# DOCUMENT RESUME

TH 007 542 ED 175 873

Kaufman, Barry A.: And Others AUTHOR

Standardization of a Piajet-Based Pre-School TITLE

Teachers' Beliefs Inventory.

f 751 PUB DATE

NOTE 18p\_

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

\*Attitude Tests: Behavior Theories: Beliefs: DESCRIPTORS \*Educational Theories: Pactor Analysis: Higher Education: Learning Theories: Predictive Validity: Preschool Education: \*Preschool Teachers: Rating

Scales: \*Teacher Attitudes: \*Test Construction: Test

Reliability: Test Validity

\*Piagetian Teachers Beliefs Inventory: \*Piagetian **IDENTIPIERS** 

Theory

### ABSTRACT

A twenty-four item inventory was constructed and standardized in order to develop an instrument which measures the degree to which a preschool teacher adheres to Piaget's epistemological belief system. Using two criterion groups of teachers and graduate students -- one Piagetian and one behaviorist -- the inventory exhibited the ability to discriminate between Piagetians and non-Piagetians. Furthermore, a high degree of internal consistency was evidenced. The rating scale, entitled the Pre-School Teachers' Beliefs Inventory, is appended. (RD)

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document. <u>\_</u>

U 5. DEPARTMENT OF NEALTN. EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO.
OUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION DRIGIN:
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED OD NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

STANDARDIZATION OF A PLAGET-BASED PRE-SCHOOL TEACHERS' BELIEFS INVENTORY

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

TO THE EUULATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER IERICI AND USERS OF THE ERIC SYSTEM "

Barry A. Kaufman Alan Frelich Michael Edenhart-Pepe

Graduate Institute of Education Washington University St. Louis, Mo. 63130

# INTRODUCTION

The essence of Piaget's theory is primarily epistemological in nature. Piaget's singular concern is with the development of the relationship between the knower and the known. Genetic epistemology is directed toward the understanding of the nature of human knowing through a study of the development of knowledge during childhood. It is Piaget's belief that the different intellectual stages of childhood are characterized by different conceptions of the world, and therefore different qualitative levels of knowledge.

Central to Piaget's theory is the adherence to a constructivist epistemology. This means that knowledge must be viewed as an individualized construction. Individuals adapt their cognitive structures to unique perceptual data. All knowledge is relative to individual actions and permeated by subjectivity. The constructivist epistemology carries with it the notion that knowledge originates neither from the individual nor from the external environment, but rather the genesis of knowledge is the result of a mutual interaction of subject and object. Piaget views the acquisition of knowledge as a biological adaptation, in the sense that the development of logical structures is looked upon to be a natural sequential process. This is not to say that intellectual growth cannot be either retarded or facilitated by environmental conditions.

Recently, the developmental theory of Piaget has come to the forefront of early childhood education (Evans, 1975). A number of attempts have been made to implement Piaget's theory in the classroom (Hooper, 1974), but most of these attempts merely draw from Piaget's psychology, and tend to overlook the importance of his underlying epistemological concerns (Kaufman, 1975).



Implementation of a truly Piaget-based program must be accompanied by a strong belief in Piaget's constructivist epistemology.

The psychological perspective is sometimes sufficient for psychologists who use parts of Piaget's theory to study children. For educators, however, this view is too limited and c. a result in misapplications of the theory. Educators must understand Piaget's basic ideas about the nature of knowledge and the mechanisms of its development. (Kamii and DeVries, 1973)

It is with this notion in mind that an attempt to standardize an instrument that measures the degree to which one adheres to Piaget's epistemological belief system was undertaken.

### Review of the Literature

An early attempt at measuring a teacher's belief system was the "This I Believe" Test (TIB). Its purpose was to assess the degree to which a teacher's belief system was either concrete or abstract (Harvey, 1965, 1968). The TIB required the subjects to write answers to items such as "This I believe about religion" and "This I believe about friendship". These answers were then analyzed as to the relative abstractness or concreteness of their content. In addition to the TIB, Harvey constructed an objective measure of beliefs, the Conceptual Systems Test (CST). The CST was developed through factor analysis, and factors were found which were consistent with the major characteristics of the different levels of abstractness as measured by the TIB.

The Personal Beliefs Inventory was developed to determine the extent to which an individual's beliefs reflect Deweyian Experimentalism (Brown, 1968). Brown gathered approximately 1200 statements that were representative of Dewey's experimentalist-philosophy. Through the process of categorizing, gaining the acceptance of expert judges, and calculating effects on reliability, the number of statements was reduced to create a presentable instrument.

A previous attempt at measuria, Piagetian beliefs, the Teacher Belief Rating Scale, was undertaken by Verma and Peters (1975). Beginning with ten theoretical assumptions about the nature of children, development, and learning, Verma and Peters proceeded to choose five of these assumptions, and subsequently constructed six Likert-type items matched to each of them, three being consistent with Piagetian beliefs and three being consistent with operant beliefs. The final form contained twenty-four items, and two scores



Per subject were obtained by summing the Piagetian and operant items separately. Verma and Peters' measure of Piagetian beliefs does have some problems, as their calculations of internal consistency reliabilities are relatively low (.56 and .66 for the Piagetian and Operant scales respectively, N=38). Also their methodology used for validation is weak, as their three criterion groups total eleven subjects (Piagetian N=3, Operant N=3, and Other N=5). Clearly this measure of Piagetian beliefs could be improved upon.



# Instrument Construction

Initially, Piaget's major texts were gleaned in an attempt to ascertain clear cut statements of Piaget's constructivist epistemology. Direct quotations from Piaget's writings were paraphrased to form an initial pool of items. Each of the ninety-seven statements generated in this manner were then placed into one of four categories: (1) the nature of knowledge, (2) the nature of knowing, (3) the nature of representation and memory, and (4) the nature of development. This group of statements was then submitted to a panel of "Piagetian experts", composed of five professors and one pre-school project director; all strong proponents of Plaget's theory. The seventy statements which received approval from at least five of the six judges were retained in the pool and examined as a possibility for the preliminary form. After discarding grossly repetitive items, half of the remaining statements were modified to be directly contradictory to Piagetian epistemology so as to guard against response bias. The preliminary test form included sixty-two statements to be rated on a six point Likert Scale ranging from Strongly Agree to Strongly Disagree.

This preliminary form was then administered to a sample of 45 graduate and undergraduate students at Washington University, all of whom had clinical experience in early childhood education. After an examination of item-total correlations, and reliabilities of different sets of items, the final form of the PTBI containing twenty-four items was arrived upon. To insure that the content of this final form was representative of the entire construct, each of the four categories were represented by six items, three consistent with and three contrary to Piaget's epistemology. Test scores were obtained

by summing the item scores, which ranged from one to six. For items 1, 2, 4, 6, 9, 11, 12, 16, 19, 21, 22 and 24 a Strongly Agree was counted as six, while for the remaining items a Strongly Disagree was counted as six. High scores indicate strong adherence to Piagetian beliefs.

The completed form of the PTBI was then sent to two criterion groups, one Piagetian (N=16) and one Behaviorist (N=16). The Piagetians consisted of teachers from a Piaget Pre-School Education Program. The Behaviorists consisted of graduate students in a behavioral analysis program.



### Results

Reliability. From the sample of Washington University students (N=45), a measure of the internal consistency reliability of the PTBI was calculated using coefficient alpha (Cronbach, 1951), and found to be .81. A second determination of internal consistency reliability was based upon the sample composed of the two criterion groups (N=32). In this case, coefficient alpha was computed to be .98. Using the total sample (N-77), a third estimate of internal consistency reliability was found to be .95.

Predictive Validity. A point-biserial correlation was employed in order to assess the extent to which the PTBL could differentiate between Piagetians (N=16) and Behaviorists (N=16). This correlation was determined to be .851 (t=8.892, p < .001). Difference in the means of the two groups was substantial (118.2 and 58.5 for the Piagetian and Behaviorist means respectively). Moreover, there was no overlap between the two groups, as Piagetian scores ranged from 98 to 138, which Behaviorist scores all fell between 37 and 95.

<u>Factor Analysis</u>. An investigation into the factorial composition of the PTBI was undertaken using the total sample (N=77). Using the principal axes method with iterations, the initial extraction of twenty-four factors produced four factors with eigenvalues greater than 1.00 (Table 1).

Insert Table 1 about here.



Table 1

1       11.91327       49.6         2       2.22654       9.3         3       1.29893       5.4         4       1.08711       4.5         5       0.88162       3.7         6       0.75238       3.1         7       0.62886       2.6         8       0.61072       2.6         9       0.56749       2.4         10       0.54112       2.3         11       0.46952       2.0	49.6
3       1.29893       5.4         4       1.08711       4.5         5       0.88162       3.7         6       0.75238       3.1         7       0.62886       2.6         8       0.61072       2.6         9       0.56749       2.4         10       0.54112       2.3	47.0
4       1.08711       4.5         5       0.88162       3.7         6       0.75238       3.1         7       0.62886       2.6         8       0.61072       2.6         9       0.56749       2.4         10       0.54112       2.3	58.9
5       0.88162       3.7         6       0.75238       3.1         7       0.62886       2.6         8       0.61072       2.6         9       0.56749       2.4         10       0.54112       2.3	64.3
6 0.75238 3.1 7 0.62886 2.6 8 0.61072 2.6 9 0.56749 2.4 10 0.54112 2.3	68.9
7 0.62886 2.6 8 0.61072 2.6 9 0.56749 2.4 10 0.54112 2.3	72.5
8 0.61072 2.6 9 0.56749 2.4 10 0.54112 2.3	75.7
9 0.56749 2.4 10 0.54112 2.3	78.3
10 0.54112 2.3	80.8
	83.2
11 0 46052 2 0	85.5
11 0.40732 2.00	87.4
12 0.45765 1.9	89.3
13 0.39906 1.7	91.0
14 0.36875 1.5	92.5
15 0.33181 1.4	93.9
16 0.24038 1.0	94.9
17 0.23403 1.0	95.9
18 0.22081 0.9	96.8
19 0.20601 0.9	97.7
20 0.16603 0.7	98.3
21 0.12709 0.5	98.9
0.12077 0.5	99.4
23 0.08763 0.4	
24 0.06242 0.3	99.7



Again using principal components factoring with iterations, but restricting the number of factors to four, only two of the factors possessed eigenvalues greater than 1.00. Subsequently, two orthogonal factors were rotated using the varimax method, in order to obtain a more interpretable factor matrix. This matrix was analyzed to determine the possibility of the existence of two factors. Minimal evidence was found for the presence of a second factor composed of four to six items which reflect a strong behaviorist orientation. But subsequent analysis of a factor matrix containing only loadings on a single factor led to the more stable conclusion that the PTBI is a relatively pure measure of a single factor (Table 2).

Insert Table 2 about here.



Table 2

FACTOR 1
0.75712
0.83495
0.34941
0.77795
0.67947
0.75853
0.57966
0.69145
0.68129
0.47271
0.68655
0.76989
0.60020
0.61950
0.52017
0.85083
0.75761
0.86135
0.64564
0.71424
0.79536
0.55911
0.57956
0.75988



#### Discussion

The PTBI clearly possesses a high degree of reliability, while also exhibiting an excellent ability to discriminate between Pisgetiana and non-Pisgetians. The potential usefulness of an instrument of this kind is unlimited, as it could enable teachers and schools to recognize their beliefs concerning the epistemological issues that Pisget addresses. With Pisget's theory having become quite influential in American early childhood education, and with the notion that an adherence to Pisget's constructivist epistemology is necessary for successful pedagogical implementations of his theory, an instrument which is able to ascertain the degree to which an individual possesses Pisget's epistemological ideas has strong implications.

The PTBI could be used to determine what is fertile ground for implementations of Piaget-based educational programs. Evidently, the PTBI could enable the matching of an individual's belief system to a classroom style which is reflective of that belief system, which is a necessary step towards the unification of epistemological beliefs and educational practices.

Furthermore, comparing the measures of internal consistency of the two sample groups has appreciable suggestions. The sample composed of the two criterion groups, which is characterized by specific belief training, differs substantially from the group of Washington University students who lack systematic guidance concerning belief systems. This implies that training with respect to epistemological beliefs might lead to a more consistent, and therefore more meaningful, set of beliefs.



# **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Brown, B.B. THE EXPERIMENTAL MIND IN EDUCATION. New York: Harper and Row, 1968.
- Cronbach, L.J. "Coefficient Alpha and the Internal Structure of Tests", Psychometrika, #16, 1951.
- Evans, E. CONTEMPORARY INFLUENCES IN EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1975.
- Harvey, O.J. "Teachers' Belief Systems and Preschool Atmospheres", RESOURCES IN EDUCATION, 1965, ED 014 320.
- Harvey, O.J. "Teachers' Beliefs, Classroom, and Student Behavior", RESOURCES IN EDUCATION, 1968, ED 018 249.
- Hooper, F.H. and DeFain, J.D., THE SEARCH FOR A DISTINCTLY PLAGETIAN CONTRIBUTION TO EDUCATION. Madison, Wisconsin: Wisconsin Research and Development Center for Cognitive Learning, 1974.
- Kanii, C. and DeVries, R. "Piaget-Based Curricula for Early Childhood Education: Three Different Approaches". Presymposium paper read at the meeting of the Society for Research in Child Development, Philadelphia, April, 1973.
- Kaufman, B. "Will the Real Piaget Please Stand Up: An Epistemological Critique of Three Piaget-Based Early Childhood Curricula". RESOURCES IN EDUCATION, November, 1975, ED 108 751.
- Verma, S. and Peters, D. "Day-Care Teacher Practices and Beliefs", THE ALBERTA JOURNAL OF EDUCATION RESEARCH. March, 1975.



# PRE-SCHOOL TEACHERS' RELIEFS INVENTORY

This is a study of what people believe about the nature of knowledge, the acquisition of knowledge, and the representation of knowledge. Each statement below describes a particular belief a person may hold relative to the nature, acquisition, and representation of knowledge. A number of different and contrasting beliefs are presented. THERE ARE NO "RIGHT" OR "WRONG" ANSWERS TO ANY OF THE BELIEF STATEMENTS. These are statements upon which individuals have different opinions and points of view. As you read these statements, you will find yourself agreeing with some, disagreeing with some, and uncertain about others. The best response to each statement is your personal belief or opinion.

Answer every item by checking (V) either Strongly Agree, Moderately Agree, Slightly Agree, Strongly Disagree, Moderately Disagree, Slightly Disagree: depending on how you feel in each case. Please answer every item.

1. Play and imitation are acts of knowing for the young child.

		1	1	/		/		
5	STRONGLY AGREE	Modera Tely A Gree	SLIGHTLY AGREE	SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	MODERATELY DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE		
2.		dividual dev If as a think	• •	comes increasi	ngly more aware	of the existe	nce	
1		/	1	_/	1	/		
- 5	Trongly Agree	MODERATELY AGREE	SLIGHTLY AGREE	SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	MODERATELY DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE		
3.	Knowing a	n object mea	ns knowing in	ts physical ch	eracteristics.			
/_		/	1	/		/		
	TRONGLY	MODERA TELY		SLIGHTLY	MODERA TELY	STRONGLY		
	AGREE	AGREE	AGREE	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	DISAGREE		
4.	The indiv	vidual progre	ssively lear	ns that his ow	n viewpoint is	relative.		
1		/	1	1	1	1	1	
5	STRONGLY	MODERATELY	SLIGHTLY	SLIGHTLY	MODERATELY	STRONGLY		
	AGREE	AGREE	AGREE	DISAGREE	disagree	DISAGREE		
5.	5. Only quantitative changes in memory occur throughout development.							
1		/	/_			1	1	
	STRONGLY	MODERATELY		SLIGHTLY	MODERATELY	STRONGLY		
	AGREE	AGREE	AGREE	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	disagree		



		/	/	1	1	
STRONGLY AGREE	MODERATELY AGREE	SLIGHTLY AGREE	SLIGHTLY DYSAGREE	MODERATELY DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	
An indi	vidual <sup>t</sup> s ideas	are a produc	t of environmen	tsl impression	••	
	1	<u> </u>	1	1	<u> </u>	
STRONGLY AGREE	MODERATELY AGREE	Slightly Agree	SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	MODERATELY DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	
	g under the con thought struct		xternal reinfor	cement produce	s changes in	
	1	/	1	1	/	
STRONGLY AGREE	MODERATELY AGREE	SLIGHTLY AGREE	SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	MODERATELY DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	
Both th	e external worl	d and the in	dividual exert	s strong influ	ence on each	
	1	,	,	,	,	
STRONGLY AGREE	Moderately Agree	SLIGHTLY AGREE	SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	MODERATELY DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	
Knowled	ge can be gaine	d directly f	rom sensory exp	erience.		
	1	1		•		
		7	- /	<i>f</i>	/	
Strongly Agree	MODERATELY AGREE	SLIGHTLY AGREE	SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	MODERATELY DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE	
ACREE		AGREE	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	
ACREE	AGREE	AGREE	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	
AGREE Knowled	AGREE	AGREE	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	
AGREE Knowled	AGREE ge originates a	AGREE s a coordina	DISAGREE tion of physics	DISAGREE	DISAGREE ctions.	
AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE	AGREE ge originates a  / MODERATELY	AGREE  s a coordina  / SLIGHTLY AGREE	DISAGREE tion of physics / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE  11 and mental a  /  MODERATELY DISAGREE	DISAGREE ctions. / STRONGLY	
Knowled STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE ge originates a  / MODERATELY AGRRE	AGREE  s a coordina  / SLIGHTLY AGREE	DISAGREE tion of physics / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE  11 and mental a  /  MODERATELY DISAGREE	DISAGREE ctions. / STRONGLY	
AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE  Knowled	AGREE ge originates a  / MODERATELY AGRRE	AGREE  s a coordina  / SLIGHTLY AGREE	DISAGREE tion of physics / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE  11 and mental a  /  MODERATELY DISAGREE	DISAGREE ctions. / STRONGLY	
AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE  Knowled	AGREE ge originates a  / MODERATELY AGRRE ge evolves towa	AGREE s a coordina / SLIGHTLY AGREE rd a higher	DISAGREE tion of physics / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE level of organi	DISAGREE  1 and mental a  MODERATELY DISAGREE  Zation.	DISAGREE ctions. / STRONGLY DISAGREE	
AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE	AGREE  ge originates a  /  MODERATELY AGRRE  ge evolves towa  /  MODERATELY	AGREE  s a coordina  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  rd a higher  / SLIGHTLY AGREE	DISAGREE  tion of physics  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  level of organi / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE  11 and mental address  MODERATELY DISAGREE  LESTION.  MODERATELY DISAGREE	DISAGREE ctions. / STRONGLY DISAGREE / STRONGLY DISAGREE	
AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE  Knowled	AGREE  ge originates a  /  MODERATELY AGRRE  ge evolves towa  /  MODERATELY AGREE  ge involves a s	AGREE  s a coordina  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  rd a higher  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  ummation of	DISAGREE  tion of physics  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  level of organi / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  discrete pieces	DISAGREE  11 and mental address  MODERATELY DISAGREE  MODERATELY DISAGREE  of information	DISAGREE ctions. / STRONGLY DISAGREE / STRONGLY DISAGREE	
AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE  Knowled	AGREE ge originates a  /  MODERATELY AGRRE ge evolves towa  /  MODERATELY AGREE ge involves a s  / LY MODERATELY	AGREE  s a coordina  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  rd a higher  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  ummation of	DISAGREE  tion of physics  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  level of organi / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  discrete pieces / SLIGHTLY	DISAGREE  11 and mental address  MODERATELY DISAGREE  LESTION.  MODERATELY DISAGREE  Of information  MODERATELY MODERATELY	DISAGREE ctions.  STRONGLY DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  STRONGLY STRONGLY	
AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE  Knowled  /  STRONGLY  AGREE  AGREE  4. The delay	AGREE  ge originates a  /  MODERATELY AGREE  ge evolves towa  /  MODERATELY AGREE  ge involves a s  /  LY MODERATELY AGREE  evelopment of i	AGREE  s a coordina  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  rd a higher  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  ummation of  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  ntelligence	DISAGREE  tion of physics  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  level of organi / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  discrete pieces / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	MODERATELY DISAGREE  MODERATELY DISAGREE  MODERATELY DISAGREE  MODERATELY DISAGREE  MODERATELY DISAGREE	DISAGREE ctions.  STRONGLY DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE	r
AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE  Knowled  /  STRONGLY  AGREE  AGREE  4. The delay	AGREE  ge originates a  /  MODERATELY AGREE  ge evolves towa  /  MODERATELY AGREE  ge involves a s  /  LY MODERATELY AGREE	AGREE  s a coordina  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  rd a higher  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  ummation of  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  ntelligence	DISAGREE  tion of physics  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  level of organi / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  discrete pieces / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	MODERATELY DISAGREE  MODERATELY DISAGREE  MODERATELY DISAGREE  MODERATELY DISAGREE  MODERATELY DISAGREE	DISAGREE ctions.  STRONGLY DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE	r
AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE  Knowled  STRONGLY  AGREE  Knowled  AGREE  AGREE	AGREE  ge originates a  /  MODERATELY AGREE  ge evolves towa  /  MODERATELY AGREE  ge involves a s  /  LY MODERATELY AGREE  evelopment of i ities of knowle	AGREE  s a coordina  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  rd a higher  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  ummation of  / SLIGHTLY AGREE  ntelligence	DISAGREE  tion of physics  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  level of organi / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  discrete pieces / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	MODERATELY DISAGREE  MODERATELY DISAGREE  MODERATELY DISAGREE  MODERATELY DISAGREE  MODERATELY DISAGREE	DISAGREE ctions.  STRONGLY DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE	



	thinking.	,	1	,	,	,
STRONGLY	MODERATELY	/ SL <del>ightly</del>	SLIGHTLY	MODERATELY	STRONGLY	
AGREE	AGREE	AGREE	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	
6. Represe	ntation is an i	ntegral part	of logical the	ought.		
ı			<u> </u>	1		
STRONGLY AGREE	Moderately Agree	SLIGHTLY AGREE	SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	MODERATELY DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISACREE	
as Green		AGREE	DIBAGARE	DISAGREE	DIBROREE	
	the course of demains constant.		an individual <sup>i</sup> :	s capacity to 1	represent info	rma -
,	1	/	1	/	/	/
STRONGLY	MODERATELY	SLIGHTLY	SLIGHTLY	MODERATELY		
AGREE	AGREE	AGREE	disagree	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	
B. Each pe	riod of develop	ment is inde	pendent of its	successor.		
	1	/	1	1	1	
CONTRACT SE						
STRONGLY	MODERATELY	SLIGHTLY	SLIGHTLY	MODERATELY		
AGREE	MODERATELY AGREE	SLIGHTLY AGREE	SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	MODERATELY DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISACREE	
AGREE	AGREE ng is remembere	AGREE	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	DISAGREE	of
AGREE  9. Somethi thinkin	AGREE  ng is remembere  8.	AGREE d through it	DISAGREE s incorporation	DISAGREE n into more gen	DISAGREE neral patterns	o£/
AGREE  Somethinkin  STRONGLY	AGREE  ng is remembere  8.  MODERATELY	AGREE d through it	DISAGREE incorporation / SLIGHTLY	DISAGREE  n into more gen  /  MODERATELY	DISAGREE neral patterns / STRONGLY	o£/
AGREE  9. Somethinkin  thinkin  STRONGLY  AGREE	AGREE  ng is remembere 8.  / MODERATELY AGREE	AGREE d through it  / SLIGHTLY AGREE	DISAGREE  incorporation  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE  n into more gen  /  MODERATELY DISAGREE	DISAGREE neral patterns	o£/
AGREE  9. Somethinkin  thinkin  STRONGLY  AGREE	AGREE  ng is remembere  8.  MODERATELY	AGREE d through it  / SLIGHTLY AGREE	DISAGREE  incorporation  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE  n into more gen  /  MODERATELY DISAGREE	DISAGREE neral patterns / STRONGLY	o£/
AGREE  9. Somethin thinkin STRONGLY AGREE  0. Teachin	AGREE  ng is remembere 8.  / MODERATELY AGREE	AGREE d through it  / SLIGHTLY AGREE e sequential	DISAGREE  incorporation  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  order of devel	DISAGREE  n into more gen  /  MODERATELY DISAGREE	DISAGREE  DETAIL PATTERNS  / STRONGLY DISAGREE	o£/
AGREE  9. Somethin thinking  STRONGLY  AGREE  0. Teaching  STRONGLY	AGREE  ng is remembere 8.  /  MODERATELY AGREE g can change the / MODERATELY	AGREE d through it  / SLIGHTLY AGREE e sequential / SLIGHTLY	DISAGREE  incorporation  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  order of devel  / SLIGHTLY	DISAGREE  n into more gen  /  MODERATELY DISAGREE  Lopment.  /  MODERATELY	DISAGREE  DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  STRONGLY	o£/
AGREE  9. Somethin thinkin STRONGLY AGREE  0. Teachin	AGREE  ng is remembere 8.  /  MODERATELY AGREE g can change th	AGREE d through it  / SLIGHTLY AGREE e sequential	DISAGREE  incorporation  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  order of devel	DISAGREE  n into more gen  MODERATELY DISAGREE  Lopment.	DISAGREE  DETAIL PATTERNS  / STRONGLY DISAGREE	o£/
AGREE  9. Somethin thinking STRONGLY AGREE  O. Teaching STRONGLY AGREE  1. Through	AGREE  ng is remembered 8.  /  MODERATELY AGREE g can change the /  MODERATELY AGREE  out an individual	AGREE d through it  / SLIGHTLY AGREE e sequential / SLIGHTLY AGREE al's life, he	DISAGREE  incorporation  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  order of devel  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  constantly re-	DISAGREE  n into more gen  MODERATELY DISAGREE  Lopment.  MODERATELY DISAGREE  BOTGANIZES his	DISAGREE  DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  memories,	
AGREE  9. Somethic thinking STRONGLY AGREE  O. Teaching STRONGLY AGREE  1. Through preserv	AGREE  ng is remembered 8.  /  MODERATELY AGREE  g can change the /  MODERATELY AGREE	AGREE d through it  / SLIGHTLY AGREE e sequential / SLIGHTLY AGREE al's life, he	DISAGREE  incorporation  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  order of devel  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  constantly re-	DISAGREE  n into more gen  MODERATELY DISAGREE  Lopment.  MODERATELY DISAGREE  BOTGANIZES his	DISAGREE  DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  memories,	
AGREE  9. Somethic thinking STRONGLY AGREE  O. Teaching STRONGLY AGREE  1. Through preserv	AGREE  ng is remembered 8.  / MODERATELY AGREE g can change the / MODERATELY AGREE  out an individualing material, be	AGREE d through it  / SLIGHTLY AGREE e sequential / SLIGHTLY AGREE al's life, he	DISAGREE  incorporation  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  order of devel  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  constantly re-	DISAGREE  n into more gen  MODERATELY DISAGREE  Lopment.  MODERATELY DISAGREE  BOTGANIZES his	DISAGREE  DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  memories,	
AGREE  Somethic thinking  STRONGLY AGREE  Teaching  STRONGLY AGREE  Through preserve its significations	AGREE  ng is remembered 8.  /  MODERATELY AGREE g can change the /  MODERATELY AGREE  out an individualing material, be nificance. /  MODERATELY	AGREE d through it  / SLIGHTLY AGREE e sequential / SLIGHTLY AGREE al's life, hout constant!	DISAGREE  incorporation  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  order of devel  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  e constantly re y adding new elements  / SLIGHTLY	DISAGREE  n into more gen  MODERATELY DISAGREE  Lopment.  MODERATELY DISAGREE  BOTGENIZES his Lements which	DISAGREE  DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  MEMORIES, Berve to change	
AGREE  Somethic thinking thinking thinking thinking the strongly agree  Teaching the strongly agree the stro	AGREE  ng is remembered 8.  / MODERATELY AGREE g can change the MODERATELY AGREE  out an individualing material, be nificance. //	AGREE d through it  / SLIGHTLY AGREE e sequential / SLIGHTLY AGREE al's life, hout constant!	DISAGREE  incorporation  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  order of devel  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  e constantly re y adding new el	DISAGREE  n into more gen  MODERATELY DISAGREE  iopment.  MODERATELY DISAGREE  eorganizes his lements which a	DISAGREE  DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  DISAGREE  memories, serve to change	
AGREE  9. Somethic thinking STRONGLY AGREE  O. Teaching STRONGLY AGREE  1. Through preservits signs STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE  ng is remembered 8.  /  MODERATELY AGREE g can change the /  MODERATELY AGREE  out an individualing material, be nificance. /  MODERATELY	AGREE d through it  / SLIGHTLY AGREE e sequential  / SLIGHTLY AGREE al's life, hout constantly  / SLIGHTLY AGREE	DISAGREE  incorporation  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  order of devel  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  e constantly re y adding new el  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE  n into more gen  MODERATELY DISAGREE  iopment.  MODERATELY DISAGREE  eorganizes his lements which a  MODERATELY DISAGREE	DISAGREE  DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  Memories, Berve to change  / STRONGLY DISAGREE	
AGREE  9. Somethic thinking STRONGLY AGREE  O. Teaching STRONGLY AGREE  1. Through preservits signs STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE  ng is remembered 8.  / MODERATELY AGREE g can change the / MODERATELY AGREE  out an individualing material, be nificance. / MODERATELY AGREE	AGREE d through it  / SLIGHTLY AGREE e sequential  / SLIGHTLY AGREE al's life, hout constantly  / SLIGHTLY AGREE	DISAGREE  incorporation  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  order of devel  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  e constantly re y adding new el  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE  n into more gen  MODERATELY DISAGREE  iopment.  MODERATELY DISAGREE  eorganizes his lements which a  MODERATELY DISAGREE	DISAGREE  DISAGREE  STRONGLY DISAGREE  Memories, Berve to change  / STRONGLY DISAGREE	
AGREE  9. Somethin thinking STRONGLY AGREE  O. Teaching STRONGLY AGREE  1. Through preservits signs STRONGLY AGREE	AGREE  ng is remembered 8.  / MODERATELY AGREE g can change the / MODERATELY AGREE  out an individualing material, be nificance. / MODERATELY AGREE	AGREE d through it  / SLIGHTLY AGREE e sequential  / SLIGHTLY AGREE al's life, hout constantly  / SLIGHTLY AGREE	DISAGREE  incorporation  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  order of devel  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE  e constantly re y adding new el  / SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	DISAGREE  n into more gen  MODERATELY DISAGREE  iopment.  MODERATELY DISAGREE  eorganizes his lements which a  MODERATELY DISAGREE	DISAGREE  neral patterns  / STRONGLY DISAGREE  memories, serve to change / STRONGLY DISAGREE  for himself.	



23. The development of logical thinking consists of responses of individuals to specific environmental stimuli and reinforcement.

1.		/				
	RONGLY GREE	MODERATELY AGREE	SLIGHTLY AGREE	SLIGHTLY DISAGREE	MODERATELY DISAGREE	STRONGLY DISAGREE
			evelopment, n from the old		tterns are for	med which sre
1				1	<u> </u>	
Sī	RONGLY	MODERATELY	SLIGHTLY	Slightly	MODERATELY	STRONGLY

DISAGREE

DISAGREE

DISAGREE

AGREE

AGREE

AGREE