AUTHOR

Miquel, Richard J.

TITLE '

Experiential Education Policy Guidelines. Research

and Development Series No. 160.

institution

Ohio State Univ., Columbus. National Center for

Research in Vocational Education.

SPONS AGENCY

National Inst. of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C.

Education and Work Group.

PUB DATE

79 4 400-77-0055

CONTRACT NOTE

63p.: For related documents see CE 021 826-827

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

Advisory Committees: Apprenticeships: \*Career

Education: Career Exploration: Cooperative Programs:

Educational Policy; Employment Programs;

\*Experiential Learning; Job Training; \*Policy

Formation: Service Education: \*Vocational Education:

Work Experience Programs: Youth Programs

#### ABSTRACT

Sixteen guideline recommendations developed by an experiential education advisory panel are presented. Policy guidelines are intended for use in developing experiential education programs. Each issue is briefly delineated; major points that were offered for the panel's consideration are highlighted. The issue statements concern the following areas: learner objectives, site selection, evaluation, structure for learning, diversity of experiences, identification of learners, access to various employment levels, program expansion, commitment to programs, worker protection, legal requirements, paid experiences, academic credit, employment credit, preparation of educational personnel, and preparation of workplace personnel. Immediately following each issue statement is the panel's guideline recommendation including the rationale, safequard, and potential benefits. For example, the first recommendation is that experiential education goals should be translated into specific learner objectives and should be stated in terms that reflect shared understanding among all participants.

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made

from the original document.

# EXPERIENTIAL EDUCATION POLICY GUIDELINES

Developed by

**Experiential Education Advisory Panel** 

Richard J. Miguel Project Director

The National Center for Research in Vocational Education
The Ohio State University
1960 Kenny Road
Columbus, Ohio 43210

1979

J.S. DEPARTME PHEALTI EDUCATION ELFARE NATIONAL'S TITE OP

THIS DOCUMENT THE EN REPRODUCED EXACTLY THE EIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR A MILIATION ORIGINA TING IT POINTS OF WIR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT HECKEY BY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NA NILL STITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITE THE PLICY.



2

### THE NATIONAL CENTER MISSION STATES IN THE

The National Censer for Research in Vocational Educations is to increase the stility of diverse agencies, institutions, zations to solve educational problems relating to individual cannot planning, preparation, and progression. The National Censer planning, preparation, and progression.

- Generating knowledge through research.
- Lizzalo lingu sucational pergrams and products
- Evanuating undividual program needs and outsing
- Installing of the second products
- Operating intermation sum and services
- Conducting localization in the conduction of t

Final Report on the Experiential Learning Issues and Guddines Project Conducted Under THE Contract No. 2027-0005

The material in this publication was prepared pursuant to a contract with the Education and Work Group of the National Institute of Education, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Contractors undertaking such projects under grant appearant appearant of reely express their judgment in professions and technical matters. Points of view or opinions do not, therefore, necessarily represent official National Institute of Education position or policy.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

National Institute of Education

ERIC

# **FOREWORD**

Many recent educational materials into the total community as a site and resource for learning. These out-of-priorit manning enterprises enable learners to acquire knowledge, skills, and actitudes and prepare learning participation in a variety of life roles. Recognizing the value of learning by direct experimentation the teal world, individuals from labor, management; education, and the general community have been working together to design and implement successful experiential education programs in the world en work. While a number of excellent programs already exist providing high quality experiential learning opportunities presents a continuing challenge to all participants.

This report is part of a study of policy issues in experiential education. As a result of discussing and clarifying selected issues, guideline recommendations for experiential education were developed. The project's advisory panel was instrumental in clarifying the issues and developing the recommendations. The National Center is indebted to the panel members for their conscientious efforts and for the time they save to the project. For their contributions to this study, acknowledgment is given to: Herbert Levine Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, who served as panel chairperson; Maria Batchelder, Union Carbide Corporation; Joseph Bertotti, General Electric Company; William Browks, General Motors Corporation; Scott Detrick, National School Boards Association; Walten Dorath, United Auto Workers; Kenneth Edwards, Infernational Brotherhood of Electrical White Charles Heatherly, National Federation of Independent Business; Robert Lipscomb, ional Education Association; Richard Mc Allister, Retail Clerk International Union; Dorothy Sizelds, AFL-CIO; Cecil Smith, National Urban League; Beverly Stanton, U.S. Conference of John Swann, Recruitment and Training Program; Scott Thomson, National Association of dary School Principals; Gail Trapnell, American Vocational Association; James Ward, American mation of Teamers; and the ex-officio panel members: Evelyn Ganzglass, U.S. Department of distine Rodriguez and Susan Nevas, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

Appreciation must also be given to the many students and experiential education program-continuous who assisted in this study. Marcia Freedman, Columbia University; Mary Klaurens, University of Minnesota; Thomas Omens, Northwest Regional Educational imboratory; and Harry Silhutaman, University of California, Los Angeles served as reviewers of this discument. We thank them for their helpful comments and suggestions. David Hampson, Chief of the National Institute of Silhutaman and Robert Career Exploration Division, initiated this study and Ronald Bucknam and Robert Hampson as Project Officers for the study; we thank them for their guidance and support.

Recognition is due Richard Miguel for his overall direction of the project and for the preparation of this report; Deborah Coleman for coordinating the literature reviews; Louise Wasson for gamering information about the experiential programs; Jeanette McConaudit, for editing the report; and Kay Freeman for secretarial support services.

Robert E. Taylor Executive Director
The National Center for Research
in Vocational Education

iii

# **EXPERIENTIAL EDUCATION ADVISORY PANEL**

#### Chairperson

Herbert A.,Levine
Professor of Labor Studies
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey

### Members

Maria Batchelder Manager, Training and Development Union Carbide Corporation

Joseph Bertotti Manager, Corporate Education Relations General Electric Company ₹

William C. Brooks
Personnel Director
Risher Body Division
General Motors Corporation

Scott Detrick
Board of Directors (1972-1978)
National School Boards Association

Walter Dorosh
International Representative
Skill Trades Department
United Auto Workers

Menneth R. Edwards
Director of Skill Improvement Training
International Brotherhood of
Electrical Workers

Charles Heatherly
Director, Education Division
National Federation of
Independent Business

Robert Lipscomb Vice President National Education Association

\*For identification purposes only

Richard McAllister International Vice President Retail Clerks International Union

Dorothy Shields
Assistant Director
Department of Education
AFL-CIO

Cecil Smith
Director, Labor Education
Advancement Program
National Urban League

Beverly Stanton Youth Coordinator, Employment and Training Council U.S. Conference of Mayors

John Swann
Deputy Executive Director,
Programs and Planning
Recruitment and Training Program

Scott Thomson
Deputy Executive Director
National Association of Secondary
School Principals

Gail Trapnell Vice President (1975-1978) American Vocational Association

James Ward
Director, Economic Research
American Federation of Teachers

### **Ex-Officio Members**

Evelyn Ganzglass
Office of Youth Programs
U.S. Department of Labor

Richard Miguel

Project Director

Justine F. Rodriguez and Susan Nevas
Office of the Assistant Secretary for
Planning and Evaluation
U.S. Department of Health, Education,
and Welfare

## **Project Staff**

Deborah D. Coleman Research Specialist Louise E. Wasson Graduate Research Associate

## **NIE Project Officer**

Ronald B. Bucknam

6



## SUMMARY

state apportus agresult of the collective effortunafithe publicat's administrational the project state. The policy issues presented are by no meanwhite only agree of interesting the panel but it for the project of the project.

While the model of the project was the translation are the project was the translation of the project was the translation of the project was t

The terms used in the study are explained bridge

- by studying, observing, and performing them in any entirement formally outside the school) where those roles occur.
- Experiential education refers to planned educational water action to enable learners to acquire attitudes, skills, and knowledge for more state life roles by participating in work settings. This is an industive term of the program of that depending on experiential learning as a primarily on work-oriented experiential education.
- Programmerefers to any organized effort to exect expendition and the program of a school.
- Learners refer to the individuals for whom the experiencial page in is intended.
- Participants refer to all persons involved an expendential program, namely, learners, parents, labor and management representatives, programmation, and teachers, administrators, counselors, and other school personnel.

The following are the guideline recommendation and executived by the panel. The rationale, mends and potential benefits for each guideline and detailed within this report.

7

## EXPENSE TIAL EDUCATION POLICY GUIDELINES

LEARNER OBJECTIVES Experiential education guals should be translated into specific learner objectives and should be stated in thems that reflect shared understanding amounts all participents. Criteria for evaluating the objectives are part of this should understanding.

2 SITE SELECTION

Experiential learning situational be development for programs on the basis of the potential for delivering latesterm personal; social, educational or calculated development the same its.

2 EVALUATION

Evaluation should be a santinuous process inditiving all participants; the process and process and process build be conducted assurding to terms and process building stages.

STRUCTURE FOR LEARNING

Experiential limiting should be structured to minute learning through the learning through th

5 DIVERSITY OF EXPERIENCES

The learner's control of experiential education opportunities should include diversity of learning and work experiences.

6 DENTIFICATION LEARNERS

All learners small had the opportunity to arricipate in programs and smould be involved in determination their assignments. Participate in factors: (1) the slow knowledge, and attitudes the learner's need addition; (2) the learner's need addition; and capacity for developing them; and (3) available ty of resources and opportunities.

7 ACCESS TO VARIOUS EMPLOYMENT LEVELS

Experiential experience of the second experien

8 PROGRAM : EXPANSION

Experiential learning opportunities should be developed gradually, expanding only after pilot programs have demonstrated success.

9 COMMITMENT TO PROGRAMS Cooperating organizations should be encouraged to sustain their involvement over given periods of time. The nature and extent of this commitment should be agreed upon in the planning stages by all involved.

10 WORKER PROTECTION

The presence of experiential learners in the workplace should not result in the displacement of workers. If the work force is reduced while a program is in effect, any required reductions in experiential learners or other program modifications should be made according to terms agreed upon in the planning stages.

REQUEENENTS

12 PAID EXPENSENCES

ACADEMIC CREME

14 EMPLODYMENT

PREFERATION OF EDUCATIONAL PERSONNEL

16 PREPARATION OF WORKPLACE PERSONNEL

All persons responsible for experiential programs should be thoroughly familiar with legal requirements and ramifications as they apply to experiential learners.

Salary issues should be cooperatively decided upon by all parties involved in the program, working within the context of the appropriate laws, regulations, and collective bargaining agreements.

The criteria for granting credit to learners for participation in experiential education programs should be agreed upon in the planning stages by teachers, administrators, program staff, and the agency granting the credit.

Credit for previous experience in experiential education programs should be considered by labor and management.

Educational personnel associated with the program should be given a thorough understanding of the work settings, the potential of the learners' experiences, and their own roles in assisting the learners to achieve the program purposes. Participating organizations should assume full responsibility for the training, compensation, and recognition of all personnel who implement the program.

Personnel from the workplace, who are called upon to interact with experiential learners should receive comprehensive orientation to their roles in experiential education. Collaboration between these workers and the program staff should be maintained on a systematic basis for the duration of the program. Participating organizations should assume full responsibility for the adequate training, compensation, and recognition of all personnel who implement the program.

# CONTENTS

FOREWORD	111
EXPERIENTIAL EDUCATION ADVISORY PANEL	iv
SUMMARY	V
ANTRODUCTION	1
Experiential Education Defined	1
Purposes and Chipctives	
ISSUES AND GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS	5
Overview of the Issue Areas	5 •7
1. Learner Objectives	8 10 12
5. Diversity of Experiences	18
8. Program Expansion	24 24 26
11. Legal Requirements	30 32
14. Employment Credit	36
	41
APPENDIX: REACTOR GROUP WORKSHOPS	
Part 1: Program Coordinators and Students	43 45
MASTER BIBLIOGRAPHY	47
	EXPERIENTIAL EDUCATION ADVISORY PANEL  SUMMARY  Experiential Education Defined Background and the Problem Purposes and Objectives Procedures  ISSUES AND GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS  Overview of the Issue Areas Issues and Guidelines  1. Learner Objectives 2. Site Selection 3. Evaluation 4. Structure for Learning 5. Diversity of Experiences 6. Identification of Learners. 7. Access to Various Employment Levels. 8. Program Expansion 9. Commitment to Programs. 10. Worker Protection 11. Legal Requirements 12. Paid Experiences 13. Academic Credit 14. Employment Credit 15. Preparation of Educational Personnel 16. Preparation of Guicational Personnel 16. Preparation of Workplace Personnel CONCLUDING REMARKS  APPENDIX: REACTOR GROUP WORKSHOPS Part 1: Program Codidinators and Students Part 2: Policy Makers.

ix

#### SPECIAL NOTE TO READER

The use of the generic term experiential education programs is meant to include programs such as experience-based academic programs (e.g., Experience-Based Career Education); cooperative vocational education programs (e.g., secondary school cooperative distributive education); service learning programs (e.g., programs under the auspices of the National Commission on Resources for Youth); employment, training, and educational motivation programs (e.g., CETA Youth Programs, 70001, Ltd.); apprenticeship programs (e.g., The School to Work Initiative Program); general work experience programs; and career exploration programs (e.g., The Executive High School Internships Program). Information regarding these and other programs can be found in another publication of this project: Experiential Education: A Primer on Programs.

### INTRODUCTION

Increasingly, institutions in the educational sector and the society at large are becoming involved in expanding educational environments beyond schooling. These efforts, often referred to as experiential education, require the collaboration of representatives from labor, management, education, and community organizations. This study examines policy issues and provides guidelines that can be useful in collaborative efforts for experiential education.

#### Experiential Education Defined

The term experiential education takes on meaning when it is applied to a particular area of educational pursuit. When individuals enterwork environments for the purposes of (1) acquiring knowledge and skills for given occupations or (2) enhancing their own career development through observing and performing work experiences or (3) improving their own decision-making skills through-studying-the-social-context-of-work, they are learning-experientially. Hence, experiential learning means learning about work and other life roles by studying, observing, and performing them, and it involves expanding educational environments to places where these roles occur.

This study primarily concerns experiential education which consists of planned educational activities embodying experiential learning as the principal learning process. The following is the operational definition of experiential education used by this project.

Experiential education is a set of planned educational experiences designed to enable learners to acquire attitudes, skills, and knowledge for work and other life roles by participating in work settings.

#### Background and the Problem

Both in- and out-of-school educational experiences contribute to an accurate perception and total development of the attitudes, skills, and knowledge individuals need for their careers. The recognition of this fact has led to the development of many programs which have an experiential learning component. These programs seek to capitalize upon the learning potential that can be derived from experiences in the work sectors of our society (both paid and yolunteer work).

But educational programs that are conducted outside of schools and use experiential learning as the principal educational process can encounter policy issues difficultate deal with. This is so because of the difficulties involved in creating structures for learning in nyironments that have other purposes. Because workplaces outside the school are not structured as educational environments, they can present unusual and unfamiliar challenges to those who want to implement an experiential education program. Part of the problem lies in the immensity of the task—both its scope and its manageability, but it also resides in the convergence of the many participants associated with the effort—and their diverse motives, perspectives, values, and varying levels of familiarity with and sophistication in carrying out their roles. Collaboration required for policy setting and decision making brings together persons from various sectors (e.g., management, labor, education), some of whom do not fully appreciate each other's perspectives nor fully comprehend the problems involved in fusing education and work experiences.

1

Consequently, the introduction of experiential education interventions into workplaces requires: (1) an understanding of the policy issues concerning experiential education, and (2) the determination of policy guidelines for creating experiential opportunities and for setting parameters for their implementation.

#### Purposes and Objectives

Seeing the need for clarification of current and emerging policy issues, the National Institute of Education (NfE) requested that a study be undertaken by the National Center for Research in Vocational Education to develop policy guidelines for future experiential education programs. The principal objectives of this study were as follows:

- To clarify issues concerning experiential education
- To propose recommendations for use as policy guidelines

Before detailing the procedures used in this study, it is important to understand the following:

Definition of issue. For the purpose of this study, an issue is seen as a matter of concern for which there are differences of opinion and value. We have used issue as a point of concern about which there can be legitimate debate.

Selection and clarification of issues. Although this study was to be limited to ten policy issues, sixteen were explored. The issue areas were selected by the project's advisory panel. The project staff in consultation with the advisory panel developed clarification statements for each issue. Information for these statements was obtained from literature reviews, interviews with personnel from various experiential programs, and the project advisory panel members.

Development of guidelines. A requirement of the study was to commission a blueribbon panel of "... top level, tough minded individuals representing the broad range of actors in the experiential education approach." The responsibility of this panel was to prepare the guidelines based on the identified issues under the direction and advice of the panel chairperson. Because the panel was vital to the success of the project, it was used fully in both an advisory capacity and a developmental capacity (i.e., preparing the guidelines).

#### Procedures

The project was conducted during the period of July 1, 1977 through November 30, 1978. The following is a calendar of the major events that led toward the development of the guideline recommendations.

July 8, 1977	Selection of advisory panel chargerson.
--------------	---

August 2, 1977	Selection of advisory panel members; identification of other indi
	viduals and organizations to provide input for the project.



January 31 and February 1, 1978 Second advisory panel meeting held—issue statements reviewed;

format of guidelines approved; subcommittees formed.

April and May 1978.

Advisory panel subcommittees met—issue statements critiqued;

guideline recommendation developed.

April 24-25, 1978

First reactor group workshop held—program coordinators and students reviewed issue statements and guideline recommendations.

May 22-23, 1978

Second reactor group workshop held—policy makers from sixteen experiential programs reviewed issue statements and guideline recommendations and provided insights into program policies.

June 6, 1978

Third advisory panel meeting I

LELI MANUEL & COMMENDATIONS

finalized.

November 16-17, 1978

Invitational Conference held.

Each of the above events is discussed in more detail in the following sections.

## Establishing the Advisory Panel

Representatives from NIE and the project staff and Dr. Herbert A. Levine, the project's advisory panel chairperson, met at the National Genter to select the members of the advisory panel. as decided that: (1) broad representation from management, labor, education, and community groups at a national level would be most desirable; (2) four representatives from each group would be selected; and (3) representatives from the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare and from the Department of Labor should serve as ex-officio members. A complete list of the advisory panel members can be found on page iv.

### Identification of Issues

At its second meeting, the advisory panel agreed upon sixteen issue areas: clarifying intended outcomes; developing experiential opportunities; structuring experiences; diversifying experiences; assessing effectiveness; identifying experiential learners; creating a diverse array of experiences; paying experiential learners; expanding experiential education; maintaining commitment; worker displacement; observing legal requirements; granting credit; recognizing experiences for their marketable value; preparing educational personnel; and preparing personnel from the workplace. The project staff made site visits to various programs, interviewed experiential educators, reviewed the literature pertinent to the issues, and assembled two reactor groups: (1) program coordinators and students, and (2) program policy makers (see Appendix).

#### Guideline Recommendations

The panel was divided into three substantifications and to provide guideline recommendations and to provide guideline recommendations the related discussion of the issues. At the panel's third meeting, the guideline recommendations were reviewed and final modifications



were made. This document, which contains those issue statements and guideline recommendations, was written and subsequently reviewed by the advisory panel, substantive experts in experiential education and related fields, and the National Institute of Education's Project Officer.

#### Invitational Conference

The issue statements and guideline recommendations were presented and discussed at an invisitational conference on November 16-17, 1978. This conference provided suggestions on the various uses of the guideline recommendations. Conference presenters were alumni of experiential education programs, program coordinators, labor and management representatives, researchers, and representatives from major experiential education organizations. The presenters addressed the issue themes and the in portance of the guidelines; they also provided examples from practice. The conference proceedings can be found in another publication of this project.

#### Summary

The Experiential Education Advisory Panel met as a total group three times. Subcommittees of the panel met an additional two times. During these meetings panel members considered and debated various aspects of selected policy issues and, as a result, produced their guideline recommendations. The remainder of this report presents those issues and guidelines.



# ISSUES AND GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

• The policy issues investigated in this study were chosen by the project's advisory panel for consideration in developing guideline recommendations. Although these issue areas are by no means the only issues of interest to the panel, they do represent those issues that were addressed within the time frame of the project.

The following assumptions were made in regard to the issues and recommended guidelines included in this section:

- 1. Flexible approaches to a policy issue are desirable.
- 2. Solutions to any issue should be arrived at through C ...
- 3. Policy issues are wide ranging and vital to those affected, creating diverse interests.
- 4. A policy guideline should take into account the impact on all groups involved.
- 5. Policy making accommodates both democratic expectations of constituencies and the ultimate merits of the outcomes of policy decisions.

## Overview of the Issue Areas

The specific issues and guideline recommendations evolved from an investigation of six general issue areas: objectives and outcomes, characteristics of experiential learning, selection and opportunities, impact on the workplace, certifying learning and work experiences, and preparing personnel. These general issue areas will be discussed briefly to provide background for the issues and guideline recommendations considered in the advisory panel's deliberations.

# Objectives and Quiteomes

The principal common factor of all experiential education programs is their use of experiential learning as the educational process. Programs can differ considerably from one another, however, depending on their particular purposes and objectives. Five major groupings of these programs have been identified according to intended outcomes: (1) career development; (2) personal growth; (3) academic and life-skill development; (4) job-skill development; and (5) youth employment. There is also considerable variation within these categories, which becomes obvious as one looks at the specific objectives and requirements of the various programs. The point to be made here is that experiential education programs are more individual than they are alike, and generalities applied to them will have to be weighed in terms of true relevance. Despite their differences, however, they share a number of problems and concerns relating to their achievement of the intended outcomes.

Design of the experiences should begin with the objectives, deriving the necessary procedures and resources from an analysis of those objectives. However, it is not always easy to create a good match between intended learner outcomes and appropriate experiences to attain those outcomes, an area of uncertainty for many programs. Frequently, insufficient attention has been given to three aspects of the problem: (1) achieving a clear understanding of program outcomes by all participants; (2) developing realistic expectations, and (3) knowing what effects a given experience will have on specific outcomes.

ERIC

Despite the desirability of any of the intended outcomes, however, the ultimate question is whether or not there is sufficient value in experiential learning to justify the expenditures of time and money. Which programs are cost effective in achieving their outcomes? Which outcomes are impractical from a cost-effective standpoint? Are long-term benefits available? Phese and other questions must be answered.

In summary the gaps most in need of attention lie in the following areas: (1) determining realistic and attainable outcomes; (2) understanding alternative experiences for achieving outcomes; and (3) workable criteria for assessing the effectiveness of the experiences. Guideline recommendations 1, 2, and 3 relate to these issue areas.

#### ារ៉ា intial Learning

examination is ed toward developing experiential learning assignments. However, close amination is end quality of those experiences is needed. Individuals want to amass experience the management in the experience in the experience is little incentive on the part of those who engine the experience. Therefore, care must be executed in designing experiential education.

Work experiences are often a more important force in shaping one's career than those of the classroom. But not all experiences will result in positive outcomes. Poor experiences can intensify problems and reinforce negative habits and attitudes. The way in which the situations are perceive by the participants has considerable impact on whether or not skills, habits, and attitudes important in productive work are acquired. Similarly, much depends on the purpose for which the learners are in the program and consequently how they are viewed, that is, as observers, workers, or on-the-job learners.

Part of the problem of assuming high quality experiences lies in the fact that there is considerable variability in the control that program staff have over the experiences. Also, our knowledge regarding what constitutes good experiences is rather embryonic. We need better information to increase our understanding of what these different experiences are and how many are tied into the planned process of education. Guideline recommendations 4 and 5 relate to this issue area.

#### Selection and Opportunities

Matching experiential learners to appropriate learning opportunities is a challenging task. It involves not only developing a suitable array of experiences but also meeting the needs of a diverse population and determining what experiences are likely to have a long-term impact. There is a predominant feeling among program people that getting learners into the right experiences is crucial. Experienced coordinators in co-op programs, for example, claim that it is the key to success. It is relatively clear that both learner outcomes and the future of the program itself can be affected. For example, mishandling placement can result in undesirable career development, rejection of future learners by employers, and a poor image of the program in the community. Guideline recommendations 6, 7, and 8 relate to this issue area.

#### Impact on the Workplace

Even though all experience and intervention that will be a during a with varying degrees of enthusias...



Ł

The number of learners, the diverse nature of the participation, and the long-term relationships of the programs are in a tenuous relationship with the work environment; the expressed purpose of the work enterprise, and the personnel with whom the learners interact. For programs requiring students to engage in real work experiences, the problems can be even greater. Guideline recommendations 9, 10, 11, and 12 relate to this issue area.

### Certifying Learning and Work Experiences

Because of the learners' investment of time in experiential education programs, they usually have the expectations of receiving pay, academic credit, or both. These items are considered characteristics of most programs and are usually offered as incentives.

Awarding academic credits for demonstrated skills and programs is generally acceptable to most persons. However basis and manner of awarding them is subject to debate.

. டி.ப்பூர் . டி.பார்y, and புட

Because many of the programs do involve considerable to use, it seems only logical that learners should be able to use it as part of their to the manual dictionally, under circumstances where new employees receive higher wages or other because based on their work experiences, learners' work experiences should be considered.

Guideline recommendations 13 and 14 relate to this issue area.

#### **Preparing Personnel**

The process of experiential learning is dependent upon a rich and varied learning environment and upon persons who can stimulate learners to acquire knowledge, skills, and attitudes from that environment. Both the educational personnel associated with the programs and individuals within the work force are persons from whom the learners can benefit.

Because experiential education programs are designed to achieve a particular set of outcomes, they are dependent upon program personnel and personnel from the workplace, who have a clear understanding of the program and how best to assist learners in achieving those outcomes. The time has come to assure that high quality services are provided. To do this calls for the organization of resources, both human and material, keeping the needs of individuals in mind. Guidelines 15 and 16 relate to this issue area

المطلقة المتاجية المتاجية

this wing pages that are the linear at highlighting again paners of the panel's consider that manager is the manager in the panel's consideration managers are not allowing an assessment is the mane's undeline recommendation.



# 1 Learner Objectives

NEED FOR A CLEAR OBJECTIVES

from a few general goals to lengthy lists of specific objectives. Similarly, leasons for differences in stating goals vary. Nevertheless, one of the major purposes of having goals is frequently not met: communicating to all participants what the intended outcomes of the program are. Among the consequences of inadequately communicating program intentions are the following: insufficient or inappropriate uses of human and material resources, disappointment of participants, ineffective feedback, and results that cannot be substantiated—all of which may prevent learners from realizing the full potential of the experiences.

Objectives for experiential learners vary among the programs, ranging

REASONS FOR LACK OF CLARITY There are three primary reasons for lack of clarify in stating objectives: difficulty in stating some of the learner outcomes; (2) preference for flexity; and (3) uncertainty in program design or execution. While the explain ion for this situation is more complex than can be mentioned here, each these points can be illustrated briefly.

The desired outcomes of experiential education are seemingly limitless, and some are more difficult to state precisely than others and more subject to multiple interpretations. This is particularly true of attitudinal objectives, such as acquiring good work values and positive self-concepts. The second point to be made is that many consider being specific about objectives inappropriate. Some claim that too much specificity hampers the creativity and spontaneity of the experiences, while others maintain that some desired outcomes are best left unstated because making them explicit may result in loss of support from some participants. Finally, the field of experiential education is a relatively new venture for many participants. While motivated by some general notion of the promise that the experiences hold for learners, these newcomers are not always certain of the program outcomes nor capable of expressing them.

AVOIDING MISUNDER STANDINGS

Another aspect of the problem resides in the fact that setting goals for experiential education calls for an appreciation of the viewpoints of the various sectors involved in the enterprise. For example, labor, management, educators and others do not always view the needs of the learners and the prospects of the programs in the same way. Further, communication among the various sectors requires sensitivity to and a complete understanding of the needs of each sector and how these programs can complement those needs.

DETERMINING CRITERIA The tast in maintage objection as has too often been viewed as the province in the education is a collaborative effort. In this regard, each participant should have an equal voice in determining the criteria for setting program objectives, a consideration that is often overlooked.

There is a many many many and properties and tearners is done effective through programs are described in Expanential Education. A Primer on Programs



EXPÉRIENTIAL EDUCATION GOALS SHOULD BE TRANSLATED INTO SPECIFIC LEARNER OBJECTIVES AND SHOULD BE STATED IN TERMS THAT REFLECT SHARED UNDERSTANDING AMONG ALL PARTICIPANTS. CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING THE OBJECTIVES ARE PART OF THIS SHARED UNDERSTANDING.

Translating goals into specific objectives lays the groundwork for a shared understanding of what learners can expect to get out of their involvement in experiential education. It also enable persons who provide experiential opportunities to fashion the experiences so that attainment of the objectives becomes a real experience of the task of clarifying objectives is to determine the criter by which those objectives will be evaluated.

Program staff alone cannot be responsible. All persons concerned with the program and the success of its learners must be involved in the process of stating the objectives. This involvement contributes greatly to general understanding of what the program is designed to accomplish.

This recommendation to involve all persons concerned is applicable to all programs; but the degree of specificity and the amount of time spent on this task will vary from program to program.

- Stating objectives in specific terms is often difficult and time consuming, sometimes requiring special training to expedite the task.
- Becoming too specific may result in easily measured but trivial objectives or in loss of program vitality and flexibility Care should be taken to see that this does not occur
- Goal specificity may lead to raised expectations for active ment, but there are no guarantees. All must recognize their responsibilities and their effect on goal attainment.
- The first time of the first transfer to the second process
- Exportantial factors along the factors they not have an end extending of their color.
- of the account on good and problems of the
- and the experience of the state of the state

RATIONALE

SAFEGUARD

BENEFITS



# 2 Site Selection

# OPPORTUNITIES FOR SUCCESS

AREAS OF

CONCERN

It is probably safe to see that almost all work-related experiences can be helpful to some individual at some given time. But considerable thought must be given to inertifying appropriate experiences for selected learners so that specific objectives may be achieved. This means placing the right learners in the right places at the right times. If this important step is overlooked, learners may experience ineffectual or even detrimental consequences.

Experiential education activities can provide both-short-term and long-term benefits. In their special way, they can enable learners to develop occupational competencies, basic skills, self-confidence, desirable work habits, and interpersonal skills. They can also assist in career decision making and career planning but only when the experiences are carefully chosen and designed.

If these experiences are not carefully developed, they can be totally unproductive from an educational viewpoint. From the learn er's point of view there are seven areas of undesirable outcomes for which experiential education programs are sometimes criticized: (1) acquiring counter productive work attitudes or habits; (2) getting experience only on jobs they can get on their own; (3) providing no enhancement of career opportunities or further educational pursuits; (4) training for jobs that are scarce, (3) acquiring a poor on-the-job record; (6) becoming labeled, stigmatimal, or scereotyped because of program participation; and (7) focusing any on job-skill development.

Because many occupations are stratified along social class lines, experiential learners who are provided limited opportunities may not get to make the most of their interests and abilities. This is a special concern for experiential learners who seem destined for lower-class jobs and those who have not been reached by traditional school programs. But any learner may become tracked (locked into a job). Those who have a genuine concern for individual choice and respect for a liberal education oppose tracking. Premature limitation of the experiences which prevents achievement of full potential must be avoided.

EXPERIENCES THAT MAKE A DIFFERENCE Clearly experiential education must ultimately lead to improving the lot of the experiential learner. The types of work already available to inexperienced youth are often marginal. Getting learners experiential assignments that ensure growth and maturity, that assist in the transition to adulthood, and that eventually lead to stable, promising jobs is the claiming to all participants.

in may not be possible to start so.... learners in Poetter work experiential assignments should provide for some growth and learning. Whether the purpose is job-oriented or not programs must develop opportunities which demonstrate that participation result. In better outcomes than nonparticipation.



EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING SITES SHOULD BE DEVELOPED FOR PROGRAMS ON THE BASIS OF THE POTENTIAL FOR DELIVERING LONG. TERM PERSONAL, SOCIAL, EDUCATIONAL, OR CAREER-DEVELOPMENT BENEFITS.

Developing experiential learning sites for programs must be done thoughtfully. Each work site may have the potential for experiential learning—but not for everyone and not for every program. As a site is chosen, it should be evaluated in terms what it can realistically contribute to prospective learners. Personal, social, educational, or career-development needs of participants must be advanced in some way. Programs having career awareness or exploration as their principal purpose seem to have more latitude in this area. However, random placement of participants can be costly in terms of time and resources especially as opportunities become scarce and learners move from one to another aimlessly. For programs with purposes requiring intensive, direct experiences over ex tended periods of time (e.g., job-skill development), concernitor participants receiving long-term benefits increases, since the prospects of multiple experiences are reduced.

- Some mismatches of learners and experiences are inevitable. Provisions must be made for recognizing and remedying such situations
- Proper support from and administration by program staff and labor and management representatives and commitment from learners are essential in ensuring the appropriateness of any experiential site
- Short-term benefits (e.g., securing a temporary job) should not be underestimated. They can be instrumental in advancing one's career as well as meeting immediate needs.
- Learners continuedoper supplication de l'atritude : that will enhance career exportunities. \(\frac{1}{2}\)
- Learners will begin to do drop a power some special record.
- barriers that is now helding the con-
- without till harmon value of a

**RATIONALE** 

SAFEGUARDS

BENEFITS



# 3 Evaluation

RELIABLE FEEDBACK INFORMATION

CURRENT EVALUATION TECHNIQUES The assessment of experiential education is a challenging task for everyone involved in the enterprise. It is also an important task because all participants require reliable feedback information if the experiences are to be effective. To some, assessment is thought of as an isolated event designed to prove that certain outcomes have been achieved, but the assessment of experiential education is more complicated than that.

It is reasonably safe to say that no one type of assessment technique has the explicit answer to solving the evaluation problem. Instead there are many approaches to assessment and each type of experiential program, varying by locales, tailors its assessment procedures to meet its own needs. While most programs are aware that improvement is needed, they believe their assessments adequate within the current techniques of evaluation available. But the most cynical critics deem current assessments of experiential education inadequate and believe that it is floundering.

Despite the poor picture portrayed by the critics, considerable progress has been made. For example, Experience-Based Career Education, the Council for the Assessment of Experiential Learning, and Cooperative Vocational Education Departments have been successful in conducting credible evaluations. While they have shown that experiential learning can be assessed with acceptable rigor, several qualifications must be kept in mind. The assessment process must emanate from clearly stated policies and procedures, and it must be conducted by individuals experienced in this area.

PARTICIPANI INVOLVEMENI

There is no doubt that learners labor and management representatives, program staff, and other participants need continuous feedback. But it is also quite apparent that all participants ought to participate in the assessment process. Because of the collaborative nature of the programs, it is important that each participant provide and receive assessment data. In this way the data can provide multiple perspectives, ultimately enhanting the role of each participant.

ALILINATIVE METHODS insigned to meet the unique needs of an individual program. Sufficient time should be allowed to provide for consideration of possible approaches. Wherever possible alternative methods of setting standards should be a ed and the results compared. Once the desired approach is determined at should be used consistently. These suggestions, emanating from a CARL study are also representative of many program participants. Though is on a sessment.

EVALUATION SHOULD BE A CONTINUOUS PROCESS INVOLVING ALL PARTICIPANTS; THIS PROCESS SHOULD BE CONDUCTED ACCORDING TO TERMS AND PROCEDURES ESTABLISHED IN THE PLANNING STAGES.

RATIONALE

Each program will have to design the evaluation process according to those procedures best suited to its purposes and objectives. Many good program evaluation techniques ex but the methodologies for evaluating experiential education just evolving. Evaluation is a difficult task and one often unappreciated by many. Nevertheless, each program must capitalize on the opportunity to involve all participating parties in helping to guide and direct the learner's growth and in the continuous improvement of the programs. The criteria for evaluating program effectiveness should be determined during the planning stages, but planning and evaluation are viewed here as continuous processes, the various stages of which should refine and augment the criteria.

- Techniques may have to be developed to train individuals for their roles in the evaluation process.
- Care must be taken to develop appropriate processes for experiential learning.
- Everyone involved in the programs will understand what needs to be done to achieve objectives and what corrective actions need to be made for the benefit of the learners.
- Learners will receive continuous hadback to help them
  better achieva their cojectives
- Learners will document that it is a second of the control of the con
- Programs with my conservation process

SAFEGUARDS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS



# 4 Structure for Learning

### DEVELOPING MEANINGFUL EXPERIENCES

One aim of experiential education is to make learning activities, meaningful. Youth are concerned about their success in adult work roles. Consequently, they will enthusiastically engage in most work activities which provide a challenge and an opportunity to apply newfound knowledge and skills. Further, the experiences must provide the learner with a sense of achievement and success. Therefore, consideration must be given to structure the experiences to include motivation and success factors.

### EMPTY EXPERIEN:

Certainly no one advocates meaningless experiences, but it is not uncommon to find experiential learners in situations that demand little of them. In some programs many participants are not expected to do very much, and consequently they gain very little. For them it is simply a "holding action." Still others are placed in potentially meaningful experiences but are mindless of the educational value of the opportunities. In both instances, structure is needed to promote purposeful behavioral change.

#### IMPORTANT QUESTIONS

The total gamut of experiential education offerings includes a wide variety of activities that can be beneficial and worth the time and money spent on them: However, one needs to answer questions such as: How can appropriate sequence and timing of the experiences be achieved? Do the experiences vary for different learners? What additional learning activities are required to complement the work experiences? When and how frequently are direct experiences preferable to in school experiences? What do learners need to know as they move from one type of experience to another?

# REFLECTION AND INTERPRETATION

Most, but not all, programs recognize the need to provide for reflection as part of the experience. Through seminars and counseling sessions learners gain insights that may never be achieved through the experiences alone. For example, some learners may not agree with the rules and standards governing their work experiences. Having the opportunity to reflect on the problem and disguss it with others may help them resolve what would otherwise be a negative experience. A more significant benefit of reflection is that it enables individuals to learn those things which are difficult to learn experientially in a short span of time. Because they have designed experiences to include opportunities for reflection and interpretation, certain programs have enabled learners to approach their full potential.

Experiential programs that provide with think its experiences spend considerable time in careful planning, development, monitoring, and follow up. Other programs that are laissez-faire on this score leave much to chance and seem littlely to result in disappointment and even cynicism for all the participants. Although it is a time-consuming task structuring the experiences seems well worth the effort.



EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING SHOULD BE STRUCTURED TO STIMULATE LEARNING THROUGH MEANINGFUL ACTIVITIES, INCLUDING OPPORTUNITIES FOR REFLECTION AND INTERPRETATION.

The quality of experiential education depends on the extent to which learners realize the full educational pote of the experiences they undertake. Merely placing learner experiential learning settings and leaving them to their or devices to learn something from the experiences is likely to short of the mark for most individuals. Strategies for achieving the learner objectives of the programs should be planned openly, honestly, and thoroughly with input from all participal to the progress of the experiences and providing whatever follow-up assistance is needed. This recommendation is applicable to all experiential programs.

- The experiences should be structured to stimulate creativity and enhance individuality. The structure should be
- flexible enough to enable learners to capitalize on unanticipated opportunities as they emerge and to encourage them to develop creatively the experiences they undertake.
- Structuring experiences to bring out the full educational potential may require more time and personnel than are available. The structuring process will have to take these factors into consideration.
- t earners will engage in σ με το που τοπο πορογοτατο το their development.
- Learners will be also a series and series
- Learners will undergo comparison of the control of the educational experiences
- Learners Will gain a modernic of the discount
   experiences affecting their fitting.

RATIONALE

**SAFEGUARDS** 

POTENTIAL BENEFITS



# Diversity of Experiences

### NEED, FOR DIVERSITY

Many experiential education programs favor diversity of experiences, finding that diversity stimulates learning, encourages career exploration, and ensures a wide range of skills, knowledge, and attitudes on the part of the learners. When diversity is not considered in designing the experiences, learners may find themselves in situations where their activities become routine, boring, and nonproductive from a learning and career-development standpoint.

# BENEFITS OF

Diverse experiences are desirable for two fundamental reasons. First, learners require activities that provide motivation and reinforcement, and experiences that allow them to engage in a variety of activities which help them develop multiple talents and interests. By applying themselves to diverse tasks, learners become self-confident and less threatened by the challenges of the workplace. Because true self-confidence is gained from competence in performance, moving the learner from one activity to another must be done thoughtfully. Learners faced with a variety of work experiences for career awareness purposes need a planned approach to serve as a guide.

Secondly, diversity develops in the learner an awareness of the multiple applications of occupational skills. Since today's workers may face several career changes in a lifetime, it is important for them to

appreciate the use of similar skills in different work contexts. They also need to develop an appreciation for the interdependence of the different jobs constituting the work force.

As learners experience different activities, they should be exposed to the same conditions regular workers face whenever possible. Otherwise, the work experiences will not be authentic nor will learners gain a true perspective. Although the balance inherent in the relationship between learner and worker roles is often tenuous, experiential learners must develop a clear picture of the total work environment: conditions and standards of the workplace; legal requirements; rules of conduct; safety precautions; and other aspects. Similarly, the process of determining appropriate experiential activities must be based on collective bargaining agreements (where they exist) and on what is expected of employees on the job.

While it may be difficult and costly to provide learners with diverse experiences, participants should make every possible attempt to develop them. The long-term benefits to the learners and, consequently, to work establishments should be well worth the effort.

The determination of what constitutes appropriate diversity must begin with an analysis built around the needs of the learner. Meeting these needs for diversity becomes the real challenge for all those who provide the experiential learning opportunities.

# EXPERIENCES



THE LEARNER'S OVERALL SET OF EXPERIENTIAL EDUCATION OPPORTUNITIES SHOULD INCLUDE A DIVERSITY OF LEARNING AND WORK EXPERIENCES.

A vast array of experiential learning opportunities exists. This diversity is found not only in the variety of work but also in the kinds of learning experiences available to individuals. From the standpoint of human development, it is important for learners to be exposed to as much diversity as is appropriate and beneficial. The timing of diverse experiences, determined by joint planning, will depend upon the purposes of specific programs and developmental needs of the participants. The concern here is to encourage individuals to be versatile and flexible in terms of career decisions and preparation and to deter them from becoming locked into career paths unnecessarily. Diversity is the hallmark of experiential programs having career awareness and exploration as their purpose, but this recommendation recognizes diversity as an integral and important part of all experiential education.

**RATIONALE** 

- Too much diversity may cause confusion in career decision making or shallowness in career preparation. Care must be taken to ensure its proper place in a total experiential education program.
- After routine experiences, which may be a desirable starting point for some learners, experiences should become increasingly diverse and challenging.
- Diverse experiences will provide an excellent basis for career decision making.
- Diverse experiences will contribute to the development of transferable skills.
- Diversity will serve as a catalyst, motivating participants to get the most out of their experiences.

SAFEGUARDS

POTENTIAL

# **D** Identification of Learners

### MATCHING LEARNERS WITH OPPORTUNITIES

There are those who believe that any learner can benefit from almost any experience and that those most in need should acquire all the experience possible. However, programs vary considerably, and different experiences require varying levels of readiness for participation. For this reason, a sensitive approach to matching learners with work-related learning opportunities is needed.

The importance of identifying prospective learners and securing appropriate experiences for them can be viewed from two vantage points. Most significantly, program sponsors want learners to benefit from the experiences. Since experiential education requires a substantial investment of all participants time, learners should be at a stage of readiness to benefit from participation, and the experiences should have the capacity for developing the learners. The second concern emanates from the desire to make the program a success. Careful identification of learners can contribute to making this objective a reality.

# IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURES

The basis for identifying learners and matching them with learning experiences consists of both formal and informal measures of their vocational interests and aspirations, their needs and abilities, and their readiness in terms of career, intellectual, and social development. A case can be made for any of these approaches. However, none is without problems. For example, while random assignments have often ended in disappointment, precise job analysis and thorough diagnoses of learners' needs and abilities have not been particularly satisfactory either. Use of tests and other screening devices has frequently been unsuccessful. Interviewing has often proved to be not only time-consuming but also ineffective because of lack of appropriate techniques or trained personnel. This brief discussion of the problems can be no more than illustrative, for the problems and the attending circumstances are far too complex. But the situation is hopeful. Program staff are improving identification procedures. They are becoming more sophisticated about what works-and more importantly, what does not. They are also becoming more sensitive to differences in learners and in work situations.

#### DISADVANTAGED LEARNERS

One problem area still presenting a challenge is that of providing experiential opportunities to individuals who lack knowledge, basic work skills, and positive attitudes. These disadvantaged persons are often unable to benefit from many of the experiential programs, though some individuals will be more likely to benefit than others. Those individuals in the greatest need should be given equal consideration, but how to accommodate learners who cannot benefit greatly from many opportunities remains a mystery. This problem will not be solved easily, but one solution to be avoided is patterning selection processes after those used in industry. In most cases, these practices are inappropriate for experiential programs, supposedly designed for educational purposes and not for employer recruitment and temporary work-force augmentation.

Identification of learners and matching them with editationally productive experiences are crucial initial steps in developing successful experiential programs. They must be executed effectively and fairly with due consideration for both the learners and those who provide the opportunities.



ALL LEARNERS SHOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN PROGRAMS AND SHOULD BE INVOLVED IN DETERMINING THEIR ASSIGNMENTS. PARTICIPATION SHOULD BE BASED ON A CLEAR AND SHARED UNDERSTANDING OF CERTAIN FACTORS: (1) THE SKILLS, KNOWLEDGE, AND ATTITUDES THE LEARNER IS EXPECTED TO DEVELOP; (2) THE LEARNER'S NEED, READINESS, AND CAPACITY FOR DEVELOPING THEM; AND (3) AVAILABILITY OF RESOURCES AND OPPORTUNITIES.

Experiential education opportunities should be open to all who want and need them. However, the selection of participants cannot be done on an open-enrollment basis for all programs. At least two considerations are pertinent here. First, every program cannot be expected to provide an infinite number of experiential learning opportunities. Secondly, and more importantly, the benefits to be derived from any experience depend upon the readiness of the prospective learners to acquire the knowledge, skills, and attitudes for which the program is designed.

Because some experiential education opportunities require more preparation than others, the timing of experiences is critical. Prospective learners who are not ready to benefit from a specific program should be counseled in terms of the prerequisite skills, knowledge, and attitudes required and how they should go about developing them.

Experiential assignments are limited, of course, by the resources available and the capacity of the community to provide the experiential opportunities.

- Programs will develop linkages with other programs to make counseling and referrals a reality.
- Counseling and referrals should become available to any applicants not yet ready or able to benefit from the program.
- Learners will be encouraged to develop the capacities required for targeted experiences.
- Learners will become realistic in their choices of experiential programs.
- Learners will recognize the sequence of experiential education required to fulfill career plans.
- The application/selection process will become a learning experience in itself.

RATIONALE

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

# 7 Access to Various Employment Levels

GAINING ACCESS Most experiential learning opportunities are in occupational areas where youth and other inexperienced workers are usually employed as clerical, sales, and factory workers; as laborers; and as workers in service occupations. These opportunities are less likely to be found in occupations where mature workers are the dominant group. If experiential education were designed only for entry-level job preparation, then the situation might be acceptable. But when all the objectives of experiential education are taken into consideration, the objectives indicate a need for access to a broader range of occupations than many work organizations are presently willing to offer.

THE NEEDS VARY

Experiential programs vary widely in purpose and therefore in need for access to different employment levels. Experiential education for career awareness and exploration requires a number of different opportunities, ideally covering all tobs and occupations. Even when learners have selected a specific job for in-depth exploration, they should be exposed to jobs that lead up to and go beyond that position. This range affords a better career perspective for their present experiences. Experiential education involving training for a specific job often demands a considerable investment of time. Complementing that experience requires exploratory experiences in related jobs at a comparable level and at higher levels for skill transferability and career perspective.

While it may be ideal to open up all work experiences to experiential learners, doing so may not always be practicable. Each program and work organization will have to determine the feasibility of expanding options at different employment levels. And when experiences are made available, they may have to be limited in order to prevent undesirable disruption of the work productivity of the cooperating organizations.

Because many youths and other inexperienced workers have little first-hand contact with the world of work and the various positions they may hold in the future. It is important that they have exposure to the entire spectrum of employment opportunity. It is the previous of experiential education to meet this need.

LIMITS OF THE NEEDS



EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES SHOULD BE DEVELOPED AT VARIOUS EMPLOYMENT LEVELS WITHIN COMMUNITY WORK SITES.

Experiential education is concerned with career opportunities that include, but go beyond, preparation for entry-level jobs. Since a first reaction from employers may be that experiential learners are not ready to participate in higher-level occupations, they should be made aware of the many purposes the programs serve. For example, many experiential learners can develop skills, knowledge, and attitudes better if they have a clear sense of career direction. This entails being able to explore multiple facets of careers that may be open to them in the distant future. Having access to as many employment levels as possible will provide the information learners need to make wise decisions and to pursue their own career direction. While it may be appropriate to start some learners at lower levels, it would be inappropriate to-leave them there.

- Learners placed with workers at high employment levels should be given a clear and complete understanding of the responsibilities involved in careers at these levels to ensure their realistic expectations of such jobs.
- Labor and management representatives should be made aware of how various program purposes can be met by exposing learners to different employment levels.
- Learners will be able to determine their compatibility with persons at various employment levels.
- Learners will become aware of work environments and requirements at various levels and will be able to determine whether work under those conditions is desirable to them.
- Learners will be able to determine what skills, knowledge, and attitudes they will need if they aspire to levels higher than they are now ready to enter.
- Learners will be able to associate with and learn from mature workers.
- Mature workers will be made aware of the talents of younger workers.

**RATIONALE** 

SAFFGUARDS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

21

# 8 Program Expansion

EXPANDING THE NUMBER OF OPPORTUNITIES

Almost every type of work can provide opportunities for experiential learning, but the feasibility of creating an ever-expanding number of experiential opportunities is subject to debate. Surveys have revealed a range of opinion. At one extreme are those who say that only a small fraction of youths can be offered experiential education in the private sector. Conversely, others maintain that experiential opportunities can be expanded substantially and are expected to increase. But the essential question of supply and demand implied here is a relative one: what is the saturation point for organizations in providing opportunities, and how responsive can they be to program requests?

REASONABLE REQUESTS

The increasing numbers of experiential programs are making many varied demands on personnel time and services within work organizations. These requests are necessary if the experiential education objectives set forth by the programs are to be met. Labor and management are now being sought more than ever for their cooperation and expertise, and each new program approaches a work organization with what seems to be reasonable requests. In most cases they are reasonable, but the combined requests of all the programs can become more than the work organization can reasonably be expected to undertake.

While most work organizations recognize the desirability of participating in a program, each organization may set limits on the number of learners they will accept and may impose conditions under which they will accept them. For example, one organization may require learners to meet minimum entry-level job qualifications; another may not allow learners to become directly involved in the work activities; still others will accept a limited number of learners on a trial basis only. These requirements will vary not only from organization to organization, but also from program to program, within one organization.

COORDINATING THE REQUESTS

In cities where experiential education programs place heavy demands on business and industry, strategies have emerged to coordinate the flow of requests between the programs and the work organizations. Some school systems have established clearing houses for requests; others have set up a network through which programs can contact work organizations, in several localities both business and schools have provided full-time personnel to work out the problems. In situations where experiential education is just beginning to take hold, experience indicates that controlled growth of programs is desirable and especially important in developing and maintaining positive relationships among sectors and in achieving quality in the program itself.

EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES SHOULD BE DEVELOPED GRADUALLY, EXPANDING ONLY AFTER PILOT PROGRAMS HAVE DEMONSTRATED SUCCESS.

All participants will be more receptive if they see that the program is sensibly planned. Gradual development of experiential education offerings should lead to effective use of community resources and should ensure positive relationships between programs and community organizations. Controlled growth of experiential education offerings is in the best interest of everyone concerned, especially the learners. Programs that act irresponsibly in this area can undo much that has already been accomplished and can jeopardize the future of other programs. After thorough evaluation of pilot programs has been conducted, the data from these evaluations will indicate whether expansion is warranted.

**RATIONALE** 

 Agreement on success criteria must be established in planning stages. SAFEGUARD

• Eearners will be placed in experiential learning assignments having high probability for growth and development.

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

- The placing of experiential learners into the workplace gradually will create a receptive atmosphere because such a process will not disrupt existing operations of management and labor.
- Community resources will be developed effectively.

# 9 Commitment to Programs

### NEED FOR COMMITMENT

Experiential education programs are dependent upon the support and assistance of a number of cooperating organizations. Without the learning sites provided by community workplaces, these programs would cease to function. Therefore, it is the responsibility of cooperating organizations not only to provide the experiential learning opportunities but also to maintain their involvement for the period to which they are committed.

### POSSIBLE REASONS FOR WITHDRAWING SUPPORT

For a variety of reasons, participating work organizations sometimes withdraw their commitment, and as a result programs have to curtail or suspend their activities. Among reasons given for withdrawal are the following: (1) decrease in productivity; (2) economic setbacks; and (3) labor surpluses resulting from high unemployment. But unstated reasons also account for withdrawal of commitment. Programs poorly planned or managed can cause disturbances in the flow of work activities. Learners may fail to measure up to the expectations imposed upon them. Learners may prove to be a burden to mature workers, requiring too much supervision time or training. Labor and management may decide to invest their time, efforts, and support in other activities considered more beneficial to youth.

### IMPORTANCE OF PLANNING

But the prospect of losing commitment from participating organizations need not be a threat. Many programs have been sustained for years even under hardships caused by declining profits and lay-offs. The key to continued commitment can be found in the planning stages in the agreements made by participating organizations. Most work organizations can project business profit and loss factors sufficiently into the future to indicate how long they can remain involved with the program. In addition, many program objectives can continue to be met regardless of the employment picture. While some features may have to be modified or curtailed, programs need not be suspended.

# RECIPROCAL COMMITMENTS

In essence, programs cannot survive without commitment from cooperating organizations, but commitment is a reciprocal matter. The programs must abide by the agreements made and must strive to accomplish the goals established by mutual agreement with the participating organizations.



COOPERATING ORGANIZATIONS SHOULD BE ENCOURAGED TO SUSTAIN THEIR INVOLVEMENT OVER GIVEN PERIODS OF TIME. THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF THIS COMMITMENT SHOULD BE AGREED UPON IN THE PLANNING STAGES BY ALL INVOLVED.

Experiential education programs must have the continued support and participation of cooperating organizations. Success for the programs is doubtful if the relationship with the organizations they rely upon is tenuous. For this reason, cooperating organizations should accept commitment to the program as part of the agreement, and program developers should provide for gradually increasing participation on the part of these organizations. Most organizations, by advance planning, can make commitments for specific periods of time, ensuring completion of the experiences for a specific number of learners. Increasing or decreasing the number of entering learners will be subject to business upturns or reversals and so must be renegotiated periodically. The duration of each commitment will have to be agreed upon as well as the terms for continuing the relationship. This recommendation applies especially to experiential programs in which learners are paid for their work experiences. 📑

- Alternative plans should be available if the work force is reduced and expential learners are remaining at the same level.
- Program staff should work closely with cooperating organizations, considering their future involvement in long-range plans.
- Learners will be assured of completing their experiential assignments.
- Advanced notice of inability to honor a commitment will enable program staff to secure other experiential learning sites for future learners.
- Employers will be able to decrease participation amicably if business conditions become unfavorable.

RATIONALE

SAFEGUARDS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS



# 10 Wanker Protection

EASING LEARNERS
INTO THE
WORKPLACE

**ECONOMIC CONDITIONS** 

PAST EXPERIENCES

COMMUNICATION AND AGREEMENTS

The prospect of bringing experiential learners into workplaces will sometimes be met with enthusiasm but at other times with apprehension. The smoothness of their transition into the workplace will depend upon several considerations: whether or not they will be paid, the length of their stay, their numbers, and their effect on the work organization. But underlying these considerations are the terms and conditions which govern the learners' participation. These terms and conditions concern the existing work force because some experiential programs have a potential for worker displacement.

Economic conditions and the employment picture influence worker attitudes. In times of high unemployment and competition for jobs, an uneasy climate surfaces. Workers, being concerned about keeping their jobs, become less receptive to bringing experiential learners onto the employment scene. When the employment picture brightens, these concerns seem to dissipate. These phenomena are understandable, but present some degree of a dilemma. When jobs are plentiful, youth seek employment directly; but when jobs are scarce, youth are likely to remain in school and/or to seek out experiential learning opportunities. Therefore, those who promote experiential education will have to reconcile the conflicts inherent in the employment picture. Of paramount importance are clear communication of program purposes and agreements that alleviate workers' concerns about displacement.

This problem area is not without a history. A number of experiential programs have flourished during periods of high unemployment, being successful because of the manner in which the cooperating organizations set the conditions for learner participation. However, there have been other instances where the situation was mishandled. Even though the AFL-CIO gave strong support to passage of the Manpower Development and Training Act (MDTA), many union leaders felt that MDTA tended to train in occupations where jobs did not exist and that it tended to break up occupations requiring apprenticeship. Now that MBTA has been replaced by the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA), similar problems still exist. Organized labor indicates that training under CETA is often offered in areas where there is an existing surplus of trained labor and that misuse of CETA has created substitution of workers by federally financed CETA employees.

Since one of the purposes of experiential education is to assist youths in preparing for work and in securing satisfying, productive jobs, it is incongruous that some of these programs also have the prospect of displacing workers. Apparently, the early planning stages of some programs do not include representatives of all sectors affected by the program and do not develop the necessary agreements relevant to the worker-displacement issue. This is an oversight which inevitably results in unfortunate consequences for all concerned.



THE PRESENCE OF EXPERIENTIAL LEARNERS IN THE WORKPLACE SHOULD NOT RESULT IN THE DISPLACEMENT OF WORKERS. IF THE WORK FORCE IS REDUCED WHILE A PROGRAM IS IN EFFECT, ANY REQUIRED REDUCTIONS IN EXPERIENTIAL LEARNERS OR OTHER PROGRAM MODIFICATIONS SHOULD BE MADE ACCORDING TO TERMS AGREED UPON IN THE PLANNING STAGES.

Because introducing experiential learners who will receive pay for work experiences can be a concern, assurance must be given that worker displacement will not occur. The assurance is essential to ensure positive relationships between the learners and the workers who will be their instructors. The prospect of reducing the number of learners or other program adjustments must be discussed in the planning stages, as well as determining the timing and other conditions related to such modifications. While this recommendation is particularly applicable to programs in which learners are paid, non-paying programs in the planning stages should also consider any possible changes. Any program in the paid employment sector, is vulnerable when worker security is threatened.

RATIONALE

- Experiential learners should clearly understand the relationships between learners and workers.
- All workers should be made aware of the purposes of the program and the conditions under which the learners are present in the workplace.
- Workers will recognize that experiential learners are in the workplace primarily for educational purposes.
- Everyone will understand what conditions require increasing or decreasing the number of experiential learners and what conditions require other program modifications.

**SAFEGUARDS** 

POTENTIAL

# 11 Legal Requirements

PURPOSE OF LEGAL REQUIREMENTS: There are many legal requirements having implications for experiential learners. These requirements, designed to protect youth from exploitation and to ensure their safety and welfare, should be carefully considered so that learners can benefit from full participation in experiential education.

## IMPORTANCE OF INTERPRETATIONS

Those individuals who consider regulations (laws related to child labor, minimum wage, working hours and conditions) as barriers to the experiential programs want them relaxed. They argue that these regulations are antiquated and unduly restrictive. Others disagree. Studies reveal that such laws and regulations affect experiential education opportunities in the workplace to a slight degree. Even though the evidence is mixed, those involved with the programs tend to conclude that the effect on experiential education is not as negative as often presumed, but that the complexity and diversity of such regulations may result in interpretations that limit youth work experiences. What really needs to be investigated, then, is not so much the impact of legal requirements on the programs as the impact of inadequate knowledge about them.

Unions, in particular, are dedicated to the preservation of those laws which protect the rights of all workers, and they would in no way support loose interpretations of laws which would create displacement of employed workers or exploitation of youth.

There will continue to be those who advocate changing labor laws and regulations to open up opportunities for experiential learners, in inevitable conflict with those who believe in the preservation of these legal requirements.

# UNDERSTANDING OR CHANGE?

A reasonable starting point is to gain a thorough understanding of the laws and regulations and the rationale for their existence. Since there are programs functioning effectively within these legal requirements, it seems that experiential education can flourish without change and that change, if it materializes, will evolve slowly with the support of all sectors of society.



ALL PERSONS RESPONSIBLE FOR EXPERIENTIAL PROGRAMS SHOULD BE THOROUGHLY FAMILIAR WITH LEGAL REQUIREMENTS AND RAMIFICATIONS AS THEY APPLY TO EXPERIENTIAL LEARNERS.

Nothing destorys a program faster than infringement upon labor laws and regulations, but these legal requirements need not be viewed as barriers. There is enough latitude within the laws themselves to accommodate the educational activities of experiential programs. But there are definite limits that must be observed to protect the learners and these vary locally and regionally. Anyone involved in implementing experiential programs must follow the laws and regulations which affect much of the policy surrounding the programs.

SAFEGUARD

RATIONALE

Programs in which unpaid learners are engaged may be perceived as abusing labor laws and regulations if the learners' experiences are considered real work experiences for which others in the organization are paid. During the planning stages, clarification and documentation of the unpaid status of learners should be made.

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

- Awareness of legal requirements will prevent undesirable consequences for the program.
- Awareness of legal requirements may open up opportunities previously not known to exist.

1.

# 12 Paid Experiences

# WHEN IS PAY APPROPRIATE?

Apparently, there are as many who argue that pay should be given to experiential learners as there are those who argue against it. Some deem it an incentive or reward for learning, as well as compensation for work; others think it unnecessary, detracting from the experience itself. Part of the dilemma in the "to pay or not to pay" debate is found in the difficult determination of what constitutes productive work in the learning experience. After the nature of the experiences is clarified, the determination of whether compensation is appropriate can be made.

It does not seem possible to make unilateral statements that can govern such considerations. These are matters to be determined for each situation in which the programs function and to be decided upon by persons involved in planning the experiences: labor and management, parents, teachers, administrators, learners, and program staff.

RESOLVING SALARY ISSUES

Federal and state child labor regulations (for general and specific types of employment) working hours, registration of employed minors, and minimum wages determine to a large extent how salary issues will be resolved, but there is much confusion among participants regarding these regulations. These laws overlap and contain many special exceptions and conditions in both primary and secondary sources of youth employment. Therefore, all program participants must work together to achieve mutual agreement and equitable resolution of salary issues.

SALARY ISSUES SHOULD BE COOPERATIVELY DECIDED UPON BY ALL PARTIES INVOLVED IN THE PROGRAM, WORKING WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE APPROPRIATE LAWS, REGULATIONS, AND COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS.

The consideration of wages for experiential education must be made by all parties involved in the program—labor and management representatives, teachers, administrators, program staff, and learners. Uppermost in the consideration are two factors: (1) protecting the agreed-upon wage of worker; and (2) assuring that there is no violation of fair-labor standards or collective bargaining agreements in the payment or nonpayment of learners.

RATIONALE

Learners will be appropriately compensated for work performed.

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

• Fair labor standards and collective bargaining agreements will not be violated.



## 13 Academic Credit

# WHAT IS CREDITABLE?

If participation in an experiential education program has produced competencies ordinarily credited in an educational institution then it should be possible to get credit for them. However, not all competencies relate to an established school curriculum and, therefore, they may not appear to merit such credit. Consequently, the granting of credit also involves a judgment on the credibility of the experiences.

The National Panel on High School and Adolescent Education defines certification as:

... a positive evaluation of a set of learning experiences an individual is "certified" when he or she has completed a set of learning experiences which meet the standards set by the certifying agent. [Granting credit] is the process of giving a positive evaluation to a specific learning experience or set of skills.

From this definition it is clear that credentials cannot exist with the certifying agent. Who is the agent to grant education credits for experiential learning? The answer varies from state to state and within a state itself. By and large, though, secondary and postsecondary schools usually act as the certifying agents. The difficulty, however, is not who will do it but how.

#### HOW CREDIT IS AWARDED

Despite considerable debate in recent years, no method for awarding credit to experiential learners has been judged the best. Nevertheless, there are many schools that give academic credit for learning through experience and several existing models could be useful despite some disadvantages inherent in each. While these models offer hope, it appears that certifying agencies have yet to agree on a basis for accrediting experiential learning.

Academic credits are being awarded for a variety of educational experiences, and so it is becoming increasingly clear that traditional academic credits will be sharing their role with credits gained through experience.

# DECISIONS TO GRANT CREDIT

There is one point, however, which cannot be argued. The decision to include credits as part of the experiential program is most appropriately made at the inception of a program through agreement between the program planners and a certifying agent; and, because teachers are instrumental in the awarding of acdemic credits, they, too, should be involved in this decision if the programs are to be successful



Ĺ

THE CRITERIA FOR GRANTING CREDIT TO LEARNERS FOR PARTICIPATION IN EXPERIENTIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS SHOULD BE AGREED UPON IN THE PLANNING STAGES BY TEACHERS, ADMINISTRATORS, PROGRAM STAFF, AND THE AGENCY GRANTING THE CREDIT.

Schools offering experiential programs should consider granting credit for competencies gained. Most do, but not all apply these credits toward graduation. It is important to recognize that many competencies can be gained by either in-school or out-of-school experiences. This recommendation addresses the need to recognize learning that takes place outside the school in accredited programs. The nature of the credit and the criteria for granting it will vary from program to program.

RATIONALE

 The means and procedures for determining whether competencies have been gained through experiential learning should be given careful consideration. SAFEGUARD

Individuals will have alternate strategies for acquiring academic credits.

#### POTENTIAL BENEFITS

- Schools will have a broad range of certificable experiences and competencies.
- Society will recognize out of school educational experiences designed for credit as an integral part of the school's curriculum.



# 14 Employment Credit

## FUTURE EMPLOYMENT VALUE

Many schools now often grant academic credit for learning acquired through experiential education. In the market place, however, the situation is different. When experiential learners apply for regular employment, work experience acquired in experiential programs is not usually considered in employment decisions and in establishing rates of pay.

When learners fail to receive material compensation for their involvement in the learning programs, they may question the value of the programs and consider themselves no better off than non-program participants.

# DECISIONS WILL VARY

However, there is wide diversity in types of experiential education programs and not all can result in monetary remuneration or other compensation in future jobs. These considerations will have to be determined at the local level for specific programs and in some instances for specific individuals.



# CREDIT FOR PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE IN EXPERIENTIAL EDUCATION PROGRAMS SHOULD BE CONSIDERED BY LABOR AND MANAGEMENT.

Many learners accumulate a substantial amount of experience in productive work through participation in experiential learning programs. They also acquire useful competencies for future employment. Employers and unions should give serious consideration to these factors when hiring experiential learners and establishing their employment position. However, specific decisions made in this regard will have to be worked out according to collective bargaining agreements and the nature of the particular employment situation.

**RATIONALE** 

- Experiential learners will receive appropriate compensation for their work experience and skills.
- Experiential learners will realize the advantages gained from participation in experiential programs.

POTENTIAL BENEFITS



# 15 Preparation of Educational Personnel

#### NEED FOR APPROPRIATE PREPARATION

For most experiential learning programs, the educational function is carried out by professionally trained teachers or counselors, preferably those with experience in both education and industry. The education sector generally believes that the educational functions of society should be carried out by professionally qualified teachers and that education should remain in the control of public systems of education. However, most educators are trained to function in schools, not workplaces. Trying to use the same methods for experiential education as those used in schools, teachers often experience frustrations and disappointment. Therefore, as far as experiential education is concerned, their training needs to be supplemented

Many educators with little or no contact with the busine lack information about the labor market. They also need special competencies to execute career-related programs. Program per, then, will require experiential education preservice and in-set training. They will need special knowledge: how linkages because agencies are established; how to communicate with noneducators and educational matters; how to guide learners through their program experiences, assisting them in interpretation; and how to assess achievement of program objectives.

#### LEARNING THROUGH EXPERIENCE

One method of acquiring these skills is for program personnel to experience the work environments themselves through graduate programs designed to help educators make "the transition from school to workplaces." These programs will include supplemental training specifically designed to assist educators in executing their roles. The expense of such training should be borne by one or more of the participating organizations.



EDUCATIONAL PERSONNEL ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROGRAM SHOULD BE GIVEN A THOROUGH UNDERSTANDING OF THE WORK SETTINGS, THE POTENTIAL OF THE LEARNERS' EXPERIENCES, AND THEIR OWN ROLES IN ASSISTING THE LEARNERS TO ACHIEVE THE PROGRAM PURPOSES. PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS SHOULD ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE TRAINING, COMPENSATION, AND RECOGNITION OF ALL PERSONNEL WHO IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAM.

Extending educational environments beyond the school will be a new experience for many educators associated with the programs (teachers, school administrators, counselors, and program staff). In addition, many will have had little work experience in settings other than schools. For these reasons, educators in these programs must understand how to help learners prepare for the experiences, how to integrate the experiential learning with other educational experiences, and how to assist participants in processing the experiences. Educational personnel also need to have a good grasp of occupational information, business-world principles and operations, economics, labor laws, etc. Knowledge and skills such as these must be gained prior to involvement and must be maintained throughout the program.

- Educational personnel should realize how an understanding of the work environment will be important for them; it enables educational personnel to be effective in interacting with labor and management representatives.
- Learners will be supervised by knowledgeable persons who will help them get the most out of their experiences.
- Educational personnel will have credibility with representatives of work organizations.
- Educational personnel will understand how the total community can be used as a complete educational environment.

RATIONALE

SAFEGUARD

OTENTIAL BENEFITS



# 16 Preparation of Workplace Personnel

#### NEED FOR APPROPRIATE ORIENTATION

Workplace personnel spend much time with experiential learners. Therefore, it is important for them to know how they help the learners achieve program objectives. Perhaps the most effective facet of their role is to communicate who they are, what they do, and how they perceive the world. In this area, they can communicate what educators cannot.

The orientation of personnel from the workplace should provide all the information required to carry out program objectives. It should stress the importance of the worker's role and should preserve the personal entity each worker are the

# MEANINGFUL. INVOLVEMENT

Programs that rely heavily on the consistence of the programs that rely heavily on the conduct of the program lany benefits may accrue from considerate and sincere involvement of workers in the various aspects of program implementation—not only for the learners, but for the workers themselves. And every effort should be made to recognize the important contributions that workers make.

Preservice and in service training for personnel from the workplace and in service training for personnel from the work effort, and expenses for the training should be borne by one or more of the participating organizations.



PERSONNEL FROM THE WORKPLACE WHO ARE CALLED UPON TO INTERACT WITH EXPERIENTIAL LEARNERS SHOULD RECEIVE COMPREHENSIVE ORIENTATION TO THEIR ROLES IN EXPERIENTIAL EDUCATION. COLLABORATION BETWEEN THESE WORKERS AND THE PROGRAM STAFF SHOULD BE MAINTAINED ON A SYSTEMATIC BASIS FOR THE DURATION OF THE PROGRAM. PARTICIPATING ORGANIZATIONS SHOULD ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ADEQUATE TRAINING, COMPENSATION, AND RECOGNITION OF ALL PERSONNEL WHO IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAM.

Perhaps personnel from the workplace who are involved in the programs make the most critical contributions. In many ways they serve as role models for the experiential learners. While it is important that they maintain fidelity to their work roles, it is also important for them to be well informed about the program and what it is striving to accomplish. Further, they should play an active role in shaping the learning experiences. Theirs is a challenging and essential undertaking. All personnel associated with an experiential education program should be provided appropriate training, compensation, and recognition.

RATIONALE

Program staff and personnel from the workplace should interact often to assure that learner outcomes are achieved as a result of their respective roles

**SAFEGUARDS** 

 Orientation programs should be carefully planned and implemented to stimulate and facilitate the participation of workplace personnel.

> POTENTIAL BENEFITS

- Workers will be recognized for their program participation.
- Learners will receive beneficial assistance from personnel who understand their needs and interests.
- Educational personnel will have the assistance of more place personnel who understand the program objectives and who can help learners achieve them.



## **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

by Herbert A. Levine

The preceding guidelines are clearly drawn, comprehensible, and workable. They can be a basis for effective development of collaborative effort to meet the experiential learning needs of young people. They are not designed to be inflexible regulations, but rather it is hoped they will serve as significant guidelines which will make the tasks of the cooperating parties easier and more effective as they develop programs suited to local conditions.

Our group of representatives of labor, management, education, community, and government hotly debated the issues and prospective guidelines for over a year. The resulting consensus may require even further refinement in the crucible of experimentation and program development in the field. Although the guidelines have been reviewed by qualified practitioners, the advisory panel invites your comments and suggestions which should be sent to the National Center for Research in Vocational Education.

It will be to the advantage of all of us if we can introduce young people to the world of work in expeditious, harmonious, and effective ways.

**(** 

ERIC FULL SEASON FROM THE SEAS

## **APPENDIX—PART 1**

Experiential Learning Issues and Guidelines
Program Coordinators and Students—Reactor Group Workshop

The National Center for Research in Vocational Education
April 24-25, 1978

#### **PARTICIPANTS**

## Occupational Work Experience Program

George Hamric, Coordinator Hamilton Township High School 4 4999 Lockbourne Road Columbus, OH 43207

Charles W. Drake 5316 Edgeview Road Columbus, OH 43207

Roger Dale McQuirt 245 Lancaster Obetz, OH 43207

## **<b>Community Action Program**

Robert W. Deraska, Coordinator 326 N. Broad Street P. O. Box 1013 Lancaster, OH 43130

Randy L. Green 2741 Lake Road, SE Lancaster, OH 43130

Donna Courtwright 405 Eagle Avenue Lancaster, OH 43130

#### College Venture Program

Paul E. Dubé, Director
Center for Cooperative Education
Northeastern University
Boston, MA 02115

Justus O'Brien 1003 Abbey Way McLean, VA 22101

Laurel Anne Hirsch 345 W. 85 Street, No. 47 New York City, NY 10024

## **CE2: Experience-Based Career Education**

Sandra Kannonberg, Coordinator 9000 S.W. Durham Road Tigard, OR 97232

Florence M. Thomas 13165 S.W. Ash Avenue Tigard, OR 97223

Jess Smith 11480 S.W. 92nd Tigard, OR 97223

## New Opportunities in Work

Doris W. Stone, Coordinator 318 Broadway Findlay, OH 45840

Executive High School Internships Program

IoAnn Hunter Duperrault, Coordinator Instructional Services Center 707 E. Columbus Drive Tampa, FL 33602



Stuart Martin Langer 8401 Bowles Road Tampa, FL 33610

Twyla Pumroy 6815 South Trask Tampa, FL 33616

# **Building Construction Apprenticeship Program**

Dan Batenburg, Coordinator Community College of Allegheny Co. College Center North 111 Pines Plaza 1130 Perry Highway Pittsburgh, PA 15237

John T. Moffett 3167 Morningside Drive Allison Park, PA 15101

John M. Harding 57 Coulter Street Pittsburgh, PA 15205

## 70001, Ltd.

Alice Robinson, Coordinator 2853 Grove Avenue Lorain, OH 44055

Marcie Monroe 2853 Grove Avenue Lorain, OH 44055

Bonnie Quillen 2853 Grove Ayenue Lorain, OH 44055

## Occupational Work Adjustment Program

David John Miller, Coordinator Hamilton Township High School 4999 Lockbourne Road Columbus, OH 43207

Jerry Brown 71 Mireline Avenue Columbus, OH 43207

Dianne Potvin 3814 Higbee Drive Columbus, OH 43207

## Occupational Work Experience Program

Betty Corbin Hilliard High School 5600 Scioto Darby Road Hilliard, OH 43026

Linda Simovart
Hilliard High School
5600 Scioto Darby Road
Hilliard, OH 43026



## **APPENDIX—PART 2**

Experiential Learning Issues and Guidelines
Policy Makers—Reactor Group Workshop

The National Center for Research in Vocational Education
May 22-23, 19/8

#### **PARTICIPANTS**

Jon Bailey, Legal Advisor
Indiana Department of Public Instruction
120 West Market
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Robert Blum
Experience-Based Career Education
Jefferson County Schools
Lakewood, CO

Ronald Bucknam, NIE Program Officer 1200 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20208

Robert Dunleavy
International Brotherhood of
Electrical Workers
Box 179 RD No. 2
Venetia, PA

Arletta Fountain
Rockwell International ACT Program
600 Grant Street
Pittsburgh, PA

John Graves
Livonia Industry Education Council
32625 West 7 Mile
Livonia, MI 48152

Lester Jipp Community-Based Learning Programs Suite 1300, Two Allegheny Center Pittsburgh, PA 15212

Robert Johns, United Steelworkers 1330 Market North Canton, OH 44714

Mary Kohler
National Commission on Resource.
36 West 44th Street
New York, NY 10036

Richard L. Kole Kolmar Labs 123 Pike Street Port Jervis, NY

Earl Le Doyen Stanton School District 1800 Limestone Road Wilmington, DE 19804

Herbert Levine
ELIG Advisory Panel Chairman
Professor, Labor Studies — Rutgers
New Brunswick, NJ 08903

Joyce McSpadden
Academic Internship Program
West Charlotte High School
2219 Senior Drive
Charlotte, NC 28216

Homer Sweeney
California Association of
Work Experience Educators
40775 Fremont Boulevard
Fremont, CA 94538

Rose Wheeler Director of Youth Programs National Alliance of Business 1730 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Mary Wilkes
Detroit Public Schools
14111 Puritan Street
Detroit, MI 48228

Skyline Project 7777 Forney Road Dallas, Texas 7522/



## MASTER BIBLIOGRAPHY

- The references listed here were reviewed as part of the activity to examine the policy issues. These references appear in another product produced by this project: Experiential Education in the Workplace: An Annotated Bibliography.
- American Federation of Teachers. "Resolution No. 43: Education and Work." Submitted by AFT Executive Council, AFT Convention, August 1976.
- AFL-CIO. Executive Council Statement on Career Education. February 1972.
- AFL-CIO. Statements and Reports Adopted by the AFL-CIO Executive Council. February 21-28, 1977.
- Ashmun, Richard D., and Klaurens, Mary K. "Essentials in Educating the Teacher-Coordinator." American Vocational Journal 44 (1966):28-62.
- Aun, Emil Michael. "Forum for Action." Manpower 4 (1972):23-28.
- Barton, Paul E. Community Councils and the Transition Between Education and Work. Washington, D.C.: National Manpower Institute, 1976s.
- Barton, Paul E. "Youth Transition to Work: The Problem and Federal Policy Setting." In From School to Work, pp. 1-19. Washington, D.C.: The National Commission for Manpower Policy, 1976b.
- Barton, Paul E. Designing Youth Policy: Starting Points. Washington, D.C.: National Manpower Institute, 1977.
- Bednarzik, R., and Klein; D. "Labor Force Trends: A Synthesis and Analysis." Monthly Labor Review 100 (1977):
- Berg, Ivar. Education and Jobs: The Great Training, Robberg, New York: Praeger, 1970.
- Best, Fred, and Stern, Barry. "Education, Work and Leisure: Must They Come in That Order?" Monthly Labor Review 100 (1977):370.
- Bolan, Robert S. "The Gapacity of Work Organizations to Absorb Learners." In "Easing the Transition from Schooling to Work," edited by H. F. Silberman and M. B. Ginzberg. New Directions for Community Colleges IV (1976):95-100.
- Borman, C.; Colson, S.; Evers, M. K.; Godsey, R.; Mayfield, B.; and Nash, W. R. A Unique Learning Opportunity for Gifted and Talented High School Seniors. College Station, Texas: Center for Career Development and Occupational Preparation, College of Education, Texas A & M University, 1977.
- Bottoms, Gene, and Reynolds, Kenneth. "Work Experience Programs for Behavior Modification." American Vocational Journal 44 (1969):24-26.
- Brolin, Donn. "Career Edication Needs of Secondary Educable Students." Exceptional Children 39 (1973):619-624.
- Brown, Donald V. Industry-Education Cooperative Program for Preservice and In-Service Vocational and Technical Teacher Training. Oakridge, Tennessee: Union Carbide Corporation Nuclear Division, 1970.
- Bucknam, Ronald B. "The Impact of EBCE-An Evaluator's Viewpoint." Illinois Career Education Journal 33 (1976); 22-26.
- Budke, Wesley E. Case Studies of Cooperative Programs Between School and Industry. Columbus, Ohio; ERIC Clearinghouse og Vocational and Technical Education, 1972.
- Burck, Charles G. "Schools Where Students Pay to Learn Paying Jobs" Fortune, December 1975, pp. 124-130.

- Burt, Samuel M., and Lessinger, Leon M. Volunteer Industry Involvement in Public Education. Lexington, Massachusetts: Heath, Lexington, 1970.
- Burt, Samuel M. Review of Nontraditional Education Programs and Projects to Identify Those with Characteristics Similar to the Experience-Based Career Education (EBCE) Program. Minneapolis: Ames Corporation, 1974.
- Carlson, Elliot. "Education and Industry: Troubled Partnerships." Saturday Review, August 15, 1970, pp. 45-47.
- Center for Vocational Education, The. Education and Work: Labor Perspectives. Columbus, Ohio: The Center for Vocational Education, 1977.
- Chickering, Arthur W. Education and Identity. San Francisco: Jóssey-Bass, 1969.
- Chickering, Arthur W. Experience and Learning: An Introduction to Experiential Learning. New Rochelle, New York: Change Magazine Press, 1977.
- Churchill, Ruth; Guerrero, A.; Hartle, J.; and Horwitz, H. Coordinating Educational Assessment Across College Centers. Princeton, New Jersey: Cooperative Assessment of Experiential Learning, 1976.
- Clark, Donald M. "Industry-Education Collaborative Efforts in Youth Employment." In *Directions for a Manpower Policy: A Collection of Papers.* Washington, D.C.: National Manpower Commission for Manpower Policy, 9 1970.
- Cohen, Alan J., and Frankel, Steven M. Data Analysis Report: An Assessment of School Supervised Work Education Programs. Santa Monica: Systems Development Corporation, 1973.
- Cohen, Wilbur J. "The Learning and Earning Force." Educational Record 50 (1969):166-170.
- Coleman, James S. (chairman). Youth: Transition to Adulthood. Report of the Panel on Youth of the President's Science Advisory Committee. Washington, D.C.: Office of Science and Technology, 1973.
- Coleman, James S. "Differences Between Experiential and Classroom Learning." In Experiential Learning: Rationale, Characteristics, and Assessment, edited by Morris T. Keeton. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1976.
- Committee for Economic Development, in cooperation with Work in America Institute. Training and Job Programs in Action: Case Studies in Private Sector Initiatives for the Hard-to Employ. New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1977.
- Committee for Economic Development. Jobs for the Hard to Employ: New Directions for a Public-Private Partnership. New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1978.
- Comptreller General of the United States. Difficulties of the Neighborhood Youth Corps In-school Program and Its Management Problems. Washington, D.C.: Department of Labor, 1973.
- Crowe, Michael, and Walker, Jerry. Evaluation of the Executive High School Internships Program: Executive Summary. Columbus, Ohio: The Center for Vocational Education, 1977.
- Crowe, Michael, and Walker, Jerry. Evaluation of the Executive High School Internships Program: Final Report. Columbus, Ohio: The Center for Vocational Education, 1977.
- Crowe, Michael, and Adams, Kay A. The Current Status and Future of Assessing Experiential Learning Programs.

  Columbus, Ohio: The National Center for Research in Vocational Education, 1978.
- Crowe, Michael, and Beckman, Carol. Rerspectives on Investigating the Consequences of Experiential Education:

  Symposium Proceedings. Columbus, Ohio: The National Center for Research in Vocational Education, 1978.
- Cushman, Harold R.; Hill, C.; and Miller, J. The Concerns and Expectations of Prospective Participants on Directed Work Experience Programs. Ithaca, New York: Division of Agricultural Education, Department of Education of the New York State College of Agriculture, Cornell Ugiversity, 1967.
- Davis, Walter G. "The Elementary and Secondary Career Education Act of 1977." Statement before the Subcommittee on Elementary, Secondary, and Vocational Education of the House Education and Labor Committee, March 2, 1977.

- Dewey, John. Experience and Education. New York: Collier Books, 1938.
- Dopp, Joan, and Nicholson, Athyleen. Guidelines for Cooperative Vocational Education in Community Colleges. ED 061 440: Olympia, Washington: ERIC Document Reproduction Services, n.d.
- Douglas, Linda G. Industry and Schools Cooperate In 15/Different Ways. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 1969.
- Duarte, S. R., and Lung, J. E. Bilingual Curriculum and Instructional Program for the Disadvantaged, An Evaluation, 1976-77. Los Angeles: Los Angeles Unified School District, Division of Career and Continuing Education, 1977.
- Duley, J., ed. Implementing Field Experience Education. New Directions in Higher Education No. 6. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1974.
- Ferrin, Richard J.,and Arbeiter, Solomon. Bridging the Gap: A Study of Education-to-Work Linkages. New York: College Entrance Examination Board, 1975.
- Flanagen, J. C. "Education How and For What." American Psychologist 28 (1973):551-556.
- Frankel, Steven, et al. Case Studies of Fifty Representative Work Education Programs. Santa Monica, California. Systems Development Corp., 1973.
- Freedman, Marcia. The Process of Work Establishment. New York: Columbia University Press, 1969.
- Freedman, Marcia. "The Youth Labor Market." In From School to Work, pp. 21-36. Washington, D.C.: The National Commission for Manpower Policy, 1976.
- Fremer, John. "Setting and Evaluating Criterion Standards." In Implementing a Program for Assessing Experiential Learning, edited by W. W. Wellingham and H. S. Nesbitt, pp. 17-35. Princeton: CAEL, 1976,
- Gallagher, Dennis. "Community Efforts to Link Education and Work." In From School to Work, pp. 141-171.
  Washington, D.C.: The National Commission for Manpower Policy, 1976.
- Garbin, A. P.; Campbell, R.; Jackson, D.; and Feldman, R. Problems in the Transition from High School to Work as Perceived by Vocational Educators. Columbus, Ohio: The Center for Vocational and Technical Education, 1967.
- Gibboney, Richard A. and Associates. The Career Intern Program: Final Report, Volume 1: An Experiment in Career Education that Worked, Volume II: Technical Appendices. Washington, D.C.: National Institute of Education Papers in Education and Work, 1977.
- Gibbons, M. "Walkabout: Searching for the Passage from Childhood and School." Phi Delta Kappan, May 1974, pp. 596-602.
- Ginzberg, Eli. "Foreword." In From School to Work, pp. jii-vi. Washington, D.C.: The National Commission for Manpower Policy, 1976.
- Gottlieb, David eteal. Youth and the Meaning of Work. University Park, Pennsylvania: College of Human Development, Pennsylvania State University, 1973.
- Graham, P. A. "Voluntary Action and Experiential Education." Journal of Voluntary Action Research, October 1973, pp. 186-193.
- Graham, Richard. "Youth and Experiential Learning." In Youth, edited by R. J. Havighurst and P. H. Dreyer, pp. 161-193. Chicage. The National Society for the Study of Education, 1975.
- Grambs, Jean D. "The Self-Concept: Basis for Reeducation of Negro Youth." In Education and the Urban Crisis, edited by Roger R. Woock. Scranton, Pennsylvania: International Textbook, 1970.
- Granger, James C.; Moore, A.; and Winkfield, P. Discussion of Industry-Education Cooperation for Adult Learning. Columbus, Ohio: The Center for Vocational Education, 1975.
- Green, Ernest G. "Apprenticeship: A Potential Weapon Against Minority Youth Unemployment." In From School to Work, pp. 201-226. Washington, D.C.: The National Commission for Manpower Policy, 1976.



- Guzzardi, Walter. "Education for the World of Work." Fortune, October 1975, pp. 124-129.
- Guzzardi, Walter The Uncertain Passage from College to Job." Fortune, January 1976, pp. 125-129.
- Haccoun, Robert R., and Campbell, Robert E. Work Entry Problems of Youth. Columbus, Ohio: The Center for Vocational Education, 1972.
- Harris, Edwin F. "Making Every Opportunity." Manpower 4 (1972):36-40.
- Hashian, J. I. 'They Need a Chance to Be Counted." Worklife 2 (1977):32-33.
- Havighurst, Robert J., and Dreyer, Phillip H., eds. Youth. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1975.
- Hearman, Barry. Experiential Learning In the Community College. Topical Paper No. 63. ED 140 901. Los Angeles: ERIC Document Reproduction Service, 1977.
- Hensley, Gene. "Enhancing Business and Industry Participation in Career Education." In Two Studies on the Role of Business and Industry and Labor Participation in Career Education. Washington, D.C.: National Advisory Council on Career Education, June 1977.
- Herman, Melvin; Sadofsky, S.; and Rosenbery, B., ede. Work, Youth, and Unamployment. New York: Thomas Y. Crowell Company, 1968.
- Heyneman, Stephen P. "Continuing Issues in Adolescence: A-Summary of Current Transition to Adulthood Debates." Journal of Youth end Adolescence 9 (1976).
- Hoyt, Kenneth B. *The Concept of Collaboration in Career Education*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1978.
- Jones, Reginald H. "People and Jobs: The Role of the Private Sector." Paper by Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of General Electric Company at the White House Conference on Balanced Growth and Economic Development. January 30, 1978.
- Jung, Steven M. "Evaluating the Work-Education Consortium Project: An Overview of Issues and Options."

  Washington, D.C.: National Manpower Institute, 1977.
- Kaufman, Jacob, and Lewis, Morgan. The High School Diploma: Credential for Employment? Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 1972.
- Keeton, Morris T. and Associates, eds. Experiential Learning. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1976,
- Kemble, Eugenia. Our National Education and Work Policy: Pitfalls and Possibilities. A position paper of the American Federation of Teachers Task Force on educational issues. Item No. QP-17.
- Kielsmeier, Jim. Team Reconnaissance: A.Process for Involving Teachers in the Pre-planning of Experiential Education Projects. ED 141,021. Denver: ERIC Reproduction Service, 1975.
- Kimbrell, Grady, and Vineyard, Ben S. Strategies for Implementing Work Experience Programs. Bloomington, Hlinois: McKnight and McKnight Publishing, 1977.
- Klaurens, Mary. "Co-op Plan or Cop-Out Plan?" DE Today: A Report to Marketing Educators 5 (1971):12.
- Klingstedt, J. L. "Philosophical Basis for Competency-based Education." Change, November 1971.
- Knapp, Joan, and Sharon, Amiel. A Compendium of Assessment Techniques. New York: Cooperative Assessment of Experiential Learning/Educational Testing Service, 1975.
- Knowles, Asa S. and Associates, eds. Handbook of Cooperative Education. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, Inc., 1971.
- Knowles, Malcolm S. The Modern Practice of Adult Education: Androgyny Versus Pedagogy. New York: Association Press, 1970.

- Kolb, D., and Fry, R. "Towards an Applied Theory of Experiential Learning." In *Theories of Group Processes*. London: John Buleg & Sons, 1975.
- Kohne, Andrew 1.; Grasso, J.; Myers, S.; and Shields, P. Career Thresholds: Longitudinal Studies of the Educational and Labor Market Experiences of Young Men. Columbus, Ohio: Center for Human Resources Research, 1977.
- Lesh, Seymour. The Nonprofessional Worker in Youth Employment Programs. New York: Center for the Study of Unemployed Youth, 1966.
- Lesh, Seymour; Delaney, W.; Fraser, B. and Mostow, K. The Transition from School to Work: A Study of Laws, Regulations and Practices Restricting Work Experience and Employment Opportunities for Youth. New York: National Committee on Employment of Youth, 1975.
- Levine, Herbert A. Paid Education Leave. NIE Papers in Education and Work: No. 6. Washington, D.C.:
  National Institute of Education, 1977.
- Levitan, Sar A., and Johnston, William B. Work Is Here to Stay, Alas. Salt Lake City: Olympus Publishing Company, 1973.
- Lewis, Morgan V.; Glyde, G.; McKee, D.; and Kozak, L. School Supervised Work Experience Programs: Costs and Effects on Personal Development, Education, and Employment. University Park, Pennsylvania: Institute for Research on Human Resources, 1976.
- Lofquist, Lloyd H., and Dawis, Rene V. Adjustment to Work: A Psychological View of Man's Problems in a Work: Oriented Society. New York: Appleton-Century Crofts, 1969.
- Manpower Administration. Apprenticeship Training in the 1970's: A Report of a Conference. ED 113 523. Washington, D.C.: ERIC Reproduction Service, 1973.
- Mann, Dale. Policy Decision Making in Education: An Introduction to Calculation and Control. New York: Teachers College Press, 1975.
- McClure, L.; Cook, S.; and Thompson, V. Experience-Based Learning: How to Make the Community Your Class-room. Portland, Oregon: Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory, 1977.
- Meeth, L. R. The Impact of State and Federal Funding Regulations on Non-Traditional Postsecondary Education:
  A Summary. Washington, D.C.: Institute for Educational Leadership, George Washington University, 1975.
- Meyer, W.; Crawford, L.; and Klaurens, M. Coordination in Cooperative Vocational Education. Columbus, Ohio: Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company, 1975.
- Mitchell, Daniel J. B. "A Furor Over Working Children and the Bureau of Labor." Monthly Labor Review 98 (1975): 34-36.
- Mitchell, James P. "New Directions for Apprenticeship Policy." Worklife 2 (1977):2-5.
- Moore, Allen B.; Granger, J.; and Winkfield, P. Case Studies of Selected Cooperative Adult Education Programs. Columbus, Ohio: The Center for Vocational Education, 1975.
- Morton, Herbert C. "A Look at Factors Affecting the Quality of Working Life." Monthly Labor Review 100 (1977):64-65.
- National Advisory Council on Extension and Continuing Education. Proceedings of the Invitational Conference on Continuing Education, Manpower Policy and Effelong Learning. Washington, D.C.: National Advisory Council on Extension and Continuing Education, 1977.
- National Association for Industry-Education Cooperation. Industry-Education Councils—A Handbook. Buffalo: NAIEC, 1978.
- National Association of Secondary School Principals. Conference Report on American Youth in the Mid-Seventies. Washington, D.C.: National Association of Secondary School Principals, 1972.

- National Association of Secondary School Principals. 25 Action-Learning Schools. Reston, Virginia: National Association of Secondary School Principals, 1974.
- National Commission for Manpower Policy. From School to Work: Improving the Transition. Washington, D.C.:

  National Commission for Manpower Policy, 1976.
- National Manpower Institute. Work-Education Councils: Profiles of 21 Collaborative Efforts. Washington, D.C.:
  National Manpower Institute, September 1977.
- National Panel on High School and Adolescent Education. The Education of Adolescents. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 1976.
- Newfield, John W., and Duet, Claude P. "Industry Sees Factors that Hinder Cooperative Programs." Educational Leadership 32 (1975);461-464.
- Ornstein, Michael D. Entry into the American Labor Force, New York: Academic Press, 1976.
- O'Toole, James. Work, Learning, and the American Future. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers, 1977.
- O'Toole, James, et al. Work in America. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT-Press, 1973.
- Parnes, Herbert S., and Kohen, Andrew I. "Labor Market Experience of Noncollege Youth: A Longitudinal Analysis." In Fram School to Work, pp. 47-88. Washington, D.C.: The National Commission for Manpower Policy, 1976.
- Pearl, Arthur; Grant, Douglas; and Wenk, Ernst; eds. *The Value of Youth*. Dávis, California: Responsible Action, 1978.
- Reubens, Beatrice G. Bridges to Work. Montclair, New Jersey: Allanheld, Osmun and Company, 1977.
- Robinson, David. Training and Job Programs in Action: Case Studies in Private-Sector Initiatives for the Hard to Employ. New York: Georgian Press, Inc., 1978.
- Rosenthal, Robert Alan, et al. Pathways to Identity: Aspects of the Experience of Black Youth. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Graduate School of Education, Harvard University, 1971.
- Ruttenberg, Stanley H., and Gutchess, Jocelyn. *Manpower Challenge of the 1970s: Institutions and Social Change*. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins Press, 1970.
- St. Marie, Stephen M. "Employment and Unemployment During the First Half of 1977." Monthly Labor Review 100 (1977):3-6.
- Scharf, Peter, and Wilson, Thomas. "Work Experience: A Redefinition." Theory into Practice XII (1976):205-213.
- Schulman, Mark. "Issues and Strategies for Enhancing the Participation of Labor in the Implementation of Career Education." In Two Studies on the Role of Business, Industry, and Labor Participation in Career Education. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1977.
- Searcy, Ellen. Work Experience as Preparation for Adulthood: A Review of Federal Job Training, Vocational, and Career Education Programs, An Analysis of Current Research, and Recommendations for Future Research. Washington, D.C.: Social Research Group, The George Washington University, 1973.
- Sessions, John A. "Unions, Education, and Employment." Changing Education, Summer 1973, pp. 23-27.
- Sessions, John A. "Misdirecting Career Education." AFL'-CIO American Federationist, March 1974.
- Sexton, R. F., ed. *Dimensions of Experiential Education*. Washington, D.C.: National Center for Public Service Internship Programs, 1976.
- Sexton, Robert F., and Ungerer, R. H. Rationales for Experiential Education. ERIC/Higher Education Research Report No. 3. Washington, D.C.: American Association for Higher Education, 1975.



- Silberman, Harry. "A Proposal for Educational Work Experience." In Labor Market Information for Youths.

  Edited by Seymour Wolfbein. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania: School of Business Administration, Temple University, 1975.
- Silberman, Harry, and Gilzberg, Mark, eds. Easing the Transition from Schooling to Work. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, Inc., 1976.
- Somers, Gerald G., and Stromsdorfer, Ernst W. A Cost-Effectiveness Study of the In-School and Summer Neighborhood Youth Corps. Madison: The University of Wisconsin Industrial Relations Research Institute, 1970.
- Stadt, Ronald W., and Gooch, Bill G. Cooperative Education: Vocational Occupational Career. Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill Company, Inc., 1977.
- Stern, Barry E. Toward a Federal Policy on Education and Work. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Education Division, March 1977.
- Stromsdorfer, Ernst. An Economic Analysis of the Work Experience and Career Exploration Program. Bloomington: Indiana University, 1973.
- Taggart, Robert. "Employment and Training Programs for Youth." In From School to Work, pp. 117-139.
  Washington, D.C.: The National Commission for Manpower Policy, 1976.
- Timpane, Michael; Abramowitz, S.; Bobrow, S.; and Pascal, A. Youth Policy in Transition. Santa Monica: Rand Corporation, 1976.
- Tyler, Ralph Winfred. "The Competencies of Youth." In From School to Work, pp. 89-115. Washington, D.C.: The National Commission for Manpower Policy, 1976.
- Tyler, Ralph; Mangum, G.; Wolfbein, S.; and Matthews, H. Functional Education for Disadvantaged Youth, edited by Sterling M. McMurrin. New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1971.
- United Auto Workers. Labor's Historic Support of Public Education: A Chronology. Publication No. 405. Detroit: United Auto Workers, 1974.
- United Auto Workers. Resolutions. Twenty-fifth Constitutional Convention, Los Angeles, May 15-19, 1977.
- United Auto Workers. "UAW Career Education Statement." Mimeo, January 1976.
- U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. The Education of Adolescents. The Final Report and Recommendations of the National Panel on High School and Adolescent Education, 1976.
- U.S. Department of Labor. Breakthrough for Disadvantaged Youth. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Labor, 1969.
- U.S. Department of Labor. The Awarding of Academic Credit Under the Youth Employment and Demonstration Projects Act of 1977. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Division, November 7, 1977.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Apprentice Training. Apprenticeship: Past and Present. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Labor, 1977.
- U.S. Office of Education. Cooperative Vocational Education Programs. ED 070 853. Washington, D.C.: ERIC Reproduction Service, 1972.
- University of Minnesota. A Guide for Cooperative Vocational Education. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, College of Education, Division of Vocational and Technical Education, 1969.
- Vocational Foundation, Inc. Our Turn to Listen. A White Paper on Unemployment, Education, and Crime Based on Extensive Interviews with New York City Teenage Dropouts. New York: Vocational Foundation, Inc., 1977.
- Walther, Regis. Analysis and Synthesis of DOL Experience in Youth Transition to Work Programs. Washington, D.C.: Manpower Research Projects, George Washington University, 1976.

- Weber, Robert E. The Problem of Youth Training. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 1971.
- Westcott, Diane N. "Youth in the Labor Force: An Area Study." Monthly Labor Review 99 (1976):3-9.
- White House Conference on Youth. Report of the White House Conference on Youth. Stephen Hess, National Chairman. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1971.
- Willingham, Warren W. Principles of Good Practice in Assessing Experiential Learning. Princeton: Cooperative Assessment of Experiential Learning, 1977.
- Willingham, Warren W., and Nesbitt, Hadley S. Implementing a Program to Assess Experiential Learning. Princeton:
  Cooperative Assessment of Experiential Learning.
- Willingham, Warren W.; Valley, J. R.; and Keeten W. Seesing Experiential Learning—A Summary Report of the CAEL Project. Princeton: Cooperative Associated of Experiential Learning, 1977.
- Wills, Joan. Youth Employment: Implications to the Center for Vocational Education, November 1977.
- Winter, Elmer L. "The Businessman's Role in Closing To George Education and the Job." In The Transition from School to Work. Boston: Houghton Willing 1964.
- Wirtz, Willard. Community Education Work Councils. Columbus, Ohio: The Center for Vocational Education, 1976.
- Wirtz, Willard. "Gollaboration in Smoothing the Transition Between Education and Work." The Work Education Exchange 1 (1977):1.
- Wirtz, Willard, and the National Manpower Institute. The Boundless Resource: A Prospectus for an Education/ Work Policy. Washington, D.C.: The New Republic Book Company, Inc., 1975.
- Wolfbein, Seymour. Labor Market Information for Youths. Philadelphia: Temple University School of Business Administration, 1975.
- Wolfbein, Seymour L. "Informational and Counselor Needs in the Transition Process." In From School to Work, pp. 173-200. Washington, D.C.: The National Commission for Manpower Policy, 1976.
- Work in America Institute. "Work-Study Guidelines Proposed." World of Work Report 3 (1978):33, 36-38.
- Wynne, Edward. "Adolescent Allienation and Youth Policy." Teachers College Record 78 (1976):23-40.
- Young, Anne M. "Students, Graduates, and Dropouts in the Labor Market, October 1976." Monthly Labor Review 100 (1977):40-45.

# PUBLICATIONS OF THE NATIONAL CENTER FOR RESEARCH IN VOCATIONAL EDUCATION ON EXPERIENTIAL EDUCATION

## **Products Resulting from this Project**

- Experiential Education Policy Guidelines
- But for Me It Wouldn't Work: Implications of the Experiential Education Guidelines
- Experiential Education: A Primer on Programs
- Experiential Education in the Workplace: An Annotated Bibliography

## Other National Center Products

- The Current Status of Assessing Experiential Education Programs
- Assessing Experiential Learning in Career Education
- Perspectives on Investigating the Consequences of Experiential Education
- Evaluation of the Executive High School Internships Program
- Work Experience and Academic Credit: Issues and Concerns

#### **National Center Studies in Progress**

- A Typology of Experiential Education Programs
- Collaboration in Experiential Education: A Profile of Expectations
- Experiential Education and Adult Role Assumption
- Success Factors in Experiential Education
- Program Culture: Implications for Experiential Education Outcomes
- Experiential Education and Retention of Competencies

Information Gurrent as of April 1979

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

To order any of the above products or to receive further information about the studies, please contact:

Program Information Office
The National Center for Research in Vocational Education
1960 Kenny Road
Columbus, Ohio 43210