RD 177 216

TH DO 9 746

AUTHOR

Merkel-Keller, Claudia: Herr, Audrey

Multi-Dimensional Planning/Evaluation Schema for

Community Education.

PUB DATE

Apr. 79
48p.; Paper presented at the Amnual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (63rd, San Francisco, California, April 8-12, 1979)

BDRS PRICE
DESCRIPTORS

MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.

Adult Education Programs; *Community Education;
Community Involvement; *Community Programs;

*Conceptual Schemen; *Evaluation Methods; Input
Output Analysis; Participant Satisfaction; Program
Development; *Program Evaluation; Erogram Planning

*Context Input Process: Product Evaluation Model;

*Lakewood Community Schools NJ; New Jersey

(Lakewood)

IDENTIFIERS,

ABSTRACT

A model for planning and evaluating community education programs--Stufflebeam's context, input, process, product (CIPP) evaluation model -- was described and field tested with the community education programs in Lakewood, New Jersey. Community education was defined as a concern for everything that affects the well-being of all citizens within a community, and encompassing: (1) school involvement; (2) community served; (3) public facility as a community center; (4) scope of activities and services; (5) community needs: (6) community resources and interagency cooperation; (7) program clients; and (8) community participation. Lakewood's programs were supported by federal legislation, by New Jersey's thorough and. efficient law, and by foundation funding. Particular emphasis was placed on the elderly and minority group residents of Lakewood. Evaluation data were obtained from participant interviews, staff questionnaires, participant questiouraire, neighborhood awareness questionnaire, and annual program reports from 1966-1978. The rrogram's growth was demonstrated by the increase in rrojects (from 67 to 282) and in enrolled participants (from 1,853 to 61,432) between 1966 and 1978. (Several questionnaires are appended). (GDC)

UT DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCKO EXÁCTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINALING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPTINIONS
STATED DO NOT BECESSARILY REPRESENT OF PICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

MULTI-DIMENSIONAL PLANNING/EVALUATION . SCHEMA FOR COMMUNITY EDUCATION

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Claudia Merkel-Keller
and
Audrey Herr
New Jersey State Department of Education.

Paper presented at AERA Annual Conference San Francisco, California April 1979

Introduction

that education is a continuous, lifelong process that should be responsive to the needs of the community. Increasingly, educators and local citizenry are expressing the belief that the public school should provide programs that extend beyond the scope of traditional educational systems. The community education process addresses these concerns by: involving the public in the local educational decision making process; achieving greater interagency cooperation in the planning and delivery of services; maximizing the utilization of physical, financial, and human resources; and improving and expanding programming for all age groups.

In the late 1960's several districts in New Jersey recognizing the unique features and potential of the community education concept, initiated their own community schools. During the past few years, a more concerted effort has been made toward statewide adoption of the community education philosophy.

With passage of the Public Education Act of 1975 in

New Jersey (N.J.S.A. 18A:7-1 et. seq.), the Legislature

provided for a "thorough and efficient" (T & E) planning

process that is responsive to the needs of children, parents,

the community and the state, As a process for school

improvement, T & E recognizes that public awareness and

public involvement in the education process are critical

and therefore, mandates that opportunity be provided by local districts for maximum citizen involvement:

The core of T & E is embedded in a systematic planning process calling for the development of educational process calling for the development of educational needs based on the goal statements; implementation of program improvement steps based on identified needs; and program/budget evaluation. New Jersey is unique in terms of implementing this overarching reform law by virtue of the fact that local autonomy is protected since the local districts set their own goals, objectives and standards for improvement under the accountability umbrella provided by the state.

The other critical facer, obviously, of the T & E process is the involvement and participation of the community in the determination of the educational mission and educational priorities set for the school system.

These legislative factors in New Jersey, coupled with economic factors for more complete facilities utilization by community members, spearheaded a strong thrust toward the community education concept in the past few years.

Additional petus for community education programs stem from the federal level in terms of the Community School Act (P.L. 93-380, section 405 of 1974), and from New Jersey's own intra-agency push launched by the Governor's task force (1978). These elements, in conjunction with Mott Foundation seed money and support, have provided an initial baseline for the development and refinement of community education

programs in the state.

Definition

It is useful at this point to provide a definition of community education since the term is sometimes used synonymously with that of community school. The difference between the two terms is that community education is the overall philosophy, whereas the community school is the physical facility through which the services are delivered. Community education should be viewed as a process and a product that is concerned with everything that affects the well-being of all citizens within a given community. This. definition extends the role of education from the traditional concept of teaching children, to that of identifying the wants, needs, and problems of the total community. Conceptually, one can identify eight minimum elements of a community education program process to encompass the following:

- 1. School Involvement: The program/process must provide for the direct and substantial involvement of a public elementary or secondary school in the administration and operation of the program.
- 2. Community Served: The program/process must serve an identified community which should be coextensive with the school attendance area.
- Rublic Facility as a Community Center: Program/process services to the community must be sufficiently concentrated and comprehensive in a specific public facility, including, but not limited to, a public elementary or secondary school, a public community or junior college, or a community recreation or park center, in terms of scope and nature of program services.
- 4. Scope of Activities and Services: The program/process must extend the program activities and services offered by, and uses made of the public facility in terms of the scope and nature of program services, the target population served, and the hours of service.

- 5. Community Needs: The program/process must include systematic and effective procedures for identifying and documenting on a continuing basis the needs, interests, and concerns of the community.
- 6. Community Resources and Interagency Cooperative Arrangements: The program/process must provide for the identification and utilization to the fullest extent possible of the aducational, cultural, recreational, and other existing and planned resources located outside of the school.
- 7. Program Clients: The program/process must be designed to serve all age groups in the community.
- 8. Community Participation: The program/process must provide for the active and continuous involvement, on an advisory basis, of institutions, groups and individuals in the planning and carrying out of the program, including involvements in the assessment of community need and resources and program evaluation.

Rationale for Study

New Jersey, through a variety of funding sources (HEW, OE, and the Mott Foundation), has initiated a series of programmatic and process responses to the need for community education, and in cases where community education programs already exist, has called for an expansion of these programs. All of these initiatives require a somewhat non-traditional evaluation approach.

It is the emphasis of this study--

- 1. 'to present and apply a conceptual model for the planning/evaluation of community education programs;
- 2. to report the field-test findings on the utility of the planning/evaluation model as a paradigm for the evaluation of generic community education programs.

Given the multi-faceted aspects of community education, an evaluation schema, sensitive to the various components

of the community school process, was developed which would serve program managers both in terms of a planning mechanism and an evaluation tool.

The Context, input, Process, Product (CIPP) evaluation model represented in Figure 1 is based on the work of Daniel Stufflebeam (1971) and is intended to apply to any community education programmatic or process schema. Figure 2 delineates the criteria which community education programs in New Jersey must satisfy to be in accord with the intent of the state's T & E legislation and with the philosophy and concepts of a community, education program.

Consequently, the decision was made to look at an already existing program since a program in its initial planning stage would not have enabled the application of To field-test the useability of this the entire model. approach (i.e., ,field-test the model), a site was selected in New Jersey which had had an ongoing community school program for a number of years / Upon the recommendation of the SEA Community Education Project Director, Lakewood was identified as the most viable site for this pilot study. The Lakewood program had been ongoing since 1966 when a community task force spearheaded development of the program in Lakewood after they had returned from an exploratory visit to the Flint, Michigan exemplary program.

The model, described above, was utilized to retro-

•	a sa a sa agus an ini an	CONTEXT . *	INPUT	PROCESS	PRODUCT
	OBJECTIVE	 Define relevant environment. Describe desired and actual conditions. Identify unmet needs and unused opportunities. Diagnose problems preventing need satisfaction and utilization of opportunities (diagnosing problem provides essential bases for developing objectives which will, lead to program improvement). 	B. Review alternative designs of 1) resource, time and budget requirements; 2) potential procedural borriers:	1 To provide project feedback to responsible people. 2. To detect or predict defects in implementation. 3. To provide information for programmetic decisions. 4. To maintain a record of procedures.	To measure and interpret attainments during and at conclusion of project
Ó	SHETHOD .	In A conceptual analysis to identify and define the limits of the domain to be served and its major sub-parts. 2. Empirical study to identify waimet needs and unused opportunities (i.e., survey of local adult population re unmet needs, etc.). 3. Combine empirical and conceptual analyses with theory and authoristative opinion toward problem solution.	1. Committee deliberations. 2. Search of related project objectives. 3. Employ consultants. 4. Pilot experimental projects.	Four essential features: 1. Provision for full- time process evaluator. 2. Instruments for des- cribing the process. 3. Regular feedback meet- ings between process evaluator and project etaff. 4. Frequent updating of process evaluation design.	definitions of objectives. 2. Measuring criteria asdociated with activity objectives. 3. Comparison of these measurements with pre-
	RILATION TO DECISION- MAKING IN THE CHANGE PROCESS	Specifics: 1. The setting to be served. 2. Goals to be sought. 3. Objectives to be achieved.	Provides information for determining: 1. if outside assistance necessary to achieve objectives; 2. strategy to be used new or available solutions; 3. procedural design for implementing selected strategy.	anticipating and over- coming procedural pro-	products evaluation provides information for deciding to continue, terminate, modify or re-

CRITERIA I

Elements of T&E

- goal development
- needs identification
- program/budget selection
- implementation cycle -
- program/budget evaluation

Discussion

The program satisfied legislative intent of T & E mandates.

CRITERIA II

Elements of Community Education

- school involvement
- community served
- public facility as a community center
- scope of activities and services
- community needs
- community resources and interprency cooperative arrangements
- \ program
- community participation

Discussion

The program satisfied, philosophy and concepts of community education programs

· CRITERIA III

Evaluation Model

- Context evaluation
 - 1. objective
 - 2. method
 - 3. relation to decision making in the change process
- Input evaluation
 - 1. objective
 - 2. method
 - 3. relation to decision making in the change process
- · Process evaluation
 - 1. objective
 - 2. method
 - 3. relation to decision making in the change process
- Product evaluation
 - 1. objective /...
 - 2. method
 - relation to decision making in the change process

Impact evaluation'

- l: awareness
- 2. involvement
- 3. commitment
- 4. action
- 5. internalization

ı U

In essence, this study allowed the exploration, development and refinement of a multi-dimensional planning/evaluation schema which not only fulfilled New Jersey state statutes, but also responded to federal mandates, as well as, having applicability for other types of educational programs.

Methodology

After components of the model were determined, and Lakewood was selected as the site for field-testing the multi-dimensional planning/evaluation schema for community education, an evaluation plan was developed for conducting the study. It was intended that the model would provide a "must criteria" framework in which a retrospective analysis of the process would be conducted.

J Data were obtained from a variety of sources.

A. Interviews

An interview protocal was developed which elicited information regarding the key elements in the program. (See Appendix.) A series of 20 incerviews were conducted in Lakewood, by the authors, with people involved with the program. Ten of these people (50%) were involved in initiating the effort, in fact, five of them were part of the original group that went to Flint, Michigan to learn about community education in 1966. . Of the total group interviewed, 16 (80%) are atill actively involved with the Community School in a variety of ways, i.e., Member of Community School Board; Assistant Superintendent, Board of Education; Township Business Manager; Coordinator, Adult Hispanic Program; Director, NAACP Lakewood Chapter. A 20-item Likert type rating scale, Impressions of the Community School (ICS) (Wood and Santellanes, 1971) was completed by each of the people after the interview. The ICS elicited responses to general questions, impressions, and/or opinions regarding the Lakewood Community Education program.

B. Staff Evaluation Questionnaire

A staff evaluation questionnaire (Wood and Santellanes, 1977), constating of seven open-ended questions regarding program effectiveness (see Appendix) was administered to 13 staff

members. The ICS was completed by all staff respondents.

· Participant Questionnaire

A questionnaire (Wood and Santellanes, 1971) eliciting information both descriptive and qualitative regarding the program, was designed for program participants. (See Appendix.) A total of 117 questionnaires and ICS forms were mailed to community members. Of the 117 forms, 12 were returned because they were undeliverable, and hence, only 102 of the questionnaires reached their destination. A total of 41 people responded to the mail survey, yielding a response rate of 40%.

Another 37 participant questionnaires and ICS forms were administered by the authors to community members on-site in their evening classes.

Of the total 85 participant, responses obtained (41 mailed and 45 administered) only 72 ICS forms were used for data analysis. Thirteen participants (6 mailed and 7 administered) who completed the questionnaires, did not react at all to the ICS, and consequently, were not included in the analysis.

D. Neighborhood Awareness Questionnaire

A neighborhood awareness questionnaire (Wood and Santellanes, 1971) eliciting general awareness knowledge from the respondent regarding the Lakewood Community School, was administered by a research assistant, working with the authors, to 34 community members. (See Appendix.) This was accomplished by the research assistant stationing herself in strategic spots in the community (post office, supermarket; bank, and two minority community program offices), to allow for polling a cross-section of the community.

E. Other Data Sources

The other sources of information were the Annual Reports of the program from 1966-1978. Analysis of these data provided some indications of longitudinal changes in the dynamics, enrollments, and offerings of the program. The Summer Recreational Program Annual Reports were also examined for similar elements, as it serves as an extension of the Community School during the summer and has the same director.

APPLICATION OF THE CIPP MODEL

The CIPP model was applied to an historical reconstruction of the existing variables at the time the program was initiated. In the following section, each aspect of the model is discussed and the component elements are traced to their current status. This methodology provided a vehicle for examining the viability of the model for other community education programs. Specifically, if each of these component parts are functional, they should have potential for program planning and improvement.

I. Context Evaluation

The Relevant Environment: Background Information

Lakewood has a unique history. The town is located in a part of New Jersey that enjoys a more moderate climate than surrounding areas within a radius of 200 miles.' This led to the development of a resort community featuring numerous hotels which catered to multitudes of guests and visitors. This was the main industry for 40 years. However, in the 1960's, air travel made it possible for people to vacation in warmer, more exotic places. One by one, the large hotels started closing and no other employment was readily available for the large numbers of minority group members who had worked in the hotels. There had been a small chicken farm industry, but that, too, declined with the hotels.

Additionally, Ocean County has very liberal social welfare benefits which were, and still are, very attractive to

immigrants and others seeking to relocate. Consequently, there was an influx of minorities to Ocean County who sought to avail themselves of the public assistance provided untial they obtained employment. They settled in the already established minority communities, thereby, substantially increasing the numbers of minority group people in Lakewood.

Concommitantly, many elderly people had also moved to Lakewood's retirement communities. They liked the special features of the climate and were already familiar with Lakewood from its hotel and resort era.

Thus, the mid-1960's witnessed considerable growth in two segments of the population, the elderly and the minorities. Neither group was enthusiastic about local education: the elderly felt they were paying taxes and not getting a return; the minorities felt that the schools were not meeting their needs. Hence, there was little support for the school budget. Also, the Black community was responding to the militancy of that period by lashing out at the community however they could. They were discontent and agitated. One of the problems was that youth had no place to which they could go for constructive and/or recreational activities.

The "town fathers" were concerned. In a total population of approximately 18,000, 35% were minority group members (with a small number of Hispanics), and 15% were senior citizens. It was at this time that the President of the Lakewood Board of Education first learned of the community education program in Flint Michigan. He convinced the Township Manager that it

held promise for Lakewood, and the two of them went to Flint for a three-day seminar. That visit was the first of the six site visits by various members of the Lakewood community. They all returned from Flint encouraged and hopeful, believing that they had discovered a means of solving some of their social and financial problems, which could potentially benefit the entire community.

The School Board was persuaded to provide funds and facilities for the program to get underway. A seven member Community School Board was formed which included members of the School Board and Township Committee. They selected a director who had experience in the Flint Community Education Program to plan and initiate the program as of July 1, 1966.

A community needs assessment was conducted in August 1966. All Lakewood residents were sent a questionnaire which described the community school, its purpose, and requested feedback regarding the kinds of adult enrichment and recreational activities in which they were interested. The 300 responses provided the initial data base for making programmatic decisions. By the conclusion of the first year, the program was expanded to encompass several other areas as needs were voiced and identified within the community.

Implicit to the development of the program were the goals and objectives embodying the elements of community education which also address the "thorough and efficient" legislative

mandates. Although not formally stated as such, the broad goals were:

- 1. to have greater utilization of the township's principle capital investment, the schools?
- 2. to develop a community education program which would meet the needs of all segments of the population within the community;
- 3. to improve relations and communication within the community.

Specific objectives toward the attainment of the above goals were:

- 1. to determine the unmet needs and interests of community residents;
- 2. to develop courses and/or activities which reflect unmet needs and interests of all segments of the community;
- 3. to ingrease interagency cooperation;
- 4. to publicize the program among all segments of the community;
- 5. to recruit participants from all segments of the community;
- 6. to establish procedures for continuous community input and feedback.

While the goals and objectives have remained almost the same over the years, the population has more than doubled to a total of 38,000. Half of the present residents are members of minority groups with the Hispanic people reaching a figure of 5,000. The elderly now comprise 30% (11,400).

II. INPUT EVALUATION

This section focused on the utilization of resources toward attainment of objectives.

During the period receding initiation of the program, members of the Board of Education and Township Committee agreed on a joint funding policy that would provide the



community education program with a starting budget of \$15,000 for the first year. Oter resources available to the program were the township's six schools and all township recreational facilities.

The program was administered by the Lakewood Community School Administrative Board which consisted of two members from the Township Committee, one member who was a township administrator, and one member of the Township Park and Recreation Advisory Board. The purpose of this board was (1) to act as a liaison organization, (2) to implement the Community School Program on behalf of the Township and Board of Education in accordance with the rules and policies of the Township and the Board of Education, and (3) to include the following duties and responsibilities:

- Prepare the budget for the program subject to the approval of the Township and the Board of Education.
 - b. Hire personnel:
 - (1) Community School Director and other coordinators as needed;
 - (2) Instructors;
 - (3) Determine pay scale for coordinator and instructors.
 - c. Approve program set up by the Community School Director.
 - d. Hold regular monthly meetings with special meetings as necessary.
 - e: Cooperate with the Advisory Committee in studying needs/wishes of the community in order to suggest possible activities, programs, and courses to the Community School Director.
- f. Insure that' an adequate program of public relations be carried on by the Community School Director with the cooperation of the Advisory Committee.

Program with the aid and advice of the Township and the Board of Education auditor.

Two advisory committees were established to aid in developing program ideas and as a communications link between the Community School Program and the people of the community.

- 1. The Community School Advisory Committee was set-up after a trip to Flint and was organized through the Community School Program. Those who attended the national community school clinic in Flint, Michigan, felt, on their return, that they could serve in an advisory capacity to the Community School Program. Members include two members of the Board of Education, one member of the Township Committee, the general manager of school cafeterias, the Business Administrator of the School Board, Lake 1 High School Assistant Principal, three elementary school principals, a representative of the Township Park and Recreation Advisory Board, and a juvenile officer.
- 2. The Clarke Community School Advisory Council was made up of the school principal, president of the P.T.A., and parents from the Clarke School area. The general purpose of this committee was to advise the Community School Program on the neighborhood needs and to provide suggestions as to the types of activities and programs which will best meet the needs of the Clarke School area.

A project director was hired who had experience with the community education program in Flint, Michigan, in addition to Mott Foundation training. A "pragmatic" strategy was then adapted for "getting the program off the ground." The component elements were:

- a. Development of a curriculum based on the expressed and perceived needs of all segments of the community.
- b. Selection of school sites for various offerings to facilitate maximum enrollment and attendance.
- c. Publicity campaign which included the mass-media (flyers, posters, newspaper articles and advertisements, radio) and public speakers going to community group meetings to describe program, i.e., churches, Elks, Jaycees, N.A.A.C.P., etc.

- d: Supervision of program implementation by Director.
- e. The establishment of a continuous formal and informal feedback system to keep in touch with the changing needs of the community, i.e., School Advisory Council.

The program's budget has expanded considerably from Tre initial \$15,000 in 1966. In 1979 the total program budget was \$300,000. These monies came from different sources: the Board of Education and Township still have an equal funding policy with each contributing \$48,000, for a tot/al of \$96,000; there was a \$37,400 balance from the previous year's budget; the SEA contributes \$12,000 toward the Director's salary; and \$157,000 comes from various funded programs, 'i.e., Adult Basic Education, WIN, and High School Equivalency. Much of the annual carry-over budget is raised by the minimal fees charged for some of the courses in the Adult Recreation Program. 'In addition, nonresidents must pay a two dollar registration fee per class. The Summer Recreation Program has a separate budget of \$119,000 which is obtained from Township funds.

In 1979, the facilities available to the program included all six school buildings, all township recreational facilities, YMCA, YWCA, many churches, senior citizen facilities and all municipal facilities, including the recently remodeled Community Center.

III. Process Evaluation

While the program never had an evaluator, as such, modifications were made in the program's structure, content and administration in response to problems experienced during

the initial implementation stages. The function of providing feedback to "the responsible people" was mainly the task of the Community School Administrative Board. However, the monthly meetings were so poorly attended that often the required quorum for a meeting were not available. Therefore, individual members would visit program activities when they had to report to their respective groups, i.e., at budget time or when problems were encountered. During the 1968 school year, the Board was reorganized to include only one member each from the Board of Education and the Township Manager and the Board of Education Business Manager.

The Board functions were to approve the budget, to draw up a resolution as to the philosophy and administration of the Community School Program, and to hire a new Community School Director.

with the adoption of a joint resolution by the Board of Education and the Township Committee which established the existing Community School Committee (CSC) consisting of: two (2) members of the Township Committee (selected annually by the Mayor); two (2) members of the Board of Education (selected annually by the President of the Board of Education) and three (3) members from the public at large (appointed annually by a majority vote of the four (4) appointed members of the CSC). Their responsibilities include: the establishment of program(s) and policy formulation; the kriring of employees; budget preparation; submission of a minimum of

quarter annual reports to the Board of Education and Township Committee.

Overall, there was no set procedure for process evaluation. The Director had the responsibility for writing an annual report at the end of each school year. Participants were encouraged to voice their concerns to the Director at any time. They also completed an end-of-semester evaluation regarding the effectiveness of various aspects of the completed course and indicated any recommendations and/or requests for other courses. These requests were generally honored by the next semester if sufficient participants were available.

IV. PRODUCT

This section presents the findings of the various data collection procedures utilized as part of this evaluation study conducted during the 1978-79 school year, which was the thirteenth year of program implementation.

Program Offerings and Enrollments

Table I was constructed by examining the Annual Reports of the program from its inception (school year 1966-67) to the last school year (1977-78). The growth of the program offerings from 67 projects in 1966-67 to 282 projects in 1977-78, reflects the extent to which efforts were made to respond to the needs and interests of the community. The total annual enrollment multiplied from 1,853 participants in its initial year to 61,432 in the last year. Even when considering that the Township's population increased from

18,000 in 1966 to 38,000 in 1978, there was a significantly greater proportion of residents (and possibly non-residents) engaged in the activities in 1978 (154% than in 1966 (10%). The range of activities offered during the last year addressed a wide variety of needs and interests, from auto mechanics, bread baking, and stable cleaning, to High School Equivalency Examination Preparation for both the English and Spanish Speaking and College level Extension Program courses.

aSome residents enrolled more than one time.

TABLE 1 .

SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES OFFERED AND PARTICIPANT ENROLLMENT FOR THE LAKEWOOD COMMUNITY SCHOOL PROGRAM, FROM 1966-67 TO 1978-79

	1		1			
YEAR		NUMBER	R AND TYPE OF PROJECT	ÊNRO	LLMENT	1
1966-67	. [58	Adult Emadahanan	700		
1700-07	البيسقود -	3	Adult Enrichment	722		1
7 · ·		5	High School Equivalency			
سسبب		· 0	Recreational	<u>·80</u>		
•	Totals	6/			1,853	
1060 60	1	` .		^	Z ₀ ,	
1200-03		68	Adult Enrichment	1,111		
•		. /	High School Equivalency	150	3	
		6	Recreational /	7 360		
. 7	*	9	Senior Citizens	, \145		
,		<u>5</u>	Student Enrichment	, 173	•	
· ·	Totals	-9 5	•		1,939	
1969-70		66	Adult Enrichment	967.		
	~	8	High School Equivalency	146		
		Ŭ	(English/Spanish)	140	•	
~•	•	47	Student Enrichment	981	•	
	thr	16	Senior Citizen			
•	•			182.	•	
	Totals	$\frac{4}{141}$	Recreation	152	0 / 00	
•	IOCAIS	141			2,428	
1970-71		50	Adult Enrichment	718		
•		. 9	Adult Basic Education .	168		
		6	High School Equivalency	179	• *	
•		11	Student Enrichment	422		
		2	Senior Citizen	. 54		
2		ĩ	Recreation		•	
,	Totals	79	WE'CL GGC TOIL	50	1 501	
	100413	, ,		۱ غو	1,591	
1971-72	•	58	Adult Enrichment	. 866	-	
			& Recreation		,	ŧ
	•	9	Adult Basic Education	215		
, •		[‡] 6	High School Equivalency	239		
		26	Student Enrichment	1,103		
C	` ,	11	Senior Citizens	208		
- \ ^f .	Totals	110			2,631	
•					2,001	
1972-73		>200ª	Adult Enrichment	>2,000 ^a		
	•	700	& Recreation	- 2,000		•
		8	Adult Basic Education	198		
. `		>8	High School Equivalency			
	,	- 0	(English & Spanish)	214		
4		. /.		20		
)	·	_ A	Accredited Evening H.S.	30 		
ં ત	•	30	Senfor Citizens	>200 ^a		
, 4	Totals	7757B	Student Enrichment	2,545		
•	rocara	~403		.>	5,187	
_	•					

^aThe Annual Report for 1972-73 reported these figures as more than the numerals indicated.

TABLE 1 (continued)

	\		• • •		•
YEAR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NUMBEI	AND TYPE OF PROJECT	ENR	OLLMENT
. 1973-74	•	+60	Adult Enrichment	2,375	
		10	Adult Basic Education	244	•
/	-	6.	▼		
	, ,	.*	(English & Spanish)		
	\	4		22	,
		4	Naturalization (ESL &	123	
▼ .	•	•	Citizenship)	LLJ	
	•	61	Special Programs	15,578	• •
•		25		6,589	
		56	Student Enrichment	. 9,627	•
v	Totals	$\frac{36}{226}$		- 3,047	34',708
·	, o caro	4.20			54,700
1974-75		92	Adult Enrichment	3,241	
	•	2**	& Recreation	9 + 4 T	
	. 1	10	Adult Basic Education	228	
	•	6.			
	•	.4	Accredited Evening H.S.	25	
• *		6	Naturalization	268	
	•	62	Student Enrichment	2,726	*
\$	•	34	Senior Citizen Program		÷
		73	Special Programs		
	Totals	$\frac{73}{287}$	obactat itograms	37,791	57 902
	rocars	1.07	•		57,803
1975–76		104	Adult Enrichment	4,618	
		~0,	& Recreation	4,010	•
3 *		10	AduIt Basic Education	232	
*		6	High School Equivalency		
		ŭ	(English & Spanish)		• •
• •		4	Accredited Evening H.S.	26	•
		- 4	Naturalization	188	
•		51	Student Enrichment	3,506	
	•	27	Senior Citizens	NA ^b	
,X		73	Special Programs	NAb	•
	Totals	279			>8,873 ^c
•					, 0,075
1976-77		107	Adult Enrichment	5,073	
•	\		& Recreation	3,073	
	•	10	Adult Basic Education	161	
•	1	6	High School Equivalency	395	
7	<i>[</i>		(English & Spanish)	,	
,	,	. 4	Accredited Evening H.S.	20	• •
		4	Naturalization	253	•
		50	Student Enrichment	3,754	
`		>21	Senior Citizens	NAb	
		50	Special Programs	NA b	
-	Totals	> 252	v .		>9,656 ^c
			•		J, 000.

bEnrollment figures were not available.

CThis total is incomplete as figures were not available for two major programs.

TABLE 1 (continued)

YEAR .		NUMBER	AND TYPE OF PROJECT	ENR	OLIMENT
1977-78	4	103	Adult Enrichment & Recreation	5,873	<i>y</i> .
·		.10 6	Adult Basie Education High School Equivalency	186 299	
•			(English & Spanish)	299	
		2	Accredited Evening H.S. Naturalization	16	, ,
•	•	85 17	Student Enrichment .'	8,758	
		. 55	Senior Citizens Special Programs	11,462 34,794	•
	Totals	282		,	61,432

Data for the Summer Recreation Program (SRP) were obtained from the Annual Reports. While the SRP has a separate budget, it is regarded as the summer extension of the Community School, as it has the same director, and services the same community. Table 2 clearly indicates the broad outreach of the SRP to a total of 147,306 contacts with participants in eight playgrounds with 17 different activities. The range of activities offered over the years is displayed in Table 3 and spans from Arts and Crafts to Women's Softball League, both of which have been offered every summer since 1967.

Impact of the Program

Comparative data were obtained for the ICS which asked the reader to indicate the extent to which they agreed with 20 positive statements related to the goals, objectives and activities of the community education program. The ratings of twelve of these statements dealing with impact of the program are included in Table 4. The three respondent groups were:

(1) twenty community residents, all of whom were currently, or at some time in the past, actively involved with the administration and/or management of the program; (2) the 13 staff members in the Adult Basic Education (ABE) program component who were at the school the evening the authors were on-site; and (3) 72 participant responses (37 students in the ABE programs who completed the ICS during the on-site visit, and 35 participants who returned the mailed ICS).

TABLE 2.

SUMMARY OF PROGRAM ACTIVITIES, SITES AND PARTICIPANTS FOR THE LAKEWOOD SUMMER RECREATION PROGRAM FROM 1966-67 TO 1977-78

		•	b.
YEAR	NO. OF PLAYGROUNDS	NO. OF ACTIVITIES	TOTAL VISITATIONS ^a FOR ENTIRE PROGRAM
1966	3	6	12,320 ^b
1967	4	} 8.	68,212
1.1968	' 6	14	70,514
1969 ′	3	9	77,630
1970	4 🌦	8	75,380
1971	6	13	104,981
1972	6	14	120,225
1973	6	15	114,570
1974	7	18	133,091
1975	8	19	156,880
1976	7	17	161,592
1'977	8 (17	158,375
1978	8	17	147,306

aTotal number of contacts with participants. bNot including beach visitations

SUMMARY OF LAKEWOOD SUMMER RECREATION PROGRAM ACTIVITIES FROM 1966 TO 1978

A second		r		<u> </u>	·							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ACTIVITY	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978
Arts and Crafts	7 - 9 -	х	, x	х	х	ж	х	х	х	x	х	х	ж
Basketball Clinic	х	х	ж	х	х	х	х	х	×	X	ж	×	
Basketball League	ж	х	ж	х	ж	x	ж	х	х	х х	x	Ж.	— <u>~</u> х
Ed Carleton Baseball League									Х	×	×	х	×
Creative Dramatics								·			- "	X	×
Day Camp	Y	ж	ж.	х	x*	ж	· x	x	*	х	х	×	x
Field Trips/Transportation		х	х					х	ж ,		<u>X</u>	, X	X
Football Clinic				,					х		х		
Junior Baseball			,					х	х	х	x	7	
Men's Softball	х	ж	Х.	х	х	ж	х	х	ж	ж	×	х	Х
Movies		ж	*								^ -		<u> </u>
Music		ж	ж	х	ж	×	, х	x	ж	х	x	X	Х
Pee Wee Baseball					ж	х	ж	х	×	x	x	<u>x</u>	×
Physical Fitness	` ж	×	х	х	x	х	x	x	 x	×		X	х
Playgrounds	ж	х	х	х	ж	x	ж	х	×	x	×	- X	×
Princeton Teen Avenue Center		•				ж	х	x	x'		x		
Puppetry		х			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~					' '		*	
Soccer	ı	₹,x		· ,		Ţ,							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Special Activities			•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	x	x	х	ж	×	ж	×	ж
Summer Olympics		ж	ж			·							
Swimming/Waterfront	х	x	х	х	х	х	х	ж `	×	х	×	×	x
Tennis Instruction			х							x	×	×	×
Tennis Program		,	x		1			7	ж	x	$\frac{\hat{x}}{x}$	×	X
Women's Softball League	х	х	ж	х	ж	ж	×	х	ж	x	х	x	×

္ဂ

25

TABLE 4

DISTRIBUTION OF RATINGS ON ITEMS RELATED TO IMPACT OF THE COMMUNITY SCHOOL BY GROUP, IN PROPORTIONS

			CTPANT 72 ^a)				AFF *13)		ÇO.	TIUNIT'	Y REST =20)	DENT
ITEMS		Unde- cided		Not Apply		Unde- cided				Unde- cided	. ***	Does Not Apply
1. I have met the community school coordinator and feel comfortable talking with him/her.	87	4	.3	6	100			**************************************	95	\	· -	5
 I have made new friends in optional^c program activities. 	* * 85	3	5	7	84	8.		8	. 47.5		·	
3. A/list of optional program activities is provided for community residents.	80	8	.4	. 8	100			•	95.	-	_	5
4. I feel more comfortable going to the school since the initiation of the optional program.	7,8	10	2	10	54	23	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	23	65		5	30
5. The types of optional program activities offered reflect the problems and needs of the community. 6. My impression of the school has improved	72	13	5	10	• 77	8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 3	95	•		5,
as a result of the optional program. 7. The optional program has helped our	68	18	4	10 "	,77	15	-, .	8	90	5	· -	5
8. There is better communication between the school and community as a result of the optional program.*	68 66 -	22	4	6	62 - 92	15 8		23	95 ~ 95	•	·	5
9. The community is involved in planning special events offered in the school.*	66	N 9	1	14	92	8	_ .		90		-	, 5
10. The community school coordinator listens to suggestions from people in the community.**	57	15	· 3	15	9 2	- , ;		8	95	· .	 	5
11. Some community problems are being addressed through optional program activities.**	54	21	. 7	18	77.	8		15	95	-	_	5
12. Community residents assist in determining the activities offered in the optional program.**	52	32	5	iı .	77	8	_ ``	15	95		:	5^

Of the 85 participants who responded to the questionnaire, 72 also responded to the Rating Scale. This response category also includes no response, and or, did't understand statement.

Optional program refers to activities offered by the Community School.

ERIChificant difference between positive ratings at positive ratings at 00 105 level.

In Looking at these data, two facts were immediately First, was the strong positive impact of the program as demonstrated by more than half (52%) of all. respondents, regardless of group, assigning positive ratings to each of the program components represented by the statements. This finding is accentuated by looking at the proportions of respondents who selected the negative The other choices, "Undecided," and "Does Not Apply," mostly reflect a lack of familiarity with the content of the statement. Secondly, for half of the items (Numbers 7-12), significantly larger proportions of the community residents and/or staff selected positive ratings than did the participants. This is understandable when the relation of each of these groups to the program is considered. Many of these community residents were responsible for initiating and nurturing the community school. Likewise, staff motivation predisposed them to view the program very favorably. (It should be noted here that several members of both these groups had indicated perceived program weaknesses and suggestions for improvement in response to the open-ended questionnaire. Those findings were reported elsewhere in this report.)

The participant ratings attest to the positive effects the program has had on their lives. They have met and feel comfortable with the top person in the program (87%), have made new friends (85%), and feel more comfortable in the school (78%). Not only do they believe that the Community

Chi square test revealed significant differences between the positive ratings for items 7-12.

School Program can help the community (68%), but that the community has an influence on the program insofar as the activities reflect the needs of the community (72%), the improvement of communication between school and community (66%), and community involvement in suggesting (57%) and planning activities (52%).

It is obvious that the Lakewood Community School has been successful in varying degrees in meeting their goals. The first goal for dealing with greater utilization of the Township's schools has been largely satisfied. Nevertheless, there were some indications that all neighborhood schools should have program offerings to overcome the lack of public transportation.

By looking at the number of people who participated in the activities (Table 1), it is obvious that the program responded to the needs and interests of the community which was the intent of the second goal. The only area where an unmet need was indicated, was in the provision of local vocational training programs. The existence of such programs in neighboring towns does not seem to be of any consequence, as the Lakewood minorities in need of occupational skills do not attend those schools. The reasons cited were mainly no means of transportation and feeling uncomfortable and out-of-place in those communities.

The third goal addressed the improvement of community relations and communication. The opinions of the people interviewed, which included representatives of the Black and

Hispanic groups, were favorable. Also, there have not been any serious conflicts or demonstrations by minority groups in recent years. This may be, in part, attributable to the program and/or partially due to the fact that the "times" have changed. Some references were made to the fact that people from all segments of the community were working side-by-side in many courses, such as in the woodworking class. These types of contacts are generally beneficial in improving community relations and inter-group understanding.

The objective which still requires concentrated effort, according to the data, is in recruiting more minority group residents into the program. A relevent comment made by an interviewee was that if the program offered activities in which they were interested, i.e., vocational areas, there would be greater participation by the "hard core minority unemployed." This may be the case as 91% of the neighborhood people surveyed were aware of the program already. While the sampling of 34, for local residents who completed the Neighborhood Awareness Questionnaire may not be representative of the entire community, one would assume there was a general familiarity with the program throughout all segments of the population.

Conclusion

The major purposes of this paper were to (1) field-test the CIPP planning/evaluation model, and (2) to look at the community education program in Lakewood. While the Lakewood Community School was not in the planning stage suggested by

the model, there was a definite advantage to superimposing the various component parts on the existing program. It provided a structure and logic to the programmatic considerations which would be of great benefit to a new planned and/or initiated community education program. The built-in evaluation features would provide necessary feedback and could ameliorate organizational problems and assist in future planning. As for the Lakewood Community School Program which embodies the eight community education elements, as well, as, the T & E components, the evidence has proven that it is an effective program in that it has satisfied the "must criteria," as well as the goals which constituted the underlying constructs of the program.

At present, there are eleven districts in New Jersey with seed money from the Mott Foundation, who are planning community education programs. All of them are utilizing this model. We anticipate that we will have even more evidence as to the utility of the CIPP system to community education in the next few years.

References

- Report of the New Jersey Task Force on Community

 Education. New Jersey State Department of Education,
 Trenton, New Jersey, August 1978.
- Stufflebeam, D.L., Foley, W.J., Gephart, W.J., Guba, K.G., Hammond, R.L., Merriman, H.O., and Provus, M.M., Educational Evaluation and Decision Making in Education. Itasca, III.: Peacock, 1971.
- Wood, G.S. and Santellanes, D.A., Evaluating A Community Education Program. Pendekl Publishing Co., Midland, Michigan, 1973.

APPENDIX

Instruments

		, ,	,	DATE	:	•	. 1	
duai	stion program	is part of an There are education ha	the pur	poses for	our effort	et (1) t	o find o	ut what
Awyr	ation model	with a commu	ndty edu	extion pr	ottam.	io Arara	case s h	## CYCUTAL
atio	on in the pro	l to be inter ogram. It is sappreciate	anticle	ated that	this inter	ibution t	o and/or take ap	partici~ proximatel
•							a ,	. <i>J</i> .
ame:	·		(h .1				
PFII	LIATION:							
ø								
naói	LVEMENT IN CO	OUDS TITHUMAK	: NOI TA				4	
	•	from when			to		,	
	•	how long (Count, ye	ars)				;
ą.	Describe in	volvement:	. [•			y	i
V	How in	nitisted?	,		•	•		
•	٠ .				•		i	
	•		1.00				•	
	Nature	of involven	ant?	•	: .	,	•	
	٠,	•	•		•		,	•
	. :	i	-	, -			•	
٠.		Inion, what w Irst became i				te of the	communi	ty
	, r		•		,			n .
	` ` ` `	•			•			•
	T	inion, have t	hose nise	de end/or	interests			
•	_	,			ifts regard	ing see	*	*
	a) (e., popu S group,		Ties referd	Tuk ska!	tace,	
	•							•
,		·	_			•	•	•
	b)	been to some community edu	degree m	et in an	ongoing fac	hion thro	ugh the	<u>.</u>
		•	•	•	•		•	
		1			. 0	•	*1	
			. •		•			
	Is the proj	gram sensitiv	re to che	nging nee	ds and goal	s?		
,	•	Yes	· •		No `		-	·
	If en	, how?		,		,	t	
	. 11 30	, nont	• .	· .	• • •			
	•	•		•	9 . 1. X.,	- •	•	
		•	•		\	* ,	. .	
				a :		•	•	

*Portions of these materials were excerpted from Evaluating A Community Education Program, by George S. Wood, Jr. and David A. Santellanes (Pendell Publishing Co., Midland, Michigan 48640, 1973).

Reprinted with permission,

.5. In your opinion, what needs are still unmut and why? Any documentation?

6. How do you believe these unmet needs can be addressed?

7. To the best of your knowledge, which community groups have been served?

8. How did the school become involved in the process? i.e., Were the teachers involved in planning? Was it voluntary? Etc.

9. How did the community become involved in the process? Was the community invited to participate? If so, how?

10. In your opinion, how can community involvement be expanded to reach all resident groups

11. To the best of your knowledge, what facilities and resources support the community education process in Lakewood?

12. What is your general impression of the overall program?

13. What, in your opinion, is the range of activities and services provided?

Excellent

(

Good_

Satisfactory

Poor Inadequate_

Please explain your choice.

<u>;</u>	4. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	* 4			*
•		NAMR1		all ones as a parameter of the same state of the
				•
To the best of your cooperated to enhan	knowledge, how	have other agenci y education proces	ns either suppo e in Lakewood?	orted or
e in the comm	unity! What ag	engles?		

- e external to the community? What agencies?
- 15. How do you think we might increase interagency cooperation, should the need exist?
- 16. In your opinion, what other forces support the community education process?
- 17. In your opinion, what forces detract and/or inhibit the community education process?
- 18. In your opinion, what are the strengths of the program?
- 19. In your opinion, what are the weaknesses of the program?
- 20. In your opinion, how might the program be improved.
- 21. ANY OTHER COMMENTS?

MARKE OF THE LAKEWOOD COMMINE TOUGHTON PROFESSION

Please road each ecatoment and circle the response which best represents your feelings.

•	COMMUNITY RESIDENT	**************************************	ij	ecidad	علازد و	7. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
,		, <u>H</u>	*	3	d.	
λ.	I understand the concept of community education.	5A	Ą	UN	D	รบ
₿.	I understand my role in community education.	. 8 A	" . A	เพ	D	5 0
Ċ.	I was involved in the development of goals and objectives for the optional program. **	\$A	A	เม	٥	
1:	I participate in activities offered by the optional program.	- 8 A	٨	UN	D	S D
2.	I feel more comfortable going to the school since the ini- tiation of the optional program.	SA	A	ักม	D	\$D
3.	I have met the community school coordinator and feel comfortable talking with him/her.	- 5 A	Ä	. เพ	٠,0	SD
	My children participate in autivities provided by the optional program.	5 A	٨	บท	D	sn
5.	My children enjoy school more since the initiation of the optional program.	\$ A	٨	UN	.0	80
5.	There is better communication between the school and community as a result of the optional program.	5 A	λ ^φ	บท	D	.» S D
7.	Some community problems are being addressed through optional program activities.	SA	٨	UN	D	\$ D
8.	Gommunity residents are helping lead optional program activities.	84	A	พบ	D.	30
9.	I have seen the community school coordinator working with residence in the community.	Sa	k	UN	D	SD
10.	Community residents assist in determining the activities offered in the optional program.	.SA	, A	เห	D	\$D
11.	My impression of the school has improved as a result of the optional program.	9.4	A -	ÙN	D	gD.
12.	The types of optional program activities offered reflect the problems and needs of the community.	\$1	A'	บห	D	SD
13.	Adults, as well as children, are participating in the optional program.	SA ·	A	UN.	D	SD
14.	The participation of local residents in the required program has increased since the initiation of the optional program.	SA	A	UN	D	3 D
15.	The optional program tries not to duplicate services offered by other agencies in the community.	` SA	λ .	บท	D	SD
16,	The optional program has helped our community in ways other than programs.	SA	٨	UN	D	SD
17.	The community school coordinator listens to suggestions from people in the community.	18/	A .	UN .	D .	SD
18,	I have made now friends in optional program activities.	SA	٨	บท	n ·	80
19	Λ list of optional program activities is provided for community residents.	SA	A	UN	D	\$D
20. :	The community is involved in planning special events offered in the school.	24 .	A [']	W.	D	\$υ

^{*}Portions of these materials were excerpted from <u>Syaluating A Community Education Program</u>.
by George S. Wood, Jr. and David A. Santallanes (Pendell Publishing Co., Midland, Michigan 48640, 1973).
**The optional program refers to the courses, activities and programs offered by the Lakewood Community School Program.

LAKEWOOD COMMUNITY SCHOOL PROGRAM

STAFF EVALUATION QUESTIONS*

(op	cional)	~			*						•
) TR	ECTIONS:	We are Please	interes be cand:	ted in	your 1	response	* to 1	the fol	lowing	okt ee up	ns.
			• .			•			•	•	••
ι,	If _# you program	had full	l author: tivities,	lty to , what	make o	changes	in oui	r prese	nt comm	mity •	ahoo1
							1	•		•	
		• .	•	•	•		/	•			
•		.•	æ	•	•				**		
<u>.</u>	Uhan: aa	*	w.			,		! 1	.		
i-	involve		ations do	you r	HEVE CO) Macura	ang c	ieverob	more co	mmunic	, y
					,					•	
									¥		
						•					•
	What st		, in you	jud g u	ient, s	re pres	ent in	our	urrent p)rogram	l •
					,			•			
			•			-					
						•					
	•				. <				•		
			a, 1 n you	ır [∷] jud g	ment,	Ate pre	ent i	n our	ourrent	progra	
	operati	on#?							•		
			•			• .		•		-,	
	•	-					,				
			•	÷						•	
		ř					÷			_	
•		reneths, personi	, in your nel?	jud g m	ent, e	re pres	ent in	the p	erforman	ice of	our
	, -	•							•		
	•		•								
		• •			•	•			<i>;</i>		
`.	·				Α,	• •					,
	What we	aknesse	, ia you	r tude	ment.	are pres	ent 1	n the	performs	nge of	
			rsonnel?	- J	,,, - 13 - 4	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
						•	•	,			•
	•							•			- ,
	· .	•	•		٠	54		4 ,		•	
	***	,					•				2
	COMMENTS	3 i					•	•			
•	•	•								AND .	
	ų ,				*				、		•
	√		·					٠,	•	•	
				• •							

IMPRESSIONS OF THE LAKEWOOD COMMUNITY EDUCATION PROGRAMA

Please read each statement and circle the response which best represents your feelings.

	STAFF PERSONNEL	reely		ecided	4	orelly Serve
		136	19		.b	T T
λ.	I understand the concept of community education.	3A	٨	UN	D	80
В.	I understand my role in community education.	84	Α.	UN	D	8D
c.	I was involved in the development of goals and objectives for the optional program. **	. 8A	٨	UN	ø	8 D
1.	I participate in activities offered by the optional program.	8A	A	UN	D	5 D
2.	I feel more comfortable going to the school since the ini- tiation of the optional program:	BA	A ,	ON	D	\$D
.3.	I have met the community school coordinator and feel comfortable talking with him/her.	8A	A	UN	Ď,	5D
4.	My children participate in activities provided by the optional program.	5A	Ą	บท	đ	SD
5.	My children enjoy school more since the initiation of the optional program.	`8A		บท	D	8D
6.	There is better communication between the school and community as a result of the optional program.	8A	A	UN	ď	SD
7.	Some community problems are being addressed through optional program activities.	SA	A	אט	D	.8D ·
8,0	Community residents are helping lead optional program activities.	84	A	ŊŅ	D	5D
9.	I have seen the community school coordinator working with residents in the community.	SA		UN	D	. 2 D
10.	Community residents assist in determining the activities offered in the optional program.	, SA	A	UN	D •	.8D
11.	My impression of the school has improved as a result of the optional program.	5 A	A	UN	D	S D
12.	The types of optional program activities offered reflect the problems and needs of the community.	8A	A	אט	D	8D
13.	Adults, as well as children, are participating in the optional program.	SA	A _	UN	D	SD
14.	The participation of local residents in the required program has increased since the initiation of the optional program.	/8A	A ·	UN	D	\$D
15.	The optional program tries not to duplicate services offered by other agencies in the community.	SA .	A	UN	Ď	\$D
16.	The optional program has helped our community in ways other than programs.	SA'	A	UN	D	\$D
17.	The community school coordinator listens to suggestions from people in the community.	8A	, .	UN	D	SD
18.	I have made new friends in optional program activities,	SA	A	บท	D	5 D
19.	A list of optional program activities is provided for community residents.	SA	A	UN .	D	SD
20.	The community is involved in planning special events offered in the school.	SA	A	UN	D	SD .

^{*}Portions of these materials were excerpted from <u>Evaluating A Community Education Program</u>, by George S. Wood, Jr. and David A. Santellanes (Fendell Publishing Co., Midland, Michigan 48640, 1973).
**The optional program refers to the courses, activities and programs offered by the Lakewood Community School Program.

PARTICIPANT QUESTIONNAIRE*

This questionnaire has been sent to a number of people who have participated in one or more courses and/or activities offered by the Lakewood Community School Program, as part of an effort to determine the impact of the program.

Please take a few minutes of your time to respond to the following questions. It would be greatly appreciated if you complete and return this questionnairs in the enclosed self-addressed, postage-paid envelope, no later than <u>JANVARY 15</u>, 1979.

Thank you very much for your comperation.

1.	NAME:	PHONK:	ęs .	* · · · ·
	ADDRESS:			
2.	OCCUPATION:	City	State	Zip Code
3.	SEX: Hale' Female			
4.	AGE: Under 20	4050		
)	30-40	Over 60		
5.	MARITAL STATUS: Single	Married		
6.	RESIDENCY: City C	ounty	Other	
7. ·	How many years have you lived in your no less than 3 years from 3 to 6 years	eighborhood: from 7 to 10 years over 10 years		
TO.	In what activities appropried by the Leke have you been involved? (Check all that Accredited College Program Accredited Evening High School Adult Basic Education Program Adult Enrichment and Recreation Daytime Adult Learning Center OTHER:	t apply) English migh So Program (GED) Senior Citizen Spanish (GED) Student Enrichs	chool Equival	•ncy
9.	How long have you been participating in Program(s)? less than 1 year from 1			,,
10.	Overall, in approximately how many difference involved? 1 to 3' 4 to 8	erent courses or prog	grame have yo	
11.	Overall, were you satisfied with the pro-	ogram?	No	
12.	How would you rate the following items of (1 - Poor, 2 - Fair, 3 - Good, 4 - V location of course(s) or active equipment and Tacilities competence of instructor overall supervision cost of program	ery Good, 5 7 Excell	5? ent)	1
13.	Do you plan to participate in future pro Yes N Please specify:	ogram dourses and/or	activities?	

^{*}Portions of these materials were excerpted from <u>Evaluating A Community Education Program</u>, by George S. Wood, Jr. and David A. Santellanes (Pendell Publishing Co., Midland, Michigan 48640, 1973);

	l	/m.			•
	PART	ICIPANT QUESTIONNAIRE 2	*	NAME:	······································
· •				1	•
	14.	How did you find out about Newspaper Radio Poster Brochures	the program?	(Check all that My dhild A neighbor A friend Another agency	apply)
₽	•	Oth	Ar I		(mmm)
4•	15.	Why did you enroll in the		*	-
	•			•	
٠				•	
•					
5 ·	16.	Approximately how far do your life in the part of the	•	he program you at 2 miles more miles	tended? (Check one)
٥		Standard Control of the Control of t	.*		
	17.	What did you like best about	ut the program	•	
•				J	•
•	•	•	` ` `	•	•
		•	J		
•		•			i i
	18.	What did you like least about	out the progra	mî ,	
· •	, d		:	/	

If you had an opportunity to change or improve the program, what would you do?

20. Has your life been affected in any way by your participation in the program? (Example: GED enabled me to get a better job.)

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

NAME :

General Impressions of the Lakewood Community Education Program

Please weed each statement and circle the response which best represents your feeling.

	PROGRAM PARTICIPANT	strongly agree	agree	under 1 ded	disagree	stronely dimegree
1.	I participate in activities offered by the optional program.	SA	A	UN	D	8 D
2.	I feel more comfortable going to the school since the ini- tiation of the optional program.	*8A	A	UN	0	8 D
3.	I have met the community school coordinator and feel comfortable talking with him/her.	5A	A	UN	D	8D
, 4 ,	My children participate in activities provided by the optional program.	5A	A	UN	D	18D
5.	My children enjoy school more since the initiation of the optional program.	SA		พ	D D	5 D
6.	There is better communication between the school and community as a result of the optional program.	SA	A	UN	◆p ·	\$D
7. Or	Some community problems are being addressed through optional program activities.	SA	A	UN	D	SD
8.	Community residents are helping lead optional program activities.	. 8 A	A	יאט	D	SD
9.	I have seen the community school coordinator working , with residents in the community.	SA .	A	UN	D	8D
10.	. Community residents assist in determining the activities offered in the optional program.	8A	A	UN	D	SD
ıi.	My impression of the achool has improved as a result of the optional program.	SA	٨	UN:	D.	SD
12.	The types of optional program activities offered reflect the problems and needs of the community.	SA	A	UN	D	8 D
13.	Adults, as well as children, are participating in the optional program.	SA	A	ŰN,	D	SD.
14	The participation of local residents in the required program has increased since the initiation of the optional program.	SÃ	A	UN	D.	SD
143 p		•			, `	
15	The optional program bries not to duplicate services offered offered by other agencies in the community.	SA	٨	UN	Ď.	5 D
16.	The optional program has helped our community in ways other than programs.	SA	A	UN	D	\$D
17.	The community school coordinator listens to suggestions from people in the community.	8A	A	UN	D	8D.
18.	I have made new friends in optional program activities.	SA	Å	ИN	D	SD .
19.	A list of optional program activities is provided for community residents.	SA	A '.	บูท	D	\$ D
20.	The dommunity is involved in planning special events offered in the school.	SA ,	A	UN	D .	\$D

WThe optional program refers to the courses, activities and programs offered by the Lakawood Community School Program.



LAKEWOOD COMMUNETY SCHOOL PROGRAM

NEIGHBORHOOD AWARENESS QUESTIONNAIRE*

If answer is "yes" go on to Question 3 and complete the survey. If answer is "no" go on to Question 2. Are you sware of activities for students and adults, such as, Auto Mechanics, Karate, Spead Reading, Upholstering, Slimmastics and Breadmaking? If answer is "yes" go on to Question 3 and complete survey. If answer is still "no" give interviewed descriptive flyer. New did you learn about the program? (Mark an X for each method interviewed responds to positively) Newspaper articles and pictures Posters Radio announcements by child Other Posters Prochures Hy child Other A neighbor. The community schools (community education) program is operated by: Can you name one or more different types of programs offered by the community schools, (community education) program? To boss the program offer people in your neighborhood an opportunity to be a pert of an advisory committee to help plan activities and future directions 'Tes No Don't know Don't know Don't know No	1.	Ara you aware of	the commu	mity #ahoo!	. (compun	ity aduca	tion) pr	OELWU :	ŗυ ,
If answer is "no" go on to Question 2. Are you sware of activities for students and adults, such as, Auto Machanics, Karate, Speed Reading, Upholstering, Slimmastics and Breadmaking? If answer is "yes" go on to Question 3 and complete survey. If answer is still "no" give interviewee descriptive flyer. 3. How did you learn about the program? (Mark an X for each method interviewee responds to positively) Newspaper articles and pictures A friand Postare Redio announcements Brochures Other A neighbor 4. The community schools (community education) program is operated by: 5. Can you name one or more different types of programs offered by the community schools (community education) program? 6. Do you know why the community education) program? 7. Does the program offer people in your neighborhood an opportunity to be a pert of an advisory, committee to help plan activities and future directions the program of the people in your neighborhood an opportunity to be a pert of an advisory, committee to help plan activities and future directions to be put on the mailing list, fill in the following: NOTE: If you wish to be put on the mailing list, fill in the following:		this community?	Yes		N	٥			
If answer is "yes" go bn to Question 3 and complete survey. If answer is "yes" go bn to Question 3 and complete survey. If answer is still "no" give interviewee descriptive flyer. 3. How did you learn about the program? (Mark an X for each method interviewee responds to positively) Newspaper articles and pictures Redio announcements Redio announcements Hy child Other A neighbor 3. The community schools (community education) program is operated by: 5. Can you name one or more different types of programs offered by the community schools (community education) program? 5. Do you know why the community education) program? 6. Do you know why the community education) program? 7. Does the program offer people in your neighborhood an opportunity to be a part of an advisory committee to help plan activities and future directions Tes No Don't know NOTE: If you wish to be put on the mailing list, fill in the following: NAME:							Late the	surve	. .
If answer is "yes" go bn to Question 3 and complete survey. If answer is "yes" go bn to Question 3 and complete survey. If answer is still 'no" give interviewee descriptive flyer. 3. How did you learn about the program? (Mark an X for each method interviewee responds to positively) Newspaper articles and pictures Redio announcements Redio announcements Hy child A neighbor 3. The community schools (community education) program is operated by: 5. Can you name one or more different types of programs offered by the community schools (community education) program? 5. Do you know why the community education) program? 5. Do you know why the community education) program? 6. Do you know why the community education) program? 7. Does the program offer people in your neighborhood an opportunity to be a part of an advisory committee to help plan activities and future directions The Don't know Note: No Don't know Note: No No Don't know Note: No No Note: No No Note: No No Note: No N			-		4	_			
If answer is still "no" give interviewes descriptive flyer. D. How did you learn about the program? (Mark an X for each method interviewes responds to positively) Newspaper articles and pictures	}.								chanics,
Newspaper articles and pictures		If answer:	le "yes" e le still "	o on to Que no" give i	stion 3	and comple a descrip	te surv	ey.	•
Newspaper paid advettains Brochures	3.			a programi	(Mark a	n X for e	ach mach	od inte	erviewee
Radio announcements My child A naighbor The community schools (community education) program is operated by: Can you name one or more different types of programs offered by the community schools (community education) program? Do you know why the community education) program? Tes		Nevapa	er articl	es and pion	ures		-	-	*
My child A naighbor The community schools (community education) program is operated by: Can you name one or more different types of programs offered by the community schools (community education) program? Do you know why the community education) program? Tes	•								
The community schools (community education) program is operated by: 5. Can you name one or more different types of programs offered by the community schools (community education) program? 5. Do you know why the community education) program? Tes				inc.	***************************************	·			
Can you name one or more different types of programs offered by the community schools (community education) program? Do you know why the community schools (community education) program is operating here? Tes	_			•				<u> </u>	•
Can you name one or more different types of programs offered by the community schools (community education) program? Do you know shy the community schools (community education) program is operating here? Tes		•				4			•
Can you name one or more different types of programs offered by the community schools (community education) program? Do you know shy the community schools (community education) program is operating here? Tes	١.	The community sch	100 1s (co	munity educ	ation) p	rogram is	operate	d by:	
Do you know why the community schools (community education) program is operating here? Yes No If yes, explain: No Don't know No No Don't know No Don't kno		•	•	•		` -	•	• • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	٠ د
Do you know why the community schools (community education) program is operating here? Yes No			£						
Do you know why the community schools (community education) program is operating here? Yes No			*	٠	•	-		•	• .
Do you know why the community schools (community education) program is operating here? Yes No									
Do you know why the community schools (community education) program is operating here? Yes No If yes, explain: Does the program offer people in your neighborhood an opportunity to be a part of an advisory committee to help plan activities and future directions. Yes No Don't know No	•	•	•	•	•		•	,	
Do you know why the community schools (community education) program is operating here? Yes	3.						fored b	y the	•
Do you know why the community schools (community education) program is operating here? Yes						•			•
Do you know why the community schools (community education) program is operating here? Yes No					ŕ				
TesNo				٠,				•	* .
TesNo					,			Š	٠ ,
TesNo					•				
Yes No	3 .	Do you know why	the commun	ity #chool:	· (commun:	ity educat	:10n) pr	ogram i	l s
If yes, explain: 7. Does the program offer people in your neighborhood an opportunity to be a part of an advisory committee to help plan activities and future directions The No Don't know				-		1		· .	•
If yes, explain: Does the program offer people in your neighborhood an opportunity to be a part of an advisory committee to help plan activities and future directions. The			Yes		, N	n			
Nome: Nome the program offer people in your neighborhood an opportunity to be a part of an advisory committee to help plan activities and future directions. No		•			,≱.	·	4		
a part of an advisory committee to help plan activities and future directions Yes No Don't know NOTE: , If you wish to be put on the mailing list, fill in the following:		If yes, expl	Lain:		<u>-</u>				
a part of an advisory committee to help plan activities and future directions. Yes No Don't know NOTE: , If you wish to be put on the mailing list, fill in the following:	•	-	•			•,	•		
a part of an advisory committee to help plan activities and future directions. Yes No Don't know NOTE: , If you wish to be put on the mailing list, fill in the following:	٠,	•							,
a part of an advisory committee to help plan activities and future directions Yes No Don't know NOTE: , If you wish to be put on the mailing list, fill in the following:		ń				•			
a part of an advisory committee to help plan activities and future directions. Yes No Don't know NOTE: , If you wish to be put on the mailing list, fill in the following:	,	· ,		1_ 4		 h			L .
NOTE: . If you wish to be put on the mailing list, fill in the following:	<i>,</i>					activities	and fu	ture di	
NOTE: . If you wish to be put on the mailing list, fill in the following:		Yna		No		F Don	t know_		
NAME:									
	WE:		to be put	on the mai	elf gnit. A	c, fill in	i the fo	LL OWIN	ţ ī
			[_ *** ,	 			
		, tonitable	······································				 ,		i'
	•				3		<u> </u>	• •-	

Prortions of these materials were excerpted from Evaluating A Community Education Program, by George S. Wood, Jr. and David A. Santellanes (Pendell Publishing Co., Midland, Michigan 48640, 1973).

