MCUMENT RESUME

ED 192 124 TE 625 707

AUTHOR Taylor, Damiel B.

TITLE Revitalizing the American Economy: = Research and

Development Pocus for the 80s. Occasional Paper No.

64.

INSTITUTION Ohio State Iniv., Columbus. National Center for

Research T Vocational Education.

PUB DATE Sep 30

NOTE 17p:: Paper presented at The National lancer for

Research - Vocational Education (Ch.

1980).

AVAILABLE FROM National Canter Publications, The attract Center for

Research in Vocational Education, The Late State University, 1960 Kenny Rd., Columbon, 28 43210

 $($1_90)$

EDRS PRICE MFC /PC61 Tales Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Access to Education: *Economic Development;

Educational Development: *Educational & estiver: *Educational Research: Educational & estiver:

Education Fork Relationship: Eremy:

Conservation: Equal Education: Production: Public

Police: School Bole *Vocational Bushama: Youth

Employment

IDENTIFIERS United Staties

ABSTRACT

revitalizing the American emican mar icularly the development in four areas and revelopment and the results. equity and access, vorth et, and energy. It commande productivity by identify: I command coational and access, vorth energy. productivity by identify: I covati cational and accomprograms successful in enhancing comments by and ecomonic development, developing entrepreserrance and, affing in sometime. agriculture teacher premaration, were ming chimically that addresses community economic development seeds, and emphasize technologies and leadership development apportunities according demonstration projects can within exponding vocational educational opportunities for women, makes, and handicapped semans.
Vocational education car see a sey part in reducing routh
unemployment by providing impacted and out-of-school grantans for disadvantaged youth. It is alredy begun to respond - a erging industries and technologies new and for the energy crisis. The National Center for Research : Focational Education and assist in this endeavor by improving mational dissemination and tribization of research and development products focusing on the four products mentioned above, examining and c scribing critical issues confronting vocational education, assisting in strengthening the malicavide vocational education research and development system, and improving articulation among education, bisiness, industry, laber and economic development agencies. (MN)



REVITALIZING THE AMERICAN ECONOMY:

by

Dr. Daniel B. Taylor

Assistant Secretary for Vocational and Adult Equation United States Department of Education

US TRANTMENT OF HEALTH,
CATION & WELFARE
CONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

DOCUMENT HAS JEEN REPRODUCED EN STOY AS PETEIVED FROM
THE RESONANT ORGANIZATION ORIGINAT NG IT WONTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED TONOT NECESSESSIVE REPRESENTORS ANTIONA INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION HOSTITION OF POLICY

THE MISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS VALERIAL HATE BEEN GRANTED BY

THE INCAT CHAI DESCRIBED

NEOPYNATION (DESTER ERIC)."

The National Center for Research in Vocational Education
The Ohio State University
1960 Kenny Road
Columbus, Ohio 43210

September 1980



THE NATIONAL CENTER MISSION STATEMENT

The National Center for Research in Vocational Education's mission is to increase the ability of diverse agencies, institutions, and organizations to solve educational problems relating to individual career planning, preparation, and progression. The National Center fulfills its mission by:

- Generating knowledge through research
- Developing educational programs and products
- Evaluating individual program needs and outcomes
- Providing information for national planning and policy
- Installing educational programs and products
- Operating information systems and services
- Conducting leadership development and training programs



FOREWORD

The purpose of the National Center for Research in Vocational Education's Occasional Paper series is to present distinguished lecturers speaking on topics of interest to the vocational community. It is noped that this series will persistently challenge and stimulate the university, its colleges, departments, the National Center, and the educational community to the ends that goals will be clarified, priorities will be more appropriately ordered, methods will be more effective, and human lives will be enriched.

Celebrating our fifteenth anniversary as the National Center for Research in Vocational Education is a jubilant occasion; and it is equally delightful to have Dr. Dan Taylor, the newly appointed assistant secretary for Vocational and Adult Education in the United States Department of Education, to share this distinguished event with us as our Fifteenth Anniversary Recognition Dinner speaker.

- Dr. Taylor received a bactieur's degree from the University of Icwa, and received his master's and doctorate degrees from the University of West Virginia.
- Dr. Taylor's professional experiences include being the state superintendent of schools for West Virginia and a senior lecturer at Harvard Graduate School of Education.

In his presentation, Dr. Taylor presents the view that vocational education can play a key role in shaping and revitalizing the American economy. He contends that vocational education should focus on helping to solve the nation's economic ills. Taylor added that without a highly trained, stilled work force, no amount of economic medicine will do the trick.

Dr. Taylor is a member of Phi Deita Kappa and numerous educational organizations. He is a native of Connellsville, Pennsylvania and currently resides in Lexington, Massachusetts.

It is with great pleasure that The Ohio State University and the National Center for Research in Vocational Education welcome Daniel P. Taylor to share his presentation, "Revitalizing the American Economy: A Research and Development Focus for the 80s."

Robert E. Taylor

Executive Director

The National Center for Research in Vocational Education



REVITALIZING THE WERICAN ECONOW A RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FOCUSETOR THE 20s

The Lactional Center for Research in Vocational Eucation a Time City Sate University. Because of the matter contribution the National Center has the to improving the state of the art in vocational education and development and because the continual education it seems fitting that the should be a top of the purpositions and offerences.

The last fifteen years, the National Center has prown and no with a conv in the size of its and emerging needs in vocational education. This responsiveness is ender though in those activities and in those supported through other agencies and commitments. There is an and demonstration and in those supported through other agencies and commitments. There is an and demonstration acceptives have involved in excess of 50,000 people from all over the conferences, seminars, field tests, etc. The National Center has shown its responsiveness thorough the professional development experiences it affects its graduate means and those works in residence through the National Academy, as well as through the enormous number of publications produced here that are directed to improving the produce of contained acceptance.

The combination of an eminently qualified and decicated staff and mose ties with one of the est universities in the nation make this National Center a ionical place to turn as we in vocational curation seek to contribute to the resolution of the complex problems assing our nation.

ke no previous time in history, the United Sales is faciled with a seed to engage in self-milication. There is a need to revitalize its cities be rural communities, at energy supply, and to accommy in general, as well as a need in many at the cities are struggling for survival. Your the employment is just one off-shoot of this productive life, unemployment, the welf-re cycles to the cities and but have been moving from the cities and but have been following the skilled labor force. The cities are left with a severely decreased tax because for the most part, a reduced skilled labor force. Problems multiply; solutions become more asset.

Today, as a nation we are facing serious economic problems—high unemployment, double-digit inflation, high energy costs, and declining product: a From 1959 to 1960, inflation rose to 7.2 percent. In 1978 it grew at an 8 percent rate. During the lirst two months of 1979 the rate increased by more than 10 percent.

We are beginning, however, to see signs of improvement in terms of the economy. In recent months, interest rates have begun to decline and the late inflation has begun to slow down. While the problems of inflation are by no means resolved, we are making progress at the moment.



1

Today there are approximately 1.5 million unemployed youths in the United States. To a great extent, youth jublessness, like scult jublessness, is concentrated among a relatively small group who lack work for extended periods of time. This group is composed largely of high school dropouts and minority youths in numbers disproportionate to their representation in the population.

Giving Youth a Better Case, or (Carnegie Foundation 1979) found that such a contraction of unemployment among a small firstion of youth may have higher costs than an even distribution of unemployment among all you might have.

In some parts of rival America, the story is not much better. To 1967, the President's National Advisory Committee on Rural verty reported that are America at the nation anigness rates of poverty, illiteracy, malnutrition infant mortality, under apployment, substandard mousing inadequate public services, and below average per capita and family more.

In December 1979, President Carter referred to the separation and stated in his Seed Community and Rural Development Policy, "Notwithstanding the president that has been made a disproportionate share of the nation's poor still live in rural areas." In 140 percent of the total virtually all of the nation's persistently poor counties are rural."

During the seventies, migration trends reversed we are stowth exceeding urban growth by more than 40 percent, except in agricultural regions where our migration; have serious impacts on rural communities.

197. Description continues. Such impacts on continues. Such impacts on continues. Such impacts on continues of the continue

While many rural problems are not, in and of the malves, unique, the settings in which they are found and the people that the affect are unique. Therefore, transplanting urban solutions to solve rural problems may not be productive at all.

One of the major problems confronting both runand urban communities is the energy crisis. We are experiencing low production of domestic source of energy and, therefore, execut to import nearly 50 percent of the oil used in 1930. Reliance or expects is reflected in soaring costs from December 1978 to December 1979:

- Fue oil prices increased by 62%
- Electricity increased by 11%
- Natural gas increased by 20%
- Bassine prices increased by 52%

The time has come to work individually and collectively toward the efficient production and conservation of energy.

Historically, the United States has enjoyed a high rate of productivity. However, during the 1950s and 1960s the growth rate was only 3 percent. From 1966 to 1975 the United States productivity growth averaged 2 percent while Japan grew by 9 percent annually, Sweden by 6 percent annually, and West Germany by 5.5 percent annually. However, during 1979, for the first time, the U.S. actually suffered an "absolute loss" in level of productivity.



2

A continued decline in productivity or even the maintenance of the status quo would likely result in =

- 1 a continuation of or an increase in the already high levels of inflation;
- 2 a continued upward spiral in wages without a commensurate increase in buying power because of inflation;
- 3. a reduction in the rate of economic growth and development;
- 4 a rise in unemployment;
- the exportation of jobs (not goods) to nations with higher levels of productivity that also produce high-quality goods;
- in the inefficient and wasteful use of human and material resources; and
- eventually, the loss of our export trade due to the declining quality and high cost of United States' goods.

Increases in productivity, on the other hand, cause economic strength and vitality. This occurs because, depending on the product, greater quantities are produced per hour of labor; the quality of output increases, natural resources are used more efficiently and with less waste and damage to the environment, and labor and technology are more efficiently and effectively applied. Improved productivity also creates jobs and holds down inflation by causing increases in supply without concomitant increases in cost. Therefore, if we are to have economic growth, reduce inflation, and create jobs, there must be an increase in national productivity.

Solutions, however, will not come easily. A more cooperative relationship, i.e., a partnership, between government, business, and labor must evolve. This new partnership approach for managing the economy may be labelled "industrial policy" or "reindustrialization." In most of the developed countries, these terms refer to using government tools—taxes, interest subsidies, investment policies, research grants, etc.—to strengthen particular sectors of the economy, and the selective removal of government controls that impede productivity. Interagency panels and study groups are currently at work to clarify the terms "industrial policy" and "reindustrialization" as they apply to the United States' needs, and to develop an administration policy statement on these issues.

Whatever the result of these deliberations, the fact remains—the time has come to act. We in vocational education can be key actors.

Vocational education has historically played an important role in response to the changing educational and economic needs of the citizens and the nation. Once, again, vocational education may play a key role in shaping and revitalizing the American economy. In the 1980s, vocational education and training programs should focus on helping to solve the nation's economic ills. I think we can contribute to the solution. Without a highly trained, skilled work force, no amount of economic medicine will do the trick.

The effective role of federal vocational education research and development is key in any revitalization effort. In the United States, the majority of research and development activities are conducted by universities, national laboratories, independent research institutes, and industry. A little over half of all research and development is financed by federal agencies. As you well know, one of the main goals of research and development lies in its contribution to policy and program development.



In and of themselves, research findings are of limited value unless an until they are utilized. We in the federal office, as well as you here at the National Center, are making great strides in the dissemination and utilization of research findings. We are building a featuremented research agenda around four interrelated themes that are of critical importance now and an account continue to be ten years down the road in America's revitalization efforts. These themes are as the continue to be ten years

- 1. Economic Development and Productivity
- 2. Equity and Access
- 3. Youth Employment
- 4. Energy

Let me elaborate on each of these in sequence.

Economic Development and Productivity

Vocational education focuses on the total development of the individual; however, its capacity for impacting on the collective economic growth of the nation is not to be overlooked. Vocational educators have come to realize that unless training is coupled with a meaningful job as an outcome of the training program, students may become discouraged and even alienated from the system.

In response, many vocational educators are now actively working with state and local development agencies, private sector employers, and other educational and ammunity-based organizations to expand job opportunities within their communities, particularly for those who have been unemployed or underemployed to more fully participate in our society.

One outstanding example of the relationship between de elopment and vocational education that immediately comes to mind is in South Carolina. Wherein since 1960, more than sixty European companies had been persuaded to establish industrial and manufacturing plants in the state. A combination of factors is responsible for this growth in industrialization—land, tax incentives, abundant labor, and perhaps most importantly, technical education centers that train workers at no charge to the corporations.

There are similar stories elsewhere, of course. Such was not always the case, however, in South Carolina in the early 1960s. South Carolina was primarily an agrarian state, ranking forty-ninth in productivity. A state-conducted study indicated the need to diversify industry and provide opportunities for peciple to work. The key element in the revitalization of the state proved to be the establishment of vocational-technical schools. The South Carolina State Vocational Education Department, the Industrial Development Commission, and the governor's office collaborated to provide an educated work force that in turn created employment opportunities by attracting new industry and expanding existing industry. The state is experiencing a good employment rate overall and an in-migration of people to fill many of the new jobs.

Success stories abound in many of the states. John D. Rockefeller IV, governor of West Virginia, notes, "The program of vocational education has proved to be a vital link in the chain of economic development in West Virginia. Vocational education provides the skills needed by the people of our state to become productively employed, and provides the training essential for business and industry to remain competitive." Evidence suggests that this statement is valid for the entire appalachian region.



Thanks to the tremendous support and financial assistance from the Appalachian Regional Commission (ARC), the region of Appalachia, which consists of nearly 200,000 square miles in thirteen states and nineteen million inhabitants, now has an adequate network of vocational and technical schools. As of today, total investments in the 685 approved facilities exceed \$320 million. In addition, the ARC grant monies combined with the Vocational Education Act, state, and local funds have resulted in an investment of some three-quarters of a billion dollars in vocational facilities in the region:

The vocational training provided by the schools helped decrease Appalachia's poverty population from 31 percent in 1960 to about 14 percent in 1976. Between 1965 and 1977, the region added 1.48 million jobs and growth is continuing. Per capita income is increasing. As a result, outmigration of workers from Appalachia has virtually been halted.

It is estimated that, when all ARC-funded facilities in the region become fully operational, over 400,000 students will be enrolled in vocational programs. These figures suggest that by 1980 the original goal of many of the appalachian states will be achieved—the enrollment in vocational education of 50 percent of students in grades eleven and twelve.

While many areas of the country are engaged in similar activities, there remains a need for greater emphasis on and commitment to using vocational education as a tool for economic development. In that regard, the U.S. Department of Education through the Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE) has funded several programs of national significance.

The American Vocational Association has a contract with OVAE to identify innovative vocational education programs that, in conjunction with other agencies, are successful in enhancing community and economic development. When completed, the project will provide a "State-of-the-Practice" report; selected case studies; the design and development of model programs; workshop materials; and guidelines for use in initiating similar programs:

Another project, Development of Entrepreneurship Training Components for Vocational Education, offers promise for vocational education's participation in economic and community development. Benefits of entrepreneurship training includes creation of new enterprises with local leadership, creation of new jobs, stimulation of investments, and the generation of local pride and enthusiasm.

Through a working relationship with the U.S. Department of Agriculture, GVAE is participating in the sponsorship of two economic development related projects in rural America. Education and Training in Community Development for Vocational Agriculture Teachers and Teacher Educators is a program designed to prepare vocational agriculture teacher-ect cators to infuse economic development concepts into their curricula and to develop curricula that address the needs for economic development in rural communities. A project titled "Linking Education and Economic Development in Rural America" will focus on determining rural work force needs and on building linkages between education and economic development services and agencies to meet those work force needs.

Through its National Center contract and other research and development activities, this National Center is, also, very much a part of economic development efforts. The National Center is currently conducting eighteen different projects in this area. These projects range from the development of entrepreneurship training packages to working with the National Contractors Association.



In economic terms, productivity is the ratio of output to input. The output is measured in goods and services; the input is measured by the factors involved in production, such as human work and skills, capital, energy, technology, management, etc. Productivity increases when the quantity and quality of goods and services produced increases without a commensurate increase in the input factors. Hence, productivity may increase with the introduction of new technology; with additional education and training to develop a more highly skilled labor force; with greater work motivation and understanding of the job and work; and with the control of energy and other input costs. Productivity does not necessarily mean working harder or faster—it does mean working "smarter." Worker productivity goes up when individuals have the skills and tools needed to get the job done efficiently. Vocational education is a productivity-oriented discipline that, when integrated with basic education skills, specific job competencies, good work habits and attitudes, enhance both individual human development and the economic development of the nation.

A recent study by Theodore Barry and Associates indicates that the overall productivity of American workers declined drastically during the past seventy-five years. Reported in the January 1980 issue of *Management*, the study goes on to suggest that today's employees are only two-thirds as productive as their grandparents.

This study also indicated that lowered individual productivity not only adversely affects the nation's output of goods and services but may also contribute to inflation by decreasing the supply of available commodities and thereby driving prices upward.

Continued attention must be afforded to emphasizing productivity growth in the vocatic all education curriculum by addressing new technologies, encouraging conservation of resources, and by providing leadership development opportunities. Vocational education, as education for work, must be included as an integral part of all efforts to increase productivity. Investment incentives alone are not enough. A pool of highly skilled workers is one important requisite for increasing productivity. Despite the prevailing unemployment rate, the demand for skilled workers exceeds the supply. The problem is likely to continue due to the mismatch between the skills of available workers and those skills needed by industry.

Vocational education should play a key role in providing training for both skill-shortage areas and arear in which future forecasts look promising, thereby reducing unemployment and the exorbitant costs resulting from lost taxes and increased unemployment compensation.

At present, industry plays a large role in retraining the work force. In a country where jobs become obcolete in an average of eight years, and where the average worker changes occupations at least twice in a working life, it is essential for vocational education to continue to emphasize retraining and upgrading of work skills.

Equity and Access

Problems of equity in and access to vocational education and the occupations for which we provide training persist. Women, minorities, and handicapped persons face significant barriers to employment and, in some cases, to appropriate vocational education. We have been making considerable progress in this area as evidenced by recent enrollment figures, but much more needs to be done.

Expanding opportunities for special populations both in vocational education and employment is critical to revitalizing our economy as well as to assisting individuals in breaking the cycles of unemployment, underemployment, and welfare.



This office has been and continues to be particularly concerned about problems of equity and access and is currently supporting a number of research and demonstration projects, both here at the National Center and elsewhere, which focus on this issue.

Other programs of national significance include a credentialing of women's life experience project, a state planning project for special needs populations, and an accessibility to vocational programs and facilities for handicapped persons.

Youth Unemployment

Despite recent reductions in youth unemployment—for the first time in years, minority youth unemployment is below 30 percent—it remains one of our most lerious national problems. According to the Vice President's Task Force on Youth Employment, "Four groups bear a disproportionate share of these problems: young women, dropouts, minorities, and youth from poor families. Anyone who belongs in two or more of these groups has a much higher chance of being unable to find a job."

In the early years of this decade, we will face a shortfall of all jobs, and a particular shortfall of good jobs for young people. Furthermore, now and in the future, the distribution of the job shortfall and resulting unemployment does not fall equally on all neighborhoods and communities. Already, rural areas and central cities are home to a disproportionate share of youth unemployment. There is a lot of new employment growth in the suburbs, but less in the central city; between 1960 and 1970, blue-collar employment in the central cities actually fell by 9 percent. Although the effects of this loss of jobs from the city have been mitigated somewhat by an out-migration of people, there has been a definite neuloss of entry-level job opportunities in urban areas. Rural youth, of course, have long been faced with the difficult choice of settling for poor career opportunities or moving elsewhere.

In response to these problems the administration of duced its Youth Initiative, now referred to as the Youth Act of 1980. Its primary purparties and dea broad range of employment and training opportunities for youth to improve the dead of cyability, and to promote, to the maximum extent possible, coordination among institutes a second of providing such opportunities."

Vocational education will play a key part in implementing this law, if passed, by providing in-school and out-of-school programs for disadvantaged youth. While the investment of federal resources may seem high, helping youth develop skills to secure good jobs is much less expensive than chronic youth unemployment.

Investing federal funds in vocational education, especially in programs that will direct these resources to inner cities and depressed rural communities where they are most needed, will be one indication of the federal government's desire to be a full and effective partner in solving our most pressing national problems and aiding in the revitalization of the economy.

The U.S. Office of Vocational and Adult Education is currently supporting a number of projects that deal with youth employment. Several of these projects are being conducted under interagency agreements with the U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Youth Programs, while others are supported through Programs of National Significance. Two of these projects are the Industry Education–Labor Collaboration Project and the Vocational Education/CETA Coordination Project.



7

Energy

The United States has only three real choices for producing significant amounts of energy over the next ten years: coal, increasing domestic production and conservation, or improved energy efficiency. This demand for new energy sources will obviously make energy one of the growth industries of the 1980s.

The U.S. Department of Energy provides the bulk of funds for energy-related research at universities. In November of 1978, the Education Programs Division of the U.S. Department of Energy looked at 234 vocational-technical schools to see how they were addressing, or planning to address, the energy-related occupational needs of the work force. The project located twenty-four related occupational-technical programs, fourteen planned programs, and 117 short courses in nine energy-related areas. Some programs provided entry-level skills while others were aimed at upgrading employees in designing their programs in order to meet the industry's skill demands.

Vocational education recognizes the serious program implications created by the energy crisis and has begun to respond to the emerging industries and technologies that will be needed to assist the United States in relearning to efficiently produce and conserve energy. The major vehicles for impacting on this area are expanded research and curriculum development. Between 1973 and 1979, the U.S. Office of Vocational and Adult Education has invested almost 2 million dollars in energy-related projects, most of which involved curriculum development. Examples of these projects include a series on curriculum for energy use and conservation technicians; programs in laser technology; and an environmental quality and energy conservation curriculum model. The National Center is also active in these efforts to increase energy conservation in the construction trades, and in developing conservation resource materials.

Future Needs

In FY 81, the program priorities for the federal Programs of National Significance will be as follows:

1. To Reduce Youth Unemployment

Proposed activities will address (a) how to effectively link vocational education with basic skill development, (b) how to improve vocational education models for youth in inner cities and in rural areas, and (c) innovative approaches to resolve the problems of retention of youth in vocational education programs.

2. To Promote Equity

Proposed activities will address (a) how to increase representation and participation of special populations (women, minorities, handicapped persons, disadvantaged persons) in vocational education, (b) the development and demonstration of instructional materials for special populations, and (c) the materials dissemination and active outreach to special populations.

3. To Improve Productivity Training

Proposed activities will (a) develop curricula and materials addressing new technologies, (b) install new instructional materials in vocational education classrooms, and (c) link courses and curricula developed with labor and industry.



8

١,

4. To Increase Energy Employment Opportunities

Proposed activities will (a) develop energy conservation instructional materials, and (b) encourage the adoption of new materials in vocational education classrooms.

Looking ahead to FY 1982, these four priority objectives will be continued but reordered and expanded:

- 1. To Encourage Economic Development and to Increase Froductivity

 The U.S. Office of Vocational and Adult Education will encourage the coordination of a nationwide effort to revitalize vocational training program facilities and equipment in order to meet changing needs and trends in American business and industry, especially in rural and inner-city urban areas, and to improve the capacity of our work force to make the American economic system more competitive in world markets.
- To Ensure Access to and Equity in Vocational Training Opportunities
 Through both mandated set-asides and special national initiatives, funds will be directed to expanding current vocational training opportunities to include persons in isolated rural and inner-city urban areas, and to increase the number of women, minorities, handicapped, disadvantaged, and limited English proficient persons in higher paying occupational fields.
- 3. To Reduce Youth Unemployment

Through coordinated state and federal program development and dissemination, the problem of youth unemployment in inner-city and rural areas will be addressed. Efforts will concentrate on linking basic skills and occupational training programs, and improving guidance, outreach, and retention activities for the most seriously disadvantaged youth.

4. To Increase Energy Conservation and Production

Federal, state, and local efforts will be focused on improving energy conservation in the home, workplace, schools, and farms and on increasing employment training in energy-related fields where the demand exceeds the supply of skilled workers.

Achievement of these program improvement priorities—encouraging economic development and increasing productivity, ensuring access and equity, reducing youth unemployment, and increasing energy conservation and production—is critical to revitalizing the American economy and to achieving equity of opportunity for all Americans.

By focusing our attention on these national problems, I believe we in vocational education can contribute significantly to resolving them.

I believe over the next few months and years you, here at the National Center, can and will assist in the attainment of that goal. The strides you have made along with others engaged in vocational education research and development have established a foundation for our future efforts. Now is the time for increased emphasis on these four priorities in vocational education with special attention given to their interrelationships in affecting both the economy and the individual.



The National Center can assist in this endeavor by =

- 1. improving national dissemination and utilization of research and development products that focus on the four priorities;
- 2. examining and describing critical policy and substantive issues confronting vocational education:
- assisting in strengthening the nationwide vocational education research and development system, including research coordinating units, curriculum centers, universities, and others;
- 4. helping to improve the articulation among vocational education, CETA, business, industry, labor, community-based organizations, economic development organizations and agencies, and others.

It is my firm belief that we in vocational education can make a major contribution in the revitalization of America. To do so, we need a strong and responsive system of research and development. The National Center is a critical part of that system, and as such, it must provide leadership and demonstrate excellence and responsiveness in meeting our nation's needs. That is my challenge to you. I'm sure you will fulfill it.



LEADERSHIP SERIES IN VOCATIONAL AND CAREER EDUCATION

Apker, Wesley. Policy Issues in Interrelating Vocational Education and CETA. 1979 (OC 56—\$1.90).

Baker, Eva L. New Directions in Evaluation Research: Implications for Vocational Education, 1979 (OC 55—\$1.90).

Barlow, Melvin. Implications from the History of Vocational Education, 1976 (OC 15-\$1.00).

Bell, Terrel H. The Place of Vocational Education in Higher Education: Implications for Education R&D, 1976 (DL 4—\$1.50).

Bell, Terrel H. and Hoyt, Kenneth B. Career Education: The U.S.O.E. Perspective, 1974 (OC 4—\$1.50).

Bottoms, James E. Implications of the New Vocational Education Legislation for Program Research and Development, 1976 (OC 23—\$1.75).

Buzzell, Charles H. Productivity: Implications for Vocational Education, 1976 (OC 19-\$1.00).

Clark, David L. Federal Policy in Educational Research and Development, 1974 (OC 5-S1.50).

Clark, David L. Research and Development Productivity in Educational Organizations, 1978 (OC 41—\$2.20).

Cohen, Wilbur J. Needed Federal Policy in Education for Century III, 1977 (OC 24-\$1.90).

Cyphert, Frederick. Forces Affecting Program Development in Higher Education: Is Anyone Driving? 1975 (OC 11—\$1.00).

Day, Sherman. Education and Training in the Criminal Justice System: Implications for Vocational Education Research and Development, 1979 (OC 52—\$1.90).

Delacruz, Joseph B. Educational Programs for Native Americans: Implications for Vocational Education Research and Development, 1978 (OC 40—\$1.59).

Delker, Paul V. Adult Education—1980 and Beyond: Implications for Research and Development, 1979 (OC 59—\$1.90).

Ellis, John. Vocational Education and Federal Pricrities, 1978 (OC 47-\$1.90).

Ellis, Mary L. Vocational Education: The Future Is Now, 1978 (OC 37-\$1.90).

Evans, Rupert. Vocational Education R and D in the Past Decade: Implications for the Future, 1976 (OC 18—\$1.00).

Fallstrom, Charles M. The Changing Secondary Education Scene: Implications for Vocational Education Research and Development, 1976 (OC 22—\$1.75).

Ganzglass, Evelyn. The Knowledge Development Plan of the Office of Youth Programs: Implications for Vocational Education Research and Development, 1980 (OC 63—\$2.20).

Gideonse, Hendrik. A Model for Educational Research and Development: 1985, 1978 (OC 44—\$2.20).

Ginzberg, Eli. Strategies for Education Reform, 1972-73 (DL 1-\$1:00).

Gleazer, Edmund J. View on Community and Junior College Education, 1975 (OC 9-\$1.00).



Glover, Robert W. Apprenticeship in the United States: Implications for Vocational Education Research and Development, 1980 (OC 66—\$1.90).

Goldhammer, Keith. Exampling Career Education Beyond the Schoolhouse Walls. 1974 (OC 3—\$2.00).

Halperin, Samuel. Emerging Educational Policy Issues in the Federal City: A Report from Washington, 1978 (OC 42—\$2.20).

Hampson, Keith. The Relationship of School and Work: A British Perspective, 1979 (OC 57—\$1.90).

Herr, Edwin L. Work Focused Guidance for Youth In Transition: Some Implications for Vocational Education Research and Development, 1978 (OC 43—\$2.20).

Hicks, Laurabeth L. Programs of Guidance and Counseling Becoming of Age: Implications for Vocational Education R&D, 1977 (OC 25—\$1.75).

Hoyt, Kenneth B. Career Education, Vocational Education, and Occupational Education: An Approach to Defining Differences, 1973-74 (DL 2—\$1.25).

Jennings, John F. and Radcliffe, Charles W. Commentary on Legislation Affecting Vocational Education Research and Development, 1977 (OC 27—\$1.90).

Kolstoe, Oliver P. Implications of Research Findings on Vocational and Career Education for the Mentally Handicapped, 1977 (OC 33.-\$1.90).

Kottman, Roy M. Building a Constituency for Research and Development, 1975 (OC 10-\$1.00).

Krathwohl, David. Improving Educational Research and Development, 1976 (OC 21-\$2.20).

Kreitlow, Burton W. Trends in Adult Education with Implications for Vocational Education, 1976 (OC 13—\$1.25).

Kruger, Daniel H. Occupational Preparation Programs: Implications for Vocational Education, 1977 (OC 31—\$1.90).

Levitan, Sar A. The Unemployment Numbers Is the Message, 1977 (OC 38-\$1.90).

Eund, Duane R. The Role of Vocational Education in the Economic Development of Rural Areas: Implications for Research and Development, 1980 (OC 62—\$2.20).

McCage, Ronald D. The Development of a Comprehensive State Capacity for Program Improvement, 1978 (OC 34—\$1.75).

McCune, Shirley D. The Organized Teaching Profession and R&D, 1977 (OC 29-\$1.90).

Marland, Sidney P. Career Education: Retrospect and Prospect, 1974-75 (DL 3-\$2.00).

Martin, Edwin: New Directions in Vocational Education for the Handicapped: Implications for Research and Devicepment, 1978 (OC 35—\$1.75).

Moody, Tom. Vocational Education, CETA, and Youth Unemployment: Meeting the Needs of Inner City Youth, 1979 (OC 50—\$1.75).

Parnes, Herbert S. A Conceptual Framework for Human Resource Policy: Implications for Vocational Education Research and Development, 1976 (OC 14—\$1.00).

Petty, Reginald. Trends and Issues in Vocational Education: Implications for Vocational Education Research and Development, 1978 (OC 46—\$1.90).

Pucinski, Roman. The Role of State and Local Advisory Councils in Vocational Education, 1978 (OC 36—\$1.90).



Reider, Corinne H. Women, Work and Vocational Education, 1977 (OC 26-\$1.90).

Rosen, Howard: Recent Manpower Legislation and Programs: Implications for Research and Development, 1975 (OC 7—\$1.00).

Scanlon, Robert C. Public Schools for the 80's: Implications for Vocational and Career Education R&D, 1976 (OC 20-\$1.50).

Schergens, Becky L. The Parent's Role in Career Development: Implications for Vocational Education Research and Development, 1980 (OC 60—\$1.90).

Schmidt, Hermann. Current Problems of Vocational Education in the Federal Republic of Germany, 1979 (OC 54—\$1.90).

Shannon, Thomas A. The Role of Local School Boards in the Development and Direction of Programs of Occupational Education, 1980 (OC 58—\$1.90).

Siffin, William. Institutional Building in Technical Assistance: The Design Perspective and Some Implications for Research and Development in Vocational Education, 1975 (OC 8—\$1.00).

Simpson, Elizabeth J. The Home as a Learning Center for Vocational Development, 1976 (OC 16—\$1.00).

Sticht. Thomas G. Literacy and Vocational Competence, 1978 (OC 39-\$2.80).

Tolbert, Jack F. The Role of Private Trade and Technical Schools in a Comprehensive Human Development System: Implications for Research and Development, 1979 (OC 53—\$1.90).

Wallace, Bertran F. Desegregation and its implications for Vocational and Career Education, 1977 (OC 30—\$1.75).

Wills, Joan. Youth Unemployment: Implications for Vocational Éducation R&D, 1977 (OC 32—\$1.75).

Wirtz, Willard R. and Ford, Gerald R. Bringing the World of Work and the Institutions of Education Closer Together, 1977 (OC 28—\$1.75).

Wirtz, Willard R. Community Education Work Councils, 1976 (OC 17-\$1.00).

ORDERING INFORMATION

All prices include postage and handling. When ordering use series numbers and titles. Orders of \$10.00 or less will be accepted on a cash, check, or money order basis only. Purchase orders will be accepted for orders in excess of \$10.00. Please make check or money order payable to: The National Center for Research in Vocational Education. Mail remittance and/or purchase order to: National Center Publications, The Ohio State University, 1960 Kenny Road, Columbus, OH 43210. (Prices subject to change.)

The Lecture Series at the National Center for Research in Vocational Education was established to provide a forum for discussing current issues confronting educational research and development among distinguished professionals and National Center and Ohio State University staff. Points of view or opinions do not necessarily represent official National Center or Ohio State University position or policy.

