

AUTHOR Fiore, Neil A.; Sedlacek, William E.
TITLE The Relationship Between Student Attitudes Toward Quality of Instruction and Other Aspects of a University. Research Report #14-70

INSTITUTION Maryland Univ., College Park. Counseling Center.
PUB DATE 70
NOTE 14p.
AVAILABLE FROM Counseling Center, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742 (\$1.50)

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS College Instruction; Faculty Evaluation; Higher Education; Institutional Research; Program Evaluation; Research Projects; *School Surveys; State Universities; *Student Attitudes; *Student Characteristics; *Student College Relationship; *Teaching Quality; *Undergraduate Students
IDENTIFIERS *University of Maryland College Park

ABSTRACT

Students holding diametrically opposed opinions about the quality of instruction at the University were compared on a number of other attitude variables. A comparison was made of 2,310 students choosing item 2B and 1,625 students choosing item 3B on the 1969 University Student Census, an attitude and activities inventory given annually to all full-time undergraduates. There appear to be some differences between students who feel the best thing about the school is the "quality of instruction" (2B) and those who feel it is the worst thing about the school (3B). The 2B group tended to seek self-development and be stimulated by coursework and felt this coursework required more intensive study outside the classroom. They also tended to have higher educational aspirations, to live with their parents, and to have less well-educated fathers. Generally, the 2B group had more positive feelings about the university, its faculty, and facilities. They tended to feel that students had more channels for expressing complaints and policy-making opportunities, were more likely to feel students who disrupt the university should be suspended, and were less likely to perceive racism at the university than the 3B group. (SW)

 * Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
 * from the original document. *

ED165518

COUNSELING CENTER

Office of Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND

College Park, Maryland



THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STUDENT ATTITUDES TOWARD QUALITY OF INSTRUCTION AND OTHER ASPECTS OF A UNIVERSITY

Neil A. Fiore and William E. Sedlacek

Research Report # 14-70

HE010 733

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Counseling Center
University of Maryland

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT
OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Summary

Students holding diametrically opposed opinions about the quality of instruction at the University were compared on a number of other attitude variables. Students choosing options 2B and 3B to the 1969 University Student Census (USC) (see Appendix) were compared.

Results indicate that there are some differences between students who feel the best thing about the school is the "quality of instruction" (2B) and those who feel it is the worst thing about the school (3B).

The 2B group tended to seek self development and be stimulated by coursework (items 7,21,30) and felt this coursework required more intensive study outside the classroom (item 39). They also tended to have higher educational aspirations (item 16), to live with their parents (item 23) and to have less well educated fathers (item 28). Generally the 2B group had more positive feelings about the University, its faculty and facilities (items 31,33,35,40,41,42,44). They tended to feel that students had more channels for expressing complaints (item 44) and policy making opportunities (item 35), were more likely to feel students who disrupt the University should be suspended (item 38) and were less likely to perceive racism at the University (item 10) than the 3B group.

Thus evidence is provided here that attitudes about what transpires in the classroom are directly related to student attitudes on a variety of topics concerning the University.

Much interest has been expressed in the interaction between the student and his environment; the student's reaction to, attitude toward, and opinion of his academic setting; and the impact of the college experience upon the student (Sedlacek, 1968; Feldman and Newcomb, 1969; Pace, 1969). Even with all this attention on the student and his feelings, many students are insisting that their voices are not heard and that their wishes carry little or no weight in determining school policy. Researchers have grouped students according to their similarities with regard to attitudes, interests, orientations toward ideas and the university, academic expectations, etc. (Apostal, 1968; Clark and Trow, 1966; Pemberton, 1963; Richards and Holland, 1965; Warren, 1968). Though much research has been performed to test for the existence of distinct student orientations, few studies have been put to practical use.

Clark and Trow (1966) derived their four types of student subcultures from the combination of two variables; degree of student identification with his college and degree of student identification with ideas. The Academic subculture is characterized by identification with ideas and the college; the Collegiate subculture is characterized by identification with the college but not with ideas; the Nonconformist subculture is characterized by identification with ideas but not with the college; and the Vocational subculture is characterized by lack of identification with both the college and ideas. Though the Clark-Trow model has some inherent weaknesses (Frantz, 1969), the existence of at least four student subcultures similar to the model have been validated (Gottlieb and Hodgkins, 1963; Pemberton, 1963; Richards and Holland, 1965; Van Adams, 1966; Peterson, 1968; Warren, 1968; Fiore and Sedlacek, 1970).

One aspect of the University of Maryland environment which appears worthy of further study is quality of instruction. Schmidt and Sedlacek (1970) found that lack of stimulating courses was a principal source of student alienation. Additionally Sedlacek (1968) reported that there was more dissatisfaction with the faculty's manner of presenting material at Maryland than at other public universities across the country.

The purpose of this study is to describe two groups of students who have indicated diametrically opposed opinions about the quality of instruction at the University of Maryland. The attitudes and opinions they have indicated by responses to the University Student Census (USC) will be compared in an attempt to gain information about the orientations of these two groups toward the University.

Method

Students choosing option B to items 2 (N=2310) and 3 (N=1625) in the 1969 University Student Census (USC) were separated and compared by chi square analyses on 29 USC items. The USC is an attitude and activities inventory given annually to all full-time undergraduates at the University of Maryland. The Appendix contains items 2 and 3 of the USC.

Results

Seventeen of the 29 items showed significant differences beyond the .001 level (see Table 1). Caution should be taken in generalizing any significant differences found in this study to samples with smaller N's. The size of the samples used in this study are so large that small differences will tend to achieve statistical significance while perhaps being nonsignificant for practical purposes.

Discussion

Results indicate that there were some differences between students who feel the best thing about the school is the "quality of instruction" (2B) and those who feel it is the worst thing about the school (3B).

The 2B group tended to seek self development and be stimulated by coursework (items 7, 21, 30) and felt this coursework required more intensive study outside the classroom (item 39). They also tended to have higher educational aspirations (item 16), to live with their parents (item 23) and to have less well educated fathers (item 28). Generally the 2B group had more positive feelings about the University, its faculty and facilities (items 31, 33, 35, 40, 41, 42, 44). They tended to feel that students had more channels for expressing complaints (item 44) and policy making opportunities (item 35), were more likely to feel students who disrupt the University should be suspended (item 38) and were less likely to perceive racism at the University (item 10) than the 3B group.

Thus evidence is provided here that attitudes about what transpires in the classroom are directly related to student attitudes on a variety of topics concerning the University. As noted earlier, lack of stimulation in one's courses has been linked with student alienation (Schmidt and Sedlacek 1970). Additionally the groups identified in this study resemble at least two of Clark and Trow's (1966) types: Academic for the 2B group and Nonconformist for the 3B group.

Some readers may feel that the nonconforming 3B group is less able and therefore they get lower grades and one would expect more negative attitudes from such a group. Research indicates that it is students with the most ability (Collins & Sedlacek 1970) that are most critical of the

4

University. However, future research should further explore this relationship as well as relating other variables to attitudes toward quality of instruction, including faculty and departmental ratings, age, sex, and grades.

Of course no cause-effect statements can be made based on these data but faculty and administration should be made keenly aware that student attitudes toward quality of instruction do not exist apart from other aspects of the university or society.

C

TABLE I

Percent Responses to USC Items Showing Significant Differences (.001 level) Between Students Choosing USC Responses 2B and 3B (see Appendix)

	7. Which of the following contributed most to your own development during the past year?
<u>28 38</u>	
22 14	A. Course work in my major field of interest
11 13	B. Extra curricular organization activities
12 14	C. Individual or independent research or study
7 10	D. Social life (dating, parties, etc.)
16 9	E. Course work in general
9 18	F. Friendships made
10 9	G. Job experience
4 3	H. Contacts with faculty member (s)
<u>9 10</u>	I. Other
100%100%	

	16. How much education do you expect to get during your lifetime?
<u>28 38</u>	
7 6	A. College, but less than a bachelor's degree
28 36	B. BA or equivalent
38 35	C. 1 or 2 years of grad. or prof. studies
11 10	D. Doctor of Philosophy or Doctor of Education
5 3	E. Doctor of Medicine
2 1	F. Doctor of Dental Surgery
4 4	G. Bachelor of Laws
0 0	H. Bachelor of Divinity
<u>5 5</u>	I. Other
100%100%	

	10. What is the main reason you feel there are few black students at the University of Maryland at College Park?
<u>28 38</u>	
24 21	A. Blacks prefer to go to black colleges
10 8	B. The University discourages them from coming because of its tough academic reputation
8 11	C. The University's racist practices discourage them from coming
12 18	D. The University's racist image discourages them
25 19	E. Don't know
<u>21 23</u>	F. Other
100%100%	

	21. About 50% of university students typically leave before receiving a degree. If this should happen to you, which of the following do you think would be the most likely cause?
<u>28 38</u>	
32 31	A. Absolutely certain I will obtain a degree
7 8	B. To accept a good job
8 7	C. To enter military service
11 9	D. It would cost more than my family and I can afford
10 12	E. Marriage
7 11	F. Disinterest in study
9 7	G. Lack of academic ability
5 3	H. Inefficient reading or study skills
<u>11 12</u>	I. Other
100%100%	

TABLE 1, Contd.

23. Where will you be living this semester?

<u>28</u>	<u>38</u>	
31	21	A. Parent or guardian's home
4	4	B. Other relative's home
7	6	C. Off campus room
19	20	D. Rent, own, or share an apartment
3	11	E. Fraternity or sorority house
28	32	F. University dormitory
1	1	G. Veterans family unit
5	3	H. Own or rent a house
<u>2</u>	<u>2</u>	I. Other
100%	100%	

28. father's education: Please indicate which of the following statements describes your father's education.

<u>28</u>	<u>38</u>	
18	14	A. Less than high school diploma
25	23	B. High school graduate
5	6	C. Some college work at the Univ. of Md., but no degree
6	7	D. College degree from the Univ. of Md.
5	6	E. Some college work at another college in the state of Md.
3	5	F. College degree from another college in the state of Md.
12	11	G. Some college work at another college outside the state
22	23	H. College degree from another college outside the state
<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	I. Other
100%	100%	

TABLE I Contd.

		A	B	C	D	E	F	
	Response Group	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Other	Total %
30.	Most of my courses are stimulating and exciting	28	9	39	13	3	6	100
		38	4	13	25	34	5	100
31.	Most faculty advisors have act like they really care about students.	28	11	38	26	13	5	100
		38	4	22	27	28	15	100
33.	Most administrators here act like they really care about students.	28	7	29	36	15	6	100
		38	4	16	33	25	17	100
35.	University students have ample opportunity to participate in University policy making.	28	13	31	27	17	7	100
		38	10	21	24	25	17	100
37.	There should be a special college for new students undecided about their major.	28	12	24	31	24	6	100
		38	19	22	29	21	6	100
38.	The University should suspend students who disrupt the normal operations of the University.	28	25	28	21	14	7	100
		38	18	23	22	20	12	100
39.	Most courses require intensive study and preparation outside the classroom.	28	20	47	18	9	2	100
		38	17	39	19	17	5	100
40.	Most organized student activities on campus are ridiculous.	28	8	8	25	39	15	100
		38	8	13	29	35	12	100
41.	Major University-wide events draw lots of support and enthusiasm.	28	9	37	30	15	4	100
		38	5	28	31	26	6	100
42.	There are many facilities and opportunities on campus for individual creative activities.	28	15	49	22	7	3	100
		38	12	42	25	12	5	100
44.	Channels for expressing student complaints are readily available.	28	9	30	34	17	5	100
		38	7	21	29	28	12	100

References

- Apostal, R. A. Student subcultures and personal values. Journal of College Student Personnel, 1968, 9, 34-39.
- Clark, B. R., & Trow, M. Determinants of college student subcultures. In Newcomb, T. M., & Wilson, E. K. (Eds.) The study of college peer groups. Chicago: Aldine Publishing Co., 1966.
- Collins, Anne, & Sedlacek, W. E. Differences between high ability sophomores and sophomores in general at the University of Maryland. Counseling Center Research Report 7-70. University of Md.
- Feldman, K. A., & Newcomb, T. M. The impact of college on students. Vol. 1. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969.
- Flore, N. A., & Sedlacek, W. E. An empirical description of student subcultures at the University of Maryland. Counseling Center Research Report 4-70. University of Md.
- Frantz, T. T. Student subcultures. Journal of College Student Personnel, 1969, 10, 16-20.
- Gottlieb, D., & Hodgkins, B. College student subcultures: their structure and characteristics in relation to student attitude change. School Review, 1963, 71, 265-289.
- Pace, C. R. Five college environments. College Board Review, No. 41, 24-28. Cited by K. A. Feldman and T. M. Newcomb. The impact of college on students. Vol. 1: San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1969, 124-132.
- Pemberton, W. A. Ability, values and college achievement. Newark, Del.: University of Delaware, 1963.
- Peterson, R. E. College student questionnaire technical manual. Princeton: Educational Testing Service, 1968.
- Richards, J. M., Jr., & Holland, J. L. A factor analysis of student "explanations" of their choice of a college. ACT Research Reports. No. 8. Iowa City, Iowa: American College Testing Program, 1965.
- Schmidt, D. K., & Sedlacek, W. E. Variables related to university student alienation. Counseling Center Research Report 13-70. University of Md.

Sedlacek, W. E. ACT survey of Educational status and progress.
(Reported at Counseling Center Research and Development Meeting,
October 22, 1968). Mimeo, University of Maryland, 1968.

Van Adams, D. (Personal communication to T. T. Frantz), 1966.
Cited by T. T. Frantz, Student subcultures: Journal of College
Student Personnel. 1969, 10, 16-20.

Warren, J. R. Student perceptions of college subcultures. American
Educational Research Journal. 1968; 5, 213-232.

Appendix
Items 2 and 3 of the 1969 University Student Census

2. To me, the best thing about the University is
(choose one):

- A. Campus atmosphere
- B. Quality of instruction
- C. Student activities available
- D. Large size
- E. Geographical location
- F. My relationship with fellow students
- G. Course subject matter
- H. The way it is run
- I. Other

3. To me, the worst thing about the University is
(choose one):

- A. Campus atmosphere
- B. Quality of instruction
- C. Student activities available
- D. Large size
- E. Geographical location
- F. My relationship with fellow students
- G. Course subject matter
- H. The way it is run
- I. Other