

Document Resum

BD 147 909

CS 501 936

AUTHOR TITLE

Davidson, William C.

The Current Status of Teacher Preparation Programs in

Speech Communication.

PUB DATE NOTE

10p.; Study prepared at University of Wisconsin

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage. Curriculum Design; *Methods Courses: Professional Education; Program Evaluation; *School Surveys; *Speech Communication; *Student Teaching; *Teacher

Certification: *Teacher Education: Teacher Education

Curriculum

ABSTRACT

A national survey of all (676) teacher preparation programs in speech communication was conducted during 1976 and 1977. Responses were received from 266 (42%) institutions; of these responses, 219 (34%) were usable. This paper reports the informational and attitudinal responses on the following subjects: the department and the institution; state certification requirements: the professional education sequence; the student teaching experience; the methods course; and the curriculum within the major. Tables of findings are included: (Author/RL)

Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished materials not available from other sources! ERIC makes every effort to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not mesponsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions. * supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original.

U S OEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EOUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EOUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OP VIEW OR OPINIONS STA MED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OF POLICY

THE · CURRENT STATUS OF TEACHER

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

PREPARATION PROGRAMS IN SPEECH COMMUNICATION

William C. Davidson

William C. Davidson

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) AND USERS OF THE ERIC SYSTEM "

Abstract: During 1976-77, under the auspices of the Educational Policies Board of the S.C.A., the author conducted a national survey of all teacher preparation programs in speech communication. The survey elicited informational and attitudinal responses about: (1) the department and the institutions; (2) state certification requirements; (3) the professional education sequence; (4) the student teaching experience; (5) the methods course; and (6) the curriculum within the major. Responses were received from 266 (42%) institutions; of these responses, 219 (34%) were useable.

This study, conducted during 1976-77, is the first effort to survey all college level programs (with a major) leading to the certification of secondary teachers of speech communication. The questionnaire contained 153 items (nine pages) and was designed to be as comprehensive as possible; hopefully, it will provide a basis for future comparisons. The central objective was to establish current trends and project future directions. Every attempt was made to develop questions which would provide a comparative base with previous research.

According to information supplied by the State Education Departments, there are 676 programs with majors leading to the certification of secondary teachers of speech communication, although the actual number is somewhat closer to 600. Approximately 45% of the accredited colleges in the United States have a teacher preparation program in speech communication. The survey yielded a 34% (219) return. Addressed to Department Chairpersons, the questionnaire was filled out by those faculty most responsible for the teacher preparation program.

Department/Faculty/Students

of the 219 respondents, approximately two-thirds (60%) were from state schools, while the remaining (40%) were from privately supported institutions. Although the name of the department in which the program existed varied considerably, the following four titles were most frequent (85%): Speech and Drama (34%); Communication (20%); Speech Communication (18%); and Speech (13%). The difference in department title (as well as differences in program) reflect the different perceptions of the field today.

Most of the respondents (80%) hold a full time appointment in one of the above departments; one-third (32%) hold the rank of professor and two-thirds (67%) have the Ph.D.

Within the past three years (74-76), the 219 responding institutions graduated 4,285 students with teacher certification in speech communication (more than 1,400 graduates each year). If the responding institutions are any indication, we are graduating approximately 4,300 new teachers each year (and there has been virtually no decline during the past three years). It should be noted, however, that while the number of majors graduating with teacher certification has remained almost the same, the number of majors has increased considerably (See Table I).

TABLE/I (Means)



^{1.} Number of undergraduate majors: 74-75 = 96.9; 75-76 = 106.9; 76-77 = 129.

^{2.} Number of undergraduate majors seeking teacher certification: 74-75 = 16.7; 75-76 = 16.4; 76-77 = 18.4.

^{3.} Number of undergraduate majors who graduated: 73-74 = 19.3; 74-75 = 21.4; 75-76 = 28.0,

^{4.} Number of undergraduate majors graduating with teacher certification: 73-74 = 6.5; 74-75 = 6.5; 75-76 = 6.4.

Certification Requirements

Table II presents a summary of current opinions regarding certification requirements.

<u> </u>	. (,	TABLE I	- 	•		
		Strongly Approve	2	No Opinion		Strongly isapprove
	<u>, </u>		2	3	4	5
1.	There should be separate certification standards,			,,,	_	•
	for speech and theatre.	39%	19%	13%	15%	12%
2.	S.C.A. should become an accrediting agency.	28%	25%	. 18% ,	12%	14%
3.	The program shall pro- vide for competencies in				•	
	peech fundamentalspersonal proficiency	767	15%	<u>£</u> 2% .	. 1%	3%
	in oral communication,	69	23%	. 3%	. 2%	2%
_	-public address	60 %	24%	7%	4%	2%
•	oral interpretation	5 3 %	27%	13%	3%	- 3%
	dramatics	- 43 % }	25%	14%	9%	6%
	simple speech problemsdialects and other	37%	31%	15%	10%	4% . •
	regionalisms	20%	. 25%	35%	1,3%	5%
4.	Certification standards recommended by S.C.A. (Speech Teacher, Novem-		: l	· ;		
•	ber, 1975) are adequate.	17%	41%	22%	. 4%	1%-

Professional Education Sequence

The average number of semester credits required in the professional education sequence was 24%. A vast majority (81%) of the respondents felt that these requirements were "very adequate" (35%) or "adequate" (46%). Most institutions require a course in the "Psychology of Education" and in the "Philosophy of Education," Other course requirements in education, except for student teaching, varied considerably. Most institutions (91%) require a

proficiency level (or course requirement) in English, while only 42% have a similar requirement in speech communication.

Student Teaching

More than half (53%) of the respondents reported that the supervision of student teaching was assigned to someone outside of their department. Most (52%) reported that the supervisor was responsible for grading the student teaching experience. However, the majority (51%) also indicated that either "no grade". or "pass-fail" (rather than a letter grade) was the grading system employed. though most faculty receive a load reduction for student teaching, the formula varied so much than no single pattern dominated. The duration of student teaching also varied (ranging from 1 to 25 weeks); most (57%) reported the following time spans: eight weeks (18%); ten weeks (12%); sixteen weeks (16%); and eighteen weeks (11%). The student credit hours received for student teaching ranged from three credits to twenty-five credits and the mean for all respondents was 8.6 hours. A majority (74%) reported between six to twelve semester credit hours: 24% reported six credit hours; 26% reported eight credit hours; 12% reported ten credit hours; 12% reported twelve credit hours. Most respondents reported that they observed the student teacher three times, spending (on the average) 2.7 hours a week with the supervision of student teaching

The Methods Course

Most respondents (80%) indicated that a methods course in the leaching of speech communication was required and that it was taught by a faculty member in the speech communication department (88%). Although most respondents indicated that the course also addressed the teaching of theatre, 20% reported that their institutions had a separate methods course for theatre. Typically the methods course is three semester credit hours (58%) and most (75%) found the hours

required to be "adequate." Most instructors (52%) require observation of instruction in the secondary classroom and a third (36%) require the students to teach a unit in the secondary school. Most (51%) of those instructors who do not include a teaching experience in the methods course, noted that they thought it ought to be included. Most institutions (54%) do not require a minimum G.P.A. in the major for students entering the methods course.

One of the more interesting aspects of the survey pertained to the content of the methods course. As noted in the table below, most respondents emphasize (1) the teaching of public speaking, interpersonal communication, (2) the development of teaching resources, and (3) lesson plans. Beyond these areas, the emphasis varies, although not perhaps as much as previous research has indicated. In sum, our approach to the methods course produces a rather consistent pattern.

TABLE III

						
-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	No No Emphasi	s . 2	.Some Emphasis 3	4.	Major Emphasis
7					•	
1.	Teaching of public speaking	` 3%	3%	21% ,~	39%:	33%
2.	Teaching of film	, 49%	27%		3%	1%
3.	. Teaching of broadcast media	30% -	- 23%	33% • ^	12%	2%
4.	Teaching of interpersonal				f	•
	communication	· 8%	' 8%	25%	35%	23%
5.	Teaching of drama	11%	15%	2 8 %	26%	21%
6.	Teaching of communication					•
-	theory	. 15% .	` 16%	43%	`19%	7% .
7.	Teaching of journalish 🐉	70%	14%	9%	5%	2%
8.	Teaching of oral interpre-	•			,	•
	tation :	14%	28%	. 32%	17%	9% <u>_</u> '
9.	Directing media activities	39%	-25%	26%	10%	5%
10.	Directing debate & forensics	' 11%	12%,	30%	33%	13%
11.	Directing theatre	15%	√ 19%	- 26%	24%	17%
12.	Development of teaching re-	- .		•		
	sources (one area)	10%	8%	22%	27%	33%
13.	Development of teaching re-			, , ▼ .	,	•
	sources (all areas)	8%	14%	26%	27%	24%
						-,

TABLE III (Conttinue'd

		•		_		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	No Emphasis		Some Emphasis	•	Major . Emphasis
•	•	1	2	[™] 3	- 4	<u>, 5</u>
,		~14 + FFF	<u> </u>			
14.	Development of lesson plans	3% •	6%	14%	30%	48%
15,	·History of speech education ,	24%	40%	23%	9%	3%
16.	A philosophy of the field	6%	12%	·30% -	28%	24%
17. 18.	Test construction Criticism of communication	. 8%	17%	3 7%	28%	9%
	behaviors	9%	8%	28%	37%	19%

The Major

Most institutions (52%) require between 31 and 40 semester credit hours in speech communication for the major seeking teacher certification although there is considerable variance. Table IV presents the range of semester credit hour requirements and the percent of institutions which adhere to that requirement.

TABLE IV .

	Range of Semester Credit Hours	Percent	
-	24 semester hours (or less). 25-30 semester hours 31-34 semester hours 35-40 semester hours 42 semester hours (or above)	. 23 . 16 . 36	

Most respondents (85%) indicated that the course requirements stipulated specific courses (rather than optional requirements which allow for a selection between two or three courses). Those courses required by most institutions (50% or more) include: oral interpretation, argument, and public

-7-

address. No other courses are required by 50% or more of the responding institu-

The survey also sought to establish attitudes toward requiring specific courses. Table V lists those courses which most respondents thought "ought to be required" or "probably should be required."

_		•	TABLE V		·
, _		* 1	Ought To Be Required	Probably Should Be Required	Combined Percent
	L,	Discussion	4 65%	. 26%	91%
2	2.	Interpersonal			
		Communication .	£ 64%	26%	20%
, 3	3.	Drama 🐱	62%	• 19%	81%
. 4	١.	Argument'.	ند 59 %	27%	86%
5	.	Public Address	58%	24%	82%
6	.	Oral Interpretation	58%	24%	82%
. 7	7.	Directing Debate Acti-			
•		vities	52%	32 % +	84%.
8	3.	Persuasion	* 49%	39%	88%
9).	Directing Drama Acti→	•		,
	٠,	vities	4 2%	31%	73%
` 10	َ ئ.(Communication Theory	38%	35%	73%
11		Voice and Articulation	58%	24%	62%
12		Mass Communication	Six.	26%	56%
13	}.∼	Rhetorical Theory	24%	31%	55%
	١.	Broadcast Media	23%	29%	52 %
15		Directing Media Acti-	•		
		vities	18%	32%	50%

It is noteworthy that the three courses which received the highest level of endorsement are not required at most institutions. If the attitudinal response is considered indicative, we could expect to see course work in discussion and interpersonal (or small group process and human interaction) become a requirement. The likelihood of drama becoming a course requirement will undoubtedly be affected by the acceptance or rejection of drama as a separate (or special) certification area. Obviously, at the present time, most institutions

do not separate these areas. Similarly, the like ihood that a course (or courses) in mass communication would become a requirement for the student seeking teacher certification will be affected by the outcome of current efforts to reshape certification requirements.

FOOTNOTES

This study stemmed from a recommendation of the Memphis Conference of Teacher Educators (1973). Specifically, the Conference requested that the Educational Policies Board "commission a survey of teacher education in speech communication."

²A copy of the questionnaire and the response obtained on all items is available from the author.

³Several people were involved in the construction of the questionnaire. A pilot study was conducted in 1975 and the instrument was refined further in 1976. Members of the Educational Policies Board (Ron Allen, Robert Kibler, Sharon Ratliffe, and Barbara Lieb-Brilhart) were especially heapful. Studies conducted by Wilds (1918), Ritter (1937), Erickson (1963), Applebaum and Jenson (1973) and Julian (1975) were especially helpful in constructing the questionnaire.

Fifteen percent of the respondents (34) reported that the program did not exist. In addition, the listing used for California is not accurate.

Such variations as "Speech and Theatre," the addition of "Arts" or "Arts and Sciences," and the use of the plural, rather than the singular, were included in the above four categories:

Responses were tabulated on the basis of state (as well as region); consequently, further research could establish a correlation between the state and the attitude of the respondents toward the specific certification standards in that state.

Unfortunately, the statement is vague, for it is not clear whether speech and theatre is perceived as a separate category or two separate categories.

Given the level of support for the idea of accreditation, the association may very well want to consider the possibility of providing such a service.

The S.C.A./A.T.A. Joint Task Force on Teacher Preparation recently (1976) formulated a statement of "Competency Models in Communication and Theatre." That statement sets forth separate competencies for "specialists" at the secondary level in: (1) speech communication (with additional competencies for the forensics specialist), (2) theatre, and (3) mass communication.