

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 141 051

RC 009 997

AUTHOR Gaumnitz, W. H.
TITLE Are the One-Teacher Schools Passing? 18 Years of History. Pamphlet No. 92.
INSTITUTION Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C.
PUB DATE 40
NOTE 21p.; Not available in hard copy due to small print size of original document

EDRS PRICE MF-30.83 Plus Postage. HC Not Available from EDRS.
DESCRIPTORS Comparative Analysis; *Educational Trends; Elementary Secondary Education; Enrollment; History; *One-Teacher Schools; Ratios (Mathematics); *Rural Schools; *Small Schools; *State Surveys; *Statistical Data; Students; Teachers

ABSTRACT

Based upon data derived from U.S. Office of Education biennial reports, this pamphlet presents statistical information on the changing status of the one-teacher school (1918-36) for purposes of determining the extent to which these schools have been eliminated, the rate of that elimination in recent years, and the trends of the future. Specifically, this publication documents: (1) the number of one-teacher schools by states; (2) percentages of one-teacher schools by states for successive bienniums based upon the number of such schools in 1918; (3) the number and percentage of public school children estimated to be attending one-teacher and two-teacher rural schools in 1934-35 by state; (4) percentages showing the ratios of the number of teachers in one-teacher schools to the total number of teachers in all schools; and (5) percentages showing the ratios of the number of one-teacher schools to the total number of schools. Among the more significant statistics presented are: for the nation as a whole, the number of one-teacher schools was reduced by 65,000 between 1918-36, having decreased in all states; by 1936 the national percentage of one-teacher schools had dropped to 15.2% as compared to 31% in 1918; for the nation as a whole, nearly 10.9% of the children were attending one-teacher schools in 1934-35 and 5.1% attending two-teacher schools. (JC)

 * Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
 * materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
 * to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal *
 * reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
 * of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
 * via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
 * responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
 * supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

ED141051

ARE THE ONE-TEACHER SCHOOLS PASSING?

18 YEARS OF HISTORY

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

The one-teacher school has been a part of the history of the United States since the first settlement. It has been a part of the history of the West, of the South, and of the North. It has been a part of the history of the pioneer and the settler, of the farmer and the miner, of the hunter and the trapper. It has been a part of the history of the Indian and the Negro, of the Mexican and the Chinese. It has been a part of the history of the American people.

MAY 3 1971

RECEIVED
E. E. C.

RC009997

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

ARE THE ONE-TEACHER SCHOOLS PASSING?

18 YEARS OF HISTORY

By

W. H. GAUMNITZ

Senior Specialist in Rural Education Problems



PAMPHLET NO. 92

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF EDUCATION
WASHINGTON, D. C.
1945
Price 5 cents

Harold L. Iker, Secretary
J. W. Stullbaker, Commissioner

Out of Print
3

Foreword

There are two main reasons for compiling and disseminating State by State information on the status of one-teacher schools in the United States, and the rate at which such schools are disappearing. First, many educators and laymen believe that the chief hope of solving the problems of rural education lies in eliminating as rapidly as possible the one-teacher schools by larger, graded schools, centrally located. Persons belonging to this school of thought believe that only a large school with several teachers and a large, well-equipped plant can effectively and economically provide the various educational services demanded of a balanced program of education and keep pace with the growing complexity of modern society. Those advocating the abolition of these small rural schools in favor of large, centrally located schools naturally wish to know what progress is being made toward their objective, the rate at which the one-teacher schools are being eliminated, in which States, and in what regions of the Nation the greatest progress is being made in that direction, and how much still remains to be done. Information is presented by this study concerning all these points.

There is a second school of thought which is not so eager to see the passing of the one-teacher schools. Persons holding this view are convinced that the smaller rural schools can provide as good an educational experience to the rural child as a large school. They point out, moreover, that these smaller schools are the chief means of keeping the vital functions of education near to the rural homes and of maintaining a community center within easy reach of every farm. For those who would retain these small schools, the statistics presented should constitute a peculiar challenge. For if the education provided in the one-teacher schools is to serve well the needs, both of the rural child and of the rural community, it is obvious that a great deal needs yet to be done. There is still a vast number of these schools. Much effort is needed before there will be placed in these smaller rural schools well-trained, well-paid, mature, and permanent teachers who will be competent to deal realistically with rural education problems. Besides, such teachers must have the equipment, the freedom of action, and a school term long enough to carry through a vitalized program of education in rural communities.

BESS GOODYKOONZ,

Assistant U. S. Commissioner of Education.

Are the One-Teacher Schools Passing?—18 Years of History

Purpose, Scope, and Source of Study

IN MANY CIRCLES of American life, especially among the urban groups, the one-teacher school is regarded as a thing of the past—an institution of pioneer days which need no longer be of any serious concern to present-day educators. Many of our educational leaders counsel that the remaining schools of this type be abandoned as quickly as possible and that the energies of those seeking to improve rural education be devoted chiefly to the problem of establishing and equipping fully large graded schools in rural communities. Such leaders maintain that only through large schools and school districts can such communities obtain adequate financial resources, attract and develop staffs of well-trained teachers, make available the necessary professional, administrative, and supervisory leadership, and provide the medical, dental, guidance, and other services demanded of a modern school system.

But what are the statistical facts concerning this type of school? Has the one-teacher school, indeed, passed from America's educational scene? To what extent has consolidation achieved its goal of placing a larger, graded school within reach of every farm home? If the "little red school" still plays a part in America's school system, how great is that part? If the schools of this type are being eliminated, at what rate is this being accomplished? What seems to be the future of the one-teacher schools? What is the recent statistical history of this institution in the several States or the various sections of the United States? Which States have been most active or most successful in displacing these small schools with larger ones? Answers to these questions and many others will be found in the statistics to be presented in this document.

The purpose of this study, then, is to show statistically the present status of the one-teacher schools in the various States and to provide information which will not only indicate the extent to which these schools have been eliminated but will reveal the rate at which such elimination has taken place in recent years. Barring unforeseen developments, these statistics will also suggest future trends.

The data upon which this study is based were compiled from the printed reports of the Biennial Surveys of Education by the Office of Education, United States Department of the Interior. The earliest year for which State-by-State data on one-teacher schools

were gathered and published in a form comparable to those available for succeeding biennials is 1918. The period of time covered by the major section of this study is, therefore, the 18 years from 1918 to 1936.

In addition to the fact that wholly comparable data were not available for an earlier date, 1918 would seem to be a good year from which to begin a study of the recent trends in the statistical history of the one-teacher schools in this country because by coincidence it falls within, or at least near, the year in which the number of schools of this type reached its highest peak. Both the history of the number of schools of all types, in which the total number of one-teacher schools has always bulked large, and such statistics prior to 1918 as are available on the number of one-teacher schools point to this conclusion. This is not to say that none of these small schools had been abandoned before 1918.

Efforts had been made almost from the beginning of public education in the United States not only to keep the new areas settled from being subdivided into too many small independent school districts but to enlarge those already established. But distances were great, road conditions were poor, the population was sparse, the desire to have a school within walking distance from every home and to control its support and its activities locally was insistent. The number of local districts uniting with other districts and the small schools replaced through union with large schools was, no doubt, considerable long before the beginning date of the study, but the reductions in the total number of one-teacher schools thus achieved were more than offset by the establishment of new ones in the newly settled communities of our expanding country, as well as by some further subdivision of many of the larger existing districts.

The statistical history of the one-teacher school prior to 1918 can, therefore, be summarized by saying that these schools increased rather than decreased, that these increases became smaller and smaller with the advance of the twentieth century, and that the highest point was reached and the decline in the number of these schools was begun somewhere within the decade from 1910 to 1920. Indeed, it would appear from the available statistics that this downward trend began between 1914 and 1918. Thus it must be concluded that during the decade from 1910 to 1920, despite "the awakened interest in rural education" accompanying the country-life movement described by students of rural education as a time when a "united effort in bringing about consolidation, determining its value, and working out the best ways to make it most effective" was made,

¹ United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Education. The status of rural education in the United States. By J. C. Menahan. (Statistic 1913, No. 8) pp. 25-26.

² United States Department of the Interior, Office of Education. Consolidation of schools and transportation of pupils. By J. P. Axel (Bulletin 1921, No. 41), p. 3.

little definite progress was achieved in reducing the number of one-teacher schools until after 1918.

Origin and Purpose of One-Teacher Schools

But first of all how did the one-teacher school come into being? Why was this unit of the educational system, now so frequently the object of criticism, so popular in our early history? When and why did the opposition to these small schools begin? And what are the landmarks in the rise and fall of the one-teacher school?

For answers to these questions it will be necessary to make a brief excursion into the history of education in this country. In the struggle for democratic equality it was early recognized that equality of educational opportunity was of prime importance. But how could schools be provided for isolated farm families living in pioneer outposts? The problem was different from that of the town or from that of the communal life of rural Europe. It called for an educational institution geared to low costs, to small numbers of pupils, and to walking distances. The one-teacher school and the local school district seem to have been the logical answer to the demands of the day.

Evidence of the need of the local school district and its small school as a means of making public educational opportunities available to farm families is found in the very beginning of the life of the United States under the National Constitution. In 1780 the General Court of Massachusetts, for example, enacted the following (5to) law:

And whereas, by means of the dispersed situation of the inhabitants of the several towns and districts in this Commonwealth, the children and youth cannot be collected in any one place for their instruction, it has thus become expedient that the towns and districts, in circumstances aforesaid, should be divided into separate districts for purposes aforesaid, be it enacted . . .

This law is credited by historians as being the first legal basis for the extreme decentralization of public education, which has become a unique, as well as a baffling, characteristic of the American school system. It is generally recognized that the local districts with their small one-teacher schools had been splitting off from the towns and other civic units of which they were parts, for a long time before the practice was recognized by law. But laws of the type quoted, copied in one form or another by many of the States, gave impetus to such subdivision. The practical minds of the pioneers apparently followed the simple policy of taking the school into the country to the children when it became evident that the children would not be able to go from the scattered farms to the central schools of the towns.

¹ Laws of Massachusetts, 1780, Ch. XIX, Sec. 2.

If these small schools were early recognized as a means of bringing the rudiments of an education within the reach of farm children, their weaknesses, too, early impressed the school authorities of the young Republic. Fifty years after the enactment of the law cited above Horace Mann declared it to be "the most unfortunate law on the subject of common schools ever enacted by the State of Massachusetts."¹ While Horace Mann was thinking chiefly of the small unit of school administration resulting from this law, it is clear that he and his contemporaries found the small schools maintained by the weak local districts poor and inefficient. As early as 1844, Superintendent Dix, of the State of New York, condemned these schools in the following terms and promulgated an order to curb their multiplication:

In feeble districts, cheap instructors, poor and ill-furnished schoolhouses, and a general languor of the order of education are almost certain to be found. . . . The superintendent deems it due the common-school system that no new district shall be formed with a smaller number (40 children between 5 and 16 years of age) unless peculiar circumstances render it proper to make an exception to the general rule.²

The history of education in the United States is replete with illustrations of the conflict between those who accepted and even lauded the one-teacher school as a means admirably fitted in a democracy to its important purpose of bringing educational opportunities to farm people and those who looked upon this institution as an evil which might be temporarily necessary but the growth of which should be as far as possible restricted. The latter group advocated that larger and more efficient schools should displace these small schools at the earliest possible time.

It was, therefore, only logical, on the one hand, that the desire to retain this American institution and to resist its abandonment should be strong and, on the other hand, that campaigns for the consolidation of these small schools should be persistent and often intense. The efforts to reduce the number of small-school units and to centralize rather than decentralize the control of public education have in their composite taken on the proportions of a movement during the last 25 years, finding expression in various forms at different times and in the various States. The growing powers given to county and State departments of education, the increasing tendency to support the schools through county and State rather than local taxation, and the emergence of a coordinated system of education from the kindergarten through the high school, all have played important roles in transforming the small independent, one-teacher schools of America's

¹ Webster, William C. *Recent centralizing tendencies in state educational administration*. New York, Columbia University, 1897, pp. 24.

² Hamilton, S. B. *A report of the common-school system of New York with forms, instructions, and decisions of the superintendent*. Albany, N. Y., 1844, p. 105.

early history into systems in which the administrative and other functions of the schools are centralized and specialized. By 1860 these developments had given rise in many States to laws encouraging the development of union districts both in urban and rural communities.

While school consolidation, as indicated above, was carried on in various ways and has been going on from the beginning of public education in the United States, it was not until 1869, according to Monahan,¹ when again in Massachusetts, a question came before the legislature on whether or not children from an abandoned school district might be transported to another district at public expense. The result was a law authorizing the school trustees to pay for such transportation out of school funds. In that year the first consolidation involving the reorganization of school districts and the transportation of pupils appears to have occurred in Greenfield, Mass.² In this case three "district" schools were abandoned and a new brick building erected at a central location to which the children from the abandoned districts were transported at public expense. A few years later a similar consolidation was effected in the town of Montague, Mass. With these as beginnings the idea of rural school consolidation spread until by 1900 half of the States had laws to facilitate the union of certain school districts. All 48 States had laws permitting the use of school funds for the transportation of pupils. Indeed, many of these early laws provided special grants to encourage school consolidation and to help in defraying the resulting costs of transportation, similar in character to Federal aids now widely employed to effect the centralization of rural education.

From these beginnings the movement to displace the 1-teacher and other small schools has gone forward with tremendous strides. The number of schools designated by the several States as consolidated schools increased from about 5,000 in 1916 to 17,531 in 1936. But the number of schools reported as consolidated does not completely show

	Number of one-teacher schools	Number of two-teacher schools	Number of schools with more than two teachers	Amount spent for total trans- portation
1915-16	279,194	7,466	121,091	\$7,993,140
1917-18	267,652	11,542	120,000	10,532,154
1921-24	168,714	12,874	124,971	22,621,422
1927-29	116,997	12,812	124,974	32,312,590
1931-32	111,293	12,245	124,974	46,377,214
1935-36	111,191	12,121	124,974	62,452,171

¹ Partially estimated.

² United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Education, "Consolidation of rural schools and transportation of pupils at public expense." By A. C. Monahan. (Bulletin, 1914, No. 20, pp. 7-8.)
³ Ibid. Op. cit. p. 12.

the program made in rural school centralization because there has been such centralization of rural school activities other than the legal units of local districts into new administrative units, commonly comprehended in the definition of a consolidated school. Without transporting the pupils of rural schools, high-school pupils from two or more districts, as well as those in the upper elementary grades, are now often transported to centrally located schools; small school districts often contract with the neighboring village or city school districts for educational services, while continuing the legal identity of the local school units.

During the 20 years from 1916 to 1936 the number of pupils transported at public expense has multiplied by six, and the expenditures for such transportation have increased fivefold. However, they include all of the children carried to schools located in rural localities outside of their homes, those transportation data probably indicate more closely the degree to which centralization of rural education is now taking place than those showing the number of consolidated schools. They do, however, include a small number of pupils living in the cities who because of physical handicaps, distances, and other reasons are transported to their schools.

The decrease in the number of one-teacher schools also reflects the progress made during the last 20 years in rural school centralization, but it shows especially what has happened to these small but historically important rural schools. During the 20 years in question they have been reduced by more than a third. A few new schools of this type are still being founded, usually for the same reasons that have always prevailed, but the number annually abandoned has now for a long time been so much greater that the net result is a marked trend toward elimination. For the Nation as a whole the average net reduction in the total number of one-teacher schools was 2,360 per year during the 1-year period from 1916 to 1920; it rose to 5,234 per year during the next 4 years and settled down to an average reduction of about 3,218 schools per year during the remaining 12 years ending in 1936. These data suggest that the one-teacher schools are now passing from the educational scene at the rate of about 8 or 9 schools per day. It appears, therefore, that the recent educational history in the United States is marked by a tremendous growth in the various factors making for rural school centralization. But since there are still more than 130,000 of these schools, this institution must be regarded as an important part of our school system, and it promises to remain such for decades to come.

Status and Trends of One-Teacher Schools, 1918 to 1936

The exact history of the role played by the one-teacher school in the United States can be only approximately traced in statistics. The official reports of the Office of Education have been sufficiently complete on this point to make possible the tracing of accurate statistical pictures of the status and trends of these schools both for the Nation as a whole and for the several States. For a few of the States occasional gaps in the data had to be filled in with estimates; but in each such case these approximations were checked by definite reports either before or after the dates for which gaps existed. Consequently the data reported were substantially representative of actual conditions.

Table 1 shows by States the status and trends of these schools in general summary. The data presented cover a not very brief but the Nation as a whole the number of one-teacher schools has been reduced by nearly 50,000 during the period from 1918 to 1936 but that without exception these schools have decreased in number in every State. States in which such schools were very numerous and which made great progress toward their elimination especially contributed much more heavily toward this national total than other States which had few such schools or which have made comparatively little progress toward consolidation. For example there are 5 States—Ohio, Pennsylvania, Indiana, New York, and North Carolina—in each of which the total number of one-teacher schools has been reduced by 1,000 to 1,500 during the 18 years in question. These 5 States alone, therefore, account for about 21,000 of the 45,000 schools eliminated, or nearly one-third of the national total.

While the number of one-teacher schools in the Nation as a whole and in the several States is significant in indicating the numerical importance of the one-teacher schools, as well as the magnitude of the task of displacing them with larger ones still remaining, the rapidity with which these schools are passing out must be fully evaluated until the data are reduced to percentages. Such percentages were, therefore, computed (Table 2). They indicate (1) the rates at which these small rural schools have been reduced in the various States during the 18 years in question and (2) the proportions of these schools still remaining. The number of one-teacher schools in 1918 is in each case treated as 100 percent; the percentages for successive bienniums being computed from data for that year as a base.

The percentages in this table represent the percentage of the one-teacher schools in each State which were closed or consolidated during the 18-year period. They are shown in the table in the column headed "Percentages" and are based on the total number of one-teacher schools in each State in 1918. The percentages in the column headed "Percentages still remaining" are based on the total number of one-teacher schools in each State in 1936. The percentages in the column headed "Percentages of one-teacher schools still remaining in 1936" are based on the total number of one-teacher schools in each State in 1918. The percentages in the column headed "Percentages of one-teacher schools still remaining in 1936" are based on the total number of one-teacher schools in each State in 1918.



In 1918 there was slightly more than one child in many of these schools in 1918. It may be seen that in the Nation as a whole the percent with these schools were being operated during the biennium 1917-18, at a normal approximately constant for the 4 years, from 1915 to 1918, fell slightly from 1911 and 1912, and slightly higher than 1913, but it was generally fairly stable during 1915 and 1916. This, however, does not take into account the rate at which these schools were being added, at no time was it more than 2.5 percent per annum, the average falling at 1.7. There was apparently very little development of one-teacher schools by larger schools, during the depth of the depression, probably due to the maintenance in the building of new schools and in the transportation of children when small schools are abolished through consolidation. The recent spurt in the rate of reducing these schools appear to have been at least in part stimulated by the increased school building operations encouraged by grants and loans from the Public Works Administration.

The trends noted by the Nation as a whole were by no means uniform for the several States. It would appear from these data that in a number of the States—Illinois, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin, and Wyoming—little rural school consolidation took place during the 18 years in question. A few of them actually record increases in the number of one-teacher schools during the first half of the period. The fact is that much of the consolidation which did take place was offset by the establishment of new one-teacher schools, especially in States of relatively sparse population. Other States for which the data also revealed little or no reductions during the early part of the period—Connecticut, Rhode Island, Nevada, and New Mexico—showed rapid reductions in these small schools during recent years. Still other States—Florida, Indiana, Ohio, and Pennsylvania—showed very great reduction in one-teacher schools immediately after 1920 and continued at a somewhat lower rate throughout the period.

The greatest progress in reducing the number of one-teacher schools was made in North Carolina and Indiana. These States had, respectively, only 24.9 and 23.2 percent as many of these small schools in 1936 as in 1918. There were four other States—viz, Florida, Ohio, New Jersey, and Delaware—in which these schools were reduced by two-thirds or more, and five other—viz, South Carolina, Utah, Maryland, Connecticut, and Massachusetts—in which reductions of three-fifths or more were effected. While most of the States showing such large proportionate reductions in one-teacher schools are located in the more thickly populated eastern section of the country, the progress made in Utah demonstrates the possibility of consolidating these schools even in the sparsely settled Northwest when the ad-

administrative organization of rural schools and other factors are favorable. The success achieved in Indiana in eliminating nearly three out of every four of the one-teacher schools during the period is also significant. It indicates that even in the agricultural States of the Midwest, where the farms are comparatively large and the climate rigorous, one-teacher schools can be successfully eliminated by consolidation and the transportation of pupils.

There are, on the other hand, many States in which comparatively little has been accomplished during the 18 years in reducing the number of one-teacher schools. In two States—Wisconsin and South Dakota—there were within 5 percent as many of these schools in 1936 as in 1918; in five others—North Dakota, Montana, Illinois, Nebraska, and Wyoming—reductions of approximately 10 percent were effected.

As may be seen from table 1, the States just listed are especially important to a study of the statistical history of the one-teacher schools because so many of these small schools are to be found in them. Five of the seven States last named have at the present time more than 4,000 of such schools each; one of them, Illinois, still reporting nearly 10,000 of them in 1936, has more schools of this type than any other State.

The data already discussed show the numerical distribution of the one-teacher schools among the various States and the rates at which schools of this type were eliminated during recent years. It will now be the purpose of this study to examine the available facts showing the relationship of these small schools to all of the public schools. Only in relation to the total situation can a clear picture be obtained of the place which the one-teacher school has held and is now occupying in the educational systems, either of the Nation taken as a whole or of any given State.

Preferably such a comparison should be made in terms of the number of pupils involved, because the education of children is the school's only reason for being. Unfortunately, no statistics showing the number of pupils enrolled in the one-teacher schools are available by States for the various bienniums in question. The best that can be done in this regard is to examine some estimates from data available for the school year 1934-35. For that year more than half of the county and other rural superintendents of schools filed reports with the Office of Education on the number of pupils attending the rural schools of various types. Using these data as bases, estimates were computed for the one-teacher and two-teacher schools of each State. Percentages were then found to show the relationship of the number of pupils enrolled in these schools to the pupils attending all of the public schools, both those located in the cities and those in the rural communities.

Data presented in table 3 show that for the Nation as a whole there still were nearly three million children attending one-teacher schools in 1934-35; about a million and a third more were attending two-teacher schools. These numbers are 10.0 percent and 5.1 percent, respectively, of all of the children in the public schools. In South Dakota more than two out of five and in North Dakota one out of three of the children attending the public schools during that year were receiving their education in the one-teacher schools; in six of States—Kentucky, Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska, Vermont, and Montana—a fourth of all children were attending these small

In many of the States, on the other hand, comparatively small percentages of the children were found attending these small schools. In 5 of the States 1 percent or fewer, and in 7 others between 1 and 5 percent of the pupils were enrolled in these schools; and in 14 more between 5 and 10 percent were attending one-teacher schools. Thus in terms of the proportion of children affected, approximately half of the States have reduced the one-teacher-school problem to a point where fewer than 1 pupil in 10 is found in these schools.

The low percentages found in some of the States, however, should not be understood to mean that numerically there are not a great many children attending these small schools. A State having a large population, with perhaps one or more large cities within its boundaries, may have a comparatively small proportion of its children enrolled in the one-teacher schools, and still the number of children attending them may run to many thousands. For example, the following four States alone—California, New York, Ohio, and Texas—in none of which as many as 5 percent of the public-school enrollment was found to be attending the one-teacher schools, still showed a total of more than a quarter-million children attending the one-teacher schools in 1934-35.

In the absence of detailed historic data showing the number and percentage of the children affected, the approach to the problem which best shows the relationship of one-teacher schools to the total picture is the number of teachers or classroom units involved. A teacher represents a ratio to the number of children which, although varying somewhat with the size of the school, is more constant and equal in value than a comparison of schools would be.¹⁶ In any event, it is important to know in terms of the number of classroom units involved the progress made in the several States in centralizing the one-teacher schools.

¹⁶ Westover indicates that in 1919 there were approximately 400,000 children, or 37.5 percent of all pupils attending public schools, enrolled in one-teacher schools. (See *Methods*, p. 101.)

¹⁷ The number of pupils per teacher in city and in one-teacher schools does not vary widely from the average of 23 and 26, respectively; the average enrollment in city schools and in one-teacher schools are, respectively, 61 and 23, and especially in the case of the city schools there are wide departures from the average.

For the Nation as a whole 31 percent of all of the teachers in the elementary and secondary schools were employed in the one-teacher schools in 1918 (table 4). In four States—Utah, Rhode Island, New Jersey, and Massachusetts—these teachers constituted less than 5 percent of the total at the beginning of the 18-year period; in three States, however—South Dakota, North Dakota, and Wyoming—more than 60 percent, and in five others—Nevada, Kentucky, Nebraska, Arkansas, and West Virginia—between 50 and 55 percent of the teachers were in schools of this type in 1918.

By 1936 the national percentage had dropped to 15.2, or less than half of what it had been 18 years earlier. States in which fewer than 5 percent of the teachers had been in these small schools in 1918 showed the proportion of these teachers to be approaching the vanishing point by 1936. On the other hand, States located chiefly in the Central Northwest and showing large percentages of the teaching staff in the one-teacher schools in 1918 effected comparatively little change during the period. In the following States the 1918 percentages were reduced by approximately four-fifths during the 18-year period: Florida, North Carolina, New Jersey, Indiana, South Carolina, Ohio, and Delaware. It is of interest to note that in each of these States, except New Jersey and Ohio, the teachers of one-teacher schools had been 30 percent or more of all of the teachers at the beginning of the period in question.

Generally speaking, the percentages for the Nation and for the several States show a gradual decline when 1 biennium is compared with the succeeding biennium. However, the data for 21 States reveal increases rather than reductions when the proportions of teachers in one-teacher schools in 1934 are compared to those for the previous biennium. For the most part such increases were small, but they indicate that during the depths of the depression the usual trend in eliminating these small schools was interrupted or slowed up. In some cases—Kansas, Nevada, Oregon, South Carolina, and Virginia—some of the one-teacher schools formerly closed were apparently reopened during this period. (See table 1 for differences in the number of teachers in one-teacher schools.) However, in most of the States the total number of teachers employed in the larger schools was reduced. The percentages of teachers found teaching in the one-room schools were consequently greater than they would have been if this factor had remained constant. In some States—Oregon, for example—both factors were responsible for the increase noted.

As already suggested, variations in the size of schools are so great that the data showing the relationship of the one-teacher schools to the total number is not as meaningful as those showing the relationship of the teachers in one-teacher schools to those in all schools. Nevertheless, it might be of interest to some to see what proportion the

schools of this type are of the total schools in a given State. Percentage relationships for the various bienniums are, therefore, presented in table 5.

It will be seen that in 1918 these small schools constituted 70.8 percent of all of the schools of the Nation, ranging from 85 percent or more in Vermont, Minnesota, Montana, Wyoming, Iowa, Nebraska, Kentucky, South Dakota, West Virginia, and North Dakota to about 20 percent in Utah and Rhode Island. In 1936 the one-teacher schools constituted 55.3 percent of the schools of the Nation, South Dakota, Minnesota, Wisconsin, and Montana reporting the largest percentages, with 88.5, 79.4, 79.2, and 79.1, respectively; by contrast, Utah, New Jersey, Rhode Island, and Massachusetts showed the lowest, with 8.3, 11, 12.2, and 12.6 percent, respectively. Since 1918 it should be noted that the data for 1936 revealed on the one hand 11 States in which two-thirds and more of all the public schools were of the one-teacher type, and on the other 11 States in which one-third or fewer of the schools were of this type.

It should be pointed out that the changes revealed by table 5 for successive bienniums reflect the changes in the bases upon which the percentages were computed as well as the changes in the actual number of one-teacher schools. However, the number of schools other than one-teacher schools remains fairly constant year after year. Through changes in the pupil-teacher ratios and in the number of subjects taught per teacher, increases or decreases in the total number of teachers needed are much more common adjustments than changes in the number of schools. Because of this fact the trends indicated in table 5 have a greater degree of validity than those shown in table 4.

TABLE 1. The number of one-teacher schools by States, 1918-36

State	1918	1920	1922	1924	1926	1928	1930	1932	1934	1936
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Continental United States	198,037	199,802	199,722	198,718	197,734	198,058	198,791	193,269	192,153	191,191
Alabama	2,261	2,887	2,777	2,655	2,243	2,015	2,624	2,800	2,759	2,438
Arizona	1,882	2,261	273	232	338	291	152	150	150	145
Arkansas	3,129	3,211	4,911	4,702	4,302	3,738	4,141	3,797	2,621	2,632
California	2,374	2,298	2,071	1,782	1,708	1,649	1,627	1,558	1,583	1,358
Colorado	2,222	2,122	2,008	1,779	1,627	1,610	1,835	1,890	1,738	1,661
Connecticut	151	109	87	63	52	36	42	49	35	36
Delaware	331	290	274	261	251	232	178	150	131	110
Florida	1,327	1,770	2,353	243	883	782	721	1,762	643	1,372
Georgia	3,311	3,192	4,430	4,330	3,194	3,037	3,327	3,268	3,151	2,972
Illinois	1,243	1,592	1,901	1,601	1,951	2,026	2,026	1,829	1,829	1,701
Indiana	11,692	10,143	10,115	10,285	10,118	10,005	10,072	10,011	9,950	9,923
Iowa	3,595	4,920	4,157	3,622	3,960	3,318	3,054	1,859	1,628	1,683
Kansas	11,824	11,023	11,040	10,556	9,310	9,365	9,754	9,259	9,215	9,112
Kentucky	1,739	1,621	1,418	1,278	1,228	1,301	1,171	9,983	7,127	4,777
Kentucky	1,351	4,533	6,724	5,122	6,121	6,216	6,099	5,612	5,517	5,207

¹ Data partially estimated.

TABLE 1.—The number of one-teacher schools by States, 1915-34—Continued

State	1915	1920	1922	1923	1925	1926	1928	1932	1934	1936
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Louisiana	1,044	1,837	2,714	1,781	1,533	1,513	1,331	1,354	1,229	1,317
Maine	2,283	2,309	2,267	2,147	2,091	1,968	1,747	1,629	1,642	1,812
Maryland	1,674	1,802	1,724	1,420	1,374	1,206	1,024	833	710	611
Massachusetts	605	597	555	516	487	450	424	398	399	329
Michigan	7,208	7,001	6,869	6,528	6,306	6,272	6,030	6,141	5,957	6,174
Minnesota	8,211	7,684	7,584	7,229	7,310	6,997	6,995	6,888	6,763	6,797
Mississippi	4,373	4,373	4,486	4,302	4,300	4,300	4,307	4,300	4,263	4,250
Missouri	9,033	8,169	8,281	8,030	7,940	7,893	7,882	7,766	7,526	7,387
Montana	2,793	2,679	2,694	2,491	2,479	2,473	2,482	2,497	2,463	2,338
Nebraska	6,638	6,261	6,435	6,192	6,193	6,081	6,047	6,176	6,098	6,056
Nevada	314	279	239	233	217	212	183	198	204	192
New Hampshire	950	803	757	704	681	678	659	633	674	621
New Jersey	740	654	631	579	544	490	467	471	423	423
New Mexico	748	714	692	632	627	622	614	611	611	576
New York	8,800	8,039	8,092	8,433	8,317	8,197	8,114	8,014	7,734	8,063
North Carolina	1,500	1,174	1,199	1,199	1,199	1,199	1,199	1,199	1,199	1,199
North Dakota	1,649	1,772	1,792	1,792	1,792	1,792	1,792	1,792	1,792	1,792
Ohio	5,926	5,033	4,961	4,961	4,961	4,961	4,961	4,961	4,961	4,961
Oklahoma	4,905	4,401	4,544	4,544	4,544	4,544	4,544	4,544	4,544	4,544
Oregon	1,900	2,290	1,768	1,768	1,768	1,768	1,768	1,768	1,768	1,768
Pennsylvania	79,846	10,234	8,689	8,689	8,298	7,821	7,669	8,311	6,095	6,858
Rhode Island	1,112	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012	1,012
South Carolina	1,311	1,052	1,052	1,052	1,052	1,052	1,052	1,052	1,052	1,052
South Dakota	1,617	1,544	1,617	1,617	1,617	1,617	1,617	1,617	1,617	1,617
Tennessee	1,977	1,768	1,817	1,817	1,817	1,817	1,817	1,817	1,817	1,817
Texas	18,373	2,450	2,227	2,019	1,865	1,865	1,865	1,865	1,865	1,865
Utah	136	137	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125
Vermont	1,250	1,190	1,145	1,120	1,090	1,087	1,075	1,070	1,062	1,019
Virginia	4,128	3,749	3,630	3,444	3,235	3,012	2,793	2,607	2,615	2,460
Washington	1,281	1,242	1,226	1,014	1,014	1,014	1,014	1,014	1,014	1,014
West Virginia	1,566	1,413	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365	1,365
Wisconsin	6,721	6,606	6,708	6,472	6,401	6,463	6,442	6,402	6,428	6,298
Wyoming	1,166	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300	1,300

^a Data partly estimated.
^b Data for previous year or biennium.

TABLE 2.—Percentages of one-teacher schools for successive bienniums, based upon the number of such schools in 1915^a

State	1920	1922	1924	1926	1928	1930	1932	1934	1936
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Continental United States	87.3	89.2	88.6	83.0	78.5	76.7	73.2	71.6	68.8
Alabama	101.8	106.1	91.5	91.1	81.6	81.3	78.6	77.1	68.4
Arizona	92.5	88.3	82.1	82.0	71.3	69.1	55.6	52.1	50.7
Arkansas	101.3	93.0	89.9	88.0	79.1	81.3	82.8	81.1	81.8
California	99.7	88.1	74.3	75.6	69.1	67.7	64.7	64.3	64.3
Colorado	93.5	91.0	88.0	89.8	83.9	83.1	81.0	79.0	74.8
Connecticut	93.2	96.3	89.5	76.9	71.3	61.8	64.0	68.8	61.8
Delaware	89.6	83.0	83.8	76.0	70.2	53.9	43.5	40.6	31.7
Florida	87.5	64.7	47.6	44.7	41.6	38.6	33.5	32.3	28.9
Georgia	97.0	85.3	41.0	37.7	25.0	26.3	21.9	20.7	26.0
Illinois	83.1	81.9	77.3	71.4	71.3	66.7	61.7	61.7	56.6
Indiana	92.2	91.9	91.6	92.9	91.7	91.5	91.2	88.8	89.7
Iowa	79.4	76.3	68.0	57.7	46.6	38.0	33.9	27.5	25.2
Kansas	102.6	103.0	81.2	84.1	84.5	82.3	81.8	81.2	80.1
Massachusetts	98.5	95.9	91.1	91.3	83.1	83.9	80.3	82.7	81.7
Mississippi	80.2	87.3	80.9	81.3	76.5	84.3	80.6	78.5	76.8

^a Data for 1915 were used as a base and are in each case regarded as 100 percent. Estimates occurring in the table given in table 1 are reflected in these percentages.



ARE THE ONE-TEACHER SCHOOLS PASSING?

TABLE 2.—Percentages of one-teacher schools for successive bienniums, based upon the number of such schools in 1918.—Continued

State	1920	1922	1924	1926	1928	1930	1932	1934	1936
Louisiana	84.6	80.0	76.6	72.9	70.2	71.1	67.1	63.2	57.6
Maine	101.1	99.1	97.8	97.4	91.8	78.0	74.0	71.9	70.6
Maryland	95.6	91.1	86.5	80.0	71.9	61.1	49.7	42.3	39.8
Massachusetts	58.3	54.3	48.1	42.0	37.2	32.2	27.2	23.8	21.0
Michigan	97.2	94.3	91.6	88.1	84.1	80.2	76.2	72.7	71.1
Minnesota	93.2	91.7	91.1	88.8	85.0	83.0	81.7	82.2	82.4
Mississippi	100.0	95.5	87.0	73.5	62.0	50.3	44.7	41.2	40.9
Missouri	101.5	93.1	90.9	88.0	82.1	81.0	81.0	81.4	80.0
Montana	116.0	107.0	88.8	80.7	68.8	56.4	44.4	36.9	30.8
Nebraska	61.7	59.9	57.8	52.2	47.6	41.1	32.4	25.1	20.8
Nevada	79.8	82.0	80.7	69.2	67.6	59.0	63.2	66.4	61.2
New Hampshire	85.1	80.5	74.9	72.7	64.8	59.9	53.5	50.9	46.1
New Jersey	93.3	93.3	78.1	67.9	56.8	47.8	33.9	29.8	27.0
New Mexico	100.0	100.0	111.6	110.9	98.0	88.0	83.3	82.1	77.7
New York	96.2	97.8	93.8	83.0	81.1	80.6	83.6	82.1	81.0
North Carolina	80.1	70.5	63.8	58.6	49.7	44.7	40.0	32.1	24.0
North Dakota	99.4	103.7	97.3	96.2	99.1	97.0	96.9	102.1	92.7
Ohio	97.2	71.6	66.3	62.6	58.0	51.1	41.7	37.4	29.4
Oklahoma	97.1	82.1	78.0	74.9	71.1	68.0	64.6	61.3	57.9
Oregon	117.0	90.0	84.3	82.8	78.0	74.0	70.3	67.2	67.5
Pennsylvania	101.2	91.3	87.0	84.3	79.5	72.0	66.2	62.0	59.4
Rhode Island	95.6	95.6	93.6	94.5	95.7	75.0	67.0	57.2	48.3
South Carolina	93.1	84.9	77.7	61.0	57.5	54.0	49.4	50.1	34.8
South Dakota	99.3	101.1	100.2	102.0	100.9	102.1	102.5	98.5	96.2
Tennessee	85.8	87.3	86.0	74.3	66.7	62.1	61.0	60.0	56.3
Texas	81.5	88.9	85.9	78.7	66.4	60.0	52.6	48.0	47.5
Utah	99.8	90.6	82.3	71.7	68.5	65.0	57.9	47.1	38.0
Vermont	85.2	91.6	90.0	83.4	76.9	86.0	80.8	76.5	73.0
Virginia	91.7	87.4	83.3	77.0	73.0	68.3	63.1	61.8	58.1
Washington	99.2	98.0	91.6	84.8	74.5	54.5	51.0	49.6	48.0
West Virginia	97.3	91.6	81.6	79.1	70.5	77.1	79.1	70.6	66.0
Wisconsin	100.0	93.7	86.2	80.0	73.0	68.7	66.1	66.1	67.8
Wyoming	112.0	104.3	101.7	100.0	96.0	97.0	86.1	81.1	77.7

TABLE 3.—Number and percentage of public-school children estimated to be attending one-teacher and two-teacher rural schools in 1934-35

State	Pupils enrolled in—					
	All public schools	1-teacher schools		2-teacher schools		Total 2-teacher schools
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
Continental United States	26,427,291	2,953,240	10.9	1,231,583	4.1	4,184,719
Alaska	877,462	112,233	12.8	83,106	9.5	195,453
Arizona	93,796	7,394	7.9	3,359	3.6	8,211
Arkansas	493,809	91,257	18.5	42,431	8.6	133,700
California	1,140,427	32,228	2.8	26,817	2.4	19,173
Colorado	289,747	19,133	6.6	10,294	3.6	29,427

1 Reports from State school systems for 1935-36.
 2 Estimates for 1934-35 from data furnished by county and other superintendents of rural schools.

TABLE 3.—Number and percentage of public-school children estimated to be attending one-teacher and two-teacher rural schools in 1934-35—Continued

State	Pupils enrolled in—						
	All public schools ¹	1-teacher schools ¹		2-teacher schools ¹		From 2-teacher schools ²	
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Connecticut	323,898	2,819	0.9	1,409	4	4,216	1.3
Delaware	43,100	2,800	6.5	1,000	2.3	4,500	10.4
Florida	353,763	15,069	4.3	15,727	4.4	34,066	9.6
Georgia	748,527	104,866	14.0	81,843	10.9	189,299	25.3
Idaho	121,043	7,796	6.4	9,738	8.0	17,034	14.1
Illinois	1,327,269	102,726	7.7	21,204	1.6	190,020	14.3
Indiana	691,444	36,449	5.3	26,820	3.9	67,298	9.7
Iowa	538,003	140,535	26.1	5,337	1.0	145,973	27.1
Kansas	414,273	89,949	21.7	14,579	3.5	104,478	25.2
Kentucky	628,101	170,047	27.1	68,227	10.9	238,384	37.9
Louisiana	468,694	38,100	8.1	27,219	5.8	65,319	14.0
Maine	166,607	28,445	17.1	13,736	8.2	43,171	25.9
Maryland	298,157	21,404	7.2	18,092	6.1	40,336	13.5
Massachusetts	774,289	3,791	0.5	3,404	0.4	9,415	1.2
Michigan	967,227	121,010	12.5	38,917	4.0	150,637	15.6
Minnesota	564,129	141,012	25.0	16,174	2.8	150,186	26.6
Mississippi	499,218	58,939	11.8	62,413	12.5	118,262	23.7
Missouri	711,266	158,764	22.3	18,224	2.6	176,988	24.9
Montana	113,792	27,934	24.6	4,443	3.9	32,004	28.2
Nebraska	367,973	79,937	21.7	9,799	2.7	88,976	24.2
Nevada	39,726	1,003	2.5	374	0.9	2,477	6.2
New Hampshire	78,441	8,227	10.5	6,482	8.3	14,719	18.8
New Jersey	892,078	8,943	1.0	8,716	1.0	17,661	2.0
New Mexico	292,287	8,709	2.9	6,571	2.2	15,640	5.3
New York	2,288,612	99,846	4.3	25,529	1.1	199,388	8.7
North Carolina	888,778	81,636	9.2	71,465	8.0	121,218	13.6
North Dakota	155,437	37,043	23.8	5,811	3.7	62,854	40.4
Ohio	1,289,337	87,897	6.8	22,666	1.8	80,169	6.2
Oklahoma	636,149	83,816	13.0	61,898	9.7	131,791	20.7
Oregon	166,364	19,678	11.8	13,199	7.9	32,872	19.8
Pennsylvania	2,669,197	159,379	5.9	54,031	2.0	201,891	7.6
Rhode Island	121,343	1,342	1.1	230	0.2	1,662	1.4
South Carolina	477,913	79,787	16.7	85,901	18.0	156,640	32.8
South Dakota	132,763	63,791	48.1	2,826	2.1	66,617	50.2
Tennessee	633,211	63,441	10.0	122,431	19.3	218,874	34.6
Texas	1,364,627	69,447	5.1	101,877	7.4	198,324	14.5
Utah	191,803	1,219	0.6	3,180	1.7	4,369	2.3
Vermont	68,000	17,293	25.4	5,649	8.3	22,929	33.7
Virginia	562,078	74,039	13.2	78,222	13.9	132,281	23.5
Washington	233,790	12,786	5.5	13,528	5.8	26,318	11.3
West Virginia	448,732	91,129	20.3	31,342	7.0	122,471	27.3
Wisconsin	577,343	524,792	91.0	24,839	4.3	149,831	25.8
Wyoming	54,384	8,641	15.7	1,363	2.5	9,943	18.3

¹ Reports from State school systems for 1933-34.

² Estimates for 1934-35 from data furnished by county and other superintendents of rural schools.

ARE THE ONE-TEACHER SCHOOLS PASSING?

Table 4.—Percentages showing the ratios of the number of teachers in one-teacher schools to the total number of teachers in all schools, 1918-20

State	1918	1920	1922	1924	1926	1928	1930	1932	1934	1936
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Continental United States	31.0	28.9	25.6	22.3	20.3	18.0	17.0	16.7	16.6	15.1
Alabama	12.8	12.6	12.2	12.0	11.4	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5	10.5
Arizona	14.7	14.8	14.1	13.4	12.5	11.5	11.0	10.5	10.0	9.5
Arkansas	12.7	12.2	11.9	11.4	10.6	10.1	9.6	9.1	8.6	8.1
California	32.1	31.1	29.3	27.9	26.6	25.3	24.0	22.7	21.4	20.1
Colorado	10.0	7.6	5.3	3.9	2.5	1.2	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.1
Connecticut	11.2	10.1	10.2	10.2	10.0	9.9	9.8	9.7	9.6	9.5
Delaware	12.4	12.0	11.7	11.4	11.1	10.8	10.5	10.2	9.9	9.6
Florida	15.8	15.4	15.1	14.8	14.5	14.2	13.9	13.6	13.3	13.0
Georgia	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7	10.7
Illinois	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7	12.7
Indiana	14.8	14.8	14.8	14.8	14.8	14.8	14.8	14.8	14.8	14.8
Iowa	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1
Kansas	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0
Kentucky	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0
Louisiana	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2	12.2
Maine	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Maryland	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Massachusetts	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Michigan	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Minnesota	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Mississippi	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Missouri	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Montana	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Nebraska	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Nevada	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
New Hampshire	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
New Jersey	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
New Mexico	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
New York	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
North Carolina	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
North Dakota	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Ohio	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Oklahoma	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Oregon	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Pennsylvania	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Rhode Island	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
South Carolina	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
South Dakota	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Tennessee	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Texas	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Utah	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Vermont	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Virginia	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Washington	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
West Virginia	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Wisconsin	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1
Wyoming	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.1

Estimated percentage in the basic figures given in table 1 are reflected in the percentages.



Table 5.—Percentages showing the ratios of the number of one-teacher schools to the total number of schools, 1918-30

State	1918	1920	1922	1924	1926	1928	1930	1931	1932
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Confederal United States	70.5	70.1	67.1	64.4	63.1	60.9	60.1	59.8	57.7
Alabama	52.4	50.1	50.3	52.4	52.0	50.0	50.3	50.7	51.4
Alaska	43.4	41.2	47.1	42.0	38.5	38.1	32.0	29.0	24.4
Arkansas	79.1	78.5	74.1	69.6	65.1	60.2	58.5	56.2	54.4
California	42.0	45.1	37.6	32.7	31.7	31.7	31.7	17.5	17.6
Colorado	71.9	62.2	64.7	66.1	64.5	60.0	52.8	52.9	57.7
Connecticut	26.8	32.7	41.0	41.1	38.5	36.9	31.2	31.0	27.1
Delaware	71.2	66.1	63.2	62.6	56.5	52.3	49.0	52.1	51.4
Florida	74.0	69.9	52.8	60.9	48.7	37.1	35.4	33.5	30.7
Georgia	60.1	61.7	58.9	54.2	52.3	54.7	52.1	49.9	50.6
Idaho	71.7	62.2	60.0	58.6	50.9	56.8	52.4	53.4	53.0
Illinois	52.0	73.1	72.5	71.9	72.3	71.0	70.9	70.5	70.8
Indiana	57.7	47.1	55.1	57.7	53.9	50.4	47.2	44.3	49.5
Iowa	57.7	57.7	57.7	57.7	57.7	57.7	57.7	57.7	57.7
Kansas	57.7	57.7	57.7	57.7	57.7	57.7	57.7	57.7	57.7
Louisiana	69.8	54.6	60.5	48.5	46.6	47.3	48.2	41.1	41.9
Maine	69.4	76.7	76.3	74.8	71.4	69.6	69.0	68.4	70.0
Maryland	69.4	62.8	59.0	59.0	57.2	54.9	54.9	49.8	45.9
Massachusetts	39.7	29.6	25.3	23.8	22.2	19.5	17.5	16.9	11.6
Michigan	80.6	78.3	76.0	75.1	73.1	71.3	70.0	69.7	62.0
Minnesota	60.6	51.5	51.9	51.7	49.5	48.3	47.9	46.8	45.8
Mississippi	49.7	47.4	51.5	50.7	52.0	48.6	50.7	49.4	44.2
Missouri	82.7	83.2	91.7	85.5	79.0	72.0	72.4	71.6	71.7
Montana	86.2	85.1	82.5	82.2	80.9	80.7	76.1	77.8	75.0
Nebraska	80.4	82.1	83.9	87.3	80.8	79.8	79.9	83.5	80.3
Nevada	78.2	73.1	71.7	69.7	67.0	65.8	60.7	61.5	61.2
New Hampshire	60.3	66.0	67.1	61.4	60.7	59.3	56.5	53.6	51.4
New Jersey	74.8	71.1	69.0	66.2	63.6	61.7	57.5	52.6	51.0
New Mexico	54.6	52.3	51.1	50.0	50.9	48.2	46.1	46.0	46.9
New York	44.4	48.1	42.5	41.3	38.1	37.7	36.3	31.2	33.5
North Carolina	57.8	52.2	42.5	46.6	47.1	50.4	56.0	52.9	51.3
North Dakota	84.1	87.1	89.1	81.2	81.8	81.8	83.6	83.6	81.8
Ohio	72.6	78.3	87.2	80.8	57.5	58.2	56.8	59.4	46.7
Oklahoma	59.0	60.2	57.7	56.2	56.8	57.2	56.1	55.6	54.6
Oregon	78.5	85.3	82.9	80.2	80.8	82.3	83.0	82.2	82.7
Pennsylvania	61.1	67.0	64.8	62.6	60.2	58.5	57.7	52.9	51.2
Rhode Island	50.3	51.1	51.2	51.1	51.5	49.9	47.6	46.2	44.6
South Carolina	69.4	65.2	60.5	55.7	57.1	49.0	43.8	42.4	41.9
South Dakota	81.5	83.6	86.1	87.0	86.6	88.7	88.5	88.9	88.5
Tennessee	75.1	70.8	64.5	60.7	60.0	54.0	51.3	52.0	49.7
Texas	68.4	49.4	42.8	41.1	50.5	41.7	38.8	35.1	23.5
Utah	63.0	50.1	43.3	42.1	43.7	45.3	43.8	41.1	39.0
Vermont	85.3	80.6	80.3	78.0	74.8	70.8	78.3	74.3	72.0
Virginia	61.2	58.0	55.3	53.7	52.3	49.8	49.2	48.4	46.2
Washington	45.9	51.6	51.8	51.8	49.1	44.6	39.3	35.5	30.1
West Virginia	81.8	80.9	74.1	61.7	69.7	69.5	67.2	66.5	64.5
Wisconsin	81.9	80.2	81.1	78.2	80.1	80.3	79.9	79.4	69.1
Wyoming	88.3	87.3	78.6	78.2	79.1	74.4	68.8	61.1	62.1

Estimates occurring in the basic figures given in table 1 are reflected in these percentages.