DOCUMENT RESUME

EA 012 773

ED 188 322

AUTHOR TITLE Stern, Cavid What Is an Option? A Study of Alternatives to the

Usual High School Curriculum.

PUE CATE

Mar 80 70p.: Table III may be illegible due to small

print.

EDFS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

*Counselor Attitudes: *Equivalency Tests: *Graduation
Requirements: High School Students: *Nontraditional
Education: Program Descriptions: Questionnaires:
School Surveys: Secondary Education: *Student

Attitudes: *Work Experience Programs

IDENTIFIERS

*California High School Proficiency Examination

ABSTRACT

This report summarizes the findings of a two-year study on high school students buse of options that provide alternatives to the usual curriculum. Questionnaires were given to juniors and seniors as well as counselors in 29 public high schools in the San Francisco (California) Eay region in 1978. The survey posed questions about students' knowledge and use of four options that were available in all 29 schools: work experience programs, early graduation, concurrent enrollment in a college or university, and Taking the California High School Proficiency Examination (CH_PF). Variables that contributed to understanding student use of a particular oftion, discussed in this paper, include student attitudes and experience while in school, counselors' attitudes, attributes of individual students, and students' stated reasons for using or not using options. A detailed account is given of the CHSPE alternative. The paper concludes that the main limitation of CHSPE and the other crticus is that they do not increase the total number of jobs available in the economy, a desired result of participation in these programs. A lengthy appendix offers a reproduction of the survey instrument and an analysis of the methodology used. (Author/ID)

. 7

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.
EDUCATION & WELFARE
HATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINTHE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINTHE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION OR OPINIONS
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSABILY REPRESTATED DO NOT NECESSABILY REPRESTATED DO NOT NECESSABILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

WHAT IS AN OPTION?

A Study of Alternatives to the Usual High School Curriculum

David Stern
School of Education
University of California, Berkeley
March 1980

"PERMISSION 1	TO REP	RODUCE	THIS
MATERIAL HAS	BEEN	GRANTE	D BY

D. Stern

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."



Contents

	Page
Background	2
The Survey of High School Students and Counselors	5
The Options	6
Students' Knowledge and Use of Options: How Many, Who, and Why	11
CHSPE: An Option for Whom?	22
Conclusion	26
Notes	29
Appendix I: High School Students Survey Response Frequencies	
Appendix II: Counselor Survey Response Frequencies	
Appendix III: Regression Analysis of Students' Knowledge and Use of Options	



WHAT IS AN OPTION?

This report summarizes the findings of a two-year study on high school students' use of options which provide alternatives to the usual curriculum. A complete description of the study is contained in the final report to N.I.E. (contract no. 400-77-77).

In this summary, high school and the alternatives to it are viewed in an economic context. This in no way implies that the economic objectives of schooling are considered more important than the humanistic. It does reflect a perception, however, that the relationship between schooling and employment continues to be problematic. The hope is that clarifying this issue will make both the economic and the humanistic objectives more attainable.



Background

The idea that everyone should finish high school is relatively new. As recently as 1959, high school graduates were a minority of the total civilian labor force. But during the 1950s and 1960s, children born in the 1945-1960 baby boom entered high school in great numbers—and, on top of their large numbers, a large proportion made it all the way through high school and received diplomas. The proportion of 18-year-olds who had high school diplomas grew from 61 percent in 1954-55 to more than 75 percent by 1970. As a result, by 1970 almost two-thirds of the labor force had at least finished high school, and by 1979 more than three out of four members of the labor force had high school diplomas or more. For those who want jobs, especially young people who lack previous work experience, finishing high school has now become the norm.

Paradoxically, however, the same trends that have made a high school diploma more important as a prerequisite for employment have also made it less effective as a guarantee. Even though members of the baby-boom group were finishing high school (and college) at higher rates than previous generations, the sheer size of the baby-boom generation was making it more difficult for them all to find jobs.

This can be seen by comparing the years 1956, 1965, and 1974, because in these years the overall availability of jobs in the economy happened to be the same — as indicated by the same (2.6 percent) level of unemployment among males aged 35 to 44. In 1956, no boom babies were yet seeking regular jobs, and the unemployment rate among 16 to 19-year-old males was 11.1 percent. In 1965, the 16 to 19 year-old group consisted of people born at the beginning of the baby boom (1946 to 1949), and the unemployment rate or males in this group was 14.1 percent. In 1974, the 16 to 19 year-olds were from the peak years of the baby boom (1955 to 1958), and the unemploy-

ment rate for males in that group was up to 15.5 percent. This rise in relative unemployment rates for teenage males occurred in spite of the fact that those who did find full-time jobs were earning less and less compared to prime-age males. As teenagers in the 1960s and early 1970s, males born in the baby boom were reporting relatively less success in finding jobs, even at lower relative wages—in spite of their higher educational attainments.

What is paradoxical is that high school graduates still do have substantially better chances of finding jobs than do high school dropouts.

Again using figures for 1965 and 1974, when overall labor market conditions were comparable, the unemployment rate for male and female high school graduates aged 16-24 rose from 12.4 to 47 percent, but for high school dropouts it went up from 20.2 to 29.8 percent. While employers evidently prefer to hire high school graduates, a high school diploma cannot be sufficient to guarantee employment if there are simply not enough jobs to go around.

To the extent that students themselves become aware of these economic realities, they may well feel frustrated, frightened, or angry. Data from a survey of high school students in 1978 reveal that their most frequently stated reasons for wanting a diploma are to get a good job, to go to college, or generally to be able to compete in the world. (The survey is described below). For those who do not enjoy being students, the knowledge that a high school diploma is necessary but not sufficient to attain these goals would be disconcerting. A possible response would be to resign oneself to a long grind through high school, college, and perhaps graduate school. But students who lack the patience, interest, aptitude, or financial means to undertake such an extended educational career -- or students from oppressed groups who believe they will be at a competitive disadvantage no matter what educational



credentials they hold -- may well feel trapped and resentful.

Such feelings perhaps contributed to the growing unrest among high school students in the late 1960s and early 1970s. During that period the incidence of violence and vandalism rose, test scores declined, drug use and pregnancies among students increased, and by the mid-1970s the proportion of students who were finishing high school stopped growing.

describing these symptoms of unrest, discussing possible causes, and proposing remedies. These commission reports themselves have been synthesized and criticized by two later studies. Although these reports and studies varied in scope, emphasis, and point of view, one idea most of them shared was that young people should have more options. Instead of compelling all young people to sit through a standard curriculum of high school classes, schools and other agencies are urged to create legitimate alternatives, which would allow individual young people to prepare for adulthood in their own chosen ways. The menu of new choices should include, in particular, new options for combining school and work.

Apparently, schools either heard the message or came to similar conclusions on their own. In a national survey of high school principals, conducted in 1977 by the National Institute of Education and the National Association of Secondary School Principals, most principals said their schools were offering a considerable array of alternatives to the conventional program. Each of the following alternatives was said to be available to students for credit in a majority of schools: "off-campus work experience or occupational training", "independent study projects", "correspondence courses", and "college courses on a college or university campus". Most principals said their schools also offered an "early graduation" option. T



The present study considers some of these options from the viewpoint of students themselves. In schools that make such options available, what kinds of students use them, and why? The following sections describe the survey, the options, and the findings. The final section places the study back in its economic context, and considers whether creating more options of this kind can resolve the paradoxical problems inherent in the idea that everyone should finish high school.

The Survey of High School Students and Counselors

Data on students' awareness and use of several existing options were obtained in November and December, 1978, from juniors and seniors in 29 regular public high schools in the San Francisco Bay region. The region consists of eight counties which include the urban and suburban areas around the San Francisco Bay, as well as some of the agricultural and rural hinterland. Of the 151 regular public high schools in this region, a stratified random sample of 30 schools was drawn. One school declined to participate in the survey.

In the remaining 29 schools, questionnaires were given to students in selected classes, with all students present in the class filling out the questionnaire at the same time. Classes in required subjects were selected, in order to obtain a representative sample of students. At least one return visit was made to each school to obtain questionnaires from students who were absent when their class was surveyed. Questionnaires were finally obtained from 84 percent of the students on the rolls of the classes sampled—but some students whose names still appear on the rolls have actually dropped the class or left the school, so the response rate among students who were actually enrolled was higher than 84 percent.



A total of 3531 questionnaires were collected from juniors and seniors. These are about three percent of all the juniors and seniors in regular public high schools in this eight-county region. Since the proportion of students sampled from each school varied among the 29 schools, the data for each student were multiplied by a weight which is inversely proportional to the student's probability of being in the sample. This assures that means and proportions computed from the sample are unbiased estimates of the means and proportions in the whole population this sample represents.

Appendix I shows the weighted numbers of students who gave the various possible responses to each item on the questionnaire.

In each of the 29 schools, questionnaires were also given to all members of the counseling staff. The response rate from counselors was 65 percent. Appendix II shows the numbers of counselors who gave the various possible responses to each item on their questionnaire. Data from counselors were not weighted.

The Options

Students and counselors were asked about four options which are available in all 29 schools. The legal basis for each of these options in California is as follows.

Work experience for credit. California law provides that the governing board of each local school district shall independently establish the length of that district's school day and that pupils in the district shall attend for its full length. The law also provides that no state aid shall be apportioned for a high school pupil unless the pupil attends school for at least four hours per day. Nearly all districts have established local school days longer than this minimum of four hours. For purposes of apportioning state aid, the



-1-

State Department of Education will permit one of the minimum four hours of daily attendance to consist of "work experience education," either on or off the school campus. This work experience consists simply of some form of employment, or apprenticeship training for which the student may or may not be otherwise compensated, that is at least nominally supervised by instructional personnel and for which the student receives credit on his or her high school transcript. The remaining hours by which the district's locally determined school day exceeds the minimum of four required by state law may also be satisfied by more of the same, or different, work experience, at the discretion of the district.

In addition, though there is some doubt as to the legality of the practice with respect to pupils younger than 16, many districts allow pupils 14 or older to leave school after attending for the four-hour minimum, if, and for so long as, they have part-time after school jobs, even though these jobs are not "work experience education" by statutory definition (no school supervision or credit).

Insuring observance of the compulsory attendance laws is increasingly one of the most frustrating challenges faced by the public schools, but in the case of young persons who need or wish to be gainfully employed, the schools have a uniquely effective sanction: State law generally prohibits employers from hiring persons younger than 18 to work on school days unless the young persons present "work permits" issued by their school districts. To obtain such a permit, a young person generally must be at least 14 and attending and achieving satisfactorily in school. Because the fork permit statutes generally allow joung persons to work only half time (four hours) on school days, school programs through which pupils are allowed to attend for the minimum four hours and then engage in regular employment are often



known as "4/4" programs: four hours of school, followed by four hours of work, each school day.

Early graduation. California law does not specify the total amount of course work a student must complete to be eligible for high "chool graduation, leaving that determination entirely to local school district governing boards (which do in fact require differing total amounts of "units" from one district to another). As a result, early graduation is fundamentally within the disgretion of local governing boards, which also may or may not offer summer sessions or allow students to elect extended-day programs. The passage of Proposition 13 in Jun., 1978, has led to reduction or elimination of both these options in many of the school districts in which they were formerly available and has further reduced possibilities for early graduation by causing some school districts to reduce the number of class periods in their school days. But at the time of the survey (Nowember and December, 1978), the full effects of Proposition 13 had not yet been felt, and early graduation was still a option.

Allowing students to accumulate credits toward early graduation through passing examinations as an alternative to "seat time" has never been popular or widespread in California, at least in part because no state aid is generated by a student who does not attend classes.

Concurrent enrollment in classes at a college, university, or adult school. Sections 48800, 48801, 48802, and 76001 of the California Education Code provide for an attendance option sometimes known as "Veysey programs," after then-Assemblyman Victor Veysey, who authored the provisions. Such programs allow students in the 11th or 12th grade to attend "advanced scholastic or vocational" classes in community colleges after first attending at least four hours at their high schools each day. To do so, students must hav the permission of



both their high schools and the community colleges they wish to attend. The number of students in Veysey programs from any one high school may not exceed 15 percent of the high school's total enrollment. The credits a student earns by attending community college in such a program are college credits, unless by agreement between the college and the high school the student receives high school credit for the college coursework.

Education Code Section 1330? permits high schools to contract with community colleges for vocational education courses (presumably to take advantage of superior facilities found at some community colleges), and high school students migh perceive these as being "college" courses. The law provides, however, that only high school credit may be given for them (perhaps because they would not be "advanced," as Veysey course must be).

The law does not expressly permit concurrent enrollment by high school students in any institutions of higher education other than community colleges. Thus, a student interested in attending part-time, for instance, a university, must not only find a university willing to accept him or her (probably by waiving some entrance requirements to do so), but also a high school administrator with a sufficiently creative eye to see his or her legal way clear to permitting the arrangement. The fundamental limitation will always be that a high school generally receives no state. I for a student who attends less than four hours per day.

State law specifically provides (Education Code Section 48410(f)) that young persons 16 or older who can "give satisfactory proof of regular employment" (not defined in statute) may attend adult high school (usually conducted in the evenings) in lieu of regular high school. Such a student theoretically needs no one's permission to do so. There is no express statutory authorization for such attendance to be concurrent with enrollment



in regular high school, but the same general practical considerations would apply as explained in the foregoing paragraph with regard to concurrent en-

The California High School Proficiency Examination (CHSPE). Of the legitimate options open to high school students in California, CHSPE is the most radical alternative to the usual course of study. Anyone who passes the examination receives a Certificate of Proficiency issued by the state, which is legally equivalent to a high school diploma awarded by a local district. Anyone who is at least 16 years old or who is a student in the second semester of tenth grade is eligible to take CHSPE. Students who pass and obtain parents' permission of necessary for students 18 or older) are exempt from further compulsory education requirements.

Technically, full-time schooling is compulsory in California only through age 16. Between 16 and 18, the law requires only part-time attendance in "continuation" schools or classes. But in fact most students fulfill this requirement by attending regular public high schools full-time. Despite efforts by some officials to avoid stigmatizing the continuation schools, they tend to be inhabited by students who ave had some kind of trouble in regular high schools.

without taking all the courses required for a local diploma. State law stipulates that anyone who passes CHSPE is automatically eligible for admission to one of the two-year public community colleges. The admissions information distributed by the University of California also explicitly states that the Certificate of Proficiency is equivalent to a local high school diploma.

CHSPE is not the same as the tests of minimal competence which, starting in California in June, 1980, a student <u>must</u> pass in order to receive a



local high school diploma. In California these tests are devised by each local chool district. As of 1978, 16 states in addition to California were instituting tests of minimal competence as requirements for high school graduation. 9 CHSPE, in contrast, is not required.

Despite its voluntary nature, and despite the fact that the passing grade is set so that fewer than half will pass, CHSPE is a real option: Since it was first offered in December 1975, about 30,000 individuals have taken CHSPE each year.

Students' Knowledge and Use of Options: How Many, Who, and Why

The data in Appendix I show 89 percent of students indicated they had heard of the work experience option, 96 percent had heard of early graduation, only 80 percent were aware of the concurrent enrollment option, and 82 percent had heard of CHSPE. However, some students who had heard of an option were not aware that it was offered at their own schools — even though these four options were in fact available at all schools in the sample. Only 83 percent of all students knew that work experience for credit was available at their own schools, 90 percent knew their own schools allowed early graduation, and 68 percent were aware of the concurrent enrollment option at their own schools.

in the second semester of tenth grade. But while more than four out of five students in the sample indicated they had heard of CHSPE, many were misinformed about it. On the first four true/false questions in item 35, the number of students giving correct responses ranged from 65 to 72 percent of the whole sample. (The correct responses are: false, false, true, false). On question 35(e), which asked whether or not "You still need a regular high school diploma to go to a four-year college or university even if you pass



CHSPE", "mly 39 percent of the sample correctly labelled the statement false. This misperception implies that many students who want to enter a four-year college or university directly from high school do not consider CHSPE a useful option for themselves. The general question of why more students do not take CHSPE will be discussed further below.

Student often hear about an option from more than one source. Table is shows the mentage of students, among those who indicated they knew an option was available, who had heard about it from various sources. Other students in the school were the most frequently cited source of information about all four options. Counselors were generally the next most common source, although for CHSPE and work experience counselors are slightly less important than school notices, announcements, or newspapers. Teachers are the next most often cited source of information, followed by sources outside the school and, finally, other school staff.

Peers are also the primary source of information about jobs, for students who had jobs at the time they took the questionnaire. Responses to question 9c show friends and other students together represent the most important source of leads. But, not surprisingly, sources outside of school are more important for finding jobs than for finding out about alternatives to the regular course of study in school.

The numbers of students who are actually using these four options vary considerably. The most popular option is work experience for credit, which 26 percent of the sample say they have done. Less than 11 percent say they are planning to graduate early, and only 9 percent indicate they have used the option of concurrent enrollment. Finally, less than 2 percent have taken CHSPE, though an additional 8 percent think they will take it in the future. Of course, some students who have already graduated early, or who have taken



TABLE 1

Percentage* of Students Indicating
They Received Information About an
Option From Each Scurce

Source of Information

					,		
Option (n=number who knew option was available to them)	School andowncement, notice, newspaper	Counselor	Teacher(s)	Other School Staff	Students at School	Outside of School	•
				·			
Work experience (n=2916)	54	- 53	34	13	72	22 .	
Early graduation (n=3161)	37	59	39	15,	81	38	
Concurrent enrollment (n=2387)	2 8	50 :	31	11	71 .	. 38	
CHSPE (n=2904)	56	48	46	14	69	40	

^{*} Percentages add to more than 100 for each option because students cited more than one source.

CHSPE and left high school, would not be included in the sample. The true proportion of students who use these two early-exit options would therefore be somewhat larger than the proportion in this sample of students who are still in school. The problem of estimating the true proportion of students who take CHSPE is discussed in the next section.

Since most students learn about these options from other students or from other sources in their own schools, the use of options may well be expected to vary from one school to another. And in fact it varies a great deal. In two schools, for example, there were 51 percent of the juniors and seniors sampled who had received credit for work experience, while in two other schools there were only 13 or 14 percent. Students who were planning to graduate early ranged from just under 20 percent of the sample in a couple of schools down to less than 5 percent in several other schools, including one school where no students were planning to graduate early. Similarly, in several schools only a handful of students reported using the concurrent enrollment option, but in one school 22 percent did.

The only option with an almost uniform rate of use among schools was CHSPE, for which the reported rates of use were consistently small. The actual number of students in a school who said they had already taken CHSPE ranged from one to six, and as a proportion of students sampled the maximum was 3.5 percent. A more detailed discussion of CHSPE is in the next section.

Why do some students in a particular school decide to use a particular option, while others do not, and why do the proportions vary from school to school? To shed some light on these questions, a one-time survey such as this can gather information on

- -- students' attitudes and experience while in school;
- -- counselors' attitudes;



- -- permanent c wracteristics of students;
- -- students' stated reasons for using or not using options.

 The usefulness of each kind of information, and what it revealed about the use of options by students in this sample, may be summarized as follows.

Individual students' attitudes and experience while in school are interesting kinds of information, but unfortunately they have no explanatory value. For example, it would be interesting to know whether students are more or less likely to use the work experience option because they have received low grades in their courses. The problem is that students' grades may go up or down as a result of participation in work experience. So comparing the grades of those who do and do not participate in work experience could easily be misleading about whether grades cause use of this option or vice versa. In general, since students' attitudes, aspirations, achievements, and activities might all be affected by their use of work experience or other options, information about all these variables collected at only one point in time is simply no help in explaining why some students use options and others do not, or why the proportion varies from school to school.

Counselors' attitudes are somewhat more useful as possible explanatory variables, though there is some ambiguity here, too. Most students in the sample did report seeing a counselor at least once a year (questions 50 and 51). In Table 1, counselors were often cited as a source of information about options. Moreover, opinions of counselors shown in Appendix II are generally consistent with the finding that more students use work experience than the other three options. The counselors say they talk to more students about work experience than about the other options, and they advise more students to use it. Counselors also consider work experience beneficial to larger proportions of students in all the categories listed,



as Table 2 shows.

Unfortunately, the interpretation of these findings is somewhat ambiguous, since it is possible that counselors' opinions and practices are a result, as well as a cause, of students' decisions. With data collected at only one point in time, it is not possible to measure the true, independent effect of counseling on students' behavior.

Permanent characteristics of individual students are attributes like race, sex, and parents' socioeconomic status. These are not altered by what students do. Therefore, if students of a particular type tend to do some of the same things, then any causal interpretation has to consider only one possible direction of causation. The problem here is that there are many intervening variables, most of which can only be guessed at.

For instance, female students are more likely than male students to have obtained academic credit for unpaid work experience. Since this kind of work experience sometimes consists of answering school telephones and performing secretarial work in the school office, a possible explanation is that females are more likely to hold such jobs because either school administrators or students themselves consider them female-stereotyped jobs. This explanation cannot be tested with the survey data, but the data do reveal other indications that females are more connected to school and less engaged in work outside of school. Female students are more likely to have heard about options. They are more likely to be preparing for college, and to be using the options of early graduation or concurrent of rollment. At the same time, females on average take fewer vocational classes, and work fewer hours a week in paid jobs during the school year. Finally, females are more likely neither to be preparing for college, nor to have had jobs with a regular paycheck during the school year or the

TABLE 2

Percentage* of Counselors Indicating
How Many Students in Each Category
Would Benefit From Each Option

Ortion	Type of Student	Proportion	of Stude	ents Who Wo	ould Benefit
	<u>.</u> s	None	Some	About Half	Most
Work	Going to 4-year college	· 2	61	. 11	26
Leperi-	Going to 2-year college	2	33	23	42
ence	Going to work	1	29	. 19	51
	Potential drop-out	· 3	29	8	59
				<u>/</u>	
Early	Going to 4-year college	10	79	3	7
Gradu-	Going to 2-year college	6	68	14	12
ation	Going to work	4	79	6	11 -
	Potential drop-out	18	54	9	18
		•			. •
Con-	Going to 4-year college	5	66	10	19
current	Going to 2-year college	14	72	6	17
Enroll-	Going to work	·11	80	5	3
ment	Potential drop-out	21 '	65	7	. 7
š					
	Going to 4-year college	41	58	. 1	.0
CHSPE	Going to 2-year college	19	74	4	3
	Going to work	4	75 ·	.8	12
	Potential drop-out	3	52	14	32,
	•			•	

^{*} Percentage of those responding. Each row adds to 100 percent except due to rounding error.

previous summer. On the whole, this pattern suggests that females are more involved in school and males are more oriented toward paid employment. The larger proportion of females who are neither preparing for college nor acquiring experience in regular jobs are perhaps expecting to become full-time nomemakers.

These patterns were revealed by a statistical regression analysis, which is fully described in Appendix III. The analysis took account of the fact that the proportions of students of different races, and whose parents have different levels of education and income, vary considerably among schools. (The proportion of students who are female does not vary much among schools.) The regression analysis therefore measures how knowledge and use of options depend both on the characteristics of individuals in relation to other students in the same school, and on the average characteristics of students in the school. The complete results are in Appendix III. The most important results are as follows.

In schools with larger proportions of black or Hispanic students, there is significantly less awareness of options. On average, if the percentage of black students is 10 points higher in one school than another, then 2 to 4 percent fewer students have heard of any option in the school with more blacks. If the percentage of Hispanic students is 10 points higher in one school than another, then on average between 5 and 10 percent fewer students in the more Hispanic school are aware of work experience, concurrent enrollment, or CHSPE.

However, in schools with larger proportions of blacks or Hispanics, the use of options among students who know about them is no less from went than in other schools. In fact, in schools with higher percentages of black students, students who have heard of CHSPE are significantly more likely to have taken it or to say that they intend to take it.



Within a school, individual black or Hispanic students are not significantly more or less likely than white students to know about option.

And among students who know about an option, black or Hispanic students are not significantly more or less likely to use it than are white students in the same school.

In short, the reason why black and Hispanic students make less use of options is that they are more likely to be in schools where the overall awareness of options is low.

The only sign that options reinforce "tracking" within school is that students whose parents have more education are less likely to participate in paid work experience for credit, and more likely to use concurrent enrollment, than are students in the same school whose parents have less education. This is consistent with the generally more academic, less vocational orientation of students whose parents have higher educational attainments. Compared to other students in the same school, they are more likely to be preparing for college, take fewer vocational classes, and spend less time in paid employment.

Knowledge of options does not vary significantly with parents' education, among students in the same school. But in schools where more students have highly educated parents, there is significantly less awareness of the work experience option. This would tend to further reduce the use of work experience by students whose parents have more education.

Finally, there are some consistent differences in knowledge and use of options depending on whether or not students come from families where the father works full time for pay and mother works full time at home. For short, this type of family is labelled "traditional", though this label is accura e only for middle-class families in industrial societies of the



past 150 years or so. Students from this kind of family are significantly less likely to find out about several options, and to use them if they do find out, than are other students in the same school. Also, in schools where more students come from "traditional" families, there is less awareness of options among students in general. It is possible that "traditional" family structure is associated with lack of interest in alternatives to the conventional high school curriculum.

explained by differences in race, class, sex, and life-style. In schools with larger proportions of black or Hispanic students, there is less awareness of options among students in general. Within a school, students with higher social status, as measured by their parents' educational attainment, are less likely than other students to participate in paid work experience. but more likely to use the more academic option of concurrent enrollment. The pattern for females resembles that of high-status students: more academically than vocationally oriented. Finally, both within a school and between schools, "traditional" family structure is negatively associated with knowledge and use of options.

Students' stated reasons for using or not using options also contribute to understanding these choices. Since this survey asked students to give reasons for decisions they had already made, the data cannot be used to predict which students are more likely to use options. But these after-the-fact explanations nevertheless do reveal something about what students think the options mean.

Except for students who had taken CHSPE or were considering it, students gave mainly practical, instrumental reasons for their use of options. "In order to earn money" was the most often stated reason for participating in



work experience, and "I wanted to take a certain class not offered in my school" was the most frequent reason for concurrent enrollment. Future-oriented reasons were also generally important: getting into college or getting a job after high school were among the two or three most frequently cited reasons for using every option except CHSPE.

Students who had taken CHSPE or were considering it, on the other hand, most often said their reason was just to find out if they could pass, find out what CHSPE was like, or obtain the option of leaving high school. In contrast to the other options, the main appeal of CHSPE is not as a practical means to achieve some well-defined goal.

More than 90 percent of the students surveyed had neither taken CHSPE nor made the decision to take it. Among this large majority, the most important reasons was "I want a regular high school diploma." Questions 43b and 43c probed the reasons why students wanted to stay in high school and graduate with regular diplomas. Again, the most important reasons were practical, instrumental, and future-oriented: "I need a diploma to go to college." "I need a diploma to get a good job." "I want to be prepared to compete in the outside world." These students have obviously internalized the norm that everyone should finish high school.

These students, who have already persisted in school long enough to become juniors and seniors, are committed to finishing high school. Most expect to attend postsecondary school at least part time the year after they finish high school, and eventually to acquire a bachelor's degree at least (questions 45, 47). Although 78 percent say they have had jobs where they received regular paychecks, fewer than 19 percent of those who had had such jobs said the work was like what they wanted to do for a career (questions 6 and 7d). Evidently, high school for these students is the main avenue



to future career goals. Those among them who use the options of work experience, early graduation, or concurrent enrollment indicate reasons which are consistent with these goals.

In short, students see three of the four options as consistent with, and sometimes as short-cuts toward, the same career goals they think they need a regular high school diploma to achieve. The exception is CHSPE.

The next section presents additional data, from the survey and other sources, which help explain what is odd about CHSPE.

CHSPE: An Option for Whom?

CHSPE is a more radical alternative than the other three discussed here; because it provides a legitimate way to by-pass local requirements for a diploma. If, as the Carnegie Council claimed, "High school is an alienating experience for many young people; like a prison — albeit with open doors — for some," then CHSPE is such a door. But it is a door that opens most easily for students who are least likely to want to use it. For utudents who experience high school as alienating and prison-like, the open door of CHSPE is a cruel illusion: a seeming opportunity they often cannot really use.

For its discussion of educational and economic policies toward young people, the Carnegie Council divided youth into five categories, based on a classification by Martin Trow. A young person may be classified as advantaged, financially disadvantaged, socially deprived, personally deprived, or an "opt-out". Advantaged youth are likely to finish high school. So are the financially disadvantaged, but with some financial hardship to their families. Young people in the last three categories are not likely to finish high school, because of social circums tances, personal disabilities, or



philosophical orientation. About 6 percent of the population aged 16 to 21 are classified as optouts, 3 percent as personally deprived, 18 percent socially deprived, 20 percent financially disadvantaged, and 53 percent advantaged. The financially disadvantaged and socially deprived together comprise 33 percent of white youth, but among both blacks and Hispanics 61 percent of all young people are financially disadvantaged or socially deprived.

Table 2 shows that high school counselors think potential drop-outs are likely to benefit from CHSPE. Presumably the reason is that a Certificate of Proficiency at least represents an honorable discharge, and therefore avoids the stigma of just quitting. Passing CHSPE allows students to leave high school early without being labelled as failures.

Most actual dropouts, however, do not in fact take the CHSPE. In both 1976-77 and 1977-78, the total reported enrollment in grade 12 in regular public high schools (not including continuation schools) in California was about 280,000. If there were slightly more juniors than seniors, then the combined enrollment in grad: 11 and 12 would have been about 600,000. Since most juniors and seniors and 17 years old and 1 year olds (see Appendix I, questions 2 and 3), the numb enrolled in regular public high schools in Cal. ... a would also have been about 600,000. Adding private schools and public continuation schools would give a total of something like 650,000 students in this age group. If the ratio of school dropouts to students among 16 and 17 year olds in California was the same as in the country as a whole -- about one to eleven, according to the conservative estimate obtained from household surveys 12 -- then the number of California 16 and 17 year-olds who were drop-outs would have been approximately 60,000. But, on a short question-



naire given to CHSPE-takers just before the examination, the number of CHSPE-takers who indicated they were not attending school was only 5,246 in 1976-77 and 5,186 in 1977-78 -- and some of these were older than 17. Evidently less than 10 percent of the 16 and 17 year-old dropouts take CHSPE after they have left school.

the is not possible to count the number of potential dropouts who take CHSPE, because there is no way to know for certain that someone is going to drop out before he or she actually does it. However, some indirect inferences can be made about CHSPE-takers who were still students. In 1976-77 there were 19,709 CHSPE-takers who indicated they were students at the time they took the test, and in 1977-78 there were 18,264. About 80 percent of these were in regular public high schools, another 16 or 17 percent were in public continuation schools, and the remaining 3 or 4 percent were in private schools. Since the particular school was reported on the student's CHSPE registration, it is possible to compute the proportion of eligible students in each school who took CHSPE each year.

reveals that the CHSPE-taking rate is consistently and substantially lower in schools where larger proportions of students are black or Hispanic. This negative association between the CHSPE-taking rate and the proportion of students who are black or Hispanic is observed even in a regression analysis which "holds constant" other school characteristics, including mean achievement test scores, proportion receiving AFDC, expenditure per pupil in the district, unemployment rate in the county, and region of the state in which the school is located.

The finding that proportionately fewer students take CMSPE in schools with higher percentages of blacks or Hispanics is exactly the opposite of



what might be expected on the basis of the Carnegie typology described above. If blacks and Hispanics are more likely to drop out of high school, due to financial disadvantage or social deprivation, and if CHSPE is supposed to be for potential dropouts, then the CHSPE-taking rate should be higher in schools with larger proportions of blacks and Hispanics. It was exactly this expectation that prompted some civil rights groups to oppose enactment of the law that created CHSPE: they wanted minority tudents to obtain regular high school diplomas, not just honorable discharges. 13 Why has the result been contrary to what was expected?

One evident reason is that blacks and Hispanics who do take CHSPE are less likely than whites to pass. CHSPE-takers who have identified themselves as plack on the pre-examination questionnaire have passed about 15 to 25 percent of the time (there is some variation between test dates). The passing rate for Hispanics has been about 25 to 35 percent, and those who have identified themselves as white have passed 40 to 50 percent of the time.

even in regression analyses where other characteristics of test-takers are "held constant". These other characteristics, on which data were obtained from the pre-examination questionnaire or the CHSPE registration form, include sex, educational attainment of the head of the test-taker's household, whether a language other than English is spoken at home, and what grades the test-takers said they usually received in several school subjects. These other variables tend-to be associated with performance on CHSPE in the directions one would expect: Individuals from households where the head has more education tend to do better, and those from households where a language other than English is spoken tend to do worse. CHSPE-takers who say they received



good grades in school subjects tend to do well on CHSPE. In other words, a person is more likely to pass CHSPE if he or she comes from an English-speaking household with a well-educated head, and if he or she has done well in school. But these other characteristics do not appear to account for all of the association between CHSPE performance and race. Even taking these other characteristics into account, it appears that a person is more likely to pass CHSPE if he or she is not black or Hispanic.

In sum, CHSPE is strange because it is seen as most useful for potential dropouts, but socially disadvantaged groups which tend to have high dropout rates also have a relatively hard time passing CHSPE. Conversely, students from middle-class, English-speaking homes, and who get good grades in school, have a relatively better chance of passing CHSPE -- but these students are usually planning to go to lege, and, as reported in the previous section, college-bound students do not see CHSPE as a useful option for themselves. So the very students who would most like to have what CHSPE is supposed to provide -- the option of an honorable discharge from a high school which they experience as alienating and prison-like -- are likely to be the least prepared to use it.

Conclusion

The main limitation of CHSPE and the other options is that they do nothing to increase the total number of jobs available in the economy as a whole. This is a serious limitation because it implies that if some individuals are able to obtain jobs as a result of participating in these programs, then an approximately equal number of other individuals will consequently become unable to find jobs. Such an outcome may be considered



desirable if the individuals who are enabled to find jobs are considered more deserving — because they have personal disabilities or are members of oppressed groups — than the individuals who become unemployed. But it is difficult to identify the people who are displaced, because such displacement occurs as the end result of a complicated chain of effects that ramify through the job market. It would be perhaps even more difficult to achieve a political consensus about which groups should be favored and which groups should bear the cost. For these reasons, the zero-sum nature of educational reforms is often ignored or swept under the rug.

If creating options causes larger proportions of young people to stay in school and receive diplomas, or at least to obtain Certificates of Proficiency before they drop out, then are these reforms to be considered successful?

The Carnegie Council would say so, because of presumed gains in social cohesion. But students themselves, according to the survey reported here, take a more hard-headed attitude toward these options, as they do about high school itself. Although the question was not asked, it is difficult to imagine a majority of these pragmatic young people voting for a policy which increased the number of high school graduates but not the number of jobs —so that more graduates found themselves unemployed!

Designing programs to increase the total number of jobs is not simple. Even programs like CETA, which are called job creation, run up against the fact that reducing the unemployment rate below some point causes inflation to accelerate. Therefore jobs created through direct public employment or subsidized private employment may be offset by jobs destroyed through anti-inflationary policies. 16.

Nevertheless, if carefully targeted, employment and training programs

way reduce both inflation and memployment. One area that appears promising



in the 1980s is energy conservation and production. If young people could be put to work making or installing devices to conserve energy or to produce energy from renewable sources, the anti-inflationary effects would be immediate, and such work might also prepare them for employment in an area where increasing numbers of trained people will be needed. Describing such a program in detail is beyond the scope of this study. It is mentioned here in closing, to illustrate that creating some new options may be useful to young people in the aggregate, though not all new options are.



Notes

1. National Center for Educational Statistics: The Condition of Education, 1979 Edition; Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office; p. 182.

Bureau of Labor Statistics: Handbook of Labor Statistics 1975 - Reference Edition; Washington, D.C.: U. S. Government Printing Office; p. 54.

Bureau of Labor Statistics: "One in Three Workers Has Gone to College"; News release USDL 80-87; Feb. 14, 1980.

- 2. Handbook of Labor Statistics 1975, op.cit., pp. 28 and 148.
 - 3. Michael L. Wachter: "Intermediate Swings in Labor-Force Participation"; Brookings Papers on Economic Activity 1977, No. 2; p. 556.
 - 4. Handbook of Labor Statistics 1975, op. cit., pp. 92-93.
 - 5. James S. Coleman and others: Youth: Transition to Adulthood; Report of the Panel on Youth of the President's Science Advisory Committee; Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1974.
 - John H. Martin and others: National Panel on High School and Adolescent Education; Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1974.
 - B. Frank Brown and others; The Reform of Secondary Education; National Commission on the Reform of Secondary Education; New York: McGraw-Hill, 1973.
 - 6. P. Michael Timpane and others: Youth Policy in Transition; Santa Monica, California: RAND Corp., 1976.
 - Carnegie Council on Policy Studies in Higher Education: Giving Youth a Better Chance; Options for Education, Work, and Service; San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1979.
 - 7. Susan Abramowitz and others: High School '77, A Survey of Public Secondary School Principals; Washington, D.C.: U.S. Dept. of Health, Education, and Welfare; National Institute of Education, 1978; pp. 75-76.
 - 8. Several provisions of the California Education Code define and control work experience programs: Article 3 of Chapter 2 and Article 1 of Chapter 3 of Part 26; Article 1 of Chapter 2 and Article 2 of Chapter 3 of Part 27; and Article 7 of Chapter 5 of Part 28, of Division 4 in Title 2. Sections 10070 through 10078 of Title 5 of the California Administrative Code also apply.
 - 9. The Condition of Education, op. cit., p. 68.
 - 10. Carnegie Council on Policy Studies in Higher Education, op. cit., p. 1.
 - 11. Ibid., p. 19.



12. Anne McDougall Young: "Students, Graduates, and Dropouts in the Labor Market, October 1978"; Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Labor, Eureau of Labor Statistics, Special Labor Force Report 223; pp. A-9 and A-18.

National Center for Educational Statistics: <u>Digest of Educational</u>
Statistics 1979; Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, p. 66.

A discussion of why household surveys give a more conservative estimate is in Carnegie Council on Policy Studies in Higher Education, op. cit., p. 49.

- 13. A legislative history of CHSPE is included in William Padia: The California High School Proficiency Examination: Examinee Characteristics and Secondary School Response; Sacramento, California: State Department of Education, 1978.
- 14. See Martin N. Baily and James Tobin: "Macroeconomic Effects of Selective Public Employment and Wage Subsidies"; Brookings Papers on Economic Activity 1977, No. 2, pp. 511-544.
- 15. Carnegie Council on Policy Studies in Higher Education, op. cit., p. 110.
- 16. Baily and Tobin, loc. cit.



APPENDIX I

SRC.	I.D.	•	——————————————————————————————————————
			7-8/01

HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS SURVEY

RESPONSE FREQUENCIES (WEIGHTED)

	INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE QUESTIONNAIRE
Valid n = 3531	Put an "X" in the box next to the statement that best answers the question.
NR = No Response NA = Not Applicable	FOR EXAMPLE: 1. Are you: 1 Female 2 Male
	In all cases you will "X" only one box for each question unless the question itself contains the instruction, "CHECK ALL-THAT APPLY."
· · · · · ·	Please follow the SKIP instructions carefully.

FIRST, WE WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT YOURSELF AND YOUR EXPERIENCES IN HIGH SCHOOL. female 1787 10/ Are you: 1 male 1741: NR 3 2. How old are you now? 286 18 yrs. 11-12/ 51 19 years old. 21 1478 17 1674 3. What grade are you in now? 11th grade, 1st semester 1753 15, 147 11th grade, 2nd semester 1556 w12th grade, 1st semester 12th grade, 2nd semester **75** Other (specify) 4. When did you first come to this high school? In the 9th grade 1671 14, 1519 In the 10th grade 259 · In the 11th grade 77 In the 12th grade



NR

5. How active have you been in each of the following school activities since you've been in high school? (CHECK ONE BOX FOR EACH ACTIVITY)

	NR = 47	Did not participate	Participated: somewhat active 2	Participated: very active 3	
a)	School newspaper or yearbook	2984	308	. 191	15/
b)	Student government or school advisory group	2830	449	204	16/
(c)	Interscholastic sports or school teams	1596	908	980	17/
,	Cheerleading or Rally Committee or Drill Team	3000 2749	223 	262 	18/ 19/
	Honor Society or California Scholastic Federation	2698	538	247	20/
g)	Ethnic organizations such as Black Students Union or La Raza	3127	224	134	21/
h)	Service clubs such as Key Club or American Field Service	3171	193	120	22/
	Model U.N. or Junior Statesmen or Girls' or Boys' State Debating or Forensics Union	3322	100 	62 35 	23/ 24/
•	Outdoor clubs such as Hiking or Ski Club	2691	490 195	303 	25/ 26/
1)	Science or math or computer clubs .	• 📙	. ப		,
m)	Office or Future Business Leaders of America	<u> </u>	Š	²⁹	27/ 28/
; K	Other		70	145	29/ 30/

	•				
6.	Have you ever had a job outsid basis?	e your home where yo	u got a paych	eck on a regu	lar
	1 Yes	2 No (SKI	P TO PAGE 4)	NR = 42	31,
	2744	745	ė		
7.	IF YES: Have you ever had a j	ob where: (CHECK "	YES" OR "NO" F	OR EACH)	·
(NA = 787	•	<u>y</u>	es No	
	NR = 21 a) you enjoyed the work			2377 346	32/
	b) you had a chance to move up	to a better positi	on[33/
	c) you got training in a new	skill	[2 057 665	24/
	it was like the work you w	ant to do for your c	areer[1511 (5A	IP TO ESTION 8)
				•	35/
	e) IF YES: What was the job	that was like the wo	rk you want t	o do for your	career?
		4			36-38/
8a.	This past summer, did you hav				basis?
	1 Tes 1999	2 \bigcap No (S) 693	CIP TO QUESTION	N 9) NA = 787 NR = 52	39/
8b.	IF YES: About how many hours	per week did you us	sually work?	median = 30 (number of !	ours)
	•	• •			
	No. of hours Responses	No. of hours	Responses	No. of hours	Responses
	1 1	21	9	41 42	5. 11
	2 5 3 16	22 23	12 24	43	14

No. of hours	Responses	No. of hours	Responses	No. of hours	Responses
. 1	1	21	9	41	5
2	, 5	22	• 12	42	11
2	16	23	24	43	·14
3	, -	24	34	44	. 9
4	20	25	105	45	41
5	23	26	71	46	3
<u>6</u> .	18		9	47	1
7	22	27	**	48	3 8
8	67	28	55	49	30
9	24	29	100		3 ų
10	3 9	30	199	50 50	24
11	3	31	3	52	٠ •
12	23	32	45	53	l •
13	8	33	10	54	3
. 14	11	34	10	55	5
15	42	35	102	56	3
• •	28	36	22	58	4
16		37	4	60	6
17	11	38	32	61 or moi	re 26
18	24		8		
19	9	39	473	NR	32
20	204	40	4/3	NA	1532



9a. Do you have a job now where you get a paycheck on a regular basis?

1 Yes
2 No (SKIP TO PAGE 4) NR = 77
NA = 787
NA = 787
9b. IF YES: About how many hours per week do you usually work?

(number of hours)

No. of hours	Responses	No. of hours	Responses	No. of hours	Responses
1	5	21	18	42	3
ż	19	22	26	44	2 .
3	28	23	44	. 45	6
Λ	26	24	63	. 46	3
T E	25	25	109	47	1
J E	17	26	15	. 48	2
7	14	27	. 11	49	7
<i>'</i>	77	28	52	50	3
0	16	29	13	52	1
9	· ·	30	317	55	1
10	84	30 31	111	56	i
. 11			23		more 2
12	57	32	23 17	Q1 Ot 1	wor e
13	33	33	· 1/	NR	37
14	24	34	14	H.K.	37
15	117	35	43	ALA	1000
16	. 72	36	12	NA	1908
17	19	37	5		"•
18	51	38	12	•	
19	15	39	2		
20	251	40	45	•	

9c.	How did you find the job you now have? (CHECK ALL THAT	APPLY)	ΝA	= 1908	43-44/
45	Cl Parents or other relatives 523				•
46	02 Friends outside of school 420		,		
47,	03 Students at school 341				
48	04 Counselor, teacher(s), other school staff 159				
49	= 101			•	
50	- Carro Corne	49			•
51		47		4	
52		214			
53	- Annlied"	236		 ;)
54	,	36			
55	/				



Some schools have programs where students can get high school credit for VOLUNTEER WORK, OUTSIDE WORK EXPERIENCE (OWE), INSIDE WORK EXPERIENCE (IWE), WORK STUDY, TUTORING.

56,

57,

68-80

	kaa	ard about these kinds of	programs?	
	e you nea 1 ☐ Yes 3138	ird about these wines or	2	NR = 54
11. IF	YES: Doe	es your school have this	kind of program for stude	nts?
,	1 Yes 2916	NA = 393 NR = 7	2 No	3 I don't know 206
	general of processing of the control		12. IF NO OR DON'T KNOW: did have this progra to participate?	If your school im, would you want
			1Yes 127 NR = 18 (SMIF TO PAGE	2 No 70 58/
		· ·		
13. IF	YES: When working	nere did you learn about 3? ^(CHECK ALL THAT APPL	programs where students g	et school credits
59/	1 Sch	nool announcement, notic	e, school newspaper	1585
62/	2 — Cou	unselor ' ,		1559
•		acher(s)	r	993
		her school staff (princ	ipal, dean, etc.)	379
		udents at school		2096
			s, friends, TV, local newsp	paper) 630
64/) 12
65, ² 6€, ²	\	me other way (now.	•	·

1 Yes	2 No NA = 615 1976 NR = 15	
	15. IF W: Why have you not been in this kind of program? 11/ (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)	
	12/ 01 I don't want a job right now.	406
	13/ 02 I don't know enough about the program.	410
	14/ 03 I am not old enough for the program.	47
	The requirements of the program.	166
	starte find the right kind of job.	282
	and the program.	278
	and the barry appropriate in my school schedule.	901
	None of my friends are doing it.	53
	The section of teacher(s) didn't think I should	d. 23
·	to work right now.	144
	t deals have transportation.	322
	Already have a job.	59
	23/ 12 Other (What:ATTECG	211
	Pid you get paid for your work when you got school credit for wo	orking'
TE VES:		
•	es 692 NR = 5	•
1 L	ovour reasons for deciding to do this? THETH APPLY)	•
1 L	ovour reasons for deciding to do this? THETH APPLY)	437
What were	your reasons for deciding to do this? THAT APPLY) It might help me get a job after high school. The might help me get into college.	437 204
1	your reasons for deciding to do this? THET APPLY) It might help me get a job after high school. It might help me get into college. It thought I would like it better than regular classes.	437 204 331
1	your reasons for deciding to do this? THET APPLY) It might help me get a job after high school. It might help me get into college. It thought I would like it better than regular classes.	437 204 331 255
1 What were 01 02 03 04 05 05 00	your reasons for deciding to do this? THAT APPLY) It might help me get a job after high school. It might help me get into college. It thought I would like it better than regular classes. I wanted to find out what it's like to have a job. In order to earn mone.	437 204 331 255 561
1 What were 01 02 03 03 04 05 05 05	your reasons for deciding to do this? THAT APPLY) It might help me get a job after high school. It might help me get into college. It thought I would like it better than regular classes. I wanted to find out what it's like to have a job. In order to earn mone.	437 204 331 255 561 185
1 What were 01 02 03 03 04 05 05 05	your reasons for deciding to do this? THAT APPLY) It might help me get a job after high school. It might help me get into college. It thought I would like it better than regular classes. I wanted to find out what it's like to have a job. In order to earn mone.	437 204 331 255 561 185 ne .127
1 What were 01	your reasons for deciding to do this? THAT APPLY) It might help me get a job after high school. It might help me get into college. I thought I would like it better than regular classes. I wanted to find out what it's like to have a job. In order to earn mone. My parents thought it would be a good idea for me. My counselor or teacher(s) thought it would be a good idea for the find out what it's like to have a good idea for me.	437 204 331 255 561 185 ne.127
1 What were 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 07	your reasons for deciding to do this? THAT APPLY) It might help me get a job after high school. It might help me get into college. It thought I would like it better than regular classes. I wanted to find out what it's like to have a job. In order to earn mone.	437 204 331 255 561 185 ne.127

Some schools allow students to GRADUATE EARLY by taking extra classes or going to summer school.

18.	Have	you	heard	about	gradu	ating	early?
						•	

1 Yes 3377 .

2 No (SKIP TO PAGE 8)

NR = 41

19. IF YES: Does your school allow students to graduate early?

1 Yes

NA = 154 NR = 10

2 No 27

3 I don't know

20. IF NO OR DON'T KNOW: If your school did have early graduation, would you want to participate?

1 Yes 90 2 No 108

NR = 8 (SKIP TO PAGE 8)

40/

38/

39/

21. IF YES: Where did you learn about graduating early? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

	·	•	
41/	1 School announcement,	notice, school newspaper	1173

42/ 2 Counselors 1866

43/ 3 Teachers

44/ 4 Other school staff (principal, dean, etc.) 464.

45/ 5 Students at school 2556

46/ 6 Outside of school (parents, friends, TV, local newspaper) 1206

47/ 7 Some other way (How?_____) 5

48/



•	Are you plan 1 Yes 371	NA = 370 NR = 8 2782	
	3	23. IF NO: Why are you not graduating early? (CHECK APPLY) 51/ 1 I didn't think about it soon enough. 52/ 2 I didn't want to take extra classes during the year or go to summer school. 53/ 3 I want to graduate with my class. 54/ 4 None of my friends are graduating early. 55/ 5 I want to take more advanced classes here. 56/ 6 My counselor or teacher(s) didn't think I si 57/ 7 My parents don't want me to graduate early. 58/ 8 Other (What?	529 749 2017 536 944
•		59/ (GO TO PAGE 8) 60/	:
	61/ 1 🔲 I w	did you decide to graduate early? (CHECK ALL THAT APPL ant to go to work as soon as I can. ant to go to college as soon as I can.	210
	63/ 3 I'm 64/ 4 Hig	not learning enough in high school. school just isn't much fun. counselor or teacher(s) thought it would be a good idea.	79 1.32 34
	66/ 6 My 67/ 7 My	parents thought it would be a good idea. friends are doing it.	69 25
	68/ 8 oth 69/ 70/	er (What?)	31

-8-

7-8/03 9/

10/

11/

Some schools allow students to take classes at a JUNIOR COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY OR ADULT NIGHT SCHOOL while they are still attending high school.

25.	Have	you heard	that	students	can	do	this?

1 Yes 2835

2 No (SKIP TO PAGE 10)
663

NR = 34

26. IF TES: Does your school allow students to do this?

1 Tyes

NA = 697 NR ≈ 5

2 No 22

3 I don't know

420

27. IF NO'OR DON'T KNOW: If your school did allow this, would you want to do it?

1 Yes 218 2 No 201 12/ NR = 25 (SKIP TO PAGE 10)

28.	IF YES:	Where di	i you	learn	about	this?	(CHECK	ALL'	THAT	APPLY
-----	---------	----------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--------	------	------	-------

13/	1 School announcement, notice, school newspaper	660
14/	2 Counselor	1204
15/	3 Teacher(s)	742

15/ 4 Other school staff (principal, dean, etc.) 255

17/ 5 Students at school

18/ 6 Outside of school (parents, friends, TV, local newspaper) 905

7 Some other way (How? College representative or catalog) 26

20/ Other 5

29. 1	Have You	ever	taken a	clas	s at	8	junior	college,	university	ot	adult	school'
-------	----------	------	---------	------	------	---	--------	----------	------------	----	-------	---------

1

Yes	$2 \square No \qquad NA = 1144 \qquad 22/$ $2052 \downarrow \qquad NR = 9$
	30. IF NO: What are your reasons for not doing this? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
	23/ 01 I am not interested in taking classes 583 somewhere else.
	24/ 02 I don't know enough about this program. 633
	25/ 03 I don't meet the requirements. 213
	26/ 04 There aren't enough openings. 54
,	27/ 05 I don't have time. 1075
	28/ 06 None of my friends are doing this.
-	29/ 07 I can get the courses I want at my high 763 school.
	30/ 08 My counselor or teacher(s) didn't think I should
	31/ 09 My parents didn't think it was a good idea. 82
	32/ 10 Ather (What? No transportation) 50
	33/ Other (GO TO PAGE 10) 57

31	·IF	YES: What were your reasons for taking those classes? (CHECK)	ALL THAT A	(PPLY
	35/	01 It might help me get a job after high school.	78	
	36/	02 It might help me get into college.	לוו	
	37/	03 I thought I would like it better than regular classes.	69	
		04 I wanted to take a certain class not offered in my school.	191	
•		05 My counselor or teacher(s) thought it would be a good idea		72
		06 My parents thought it would be a good idea for me.	82	1
		07 My friends are doing this.	33	
		08 I need the credits to graduate.	93	
		09 Model (What? Help improve high school achievement)	4	
	44/	0ther	33	

44/

45/

Other

NOW WE WOULD LIKE TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE CALIFORNIA HIGH SCHOOL PROFICIENCY EXAM (CHSPE).

This exam is offered three times a year to students who want a Certificate of Proficiency, which is legally equivalent to a regular nigh school diploma.

		ore today, had you ever heard about (CHSPE)?	the California High Scho	•	46/
	,	2 Yes 2	No (SKIP TO PAGE 14) 615	NR = 12	,
33.	JF .	YES: How did you hear about CHSPE?	(CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)		. •
. 47/		School announcement, notice, s	•	1633	
48/		Counselor		1402	
49/		Teacher(s)		1325	
50/		Other school staff (principal,	dean, etc.)	406	
51/		5 Students at school		2010	
52/		6 Outside of school (parents, fr	iends, TV, local newspap	er) 1160	
53/		7 Some other way (How?) 13	
54/	_	,		•	
	How 0 1 2 3 Fro	m what you have heard about CHSPE,	2 24 3 2 1 3 · N 5 or more 165	median = 2 (# of students) IA = 627 IR = 338 ink the following	56/
	sta	tements are true or false.		True False	•
<u>NR</u> 66	۵)	= 627 Every high school senior must take You must take CHSPE if you want to	CHSPE in order to gradua	1 2 1 2526 312 2526 483 2346	57/. 58/
75	ъ)				
73		If you pass CHSPE you can leave his parents' permission		24/6 3,3	59/
22		If you pass CHSPE, you still need a to go to a community college	a regular high school dip	471 <u>2311</u>	
70	e)	You still need a regular high school college or university even if you	-1 4461689 PA PA TA A IOI	ir-veai	



	Have you taken CHSPE?			•	
	1 Tes (SKIP TO PAGE 13) 68	2 No 2824	NA = 627 NR = 12		
	IF NO: The following is a list ing CHSPE. Please indicate whet reasons for not taking CHSPE.	hat of not each	OT PITE PAPPARATE		your
	NA = 707 NR = 27	·		Yes	<u>No</u> 2
	a) I heard the exam is too hard			328	2469
	b) The \$10 fee is too much		•	314	2483
	c) I don't have any way to get			* 11.	26 <u>56</u> ,
	d) I couldn't find out enough i	nformation about	the test	773	2024
	e) My counselor or teacher(s) d	idn't think it wa	s a good idea for	me	2519
	f) My parents didn't think it w	as a good idea fo	or me		2183
	g) Passing CHSPE won't help me	get a good job .			1947
	h) Passing CHSPE won't help me	get into college			1946
	i) I want to 9" duate with my o	lass			894
	j) None of my rriends are taking	g it	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	552	2245
	k) There are more things that I	went to learn i	n high school	1907	890
	1) I want a regular high school			2212	585
	m) Wolfeld (What? E.tra-curri				
	Other			184	
	Do you think you will take CHS	PE in the future?			
•		Undecided		KIP TO P	AGE 14)
			4		

79-80/

9/

28

39.	If you have not already taken i	t, why might you decide	to take CHSPE? (CHECH
	"YES" OR "NO" FOR EACH REASON)	•	

	NA = 2252 NR = 39	Yes 1	$\frac{No}{2}$.
a)	My counselor or teacher thinks it would be a good idea for me	420	10, 8 <u>20</u> 11,
b)	My parents think it would be a good idea for me		820 <i>11,</i>
c)	Some of my friends are taking it	278	962 962
d)	To leave high school early and go to work	506	734 23
e)	To leave high school early and go to college		714
f)	To leave high school early and enter the military	-	1136
g)	To leave high school early and travel	300	940
h)	To leave high school early and look around while I decide what I want to do	· 🗆	☐ ¹⁷
1)	To see, if I could pass CHSPE	394	846 ∐ <i>18</i>
	To see what CHSPE is like	873	367
_	So I can leave high school early if I want to	837	403 20
1)	I'm not sure I'll have enough credits to graduate with my class	635	605 22
m)	I don't like high school and want to leave early	323	917 22
n)	Most of my friends are already out of high school	285	955 23
(٥)	My boyfriend is out of high school	160	1080
p)	Something else (Please describe:	167 _ 22	1073
•			<u> </u>
			20 '21
	્		21

SKIP TO PAGE 14

ANSWER THESE QUESTIONS ONLY IF YOU TOOK CHSPE.

40. Why did you take CHSPE? (CHECK "YES" OR "NO" FOR EACH REASON)

NA = 3463
NR = 3

a) My counselor or teacher thought		FA:		
	- d dee for me		34	31 30/
b) My parents thought it would be	a good idea for me		25	T 40 31/
c) Some of my friends are taking i	t	,	اسيا	
d) To leave high school early and	go to Work		· ·	
e) To leave high school early and	go to college	• • • • • • • • •		
f) To leave high school early and	enter the military	• • • • • • • • •		-
g) To leave high school early and	travel			
h) To leave high school-early and want to do			☐ 19	☐ 46 ⁵⁶ /
AN TO see if I could pass CHSPE			· [
A) To see what CHSPE was like		• • • • • • • • • •	. [30	29 38/
k) So I can leave high school if I	I want to	• • • • • • • • •	36	29 ^{39/}
•	ea cat a PACHIAT	nigh school	L.	T 48 40/
			_	
T don't like high school and wa	anted to leave early	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		51 42/
Theer's constants are already	out of high school	••••••	•	
II) MOSE OF III)				En 43/
o) My boyfriend is already out of	high school		. 🗌 12	53 43/
o) My boyfriend is already out of	high school	• • • • • • • • •	. 12 - 7	53 ⁴³ /
o) My boyfriend is already out of p) Something else (Please describe	high school		. 12 - 7	☐ 53 43/ ☐ 44
o) My boyfriend is already out of	high school		. 12 - 7 .)	
o) My boyfriend is already out of	high school		. 12 - 7 .)	44
o) My boyfriend is already out of p) Something else (Please describe	high school	••••••	. 12 - 7 .)	45,
o) My boyfriend is already out of p) Something else (Please describe When did you take CHSPE? (CHECK	ALL THAT APPLY)	4	. 12 - 7 .)	45,
o) My boyfriend is already out of p) Something else (Please describe When did you take CHSPE? (CHECK 7/ 1 November 1978 42 50	ALL THAT APPLY) ALL THAT APPLY) ALL THAT APPLY)	4	. 12 - 7)	45,
o) My boyfriend is already out of p) Something else (Please describe When did you take CHSPE? (CHECK 7/ 1 November 1978 42 50 8/ 2 June 1978 9 57	ALL THAT APPLY) ALL THAT APPLY) ALL THAT APPLY) June 1977	4 1 10	. 12 - 7)	45,
o) My boyfriend is already out of p) Something else (Please describe When did you take CHSPE? (CHECK 7/ 1 November 1978 42 50 8/ 2 June 1978 9 01 8/ 3 March 1978 4 58	ALL THAT APFLY)	4 1 10	· 12 - 7)	45,
o) My boyfriend is already out of p) Something else (Please describe When did you take CHSPE? (CHECK 7/ 1 November 1978 42 50 8/ 2 June 1978 9 01 8/ 3 March 1978 4 58	ALL THAT APPLY) ALL THAT APPLY) ALL THAT APPLY) June 1977	4 1 10	· 🔲 12 - ' 7	45,
o) My boyfriend is already out of p) Something else (Please describe When did you take CHSPE? (CHECK 7/ 1 November 1978 42 50 8/ 2 June 1978 9 01 8/ 3 March 1978 4 58	ALL THAT APFLY)	4 1 10	· 🗌 12 - 7	45,
o) My boyfriend is already out of p) Something else (Please describe When did you take CHSPE? (CHECK 7/ 1 November 1978 42 50 8/ 2 June 1978 9 87 9/ 3 March 1978 4 58	ALL THAT APFLY)	4 1 10	. 12 - 7 - 7	45,
o) My boyfriend is already out of p) Something else (Please describe When did you take CHSPE? (CHECK 7/ 1 November 1978 42 50 8/ 2 June 1978 9 93 9/ 3 March 1978 4 53 56 Did you pass the exam?	ALL THAT APFLY)	4 1 10	7	45,
o) My boyfriend is already out of p) Something else (Please describe When did you take CHSPE? (CHECK 7/ 1 November 1978 42 50 8/ 2 June 1978 9 93 9/ 3 March 1978 4 53 Did you pass the exam? 1 Yes	ALL THAT APFLY) Before March 1977 ALL THAT APFLY) ALL THAT APFLY) Before March 1977	4 1 10	7	144. 45. 46.
o) My boyfriend is already out of p) Something else (Please describe When did you take CHSPE? (CHECK 7/ 1 November 1978 42 50 8/ 2 June 1978 9 93 9/ 3 March 1978 4 53 56 Did you pass the exam?	ALL THAT APFLY) But a serious serious and	4 1 10	3 🗆	144. 45. 46.



THE NEXT QUESTIONS ASK YOU TO THINK ABOUT STAYING IN HIGH SCHOOL COMPARED TO LEAVING EARLY.

43a.	Do you plan to sta diploma?	y in school un	itil you grad	iuate wi	ith a r	regular hi	gh so	chool	55/
	1 Yes	2 Unde	ecided			(SKIP TO	QUES:	rion	44)
NR = 7	3084	318		•	123	•			
43b.	Why might you stay in high school until graduation? Please indicate portant each reason is for you. NA = 130 NR = 25 (whole)							_	
43c.	Which one of these	reasons is th				•	•	•	,
:	NA = 130	• ,		43b.				43c.	-
	NR = 946		- 37 - 4		Somewhat Very			The Most	
			Not Important	Importa		nportant	Im	porta	וחב
,			1	2		3	(CHI	ECK C	NE)
NR	I plan to stay in I graduate because	_						73/	•
121	a) I really enjoy	myself here	<i>56</i> / 🗀 8 9 5		1532	53			141
125	b) I like my class	ies	57/ [631		1655	99û			27
124	c) I like my frien	nds here	<i>58/</i> . 395		1190	<u></u> 1693			148
136	d) I like the club extracurricular	os and other activities	59/ _1627		1067	<u> </u>			1,9
129	e) I like going to dances or game:	school	60/ [1541		1160	<u></u> 571			; ₃
132	f) I like to play	sports here	61/ 1694		799	776			99
89	g) I need a diplor good job		62/ 336		680	2297			412
88	h) I need a diplor college	na to go to	63/ □ 326		532	2455			772
111	i) My parents want continue in sc	t me to	•	•					172
145	j) My teachers was continue in sc	nt me to	<i>65</i> / _1450		1221	<u></u> 585	t		1
141	k) My counselors continue in sc	want me to	·		1207	☐ 645			0
147	<pre>1) I don't feel m to leave yet .</pre>	sture enough	67/ 1941		776	537			59
168	m) I want co be p compete in the	repared to			741	<u>2</u> 029			505
159	n) T'ere's no pla	ce better to	<i>69</i> / <u>2</u> 186	. 🗀	727	329			23
	o) Something else	(What?				_		-	
)	70/		10	121	,		74
v °	•		71/						74-80

FUTURE PLANS

0/	O1attend a vocational school, business school, college or university full time 1652
1/	02 attend a vorational school, business school college or university part time 1388
2/	03 work full cime 035
	04 work part time 1921
	05 enter the military 207
	06 be a homemaker 145
	07 just travel around next year 812
/	08 do nothing much while I rigure out what I want to do 290
/	09 something else (What?
	•
	at do you think you really will do the year after high school?
· Wi	at do you think you really will do the year after high school?' HECK ALL THAT APPLY)
· Wi	Ol attend a school or college full time 1636
WI ((O1 attend a school or college full time 1636 O2 attend a school or college part time 1356
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	O1 attend a school or college full time 1636 O2 attend a school or college part time 1356 O3 work full time 711
Wi (()	O1 attend a school or college full time 1636 O2 attend a school or college part time 1356 O3 work full time 711 O4 work part time 1809
WE (0	O1 attend a school or college full time 1636 O2 attend a school or college part time 1356 O3 work full time 711 O4 work part time 1809 O5 enter the military 165
Wi (() 2/ 3/ 3/ 5/	O1 attend a school or college full time 1636 O2 attend a school or college part time 1356 O3 work full time 711 O4 work part time 1809
Wi (() () () () () () () () () () () () ()	O1 attend a school or college full time 1636 O2 attend a school or college part time 1356 O3 work full time 711 O4 work part time 1809 O5 enter the military 165 O6 be a homemaker 100 O7 just travel around next year 219
WH (()	O1 attend a school or college full time 1636 O2 attend a school or college part time 1356 O3 work full time 711 O4 work part time 1809 O5 enter the military 165 O6 be a homemaker 100 O7 just travel around next year 219 O8 nothing much while I figure out what I really want to do 158
WI (()	O1 attend a school or college full time 1636 O2 attend a school or college part time 1356 O3 work full time 711 O4 work part time 1809 O5 enter the military 165 O6 be a homemaker 100 O7 just travel around next year 219
0/ W(() 1/2/3/ 5/6/ 8/9/	O1 attend a school or college full time 1636 O2 attend a school or college part time 1356 O3 work full time 711 O4 work part time 1809 O5 enter the military 165 O6 be a homemaker 100 O7 just travel around next year 219 O8 nothing much while I figure out what I really want to do 158

IF YOU DO NOT PLAN TO ATTEND A SCHOOL OR COLLEGE AFTER HIGH SCHOOL, PLEASE SKIP TO PAGE 17.

		33/
46.	What kind of school will you attend the year after high school?	
	1 Two-year community or junior college 1160	
	2 Private business, vocational or trade school 279	
	3 Four-year college or university 1231	
	4 Something else (What? Community college and private vocational) 55
	Other 3	
•	Not sure 37	
	NA = 679 NR = 87	34/
47.	How far do you plan to go in school after high school?	
	1 I plan to take some courses at a school or college but I don't plan graduate. 83	to
	2 I plan to graduate from a two-year community college. 500	•
	3 I plan to graduate from a business, vocational or trade school. 316	
	4 I plan to graduate from a four-year college or university. 1053	
	I plan to go on to graduate school to get an advanced university degarter graduating from a four-year college or university. 701	ree
*:	6 Something else (What? Community college and private vocational) 48
5	Other 5	•
	Not sure	
	100	

THIS SECTION IS ABOUT YOUR TEACHERS, YOUR SCHOOL WORK, AND YOUR COUNSELORS.

48.	furniting or my cease	mero than between	•		
	All of them 1 845	Most of them 2 1544	Some of them 3 1033	None of them 4 65	35/
49.	How would you desc:	ribe your school wo	ck this year? Is i	t: NR = 61	•
	Interesting	OK - school work is school work 2	Dull stuff not very interesting 3	Not at all interesting 4	36/
50.		ever meet with you	r high school couns	elor?	,
	1		2 No (SKIP TO QU 305	PESTION 53) NR = 48	37/

	3178			, 30 5	•	•	NR = 48	
	1 177 2 472	5 446 6 179	7 71 8 90 9 15	11 9 12 28	14 3 15 or more	(# e 251	of meetings NA = 353 NR = 215	_ } 38-39/
52.	How many of	these meeting	s with your	r · counselor	ala you ask		_	4.4
		•					7	An I

	1 None	2 Some 580	3 About half 548	4 <u>Most</u>	5 A11 '847	NA = 353 NR = 39	407
•				. 6 ?			

53. What grade do you generally get in these subjects?

	NR = 58 (whole question) $A/B = B/C = C$	$\frac{C/D}{4} \frac{D/F}{5} \frac{1n}{5}$	Inis Subject
NR	1 2 3		D 29 41/
69	a) English	516 282 37	104 42/
85	b) Social Studies	540 352 /2	236 43/
100	c) Science	62/3106/	
98	d) Mathematics	641 365 78	140 45/
115	e) Physical Education	112 41 29	140
220	f) vocational Education (home eco- lomics, shop, business courses) 1789 548	176 44 11	☐ 743 46/ ☐ 955 47/
199	g) Foreign Language 1018 673	355 223 108	[



54. How many classes have you taken a ting a job in an office, factory, mechanics, typing, business math	and metal shop?	median = 2	
0 589 3 505 6 129	9 15	(# of classes) 48-	-4:
1 674 4 342 7 46 55. Before you leave high school, are	10 25 11 or more 23 you planning to:	NR = 307	
a) complete a laboratory science	course such as physics.	chemistry, etc.?	
			5(
1 Yes 1088 2 No 1363 NR = 82			,
3 I have already completed	a laboratory science co	urse. 998	
- and the man of a formi	on language (not Foolich)?	
b) complete two years of a forei	gu Tauguage (not bugass		51
1 Yes 862 NR = 76		•	01
2 No 1459			
3 I have already completed	two years of a foreign	language. 1134	
c) take the SAT or ACT test?			52
	•		
1 Yes 1746 NR = 127		•	
2 No 1138	•		
3 I have already taken the	SAT or ACT test. 520		
			•
56. In general, how would you rate y students in your school? (CHECK	courself in school ability (ONE)	y compared with other	
1 Among the best 396			53
2 Above average 1196		•	
3 About average 1735	NR = 54 ⁶		
4 Below average 136			
5 Among the worst 14			
) [] Among the worst		•	
•	•		
57. How often did you cut a class la	ist year? (CHECK ONE)		54,
1 More than once a day	185	·	UT,
2 About once a day	179		
3 About twice a week	381		
	291 NR = 57		. •
	418		
A. C. C.	277		
	705		
	038	•	

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

•			_	,		55/
58.	Do you consider yourself: (CHECK ONE)	•	•			00/
	1 American Indian/Alaskan Native	124				•
•	2 Asian/Pacific Islander	330		NR = 1	50	
	3 Black/not of Hispanic origin	445		•		
	4 Hispanic/Chicano/Latino/Mexican-	American	398			*
	5 : White/not of Hispanic origin		2060	٠.		•
	6 Other (What?)	24			·
		•				
¹ 59. ^l	What is the highest grade or year of reg father or male guardian) has had? (CHEC FATHER, STEPFATHER, OR MALE GUARDIAN)	gular school	which you reput "IF	r father (step- NO	55/
	01 Sth grade or less	196	•			٠.
•	02 Some high school	300				•
	03 Graduated from high school	695	•		4	
	04 Some college	419	•	•		
٠ .	05 Graduated from a two-year (comme school	230			. •	
	06 Graduated from a four-year, coll-	ege or univ	ersity (bac	chelor's de		8
•	07 Went to graduate school but did	'not get an	advanced o	iegree	81	
,	08 Has Master's, Ph.D., or other g	raduate deg	ree		436	
	09 Don't know or NR	•			478	
• .	10 Does not apply (SKIP TO QUESTIO	N 63)		•	118	
	•	٠.	i		•	•
60.	Which of these best describes your fath (CHECK ONE)	er (stepfat	her or mal	e guardian)?	57/
٠,	1 Self-employed 684		•			
•	2 Employed by someone else 230	9				
	3 Temporarily unemployed, looking	for work	4!	5		
•	4 Does not work for income (SKIP	TO QUESTION	1 63)	7		
	5 Retired or disabled (SKIP TO QU	JESTION 63)	17			
* .	6 Don't know (SKIP TO QUESTION 63	3)	8	3		
		•				58/
61.	Does he usually work full time or part	time?	G			
	1 Tull time 2	Part tir	ne	3 🔲 I d	lon't know	:
	. 20/3 NR = 25					

53

62	What kind of work doc- he usually do	? (DES	CRIBE HIS	5 jõe Al	ND THE KIND	OF PLACE) •
62.	HE WORKS AT)						-61/
· • •.	•			•			. *
63.	What is the highest grade or year o mother or female guardian) has had? MOTHER, STEPMOTHER, OR FEMALE GUARD.	(CHEC)	r school K "DOES N	which OT APPL	your mother Y" IF YOU I	: (step-	
. •	01 8th grade or less	159	•				62/
	02 Some high school	321	٠.		•		٠. ر
•	03 Graduated from high school	991		•		*	
	04 Some college	509	·		٠,		
	05 Graduated from a two-year		ty/junior	colle	ige or voca	CIONAL	
	school Of Graduated from a four-year	330	or unive	rsity	529		
	06 Graduated from a four-year 07 Went to graduate school but	did no	t get an	advance	ed degree	64	
	08 Has a Master's, Ph.D., or o	other gr	Aduate de	egree		196	
	09 I don't know or NR				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	399	
• .	10 Does not apply (SKIP TO LAS	ST PAGE).		. 4	33	
64.	Which of these best describes your	mother	(stepmot	her or		dian)?	٠.
•	(CHECK ONE) 1 Self-employed	297			NA = 33 NR = 109		63,
•	2 Employed by someone else						
	2 Tennovarily unemployed, 10	oking f	or work	-148		•	,
	4 Full-time housewife doe	s not w	ork for i	ncome (SKIP TO LA	ST PAGE ! !	975
	5 Retired or disabled (SKIP	TO LAST	PAGE)	71	٠.,	•	•
	6 I don't know (SKIP TO LAST			44			
•				NR - 3	 1222 ND	= 9 · 64/	
65.	. Does she usually work full time or	part t		NA = 1		I don't k	now
	1 Full time	-	Part tin	nc	50		•
	1536 . What kind of ork does she usually	70 4-2		HER JO		KIND OF PI	ACE
66	. What kind of ork does she usually SHE WORKS AT!	y 00: (DESCRIBE	1424. 00			
	SUE MANUE UT		•		· ·	65-67/	



COUNSELOR SURVEY RESPONSE FREQUENCIES (UNWEIGHTED)

I.D.# 1-2

3/1

DIRECTIONS

Valid n = 106

NR = No Response

NA = Not Applicable For those questions where we have asked for the "number of students," we would like your best recollection.

The other questions require your placing an "X" in the box under the response that best answers the question.

We have also provided space for COMMENTS, if you would like to elaborate on a particular response.

Please do <u>not</u> sign your name. All responses will be kept confidential.

In order to insure confidentiality, please put your completed questionnaire in the attached envelope and seal it before you return it.

1. During the past school year (1977-78), approximately how many of the students in your counseling caseload were in each grade level?

NR 4 # of Students in Caseload # of Students Grade 50-99 100-199 200 + 9th grade..... median = 50 4-6/ ioth grade 7-9/ 9th 43 6 25 24 llth grade 10th 17 9 median = 10010-12/ 22 49 12th grade <u>median = 90</u> 13-15/ 11th 16 9 24 47 12th 14 15 31 35

During the past school year approximately how many students in your counseling caseload were in each of the following categories?

and the second s	•	
	# of Students	
a) Students going directly to a four-year college	median = 30	16-18/
b) Students going directly to a two-year community college	median = 50	19-21/
c) Students going directly to work full time	median = 30	22-24/
d) Students who are potential high school drop-outs	median = 10	25-27/
e) Other (PLEASE SPECIFY:)		28-30/
# of Students in Caseload		31-33/

		# OI	Student	s in Case	load	
Type of Student	0	1-49	50 -9 9	100-199	200 +	
going to 4-yr. college	5	63	18	11	2	_
going to 2-yr. college	2	45	26	19	7	
going to work	9	47	30	12	1	
o otential drop-out ERIC	9	85	Ţ	1	0	55

VOL	LUNTEER WORK, OUTSIDE WORK EXPERIENCE (OWE), INSIDE WORK EXPERIENCE (IWE), WORK DDY, TUTORING.	· :
3.	During the past school year (1977-78), did your counseling responsibilities include talking with students about this option?	
	1 Yes 2 No (SKIP TO PAGE 3) NR	34/
	COMMENT: 5	·
4.		
	you talk with about this option? NA 6 NR_10-	
		35-37/
5. -	O 21 32 17 20 Approximately how many of those students did you advise to participate in one of these programs for high school credit? NA 6 NR 16	
		38-40/
	COMMENT: # of Students (# of students) 0 1-49 50-99 100-199 200 +	
•	5 36 27 14 2	• .
6.		
	COMMENT: # of Students median = 4 (# of students)	41-43/
	0 1-49 50-99 100-199 200 +	
7.	Among students in this school, about what proportion in each of the follow- ing categories do you think would benefit from doing this?	
	NA 6 None Some Half Most $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{3}$ $\frac{4}{4}$	
	a) Students going directly to a four-year college 2 58 10 25	. 44/
	b) Students going directly to a two-year community	45/
	college	46/
	c) Students going directly to work full time 1 28 18 48 d) Students who are potential high school drop-outs 3 28 8 56	47/
	e) Other (PLEASE SPECIFY:	48/
		101



<u>NR</u> 5 5

•	The cls	ese questions are about allowing students to GRADUATE EARLY by taking extra	
	-		
	8.	During the past school year (1977-78), did your counseling responsibilities include talking with students about graduating early?	,
,		1 _ Yes 2 _ No (SKIP TO PAGE 4) 3	50/
		COMMENT:	•
.	9.	During the past school year (1977-78), approximately how many students did you talk with about this option? NA 3 NR 4	
		# of Students median = 15 5	1-53/
		0 1-49 50-99 100-199 200 + (# of students)	
	10	O 85 6 - 6 2 Approximately how many of those students did you advise to graduate early?	
	10.	NA 3 NR 13	
	;		4-55/
		COMMENT: # of Students (# of students)	
		0 1-49 50-99 100-199 200 +	
	·	19 71 0 0 0	
6	11.		
		early? NA 3 NR 14	
	•		57-59/
		COMMENT: # of Students (# of students)	
		0 1-49 50-99 100-199 200 +	
•		21 57 0 1 0	
,	12.	Among students in this school, about what proportion in each of the follow- ing categories do you think would benefit from doing this? About	
•		NA 3 None Some Half Most 4	
IR.	•	a) Students going directly to a four-year college 10 176 3	60/
•	\	·	
5	4	b) Students going directly to a two-year community college	61,
3		c) Students going directly to work full time 4 75 6 10	62,
16	•	d) Students who are potential high school drop-outs 16 47 8 16	63,
ro		e) Other (PLEASE SPECIFY:	64/
		•	65,
			66-80/
		E M	



These	quest	ions	are	about	allowing	g stud	lents	to	take	classes	at	a JUN	IOR	COLLEGE,
UNIVER	SITY	OR A	ADULT	NIGHT	SCHOOL V	while	they	are	stil	1 attend	ling	high	sc!	nool.

13.	During the past	school year	(1977-78),	did your	counseling	responsibilities
	include talking	with student	s about th	ls option	?	

4/

COMMENT:

of Students

median = 25 (# of students) 5-7/

15. Approximately how many of those students did you advise to take classes at a college or adult school?

NA 7 NR 11

COMMENT: # of Students

median = 12
(# of students)

8-10/

0 1-49 50-99 100-199 200 + 5 79 3 1 0

50-99

16. Approximately how many of those students did you advise not to take classes at a college or adult school?

COMMENT: # of Students

47

NA 7 NR 10 median = 2 (# of students)

11-13/

17. Among students in this school, about what proportion in each of the following categories do you think would benefit from doing this?

200 +

NA 7

41

None Some Half Most 4

a) Students going directly to a four-year college. ___ 5 ___ 61 ___ 9 ___ 18 ___ 14/_

b) Students going directly to a two-year community college

□4 □67 □6 □16 ^{15/}

c) Students going directly to work full time

100-199

1

10 73 5 3 16/

d) Students who are potential high school drop-outs

e) Other (PLEASE SPECIFY:

18/

6

8

10

	N (CHSPE) threent of a regula			ents who went	to obtain the legal equiv-	<u>.</u>
						: :
18.		past school y king with stu			counseling responsibilities	ţ
· ,	1 Tes 102 COMMENT:			No (SKII	P TO PAGE 6)	20/
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
. 19.	you talk wit	past school y th about the of Students		78), approxis	nately how many students did NA 4 NR 5	•
	0 1-49	50-99	100-199	200 +	median = 15	21-23/
	0 76	9	7	' 5	(# of students)	
20.	Approximate	ly how many o	of those st	udents did yo	ou advise to take the CHSPE? NA 4 NR 12	
	•	,			median = 7	24/26
	COMMENT:	# of Stud	·	200 1	(# of students)	• •
	0 1-49	<u>50-99</u>	100-199	200 +	,	
•	8 81.	0	0 .	1 .	•	
, 21.	Approximate CHSPE?	ly how many o	of those st	udents did ye	ou advise <u>not</u> to take the NA 4 NR 9	
		# of Stud	·,	• •	median = 1	27/29
	COMMENT: 0 1-49	50-99	100-199	200 +	(# of students)	
	42 51	0	0	0		·
22.		nts in this sies do you th				•
<u>NR</u>	NA 4	•	•		None Some Half Most 2 3 4	
5 ·	a) Students	going direct	:ly to a fo	ur-year coll	ege	30/
5		going direct	_	=	nity 18 72 4 3	31/
. 5	c) Students	going direct	ly to work	full time .	🗆 4 🗆 73 🗆 8 🗆 12	32/
. 7	d). Students	who are pote	ential high	school drop	-outs 🗌 3 🔲 49 🔲 13 🔲 30	33/
	a) Other /D	LEASE SPECIE	7.			34/



23. Among the following groups connected with your school, what is your impression of their attitude toward the California High School Proficiency Examination (CHSPE)?

NR		Favorable	Neutral or Divided	Unfavorable 3	Don't Know	•
3	a) School administrators	🗌 36	34	17	□ 16	36/
1	b) Guidance counselors	🗌 49	35	☐ 16	5	37/
2	c) College-bound students	🗌 9	32	<u> </u>	□ 15	38/
0	d) Non- lege-bound students	🗌 46	41	<u></u>	14	39/
0	e) Teachers	🗌 14 -	40	<u> </u>	25	40/
3 ·	f) Parents	🔲 7	49	23	24	41/
1	g) Local employers	🔲 4	17	20	□ 64	42/
1	h) School board	□ 6	<u> </u>	□ <u>1</u> 3	□ 66	43/
1	i) District administrators	□11	27	14	□ 53	44/

Thank you for taking the time to answer these questions. If you have any other comments about any of these options, please write them below.



APPENDIX III

REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS' KNOWLEDGE AN DUSE OF OPTIONS

The primary purpose of the student survey was to determine whether students were aware and, if aware, made use of four options available to them as alternatives to a "regular" high school career. Those four options are: work-study or work-experience programs in which students receive academic credit for time spent on jobs either inside or outside the school; concurrent enrollment in classes at a community college or adult school for high school credits; early graduation from high school for those students who have accumulated enough credits to graduate ahead of their class; and the California High School Proficiency Examination (CHSPE) which, if taken and passed, provides students with a certificate of proficiency equivalent to a high school diploma and, therefore, enables those students who so desire to leave high school early.

Other questions in the survey were designed to elicit information about the general direction of students' high school careers. Since high school is a watershed for many young people, from which some flow directly into full-time employment and some to further full-time education, we were particularly interested in finding out what students were presently doing to prepare for either of these two futures. This information was gathered from questions about whether students were making specific preparations for college, how many vocational courses they had taken, and how much (if any) and what kind of paid work experience they had had or were having.

In the analysis which follows, awareness of options, use of options, specific preparation for college, number of vocational courses, and paid



work experience are all treated as dependent variables. (Exact definitions of variables are given below.) The purpose of the following analysis is to explain differences in these outcomes among students. There are many variables which might explain these differences. Some of the possible explanatory variables were not measured by the student questionnaire. For example, personality traits—abilities, motivations, interests—were not measured. Other possible explanatory variables were measured by the questionnaire but are in tused in the following analysis because they are themselves possibly explained by the dependent variables or by unobserved variables which also affect the dependent variables.

For example, students who use the work-experience-for-credit option may express different attitudes toward school, or different reasons for staying in school, or different aspirations for themselves after they graduate, than students who do not engage in work experience for credit. The difference in attitudes or aspirations may to some extent explain the use or non-use of this option. But use or non-use of this option may also to some extent explain the expressed difference in attitude or aspiration.

Rather than attempt to disentangle these separate strands of reciprocal causation, we limited the explanatory variables to those which could legitimately be considered "predetermined." That is, differences in the predetermined variables can reasonably be assumed not to be caused by the dependent variables. The explanatory variables in the analysis are therefore the student's sex, race, grade level, family background characteristics, and the size and composition of the student body in each student's school. We treat grade level as predetermined although there is a possibility that it is to some extent caused by the dependent variables; this is discussed further below. We must also acknowledge the possibility that errors in measuring



the explanatory and dependent variables may be correlated with each other; if so, regression coefficients will be biased. We make no further mention of possible errors in measurement.

Knowledge of each option was treated as a binary variable. Students were coded 1 if they knew about an option, 0 if they did not. They were considered to know about work experience, early graduation or concurrent enrollment if they responded that they had heard of the option and if they said the option was available at their school. (We had ascertained from the administration at every school that each of these options was in fact available there). Knowledge of CHSPE was scored in two ways. A student was considered to have partial knowledge of CHSPE if he or she had heard of it. If, in addition, a student answered correctly all five parts of question 35, he or she was scored as having thorough knowledge of CHSPE.

Like knowledge of options, use of each option was also treated as a binary variable, coded 1 for a student who used an option, 0 for a student who did not. In some analyses, work experience for credit was divided into two variables, according to whether or not a student was paid for the work. Intention to graduate early, and concurrent enrollment in college classes, were also both divided into separate variables, according to whether students' stated reason was that they thought it would help them get into college, or that it would help them get a job. Finall, in some analyses use of the CHSPE option was defined to include as users not only those who already had taken the test but also those who said they might take i in the future. Regressions for use of each option were restricted to students who knew about that option.

The remaining dependent variables were constructed as follows. "Hours employed per week" is the average of the number of hours a student said he



or she was usually working each week in his or her present job, and the number of hours he or she usually worked each week during the previous summer, in jobs where he or she received "a paycheck on a regular basis."

(Questions 8 and 9). If a student did not have a job, hours employed were zero. A few students who reported working more than 40 hours a week on average were recoded to 40. (The rationale for such "trimming" is to give more robust results, since least-squares estimates are sensitive to outliers).

"Preparing for college" was based on question 55. For each of the three parts of the question--completing a laboratory science course, completing two years of a foreign language, and taking the SAT or ACT test-a student was coded 0 if he or she was not planning to do it, 1 if planning to do it, and 2 if he or she had already done it. The resulting scale had a range of 0 to 6.

"Employed for pay or preparing for college" is simply a binary variable coded 1 if <u>either</u> "hours employed per week" or "preparing for college" is <u>not</u> zero. Students who are coded "O" on this variable are not evidently preparing themselves either for college or for work after they finish high school. In terms of career development, they appear to be out of the main streams.

Finally, "vocational education classes taken" is simply the number given in response to question 54, varying from 0 to 10. A few students who gave a number greater than 10 were recoded 10.

In the regression analysis, explanatory variables were defined is follows. "Female" was coded 1 for girls, 0 for boys. "Grade level" ranged from a value of 1 for first-semester juniors to 4 for second-semester seniors. "Average parents' education" had a possible range from 1 for eighth grade or



less to 8 for a graduate degree (from questions 59 and 63). If there was only one parent or parent-surrogate, the value for that parent was used by itself. "Imputed family earnings" were derived by first coding the responses to questions about parents' occupations into three-digit census codes, then attributing to each employed parent the mean earnings for his or her occupation in California in 1970. If a parent was reported usually to work part time, his or her earnings were imputed as half the mean earnings for his or her occupation. "Imputed family earnings" for the student were then the sum of the imputed earnings for both parents, if there were two.

The fact that mean earnings were generally higher in 1978 than in 1970 does not necessarily produce any serious problem, because if all earnings grew at the same rate, then our regression coefficients (and standard errors) on imputed earnings would simply be too large by the same factor. But if earnings grew faster for some occupations than for others, then using 1970 data misrepresents the relative financial position of different families in 1978. There is also likely to be substantial error caused by the fact that very few people earn exactly the mean income for their occupational group. These last two sources of error tend to reduce the explanatory power of imputed family earnings.

"'Traditional' family structure" is a binary variable coded 1 if the student's father works full time for pay and mother is a homemaker. The variables for race/ethnicity are also binary, coded 1 if the student reported himself or herself to be in the designated group. As explained in the section on sampling, some students who called themselves American Indian most likely are not. Finally, school size is the toal number of juniors and seniors enrolle in Fall 1978. This number we obtained from the administra-

tion at each school at the time of the student survey.

The estimated regression coefficients, with estimated standard errors in parentheses, are shown in Table III. For several variables, the value for an individual minus the mean value for his or her school was used as a predictor, as well as the mean value for the individual's school minus the overall sample mean. This permits estimation of both between-school and within-school coefficients in the same equation. This specification is based on a discussion by Cronbach.* Estimates of standard errors should be treated as rough approximations, since the estimation did not take account of the heteroskedasticity that occurs when dependent variables are binary.

^{*}Lee J. Cronbach: Research on Classrooms and Schools: Formulation of Questions, Design, and Analysis; Stanford Evaluation Consortium, School of Education, Stanford University, July 1976.

TAB THE THE TABLE THE THREE THE THREE THE THREE THE THREE THE THREE THRE

			<u></u>									
	·	USE OF OPTIONS			OTHER OUTCOMES							
Predeterwined Variables	Mork Experience	Early Graduation	Concurrent Enrollment	Partial	Thorough	Paid Work Exportence	Concurrent Involtment	CHSPF (Has Taken or Hight Take)	Hours Employed Per Week	Preparing for College	Imployed for Pay or Pre- paying for College	Voc. Ed. Classes Taken
female	.039 (.014)	.038 (:01)	. 068 (. 02)	.018 (.01)	.053 (.02)	006 (.02)	.034 (.017)	062 (.01)	-3.725 (.465)	.148 (.07)	063 (.012)	373 (.106)
Grade level	. 028 (. 007)	.020 (.005)	180.	.025 (.007)	.045 .	080.	.056 (.01)	042 (.007)	2,943 (,229)	.120 (.035)	(.006)	.227 (.052)
Individual difference from school mean:					1					•	-	
Average parents' education	009 (.005)	.002 (.004)	.008 [.] (.007)	.010 (005)	.009 (.009)	022 (.007)	.016 (.006)	002 (.005)	678 (.174)	.261 (.03)	.008 (.005)	212 (.040)
Imputed family earnings	~.00000 (.00000)	.00000 (.00000)	,00000 (00060.)	00000 (.00000)	.00000 (00000)	~.00000 (.00000)	-,00000 (,00000)	.00000	.00011 (.00005)	.00°02 (.00001)	.00000	00001 (.00001)
"Traditional" family structure	048 (.016)	014 (.01)	.011	037 (.016)	.014 (.03)	(.02)	040 (.02)	034 (.016)	-1.757 (0.533)	.148 (.08)	045 (.014)	305 (.121)
"American Indian" .	017 (.04)	036 (.03)	021 (.05)	.015 (.04)	077 (.07)	(142 (.05)	052 (.05)	.074	1.103 (1.395)	167 (.21)	042 (.037)	.642 (.317)
Asian	000 (.03)	035 (.02)	038 (. 036)	056 (.03)	026 (.05)	068 (.04)	+.003 (.03)	034 (.03)	788 (.927)	.568 (.14)	(.002)	135 (.211)
Black	017 (.03)	.016 (\$0.)	-,037 - (- ,04)	057 (.03)	~.104 (.05)	.019 (.M)	016 (-04)	.041	900 (1.017)	343 (.156)	036 (.027)	.371 (.231)
Hispanic	040 (.03)	006 (.02)	047 (.034)	.001	014 (.04)	.059 (.03)	.017	.042 (.025)	. 505 (905)	306 (.14)	080 (850.)	.014 (.206)
Difference between indivi- dual's school mean and overall sample mean:												
Average parents' education	057 (.026)	(30.)	.013	(.026)	.035 (.04)	094e- (.03) .	031 (.03)	023 (.026)	. 232 (.855)	.527	(.023)	~.528 (.195)
Imputed family - earnings	00002 (.00001)	.00000 (.00000)	00000 (.00001)	00000 (.00001)	.00001 (.00002)	.00000 (.00001)	.00003 (.000015)	.00001 (.00001)	- ,000039 - ,00039)	00004 (.00006)	-,00001 (100001)	.00022 (.00009)
"Traditional" family structure	- 277 (10)	078 (.07)	320 (.12)	109 (.09)	.441 (.156)	031 (.13)	1091	· .013	-9.1521 (3.136)	121 1(.48)	115 (.084)	317 (,714)
"American Indian"	-1.421 (.37)	.427 (.27)	-1.215 (.47)	190 (.36)	.561	. 360 (. 47)	898 (.47)	.250 (.35)	18.634 (11.851)	-3.43R (1.82)	· .952 (.316)	2.811 (2.696)
Asian	097 (.12)	(13)	. ~.093	518	071		-, 252 (, 15)	.230 (12)	-11,698 (3,953)	-1.174 -(.60)	· .625 (.105)	~.489 (.899)
Black	259 (.07)	- 159 (.05)	352 (.10)	335 (.07)	.110	.153	.162	210	(4,435 (2,392)	.800 (.37)	024 (.064)	1.002 (.544)
Hispanic	952 (.15)	.110	729 (.19)	482 (.145)	. 928 (. 25)	. 1	. 346 (.19)	7.055 (.15)	~2 .6 90 (4.866)	415 (.75)	.212 (.130)	.404 (1.107)
School size FRIC	. 00004 (. 00003)	.00006	.00003 (.00004)	_00001 (.00003)	.00003	! ()0003	. 00007	-,00001 (,00003)	00049 (.00089)	00005 - (.0001)	.00006 (20000.)	00043 (.00020)

	1.					1					College	taken
Female	.039 (.014)	.038	.068 (.02)	.018 (.01)	.053 (.02)	(.02)	.034	062 (.01)	-3.725 _(.465)	.148 (.07)	063 (.012)	373 (.106)
Grade level	.028 (.007)	.020	(180.)	.025 (.007)	045 - (.01)	(10.)	(.01)	~.042 (.007)	2.943 (.229)	,120 (.035)	.032 (.006)	.227 (.052)
Individual difference from school mean:								•				
Average parents' (education	009 (.005)	.002 (.004)	.008 (.007)	.010 (.005)	.009 (.009)	022 (.007)	(300.)	~,002 (,005)	~.678 (.174)	.261 (.03)	.008 (.005)	212 (.040)
Imputed family earnings	00000 (.00000)	.00000 (.00000)	.00000 (.00000.)	00000 (.00000.)	.00000 (00000.)	(.00000)	00000 (.00000)	.00000 (.00000)	.00011 (.00 005)	00002 (.00001)	.00000	00001 (.00001)
"Traditional" family structure	048 (.016.)	014	.011	037 (.016)	.014	(20.)	040 (.02)	034 (.016)	-1.757 (0.533)	.148 (.08)	045 (.014)	305 (.121)
"American Indian"	017 (.04)	036 · (.03)	021 (.05)	.015 -(.04)	077 (.07)	042 (.05)	(.05)	.074 (.04)	1.103 (1.395)	167 (.21)	042 (.037)	.642 (.317)
Asian	- ,000 (.03)	035	038 (.036)	056 (.03)	026 (.05)	068 (.04)	003 (.03)	034 (.03)	788 (.927)	.56B (,14)	(.002)	135 (.21])
Black	017 (.03)	.016 (.02)	037 (.04)	057 (.03)	104 (.05)	.019	· .016 (.04)	.041 (.03)	900 (1.017)	343 (.156)	(.027)	.371 (.231)
Hispanic	040 (.03)	006 (.02)	047 (.034)	.001 (.026)	014 (.04)	(.03)	.017	.042 (.025)	. 505 (. 905)	306 (.14)	080 (.024)	.014 (.206)
Difference between indivi- dual's school mean and overall sample mean:	-	-		·	•							
Average parents' education	957 (.026)	(.02)	.013 '(.03)	~.022 (.026)	.035 (.04)	~.034 (.03)	031 (.03)	~. 023 (.026)	. 282 (. 855)	.527	.040 (.023)	528 (.195)
imput:4 family earnings	00002 (.00001)	.00000	00000 (.00001)	00000 (.00001)	.00001 (.00002)	.00000 (.00001)	00003 (000015)	.00001 (.00001)	.00009 (.00039)	00004 (.00006)	- 00001 (.00001)	.00022 (.00009)
"Traditional" family structure	277 (.10)	078 (.07)	320 (.12)	109 (20.)	.441 (.156)	031 (.13)	.091	.013 (.09)	-9,152 (3,136)	121 (.48)	115 (.084)	317 (.714)
"Aucrican Indian"	~1.42) (.37)	.42) (.27)	-1.215 (.47)	190 (.36)	.561 (.60)	.360 (.47)	.898 (.47)	.250 (.35)	18.634 (11.851)	-3.438 (1.82)	952 (.316)	2.811 (2.696)
Asian	-,097 (.12)	. 131 (. 09)	093 (.16)	518 (.12)	011 (.20)	. 088 (.15)	-, 252 (, 15)	.230 (.12)	-11.698 (3.953)	-1.174 (.60)	625 (.105)	489 (.899)
Black	259 (.07)	159 (.05)	352 (.10)	-,335 (.07)	.110 (.12)	.153 (.09)	. 162 (109)	.210 (.07)	-4,485 (2,392)	.800	024 (.064)	1.002
Hispanic	952 (.15)	.110 (.11)	729 (.19)	482 (.145)	.928 (.25)	.041 (.20)	. 346 (. 19)	.055 . (.15)	2 690 (4.866)	415 (.75)	.212 . (.130)	.404 (1.107)
School size	00004 (.00003)	.00006 (.00002)	.00003 (.00004)	.00001	.00003 (.00004)	00009 (.0000 3)	. 00007 (. 000033)	-,00001 (,00003)	00049 (. 00089)	~.00005 (.0001)	.00006 (.00002)	00043 (.00020)
Constant	.752 (.03)	.817 (.02)	. 438 (.04)	.802 (.03)	. 283 (.05)	.135 (.04)	069 (.04)	. 240 (.03)	9, 328 (1, 067)	2.569 (.16)	.800 (.028)	2.876 (.243)
Dependent variable mean	.865	.932	. 721	.872	. 434	.215	. 140	.106	12.917	2.840	.894	2.728
, ₽ 2	.029	. 035	.067	.048	.019	. 064	.031	. 036	. 108	.147	.061	.041
Residual degrees	2219	2219	2219	2219	1812	1910	15/5	1922	2347	2347	2347	2 347
0.0				1	7	1				·· ································		: 1

. 69