1
PCCRLY	2	1 46 1 7-5	3J 8.3	7.3	15 1 6.8	0.0	8.5 I	10 8 7.6
ADEQUATE	LY 3	1 408 I 66.6	286 72.0	40 72.7	1 5 2 69-1	50.0	68 55-6	956 67. 7
VERY WEL	L 4	1 144	73 1 0.4	20+0	22.3	50. 0	37 31.6	319 2 3-6
	CCLUMN	613 43.4	207 20-1	55 3.9	220 15+ 6	0.7	117 6.3	1412

7 CLT CF 24 (20.2x) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 0.20\$ 15 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0890 NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 161



MUSIC/ART	COUNT COL PCT	ORGSTRC ILIBERAL IARTS I I	EUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES I 3	FOOD SVC & HOPER*	PUBLIC SERVICE	UN CLAS Sified I 6 I	ROW TOTAL
NOT AT A	LL	I 48 I 7.8	37 9.4	5.6	29	11.1	17	130 9-3
POCRLY	2 -	1 145 I 23-6	110 27-9	8 14.8	21.0	33.3	30 25.6	340 24.3
ACEQUATE	LY 3 _	1 317 1 51.5	203 51.5	34 63-0	105 50.0	22.2	52 44-4	713 51.0
VERY WEL	L 4 _	1 105 1 1 17+1	11.2	16.7	37 17.6	33.3	18 I 15.4 I	216 15•4
	COLUMN TOTAL	615 44-0	394 28-2	54 3.9	210 15.0	0.6	1 L 7 8 • 4	1399 100.0

4 OUT OF 24 (16.7%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 0.836 RAW CHI SQUARE = 24.75748 WITH 15 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0533 NUMBER OF MISSING DESERVATIONS = 174

	COUNT	PRGSTAC						
	COL PCT	ILIBERAL IARTS	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVC	PUBLIC SERVICE	UNCLAS- SIFIED	RØW Total
STUDY HAB	TE	1	[<u>2</u>]	I 3	4	5	6	!
NCT AT	1	i 13 I 2-1	1.6	0.0	5 2•3	0.0	4.3	30 2•1
POCHLY	2 _	I 114 I 18-3	59 I 14-8	10-7	50 22.9	0.0	17 14.7	246 17.3
ADEQUATE	3 _	1 438 1 70.4	277 69.3	34 60.7	142 65•1	50.0	63.3	970 68•2
VERY MCL	.L 4	57 I 9.2	57 14.3	16 28.6	9.6	50.0	20 17-2	176 12-4
	CCLUMN TOTAL	622 43.7	400 28.1	56 3-9	218 15.3	10 0•7	116	1422 100.0

1

6 DUT OF 24 (25.0%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL PREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0.
HINIMUM EXPECTED CELL PREQUENCY = 0.211
RAW CHI SQUARE = 49.08252 WITH 15 DEGREES CF PREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0000
NUMBER OF MISSING DBSERVATIONS = 151



TABLE C-6

SELF-PERCEPTIONS REGARDING LIKELIHOOD OF SELECTED EVENTS KAPIOLANI COMMUNITY COLLEGE FALL 1980 ENTERING STUDENTS

	60.00	_	DRGSTRC						
ATTEND CLA	COUN COL P	ĊT	I ILIBERAL IARTS	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES		PUBLIC SERVICE	UNCLAS- SIFIED	ROW TOTAL
REGULARL			I I	2	3		5	6 1	
ИС		c _	I 104 I 15-0	61	7 • O	42 16.6	10.0	18 i 13.8 i	230 14.6
YES		ı _	I 590 I 85.0	366 85.7	53 93.0	211 83-4	90 - 0	86.5 I	1341 85.4
	COLUMN TOTAL		694 44.2	427 27•2	57 3.6	253 16•1	10	130 8.3	1571 100-0

1 DUT OF 12 (8.3%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0.
MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 1.464
RAW CHI SQUARE = 3.77549 WITH 5 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.5822

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2

MAKE AT I	COUNT COL FCT	ORGSTRC ILIBERAL IARTS	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVC	PUBLIC SERVICE	UNCLAS- SIFIED	ROW TOTAL
NC	, 0	I 319 I 46-0	1 67 1 1 43-8	15 26.3	142 56.1	30.0	59 45.4	725 46.1
YE S	1	1 375 I 54.0	240 56.2	42 73.7	43.9	70.0	71 54.6	846 53•9
	COLUMN TOTAL	694 44-2	427 27.2	57 3.6	25J 16-1	10	130	1571 100-0

1 OUT OF 12 (8.3%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY \pm 4.615 raw chi square \pm 21.19897 with 5 degrees of freedom. Significance \pm 0.0007

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2

6AT1SP1ED		ORGSTRC I ILIBERAL IARTS	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVC	PUBLIC SERVICE	UNCLAS- SIFIED	ROW TOTAL
NO NO	S	Î I 372 I 53.6	224 52.5	20 35.1	142	30.0	76 I 58.5 I	837 53•3
YES	1 ~	I 322 I 46.4	203 47.5	37 64.9	111 43.9	70.0	54 I 41.5 I	734 46.7
	COLUMN TOTAL	694 44.2	427 27•2	57 3.6	253 16•1	10 0 • 6	130 8.3	1571 100.0

1 OUT OF 12 (8.3%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 4.672
RAW CHI SQUARE = 12.12586 WITH 5 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0331
NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2

GET DEGREE FROM KCC	COUNT COL PCT	GRGSTRC I ILIGERAL IARTS I	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVC	PUBLIC SERVICE	UNCLAS- SIFIED 6 I	ROW TOTAL
NO	· •	I 492 I	200 46.8	12.3	121 47.8	20.0	111 I 85.4- I	EE9 4.93
YES	1 -	I 202 I	227 53.2	50 87•7	132 52.2	80.0	19 I 14.6 I	638 40.6
	COLUMN	44.2	427 27.2	57 3.6	263 16.1	10	130	1571 100.0

1 OUT OF 12 (8-3%) DF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 4.061 A.061 SQUARE = 175.29994 WITH 5 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0 NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2



TABLE C-6 (continued)

TRANSFER ANOTHER	TO	ORGS,TRC ILIBERAL IARTS I 1	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES I 3	FODD SVC & HOPER*	PUBLIC SERVICE S	UNCLAS- SIFIED I 6 I	ROW TOTAL
NO	0	1 334 1 48-1	334 78.2	50 87•7	206 81-4	100.0	79 I	1013 64-5
YE S	1	360 51.9	93 21.8	12.3	47 15.6	0.0	51 I	558 35•5
	COLUMN	694 44.2	427 27.2	57 3.6	253 16.1	10	1 30 1 30 8 • 3	1571 100.0

1 OUT OF 12 (8.3%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. HINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 3.552 STAN 5.0. DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0 NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2

כסג כסג		DRGSTRC [[L]BERAL	BUSINESS	HEAL TH	FOOD SVC	PUBLIC	UNCLAS-	ROW
CHANGE MAJOR		ARTS	2		& HOPER *		SIFIED	TOTAL
NO	0	595 85.7	385 90-2	5 5 96.5	2 36 9 3. 3	100.0	116 1	1397 88.9
YES	1 1	99 14-3	42 9-8	3.5	17 6-7	0.0	14 I	174 11-1
COL U	MN .	694 44.2	427 27.2	57 3.6	253 16.1	10	130 8.3	1571 100-0

1 CUT OF 12 (8.3%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0.
MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 1.108
RAW CHI SQUARE = 17.28072 WITH 5 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0040

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2

SEEK JOS COUNSEL	1	URGSTRC ILIBERAL IARTS	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES J	FOOD SVC & HOPER*	PUBLIC SERVICE 5	UNCLAS- SIFIED	ROW TOTAL
МО	0	559 60.5	338 79.2	54 94.7	200 79-1	80.0	113 1	1272 81.0
YE \$	1	135	89 20.8	5.3	53 20•9	20.0	17 I	299 19•0
	COLUMN TOTAL	694 44-2	^27 27.2	57 3.6	253 16.1	10	130 8.3	1571 100.0

1 OUT OF 12 (8.3%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0.
MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 1.903
RAW CHI SQUARE = 11.60165 WITH 5 DEGREES OF FREEDUM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0407
NUMBER_OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2

	COUNT COL PCT	ORGSTRC IL IBERAL IARTS	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES	.FOOD SVC & HOPER+	PUBLIC SERVICE	UNCLAS- SIFILD	RUW TOTAL
NEED TUTO	RING	I I I I	. 2 !	i . 3 .	I 4 .	i 5 :	6 [
но		i 634 I 91.4	94-1	57 100.0	92.1 92.1	80.0	121 1	1455 92•6
YES	1 -	60 1 8-6	25 5.9	0.0	20 7•9	50.0	6.9 I	1 16 7 • 4
	COLUMN	694 44.2	427 27.2	57 3.6	25 3 16-1	10	130	1571 100-0

2 OUT OF 12 (16.7%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 0.738
RAW CHI SQUARE = 10.08799 WITH 5 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0728

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2



URGSTRO COUNT COL FCT ILIBERAL BUSINESS HEALTH FOOD SVC PUBLIC UNCLAS-BOW DROP CUT SERVICES & HOPER + SERVICE SIFIED TUTAL TEMPORARILY 689 C 249 98-4 1554 98.2 NO 98.6 100.0 0.7 17 YES 1 -8 0.0 0.8 57 3.6 n. 10 130 TOTAL 16.1

FAIL ONE O	DR.	ORGSTRC I ILIBERAL IARTS I	ausiness 2	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVC 6 HOPER+ 1 4		UNCLAS- SIFIED I G I	RQW TOTAL
NO	0	1 638 1 99-1	422 9 8. 8	56 98.2	249 98.4	100.00	129 I 1 99.2 I	1554 98•9
YES	1 -	1 0.9	5 1 • 2,	1.8	1.6	0.0	0.8 1	17
	COLUMN TOTAL	694 44.2	427 27.2	57 3.6	253 16-1	10	130 8.3	1571 100.0

S OUT OF 12 (41.7%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 0.108
RAW CHI SQUARE = 1.39566 WITH 5 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.9248
NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2

DROP OUT	COUNT COL PCT AFTER SEMESTER	ORGSTRC ILINERAL IARTS I 1	eus iness I 2	HEALTH SERVICES I	FOOD SVC & HOPER*	PUBLIC SERVICE S	UNCLAS- SIFIED 6 1	ROW TOTAL
NO	0	1 688 1 99 1	426	57 [100.0	250 9 8. 8	100.0	127	1558 99.2
YES	1 -	0.9	0.2	0.0	3	0.0	3 1	13
	COLUMN TOTAL	694 44.2	127 27.2	57 3.6	253 16.1	10	130 8.3	1571

S CUT OF 12 (41.7%) OF THE VALID CELLS MAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0.

HINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 0.083

RAW CHI SQUARE = 6.26871 WITH 5 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.2809

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2

FIND WO	w	ORGSTRC ILIBERAL IARTS	BUSINESS	HEALTH SERVICES	FOOD SVC & HOPER*	PUBLIC SERVICE 5	UNCLAS- SIFIED 6 I	ROW TOTAL
NO	0	488 70.3	244 57•1	23 40.4	145 573	50.0	76.2 I	1004 63.9
YES	1	206 29.7	183 42.9	34 59.6	108 42.7	50.0.	31 I 23.8 I	567 36•1
	COLUMN TOTAL	694 44.2	427 27.2	57 3.6	253 16.1	10	130	1571 100.0

1 OUT OF 12 (8.3%) OF THE VALID CELLS HAVE EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY LESS THAN 5.0. MINIMUM EXPECTED CELL FREQUENCY = 3.409
RAW CHI SQUARE = 48.60744 WITH 5 DEGREES OF FREEDOM. SIGNIFICANCE = 0.0000

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS =

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

CONTRACTOR FOR

JAN 23 1981

