LOCUMENT RESUME

BD 135 806

TH 005 865

AUTBOB TITLE Gustafsson, Jan-Bric

A Note on the Importance of Studying Class Effects in

Aptitude-Treatment Interactions.

INSTITUTION Gothenburg Univ. (Sweden). Inst. of Education. REPORT NO MID-19

REPORT NO PUB DATE NOTE

#ID-19 Sep 76

19p.: For a related document, see TM 006 001

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.

*Aptitude: *Aptitude Tests; Elementary Education; *Grade 5; Imagery; *Interaction; *Paired Associate

Learning; Statistical Analysis; *Teaching Methods

IDENTIPIERS

*Aptitude Treatment Interaction

ABSTRACT

Results pertaining to methodological aspects of an aptitude-treatment interaction study are presented. One treatment group in the study was given imagery instructions, the other had no imagery instructions. Each treatment group consisted of seven fifth-grade classes. Among the aptitude variables there were two versions of a paired-associates learning task. Within-class analyses and analyses where class effects were allowed to have influence were conducted. In the latter analyses there were several significant aptitude-treatment interactions with subscores derived from the paired-associates tasks, but this was not the case in the within-class analyses. The interactions found are interpreted as being consequences of class effects with respect to the errors of measurement. (Author)

 Reports from

THE INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION UNIVERSITY OF GÖTEBORG

MID, 19

A note on the importance of studying class rife.ts in aptitude-treatment interactions

lim Erla Gustafss<mark>o</mark>n

MID 19

A note on the importance of studying class effects in aptitude-treatment interactions

Jan-Eric Gustafsson

3

Nr 52 September 1976



Abstract

Results pertaining to methodological aspects of an aptitude-treatment interaction study are presented. One treatment group in the study was given imagery instructions, the other had no imagery instructions. Each treatment group consisted of seven fifth-grade classes. Among the aptitude variables there were two versions of a paired-associates learning task. Within-class analyses and analyses where class effects were allowed to have influence were conducted. In the latter analyses there were several significant aptitude-treatment interactions with subscores derived from the paired-associates tasks, but this was not the case in the within-class analyses. The interactions found are interpreted as being consequences of class effects with respect to the errors of measurement.

Introduction

effects. The rationale behind this within-class components of aptitude-treatment the pupil's relative standing in his class may sometimes treatments. Some processes may affect the class as a unit, and model," asserting the necessity of separating between-class and Cronbach and Snow (1976) made a "radical reappraisal, of the arise not functional importance. just through individuals differential responses to suggestion was that interaction (ATI)

an interaction in analyses based on pooled within-class regresinterpretable ATIs; but in the reanalysis there was no sign of mined. The original analysis had yielded strong down as being due to an accident of sampling. sions, and an apparent interaction at the class level was pinned Anderson (1941). In the reanalysis, between-class and within-Crombach and Webb (1975) presented a reanalysis of a study by components of the within-treatment regressions were deterand seemingly

cannot be assumed to be interpretable in-substantive terms group effects also in studies where the latter kind of effect this sometimes warrants the separation of individual effects also seems as if such techniques can be of further use to processes at the profitable not only in the study of substantive hypotheses related that separation of between-class and within-class effects may be results of the reanalysis of the Anderson study indicate anomalies in the data. It will be shown below that group level and at the individual level. in keeping

METHOD

material dealing with two monkeys. One of the consisting of seven fifth-grade classes, studied a teaching presentation see Gustafsson, 1976 b). Two treatment groups, each ery instructions on pupils with different abilities An experiment was conducted to study differential effects (called the I treatment) was told to generate visual imagery, the treatment (for a full of.

which yielded three dependent variables for the analysis. One given a post-test, consisting of three types of scrambled items, other group (the NI treatment) studied the material in a regular descriptions of the two monkeys. item type, called Simple, asked for terms or figures. Another type fashion. Immediately after studying the material the subjects were called Description, labeled Complex, asked for more elaborate definitions. The third, asked for information concerning pictureable

main interest will be concentrated on this test; hence only the of a paired-associates (PA) learning task with pictures and Gustafsson (1976 a and b). verbal test purposes of comparisons results will also be presented for tests. Also included in the test battery included two verbal, one reasoning and three spatial test will be given a more detailed description. However, descriptions of these tests the reader is referred to subjects were also given a series of aptitude tests. The scrambled items. In the presentation of results the (Opposites) and one test-battery were two parallel forms spatial test (Metal folding).

word-pairs (Words) recalled and the number of picture-pairs (Pictures) recalled were computed separately. subjects were first given information about the task and all the other learning and testing, with intact classes. pairs and ll picture-pairs were chosen. These were photographed Divine-Hawkins, Kerst and Guttman (1974). For each form 11 wordpresented twice, in two random orders. item in the test was shown for four seconds, and the list was sample practice items. Then the and the transparencies were mounted on slides screen. The administration of the PA test took place, PA test was modelled after an instrument described by Levin, the subjects were given a list of the stimulus terms and to sumply the response terms. In the scoring, the number of 22-item list was presented. Each After the second presentato be projected as did

Words 1; those from the second PA test as Pictures 2 and Words occasions, separated by about three weeks. ing from the two parallel forms of the PA test were presented on two first PA test are referred to as Pictures The subscores result2. The totals are referred to as Pictures T and Words T. On each occasion half the number of the other aptitude variables were also administered. On the second occasion the experiment proper was the first activity of the session. On both occasion the PA test was administered as the last test.

Since the data collection took place on two occasions-there was an attrition of the treatment groups. According to the class lists there were 169 and 173 pupils in the NI and I groups respectively. Since only subjects with a complete set of data were included, there were 141 and 130 subjects respectively in the treatments that were available for analysis.

Procedures in the analysis

Analyses were conducted allowing for curvilinear regressions of the dependent variables on each aptitude variable within treatments. This was effected through the addition of a quadratic term. One set of analyses (labeled Pooled) were made in which within-class and between-class effects were not separated. In these analyses the raw scores on the dependent variables were used, and for the aptitude variables deviation scores round the total mean were used. The rationale for using deviation scores rather than raw scores was to avoid multicollinearity with the quadratic variable.

In their reanalysis of the Anderson study Cronbach and Webb (1975) used for the within-class analysis deviation scores round class means for the dependent variables as well as for the aptitude variables. Classes were pooled, thereby allowing each class to influence the analysis according to its size. Here the same procedure has been followed in a set of analyses called Within.

No estimates of any further effects have been made. Even though between-class regression could have easily been determined, comparisons between the Pooled and the Within analyses suffice for the present purposes.

Significance testing presents great problems in the analysis of within-class and between-class effects. For one thing a large



number of classes is needed if a significant heterogeneity of between—class within-treatment regressions is ever to be found. For another thing the construction of proper error terms presents problems. The recognition that the class is a unit, both in sampl—ing and in treatment, of course reveals as illegitimate the usual procedure in what is here called the Pooled analyses, of acting as if the individual was the sampling unit. Despite this, however, the number of pupils in the classes was used for determining the degrees of freedom for the error mean square in the Pooled analyses. The main reason for this was that no alternative was available. The same degrees of freedom have been used for the Within analyses in spite of the fact that each class evidently consumes one degree of freedom. However, correcting for this would here have only little bearing on the pattern of results.

The statistical tests and the estimation of coefficients of regression were made under a "general linear hypothesis" model, with treatment coded as a dummy variable and aptitude-treatment interaction effects represented with cross-product terms in the regression equations. The computations were performed with program BMD10V (Dixon, 1973).

RESULTS

l.

Results from the Pooled analyses are presented in Table 1.

Several significant interaction effects are found. The verbal test Opposites shows a significant difference in slope between treatments with respect to the Simple criterion; and with respect to the same criterion there are for Metal folding significant differences between the treatments with respect to both the linear and the quadratic terms. For the PA variables there are in several cases differences between the treatments for the quadratic terms. But it is quite embarrasing to find that the parallel versions of the same test give very different patterns of results. For the Words 1 variable there is for example with respect to the Complex criterion a highly significant interaction; but for the Words 2 variable there is not only a lack of significant interaction but there are also for both the linear and the quadratic terms weak tendencies in the opposite direction to what was found with Words

Table 1 Coefficients of regression and F-ratios for aptitude-treatment interactions in the Pooled analyses.

me	inc_		<u>actions</u>	in the			_			
		Į	Simple		С	Complex		Description		
_		NI	Ī	F	NI	I	F	NI	I	F
Opposites	•-	104	dea	ex	100	1				2
			.260						.220	
				.00	.003	.000	.41	007	006	.02
		for ove teract		2.82			.52			1.27
Metal										/
	x	.065	.139	4.34 ^x	.046	.063	.52	.148	.131	.13
	x²	.003	003	5.91 ^x	.001	004	2.70	.000	006	1.21
		for ove		5.90 ^x		•	1.80			.63
Words 1	e.									
	x	.186	.286	.45	.152	.302	2.46	.109	.377	1.98
	x²	.038	029	2.17	.049	055	12.93 ^x	.047	033	2.00
		for ov		-						_
		teract	ion	1.09			6.52 ^x			1.32
Pictures 1		166	. 206	07	064	220	2.98	ሰነል	366	3.65
			011		.013			025		
		.034 for ov		.00	.013	.000	.03	025	ust	. 13
		for ov teract		.55			1.93			2.59
Words 2										
			.059				.80			
		002		.60	002	.002	.02	.007	.015	.03
		for ov teract		1.19			.46			.02
Pictures :						•				
- '	x	.227	.096	1.21			1.66			. 28
		.014	.026	.09	.050	009	4.67 ^x	.085	025	4.65
		for ov teract		.80			2.59			2.33
Words T										
			.120	.34			.05		.227	.81
			003	.40	.010	008	5.69 ^x	.010	010	1.65
		for ov teract		.73			3.46 ^x			.87
Pictures '			A	**	14-	***	~ -		**-	
			.088	.42		.099				.16
			002	.28	.020	009	6.55 ^x	.030	029	7.50
		for ov teract		. 28			3.40 ^x			4.39

Critical values: $F_{.95}(1,265)=3.88$ $F_{.95}(2,265)=3.03$

Table 2 Coefficients of regression and F-ratios for aptitude-treatment interactions in the Within analyses.

Opposites x .116 .248 7.87 .122 .133 .12 .266 . x²005006 .05 .004001 1.37006 F for overall interaction 4.02 .69 Metal folding x .055 .143 6.23 .039 .067 1.40 .115 . x² .008002 4.59 .001004 1.93 .000 F for overall interaction 5.69 .175 Words 1 x .276 .286 .00 .192 .268 .67 .150 . x²004015 .08 .019047 5.89 .011 F for overall interaction .04 3.05 .05 .05 .05 .05 .05 .05 .05 .05 .05	_	scripti -		Complex			Simple			
X		I	NI	F	I	NI	F	· I	NI	
X2005006							Y			Opposites
## F for overall interaction										
Interaction 4.02 .69	.3	011	006	1.37	001	.004				
Metal folding	.4			.69			4 02X			
folding x .055 .143 6.23 .039 .067 1.40 .115 . x² .008002 4.59 .001004 1.93 .000 F for overall interaction 5.69 .175 Words 1 x .276 .286 .00 .192 .268 .67 .150 . x²004015 .08 .019047 5.89 .011 F for overall interaction .04 .3.05 . Pictures 1 x .222 .203 .02 .117 .227 1.56 .098 . x² .032019 .93 .019 .002 .27025 F for overall interaction .49 .1.30 Words 2 x .205 .147 .23 .190 .189 .00 .177 . x²001 .020 .30011 .001 .23008 . F for overall interaction .19 .14 Pictures 2 x .186 .157 .06 .171 .147 .10 .305 . x²013 .015 .48 .026 .001 .85 .049 F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .	.7		•	.05			1.02	.1011	Interact	Mata 1
F for overall interaction 5.69 x 1.75 Words 1 x .276 .286 .00 .192 .268 .67 .150 . x^2004015 .08 .019047 5.89 x .011 F for overall interaction .04 3.05 x Pictures 1 x .222 .203 .02 .117 .227 1.56 .098 . x^2 .032019 .93 .019 .002 .27025 F for overall interaction .49 1.30 Words 2 x .205 .147 .23 .190 .189 .00 .177 . x^2001 .020 .30011 .001 .23008 . F for overall interaction .19 .14 Pictures 2 x .186 .157 .06 .171 .147 .10 .305 . x^2013 .015 .48 .026 .001 .85 .049 F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .	58 1.0	.158	.115	1.40	.067	.039	6.23 ^X	.143	x .055	
interaction 5.69 ^x 1.75 Words 1 x .276 .286 .00 .192 .268 .67 .150 . x²004015 .08 .019047 5.89 ^x .011 F for overall interaction .04 3.05 ^x Pictures 1 x .222 .203 .02 .117 .227 1.56 .098 . x² .032019 .93 .019 .002 .27025 F for overall interaction .49 1.30 Words 2 x .205 .147 .23 .190 .189 .00 .177 . x²001 .020 .30011 .001 .23008 . F for overall interaction .19 .14 Pictures 2 x .186 .157 .06 .171 .147 .10 .305 . x²013 .015 .48 .026 .001 .85 .049 F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .	09 2.3	009	.000	1.93	004	.001	4.59 ^X	002	x^2 .008	
	- -						~			
x .276 .286 .00 .192 .268 .67 .150 . x²004015 .08 .019047 5.89 .011 F for overall interaction .04	1.7			1.75			5.69*	ion	interact	
x²004015 .08 .019047 5.89 x .011	883 1.6	202	150	67	26.0	100	00	29.5	v 276	Words 1
F for overall interaction .04 3.05 x Pictures 1										
interaction .04 3.05* Pictures 1	10 .2	016	.011	3.03	047	.019	.00			
x .222 .203 .02 .117 .227 1.56 .098 . x² .032019 .93 .019 .002 .27025 F for overall interaction .49 1.30 Words 2 x .205 .147 .23 .190 .189 .00 .177 . x²001 .020 .30011 .001 .23008 . F for overall interaction .19 .14 Pictures 2 x .186 .157 .06 .171 .147 .10 .305 . x²013 .015 .48 .026 .001 .85 .049 F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .	.8			3.05 ^x			.04			
x .222 .203 .02 .117 .227 1.56 .098 . x² .032019 .93 .019 .002 .27025 F for overall interaction .49 1.30 Words 2 x .205 .147 .23 .190 .189 .00 .177 . x²001 .020 .30011 .001 .23008 . F for overall interaction .19 .14 Pictures 2 x .186 .157 .06 .171 .147 .10 .305 . x²013 .015 .48 .026 .001 .85 .049 F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .									L	Pictures
F for overall interaction .49 1.30 Words 2 x .205 .147 .23 .190 .189 .00 .177 . x^2001 .020 .30011 .001 .23008 . F for overall interaction .19 .14 Pictures 2 x .186 .157 .06 .171 .147 .10 .305 . x^2013 .015 .48 .026 .001 .85 .049 F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .	381 2.8	.381	.098	1.56	.227	.117	.02	.203	x .222	
interaction .49 1.30 Words 2 x .205 .147 .23 .190 .189 .00 .177 . x^2001 .020 .30011 .001 .23008 . F for overall interaction .19 .14 Pictures 2 x .186 .157 .06 .171 .147 .10 .305 . x^2013 .015 .48 .026 .001 .85 .049 F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .	.2	059	025	.27	.002	.019	.93	019	x^2 .032	
x .205 .147 .23 .190 .189 .00 .177 . x ² 001 .020 .30011 .001 .23008 . F for overall interaction .19 .14 Pictures 2 x .186 .157 .06 .171 .147 .10 .305 . x ² 013 .015 .48 .026 .001 .85 .049 F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .	2.1			1.30			.49			
x²001 .020 .30011 .001 .23008 . F for overall interaction .19 .14 Pictures 2 x .186 .157 .06 .171 .147 .10 .305 . x²013 .015 .48 .026 .001 .85 .049 F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .		202	122		100	1.00			005	Words 2
F for overall interaction .19 .14 Pictures 2 x .186 .157 .06 .171 .147 .10 .305 . x²013 .015 .48 .026 .001 .85 .049 F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .									_	
interaction .19 .14 Pictures 2 x .186 .157 .06 .171 .147 .10 .305 . x ² 013 .015 .48 .026 .001 .85 .049 F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .	20 .3	.020	008	. 23	.001	011	. 30			
x .186 .157 .06 .171 .147 .10 .305 . x ² 013 .015 .48 .026 .001 .85 .049 F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .	.7			.14			.19			
x ² 013 .015 .48 .026 .001 .85 .049 F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .	276 1	276	205	10	147	171	06	167		Pictures 2
F for overall interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .										
interaction .37 .42 Words T x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .	714 1.0	014	.045	.05	.001	.026	.40			
x .166 .136 .17 .131 .147 .11 .118 .	. 1.2	•		.42			.37			
										Words T
$x^2 = .001$.002 .07 .004 = .007 1.92 = .001 = .	233 1.5	.233	.118	.11	.147	.131	.17	.136	x .166	
1 1001 1001 1001 1001	05 .1	305	001	1.92	007	.004	.07	.002	x^2001	
F for overall interaction .09 1.00	.8			1.00			.09			
Pictures T x .130 .120 .02 .100 .123 .27 .151 .)£7 1 c	257	161	27	122	100	02	1 20		Pictures '
	014 1.7	014	.009	. 70	.001	.010				
F for overall 1. 10 .63	2.2			.63						

Critical values: $F_{.95}(1,265)=3.88$, $F_{.95}(2,265)=3.03$

Results from the Within analyses are presented in Table 2. The interactions found for both Opposites and Metal folding reappear essentially unaltered in these analyses. But almost all the interactions with the PA variables fail to reappear in the Within analyses. The only exception is formed by the Words 1 variable with respect to the Complex criterion, but it can be noted that this interaction is considerably weaker in the Within analysis.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Here will only be discussed methodological aspects of the pattern of results; the reader interested in a full presentation of the results and interpretations in substantive terms for the verbal and spatial aptitude variables is referred to Gustafsson (1976 b).

The fact that for the PA variables there were no interactions in the Within analyses, and the fact that there were great differences between the patterns of results of the two forms, indicate that the results obtained in the Pooled analyses are nothing else than artefacts arising from the circumstance that the administration of the PA test as well as the experiment proper took place with intact classes.

It is reasonable to assume that a learning task is highly sensitive to the instructions given, to the mental alertness of the subjects, to events happening during the administration of the task and so on. When the task is given class-wise this implies that many of these factors will influence all pupils more or less in the same way. The effect of this, of course, is that there will be a large intraclass correlation for the errors of measurement. But when this occurs for both aptitude variables and dependent variables in an ATI study where classes are nested within treatments this may imply correlated errors for aptitude variables and dependent variables. Since these correlated errors may be of different kinds within the treatments this may in turn have as a consequence what is judged to be significant aptitude-treatment interactions.



In the Within analyses, in contrast, each subject's scores on the variables are computed as deviations from the class means; any positive or negative fortuitious effect on the scores that is common to the class will consequently not affect the analysis.

In this case the class effects with respect to the errors of measurement resulted in quite complex aptitude-treatment interactions which pertained to the quadratic component of the regression. From this it cannot be assumed, however, that the linear regressions on the aptitude variables remain free from such effects. Whenever there is a small number of classes that are nested within treatments, class effects at the administration of the aptitude variables and experimental tasks can have as a consequence interactions of any kind.

The present analysis thus strongly indicates the need for keeping track of class-mediated effects also with respect to the aptitude variables. Aptitude variables (and classes) of course are sensitive in differing degrees to such effects, but whenever the presence of class-mediated errors of measurement are suspected, within-class analyses should be conducted.

Obviously attempts should also be made to avoid the problem altogether. One possibility is, of course, to administer "sensitive" tests individually. This, however, may not be possible due to the large number of subjects needed for aptitude-treatment interaction studies. If for this, or any other reason, strictly individual testing is not possible, (small) groups of subjects having different treatments should be tested together.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, G.L. (1941) A comparison of the outcomes of instruction under two theories of learning. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Minnesota.
- Cronbach, L.J., & Snow, R.E. (1976) Aptitudes and instructional methods. A handbook for research on interactions. New York: Irvington, in press.
- Cronbach, L.J., & Webb, N. (1975) Between-class and within-class effects in a reported aptitude x treatment interaction:

 Reanalysis of a study by G.L.Anderson. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 67, 717-724.
- Dixon, W.J. (Ed.) (1973) BMD. Biomedical computer programs. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Gustafsson, J.-E. (1976 a) <u>Verbal and figural aptitudes in</u>
 relation to instructional methods. Studies in aptitudetreatment interactions. Göteborg: Acta Universitatis
 Gothoburgensis.
- Gustafsson, J.-E. (1976 b) Differential effects of imagery instructions on pupils with different abilities. Report from the Institute of Education, University of Göteborg, No. 47.
- Levin, J.R., Divine-Hawkins, P., Kerst, S.M., & Guttman, J. (1974)
 Individual differences in learning from pictures and words.
 The development and application of an instrument. <u>Journal</u>
 of Educational Psychology, 66, 296-303.

13

Reports from the MID-project

- Gustafsson, J.-E. (1971) Interaktion mellan individ- och undervisningsvariabler. Introduktion och litteraturgenomgång.
 Rapporter från Pedagogiska institutionen, Göteborgs universitet, nr. 63.
- Härnqvist, K. (1972) Canonical analyses of mental test profiles.
 Report from the Institute of Education, University of Göteborg, no 19 (reviderad version tryckt i <u>Scandinavian</u> <u>Journal of Psychology</u>, 14, 1973, 282-290)
- Sandell, O. & Sääf, D. (1973) Teknikelever på grundskolans högstadium. Pedagogiska institutionen, Göteborgs universitet, stencil.
- 4. Christianson, U. (1973) Konstelever på grundskolans högstadium. Pedagogiska institutionen, Göteborgs universitet, stencil.
- 5. Gustafsson, J.-E. (1973) Interaktion mellan presentations— och individvariabler I. Individvariablers samband med inlär-ningsresultat under egen läsning respektive lärarpresentation. Pedagogiska institutionen, Göteborgs universitet, stencil.
- 6. Gustafsson, J.-E. (1973) Några intryck från "The International symposium om Educational Testing" Haag, 16-19 juli, 1973. Pedagogiska institutionen, Göteborgs universitet, stencil.
- 7. Asplund, A. (1973) Interaktion mellan presentations och individvariabler II. Individvariablers samband med resultatet under egen läsning och bandad presentation. Pedagogiska institutionen, Göteborgs universitet, stencil.
- 8. Levinsson, B., Näslundh, G. & Ruiter, E. (1973) Inlärning av parade associater under olika presentationsbetingelser i årskurserna 2, 4 och 6. Pedagogiska institutionen, Göteborgs universitet, stencil.
- 9. Härnqvist, K. (1973) Individual differences in higher education: selection or adaptation? Plenary lecture at the Congress on Methodology of Research in Higher Education, Rotterdam. Report from the Institute of Education, University of Göteborg, no 34.
- 10. Gustafsson, J.-E. (1974) Verbal versus figural in aptitude-treatment interactions. Review of the literature and an empirical study. Report from the Institute of Education, University of Göteborg, no 36.



- 11. Gustafsson, J.-E. (1974) Interaktioner mellan individvariabler och listlängd vid glosinlärning. Rapport från Pedagogiska institutionen, Göteborgs universitet, nr 116.
- 12. Gustafsson, J.-E. (1974) Implications of interactions for the experimental research on teaching methods. Report from the Institute of Education, University of Göteborg, no 38. (Reviderad 'ersion med titeln "Interactions and the experimental research on teaching methods" tryckt i Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research, 19, 1975, 45-57).
- 13. Härnqvist, K. (1974) En generaliserbarhetsstudie av testet Conceptual Level. Rapport från Pedagogiska institutionen, Göteborgs universitet, nr 122.
- 14. Gustafsson, J.-E. (1975) Om ATI-forskning: Nuvarande ståndpunkter samt svar på kritik. Rapport från Pedagogiska institutionen, Göteborgs universitet, nr 133.
- 15. Jönsson, H. (1975) En generaliserbarhetsstußie av en förlängd version av testet Conceptual Level. Psykologexamensarbete från Pedagogiska institutionen, Göteborgs universitet, nr 68.
- 16. Gustafsson, J.-E. (1976) Inconsistencies in aptitude-treatment interactions as a function of procedures in the studies and methods of analysis. Report from the Institute of Education, University of Göteborg, no 46.
- 17. Gustafsson, J.-E. (1976) <u>Verbal and figural aptitudes in relation to instructional methods</u>. Studies in aptitude-treat-ment interactions. Göteborg: Acta Universitatis Gothoburgensis.
- 18. Gustafsson, J.-E. (1976) Differential effects of imagery instructions on pupils with different abilities. Report from the Institute of Education, University of Göteborg, no 47.
- 19. Gustafsson J.-E. (1976) A note on the importance of studying class effects in aptitude-treatment interactions. Report from the Institute of Education, University of Göteborg, no 52.



Reports from the Institute of Education, University of Göteborg.

- 1. Andersson, Bengt-Erik o. Nilssan, S-G: An application of the critical incident technique to the study of job and training requirements of shop mangers. June 1962.
- 2. Bullock, Donold, H.: Research in programmed learning: Description of and Rationale for A Program of Experimental Analysis. November 1965.
- 3. Härnqvist, Kjell: Social Foctors and Educational Chaice. 1965.
- 4. Härnqvist, Kjell: Relative changes in intelligence from 13 to 18. December 1967.
- 5. Dohllöf, Urbon o. Lundgren, Ulf P.: A project concerning macromodels for the curriculum process. A short presentation. Project COMPASS 12. April 1969.
- 6. Andersson, Bengt-Erik: PROJECT YG (Youth in Göteborg) A presentation of back-ground, design, instruments, and populations. Project UG 9. June 1969.
- 7. Dahllöf Urban: Ability grauping, content validity and corriculum process analysis. Project COMPASS 13. June 1969.
- 8. Dahllöf, Urban: Research on orc. examination within the International Baccolaureats: Some general points of view and some inferences from the psychology of Interviewing. Project COMPASS 20. January 1970.
- Dahllöf, Urban: The moterials and methods of implementation in the development of the curriculum. Outline of a model and some illustrations from Sweden. Paper read of the Conference of Coomporative Education Society in Europe, Praque June 1969. Project COMPASS 21. January 1970.
- Dahllöf, Urban o. Lundgren, Ulf P.: Macro and micro appraoches combined for curriculum analysis: A Swedish educational field project. Poper read at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association in Minneapolis, March 2-6, 1970. Project COMPASS 23: April 1970.
- 11. Andersson, Bengt-Erik: Actual and perceived ottitudes among odolescents and adults toward each other. A study of a group of Swedish teenangers and their parents. The Stug-project 3. April 1971.
- 12. Andersson, Bengt-Erik: Parental and peer influences and adolescent peer orientation. Project UG 12. April 1971.
- 13. Andersson, Bengt-Erik o. Ekholm, Mats: The generation gap. Frame of reference and design of the Stug-Project. The Stug-project 4. May 1971.
- 14. Andersson, Bengt-Erik o. Ekholm, Mats: Swedish Youth of To-doy. Description of a Research Project About the Generation Cap. Paper presented to the International conference on "Sozialization Pracesses in the Contemporary European Youth" in Bratislova June 14-17, 1971. The Stug-project 5. June 1971.



- 15. Martan, Ference a. Sandqvist, Gjertrud: Learning while typing. TIPS 3. September 1971.
- 16. Dahllöf, Urban, Lundgren, Ulf P. a. Siöö, Margareta: Refarm implementation studies as a basis for curriculum theory: Three Swedish approaches. Reprint from curriculum Theory Network 7, 1971: Managraph Supplement: Elements of Curriculum Development, editid by F. Michael Cannelly with the assistance of John Herbert and Jael Weiss. Project COMPASS 39. December 1971.
- 17. Lundgren, Ulf, P.: An empirical study of the teaching process. Theory, design and mehtodology. Project COMPASS 40. January 1972.
- 18. Hörnqvist, Kjell: Training and career structure of educational researchers. Reprint of paper read at Calloquium of Directors of Educational Research Organizations, Landan 10-12, November 1971, arranged by Cauncil of Europe. March 1972.
- 19. Härnqvist, Kjell: Cononical analyses of mental test profiles. Project MID 2. April 1972.
- 20. Härnqvist, Kjell and Bengtssan, Jarl: Educational reforms and educational equality.

 Contribution to a Reader an Social Stratification edidted by Scase. April 1972.
- 21. Bradley, Gunilla: Wamen's interest in pramation in relation to jab satisfaction and hame and school background. September 1972.
- 22. Asberg, Radney: An attempt at a Pedagagical Evaluation of the Development Studies in Lund 1969-70; Hausing, Building and Planning. May 1971.
- 23. Martan, Ference, Franssan, Anders, Janssan, Barbra, Klenell, Ann-Charlatte a. Roas, Birgitta: Differential effects of stress-including instructions an anxiety. TIPS 7. October 1972.
- 24. Lundgren, Ulf, P.: Educational Process Analysis. Two articles. Project COMPASS 48. November 1972.
- 25. Lundgren, Ulf, P.: Pedagogical frames and the teaching process. A report fram an empirical curriculum project. Paper read at the AERA meeting in New Orleans, 26 Febr. 1 March 1973. Project COMPASS 51. February 1973.
- Andrae, Annika: Late-bloomers and alternative courses of study at the senior level
 of the compulsor, comprehensive school and qualification for academic education at
 the upper secondary level. Project COMPASS 54. February 1973.
- 27. Lundgren, Ulf, P.: Pedagogical rales in the classroom. A contribution to Egglestan, J. 1973: Contemporary Research in the Sociology of Education. Landon: Methusen. Project COMPASS 56.
- 28. Andersson, Bengt-Erik: The Generation Gap-Imagination of Reality? Paper presented to the annual meeting of the International Society for the Study of Behavioral development. The Stug-project 21. May 1973.

- 29. Anderssan, Bengt-Erik: Praject YG (Youth in Gäteborg) A Study of a group of Swedish urbon adolescents. Praject UG 13. June 1973.
- Dahllöf, Urban: Data on curriculum and teaching process: Do they make any difference to non-significant test differences under what conditions? Address to division
 B of the American Educational Research Association. Feb. 27. 1973. Project COMPASS
 60. August 1973.
- 31. Andrae, Annika and Dahllöf, Urbon: Process Analysis of Non-Graded Rural Schools in Sweden. Outline of an Evaluation Project. Project PANG 5. February 1973.
- 32. Lundgren, Ulf P. and Wallin Erik: Some Notes on Education as a Science. September 1973.
- 33. Norvell, Bo: The Class Size and the Teaching Process. Part 1. October 1973.
- 34. Härnqvist, Kjell: Individual differences in higher education: Selection of adaption? Plenary lecture at the Congress on Methodology of Research in Higher Education, Rottercam, 3-5 December 1973. Project MID 9. December 1973.
- New Trends in Evoluation. Report from a conference on educational evoluation with an introductory paper by prafessor Robert E. Stake, University of Illinois. January -- 1974.
- 36. Gustafsson, Jan-Eric: Verbal versus Figural in Aptitude-Treatment Interactions. Review of the literature and on empirical study. Project MID 10. Morch 1974.
- 37. Lindblad, Sverker: Simulation and guidance. Experiences from planning and evaluation teaching with simulation designed for grade 6 in Swedish compulsary schools. Project-group UPLM 24. April 1974.
- 38. Gustafsson, Jan-Eric: Implications of interactions for the experimental research on teaching methods. Project MID 12. June 1974.
- 39. Marton, Ference: On non-verbatim learning: 1. Level of processing and level of outcome. December 1974.
- 40. Marton, Ference: On non-verbatim Learning: II. The erosion effect of a task-induced learning algorithm. December 1974.
- 41. Marton, Ference and Dahlgren, Lars-Owe: On non-verbatim learning: 111. The outcome space of some basic cancepts in economics. December 1974.
- 42. Marton, Ference: On non-verbatim learning: IV. Some theoretical and methodological notes. December 1974.
- 43. Lundgren, Ulf P.: Analyse von Curriculumprozessen der engere Ansatz: Curriculumprozess ols Instruktionsgeschichen. Research Report from the MAP-group 1975:1. January 1975.



- 44. Marton, Ference, Dahlgren, Lais-Owe, Säljö, Roger and Svensson, Lennart: The Göteborg Project on Nonverbatin Learning. Four invited papers presented at the American Educational Research Association, 30 March.: 3 April, Washington, DC. April 1975.
- 45. Kilborn, Wiggo and Lundgren, Ulf P.: A Contribution to the Analysis of Arithmetic Teaching and Learning. A paper presented at the first DIMO-warkshap, 31st of August 5th of September, 1975. in Wasserlos. BDR. Research Report from the MAP-group 1975:14. December 1975.
- 46. Gustafsson, Jan-Eric.: Inconsistencies in aptitude-treatment interactions as a function of procedures in the studies and methods of analysis. Project MID 16. March 1976.
- 47. Gustafsson, Jan-Eric.: Differential effects of imagery instructions on pupils with different abilities. Project MID 17. April 1976.
- 48. Ekholm, Mats: Social development in school. Summary and excerpts. Project SOS 23. May 1976.
- 49. Stangvik, Gunnar: Approaches to the analysis of learner-task interactions and some implications for the study of pedagogical processes. Project YP 7. January 1976.
- 50. Andrae, Annika: Non-graded instruction in small rural lower secondary schools. A presentation of the PANG-project.

 Paper read at the INTERSKOLA conference in July 1976. Project PANG 19, July 1976.
- 51. Patriksson, Göran: ATTITUDES TOWARD OLYMPIC GAMES OF SWEDISH ADDLESCENTS. Paper presented at the international congress of physical actiwity sciences in Quebec City 11-16 Jul 1976. September 1976.
 - 52. Gustafsson, Jan-Eric: A note on the importance of studying class effects in aptitude-treatment interactions. Project MIO 19. September 1976.

