

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 133 690

CS 003 118

AUTHOR Harber, Jean R.  
 TITLE The Effect of Age-Grading on Reading Performance.  
 PUB DATE [ 76 ]  
 NOTE 25p.; Report prepared at University of Maryland

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.  
 DESCRIPTORS Elementary Education; \*Interference (Language Learning); \*Language Research; \*Negro Dialects; \*Reading Ability; \*Reading Development; Reading Research; Reading Skills; \*Standard Spoken Usage  
 IDENTIFIERS \*Black English

ABSTRACT

At present, there is virtually no empirical evidence of the success of educational techniques designed to minimize the interference of black English on the acquisition of reading skills. The 180 black, inner-city third and fifth graders who participated in this study were selected in order to determine whether the discrepancy between performance on reading tasks, presented in standard English, black English standard orthography, and black English nonstandard orthography, varies with grade level. Subjects were administered equivalent listening comprehension, oral reading, and oral reading comprehension tasks in standard English and black English. An analysis of data suggests that, while there is no significant difference between the correlations between scores for standard and black English forms of the listening comprehension tasks at either grade level, correlations between scores for standard English, black English standard orthography, and black English nonstandard orthography forms of the oral reading and oral reading comprehension tasks were significantly higher at the fifth-grade level than at the third-grade level. (KS)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished \*  
 \* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort \*  
 \* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal \*  
 \* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality \*  
 \* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available \*  
 \* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not \*  
 \* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions \*  
 \* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

ED 133690

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,  
EDUCATION & WELFARE  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Jean R. Harber  
Assistant Professor,  
Special Education  
University of Maryland  
College Park, Md. 20742

THE EFFECT OF AGE-GRADING  
ON  
READING PERFORMANCE

5003118

Studies of black English-speaking children's aural comprehension of standard English have indicated that many black English-speaking children develop the ability to understand black English to some degree (Barritt, 1972; Bierly and Bean, 1972; Goodman, 1971; Levy and Cook, 1973; Sims, 1972; Torrey, 1969; Troike, 1972; Weener, 1969). Researchers have found an inverse relationship between age and percentage of nonstandard features used by black, lower-SES children (Light, 1971; O'Neill, 1972). This occurrence, called age-grading, has been described by several researchers (Dillard, 1972; Loban, 1966; Stewart, 1964). Age-grading refers to language variation in terms of the age of the speaker. It is believed to be a very important sociolinguistic factor in the dialect of black children (Dillard, 1972). In areas where black English comes in contact with standard English, age-grading tends in the direction of standard English most of the time. O'Neill (1972) has suggested that the school experience tends to reduce the amount of nonstandard dialect interference. However, by the time this dialect interference is reduced, the child may have lost much valuable time in academic learning.

In order to avoid this loss of valuable time in academic learning, numerous techniques have been suggested for minimizing the interference of black English on reading instruction. Interference is the tendency of individuals learning a new dialect to impose the sounds and structures of their primary dialect on the unfamiliar sounds and structures they are trying to master. These educational solutions include dialect-based readers and transition texts,

neutralization of dialect differences, dialect rendering of extant materials, and language experience activities.

At present, there is virtually no empirical evidence of the success of any of these educational techniques. Furthermore, those researchers who believe that black English-speaking children are suffering from dialect interference because of systematic differences between black English and standard English do not agree on when dialect interference is sufficiently reduced so that the use of standard English reading materials no longer creates a problem for black English-speaking children.

The purpose of this study is to determine whether the discrepancy among performance on standard English, black English standard orthography, and black English nonstandard orthography reading tasks varies with grade level. It is hypothesized that: (1) the correlations among scores on the standard English, black English, standard orthography and black English nonstandard orthography forms of the oral reading and oral reading comprehension tasks are significantly higher at the fifth grade level than at the third grade level; (2) there is no significant difference between the correlations of scores on the standard English and black English forms of the listening comprehension task at the fifth grade level and at the third grade level.

Subjects were 180 randomly selected black, inner-city, third and fifth graders in a large northeastern urban area. All subjects were administered listening comprehension tasks, equivalent forms in standard English and black English, and oral reading and oral

reading comprehension tasks, equivalent tasks in standard English, black English standard-orthography, and black English nonstandard orthography. Examples of these tasks are shown in Table 1. Passages and questions were translated into black English by a black person who speaks both dialects and is familiar with the inner-city culture.

. INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

Listening comprehension was measured by having each subject listen to the passages and questions on audio tape. The audio tapes were prepared by a black female who speaks standard English and black English. All responses were recorded on audio tape and later transcribed. Oral reading was measured by having each subject read passages from the three dialect forms of the oral reading task. On the black English standard orthography form of the oral reading task the words were written in standard English orthography while on the black English nonstandard orthography form the words were written the way they are pronounced by a speaker of black English. All oral reading was recorded on audio tape and later transcribed. Oral reading comprehension was measured by the questions which accompany the oral reading passages. Each set of questions was presented immediately following the oral reading of the corresponding passage. Questions were presented and responses recorded as they were for the listening comprehension task. Order of presentation of the reading tasks was counterbalanced across dialect forms.

Pearson product-moment correlations and Z tests were used to test the hypotheses. The correlations between the dialect forms of the listening comprehension, oral reading, and oral reading comprehension tasks were calculated for each grade level. The differences between each pair of correlation coefficients, i.e., the correlation coefficients at the third grade level and at the fifth grade level, were tested for significance using the Z test. Since the distribution of correlation coefficients is not symmetric, the Z transformation was used to normalize the distribution. The significance of the difference between each pair of correlation coefficients was then tested by the Z test (Snedecor & Cochran, 1967). Decisions were made at the .05 level of significance. The correlation coefficients and Z values for each pair of correlation coefficients at each grade level are shown in Table 2

INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE

Hypothesis one was not supported by the data. No significant differences were found between the correlation coefficients between scores on the standard English and black English standard orthography forms and between scores on the black English standard orthography and black English nonstandard orthography forms of the oral reading and oral reading comprehension tasks at the different grade levels. The author suggests that these findings resulted because of the strangeness of the nonstandard orthography to both grade levels. Since only standard English instructional materials are used in the schools from which subjects were selected for participation in this study, it can be assumed that the nonstandard orthography was strange

to subjects at both grade levels. The correlation coefficients between scores on the standard English and black English standard orthography forms of the oral reading and oral reading comprehension tasks were found to be significantly higher at the third grade level than at the fifth grade level. An examination of the standard English and black English standard orthography forms of the oral reading and oral reading comprehension tasks suggests that the effect of age-grading may have been masked by the fact that there were more syntactical differences between the standard English and black English standard orthography forms of the oral reading and oral reading comprehension tasks present in the more advanced passages and questions than in the lower level passages and questions. The author suggests that the relatively fewer differences between the standard English and black English standard orthography forms of the oral reading and oral reading comprehension tasks at the lower levels may account for the significantly higher correlation coefficients at the third grade level than at the fifth grade level. At the higher levels of the oral reading and oral reading comprehension tasks, where there are more differences between the standard English and black English standard orthography forms, there is more opportunity for differences between performance on these two forms of the oral reading and oral reading comprehension tasks to emerge.

Hypothesis two was supported by the data. No significant differences were found between the correlation coefficients between scores on the standard English and black English forms of the listening comprehension task at the different grade levels. The results seem to indicate that the third and fifth grade subjects used in this study

may be aurally bidialectal and the degree to which they are aurally bidialectal did not change significantly with grade level.

Some implications of this study may be drawn for teachers. First, black English-speaking children who do not exhibit interference from their primary dialect when confronted with beginning reading materials written in standard English may exhibit interference once the materials become more difficult and more syntactical differences between standard English and black English emerge. Second, the degree to which black English-speaking children are aurally bidialectal at grade three will probably not increase significantly over the next two years.

This study by no means settles the issue of when dialect interference is no longer a problem for black English-speaking children learning to read standard English. This study dealt solely with subjects who had already been exposed to reading instruction. Furthermore, the subjects in this study had probably never been exposed to black English reading materials prior to their participation in this study. Finally, comprehension was limited solely to factual comprehension; no inferences should be made to other more difficult types of comprehension tasks (e.g. critical and inferential comprehension).



## References

- Abrahams, Roger D. "The Advantages of Black English," Language, Society, and Education: A Profile of Black English, Ed. Johanna S. DeStefano. Belmont, California, 1973.
- Baratz, Joan C. "Teaching Reading in an Urban Negro School System," Teaching Black Children to Read, Eds. Joan C. Baratz and Roger W. Shay. Washington, D.C. 1969.
- Baratz, Joan C. "Should Black Children Learn White Dialect?," ASHA, XII (September 1970), 415-417.
- Barritt, Loren S. "Should the School Try to Change the Non-standard Speech of Children?," The Second and Third Lincolnland Conferences on Dialectology, Eds. Jerry Griffith & L. E. Miner. University, Alabama, 1972.
- Bierly, M. & Bean, J. "The Effect of Mode of Presentation on the Linguistic Comprehension of Children from Different Ethnic Groups", Paper presented at the annual meeting of AERA, Chicago, April, 1972.
- Dillard, Joey L. Black English: Its History and Usage in the United States. New York, 1972.
- Douglass, M. P. "The Development of Teaching Materials for Cultural Pluralism: The Problem of Literacy", Cultural Pluralism in Education: A Mandate for Change, Eds. Madelon D. Stent, William R. Harard, and Harry N. Rivlin. New York, 1973.
- Fasold, Ralph W. "What Can an English Teacher Do About Nonstandard Dialect?" The English Record, XXI (April 1971), 82-91.
- Figurel, J. Allen. "Language Patterns of the Disadvantaged Beginning Reader," Reading and Realism, Ed. J. Allen Figurel. Newark, Delaware, 1969.

- Goodman, Kenneth S. "Dialect Barriers to Reading Comprehension," Elementary English, XLII (Dec. 1965), 853-860.
- Goodman, Kenneth S. "Who Gave Us the Right", The English Record, XXI (April 1971), 91-95.
- Houston, Susan H. "Black English", Psychology Today, VI (10), March 1973), 45-48.
- Johnson, Kenneth R. "The Influence of Nonstandard Negro Dialect on Reading Achievement", The English Record, XXI (April 1971), 148-155.
- Levy, Betty B. & Cook, Harold. "Dialect Proficiency and Auditory Comprehension in Standard and Black Nonstandard English, Journal of Speech and Hearing Research, XVI (Dec. 1973), 642-649.
- Light, Richard L. "Some Observations Concerning Black Children's Conversations," The English Record, XXI (April 1971), 155-167.
- Loban, Walter. Problems in Oral English. Champaign, Illinois, 1966.
- Lob an, Walter. "Teaching Children Who Speak Social Class Dialects", Elementary English, XLV (May 1968), 592-599; 618.
- O'Neill, G. J. "NNE Grammatical Items in the Speech of Negro Elementary School Children as Correlates of Age, Grade, and Social Status", Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, University of Southern California, 1972.
- Shuy, Roger W. "A Linguistic Background for Developing Beginning Reading Materials for Black Children", Teaching Black Children to Read, Eds. Joan C. Baratz and Roger W. Shuy. Washington, D.C., 1969.
- Shuy, Roger W. "Language Variation and Literacy", Readings Goals for the Disadvantaged, E., J. A. Figurel, Newark, Delaware, 1970.

- Sims, Rudine. "A Psycholinguistic Description of Miscues Generated by Selected Young Readers During the Oral Reading of Task Material in Black Dialect and Standard English", Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Wayne State University, 1972.
- Snedecor, George W. & Cochran, William G. Statistical Methods. 6th Ed. Ames, Iowa, 1967.
- Stewart, William A. "Urban Negro Speech: Sociolinguistic Factors Affecting English Teaching", Social Dialects and Language Learning, Ed. Roger W. Shuy. Champaign, Illinois, 1964.
- Stewart, William A. "On the Use of Negro Dialect in the Teaching of Reading", Teaching Black Children to Read, Eds. Joan C. Baratz and Roger W. Shuy. Washington, D. C. 1969.
- Torrey, Jane W. "Teaching Standard English to Speakers of Other Dialects", Paper presented at the meeting of the Second International Congress of Applied Linguistics, 1969.
- Troike, Rudolph C. "Receptive Bidialectism: Implications for Second-Dialect Teaching", Language and Cultural Diversity in American Education, Eds. Roger D. Abrahams & Rudolph C. Troike. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1972.
- Weener, Paul D. "Social Dialect Differences and the Recall of Verbal Messages," Journal of Educational Psychology, LX (June 1969), 194-199.

Table 1

Examples of Reading Tasks

Reading Task

Dialect

Grade One

Grade Three

"One day I was going to school I stopped to see the puppies. This one climbed out of the box. He climbed into my school bag. I went to school".

If your pet is a cat, give her some fish now and then, and a little meat on the days when she doesn't have fish. Cooked vegetables are good for her, if she will eat them. Give your cat some green food if she can't get out of doors to find it for herself. Kitty likes lots of milk, too, of course. And catnip is good for her.

STANDARD  
ENGLISH

1. Who stopped to see the puppies?
2. Where was he going when he stopped to see them?
3. What did the puppy climb into?
4. What did Jimmy do after the puppy climbed into the bag?

1. What three things are you told to feed your cat?
2. What days should you give her cooked vegetables?
3. When should you give your cat green food?
4. What does Kitty like a lot?

Listening  
Comprehension

Age-Grading

10

Table 1  
(continued)

Reading Task

Dialect

Grade One

Grade Three

BLACK ENGLISH

I's in school. Everyone was very still. I heard a puppy go Bow-wow! I looked in my school bag!

If your pet is a dog, feed him well, but not too well. Give him one good meal a day, and two when it's very cold. Feed him dog biscuit, or else give him scraps of meat and vegetables and cereal and brown bread from the table. Brush your dog, and give him a bath with soap now and then, and he won't have fleas.

Listening Comprehension

1. Where's Jimmy?
2. The room, quiet, or noisy?
3. Who Jimmy hear?
4. What Jimmy find?

1. What five foods you told to feed your dog?
2. How many meals a day you told to give your dog in July?
3. Where you get most of the food for your dog?
4. What reason the author give you for brushing and bathing your dog?

Table 1  
(continued)

Dialect

Grade One

Grade Three

Reading Task

STANDARD  
ENGLISH

A man was walking up the street.  
When he came to a yellow house,  
he stopped. A little girl ran to  
him. "Hello, Father," she said.  
"Do you have a big surprise for me?"  
"The girl laughed. "I am very good."

Many people were busy all week on  
an empty lot near the park.  
Several boys were cleaning it off.  
Seven of them picked up old  
boards, sticks, and dry branches.  
Others cut the tall grass and  
carried it away. Then all the  
girls raked the ground and made  
it smooth. At last two men came  
and built a strong fence. Then  
the children had a safe play  
ground.

Listening  
Comprehension

1. Who was coming up the street?
2. Who ran to meet the man?
3. What was the girl hoping her father had for her?
4. The surprise was for what kind of girl?

1. What were the people in the story making?
2. Where were they making the playground?
3. Who raked the ground and made it smooth?
4. What did the men do to make the playground safe?

Table 1  
(continued)

Oral Reading and Oral Reading Comprehension	<u>Dialect</u>	<u>Grade One</u>	<u>Grade Three</u>
	BLACK ENGLISH STANDARD ORTHO -- GRAPHY	This boy make a boat one day, "Where can I play with it?, he ask. Daddy say, "Get in the car with me. We can take it with us." "Stop," the boy say when he seen some water. "Can I play with it here?" "Yea, " Daddy say. "Have fun".	It was pet day at the fair. Children was waiting for the animal parade to start. They had trained their pets to do a lot of tricks. There was a tall boy who have a goat that make him get in trouble. It kicked and tried hard to get away from him. When it heard the band, it got quiet. In the parade, it danced so good, it got a prize.
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What the boy make?</li> <li>2. What he going to do with it?</li> <li>3. What he seen when he was riding in the car?</li> <li>4. When he seen the water, what he ask his Daddy to do?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What kind of day was it at the fair?</li> <li>2. What did the children train their pets to do?</li> <li>3. What animal got the boy in trouble?</li> <li>4. What did the goat do that made it win a prize?</li> </ol>

Age-Grading 13

Table 1  
(continued)

Dialect

Grade One

Grade Three

BLACK  
ENGLISH  
NONSTANDARD  
ORTHOGRAPHY

A cat wanna fin her kittens.  
Her look in de house an all over  
de farm. But she ain't fin dem.  
Den a movvah cat seen a girl.  
"Mew," her say. "Hep me fin me  
kittens." De girl was laffin  
an she say, "Look, you kittens  
is comin to fin you."

One day in de summah, twin  
brovahs walk to de lake wid  
der uncle ta fish. Dey be  
sittin waitin fo some fish to  
bite. Den a boy got dis  
bite. He got so escited, dat  
he drop de pole in de watah.  
De fish swim way wif it fass.  
Den de pole disappear. De  
surprised boy look at his  
uncle an den he laffed.

1. Wha de cat lookin for?
2. Wha it look in?
3. Who de cat tell fo hep?
4. Who come to fin de cat?

1. How dem boys an der uncle  
git to de lake?
2. Wha dey to whin dey was  
waitin fo de fish ta bite?
3. Wha de boy do whin he got  
a bite an got escited?
4. Whin de boy get surprised  
whin de pole was loss, wha  
he do?



Table 2

Correlation and z values

Pearson product-moment correlation between	Third grade level		Fifth grade level		Z
	Correlation coefficient	z value	Correlation coefficient	z value	
Standard English oral reading and Black English standard orthography oral reading	.99	2.65	.97	2.11	-3.53*
Standard English oral reading and Black English nonstandard orthography oral reading	.77	1.01	.80	1.10	.63
Black English standard orthography oral reading and Black English nonstandard orthography oral reading	.76	1.00	.80	1.10	.68
Standard English oral reading comprehension and Black English standard orthography oral reading comprehension	.97	2.15	.89	1.41	-4.90**

Age-Grading 15

Table 2 (continued)

## Correlations and z values

Pearson product-moment correlation between	Third grade level		Fifth grade level		Z
	Correlation coefficient	z value	Correlation coefficient	z value	
Standard English oral reading comprehension and Black English nonstandard orthography oral reading comprehension	.76	1.00	.74	.94	.36
Black English standard orthography oral reading comprehension and Black English nonstandard orthography oral reading comprehension	.75	.97	.79	1.07	.65
Standard English listening comprehension and Black English listening comprehension	.69	.85	.77	.92	.49

\*p &lt; .01

\*\*p &lt; .001