

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 141 654

CG 011 468

TITLE Divorce and Divorce Reform. Task Force Report.  
 INSTITUTION National Council on Family Relations, Minneapolis, Minn.  
 PUB DATE Oct 73  
 NOTE 77p.; Due to copyright, cartoons on pages 5, 13, and 18 have been removed.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$4.67 Plus Postage.  
 DESCRIPTORS Crisis Therapy; \*Divorce; Family Life; \*Family Problems; Family Relationship; \*Heads of Households; \*Marital Instability; Marriage Counseling; \*One Parent Family; Research Projects

ABSTRACT

The Task Force on Divorce and Divorce Reform was established by the Family Action Section of the National Council on Family Relations in the Fall of 1971. Its purpose was to study divorce in relation to the family, and draft a report which would constitute the basis for action by the NCFR and its members. Since several aspects of divorce were to be studied, the Task Force was divided into five subcommittees: Legal; Counseling and Education; Financial; Research; and Media. This report is organized into four parts. Part One presents an overview of the various aspects of divorce and discusses current trends. Part Two consists of the Subcommittee Reports and Recommendations. Part Three lists the future plans of the Task Force. Part Four is an appendix. It contains a bibliography, and lists various organizations and services related to divorce. (Author)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished \*  
 \* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort \*  
 \* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal \*  
 \* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality \*  
 \* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available \*  
 \* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not \*  
 \* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions \*  
 \* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*



ED141654

# divorce

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH  
EDUCATION & WELFARE  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION

THE DEPARTMENT HAS BEEN REPR  
SENTED BY A RE... FROM  
THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
AND... IN THE FOLLOWING ON  
STATE... IN THE EARLY REPRE  
SENT... NATIONAL... IN THE  
EDUCATION... IN THE

1974 REPORT

NATIONAL COUNCIL ON FAMILY RELATIONS

TASK FORCE ON

DIVORCE AND DIVORCE REFORM

Page(s) 5, 13, 19 was/were  
Missing/Removed From This Document  
Prior To Being Submitted To EDRS

CG 011468

TASK FORCE REPORT  
DIVORCE AND DIVORCE REFORM  
October 1973

Prepared by the  
Task Force on Divorce and Divorce Reform  
National Council on Family Relations  
1219 University Avenue Southeast  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414

# National Council on Family Relations

1219 UNIVERSITY AVENUE SOUTHEAST

MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55414

AREA CODE 612 331-2774

RUTH H. JEWSON, EXECUTIVE OFFICER

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

PRESIDENT  
ELEANORE B. LUCKEY  
Address mail to:  
Department of Child Development  
and Family Relations  
University of Connecticut, U-117  
Storrs, Connecticut 06268

PRESIDENT ELECT  
MURRAY A. STRAUS

PAST PRESIDENT  
GERALD R. LESLIE

SECRETARY  
MARY W. HICKS

TREASURER  
FREDERICK E. BERGER

EDITORS  
CARLFRED B. BRODERICK  
WILLIAM C. NICHOLS, JR.

MEMBERS AT LARGE  
JACQUELYNE J. JACKSON  
GRACE E. RICHARDSON  
ROBERT E. STAPLES  
DONALD WILSON

SECTION CHAIRMEN  
COUNSELING  
CLINTON E. PHILLIPS  
EDUCATION  
GEORGE C. CHAMIS

FAMILY ACTION  
E. JAMES LIEBERMAN  
RESEARCH AND THEORY  
ROBERT R. BELL  
SPECIAL EMPHASES  
RICHARD K. KERCKHOFF

COMMITTEE CHAIRMEN  
AFFILIATED COUNCILS  
RONALD PITZER

BOARD OF PUBLICATIONS  
WILLIAM F. KENKEL

FAMILY ACTION  
DAVID OLSON AND E. JAMES LIEBERMAN

FAMILY LIFE EDUCATION  
BLAINE PORTER AND NED GAYLIN  
IMPLEMENTATION OF LONG RANGE  
PLANS

GERALD R. LESLIE  
INTERNATIONAL LIAISON  
EVELYN M. DUVALL

MEMBERSHIP  
DEAN K. HOFFMAN  
RESEARCH AND THEORY  
HAROLD FELDMAN AND JOAN ALDOUS

STUDENT AND YOUNG PROFESSIONAL  
REPRESENTATIVES  
JAMES MADDOCK  
DOUGLAS SPRENKLE

## ELECTED BOARD OF DIRECTORS

MABLE B. ANDERSON  
CLARK W. BLACKBURN  
MARJORY BROOKS  
VLADIMIR DE LISSOVOY  
DAVID M. FULCOMER  
ARTHUR E. GRAVATT  
JAMES HAWKINS  
MARY HELTSLEY  
JOSEPH HIMES  
DEAN K. HOFFMAN  
RUTH JEFFERSON  
E. JAMES LIEBERMAN  
GERHARD NEUBECK  
HERBERT A. OTTO  
BEATRICE PAOLUCCI

STUDENT AND YOUNG PROFESSIONAL  
REPRESENTATIVES  
ROGER H. RUBIN  
GRAHAM B. SPANIER

October 15, 1973

Dr. Mary Heltsley, Chairperson  
Family Action Section  
National Council on Family Relations  
1219 University Avenue Southeast  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414

Dear Mary,

This is the report of the Task Force on Divorce and Divorce Reform. The Appendix, which will include a comprehensive bibliography and other detailed information, will be sent to you by the end of the year.

I am attaching extra copies of this report for your transmittal to the NCFR Board of Directors.

Sincerely,

*Emily M. Brown*  
Emily M. Brown, Chairperson  
Task Force on Divorce and  
Divorce Reform

Attachment

QUARTERLIES JOURNAL OF MARRIAGE AND THE FAMILY  
CARLFRED B. BRODERICK, EDITOR

THE FAMILY COORDINATOR: JOURNAL OF EDUCATION, COUNSELING, AND SERVICES  
WILLIAM C. NICHOLS, JR., EDITOR



## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to the National Council on Family Relations for the opportunity to develop this report and for all the dedicated help which I have had in doing so.

Contributions to the Task Force came from concerned individuals all across the country, as well as from Sweden and Canada. In addition, a variety of organizations made their materials and reports available to us. Although they are too numerous to name here, my thanks goes to each one.

I am indebted to all the members of the Task Force, without whom this report would not be possible. I am especially grateful to Loy Simpkins, Claire Lehr, Jetse Sprey and Martha Garrison for shouldering such a large share of the responsibility.

My special appreciation goes to: Dave Olson, former chairman of the Family Action Section of NCFR, who was responsible for the creation of the Task Force; Martha Garrison, whose reports were always in early; Betsy Kent, secretary for the Task Force, who wrote, phoned, compiled, and otherwise kept us (and me) together; Camille Joel, who typed the final report; "Rip" Bush, my boss in the Government of the District of Columbia, who taught me what I know about preparing reports; and Bob Treanor, who has provided encouragement, moral support, advice, last minute help, rewrites, and a balance for my biases.

NATIONAL COUNCIL ON FAMILY RELATIONS  
TASK FORCE ON DIVORCE AND DIVORCE REFORM

CHAIRPERSON: Emily M. Brown

SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIRPERSONS:

Counseling and Education: Claire J. Lehr

Economic Aspects of Divorce: Martha Garrison

Legal Aspects of Divorce: Loy M. Simpkins

Research: Jetse Sprey

SECRETARY: Betsy Kent

TASK FORCE MEMBERS

Marge Anderson  
Ken Barringer  
Barbara S. Boettcher  
Paul Bohannon  
Nona Boren  
Barbara Burse  
Ann Duncan  
J. Ross Eshleman  
Esther Oshiver Fisher  
Claire E. Friday  
Kate Garner  
Lincoln Grahls  
Adrian B. Greek  
Francis E. Harrington  
Frederick G. Humphrey  
Robert W. Jackson  
Marie W. Kargman  
E. James Lieberman  
Richard Lieboff  
Norman M. Lobsenz

Carleen A. Mammone  
Joy T. Martin  
Lloyd Mendelson  
Harold W. Minor, Jr.  
Elizabeth Navarre  
David Olson  
Timothy Olson  
Clinton E. Phillips  
Helen H. Redden  
W. Fred Shultz  
Leon Smith  
Linda Susan Smith  
Bernard Steinzor  
Lawrence H. Stotter  
Cecilia Sudia  
Norman Sundberg  
Gordon L. Toombs  
Robert Treanor  
Betty Ueberhorst  
Lenore J. Weitzman

## FOREWORD

The Task Force on Divorce and Divorce Reform was established by the Family Action Section of the National Council on Family Relations in the Fall of 1971. Its purpose was to study divorce in relation to the family, and draft a report which would constitute the basis for action by the NCFR and its members.

Individuals known to have expertise in the area of divorce were invited to join the Task Force whether or not they belonged to the NCFR. Others joined as the result of notices in various newsletters, through personal contacts, and in response to a preliminary report at NCFR in 1972. The Task Force includes non-divorced and divorced members, as well as representatives of many different disciplines.

Since several aspects of divorce were to be studied, the Task Force was divided into five subcommittees: Legal; Counseling and Education; Financial; Research; and Media. A chairperson was appointed for each subcommittee except the Media, and each subcommittee was responsible for developing its own report. The Media subcommittee was handled by Task Force members living in the Washington, D.C. area, who also functioned as a steering committee for the Task Force.

Data was obtained from published materials and legal documents; by correspondence; and through interviews and discussions. Organizations known to be working on aspects of divorce were contacted and information obtained. Several unpublished documents were also made available to the Task Force.

This report is organized into four parts. Part I presents an overview of the various aspects of divorce and discusses current trends.

Part II consists of the Subcommittee Reports and Recommendations.

Part III lists the future plans of the Task Force.

Part IV is an appendix. It contains a bibliography, and lists various organizations and services related to divorce.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL .....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	iii
MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE .....	iv
FOREWORD .....	v
PART I. INTRODUCTION	
PREFACE .....	1
TRENDS .....	2
SUMMARY .....	8
PART II. SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
LEGAL ASPECTS OF DIVORCE .....	11
COUNSELING AND EDUCATION .....	16
ECONOMIC ASPECTS OF DIVORCE .....	23
RESEARCH .....	31
ATTITUDES, MEDIA AND DIVORCE .....	33
GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS .....	34
PART III. FUTURE PLANS	
FUTURE PLANS .....	36

PART IV. APPENDIX

Page

BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	38
Books, Booklets, Pamphlets .....	39
Children's Books .....	46
Films .....	47
Instructional Material .....	47
Legislation .....	48
Newspaper Articles .....	49
Organizations with Divorce Related Activities/Goals .....	52
Periodicals Regularly Featuring Articles on Divorce .....	54
Popular Magazine Articles .....	55
Professional Journals .....	57
Professional Papers and Monographs .....	61
Reports and Other Publications: Federal, State and Local Governments .....	63
Research Reports and Projects .....	66
Tapes .....	67
Testimony .....	68

PART I

INTRODUCTION

## PREFACE

Divorce is a very complex phenomenon. It is all the more complex because of the simplistic manner in which divorce has been treated for years--divorce has been equated with immaturity, immorality and neurosis. It has been cited as the culprit for all sorts of social ills, such as delinquency and the much heralded "breakdown of the family." Divorced individuals have been treated by society and its institutions as second-class citizens: legally, economically and socially. Divorce laws were designed, insofar as possible, to prevent the occurrence of divorce. All this has not served to lessen the divorce rate. Rather, it has increased.

From 1969-1971, there were 26 divorces per 1000 married women 14 to 44 years old; a higher rate than ever before. From 1921-1923, the divorce rate for the comparable group was 10 divorces per 1000, and from 1939-1941, it was 14 per 1000. It is currently projected that 25-29 percent of women born from 1940-1944 will end their first marriage in divorce, and 5-10 percent are expected to experience a second divorce. 1/ In 1973, more than 1,500,000 Americans will be divorced, and another 1,500,000 will take the first steps toward divorce. 2/ A total of 16,000,000 Americans are or have been divorced, 3/ and over 4,000,000 (about 3-4 percent of the adult population) are currently divorced. 4/ Nearly two out of three divorces involve children. Several factors make it certain that a leveling off of the divorce rate will come sometime, 5/ but it is evident that divorce does and will continue to affect a large percentage of our population.

The prevalence of divorce alone indicates that it serves a societal need. (It was pointed out to the Task Force that as the divorce rate has risen, murders within the family have decreased--could there be a relationship?) 6/

In light of the above figures, it is not surprising that there has been a 31.4 percent increase in the number of one-parent families since 1965, the great majority of which are headed by separated and divorced men and women. The median income for single-parent families headed by a woman is \$5,114, compared to \$9,208 for those one-parent families headed by white males. 7/ Societal support for single-parent families has been notable by its absence.

What is divorce then? Divorce is an emotional, legal and social process through which the marital relationship is dissolved, and the individuals and society come to regard the former partners as single individuals. The decision to divorce calls into play a network, or more accurately a tangle, of individuals and institutions (with their varying goals and effects). The divorce process, in general, results in an extensive reorganization of one's life--lifestyle, economics, relationships with others, and especially, in the individual's own self-concept.



## TRENDS

The requirement that divorce be regulated by law serves as a means of social control. However, most of our current divorce laws and traditions were established in response to the needs of a different time. The laws have not kept up with the changes in this society, and do not serve our needs today. And, as has been evident in the Civil Rights struggle, many attitudes and traditions change only as a result of legal changes.

In the past five years we have seen the beginning of an awareness of the problems associated with divorce: 1) those problems caused by the traditional divorce process, and 2) the problems of readjustment following divorce which were previously ignored by this society.

California led the way in 1971, with the implementation of its no-fault dissolution of the marriage. Since then ten additional States have adopted a "pure" no-fault law, six States have added no-fault laws to their existing grounds, five States allow incompatibility as a ground, and 18 States will grant a divorce on the basis of separation. 8/

A true no-fault law grants divorce (or dissolution) on the basis of irretrievable breakdown of the marriage, a decision made by one or both spouses (as opposed to such a decision made by a judge). Fault laws demand a determination by the court as to the complete "innocence" of one spouse and the "guilt" (of a ground for divorce) of the other spouse. In States with both types of laws (a no-fault law added to the existing laws), the couple can use the no-fault law if they both agree to the divorce. However, if they are not in agreement, the only way to obtain a divorce is by using the fault laws.

Contested cases are those where not only is there no agreement to divorce (although divorce law says agreement is cause to deny the divorce), but the divorce suit of one spouse is actively fought by the other spouse. These cases are expensive, lengthy and bitter. Contested divorces are most often denied by the court. The law provides that the "guilt" of both parties constitutes grounds for denying a divorce. Some judges are reluctant to "interfere" with the marriage in case a reconciliation is possible.

The Task Force found no evidence that any marriage was "saved" as a result of the contested divorce. We invite the reader to reflect a moment on the physical, spiritual, moral, financial, social, and psychological condition of litigants and their children, who have gone through, or are going through, this process.

Separation laws vary in the relief they give. Some States provide for divorce based on a mutual agreement of the spouses to separate and the duration of the separation for one or two years. Other States allow for divorce based on separation for one or two years without requiring mutual agreement. This in effect provides the benefits of a no-fault law. Traditionally, many States have included in their laws the provision for divorce based on separation for seven years or more (referred to as Enoch Arden laws).

Alimony and child support laws are little changed, but in some jurisdictions are being applied more equitably to men and women. Thus women in a few cases have been required to pay alimony or child support. Fathers also are beginning to have a better chance of obtaining custody although the scales remain weighted in favor of the mother.

Divorce, when not ignored by marital and family counselors and therapists, has been regarded by them as the result of immaturity, impulsiveness and neuroticism--or as the consequence of not following the therapist's advice. Divorce is only now beginning to be viewed as functional rather than dis-functional. Fewer counselors and therapists today (though still too many) see their only role as trying to preserve the marriage, and more are open to divorce as a legitimate solution to marital problems. That counselors and therapists have not been attuned to divorce in the past is not surprising considering that the professional training of many of them ingrained a belief that their goal is to save marriages. Divorce then amounts to a personal failure for the counselor or therapist holding this belief.

Currently, very few counselors and therapists have enough knowledge of the legal aspects of divorce to ensure that they do not create legal problems for their clients, although the advice given may be sound otherwise. This may be traceable in part to the history of denying divorced individuals admission to training programs for the helping professions.

As far as can be determined, no school providing professional training for counselors and therapists has even one course designed to acquaint the professional trainees with the divorce process. (Some individual courses do include a section on divorce). Yet, these are the people who will be asked to provide help to those with marital problems and those divorcing. The tendency of counselors and therapists to see remarriage as the end goal of divorce, rather than seeing the individual as a complete person, misses the point, and creates additional problems for the divorced. This is a problem with our culture and its expectations, and not just within the helping professions.

The National Council on Family Relations, while doing a more creditable job in educating its membership on divorce than any other professional organization (judging only from the content of its two professional journals) could do even better. For example, the book table at the annual meeting has for the last two years had a meager and ill-chosen display of books on divorce.

Divorce counseling is a new concept just beginning to take shape. It focuses on the adjustment process with the goals of an amicable divorce, personal growth, and the development of a fulfilling life-style as an individual. Unfortunately, few traditional agencies or institutions offer divorce counseling, except for some of the court-attached counseling units. Divorce counselors are generally in private practice or work for small innovative agencies. Some divorce counseling is provided by groups like NOW and Parents Without Partners.

Court-attached counseling is an area where there is much disagreement as to the effectiveness and appropriateness. A number of States have counseling units attached to the domestic relations court, but there is a wide difference in goals and in competence of the staff. Currently, several States are considering instituting court-attached counseling, while New York State has just abolished its State Conciliation Bureaus after finding that its mandatory conciliation procedure was for the most part ineffective in achieving their goal of saving marriages. California, which has been providing court-attached counseling longer than any other State (since 1939, and on a professional basis since 1955), uses professionally-trained staff to provide short-term, crisis-intervention type counseling on an optional basis. It is available to anyone, whether or not legal proceedings have been started. The goals are to provide the means for reconciliation, or where that is not possible, to help the family members "close the door gently." It claims to be quite effective.

Only these States provide for licensing of marriage and family counseling, at this time. Licensing will help to ensure that all practicing marriage and family counselors have been professionally trained, rather than self-declared counselors as is now possible in most States. Divorce counselors should be regarded as marriage and family counselors for licensing purposes.

Classes in divorce adjustment are another recent development. These are sponsored by organizations like Parents Without Partners, continuing education departments, and the like. Again, few if any, traditional social service agencies have involved themselves in divorce education.

Discrimination against divorced individuals, particularly women, is widespread in the financial area. Largely as a result of pressure by women's groups and consumer-oriented groups, some legislative action now prohibits discrimination by marital status. Some divorced women can now obtain credit on the basis of financial responsibility, although only after aggressive effort. Buying a house is still extremely difficult despite research that shows marital status has no correlation to foreclosure or default. Extensive changes are needed in this area, and will require legislative and institutional change. As an example of institutional change, at least one professional organization, The American Sociological Association, is now advising its members to omit marital and parental status when using its employment advertising service.

As with counseling and therapy, social science research has largely ignored divorce and the single-parent family. To date, the very small amount of research in this area has been focused on the effects of divorce on children, and most of that pertains only to male children. Some recent research projects are exploring new areas, but as yet research has contributed little to our understanding of divorce. Yet, many social science professionals talk freely about the exclusively negative effects of divorce, with research to the contrary conveniently ignored.

Funding for social science research is presently in trouble. The "NIMH Couples Project," <sup>9</sup>/<sub>9</sub> a longitudinal study just at the point of providing significant data after ten years, is now being closed out.

The media shows the most evidence of real change. Radio and television have aired a number of specials on divorce in the last few years. While not providing a great deal of depth, the approach has been one of exploration and illumination. This year, Maude and her daughter (both divorced) have replaced Julia and Bachelor Father (widow and widower) as television's single parents.

Books on divorce are coming out now as fast as the publishers can get their hands on the manuscripts. Most of these provide legal information or advice on the process of adjustment to divorce, and are intended for the lay public. A few are designed to exploit a new market, most are fair to good, and a few are excellent. Family life texts are also beginning to include material on divorce.

In California, the Conciliation Courts send informational booklets on their services to all applicants for dissolution, while in Massachusetts, the Cooperative Extension Service has developed booklets which explain the legal divorce process. Aetna Life Insurance Company has produced a film on divorce which is available to the public. These innovations need to be followed by many more, but it seems that, where divorce is concerned, the media are over the hump.

We thus find a lack of social supports available to the divorced and the single-parent family. It is to the credit of the individuals involved that so many have survived and indeed done quite well. However, it is time for the society to include the divorced and the single-parent family on a first-class basis. Those organizations and institutions which provide services to individuals and families must gear up to provide equal access to appropriate social, legal, and economic services for those divorcing and for the single-parent family.

This Task Force has proceeded on the assumption that NCFR, with its interdisciplinary membership and its leadership in the family field, is in a key position to promote and effect many of the needed changes in the area of divorce. The recommendations which follow are designed to provide a basis for action by NCFR and its members. In order to better assist NCFR in carrying out these recommendations, an extension of the Task Force for another year is requested.

This report has been prepared by the divorced and non-divorced members of the Task Force on Divorce and Divorce Reform.



## SUMMARY

1. The current trend in the United States is toward the liberalization of divorce laws. Since 1971, a number of States have adopted "no-fault" divorce laws. These provide for divorce on the basis of irretrievable breakdown of the marriage or separation for one or two years. Although custody, alimony, and child support laws have changed little, their application is beginning to change.
2. Divorce has largely been ignored or disapproved by marriage and family counselors. The validity of the traditional save-the-marriage approach in counseling is questioned. Divorce counseling is not generally available except from some of the court-attached counseling agencies and a few private practitioners. The desirability and the nature of court-attached counseling is subject to much disagreement.

Professional training for marriage and family counselors and related professionals does not provide adequate information on divorce. Most divorce education programs are sponsored by organizations other than traditional social service agencies.

3. A great deal of discrimination confronts the separated and divorced (especially women) in the financial area including credit, housing, loans, hiring, taxes, and educational opportunities.  
  
Legal fees for divorce appear to be based (related to) on the net worth of the couple.
4. Divorce and the single parent family have largely been ignored by social science researchers. Funding for such research is in short supply at this time.
5. The media shows the most evidence of real change with regard to divorce. Television specials, books, magazines, articles and other materials on divorce are beginning to appear with some regularity.
6. Extensive and wide-ranging recommendations are made in each of these areas for action by NCFR and NCFR members.

FOOTNOTES

- 1/ Glick, Paul C., and Norton, Arthur J. "Perspectives on the Recent Upturn in Divorce and Remarriage" Demography, August 1973.
- 2/ U.S. Department of Commerce. Statistical Abstracts of the United States, 1972.
- 3/ "The Broken Family - Divorce U.S. Style" Newsweek, March 12, 1973.
- 4/ De Wolf, Rose. "No Fault Divorce; Myths of American Marriage" The Nation, April 23, 1973, p. 527.
- 5/ Glick, Paul C., and Norton, Arthur J. "Perspectives on the Recent Upturn in Divorce and Remarriage" Demography, August 1973.
- 6/ Federal Bureau of Investigation. Uniform Crime Reports, 1972, p. 9.
- 7/ "Rising Problems of Single Parents" U.S. News & World Report, July 16, 1973, p. 32-34.
- 8/ De Wolf, Rose. "No Fault Divorce; Myths of American Marriage" The Nation, April 23, 1973, p. 527-528.
- 9/ The "NIMH Couples Project," headed by Dr. Robert Ryder, is part of the "Bethesda Longitudinal Studies," directed by Dr. Richard Bell. Dr. Ryder and Dr. Bell are on the NIMH staff.



PART II

SUBCOMMITTEE REPORTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## LEGAL ASPECTS OF DIVORCE

The objectives of the National Council on Family Relations as stated in the constitution are to provide opportunities for those interested in family life to plan and act together on concerns relevant to all forms of marriage and family relationship; to disseminate information; and to further effective social action. In pursuance of these objectives, the National Council on Family Relations has organized a Task Force on Divorce and Divorce Reform. The subcommittee on legal aspects of divorce has been charged with making recommendations and offers the following:

1. Some form of a no-fault ground for divorce is preferable to fault grounds based upon guilt and innocence.

Irretrievable breakdown of the marriage or living apart for a specified period of time as no-fault grounds for divorce appear to be the trend in the United States. At the present time, about fifteen States have adopted some form of no-fault grounds for divorce. (See Wadlington *Divorce Without Fault Without Perjury*, 52 *Virginia Law Review* 32; Foster *Divorce Reform and the Uniform Act*, 7 *Family Law Quarterly*, page 179, 1973).

The task force believes that if a marriage has died the law should offer a decent burial. Divorce does not destroy the marriage but is the socially recognized procedure for redetermining a status of individuals. For many years, a growing concern and dissatisfaction may be found throughout the United States with the traditional fault grounds for divorce. No other field of law has rules more confusing and contradictory than the fault grounds for divorce. Public opinion today says that if a marriage has in fact died, the court should terminate the marriage and do so as realistically and honestly as possible.

2. In marriages where children are not involved and when the parties have agreed on their property rights, only minimal State involvement should occur for purposes of providing necessary records.

Divorce historically has required a legal procedure. The State has historically asserted its right to regulate marriage and divorce. Although there has been little movement in the direction of removing divorce from direct State regulation, a dissatisfaction with the expense and formal procedure for divorce is recognized. The State intervention has concerned itself with three questions:

(1) the custody and support of the children; (2) the division of the property and awards for alimony; and (3) whether or not the legal grounds for divorce exist. If there are no children as a result of the marriage and if the parties have made settlement of their property and alimony rights and if the State is willing to permit the parties to decide that the marriage has ended, then a procedure where the divorce could be registered could be enacted. Such a registration statement would show that the property rights have been settled so that questions of title to property would be resolved. The records would show that the marriage has been terminated as a matter of public record so that questions of inheritance rights, capacity to remarry, etc., would be available.

3. Custody of children of the marriage should be based on a positive situation for the children rather than related to the grounds for divorce, and should be removed from adversary proceedings.

Perhaps the most difficult aspect of divorce is in regard to the provision that should be made for the children of the marriage. The question of the custody of the children ultimately resolves itself into the question: Who should make the determination in regard to custody? No automatic presumptions should obtain (e.g., traditional role expectations). Guidelines need to be developed for use as aids in considering custody (in relation to needs, resources, effects of changes) in relation to each parent and to the children.

The Task Force recommends that whatever procedure is adopted, more emphasis must be placed upon creating a positive situation for the children.

4. Child support should be based on the needs and assets of both parents. Full financial disclosure of assets and income of both parents should be considered in determining the amount of support as well as the needs of the children.

This is felt to be a restatement of the law as it exists today but with emphasis being placed upon the consideration of the assets and income of both parents, recognizing the obligation of both parents to provide child support rather than placing the primary burden upon the father.

5. In awarding alimony to a spouse upon divorce, such award should be limited to cases of incapacity on the part of a spouse to provide for his or her own needs or for the purpose of rehabilitating or developing a spouse's earning capacity.

Alimony should not be awarded as a punishment of one of the spouses but only for the purpose of providing needed support for one who does not have the capacity to provide for himself or herself or to develop or rehabilitate a spouse's earning capacity.

6. NCFR and its members should encourage the development of administrative tools to recognize contributions by each partner toward the couple's net worth. This accomplished, property settlements should be proportional to contributions, as obtains in the dissolution of other social and economic partnerships.
7. Attempts by States and their courts to retain jurisdiction over the family members, particularly the children, are unreasonably restrictive. The natural mobility of our society should be recognized in arranging visiting privileges, vocational opportunities, etc. A simple, speedy procedure for re-evaluation and change should be available.
8. Enforcement of alimony, custody, child support, visitation, and property provisions must be given a high priority. Any agreement or award must be enforceable to be meaningful. Enforcement moreover should not be punitive in nature but should have as its goal the welfare of all concerned.
9. Although national uniformity of marriage, family, and dissolution laws are desirable, at this time, efforts of NCFR should be directed toward revising divorce laws State by State rather than trying for uniformity among the States.

(For a discussion of the proposed revised uniform marriage and divorce act, see volume 7 of the Family Law Quarterly, number 2, Summer, 1973).

10. NCFR should establish a legal advisory committee to advise on the legal aspects of all family matters.

- a. It is recognized that the Task Force on Divorce and Divorce Reform is but a beginning of an on-going concern of NCFR and that to be effective much further work is necessary.
- b. NCFR should develop information for lay persons on the divorce process for each State.
- c. NCFR should develop information and recommendations as to how legal services can be obtained.

## COUNSELING AND EDUCATION

### Counseling:

Divorce has been the poor stepchild in those agencies providing the bulk of marital and family counseling: Family Service Agencies, Community Mental Health Centers, County Social Services Departments, denominational family centers, and similar organizations. (Court-attached counseling services will be treated separately in this report). Where divorce is not actually ignored, an unwritten policy often exists that clients should be counseled against divorce. A decision to divorce is often treated as a mistake on the part of the client, due to his/her immaturity or neurotic problems. Obviously in these agencies, nothing that even approaches divorce counseling is available.

Other agencies are able to provide some help with divorce. However, most agencies do not consciously provide this service, and it is a matter of luck if a client facing divorce is assigned a counselor who knows anything about divorce. If a counselor does have expertise in this area, it is most often as a result of his/her personal experience.

A few agencies, mostly private, have initiated identifiable programs in divorce counseling. Some of the more innovative public agencies are quite receptive to the idea of developing this type of service. In addition, a number of private practitioners in the marriage and family field offer marital and divorce counseling, and a few even bill themselves as marriage and divorce counselors. However, as far as the Task Force could determine, no divorce counseling is provided by County Social Services Departments, although they serve a significantly large number of separated and divorced clients.

A new source of services for the separated and divorced is the emergence of divorce counseling as a separate field. Divorce counseling may be regarded as part of marriage and family counseling, and as an entity in itself, having its own distinct focus and phases. It generally begins early in the divorce process, but may begin at any point following the decision to divorce, even after the legal divorce is final. Divorce counseling focuses on the practical matters of readjustment (custody, finances, social, etc.); on the final emotional dissolution of the marital relationship; and on the development of psychic independence. Those counseled are seen individually, or in group sessions, but very seldom with the spouse. Although separate from the legal divorce process, the counseling must relate to the legal process. The divorce counselor is required to have a fairly extensive knowledge of divorce law and the legal process of divorce in his/her State.



Pre-divorce counseling focuses on the decision whether to divorce or not. It often is a part of marital counseling. The degree of help the individual or couple get in exploring divorce as an option depends not only on the counselor's skill and knowledge of divorce, but also on his/her commitment to saving marriages.

Divorce related counseling is provided in a number of States by court-attached counseling units, generally referred to as conciliation courts. These vary widely along three major dimensions: whether the counseling is mandatory, whether the goal is to save the marriage, and whether the staff is professionally trained.

Currently, many States are examining their laws to see if the society is obligating itself to preserve marriages at almost any cost, or if it should limit its role to setting up rules to insure fair play in divorce cases. Some are relying heavily on counseling services. There are questions, however, as to whether court-affiliated counseling should be provided, and whether such counseling should be mandatory. Mandatory counseling however, faces problems in social acceptance, both among its clients and the total society.

Proponents of mandatory counseling usually feel that the courts should attempt to save marriages and/or that marriages should be maintained for the sake of the children. However, the Task Force found no evidence that maintaining a poor marriage was in the best interests of the children. In fact, research supported the opposite position. 1/

Some persons measure effectiveness of such services by tallying the number of marriages "saved." This of course ignores the vast number of cases in which the people involved need saving while the marriage is ended. It also overlooks cases where the "saved" is temporary or not related to the counseling. Defenders of mandatory counseling will do well to broaden their justification. Overall, the "savings" are not impressive. For example: in New York (September 1968-69), there were 1,203 "saved" out of 32,759 cases. 2/ Data from other jurisdictions are consistent. Some feel that mandatory counseling is beneficial to the children involved, but there are, as yet, no significant data on this.

Other States reject the idea of mandatory counseling. Some feel that it is a waste of resources; others that the mandatory aspect makes effective counseling impossible, or that counseling at this point is too late in the process of marital breakdown to save the marriage. But most seem to agree that it could be valuable for the court to make professional counseling readily available. Ideally such counseling would entail the exploration of the options.



available and their implications, for the purpose of facilitating the best possible adjustment (in marriage or in divorce) for all the individuals involved. Persons thus are informed of the service and may use it/or not, as they choose. California has been the leader in providing counseling along these lines, and a number of cities have followed suit. However, professional counseling directed to the divorce process as well as to saving marriages is not available from domestic relations courts in most jurisdictions in this country.

Canada revised her divorce laws five years ago, adding "marriage breakdown" as a ground for divorce. At that time, court-affiliated counseling was also established for the purpose of promoting reconciliation. However, this has led to very few meaningful attempts at reconciliation by the parties to the marriage. Seminar participants recently wondered whether an extension of the counseling idea, to assist partners in dissolving their marriages successfully, is not a more practical approach, than the reconciliation efforts specified in the law. "Because society does not seem quite as sure as it once did about marriage itself, the assumptions about reconciliation in the act are no longer as certain as they were five years ago." A participant expressed the opinion that, "The present reconciliation feature of the divorce act is no more than a piece of political window dressing which mollifies those who cannot quite accept the 'marriage breakdown' theory." 3/

In all but three States (California again, Michigan and New Jersey), individuals who are not trained in one of the helping professions can and do advertise themselves as marriage or family counselors. As NCFR members know, results are sometimes disastrous for the recipients of such services. Licensing of marriage and family counselors, as in the three States mentioned above, would help greatly to ensure that the public is protected from untrained practitioners, whether well-meaning or charlatans.

It is common practice for public agencies offering counseling to couples and to families to hire those without professional training. Such agencies include County Social Service Departments and some of the court-affiliated counseling units. The establishment of standards relating to staff qualifications and to the services themselves is again essential to ensure high-quality counseling services.

#### Education:

Although divorce and adjustment to family crises are now included in family life courses and texts at the college level, there is a dearth of information on divorce elsewhere in our educational system.

High school family life courses usually mention divorce, but most often in connection with the probability of divorce for specific groups, as an evil to be avoided, or as a negative factor in child development (despite research to the contrary). 4/ Teachers are not wholly responsible for this situation. Their professional training seldom provides an understanding of divorce. Neither are suitable materials on divorce generally available, especially for the younger ages.

In 1970, delegates to the White House Conference on Children and Youth declared: "It is vital that children living in all types of family structures, e.g., single parent, traditional, dual work, commune, etc., have equally available options for self-fulfillment." No where however, was education pertaining to divorce suggested. 5/ Yet youth marriages are commonplace in America, and the teenage divorce rate is three times the national average.

The Department of Health, Education and Welfare recently launched an Education on Parenthood program which aims at reaching adolescents before they become parents. These programs however, usually neglect the topic of divorce.

Parents Without Partners has been in the forefront in providing education on divorce and single parenthood. Continuing education programs in a number of States have also developed divorce adjustment classes in the last few years. Few, if any, traditional social service agencies offer similar classes. These classes are designed to help individuals considering or in the process of divorce.

#### Recommendations:

##### Counseling:

1. Divorce counseling should be regarded as a specialty within the area of marriage and family counseling, which has its own distinct focus, phases, and knowledge base.
2. Marriage and family counselors (including divorce counselors) should be required to meet State licensing standards similar to professional standards of the AAMFC, American Psychological Association, NASW, or equivalent professional organizations.
3. Counseling services should be available to those considering divorce, but should be independent of the legal process.

4. In States that have court-attached counseling, the following standards should be met:
  - a. Such counseling should be optional, but should be open to anyone, whether a divorce suit has been filed or not.
  - b. Emphasis should be on short-term counseling related to the current marital situation or the divorce process.
  - c. The counseling service should be considered an ongoing resource for those desiring to use it at different periods.
  - d. Counselors should be a resource for the counselees, and should not play an official role in the divorce proceedings regarding husband and wife. The counselor should be available to serve in a consulting capacity for the judge regarding custody matters.
  - e. Where counseling is mandatory, the State should make provisions to pay for it.
5. Counseling which is not court-attached should meet the following standards:
  - a. Counseling services available should include exploration and/or assistance with the divorce process.
  - b. Counselors should be a resource for the counselees, and should not play an official role in the divorce proceedings regarding husband and wife. The counselor should be available to serve in a consulting capacity for the judge regarding custody matters.
6. Marriage, family and divorce counselors need to be familiar with divorce law in order to avoid damaging the legal case.

Education:

1. All family life education programs should include divorce as one of the facts of marriage. Such courses should be made part of public education from elementary school through high school.
2. Professional training for marriage and family counselors, social workers, psychologists, lawyers, doctors, etc., should include expertise in divorce and divorce counseling.

3. Divorcee education programs should approach divorce from a positive standpoint.
4. Professional and in-service training for teachers and school counselors should include information on divorce which will reduce negative stereotypes about divorce and provide an understanding of the divorce process.
5. NCFR and its members should encourage and assist local agencies and organizations to develop divorce education programs for their communities.
6. NCFR and its members should initiate the development of appropriate materials on divorce for use in family life and divorce education programs.

- 
- 1/ Nye, F. Ivan. "Child Adjustment in Broken and in Unhappy Unbroken Homes" Marriage and Family Living, Volume 19, 1957, pp. 356-361.
  - 2/ O'Flairity, James P. "Divorce, Modern Style" Trial, September/October 1972, p. 15-16.
  - 3/ Vanier Institute. "Is Reconciliation A Myth? Frustration and Anxiety In Our Society" Transition, July 1973.
  - 4/ Nye, F. Ivan. "Child Adjustment in Broken and in Unhappy Unbroken Homes" Marriage and Family Living, Volume 19, 1957, pp. 356-361.
  - 5/ Forum 8 - Confronting Myths of Education, 1970 White House Conference on Children and Youth, p. 438.

## ECONOMIC ASPECTS OF DIVORCE

1. Credit reporting agencies should keep records for individuals rather than for couples.

Credit records for a married couple are customarily listed in the husband's name. His spouse and other family members for whom he is "responsible" are subordinated. Where still practiced, it is a carry-over from the days of alphabetized accounts to clarify who would pay for purchases made by different family members. Today, with computerized account keeping, separate accounts but billing to one or several responsible persons is possible.

Even when a woman attempts to acquire an account in her own name, she has been forced to include the spouse's name. Subsequently the account and statement are in his name. In case of separation or divorce, the account readily continues in the husband's name, but the former wife finds she faces getting credit in her own name now. But she has no credit-worthy credentials since she accumulated none for herself during her bill-paying years of marriage.

Bankers and other lenders defend their policy of extending credit in the husband's name on the basis of state support law--he is legally liable for the support of his wife. In turn, they claim that the body of law regarding divorce, bankruptcy and inheritance pressure lending institutions toward requiring both spouses to sign a loan contract.

Two signatures to a loan contract also provide two individuals to pursue for collection. Divorced and separated women are often denied credit until they provide a "spouse's signature" or equally strange, their father's signature.

2. Discrimination by marital status should be made unlawful in
  - a. hiring, leasing living quarters, and buying automobile insurance;
  - b. granting of credit and mortgage loans where the following criteria are critical and pertinent:
    - (1) income
    - (2) assets
    - (3) employment record
    - (4) past performance in debt repayment
    - (5) character references.



Many loan and credit card account applications are evaluated numerically for each item of information. Depending upon availability of money and the policy of the institution, credit is objectively denied when the sum of the numbers is below the qualifying figure. However, once the paper form is completed, an applicant may find the credit or loan officer's subjective evaluation during an interview modifies the original sum.

To check marital status honestly can affect the final figure by 10 to 12 percent. Hence, creditors are assessing attributes to marital status that may not be universal. Divorced women particularly often find they must resort to the humiliating experience of seeking intercession or favors for financial transactions readily obtained by the non-divorced.

Requiring an "unattached woman" to provide a man's signature of responsibility to a lease, or a loan is not uncommon. Spokesmen for savings and loan institutions and for Sears, Roebuck at the hearings in May 1972, regarding discrimination because of sex in credit transactions before the National Commission on Consumer Credit asserted that increasingly a woman's application for loans or credit is considered on her financial strength and reputation for credit-worthiness. On the other hand, a stream of offended witnesses testified that the lofty policies claimed were not practiced generally. However, enlightenment during the years of Women's Liberation Movement seems to have increased, but its application is spotty.

Owing to widespread discriminations because of sex and marital status, Montgomery County, Maryland is considering a local ordinance outlawing these criteria in cases of leasing or buying living quarters and in all other credit transactions. Congresswoman Bella Abzug (N.Y.) has introduced several bills (see NCFR Action section that follows point 7.) with similar goals.

Lenders' wariness toward the separated and the divorced gains apparent support from data showing characteristics of the bankrupt, the overextended and the excessively indebted (Eisenrath, Lane, Matzen, Maynes and Ryan). But marital status is only one of a constellation of characteristics that may contain more sensitive indicators of financial mismanagement. Consider occupation, education, unstable job tenure, inadequate income for basic needs, and mobility, for example. If the divorced were taken as a class, how have its members acted in handling their financial obligations?

Divorced women with custody of the children have difficulty purchasing homes. The female family head whose net worth qualifies her for a mortgage should not be prohibited by abnormally high down payments and/or excessive interest rates on the loan. Worse still is the nonsensical remark, "You might remarry..." If she did remarry, her financial status is more likely to improve rather than deteriorate. Herzog and Earley's research for the National Bureau of Economic Research found no relationship between marital status and the risk of delinquency or foreclosure on mortgages.

3. The reliability of payment received for child support and alimony should be taken into consideration in determining income on applications for credit, mortgages, etc.

Routinely alimony and/or child support receipts are discounted as income in determining credit worthiness. That policy is reasonable when data show that only among the wealthy is alimony and child support income generally dependable. On the other hand, the loan officer should inquire as to its reliability in the interview, rather than to make snap judgments.

4. Income tax inequities that discriminate against single parents should be eliminated by:
  - a. The same income tax rate applied to heads of household as to couples filing jointly.

According to Schedules X, Y, Z of the 1972 Tax Rates, the following rates apply to a \$4,000 taxable income:

<u>Marital Status</u>	<u>Tax</u>	<u>Rate</u>
married, filing jointly	\$520	17%
married, filing separately	690	19
single persons	690	19
heads of households	690	19

To qualify as head of a household (according to Internal Revenue Service), the taxpayer must have provided more than half the costs of maintaining a household that is the principal abode for at least one relative for the entire year.



- b. Taxation according to marital status based on the proportion of the year during which each status existed

Inequities of all degrees result from complying with the Internal Revenue Service's arbitrary establishment of December 31 as the date for determining status (facts of birth or death, dependency, marital status) for the entire year.

It would be more fair to pro-rate taxation according to the changes in status throughout the tax year.

- c. Exemptions for dependent children based on pro-rated cost of support provided by the two biological parents

Provisions of the Internal Revenue Service in effect for the 1972 tax year were as follows:

When the parents of a child are divorced, "generally the parent who has custody of the child for the greater part of the year is entitled to the dependency exemptions." But if the parent who does not have custody (or has it for a lesser period) provides \$600 toward the child's support for the calendar year and the decree specifies his entitlement, he is entitled to the exemption. Or, the parent without custody may provide \$1200 for the support of the child or children, and the parent with custody fails to establish by a clear preponderance of support, the former may have the exemption.

Reported to the news media in May 1973 was a new IRS ruling that allows support provided by the new spouse (step-parent of the child) in determining which of the divorced parents provided more than half of the child's care. This provision is effective for the 1973 tax year and further is retroactive to the tax year of 1970. Amended forms can be filed which in effect would now change which parent would receive the relief.

Abandoning the all-or-none way of thinking, fairness would recommend that parents pro-rate the cost of child support and gain their exemptions on that fractional basis.

5. Inequities and insecurity about legal fees for divorce could be reduced by:

- a. Providing a listing of recommended minimum fees easily available to the public

Recommended minimum fees for legal services are established by bar associations to prevent underpricing professional services, but they are seldom publicized. One reason lately for reticence about minimum fees is the fear that they may be termed price-fixing by courts.

On the other hand, it would give persons considering divorce (1) an estimation of its cost, and (2) a benchmark for comparison to fees quoted by the lawyer consulted.

Because of lawyers' self-interest in collecting their fee, one of the early agreements signed by the client is arrangement for payment. Consequently, the female may sign that she will be responsible for payment only to learn upon receiving the decree that the court requires the male to pay her fees (resulting in double fees going to her lawyer). In a contested case, the plaintiff pays court costs (court fees recently publicized ranged from \$100 for the District of Columbia and \$215 in Philadelphia).

Fees appear to be based on the net worth of the couple being divorced, much as they are in estate work. An example of such thinking is Sidney Siller's proposal that maximum fees based on income be made known. He suggests:

<u>Family Income</u>	<u>Maximum Fee</u>
\$5,000 - \$ 7,000	\$ 650 for each party
\$7,500 - \$20,000	\$1000 each
above \$20,000	whatever the traffic will bear

In the interest of clearing overcrowded court calendars, it might be worthwhile to decrease attorney's fees proportionately as litigation continues past a fixed reasonable time period.

- b. Itemizing the services rendered

Awareness of price tags on services would alert litigants in a divorce case of places where each could trim down non-essential costs. Some agreements could be made outside lawyers' offices for example, and save charges as listed below:

Uncontested divorce	\$300-\$1,000
For appearing in order to show cause hearing	\$75- \$100
For publication of summons	\$75- \$100
Per hour for working out a property settlement with the other side	\$75- \$300
Source: Lawyers' Club of San Francisco, 1966	

- c. Providing legal services for those financially unable to pay standard legal fees

Margaret Pecora, Baltimore Legal Aid, reports that they file about 60 percent of the divorces in Baltimore. In Washington, D.C., more than 25 percent of the divorces granted from October 1972 to September 1973 originated in the D.C. Neighborhood Legal Services.

For low-income families, divorces are a reflection of the instability of their lives where problems from alcohol and drugs and bureaucratic economic restrictions compete with inadequate incomes.

Eleanor Hellrung, managing attorney for the family law unit of D.C. Neighborhood Legal Services reports that one third of their cases handled in a year were for divorce. Although the unit completes about 20 divorces a week, there is a waiting list of 1100 names, some of whom will have to wait six months for their cases to come up.

To qualify for legal aid, persons living alone cannot earn more than \$72 a week, and if they have one dependent under \$91 a week. Court fees are waived.

6. Financial counseling on the special kind of problems of the divorced should be available as a governmental service.

Exploitation by debt counselors, rejection for credit, ignorance of income-stretching techniques provide a hazardous existence for the divorced who often stretch the male's income over two families and otherwise are handicapped by the income level of the female household head.

Government-sponsored financial counseling would be devoid of self-interest common to business establishments.

7. Existing health insurance and retirement programs do not cover the needs of divorced individuals, particularly divorced women.

NCFR needs to study further the relationships of Social Security benefits, Old Age Survivor's Disability Insurance, other retirement programs, and health insurance to divorced individuals and make appropriate recommendations.

Action Priorities for NCFR, the Organization:

Legislation:

IMMEDIATE

1. Testify before the House Banking and Currency Committee in support of HR 246, HR 247, and HR 248 (Abzug, N.Y.) which prohibit discrimination on account of sex or marital status in Federally-related mortgage and credit transactions, in Federally insured banks, credit unions and savings and loan associations.

(A similar bill, The Equal Credit Opportunity Act--S 867, Williams, N.J., and Brock, Tenn., passed the Senate by a 90-0 vote in mid-July, 1973).

2. Testify before the House Ways and Means Committee at hearings for HR 253 (Abzug, N.Y.) which seeks to amend Title II of the Social Security Act to reduce from 20 to 5 years the length of time a divorced woman's marriage to an insured individual must have lasted for her to qualify for a wife's or widow's benefits on his wage record.
3. Testify on the special problems of single parents before the Senate Finance Committee on its hearings on S 650 (Packwood, Ore.) allowing full tax benefits of income-splitting now enjoyed by married individuals. Koch (N.Y.) has a similar bill, HR 715, now referred to the House Ways and Means Committee.
4. Work for the release of impounded funds for the Neighborhood Legal Services.

ONGOING

5. Maintain a listening post to pending legislation concerning divorce, divorced persons, and children of divorced persons. This group, committee or panel should arrange for expert testimony to be presented at hearings on proposed legislation.

Educational Services: ONGOING

1. Encourage the development of low-cost programs to retrain spouses to be self-supporting following divorce. These programs need to be tailored to the needs of single parents with families and should include planning and career guidance, refresher courses, and child care facilities during the parent's classes.
2. NCFR should sponsor the development of a booklet on financial management for the divorced.
3. Promote good child care programs, both custodial and developmental.

Action Priorities for NCFR Members:

Legislation: ONGOING

1. Be a listening post for State and local legislation on issues related to the recommendations.
2. Be ready to testify at hearings or locate someone with credibility to do so.

Educational Services: ONGOING

1. Seek opportunities to develop low-cost programs to retrain spouses to be self-supporting following divorce. These programs need to be tailored to the needs of single parents with families and should include planning and career guidance, refresher courses, and child care facilities during the parent's classes.
2. Be the catalyst, or organizer, to encourage educational institutions, social agencies, other employers, and housing developments to develop child care programs both custodial and developmental.
3. Forward suggestions for the booklet on financial management for the divorced to the committee.



## RESEARCH

1. National Council on Family Relations should encourage more funding for longitudinal research on the divorce process as part of the marital process.
2. Published research, and research in progress on divorce.

An overview of the work published and in progress is suggestive of the definition of the term "explanation," namely: "An explanation is a statement which satisfies the curiosity of the person who asked for it." In other words, most published work in the area of divorce (in the widest sense) reflects the questions that members of a wide range of disciplines are asking within the confines (often narrow ones) of their own professional and/or practical orientation. After all, this seems reasonable: Why ask a question that you are not really interested in having answered? The only way in which we (or the NCFR) can remedy this situation is not by fussing over the answers but by suggesting questions that are (1) relevant and (2) combine the legitimate interests of members of a variety of disciplines and that (3) remain relevant to the divorcing public also.

3. In view of the above it is not surprising that the questions that, so far, remain unanswered are those that lie at the crosspoints of given disciplines. For example: the sociological and psychological implications of legal conditions, and changes, are virtually unresearched. The same holds for the reverse situation. Joint interdisciplinary research seems the obvious answer, but before this can be suggested sound interdisciplinary questions must be formulated.
4. Some basic research needs to be done on the process of divorce.

Technically, most published work on divorce is of an ex post facto nature. (This is beginning to change). The divorce process per se is, so far, "under researched." In view of the difficulties of this topic, this is not surprising. Longitudinal studies (ideally speaking, starting before marriage) seem the answer here.

5. The NCFR should consider the founding of a data bank on divorce. This action makes sense only if such a bank is kept up-to-date, and if its contents are readily available to the membership for research and other professional purposes.



6. The NCFR should consider the financing of occasional meetings of a permanent national task force on divorce. The financing could be limited to the payment of travel funds, but seems essential if we wish a group of people (and possible consultants) to cooperate together.
  
7. In view of the foregoing accent on interdisciplinary work in the study of divorce, the NCFR should take pains to recognize-- and where possible define--the legitimate different interests in divorce held by the varying categories of its membership. In other words, divorce does mean many things to many groups of people and cooperation should be based on that awareness. The study of intact marriages is also important to an understanding of divorce.
  
8. NCFR and its members should take action to continue funding of longitudinal studies in marital and family relations.

## ATTITUDES, MEDIA AND DIVORCE

1. National Council on Family Relation members should monitor brochures and other information put out by social agencies for negative attitudes toward divorce (including omission), and take appropriate action.
2. NCFR members should publicize resources and services for the divorced along with information for other individuals and groups.
3. NCFR members should monitor radio, television, newspapers, magazines, and other media for inclusion of accurate unbiased information on divorce, as well as on widowhood and other lifestyles, and urge the media to develop appropriate material and programs.
4. NCFR members should monitor and/or develop family life literature, particularly for the elementary school and for the general public, which includes accurate and unbiased information on divorce as well as on other lifestyles.
5. NCFR members should be aware of the terminology of divorce and avoid those words that carry judgmental connotations, such as "broken home."
6. NCFR members should reinforce positive attitudes on divorce when and wherever found, through such means as writing the television station or the publisher.

## GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS

1. National Council on Family Relations and NCFR members should initiate any and all appropriate actions to institute the foregoing recommendations.
2. NCFR members, with other interested professionals and individuals, should take the lead in developing informal networks within their State for the purpose of communication, lobbying, and sharing professional expertise.
3. NCFR members should develop means of teaching the general public how to relate to the divorced and divorcing.
4. NCFR members should be aware of and deal with the fact that divorce is threatening to the non-divorced.
5. NCFR should extend the Task Force on Divorce for another year for the purpose of developing channels of action through which NCFR and its members can carry out these recommendations; sponsor a pre-conference workshop on divorce at NCFR in 1974; and carry out other activities as described under Future Plans.
6. In looking at divorce, attention should also be focused on the impact of changes in marriage laws and mores including: a) waiting period prior to marriage; b) living together; and c) contraception and abortion.

PART III

FUTURE PLANS

## FUTURE PLANS

An extension of the Task Force on Divorce and Divorce Reform for one year will provide the time necessary to accomplish the following tasks:

1. Complete a comprehensive bibliography.
2. Arrange for printing and distribution for this report together with the bibliography.
3. Develop channels of action for NCFR and its members pursuant to the Task Force recommendations.
4. Sponsor a pre-conference workshop on divorce before the annual meeting in 1974.
5. Develop a booklet on financial management for the divorced.
6. Study the relationship of health insurance and retirement benefits to divorced individuals and make appropriate recommendations.

PART IV

APPENDIX



## APPENDIX

### Introduction

This appendix was originally planned as an annotated bibliography to be included in the Task Force report. However, as more and more material came our way, it became impossible to annotate each item. As a result, this appendix is only partially annotated. It also became apparent that it was impossible to categorize material by subject without extensive duplication and considerably more person-hours than we wanted to expend. Therefore, we have organized the appendix by types of materials, e.g., books, films, etc. Each item is listed only once, so if in doubt as to type, check more than one area.

Although this is probably the most comprehensive listing of materials on divorce, it is not an exhaustive listing. We omitted most of what was published before 1960. With the current renewed interest in divorce and the marked increase in materials related to divorce, we are sure to have also missed numerous recent items. We will use the Newsletter of the NCFR's Task Force on Divorce and Divorce Reform to keep readers up-to-date on new materials as we learn of them.

We hope that this appendix, along with the Task Force Report, will prove to be a useful resource for professionals and lay persons interested in the field of divorce.

Books, Booklets, Pamphlets

- Baer, Jean. The Second Wife. New York: Doubleday, 1972.
- Baguedor, Eve. Separation. New York: Simon and Schuster, 1972.  
Personal account of Mrs. Baguedor's separation.
- Bardwick, Judith M., ed. Psychology of Women. New York: Harper and Row, 1972.  
Includes "Sex Role Stereotypes and Clinical Judgements of Mental Health," by Broverman, Broverman, Clarkson, Rosenkrantz, Vogel.
- Baskin, Henry and Kiel-Friedman, Sonya. I've Had It, You've Had It, Advice on Divorce from a Lawyer and a Psychologist. New York: Nash, 1973.
- Becker, Russell. When Marriage Ends. Fortress Press, 1971.
- Bell, Robert R. Marriage and Family Interaction. Homewood, Ill.: Dorsey Press, 1967.
- , ed. Studies in Marriage and the Family. New York: Thomas Y. Crowell Co., 1968.
- Bergler, Edmund. Divorce Won't Help. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1948:  
Reissued in 1970.
- Bernard, Jessie. The Future of Marriage. New York: World Publishing, 1972.
- . Remarriage: A Study of Marriage. New York: Dryden Press, 1956.
- Bird, Caroline. Everything A Woman Needs to Know to Get Paid What She's Worth. New York: David McKay Co., 1973. "How to Establish Credit in Own Name When Divorced."  
Excerpt in Family Circle, May, 1973, p. 138.
- Blake, N. M. The Road to Reno. New York: MacMillan Co., 1962.  
History of divorce laws.
- Bloom, Murray Teigh. The Trouble with Lawyers. New York: Simon and Schuster, 1969.  
Pocket Books, 1970.  
Discusses the cost of getting a divorce; primarily lawyers' fees.
- Bohannon, Paul. Divorce and After. Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday, 1970.  
Excellent collection of articles. Includes a section analyzing divorce law in terms of the cultural patterns. Recommends changes in our system of divorce.  
Not a guide.
- Boyland, Brian Richard. Legal Rights of Women. Award Books, n.d.
- Callahan, Parnell J. The Law of Separation and Divorce. Oceana Publications, 1970.
- Cantor, Donald J. Escape from Marriage. New York: Morrow, 1971.  
A guide to divorce. Recommends no-fault divorce law, but warns how this can be misused.
- Carter, H. and Glick, P.C. Marriage and Divorce: A Social and Economic Study. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1970.

- Champagne, Marian. Facing Life Alone: What Widows and Divorcees Should Know. Bobbs-Merrill Co., 1964.
- Clinch, William B. Getting a Virginia Divorce. Washington, D.C.: Coiner Publications, Ltd., 1969.  
Fairly extensive information on Virginia divorce law.
- Cuber, John F. and Harroff, Peggy B. Sex and the Significant Americans. Baltimore: Penguin Books, 1965.
- Davis, Kingsley. "Divorce and Its Effects." Modern Marriage and Family Living. Edited by Morris Fishbein and Ruby Joe Reeves Kennedy. New York: Oxford University Press, 1957.
- Davis, Wallace, ed. The Best from the Single Parent. Washington, D.C.: FWP, 1973.  
Thirty-nine articles from 1965-1972.
- Dean. Divorce Without Lawyers. Touchstone, n.d.
- Despert, J. Louise. Children of Divorce. Garden City, New York: Dolphin, 1962.  
A guide for parents and professionals in helping children through divorce.
- DeWolf, Rose. Bonds of Acrimony. Philadelphia: J. B. Lippincott Co., 1970.  
Describes problems created by divorce law, and argues for divorce reform. Stresses the disastrous results of our present system. Considerable attention to the contested divorce.
- Dickson, Ruth. Marriage Is a Bad Habit. Los Angeles: Sherbourne Press, 1968.  
An argument against marriage and for the single life. Flawed by faulty logic and stereotyped thinking.
- Donelson, Kenneth and Donelson, Irene. Married Today, Single Tomorrow: Marriage Breakup and the Law. New York: Doubleday, n.d.
- Doppler, George. America Needs Total Divorce Reform, Now! Broomall, Pa.: U.S. Divorce Reform, Inc., 1973.
- Eddy, R. Lee, III. What You Should Know About Marriage, Divorce, Annulment, Separation and Community Property in Louisiana. New York: Exposition Press, 1974.
- Edwards, Marie and Hoover, Eleanor. The Challenge of Being Single. Hawthorne, 1974.
- Egleson, Jim and Egleson, Janet. Parents Without Partners. New York: E. P. Dutton and Co., 1961.
- Emerson, J. G., Jr. Divorce, The Church, and Remarriage. Philadelphia: The Westminster Press, 1961.
- Erickson, Nancy. A Woman's Guide to Marriage and Divorce in New York. New York: Women's Law Center, 1414 Sixth Avenue, N.Y., N.Y. 10019, 1974.
- Family Service Association of America. Crisis Intervention: Selected Readings. New York: Family Service Association of America, 1965.

- Farber, Bernard. "Marriage and Divorce." Chapter II in Family and Kinship in Modern Society. Glenview, Ill., 1973.
- Felder, Raoul Lionel. Divorce. New York: World Publishing Co., 1971.  
A guide to divorce under the adversary system.
- Ferriss, Abbott. Indicators of Change in the American Family. Russell Sage Foundation, 1970.
- Field, Jacob. Jews and Divorce. Commission on Synagogue Relations, Federation of Jewish Philanthropies of New York, 1968.
- Frohlich, Newton. Making the Best of It. New York: Harper and Row, 1971.  
An excellent guide to working out all aspects of the divorce settlement.  
Stresses negotiation.
- Fuller, Jan. Space: The Scrapbook of My Divorce. New York: Arthur Fields Books, 1973.
- Fullerton, Gail Putney. Survival in Marriage. Holt, Rinehart, 1972.
- Gardner, Richard A., M.D. "Psychological Aspects of Divorce." American Handbook of Psychiatry. Edited by Silvano Arieti. New York: Basic Books, 1974.
- Glasser, Paul H. and Glasser, Lois N. Families in Crisis. New York: Harper and Row, 1970.
- Goode, William J. Women in Divorce. New York: The Free Press, 1956. Reissued in 1965.  
A research study done in 1955, but still relevant. Actual causes of conflict in marriage are contrasted with the legal grounds used for divorce.
- ; Hopkins, Elizabeth; and McClure, Ellen M. Social Systems and Family Patterns: A Propositional Inventory. Indianapolis: Bobbs-Merrill, 1971.
- Greene, Roberta. 'Til Divorce Do You Part. Pittsburgh, Pa.: Know, Inc., 1972.  
Advice for women on all the aspects of the legal divorce.
- Grollman, Earl A., ed. Explaining Divorce to Children. Boston: Beacon Press, 1969.  
A collection of articles. Most approach divorce from a traditional moralistic base.
- Hallett, Kathryn. A Guide for Single Parents. Millbrae, Calif.: Celestial Arts, 1973.  
Transactional analysis approach.
- Harrell, Pat. Divorce and Remarriage in the Early Church. R. B. Sweet Co., 1967.
- Haussamen, Florence and Guitar, Mary Ann. The Divorce Handbook. G. P. Putnam's, 1960.
- Hensley, J: Clark, Dr. Help for Single Parents. Jackson, Miss.: Christian Action Commission, P. O. Box 530, Jackson, Miss., 39205, 1973.  
Counsel for the single parent and others interested in the one-parent family.
- Hirsch, Barbara. Divorce, What a Woman Needs to Know. Henry Regnery, 1973.
- Hudson, R. Lofton. 'Til Divorce Do Us Part. Nashville, Tenn.: Thomas Nelson, Inc., 1973.  
Help for the divorced from a traditional Christian viewpoint.

- Hunt, Morton. The World of the Formerly Married. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1966. Paperback; Fawcett Crest.  
Describes subculture of the separated and divorced. Summarizes how legal process actually works. A classic, but out of print. Available at some libraries.
- Jacobson, Paul H. American Marriage and Divorce. New York: Rinehart and Co., Inc., 1959
- Jones, Eva. Raising Your Child in a Fatherless Home. Glencoe, Ill.: Free Press, 1963.
- Kahn, Robert and Kahn, Lawrence. The Divorce Lawyer's Casebook. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1972.  
The authors, matrimonial lawyers in New York City, feel divorce is a cop-out. Cases lack depth.
- Kal, Frederick and Frumess, Harry. Divorce Problems Handbook. Frederick Fell, Inc. 1961.
- Kelleher, Stephen. Divorce and Remarriage for Catholics. Garden City, New York: Doubleday, 1973.
- Klein, Carole. The Single Parent Experience. New York: Walker and Company, 1973.
- Kling, Samuel G. The Complete Guide to Divorce. New York: Parallax Publishing Co., 1963. Reissued in 1967.  
Explanation of legal terms, information on divorce law in each state, much of which is now outdated. Poor format, making it hard to find information.
- Koch, Harry. California Marriage and Divorce Laws. Ken Books, 1969.  
Explains California's new law.
- Kohut, Nestor. Therapeutic Family Law: A Complete Guide to Marital Reconciliations. Family Law Publications, n.d.
- Krantzler, Mel. Creative Divorce. New York: M. Evans and Co., 1974.  
Approaches the divorce process as an opportunity for personal growth.
- Kriesberg, Louis. Mothers in Poverty: A Study of Fatherless Families. Chicago: Aldine 1970.
- Landis, Judson T. "The Trauma of Children When Parents Divorce." People As Partners. Edited by Jacqueline P. Wiseman. Canfield Press, 1971.
- Lasswell, Marcia and Lasswell, Thomas. Love, Marriage, Family: A Developmental Approach. Glenview, Ill.: Scott, Foresman and Co., 1973.  
Includes "Some Relationships of Step-Children to Their Parents," by Charles E. Bowerman and Donald E. Irish; "Divorce Is a Family Affair," by Jack Westman and David Kline; "Parents Without Partners," by E. E. LeMasters; "Correlates of Dissatisfaction in Marriage," by Karen Renne; "The American Way of Marriage: Remarriage," by Betty Rollin.
- Lee, Robert and Casebier, Marjorie. The Spouse Gap. Nashville, Tenn.: The Abington Press, 1971.
- LeMasters, E. E. Parents in Modern America. Homewood, Ill.: The Dorsey Press, 1970.



- Lyman, Howard B. Single Again. New York: David McKay, 1971.  
Primarily a guide to emotional adjustment.
- Mannes, Marya and Sheresky, Norman. Uncoupling: The Art of Coming Apart. New York: Viking Press, 1972.  
A guide to the divorce process--very negative and not very useful.
- Martin, John R. Divorce and Remarriage. Scottsdale, Pa.: Herald Press, 1974.  
A perspective for counseling.
- Mason, Pamela. Marriage Is the First Step Toward Divorce. New York: Avon, 1970;  
Paul S. Erickson, 1968.  
A rather bitter attack on marriage.
- Mayer, Michael F. Divorce and Annulment in the 50 States. New York: Arco Publishing Co., 1967, 2nd ed., 1971.  
Gives extensive explanation of each ground for divorce. Examines how the revised New York law has worked. Discusses the new California law.
- Mazur, Ronald. The New Intimacy: Open Ended Marriage and Alternative Lifestyles. Boston: Beacon Press, 1973.  
Chapter in support of creative divorce.
- Metz, Charles V. Divorce and Custody for Men. Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday, 1968.  
Advises men to fight for their rights including custody, in divorce.
- Mindey, Carol. The Divorced Mother. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1969.  
A useful guide to adjustment. Includes good information on choosing a lawyer, explains legal terms and procedures, and advises on things to look out for.
- Ogg, Elizabeth. Divorce. Public Affairs Pamphlet #380, 1965.  
Brief guide for dealing with divorce and related problems with suggestions for more family life education and counseling.
- O'Gorman, Hubert J. Lawyers and Matrimonial Cases. Glencoe, Ill.: The Free Press, 1963.
- Olshaker, Bennett. What Shall We Tell the Kids? New York: Arbor House, 1971.  
Explanation and guide for parents in dealing with problems faced by parents and children. Includes section on divorce.
- Olson, David H. "Marital and Family Therapy: Integrative Review and Critique." A Decade of Family Research and Action. Edited by Carlfred Broderick. National Council on Family Relations, 1972.
- O'Neill, William. Divorce in the Progressive Era. New Haven: Conn.: Yale University Press, 1967.  
A history of the divorce issue in the first part of the Twentieth Century.
- Ploscowe, Morris. The Truth About Divorce. New York: Hawthorne Books, 1955.
- Pospishel, Victor. Divorce and Remarriage: Towards a New Catholic Teaching. Herder and Herder, 1967.
- Prentice, Barbara. Back to Work Handbook for Housewives. Collier Books, n.d.
- Reed, Angela. The Woman on the Verge of Divorce. England: Plume Press Ltd., and Ward Lock Ltd., 1960



- Reid, Ronald J. Ontario Divorce Guide. Toronto: Self-Counsel Press, 1973.
- Resnicoff, Samuel. Marriage--Divorce--Annulment. Pageant Press, 1968.
- Rheinstein, Max. Marriage Stability, Divorce, and the Law. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1972.  
History and present status of divorce law and marriage stability in a number of contrasting societies, including the United States. Differentiates between marriage breakdown and divorce.
- Rodell, John S. How to Avoid Alimony. New York: Stein and Day, 1969. In paperback by Pocket Books, 1970.  
A somewhat bitter guide to fighting out a divorce.
- Rohner, Louise. The Divorcee's Handbook. Garden City, N.Y.: Doubleday, 1967.  
A guide to adjustment which tends toward simplistic solutions.
- Rosenblatt, Stanley. The Divorce Racket. Los Angeles: Nash Publishing Co., 1969.  
In paperback as Instant Divorce. Award Books, 1970.  
How divorce law works--or doesn't work--and a plea for divorce reform.
- Schlesinger, Benjamin. The One-Parent Family: Perspectives and Annotated Bibliography. Toronto, Ontario: University of Toronto Press, 1970.  
A collection of articles on various aspects of the single parent family. Uneven quality.
- Sherman, Allan. The Fig Leaves Are Falling. 1966.  
Musical comedy about divorce.
- Sherman, Charles E. How To Do Your Own Divorce in California. Berkeley, Calif.: Nolo Press, 1972.
- Sherwin, Robert V. Compatible Divorce. New York: Crown Publishers, 1969. In paperback by Award Books, 1970.  
A guide to obtaining a divorce with maximum compatibility and minimum acrimony.
- Simon, Anne W. Stepchild in the Family: A View of Children in Remarriage. New York: The Odyssey Press, 1964.
- Snow, John H. On Pilgrimage: Marriage in the 70's. New York: Seabury, 1971.  
Makes a case for marriage as a viable institution. Today's problems can be countered by discovering shared values.
- Steinzor, Bernard. When Parents Divorce. New York: Pantheon, 1969. Bantam Books, n.d.  
A new approach to parent-child relationships after divorce.
- Stuart, Irving R. and Abt, Lawrence E. Children of Separation and Divorce. New York: Grossman Publishers, 1972.  
Collection of articles. Most offer nothing new but a very few are creative.
- Taves, Isabella. Woman Alone. New York: Funk and Wagnalls, 1968.  
A guide to readjustment for widows and divorcees.
- Thomson, Helen. The Successful Step Parent. New York: Harper and Row, 1966.
- Toffler, Alvin. Future Shock. New York: Random House, 1970. Bantam Books, 1971.

- Vayhinger, John. Before Divorce. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1972.  
Full of advice on saving the marriage. Not very useful.
- Virtue, Maxine. Family Cases in Court. Durham, N.C.: Duke V. Press, 1956.
- Wainwright, Sally. Arizona Divorce Without a Lawyer. Tucson, Ariz.: Omen Press, 1973.  
Obsolete August 8, 1973, when new no-fault law takes effect.
- Waller, Willard. The Old Love and the New: Divorce and Readjustment. Carbondale, Ill.: Southern Illinois University Press, 1967 (initially published in 1930).  
A study of adjustment to divorce by a sociologist. Still relevant.
- Watson, Andrew. "Psychoanalysis and Divorce." The Marriage Relationship. Edited by Salo Rosenbaum and Ian Alger. New York: Basic Books, 1968.
- Willcox, Walter F. The Divorce Problem. AMS Press, 1969 (originally published in 1891).  
Statistics and influence of legislation on statistics.
- Winter, Walter T. Divorce and You. New York: Crowell Collier, 1963.  
Good explanation of legal terms and processes. Good detail on property settlement and taxes, alimony and support. Outdated appendix on state divorce laws.
- Wolf, Anna W. and Stein, Lucille. The One-Parent Family. Public Affairs Pamphlet #287.  
Joint Publication with the Child Study Association of America, 1959.  
Question and answer discussion of raising children in a one-parent family.  
Guide for parents.
- Wrenn, Lawrence G., ed. Divorce and Remarriage in the Catholic Church. New York: Newman Press, 1973.
- . . . Financial Guideline: Divorce. Los Angeles: Guideline Publishing Co., n.d.
- . . . Marriage, Divorce and Adoption Law in the U.S. Gould Publications, 1972.
- . . . Self-Acceptance Through Divorce. Philadelphia: Westminster, n.d.
- . . . Therapeutic Family Law. Chicago: Adams Press, 1968.
- . . . Women's Guide to Divorce in New York. Women's Law Center, 351 Broadway, N.Y.  
N.Y. 10013, October, 1973.
- . . . Women's Survival Manual. Philadelphia: Women in Transition, Inc.,  
A feminist handbook on separation and divorce. Includes legal and non-legal information and advice, and information for low-income women as well as those with more funds.

Children's Books

- Abaunza, Virginia. Sundays from Two to Six. Bobb, 1956.
- Barnwell, Robinson D. Shadow on the Water. David McKay, 1967.
- Bawden, Nina. Runaway Summer. Lippincott, 1969.
- Blue, Rose. A Month of Sundays. Watts, 1972.
- Blume, Judy. It's Not the End of the World. Bradbury Press, 1972.
- Boyle, Myrl C. Lookout Mountain. David McKay, 1957.
- Bradbury, Bianca. Blue Year. Ives Washburn, 1967.
- Cavanna, Betty. A Breath of Fresh Air. Morrow, 1966.
- Cleaver, Vera and Cleaver, Bill. Ellen Grae. Lady Ellen Grae. Lippincott, 1968.
- Donovan, John. I'll Get There; It Better Be Worth the Trip. Harper and Row, 1969.
- Duncan, Lois. A Gift of Magic. Little, 1971.
- Eyerly, Jeannette. The World of Ellen March. Lippincott, 1964.
- Fiedler, Jean. A Break in the Circle. New York: David McKay, 1971.  
Children, 11-14 years.
- Fox, Paula. Blowfish Live in the Sea. Scarsdale, N.Y.: Bradbury, n.d.
- Gardner, Richard A. The Boys and Girls Book About Divorce. New York: Science House, Inc., 1970.  
An excellent guide to understanding and adjustment for the child.
- Goff, Beth. Where Is Daddy? Boston: Beacon Press, 1969.  
A rather patronizing and sad story for pre-schoolers about a small girl whose parents separate.
- Greene, Constance C. A Girl Called Al. Viking Press, 1969.
- Johnson, Annabel and Johnson, Edgar. The Grizzly. Harper and Row, 1964.
- Lawrence, Mildred. The Treasure and the Song. Harcourt, Brace, Javonovich, 1966.
- Lewiton, Mina. Divided Heart. David McKay, 1947.
- Lexau, Joan M. Pictures by Martha Alexander. Emily and the Klunky Baby and the Next-Door Dog. New York: Dial Press, 1972.
- Lexau, Joan M. Pictures by Robert Weaver. Me Day. New York: Dial Press, 1971.
- Mann, Peggy. My Dad Lives in a Downtown Hotel. New York: Doubleday, 1973.
- Mazer, Harry. Guy Lenny. Dell, 1972.

Mazer, Norma. I, Trissy. Dell, 1972.

Naylor, Phyllis Reynolds. No Easy Circle. Chicago: Follett Publishing Co., 1972.  
A novel for teens which treats divorce as part of the heroine's life situation.

Norris, Gunilla Brodle. Lillan. Atheneum, 1968.

Rinkoff, Barbara. The Watchers. Knopf, 1972.

Stolz, Mary Slattery. Leap Before You Look. Harper, 1972.

Taylor, Sydney. A Papa Like Everyone Else. Follett, 1966.

Walker, Mildred. A Piece of the World. Atheneum, 1972.

Wojciechowska, Maia. The Hollywood Kid. Harper and Row, 1966.

#### Films

Breakup. (16mm, color, 15 min). National Instructional Television Center, Box A, Bloomington, Indiana 47401.

For children. Deals with the emotions involved in separation and divorce.

A Family Album. (16mm, color, sound). Aetna Life and Casualty, 1972.

Case study--reasons for marriage breakup. To obtain: 1) call local Aetna office; 2) order directly from A-V Services, Film Distribution, Aetna Life and Casualty, 151 Farmington Avenue, Hartford, Connecticut 06115; 3) call (203) 273-0123 and ask for A-V Services Film Library; 4) to buy, \$90 plus local tax; takes 3 to 4 weeks to process order. Currently booked 4-6 weeks in advance.

Understanding Changes in the Family. (FS 27 min). Guidance Associates, 41 Washington Avenue, Pleasantville, New York 10570.

Stimulates discussion of normative problems and stress situations in the family. Sound filmstrips (cassettes or records) with discussion guide.

#### Instructional Material

Divorce in the United States: Episodes in Social Inquiry Series, Sociological Resources for the Social Studies. Produced by the American Sociological Association.

Boston: Allyn and Bacon, Inc., 1972.

Student text and instruction guide.

Legislation (Federal, State, Provincial)

U.S. Congress. House. A Bill to Prohibit Discrimination by Any Part to a Federally Related Mortgage Transaction on the Basis of Sex or Marital Status and to Require All Parties to Any Such Transaction to Submit Appropriate Reports Thereon for Public Inspection, by Bella S. Abzug (D-NY), H.R. 246, 93rd Cong., 1st sess.

U.S. Congress. House. Amends Truth in Lending Act to Prohibit Discrimination by Creditors Against Individuals on the Basis of Sex or Marital Status with Respect to the Extension of Credit, by Bella S. Abzug (D-NY), H.R. 247, 93rd Cong., 1st sess.

U.S. Congress. House. Prohibits Discrimination by Any Federally Insured Bank, Savings and Loan Association or Credit Union Against an Individual on the Basis of Sex or Marital Status in Credit Transactions and Other Activities, introduced by Bella S. Abzug (D-NY), H.R. 248, 93rd Cong., 1st sess.

U.S. Congress. House. Amends Title II of Social Security Act to Provide Benefits for Householders; introduced by Bella S. Abzug (D-NY), H.R. 252, 93rd Cong., 1st sess.

U.S. Congress. House. Amends Title II of Social Security Act to Reduce from 20 to 5 Years the Length of Time a Divorced Woman's Marriage to an Insured Individual Must Have Lasted in Order for Her to Qualify for Wife's or Widow's Benefits on His Wage Record, introduced by Bella S. Abzug (D-NY), H.R. 253, 93rd Cong., 1st sess.

U.S. Congress. House. Amends Title II of Social Security Act to Provide that the Remarriage of a Widow, Widower, or Parent Shall Not Terminate His or Her Entitlement to Widow's, Widower's or Parent's Insurance Benefits or to Reduce Its Amount, introduced by Edward I. Koch (D-NY), H.R. 707, 93rd Cong., 1st sess.

U.S. Congress. House. Amends Internal Revenue Code to Extend to All Unmarried Individuals the Full Tax Benefits of Income Splitting Now Enjoyed by Married Individuals; Removes Rate Inequities for Married Persons Where Both Are Employed, introduced by Edward I. Koch (D-NY), H.R. 715, 93rd Cong., 1st sess. S. 650, by Bob Packwood (R-Ore), similar bill.



Newspaper Articles

- Banks, Carolyn. "The Single Parent in Washington." Washingtonian. Washington, Star/News, December 10, 1972.  
Overview of the single-parent-family lifestyle.
- Bowman, LaBarbara. "Bill Aims at Sex Bias in Housing." The Washington Post, June 13, 1973.  
Proposed legislation at county level (Montgomery County, Maryland) prohibiting discrimination in the sale or rental of housing because of sex or marital status.
- Bralove, Mary. "Doe vs Doe." Wall Street Journal, 1973 (early).
- Clayton, James E. "New Concept Marks Wave of Divorce Law Reforms." The Washington Post, August 28, 1970, p. A22.
- Covill, Bruce. "Divorce Can Cost Part of Retired Pay Even Ere You Get It." Navy/Marine Corps/Coast Guard Times, October 17, 1973.
- Feinberg, Lawrence. "Divorces in Area Gain 6 to 25%, Jump Tied to Legal Aid for Poor." The Washington Post, September 14, 1973, p. A1.  
Government sponsored legal services find their caseload about 1/3 divorces in D.C., and contribute over 25% of the divorces granted annually. In Baltimore, about 60% of the divorces originate in the legal aid offices. Costs to the poor in relation to standard fees are given.
- Fiske, Edward. "Catholics Score Marriage Courts." New York Times, October 19, 1972?
- Hoffer, William. "Sunday's Child." Potomac. The Washington Post, December 9, 1973.
- Jacobson, Aileen. "Money, Women and Divorce." Potomac. The Washington Post, December 9, 1973.
- Jones, William H. "Lenders' Bias Hits Women." The Washington Post, October 13, 1973.  
The D.C. Commission on the Status of Women surveyed 42 commercial banks, 24 savings and loan associations, 41 mortgage bankers. Replies were received from fifty institutions. Policies relating to sex and marital status vary from institution to institution. Professional women's earnings were less often discounted than non-professional women. Alimony and child support payments considered as income were generally discounted regardless of their reliability.
- Kalenik, Sandra. "The Law Establishment and Divorce." Potomac. The Washington Post, December 9, 1973.
- Margulies, Martin. "How Are the New Divorce Laws Working?" Parade. The Washington Post, November 28, 1971, pp. 14-15.  
Discussion of no-fault laws.
- McFadden, Robert. "Bill Easing Divorce Law Passed in Rush in Albany." New York Times, June 2, 1973.  
Mandatory conciliation is abolished.
- Nokes, R. Gregory. "IRS Changes Exemptions Rule on Child of Divorced Parents." The Washington Post, May 1973.



Roberts, Steven. "But Why the Epidemic? It Could Be as Simple as Making Do Won't Do." New York Times, January 5, 1974.

Analysis of societal changes resulting in higher divorce rate.

Saline, Carol. "Living Happily Ever After . . . (The Divorce)." Today. The Philadelphia Inquirer, July 9, 1972.

Discusses the divorce therapy of a family therapist.

Salk, Dr. Lee. "Telling Children About Divorce." Trend. The Baltimore Sun, August 12, 1973.

Recipes for helping children whose parents or grandparents are divorced understand the relationships.

Stapleton, Constance. "A New Game Plan for Parents and Children: What Makes a Good Parent?" Potomac. The Washington Post, April 29, 1973, pp. 27-42.

Totenberg. "Easy Divorces." National Observer, February 15, 1971, p. 1.

Von Hoffman, Nicholas. "Divorcing Marriage." The Washington Post, June 5, 1970.

Proposes completely flexible marriage contracts.

Wagner, Georgette. "Center Opened for Children of Parents Being Divorced."

(UPI). The Washington Post, July 1, 1973.

A supervised playroom in the Chicago Civic Center is available free of charge so the children will not be unattended nor listen in on divorce proceedings.

Wolfe, Bruce. "Divorce Debate--Alimony Rights Questioned in Committee." Diamondback, (daily of University of Maryland), October 30, 1972.

Review of testimony on three resolutions before the Maryland General Assembly regarding temporary alimony.

Yoshihara, Nancy. "Pension Sex Discrimination Charged." The Washington Post, July 26, 1973.

Congressman Griffiths is chairing a hearing on inequities in Social Security benefits, such as "a 20 year sentence" in order for a woman to acquire benefits from her contributing husband if she wants a divorce.

"The American Family." Parade. The Washington Post, December 30, 1973.

Brief statistical report.

"Credit Discrimination Vote Set." The Washington Post, July 18, 1973, p. D10.

Amendment to the Truth in Lending Bill to prohibit discrimination because of sex or marital status in regard to extensions of credit. Subsequent article gave the vote of the Senate as 90-0. Next goes to Consumer Affairs Subcommittee of the House.

"Divorce, California Style: It's Easier Now and Less Painful." Los Angeles Times, March 6, 1972.

Statistics on number of divorces since January 1970. . . . men's attitudes since less financial hardship seems involved in new method . . . alimony for wife . . . movement of mothers and children onto welfare to exist . . . issue raised as to lack of information about how to proceed to get divorce (low cost method cited).

"Divorce Discrimination Charged by 2nd Wives." Baltimore Sun, October 4, 1973.  
Charging interference with their civil rights to have a normal family life with children, wives of second marriages sued in Baltimore City Circuit Court, against the State, the Supreme Bench of Baltimore, two of its judges, and 8 judges of the Baltimore County Circuit Court.

"Divorce for the Poor." The Washington Post, July 4, 1971.  
History of recent efforts to provide divorce for the poor.

"Lonely Dads." Parade. The Washington Post, September 30, 1973, p. 20.  
Single parents who are fathers find each day one long chore punctuated by errors in consumer buying.

"More Mortgages for Women." The Washington Post, March 18, 1972, p. E15.

"New Marriage Canon Called Highlight of Episcopal Rally." The Washington Post, October 15, 1973.  
Effective November 1, 1973, a former marriage can be declared "terminated" and therefore no hindrance to a new union. Pre-marital counseling by the clergy following notification of impending marriage 30 days hence. Couples will be asked to sign "declaration of intention" which holds that marriage is a "lifelong union of husband and wife."

"No-Fault Divorce, Insurance." Virginian-Pilot, August 19, 1973.  
Committee of Virginia Bar Association considers no-fault divorce.

"Runaway Parents Target of HEW." The Washington Post, October 6, 1973.  
Pursue deserting welfare parents and try to induce them to provide support for their children. Louis Hays claims the return to taxpayers could be as high as \$4 for every \$1 spent on recovery.

Organizations With Divorce Related Activities/Goals

- American Bar Association. Family Law Section. Ralph J. Podell, Chairman, Milwaukee County Courthouse, 901 N. 9th Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53233. Committees on divorce laws, alimony, custody, interstate and international support, family counseling, marriage law, and others.
- American Federation of Religion and Psychiatry in cooperation with American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers, New York Chapter.  
Offers course: "A Legal Guide for the Marriage, Divorce and Family Counselor."
- California Divorce Council. Phillip Carreon, 2211 South Atlantic Boulevard, Suite G, Monterey Park, California 91754, (213) 264-5982.  
Provides information on dissolution, promotes further legal reforms. Assists poor, Spanish-speaking in obtaining a dissolution (flat fee and costs).
- Conference of Conciliation Courts. Room 241, 111 N. Hill Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.  
Professional organization of State and local conciliation courts (court-attached counseling agencies).
- Divorce Consultants Associated. James Moore, Director, 2509 Nevada Avenue, South, St. Louis Park, Minnesota 55426.
- Divorce Counseling Service. Emily Brown, Director, 3215 Columbia Pike, Arlington, Virginia 22204.
- Divorce Education Associates. 3935 Upton Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55410.
- Divorce Reform Inc. Richard Bullock, 735 N. Snelling, St. Paul, Minnesota.
- Family Life Council of Greater Greensboro. 1301 North Elm Street, Greensboro, North Carolina 27401, (919) 273-3691.  
Divorce committee (very active).
- Fathers United. First Families First. Second Wives Coalition. Children's League of Divorce Parents. Baltimore, Maryland.  
The four groups are working closely together and can all be contacted through Anke Immink, (301) 467-8473.
- Legicuum (Legislative Committee of Unitarian Universalist of Maryland). Divorce Reform Subcommittee. Jean Nickel, Chairperson, (301) 622-4149.
- Men's Liberation, Inc. 153 E. 18th Street, New York, New York 10003.  
Men and women working against alimony.
- Minnesota Divorce Justice League. William Carriveau, 761 Raymond Avenue, St. Paul, Minnesota 55114.
- National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws. 1155 E. 60th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60637.  
Established in 1892 to work toward divorce reform.
- National Council on Family Relations. Task Force on Divorce and Divorce Reform. Emily Brown, Chairperson, 701 N. Pegram Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22304, (703) 751-3883.

New Horizons. Mel Krantzler, Director, 1299 Fourth Street, Room 407, San Rafael, California 94901.

NOW (National Organization for Women). Elizabeth Spalding, National Task Force Coordinator, Marriage and Divorce, 7 Hill Road, Greenwich, Connecticut 06830. Betty Blaisdell Berry, Advisor to Task Force and Editor of Task Force Newsletter, 541 E. 20th Street, New York, New York 10010. Many local chapters also have committees on divorce.

NOISE (National Organization to Insure Support Enforcement). Diana DuBroff, Chairperson, 10 Columbus Circle, New York, New York 10019, (212) 765-8404.

Office of Child Development, Office of the Secretary, Department of Health, Education and Welfare. P.O. Box 1182, Washington, D.C. 20013. Interested in single-parent family research.

Parents Without Partners. Bill Burhen, President; George B. Williams, Executive Director; National Office, 7910 Woodmont Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20014, (301) 654-8850. Has extensive program for single parents. Over 80,000 members in 600 chapters in the United States, Canada and several other countries.

Potomac Foundation for Mental Health. (301) 530-7891/530-5600. Includes divorce counseling in services offered.

R Street Women's Center. 1736 R Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009, (202) 232-5145. Divorce Coordinator: Val Jones.

Regeneration, Inc. New Haven, Connecticut. Women's divorce and separation counseling service.

U. S. Divorce Reform, Inc. National Office, P. O. Box 243, Kenwood, California 95452. Chapters in many States.

Women's Equity Action League. National Press Building, Room 538, Washington, D.C. 20004. Betty Wright, Chairperson, Committee on Divorce.

Women's Law Center. 351 Broadway, New York, New York 10013. Assists women with legal problems, primarily divorce. Sponsors workshops and publishes a newsletter.

Periodicals Regularly Featuring Articles on Divorce

Conciliation Courts Review. Conference of Conciliation Courts, Room 241, 111 N. Hill Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

The Family Coordinator. National Council on Family Relations, 1219 University Avenue, S.E., Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414.

Family Law Quarterly. Section of Family Law, American Bar Association, 1155 E. 60th Street, Chicago, Illinois 60637.

Family Process. 149 E. 78th Street, New York, New York 10021.

Journal of Family Law. University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky.

Journal of Marriage and the Family. National Council on Family Relations, 1219 University Avenue, S.E., Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414.

Marriage and Divorce. 874 Malcolm Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90024.  
(First issue: March/April, 1974).

Ms. 370 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10017.

Single. Steirman Communications, 545 Madison Avenue, New York, New York 10022.

The Single Parent. Parents Without Partners, 7910 Woodmont Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20014.

Many of the Law Review Journals published by the various law schools regularly include articles on domestic relations law.



Popular Magazine Articles

- Bailey, Fred. "Divorce: Is It Destroying the American Family?" Better Homes and Gardens, September, 1973, pp. 2, 75.
- Boeth, Richard. "Connubial Blitz: It Was Ever Thus." Newsweek, March 12, 1973, pp. 56-57.
- Boyers, Robert. "The Woman Question and the Death of the Family." Dissent, Winter, 73.
- Brady, James B., ed. "Divorce on Demand: A Symposium." Humanist, May/June, 1970, p. 9.
- Daily, Margaret, ed. "A New Tax Break on Child Care Costs." Family Management Section. Better Homes and Gardens, September, 1972.  
Maximum of \$400 monthly may be deducted for care of dependents depending upon family income. Both parents must be employed full time unless one parent is a dependent (disabled); Divorced father providing more than one-half support of children living with divorced mother cannot claim child care payments as they do not live in his household; neither can she claim costs if she doesn't contribute more than one-half their support.
- Dector, Midge. "The Young Divorcee." Harper's, October, 1962, pp. 166-172.  
Divorce is viewed as an incomplete life, to be followed by a marriage of limited expectations.
- DeWolf, Rose. "No Fault Divorce." The Nation, April 23, 1973.
- Haines, Angela. "Divorce: Make Sense, Not War." Money, February, 1973, pp. 28-32.
- Hennessee, Judith. "Till Divorce Us Do Part." Washingtonian Magazine, V:3 (December, 1973), pp. 44-48.
- Hetherington, E. Mavis. "Girls Without Fathers," Psychology Today, VI:9 (February, 1973), pp. 46-52.
- Lear, Martha Weinman. "Save the Spouses, Rather Than the Marriage." New York Times Magazine, August 13, 1972.
- Pollack, Jack H. "Seven Mistakes Divorced Parents Make." Parent's Magazine, March, 1967.
- O'Reilly, Jane. "Divorce By the Books." New York, IV:9 (March, 1971), pp. 44-49.  
An intelligent survey of lay literature on divorce.
- Rollin, Betty. "The American Way of Marriage; Remarriage." Look, September 21, 1971.
- Rosen, Lawrence. "I Divorce Thee." Transition, VII:8 (June, 1970), pp. 34-37.  
Divorce in modern Islamic countries is not as simple as "I Divorce Thee."
- Sennett, Richard. "The Brutality of Modern Families." Trans-Action, VII:11 (September, 1970), pp. 29-37.  
Analysis of the structure of city family life. Discusses family stability in relation to the city.
- Sheehy, Gail. "The City Politics: Divorced Mothers as a Political Force." New York, IV:19 (May 10, 1971), pp. 10-11.



- Spock, Benjamin, M.D. "How Divorced Parents Can Help Their Children Adjust." Redbook, March, 1971, pp. 33-41.  
Discusses child's possible reactions to parent's dating and remarriage. Assumes remarriage is the goal and does not discuss adjustment to divorce.
- Smith, Marjorie. "Where Credit Is Due." Ms Magazine, October, 1972, pp. 36, 37. —  
Report on the hearings of the National Commission on Consumer Finance, May 22, 23, 1972.
- Tomasson, Verna. "Women as Property." The New Republic, CLXIII:12 (September 19, 1970), pp. 15-18.
- "The Broken Family: Divorce U.S. Style." Newsweek, March 12, 1973, pp. 47-50, 55.
- "The Business of Getting Divorced." Dun's, April, 1972, pp. 85-87.  
Advice for male executives on the financial aspects of divorce.
- "Divorce in the Barrios." Human Behavior, January, 1973, pp. 51-52.  
Divorce is increasing dramatically among Mexican-Americans, with implications for changes in the traditional lifestyle.
- "Divorce Massachusetts Style." The New Broom, I:12 (October, 1971).
- "The Economics of Divorce." Changing Times, September, 1973.
- "Frustration and Anxiety in Our System." Transition. Canada: Vanier Institute for the Family, June/July, 1973.
- "The Lollipop War." Redbook, September, 1973, pp. 48, 50-53.
- "Marriage and Divorce." Atlantic, November, 1966. Lasch, Christopher. "Divorce and the Family in America." pp. 57-61. Cadwallader, Mervya. "Marriage as a Wretched Institution." pp. 62-66. Cantor, Donald J. "The Right of Divorce." pp. 67-71.
- "NOW Announces Model Divorce Reform Bill." The Spokeswoman, April 20, 1972.  
Provisions itemized.
- "Rising Problems of Single Parents." U.S. News and World Report, LXXV:3 (July 16, 1973), pp. 32-35.

Professional Journals

- Baum, Victor J. "A Trial Judge's Random Reflections on Divorce: The Social Problem and What to Do About It." Wayne Law Review, II:2 (Winter 1965), 451-481.
- Bellin, Seymour S. and Hardt, Robert H. "Marital Status and Mental Disorders Among the Aged." American Sociological Review, XXIII (April, 1958), 155-162.
- Berkman, Paul L. "Spouseless Motherhood, Psychological Stress, and Physical Mobility." Journal of Health and Social Behavior, X (December, 1969), 323-334.
- Billingsley, Andrew. "Family Functioning in the Lower Income Black Community." Social Casework, L (December, 1969), 563-572.
- Bitterman, Catherine. "The Multimarriage Family." Social Casework, XLIX (1968), 218-221.
- Blumenthal, Monica D. "Mental Health Among the Divorced: A Field Study of Divorced and Never Divorced Persons." Archives of General Psychiatry, XVI (May, 1967), 603-8.
- Burchinal, Lee G. "Characteristics of Adolescents from Unbroken, Broken, and Reconstituted Families." Journal of Marriage and the Family, XXVI (1964).
- Burgess, Jane. "The Single-Parent Family: A Social and Psychological Problem." The Family Coordinator, XVII:2 (April, 1970).
- Chen, Ronald. "The Dilemma of Divorce: Disaster or Remedy." The Family Coordinator, XVII (1968), 251-254.
- Darbonne, Allen. "Crisis: A Review of Theory, Practice and Research." International Journal of Psychiatry, VI (November, 1969), 371-379.
- Dean, D. G. and Bresnahan, B. S. "Ecology, Friendship Patterns and Divorce: A Research Note." Journal of Marriage and the Family, XXXI (August, 1969).
- Dyson, Elizabeth and Dyson, Richard. Family Courts in the United States. Reprinted from The Journal of Family Law. University of Louisville, 1969.
- Elkin, Meyer. "Conciliation Courts: The Reintegration of Disintegrating Families." The Family Coordinator, (January, 1973).
- Fenelon, B. "State Variations in U.S. Divorce Rates." Journal of Marriage and the Family, XXXIII (May, 1971).
- Fisher, Esther Oshiver. "A Guide to Divorce Counseling." The Family Coordinator, (January, 1973), 55-62.
- Freudenthal, Kurt. "Problems of One-Parent Families." Social Work, (January, 1959), 44-48.
- Glasser, Paul and Navarre, Elizabeth. "Structural Problems of the One-Parent Family." Journal of Social Issues, XXI:1 (1965), 98-109.
- Glick, P. C. and Norton, A. J. "Frequency, Duration and Probability of Marriage and Divorce." Journal of Marriage and the Family, XXXIII:2 (1971), 303-317.

- Glick, P.-C. and Norton, A. J. "Perspectives on the Recent Upturn in Divorce and Remarriage." Demography, (August, 1973).
- Goddard, Wendell H. "A Report on California's New Divorce Law; Progress and Problems." Family Law Quarterly, IV (1972), 405.
- Goldstein, J. and Gitter, M. "On Abolition of Grounds for Divorce." Family Law Quarterly, III:2 (1969), 75-99.
- Hamilton, Percival B. "Counseling in the Legal Profession." American Bar Association Journal, LVIII (January, 1972); 39-42.
- Havens, Elizabeth M. "Women, Work, and Wedlock: A Note on Female Marital Patterns in United States." American Journal of Sociology, LXXVIII:4 (January, 1973), 213-219. Reprinted: Changing Women in a Changing Society. Edited by Joan Huber. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1973.
- Hogoboom, William P. "California Family Law Act of 1970: 18 Month Experience." Journal of the Missouri Bar, XXVII:11, n.d., 565-624.
- Ilgenfritz, Marjorie P. "Mothers on Their Own - Widows and Divorcees." Marriage and Family Living, XXIII (February, 1961), 38-41.
- Kargman, Marie. "The Revolution in Divorce Law." The Family Coordinator, (April, 1973), 245-248.
- Kay, Herman Hill. "A Family Court: The California Proposal." California Law Review, LVI (October, 1968).
- Kay, Herman Hill. "Making Marriage and Divorce Safe for Women." California Law Review, LX (1972).
- Land, Kenneth. "Some Exhaustable Poisson Process Models of Divorce by Marriage Cohort." Journal of Mathematical Sociology, VII (July, 1971), 213-232.
- LeMasters, E. E. "Holy Deadlock." Sociological Quarterly, XXI (1959), 86-91.
- Levinger, George. "Marital Cohesiveness and Dissolution: An Integrative Review." Journal of Marriage and the Family, XXVII (February, 1965), 19-38.
- Litwak, Eugene. "Three Ways in Which Law Acts as a Means of Social Control; Punishment, Therapy, and Education: Divorce Law a Case in Point." Social Forces, XXXIV (1956), 214-223.
- McDermott, J. F. "Divorce and Its Psychiatric Sequelae in Children." Archives of General Psychiatry, XXIII:5 (1970), 421-427.
- Nagel, Stewart and Weitzman, Lenore. "Women as Litigants." Hastings Law Journal, XXIII (1971), 187.
- Nye, F. Ivan. "Child Adjustment in Broken and in Unhappy Unbroken Homes." Marriage and Family Living, (November, 1967), 361.

- Pollak, Gertrude K. "Sexual Dynamics of Parents Without Partners." Social Work, (April, 1970), 79-85.  
Discussion of dating and sexual relationships as revealed in seminar groups conducted by Family Service of Philadelphia. Fairly good although it stresses problem side.
- Pollak, Otto. "The Broken Family." Social Work and Social Problems. Edited by Nathan E. Cohen. New York: National Association of Social Workers, 1964, 321-339.  
Overview of problems facing the "broken family," and exploration of current and potential role of social work in relation to these problems.
- Ratner, Leonard G. "Child Custody In A Federal System." Michigan Law Review, LXII (1964), 795-846.  
A discussion of the legal problems involved in interstate custody cases.
- Renne, Karen S. "Health and Marital Experience in an Urban Population." Journal of Marriage and the Family, XXXIII (May, 1971), 338-350.
- Robbins, Norman. "The Spouses Should Be Compelled to Live in Different States." The Family Coordinator, (April, 1970), 180-182.
- Salter, K. W. "Canon Law Divorce and Annulment of the Roman Catholic Church at the Parish Level." Journal of Marriage and the Family, XXXI:1 (1969), 51-60.
- Scanlon, J. "Social System Analysis of Dissolved and Existing Marriages." Journal of Marriage and the Family, XXX (August, 1968).
- Schlesinger, Benjamin. "Divorce as Failure or Growth." Family Life Coordinator, (October, 1966), 137.
- "The One-Parent Family: Recent Literature." Journal of Marriage and the Family, XXVIII:1 (February, 1966), 103-109.
- Schwartz, Anne C. "Reflections on Divorce and Remarriage." Social Casework, XLIX (1968), 213-217.
- Shaickelt, S. G. "Affilial Relationships of the Divorced Mother." Dissertation Abstracts International, XXXI (1970), 469.
- Spellman, Howard Hilton. "How Should the Adequacy of Compensation for the Wife's Attorney in Divorce Cases be Determined?" Family Law Quarterly, IV:1 (March, 1970), 53-60.
- Sprey, Jetse. "The Family as a System in Conflict." Journal of Marriage and the Family, XXXI (November, 1969), 699-706.
- Watson, Andrew. "The Children of Armageddon: Problems of Custody Following Divorce." Syracuse Law Review, XXI (1969), 55-86.
- Weiss, Robert S. "Special Report: The Single Parent Family Benefits in Parents Without Partners." Journal of Marriage and the Family, (July, 1973).
- Westman, J. C., and others. "Role of Child Psychiatry in Divorce." Archives of General Psychiatry, XXIII:5 (1970), 416-420.

Woodward, John C. and Visser, Mary Jane. "Loneliness: When and Whom Does It Touch." Quarterly Serving Farm, Ranch and Home. University of Nebraska, Fall 1972.

Zenor, Donna J. "Untying the Knot, the Course and Patterns of Divorce Reform." Cornell Law Review, LVII (April, 1972), 649.

The Family Coordinator, XXII:3 (July, 1973).  
Includes six articles on divorce.

The Family and Divorce. Five articles from The Family Life Coordinator, (1958-59).  
Eugene, Oregon: E. C. Brown Center for Family Studies, 1970.

"Family Therapy for Divorced Fathers and Others Out of the Home." Social Casework,  
January, 1973.

Trial, VIII:5 (Sept/Oct., 1972).  
Entire issue devoted to divorce.

"Uniform Marriage and Divorce Act." Family Law Quarterly, V:2 (June, 1971).  
Entire issue devoted to the discussion of the Uniform Marriage and Divorce Act.



Professional Papers and Monographs

- Barringer, Kenneth. "Counseling the Divorced/Counseling the Remarried." Unpublished paper, 1971.
- Bart, Pauline. "Divorced Fathers and Their Children: A Study of Emerging Roles." Paper presented at the American Sociological Association, University of Illinois Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois, 1970.
- Brown, Emily. "Splitting or Splicing--How Should Social Work Approach Divorce?" Paper presented at Child Welfare League/Family Service Association Joint Midwest Conference, April, 1971.
- Elkin, Meyer. Director, Family Counseling Services, Conciliation Court of Los Angeles. "Family Law Reform." Speech presented in Washington, D.C., March 20, 1971. "Techniques Are Not Enough." Paper presented in Detroit, Mich., May 21, 1970. "Conciliation Court Counselor Needs." Paper presented in Anaheim, California, October 4, 1969. "Group Counseling in a Court Setting." Paper presented to 6th Annual Conference of Conciliation Courts, n.d.
- Emilson, Beth; Freehill, Donna; and Tuch, Barbara. "The Problems of a Divorced Mother In An Urban Setting." Report to the National Institute of Mental Health, September, 1972.
- Ewing, James. "The Counseling Process in Divorce." Unpublished presentation to the National Council on Family Relations, Estes Park, Colorado, August, 1971.
- Fisher, Esther O. "Education for the Divorced." Unpublished doctoral thesis, Columbia University, New York, 1962.
- Guyatt, Doris E. "The One-Parent Family in Canada." Vanier Institute of the Family, 151 Slater Street, Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 5H3, April, 1971.
- Hartman, Morris N., Chairman. "Report of the Subcommittee on the Conciliation Court." Family Law Section, American Bar Association, 1961.
- Kegan, Ed. Course Outline of Program Designed for the Formerly Married Woman. Nebraska, 1972. (Mimeographed).
- King, Wayne. "Demand for Divorce Brings Laws to Make It Easier and Cheaper." n.d. Summarizes trends and their causes in no-fault and do-it-yourself divorce.
- Kreworuka, Susanne. "An Analysis of No-Fault Divorce." Unpublished paper, May 1, 1973.
- Lorinczi, Rhonda Goodkin. "Marriage Counseling and Conciliation: Known Court-Connected Services, with summaries of statutes and a bibliography." American Bar Foundation, 1970.
- McRee, Sally von Breton. "A View of the Psycho-Social Effects of Divorce on Women." Unpublished paper, n.d.
- National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws. "Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act." Chicago, 1968.
- National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws. "Uniform Marriage and Divorce Act." Chicago, August 14, 1970.



Piovia, Esther. "1972 Income Tax Deductions for Child Care: Who Benefits?" National Urban League, Inc., April, 1973.

Ross, Heather L. and MacIntosh, Anita. "The Emergence of Households Headed by Women." Unpublished paper, The Urban Institute, Washington, D.C., June, 1973.

Ross, Heather L. "Poverty: Women and Children Last." Unpublished paper, The Urban Institute, Washington, D.C.; September, 1973.

Sawhill, Isabel; Ross, Heather L.; and MacIntosh, Anita. "The Family in Transition." Unpublished paper, The Urban Institute, Washington, D.C., September, 1973.

Simpkins, Loy. "Marriage Counseling for Texas?" Unpublished paper, Waco, Texas, September, 1969.

"Conciliation - Divorce." Vanier Institute, Ottawa, Ontario, 1973.

"Divorce Laws in the American Countries: Government Reports." Inter-American Commission on Women, Organization of American States (OAS), Washington, D.C., September, 1972.

"Humane Reproduction." Group for the Advancement of Psychiatry Report, #86, August, 1973.

"Statement in Support of 'No-Fault' Divorce Bill." Kentucky Association of Professional Psychology (KAPP), March 11, 1972.

"Summary of a Report on Family and Marriage." Government Committee on Amendment to the Swedish Family Laws, Stockholm, 1972.

Reports and Other Publications: Federal, State and Local Governments

Federal:

Hartley, Ruth E. "The One Parent Family." White House Conference on Children and Youth.

Herzog, Elizabeth and Sudia, Cecilia E. Boys in Fatherless Families. U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Child Development, D/HEW No. (OCD) 72-33. Washington, D.C.: Children's Bureau, reprinted 1971.

Rewalt, Marguerite, Chairman. Report of the Task Force on Family Law and Policy. Citizens' Advisory Council on the Status of Women. Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, April, 1968.

Citizens' Advisory Council on the Status of Women. "The Equal Rights Amendment and Alimony and Child Support Laws." CACSW Item No. 10-N. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Labor, January, 1972.

Alimony is granted in only a very small percentage of cases; fathers are contributing less than half the support of the children in divided families; child support and alimony awards are very difficult to collect. Wife's ability to earn her livelihood following divorce is considered in settlement.

Precedent cases in the District of Columbia, list the following factors in determining alimony or maintenance: duration of marriage, ages and health of the parties, respective financial positions--both past and prospective, wife's contribution to family support and property ownership, needs of the wife, husband's ability to contribute, interest of society in preventing her from becoming a public charge. The last seems to be a very important criterion.

Although USDA figures in 1969 indicate that it costs \$1400 annually on a low-cost budget to support one child, awards for child support are more likely to be from \$15 to \$30 weekly. (Testimony from Adele Weaver, President of the National Association of Women Lawyers, on the Equal Rights Amendment before Subcommittee 4 of the House Judiciary Committee, 1971).

Citizens' Advisory Council on the Status of Women. "The Equal Rights Amendment: What It Will and Won't Do." CACSW Item No. 10-N. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Labor, n.d.

Abbreviated comments on Equal Rights Amendment and its effect on divorce (alimony, child support).

U.S. Congress. Joint Economic Committee. Subcommittee on Fiscal Policy. Studies in Public Welfare: The Family, Poverty, and Welfare Programs: Factors Influencing Family Instability. Paper No. 12, Parts I and II. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Congress, November 4; December 3, 1973.

U.S. Congress. Senate. "American Families! Trends and Pressures." Hearings before the Senate Subcommittee on Children and Youth. Congressional Record. Vol. 119, September 26 - October 1, 1973.

U.S. Department of Commerce. "Marital Status and Living Arrangements; March 1972." Population Characteristics--Current Population Reports. Series P-20, No. 242, (November, 1972).

U.S. Department of Commerce. "Marriage, Divorce, and Remarriage by Year of Birth; June 1971." Population Characteristics--Current Population Reports. Series P-20, No. 239 (September 1972).

- U.S. Department of Commerce. Bureau of the Census. Social and Economic Variations in Marriage, Divorce, and Remarriage. No. 223 (1967).
- U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare. "Where to Write for Divorce Records-- United States and Outlying Areas." Public Health Service-Pubn. No. 630C (1968).
- U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare. National Center for Health Statistics. "Monthly Vital Statistics Report."  
Statistics on births, marriages, divorces, and deaths. Issued monthly.
- U.S. Interdepartmental Committee on the Status of Women. American Women, 1963-1968. Washington, D.C.: Department of Labor, n.d.
- U.S. Internal Revenue Service. "Child Care and Disabled Dependent Care." Pubn. No. 503 (October, 1970).
- U.S. Internal Revenue Service. "Income Tax Deduction for Alimony Payments." Pubn. No. 504 (October, 1971).  
Self-explanatory.
- U.S. Internal Revenue Service. Your Federal Income Tax. Pubn. No. 17 (for each income tax year).  
In 1973 edition, child custody discussion, pp. 19, 97, 98, 99 (includes child care); alimony discussion, p. 101.
- U.S. Department of Labor. Women's Bureau. "Careers for Women in the Seventies." Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1973.
- U.S. Department of Labor. Women's Bureau. "Facts About Women Heads of Households and Heads of Families." Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, April, 1973.

State:

- Harvey, John V. with Rich, Edna W. Divorce and You. Massachusetts: Cooperative Extension Work in Agriculture and Home Economics, n.d.  
An informational pamphlet that answers basic questions about the legal aspects of divorce in the State of Massachusetts.
- Kohut, Nester C. Positive Divorce Reform for America. Illinois: Association for the Advancement of Family Stability, 1969.  
Report of Family Study Commission on Marriage, Divorce and Parental Responsibility (appointed by governor).
- Paget. Counseling Services to Parents and Children Involved in Divorce Proceedings. San Bernadino: California Department of Social Welfare, 1960.
- California. Department of Public Health. Marriage and Divorce in California: Marriages and Final Decrees of Divorce and Annulment (1966-69).
- California. Conference of Conciliation Courts. Conciliation Courts Review (1968 to Present). Superior Court, Los Angeles County, Room 241, 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, Calif. 90012.  
Periodic publication.

District of Columbia. D.C. Neighborhood Legal Services. "Description of the Domestic Relations Branch of the D.C. Court of General Sessions" (1970?).

Indiana. "No Fault" Divorce. Indianapolis: Indiana Legislative Council, September 1972.

Massachusetts. Fifth Annual Report of the Advisory Council on Home and Family (1972-73).

Pennsylvania. Proposed Marriage and Divorce Codes for Pennsylvania. Harrisburg: Joint State Government Commission, General Assembly of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, June 1961.

Tennessee. Legislative Council of Tennessee. Study on Domestic Relations Laws and Procedures (1970).

#### Local

Los Angeles, California. Superior Court of Los Angeles County. Report of the Conciliation Court (annually, 1968 to present). Room 241, 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, Calif., 90012, (213) 625-3414.

Los Angeles, California. Superior Court of Los Angeles County. Conciliation Court. Is Dissolution of Marriage the Only Answer: A Personal Message to Parents (n.d.)

#### Foreign

Canada. Canadian Council on Social Development. The One-Parent Family (October 1971). Canadian Council on Social Development, 55 Parkdale Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario, K1Y 1E5.

Research Reports and Projects

- Andersson, Marianne and Strasborg, Agneta. OM EFFEKTEN AV SKILSMASSA (Effects of Divorces). Research reports from the Department of Sociology, Uppsala University Sweden, 1971.
- Blair, Maudine. Divorcees Adjustment and Attitudinal Changes About Life. Florida State University, 1969.  
Explores relationship between adjustment and attitudinal changes about life.
- Blechman, Elaine. "Single Parent Family Training Project." Unpublished description of research project, University of Maryland, 1973.
- Council on Economic Priorities, 84 Fifth Avenue, New York, N.Y., 10011; (212) 691-8550. Making survey on credit in relation to separated and divorced persons. In cooperation with Parents Without Partners.
- Habant, John and Gonglo, Patricia. "Single Parent Family Report." Paper presented to National Council on Family Relations, October, 1973.  
Preliminary report on a study of adjustment patterns of middle-class, single parent families.
- Higgs, Suzanne. "An Investigation of the Effect of Divorce and Interparental Conflict Upon the Child." Masters thesis. University of Georgia, Athens, September, 1965. Filed at University Library.
- Kelley, Roselene Jensen. "Some Factors Associated With Reconciliation Decisions Among Couples With Marital Problems." Masters thesis. Oregon State University, Corvallis, June, 1968. Filed at University Library.
- Montgomery, Joseph Edmund. "An Investigation of Marital Disengagement and Disenchantment During the First Three Years of Marriage." Masters thesis. Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, August, 1965. Filed at J. Reuben Clark, Jr. Library.
- Nye, F. Ivan. "Child Adjustment in Broken and Unhappy Unbroken Homes." Marriage and Family Living, November, 1957.
- Osmond, Marie. "Path to Poverty: A Comparison of Male and Female-Headed Families." Institute for Social Research, Florida State University. Research reported to the National Council on Family Relations, October, 1973.
- Richardson, Sonia Lee. "Three Aspects of Post-Divorce Social Adjustment in Mormon Utah and Protestant Nevada." Masters thesis. Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, May, 1966. Filed at University Library.
- Sussman, Marvin. Research on consequences and needs of divorcing husbands, wives, and their children. Four-year study beginning in 1974.
- Trost, Jan. UTVEEKLINGEN IFRAGA OM AKTENS KAPETS STABILITET (Changes in Marital Stability). Research reports from the Department of Sociology, Uppsala University, Sweden, 1970.

Weeks, Marianne. "Selected Effects of Parental Divorce on Marriage Role Expectations of College Students." Masters thesis. Texas Women's University, Denton, August, 1968. Filed at University Library.

Winston, Marian P. and Forsher, Trude. "Nonsupport of Legitimate Children by Affluent Fathers as a Cause of Poverty and Welfare Dependence." Santa Monica, Calif.: The Rand Corporation, n.d. (post-1972)? T. Forsher, 2101 Manning Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90025, for \$2.00.

From 1961-1968 middle-class women in California appeared on AFDC rolls enough to raise the average educational and occupational level of recipients...then left abruptly after suing for child support payments from affluent fathers in arrears. Child support agreements are not enforced; enforcement is given lower priority than traffic violations.

Tapes:

Gardner, Richard A. "The Mutual Storytelling Technique." Psychotherapy and Social Science Review.

Set of 12 tapes for use by children's therapists. Two tapes deal with divorce.

Whitaker, Carl and Frohlich, Newton. "Negotiating a Divorce." Chicago: Instructional Dynamics, Inc., 166 E. Superior Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611, 1972.



Testimony

Abzug, Bella S. (D-NY). Conference: Women as Economic Equals. Washington, D.C., March 21, 1973.

Bella S. Abzug's statement reiterates much of the same kind of testimony as found in National Commission on Consumer Credit. Sex discrimination is primary focus. Lists a few States that prohibit sex discrimination in mortgage loans: Colorado, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Massachusetts, Kansas, Maryland, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, South Dakota. Washington State's legislation covers all forms of credit and financing.

Abzug, Bella S. (D-NY). Sex Discrimination. Hearings before the National Commission on Consumer Finance, Washington, D.C., May 22-23, 1972.

Cited cases and detailed three bills introduced on May 23, 1972:

- (1) Prohibits federally insured banks, savings and loan associations and credit unions from discrimination because of sex or marital status. H.R. 248.
- (2) Federally-related mortgage transactions must not allow discrimination because of sex or marital status. H.R. 246.
- (3) Prohibits discrimination by creditors against individuals on the basis of sex or marital status with respect to the extension of credit. H.R. 247.
- (4) Omnibus women's rights bill prohibiting discrimination in federally-aided programs, in public accommodations and in the sale, rental or financing of housing. (Amends civil rights laws, H.R. 249).

Barr, Joseph W. (President of American Security and Trust Company, Washington, D.C.). Sex Discrimination. Hearings before the National Commission on Consumer Finance, Washington, D.C., May 22-23, 1972.

Asserts the reason either a married man or woman has to have spouse co-sign is because "the body of law involving divorce, bankruptcy and inheritance push lending institutions toward the requirement that both husband and wife sign for loans."

Campbell, Sharyn (in behalf of the Women's Legal Defense Fund). Sex Discrimination.\* Divorced woman must seek to establish credit in own name following decree.

Gallagher, Janne. Sex Discrimination.\*

Divorced woman's problems with retail credit and auto loan.

Griffiths, Martha W. (D-Mich). Sex Discrimination.\*

Quotes John Farry of U.S. Savings and Loan League as saying women with independent earnings (widows, divorcees included) has a "far better chance of getting a mortgage today than she would even two or three years ago." Cited case: Auto insurance premium raised upon woman's divorce, difficulty in getting auto insurance at all, despite long clean driving record on former husband's car. Asserts formerly married persons are not more immoral, unreliable, nor have more unstable incomes than the rest of the population. Female heads of households have a tremendous economic need to work . . . they are not temporary workers.

\*Hereafter referenced, refer to Hearings before the National Commission on Consumer Finance, Washington, D.C., May 22-23, 1972.

Hagan, Mildred (Manager of Commercial Accounts Division of the Boston Credit Central, Sears, Roebuck & Company). Sex Discrimination.\*

Agrees divorced woman who has no credit history of credit extensions in her own name may encounter problems in establishing credit, especially if there was a bad record established during the marriage. Sears' solution: a good interview to make a sound credit decision. Couples separated but not divorced offer a special problem.

Hale, Matthew (Counsel for the American Bankers Association). Sex Discrimination.\*

Cites legalities peculiar to marriage found in laws. "(See 41 American Journal, 2nd pars. 8, 9, 17, 29, 142, 132-229)." States that women should meet same qualification as men to borrow: good character; vocational stability; financial capacity to repay, considering continuity and availability of assets; personal qualifications, such as an age commensurate with the maturity of the loan; and a bona fide purpose for the loan.

Howard, Betty (Director of Division of Women's Affairs, Minnesota Department of Human Rights).. Sex Discrimination.\*

Following failure to get state legislation against discrimination because of sex, women's rights groups carried on active campaign demanding that women be 1) able to establish their own credit; 2) keep accounts in their own names when they marry; 3) judged credit-worthy on same basis as man: employment, assets, and references.

Litwiller, Lynne C. (Serves on the Board for the National Organization for Women (NOW) and she is National Coordinator of the NOW Task Force on Taxes and Credit. Also employed as Field Examiner for the National Labor Relations Board). Sex Discrimination.\*

Divorced women have no credit rating because credit references were never established in her name, a predicament caused solely by the refusal in the first place to grant credit in her name.

McElhone, Josephine (Employee of the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, but speaking as an economist individual). Sex Discrimination.\*

Case of divorced woman, 28, with \$9000 cash, unable to buy a \$34,500 townhouse, but rejected for flimsy excuses. Through influence of a friend who knew the president of a local lending institution, she received her loan. Subsequently, it was easier to get other credit which had been denied to her previously.

Rohde, Steven M. (Center for National Policy Review, Catholic University of America School of Law). Sex Discrimination.\*

Reports that the National Bureau of Economic Research's study by Herzog and Earley on mortgage risk "found no relationship between marital status and the risk of mortgage delinquency and foreclosure."

Seidenberg, Faith A. (Was Vice President for Legal Affairs of National Organization for Women (NOW)). Sex Discrimination.\*

Banks consider legally separated women "bad risks;" separated women are likely to be put into "high risk" pools for auto insurance.

Sassower, Doris L. "Matrimonial Law Reform: Equal Property Rights for Women."

(Copyrighted) testimony before the Joint Hearings of the Matrimonial Law Committees of the New York County Lawyers' Association and the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, January 14, 1972.

A divorced woman's contribution to her husband's success not reflected in divorce settlement. Proposes: 1) equal division of marital property with equal rights to management; 2) financial reparation to women whose property rights have been destroyed by the divorce reforms law (N.Y.) of 1966. Expresses concern over incollectibility of alimony; proposed maintenance payment reflecting spouse's contribution to economic gain. Expresses concern over children becoming pawns in settlements and inadequate child care arrangements.

Sullivan, Jane M. (Attorney and Counselor at Law, Chairman of the Board of the Northport Federal Savings and Loan Association, Northport, New York, on behalf of the National League of Insured Savings Associations). Sex Discrimination.<sup>\*</sup> Criteria is the same for all women: if divorced or separated any income based on alimony is considered possibly impermanent and if her only income is alimony, she may have her income position questioned. If she has sufficient additional personal earning capacity, the additional alimony payments may be given some credence. Earned incomes of divorced or separated are not discounted.

Williams, George B. (Executive Director of Parents Without Partners). Sex Discrimination.<sup>\*</sup>

Reports on letter from former employee of Beneficial Finance Company who told of devious policies to avoid extending credit to divorced or separated individuals. Quotes members' experiences in being rejected for credit by Sears, Roebuck & Company. Asserts that child support and alimony are income. Pleads that discrimination because of widowed, divorced or separated status be immediately ended.