OCUMENT RESUMB

ED 187 034

EA 012 711

AUTHOR TITLE PUB' DATE NOTE

Augenstein, John J. Teacher Unions, the Courts, and Catholics Schools. Apr 80 27p.: Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the

National Catholic Educational Association (New

Orleans, LA, April 7-10, 1980).

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF01/PC02 Plus Postage. *Catholic Schools: *Court Litigation: Elementary Secondary Education: *National Surveys: *Teacher Associations: *Unions

IDENTIFIERS

*Supreme Court

ABSTRACT

This paper discusses the effects of teacher organizing and the impact of a Supreme Court decision concerning the jurisdiction of the National Labor Relations Board in Catholic schools. First, the paper examines the results of a 1979 national survey of Catholic school superintendents and teacher organization heads. The purpose of the survey was to determine attitudes toward and the present status of teacher organizations. The results showed a steady growth in the number of teacher organizations and consensus for establishment of a third party to settle disputes. An analysis of the 1979 Supreme Court decision concluded that church-operated schools do not fall under the jurisdiction of the National Labor Relations Board. The paper concludes that agreement must be reached by both administrators and teachers on the overall mission of the church, its educational mission, and the place of the school within that mission. Further, models must be developed to take the place of a third party in labor disputes. (Author/LD)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original document. ****************

TEACHER UNIONS, THE COURTS, AND CATHOLIC SCHOOLS

John J. Augenstein

INTRODUCTION

IN NCEA'S NOVEMBER, 1979 "CONVENTION NEWS," THIS PRESEN-

TATION WAS ADVERTISED AS FOLLOWS: "THE CONTROVERSIAL TOPIC OF

"TEACHER UNIONS, THE COURTS, AND CATHOLIC SCHOOLS" WILL BE TACKLED

BY MR. JOHN AUGENSTEIN, PRESENTLY SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS FOR

THE DIOCESE OF YOUNGSTOWN, OHIO. . . " FOUR OF THE WORDS IN THAT

ANNOUNCEMENT WERE APPROPRIATELY CHOSEN. I NOTE PARTICULARLY

"CONTROVERSIAL TOPIC" AND "TACKLED BY." HOWEVER, THE WORD

PRECEDING THE NOTATION OF MY POSITION, NAMELY, "PRESENTLY" DID

GIVE ME CAUSE FOR MOMENTARY ALARM. I THOUGHT SOMEONE AT NCEA

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

J. Augenstein

WHO WAS AWARE OF MY POSITIONS CONCERNING AND INVOLVEMENT IN

LABOR RELATIONS AS IT AFFECTS CATHOLIC SCHOOLS KNEW SOMETHING

ABOUT MY EMPLOYMENT FUTURE IN THE DIOGESE OF YOUNGSTOWN WHICH

I DID NOT. NEVERTHELESS, I AM COMPLETING MY EIGHTH YEAR AS

SUPERINTENDENT AND MY ELEVENTH YEAR OF LEARNING ABOUT AND BEING

INVOLVED IN LABOR RELATIONS IN CATHOLIC SCHOOLS AND I CONCUR THAT

MY SUBJECT TODAY IS CONTROVERSIAL AND REQUIRES TACKLING.

IN MY PRESENTATION, I PROPOSE TO ADDRESS THREE QUESTIONS.

- 1. WHAT IS THE PRESENT STATUS OF TEACHER ORGANIZATIONS
 IN CATHOLIC SCHOOLS ACROSS THE COUNTRY?
- 2. WHAT IS THE HISTORY, RATIONALE, AND CONCLUSION

THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT DECISION OF

MARCH, 1979, CONCERNING THE JURISDICTION OF THE

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD IN CATHOLIC SCHOOLS?

3. CONSIDERING THE TEACHER ORGANIZING EFFECTS AND THE SUPREME COURT DECISION, WHAT ARE THE IMPLICATIONS FOR CATHOLIC SCHOOLS?

I. PRESENT STATUS OF TEACHER ORGANIZATIONS

TO ADDRESS THE QUESTION OF THE PRESENT STATUS OF TEACHER

ORGANIZATIONS, I SHALL USE THE DATA GATHERED AND CONCLUSIONS DRAWN

FROM A NATIONAL SURVEY WHICH I CONDUCTED DURING THE SUMMER OF 1979

AMONG DIOCESAN CATHOLIC SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENTS AND TEACHER

ORGANIZATION HEADS.

THE SURVEY WAS SENT TO 162 DIOCESAN SUPERINTENDENTS AND

46 TEACHER ORGANIZATION HEADS. SIXTY-NINE PERCENT (69%) OF THE SURVEYS WERE RETURNED, SEVENTY-NINE PERCENT (79%) OF THE SUPERINTENDENTS RESPONDED AND THIRTY-THREE PERCENT (33%) OF THE TEACHER ORGANIZATION HEADS. IN CATEGORIZING THE RESPONSES, TWO GUIDES WERE USED. ONE ESTABLISHED DIOCESE SIZE ON THE BASIS OF ENROLLMENT:

SMALL (0-999), MEDIUM (10,000-29,999), AND LARGE (30,000+). THE OTHER GUIDE CATEGORIZED RESPONSES BY ACCREDITING REGIONS: NEW ENGLAND, MIDDLE STATES, SOUTHERN, NORTH CENTRAL, WESTERN, AND NORTHWEST.

THE SURVEY HAD THREE PURPOSES AND PARTS.

- 1. TO GATHER BASIC STATISTICAL DATA ON CURRENT
 TEACHER ORGANIZATIONS;
- AND TEACHER ORGANIZATION HEADS REGARDING THE NEED FOR AND ESTABLISHMENT OF A THIRD PARTY SERVICE TO ASSIST DIOCESES, SCHOOLS, AND TEACHER GROUPS IN THE RESOLUTION OF ISSUE DIFFERENCES;
- 3. TO DETERMINE THE PRESENT METHODS USED IN DIOCESES

 TO ESTABLISH TEACHER SALARIES AND BENEFITS.

AS REGARDS TEACHER ORGANIZATION DATA, THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION WAS CULLED FROM THE SURVEYS. TWENTY-SEVEN (27)

DIOCESES (24%) INDICATED THAT TEACHER ORGANIZATIONS EXISTED IN THEIR

DIOCESE. THE OVERWHELMING MAJORITY OF ORGANIZATIONS ARE FOUND IN MEDIUM AND LARGE DIOCESES AND THE MIDDLE STATES HAS THE GREATEST NUMBER OF DIOCESES WITH TEACHER GROUPS (10 or 43%).

WHEN CONSIDERING THE CONSTITUENT MEMBERSHIP OF THESE

TEACHER GROUPS, THE SURVEY RESULTS SHOWED THAT A SIGNIFICANT
MAJORITY (78%) INCLUDED ONLY LAY TEACHERS AND THE REMAINDER
INCLUDED BOTH RELIGIOUS AND LAY. BY A LARGE MAJORITY, ORGANIZED
TEACHERS ARE FOUND PRIMARILY IN THE HIGH SCHOOLS. THE SURVEY WAS
NOT DETERMINATIVE ON THE QUESTION OF WHETHER TEACHERS WERE

ORGANIZED ONLY ON A SCHOOL BUILDING BASIS OR DIOCESAN WIDE.

THE FINAL QUESTION IN THAT PART OF THE SURVEY ASKED WHETHER

OR NOT TEACHERS' RIGHTS AND DUTIES WERE SPELLED OUT IN SOME FORM.

A GOOD MAJORITY OF THE SUPERINTENDENTS (67%) RESPONDED AFFIRMATIVELY.

AMONG THE CONCLUSIONS DRAWN FROM THAT SEGMENT OF THE.

SURVEY, IT WAS NOTED THAT THE GROWTH IN THE NUMBER OF TEACHER

ORGANIZATIONS HAS BEEN SLOW BUT STEADY. JUST A LITTLE MORE THAN

HALF OF THE GROUPS HAVE BEEN IN EXISTENCE MORE THAN FIVE YEARS.

THE SECOND PART OF THE SURVEY ADDRESSED PRINCIPALLY THE

NEED FOR AND ESTABLISHMENT OF THIRD PARTY SERVICES TO ASSIST DIOCESES,

SCHOOLS, AND TEACHER GROUPS IN THE RESOLUTION OF ORGANIZATIONAL

8

AND CONTRACTUAL DISPUTES. EVEN THOUGH IT WAS NOT OVERWHELMING,

THE MAJORITY OF SUPERINTENDENTS INDICATED A NEED FOR SUCH A SERVICE

AND RESPONDING TEACHER ORGANIZATION HEADS, NEARLY UNANIMOUSLY,

AFFIRMED SUCH A NEED:

AS TO THE SOURCE OR INITIATOR OF THIRD PARTY SERVICES,

SUPERINTENDENTS LOOKED IN RANK ORDER TO THEIR LOCAL DIOCESE, STATE

CATHOLIC CONFERENCE, AND NCEA AS POTENTIAL VEHICLES. WHEREAS,

TEACHER GROUP HEADS STRONGLY PREFERRED THE AMERICAN ARBITRATION

ASSOCIATION OR ITS EQUIVALENT.

THE FINAL SEGMENT OF THE SURVEY WHICH SOUGHT INFORMATION

ON THE PRESENT METHODS USED IN TEACHER SALARY AND BENEFIT DETER-

MINATION BROUGHT THESE CONCLUSIONS.

- 1. DIOCESAN INVOLVEMENT IN SALARY AND BENEFIT

 DETERMINATION AT BOTH THE ELEMENTARY AND

 HIGH SCHOOL LEVELS IS MINIMAL;
- 2. THE NUMBER OF PLACES WHERE TEACHERS ARE
 INVOLVED IN SALARY AND BENEFIT DETERMINATION
 IS HIGHER THAN ANTICIPATED;
- 3. PRESENT MODELS OF TEACHER PARTICIPATION IN SALARY DETERMINATION NEED TO BE SHARED.

II. U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION

HAVING PROVIDED SOME BASELINE DATA ON TEACHER ORGANIZATIONS

AS THEY EXIST NOW, THE NEXT SUBJECT WHICH I NOTED WOULD BE ADDRESSED

IS THE MARCH, 1979 SUPREME COURT DECISION REGARDING THE JURISDICTION

OF THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD IN CATHOLIC SCHOOLS.

THE BRETHREN, YOU GAINED SOME INSIGHT INTO THE PROCESS USED BY THE NINE JUSTICES IN ARRIVING AT AND WRITING A DECISION. ALSO, YOU CAN APPRECIATE THE DIFFICULTY THAT I OR ANYONE ELSE WOULD HAVE IN

ATTEMPT TO PROVIDE YOU WITH A CAPSULIZED VIEW OF THE MAJOR

CATEGORIES OF THE NLRB DECISION. THE DECISION WAS RENDERED IN THE

CASE OF THE NLRB VERSUS THE CATHOLIC BISHOP OF CHICAGO AND OTHERS.

AT THE OUTSET OF THE OPINION, THE COURT SPECIFIES THE TWO
QUESTIONS WHICH ARE ADDRESSED IN THE DECISION: 1. ARE "TEACHERS IN
SCHOOLS, OPERATED BY A CHURCH, TO TEACH BOTH RELIGIOUS AND SECULAR
SUBJECTS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS
ACT?" 2. "IF THE ACT AUTHORIZES SUCH JURISDICTION, DOES ITS EXERCISE
VIOLATE THE GUARANTEES OF THE RELIGION CLAUSES OF THE FIRST
AMENDMENT?" 1

THE POSITION OF THE NLRB, DEVELOPED OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS,

WAS THAT IT DECLINED JURISDICTION "ONLY WHEN SCHOOLS ARE COMPLETELY

RELIGIOUS NOT JUST RELIGIOUSLY ASSOCIATED."2 THE POSITION OF THE

ARCHDIOCESE OF CHICAGO AND OTHERS WAS THAT THE LAW DID NOT AUTHORIZE

JURISDICTION IN CHURCH SCHOOLS AND IF IT DID, IT WOULD VIOLATE THE

RIGHTS GUARANTEED UNDER THE FIRST AMENDMENT.

THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD'S JURISDICTION OVER

PRIVATE SCHOOLS WAS A RATHER RECENT DEVELOPMENT BECAUSE IN A 1951.

CASE INVOLVING COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY THE BOARD ASSERTED THAT IT "WOULD

NOT EXERCISE JURISDICTION OVER NONPROFIT EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS."

NINETEEN YEARS LATER, IN THE 1970 CORNELL UNIVERSITY CASE, THE BOARD BEGAN TO CHANGE ITS POSITION BASED UPON "INCREASED INVOLVE-MENT IN COMMERCE BY EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS." IN THE NEXT YEAR (1971) THE BOARD TOOK JURISDICTION OVER PRIVATE, NONPROFIT SECONDARY SCHOOLS IN THE SHATTUCK SCHOOL CASE. IN 1975, THE BOARD ASSERTED JURISDICTION OVER FIVE PAROCHIAL HIGH SCHOOLS IN THE ARCHDIOCESE OF BALTIMORE. THAT WAS FOLLOWED IN '76 BY THE ARCHDIOCESE OF LOS ANGELES CASE IN WHICH THE BOARD NOTED THAT ITS ASSUMPTION OF JURISDICTION DID NOT VIOLATE THE FIRST AMENDMENT "WHEN IT INVOLVES A MINIMAL INTRUSION ON RELIGIOUS CONDUCT. AND FINALLY IN 1977,

THE BOARD WROTE THAT IT ASSERTED "JURISDICTION OVER ALL PRIVATE,

NONPROFIT EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS WITH GROSS ANNUAL REVENUES THAT

HAVING REVIEWED THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE NLRB POSITION, THE

COURT THEN ADDRESSED THE INTENT OF CONGRESS IN ITS FORMULATION OF

THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT AND NOTED A 1963 SUPREME COURT

DECISION INVOLVING FOREIGN SEAMEN. THE CITATION FROM THAT DECISION

STATED ". . . THERE MUST BE PRESENT THE AFFIRMATIVE INTENTION OF THE

CONGRESS CLEARLY EXPRESSED. AND ACCORDING TO THE COURT THE

"CONGRESS SIMPLY GAVE NO CONSIDERATION TO CHURCH-OPERATED SCHOOLS.

THE NEXT CONCERN OF THE COURT WAS THE ISSUE OF RELIGION

CLAUSE INFRINGEMENT OR VIOLATION OF FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS. IN THIS

REGARD, THE COURT WROTE "IT IS NOT ONLY THE CONCLUSIONS THAT MAY BE

REACHED BY THE BOARD WHICH MAY IMPINGE ON RIGHTS GUARANTEED BY THE

RELIGION CLAUSES, BUT THE VERY PROCESS OF INQUIRY LEADING TO FINDINGS

AND CONCLUSIONS. ... "9 THE COURT ALSO TOOK COGNIZANCE OF THE FACT

THAT THE CHURCH-TEACHER RELATIONSHIP WAS DIFFERENT FROM THE

EMPLOYER-EMPLOYEE RELATIONSHIP IN PUBLIC OR NON-RELIGIOUS SCHOOLS.

FINALLY, THE MAJORITY OF THE JUSTICES CONCLUDED THAT
CHURCH-OPERATED SCHOOLS DID NOT FALL WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD.

THE MINORITY OF JUSTICES WHO DISSENTED ARGUED THAT THE

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT COVERED ALL EMPLOYEES EXCEPT THOSE EXPRESSLY EXEMPTED AND THAT THE CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTIONS RAISED WERE NOT WITHOUT DIFFICULTY BUT SHOULD BE ADDRESSED BY THE COURT AS THE CASES AROSE.

III. IMPLICATIONS FOR CATHOLIC SCHOOLS

HAVING PROVIDED YOU WITH DATA ON TEACHER GROUPS AND A SUMMARY REVIEW OF THE COURT DECISION, MY THIRD QUESTION REQUIRES A RESPONSE, NAMELY, WHAT IMPLICATIONS DO THESE ACTIONS HAVE FOR CATHOLIC SCHOOLS. I SHALL SPEARATE THE TWO SUBJECTS AND PROVIDE YOU MY PERCEPTIONS UNDER EACH.

FIRST, AS REGARDS TEACHER ORGANIZING EFFORTS, I SHALL

RESTATE THAT WITH WHICH YOU ARE ALREADY FAMILIAR, THE CHURCH'S

SOCIAL JUSTICE TEACHINGS AS THEY APPLY TO EMPLOYEE RIGHTS. THOSE

RIGHTS ARE THREE: 1. THE RIGHT TO ORGANIZE; 2. THE RIGHT OF EMPLOYEES

TO CHOOSE THEYR OWN REPRESENTATION; and, 3. THE RIGHT TO BARGAIN

COLLECTIVELY WITH THEIR EMPLOYER IN AREAS RELATED TO WAGES, BENEFITS,

AND WORKING CONDITIONS. FOR NEARLY A CENTURY, THE CHURCH HAS BEEN

PROCLAIMING THIS TO EMPLOYERS IN THE PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTORS.

NOW WE, AS CHURCH AND EMPLOYER ARE FACED WITH IMPLEMENTING THOSE

SAME TEACHINGS AND GRANTING THOSE SAME RIGHTS TO OUR EMPLOYEES.

MOST PERSONS WITH WHOM I HAVE DISCUSSED THESE RIGHT'S

ACKNOWLEDGE THEM BUT A NUMBER OF PEOPLE HAVE PERCEPTIONS OF

NEGATIVE EFFECTS RESULTING FROM THEIR IMPLEMENTATION. SOME MAIN-

TAIN THAT THE ORGANIZING OF TEACHERS WILL RESULT IN FINANCIAL COLLAPSE

OF THE SCHOOLS CAUSED BY IMPOSSIBLE AND UNACHIEVABLE DEMANDS.

OTHERS FEEL THAT THERE WILL BE A SIGNIFICANT LOSS/OF ADMINISTRATIVE

CONTROL OR THAT ORGANIZING EFFORTS AND THEIR SUBSEQUENT BARGAINING

WILL CAUSE FACULTY DIVISION AND A SCHOOL COMMUNITY SPLIT. STILL OTHERS

ARGUE THAT THERE WOULD BE MEDDLING FROM/OUTSIDERS IF THE TEACHER

GROUP AFFILIATED WITH A LARGER GROUP AT THE DIOCESAN, STATE, OR

NATIONAL LEVELS. MY RESPONSE TO THOSE CONCERNS WOULD BE: 'YES,

THEY ARE POSSIBLE CONSEQUENCES BUT I BELIEVE THEY CAN BE NEGATED BY

ADMINISTRATIVE AND TEACHER LEADERSHIP WHICH IS OPEN, CAPABLE, AND

WILLING TO LEARN, UNDERSTAND, AND SHARE.' AS I PROCEED, I SHALL

ELABORATE AND MAKE SOME SPECIFIC SUGGESTIONS FOR THAT RESPONSE.

THERE ARE SPECIFIC IMPLICATIONS FOR ADMINISTRATORS. MANY,

IF NOT MOST, ADMINISTRATORS AFFIRM THE CONCEPT OF SHARED DECISION

MAKING. IT WOULD BE WELL TO PUT IN WRITING HOW THAT IS ACCOMPLISHED

IN YOUR SCHOOL AND THEN DISCUSS IT WITH YOUR FACULTY TO SEE IF IT IS.

PERCEIVED IN THE SAME WAY BY THEM. THERE SHOULD BE WRITTEN PERSONNEL

POLICIES AND PRACTICES, JOINTLY DRAFTED AND JOINTLY REVIEWED AND REVISED BY ADMINISTRATORS AND TEACHERS. TEACHERS SHOULD BE INVITED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DISCUSSION AND ESTABLISHMENT OF SALARIES AND FRINGE BENEFITS. WITH REGARD TO WORKING CONDITIONS, BUT EXCLUDING PARTICULARLY SALARY AND BENEFITS, THERE SHOULD BE EQUAL TREATMENT OF CLERGY, RELIGIOUS, AND LAY FACULTY MEMBERS.

THERE ARE ALSO SPECIFIC IMPLICATIONS FOR TEACHERS. FIRST,

LET ME BE VERY CLEAR, I AM NEITHER ENCOURAGING NOR DISCOURAGING

TEACHER ORGANIZING EFFORTS. THE DECISION TO ORGANIZE OR NOT IS NOT AN ADMINISTRATIVE ONE. THE DECISION TO DETERMINE REPRESENTATION AND

AFFILIATION BELONGS TO TEACHERS. IF TEACHERS DECIDE TO ORGANIZE,

MY ONLY CAUTION IS TO DO SO IN SUCH A WAY AS TO AVOID ALIENATING YOUR

PEER GROUP OF CLERGY, RELIGIOUS, AND LAY TEACHERS WHO HAVE THE

RIGHT TO MAKE THEIR OWN DECISION WHICH MAY BE CONTRARY TO YOURS.

TEACHERS' APPROACH TO ADMINISTRATORS SHOULD EXHIBIT THE BEHAVIOR

OF PERSONS LIVING AND TEACHING THE GOSPEL MESSAGE. (I WOULD EXPECT

ADMINISTRATORS TO RESPOND IN A SIMILAR FASHION.) LASTLY, IF COLLECTIVE

BARGAINING IS BEGUN, APPROACH IT WITH A WILLINGNESS TO RESOLVE

DIFFERENCES THROUGH THE PROCESS WHICH OFTEN REQUIRES COMPROMISE.

TO CONCLUDE THIS SEGMENT ON IMPLICATIONS OF TEACHER

ORGANIZING EFFORTS, PERMIT ME TO MAKE SOME SUGGESTIONS WHICH HAVE

APPLICATION FOR BOTH TEACHERS AND ADMINISTRATORS. THIS ENTIRE ISSUE

IS CONCERNED WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE CHURCH'S JUSTICE TEACHINGS IN THE SCHOOL'S COMMUNITY OF FAITH. THUS, FROM MY VANTAGE POINT, THERE IS A NEED FOR CERTAIN BASIC UNDERSTANDINGS AND AGREEMENTS ON THE PART OF BOTH ADMINISTRATORS AND TEACHERS. THERE MUST BE AGREEMENT OF BOTH GROUPS ON THE OVERALL MISSION OF THE CHURCH, 175 EDUCATIONAL MISSION, THE PLACE OF THE SCHOOL WITHIN THAT MISSION, THE ROLES OF THE ADMINISTRATOR, TEACHER AND TEACHER ORGANIZATION WITHIN THE SCHOOL. IF THESE UNDER-STANDINGS ARE NOT PRESENT INITIALLY, THERE WILL BE GREAT DIFFIUCLTY IN RESOLVING DIFFERENCES AND REACHING OTHER AGREEMENTS. I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT MY EXPECTATIONS MAY APPEAR TO BE IDEALISTIC AND THAT THE HUMAN ELEMENT.

WITH ALL OF ITS FOIBLES, IS EVER PRESENT. BUT I BELIEVE THAT DIFFERENCES CAN BE

RESOLVED AND AGREEMENTS REACHED IF BOTH ADMINISTRATORS AND

TEACHERS EXHIBIT AN UNDERSTANDING OF AND A WILLINGNESS TO RESOLVE

CONFLICT IN THE CONTEXT OF A COMMUNITY OF BELIEVERS.

THE SECOND AREA WHICH HAS IMPLICATIONS FOR CATHOLIC SCHOOLS

IS THE SUPREME COURT DECISION. THE GAVEL HAS COME DOWN AND THE

COURT HAS RULED THAT THE NLRB HAS NO JURISDICTION IN CATHOLIC OR

CHURCH-OPERATED SCHOOLS. A VOID HAS BEEN CREATED IN THE THIRD

PARTY SERVICE AREA. THE GOVERNMENT MAY NOT THRUST ITSELF UPON US

BUT NOW NEITHER TEACHERS NOR ADMINISTRATORS HAVE A MUTUALLY

revisinge of Pians

ACCEPTABLE, OBJECTIVE THIRD PARTY TO/TURN TO FOR ASSISTANCE IN

ORGANIZATIONAL AND CONTRACTUAL DISPUTES WHEN NEEDED. THUS, THIS

IS AN EXCELLENT OPPORTUNITY FOR WE, AS CHURCH, TO STEP OUT AND TAKE THE INITIATIVE IN FILLING THAT VOID. PRESENTLY, I DO NOT FORSEE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A NATIONAL CATHOLIC LABOR RELATIONS BOARD. SOME DIOCESES ARE ADDRESSING THIS PROBLEM LOCALLY. THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATORS' DEPARTMENT OF NCEA HAS ESTABLISHED A BROAD BASED COMMITTEE WHICH IS CHARGED WITH DEVELOPING MODELS OF THIRD PARTY SERVICES WHICH COULD BE USED. ASSUMING THAT MODELS ARE DEVELOPED AND PRESENTED TO THE CACE MEMBERSHIP, THEY WILL NOT AND CANNOT BE MANDATED FOR USE BY SCHOOLS AND DIOCESES. HOPEFULLY, HOWEVER, THE DEVELOPMENT OF SUCH MODELS WILL REPRESENT AN EFFORT OF OUR PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATION TO ASSIST IN FILLING THE VOID.

CONCLUSION

AT THIS POINT, YOU ARE EITHER MORE KNOWLEDGEABLE OR MORE CONFUSED BECAUSE I HAVE PROVIDED YOU WITH INFORMATION ON TWO VERY COMPLEX SUBJECTS. ADDITIONALLY, I HAVE PROVIDED SOME SUGGESTIONS BASED UPON MY BELIEFS AND EXPERIENCES. THUS, IT IS PROBABLY OBVIOUS THAT THERE ARE NO SIMPLE, CLEAR-CUT SOLUTIONS. RATHER, TOGETHER, ADMINISTRATORS AND TEACHERS, MUST CONTINUE TO WRESTLE WITH AND DEVELOP WAYS TO IMPLEMENT THE CHURCH'S TEACHINGS ON SOCIAL JUSTICE IN OUR SCHOOL COMMUNITIES OF FAITH.

CITATIONS

- National Labor Relations Board v. The Catholic Bishop of Chicago et at., Supreme Court of the United States No. 77-752

 March 21, 1979, Majority Opinion, p. i.
- 2. Ibid. p. 1
- 3. Ibid. p. 6
- 4. Ibid. p. 7 *
- 5. Ibid. p. 9
- 6. Ibid. p. 7
- 7. Ibid. p. 10
- 8. Ibid. p. 14
- 9. Ibid. p. 12