DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 142 557 SP 011 392

AUTHOR Roth, Robert A.

TITLE A Survey Instrument to Determine the Status of

Competency-Based Teacher Education in a Particular

State.

INSTITUTION Michigan State Dept. of Education, Lansing.

PUB DATE Jul 74 NOTE 10p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Instrumentation; *Performance Based Teacher

Education: *Preservice Education: *Questionnaires: Schools of Education: State Departments of Education:

*Teacher Education; *Teacher Programs

IDENTIFIERS Michigan

ABSTRACT

This questionnaire was designed for state education personnel who wish to ascertain the number and nature of competency-based teacher education programs in their state. The document in its present form has been field tested in two states in which surveys were conducted using preliminary versions of this form. The form may be modified to obtain information suited to the needs of other users. The form is flexible enough that sections may be removed or inserted as required. (JD)



Property of the State of the St

A SURVLY INSTRUMENT TO DEFERMINE THE STATUS OF COMFETENCY-BASED TEACHER EDUCATION IN A PARTICULAR STATE

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THE TO MENT HAS BEEN HEPRI FORKA TO AT HE EVETTORING THEFFER IN HERZELZHT NORGEN HEND TO BY TO FEW HEPRI TOTAL OF A TREE AND WREEKE FOR TOTAL OF A TOTAL REPORT Mary Conting of the state of th

Robert A. Roth Michigan Department of Education July 1974



A Survey Instrument to Determine the Status of Competency-Based Teacher Education in a Particular State

This survey was designed for state education personnel who wish to ascertain the number and nature of competency-based teacher education programs in their state. The document may be used by any individual in need of such information and can be modified to obtain more specific details on aspects of CBTE programs of interest to the individual conducting the survey. Data is also collected on future plans of the institutions surveyed.

The initial definition was purposely written in broad terms to encourage programs to provide further information. A precise or narrow definition might exclude some programs from responding, and the main purpose of the survey is to acquire data on the nature of the programs which have elements of the competency-based approach. In addition, there is no consensus on a definition of competency-based teacher education at this time. Those conducting surveys may wish to insert their own definition so as to obtain data on specific kinds of CBTE programs.

The document in its present form has been field tested in two states in which surveys were conducted using preliminary versions of this form (New Jersey, Michigan). An individual may, however, modify the form to obtain information suited to his purposes. For example, more information may be required on module development such as field testing time, number of studentattempts allowed per module, etc. The survey form is flexible enough that one may remove or insert sections as required.



CBTE SURVEY

Respondent

					
					
-					
ceive a	сору	of the	results	of the	survey?
no					
		_to:			
	-	<u>_</u>			
				•	
	ceive a	ceive a copy no	ceive a copy of the	ceive a copy of the resultsnoto:	to:



Thank you!

CBTE SURVEY

Competency-based teacher education (CBTE) can be briefly defined as follows:

Competencies to be demonstrated are explicitly stated and made public in advance; learning activities to facilitate student's achievement of competencies are developed; criteria for assessing competencies are consistent with specified competencies, are explicit, and made public in advance; and performance is the primary source of evidence.

Please answer either area A or B only.

Α.	We	do not have any CBTE programs at this time
		We are, however, interested in and exploring the concept. yesno
	2.	We are planning to implement CBTE on a trial basis within the nextyears, in the following areas:
		Elementary Education: yesno
		Secondary Education: (please list)
		Graduate program (s): (please list)
		Other:

If you checked A, survey is completed, stop here.



1

you checked E	3, p)	lease continue with survey.		•
n e fo llowing ch	nara	cteristics apply to the program(s):*		
heck if Applies o your program			does it ap	
-		npetencies (Knowledge, skills, behaviors) be demonstrated by the student are	y es	no
	1)	derived from explicit roles,		-
	2)	stated so as to make possible assessment of a student's behavior in relation to specific competencies,		
	⁻ 3)	made public in advance		
	Pro	gram competencies are based on		
	4)	knowledge criteria (assess cognitive 'understandings)		-
	5)	<pre>performance criteria (assess teaching behaviors)</pre>		***************************************
	6)	product criteria (assess effectiveness in terms of pupil growth)		
	Cri	teria to be employed in assessing competencies	are	
	7)	based upon, and in harmony with specified competencies		
	8)	explicit in stating expected levels of mastery under specified conditions		
	9)	made public in advance		

	As s	essment of the student's competency:
	10)	uses his performance as the primary source of evidence,
	11)	takes into account evidence of the student's knowledge relevant to planning for, analyzing, interpreting, or evaluating situations or behaviors,
	12)	strives for objectivity.
	13)	The student's rate of progress through the <u>entire</u> teacher education program is determined by demonstrated competency rather than by time or course completion.
	14)	The instructional program is intended to facilitate the development and evaluation of the student's achievement of competencies specified.
	15)	Modules have been developed (modules are learning activities which specify objectives, prerequisites, pre-assessment, learning activities, post-assessment techniques and standards).
	16)	How many modules have been developed?
	17)	Instruction is individualized and personalized, real choices among means to achieve objectives are made available to the individual. (e.g. class attendance not mandatory, other options available).
	18)	The emphasis is on exit, not on entrance requirements.
-	19)	The student is held accountable for performance, completing the preparation program when, and only when he demonstrate the competencies
	20)	The program is field centered prior to student teaching.
	21)	A theoretical or research base for the curriculum has been developed and can be identified.



22) In what areas do you have CBTE program	22)
--	-----

		A	B %	C	D	Е	F	G	Н
a .	Elementary						,		
٥.	Secondary (list)								
	ab				_				
	c								
	e								
c.	Graduate :								
	(list field)	•				•			
	b								
d.	Other								
Key						·			
	# of students Percentage of al	l teach	er educ	ation s	tudents	s in this	area t	hat are	in

- CBTE programs
- C. Year of operation
- D. Length of CBTE program (2 semesters, etc.)
- E. # of students graduated to date
- F. # of faculty in CBTE in this area (indicate full-time, f.t., part-time, p.t.)
- G. When do students enter program (junior, senior, etc.)?
- H. Certificate issued (provisional, continuing)

23)	Student entry into CBTE program required?
24)	Total number of faculty involved?
25)	Total number of students involved?

- 26) Number of schools cooperating in program?
- 27) Does program operate on special funding, such as Teacher Corps, etc? yes ____no ___source:



28)	Reaction to your CBTE program: positivenegativecomments:
29)	Do you plan to expand your program?yesnoexplain:
30)	If there are any innovative or significant elements in the school-college relationship briefly describe:
31)	Is program evaluation being planned or in progress?
32)	How do you evaluate your program?
	•
33)	'Is there a competency-based inservice (school) component in your program? yesno
34)	If yes in 33, is the <u>primary purpose</u> to train teachers to work with CBTE students or for improvement of their own competencies?
35)	Is there a program (formal or informal) for college faculty CBTE training? yesno
36)	What type of grading system do you use (pass-fail, letter grades)
37)	Please describe any <u>research</u> efforts in areas such as competency validation or program effectiveness not explained in 32.
38)	Please provide any information on costs, including development and management.
for in	nproving the survey:

Suggestions



Please provide a brief description of your program suitable for state dissemination, including items such as history, number of students and areas, significant problems, (in initiating or operating) strengths or areas of promise, future directions, etc.

