#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 132 760 EC 092 060

TITLE Standard Criteria for the Selection and Evaluation of

Instructional Material. Teacher Level.

INSTITUTION National Center on Educational Media and Materials

for the Handicapped, Columbus, Ohio.

SPONS AGENCY Bureau of Education for the Handicapped (DHEW/OE),

Washington, D.C.

REPORT NO NC-76-405-A

PUB DATE Sep 76

CONTRACT OEC-300-72-4478

NOTE 23p.

AVAILABLE FROM MMT, The National Center on Educational Media and

Materials for the Handicapped, The Ohio State

University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 FC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS \*Check Lists; \*Evaluation Criteria: Exceptional Child

Education; \*Handicapped Children; \*Instructional Materials; Instructional Media; \*Media Selection;

Media Specialists

#### ABSTRACT

Presented are two sets of forms--teacher level and national level--used by the National Center on Educational Media and Materials for the Handicapped to evaluate and select appropriate instructional materials. The documents provide check lists for evaluating materials on the basis of identification of needs (such as learner characteristics, program characteristics, teacher requirements, and matching material to learner), initial selection, review, and decision-making. (IM)



#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NO' NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

# STANDARD CRITERIA

# FOR THE SELECTION AND EVALUATION OF INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIAL

# Teacher Level

- I. IDENTIFICATION OF NEEDS
  - A. Learner Characteristics
  - **B.** Program Characteristics
- II. INITIAL SELECTION
  - A. Search
  - B. Screen
- III. REVIEW
  - A. Analysis of Material
  - B. Matching Material to Learner
- IV. DECISION MAKING







The National Center on Educational Media and Materials for the Handicapped (NCEMMH) in cooperation with the national system of learning resource centers, professional associations, various other public and private agencies, and interested individuals provides a comprehensive program of activities to facilitate the use of new educational technology in instructional programs for handicapped persons. NCEMMH provides leadership and service in the development, design, and dissemination of learning resources that are effective for the handicapped.

The Center is funded under Contract OEC-300-72-4478 by the Bureau of Education for the Handicapped, U.S. Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. The contract is administered through the College of Education and the Research Foundation of The Ohio State University.

This document was prepared pursuant to a contract with the U.S. Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Bureau of Education for the Handicapped. Contractors undertaking such projects under government sponsorship are encouraged to express their judgment freely in professional and technical matters. Points of view or opinions do not, therefore, necessarily represent official Office of Education position or policy.

Duplication of the criteria, as distributed by NCEMMH, is permitted.

For additional copies, please send a self-addressed envelope to: MMT, The National Center on Educational Media and Materials for the Handicapped, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210.

9/76

Publication No. NC-76-405-A



# I. IDENTIFICATION OF NEEDS

The outcome of stage I will be: a definition of the target learner and the learning environment prior to any selection of suitable instructional materials.

# A. Learner Characteristics

(The following outline is intended to serve as a guideline to the selector of instructional materials in identifying the characteristics and educational requirements of the specific learner for whom material is being sought.)

- 1. Has an assessment of the learner occurred, and does the resulting data specify:
  - a. demographic information about the learner, including:

Yes	No	NA	
	*********	-	(1) age
		· .	(2) sex
			(3) instructional/developmental level
			(4) language development or preference
mollompolik			(5) interest level
			<ul> <li>b. limiting conditions (medical/physical factors, etc.)</li> </ul>
			c. behavioral/affective characteristics
		<del></del>	d. preferred modalities
familiarity at		-	e. strength areas
		-	f. deficit areas
		2.	Has an educational plan been developed, based on learner assessment data, which specifies:
			a. needed skill area
			b. short and long-term instructional objectives
			c. instructional strategies, including:
			(1) sequencing
-	-	<del></del>	(2) reinforcement
			<pre>(3) modalities (input/output)</pre>
	<del></del> -		(4) monitoring



4

No	<u> NA</u>		
		đ.	recommendations for:
	-		(1) general instructional areas
			(2) specific materials
			(3) related activities
в.	Progra	ım C	Characteristics
	of inst	truct	ring outline is intended to serve as a guideline to the selector ional materials in identifying the overall program considerations ecific learner(s) and learning requirements in mind.)
	1.		e provisions been made for integration of the individual educational n into the total instructional program:
	-	a.	content
	·	b.	curricular compatibility
		c.	format/alternatives
	2.		ld implementation of the educational plan be affected by any of following environmental constraints:
		a.	time/cost/physical considerations
		b.	grouping
<del></del>	<del></del>	c.	equipment
	woldenskippy	d.	personnel
		e.	teacher skill
		(The foot of instant) with the	The follow of instruct with the sp  1. Have place a.  2. Wou't the a.  3. B.  4. C.  6. C.  7. Wou't the a.  8. C.  9. C.  1. Wou't the a.  9. C.  1. Wou't the a.  1. Wou't the a.

#### 11.

The outcome of stage II will be: the identification of at least two pieces of instructional material which, on first screening, appear compatible with learner requirements and which will be considered for further review. Identification of alternative materials for examination will facilitate final selection decisions on a comparative basis.

# A. Search

(The items listed below outline the most common information resources available to the selector of instructional materials. The intent of this section is to

Page 2

What is even in the control of the c

encoura sources		he user to investigate various potential materials information
1.		e you located recourses which might provide information about erials:
	a.	colleagues
	b.	commercial
<del></del>	c.	mat <b>e</b> rials bibliographies
	d.	journals
-	e.	curriculum libraries and centers (colleges, schools for handi- capped, learning resource centers)
<del></del>	f.	professional organizations
	g.	governmental agencies (national network, audio-visual center, etc.)
	h.	information systems (NIMIS, EPIE, ERIC, etc.)
2.	As a	a result of the above process, have you identified at least two tructional materials which appear to address the learner's needs?
Screen		
informat spection accurate be requi users an able ele	ion of mat red. id ma ement	nal conditions, a written product abstract or review will provide pertaining to all of the items listed below, so that actual inthe product is not necessary. In the absence of thorough and cerial descriptions, however, scrutiny of the material itself will A secondary intent of this section is to educate both material aterial abstractors (including commercial publishers) about desirts to be included in product reviews.)
1.	Does i den	the material information resource provide information about the utified instructional product(s), such as:
NA		
	a.	instructional level



B.

Yes No

sensory input and output modalities

educational subject/skill content

language level

interest level

b.

<u>Yes</u>	No		NA		Teacher Level
		<u>.</u>		f.	format
				g.	cost
		in .	<del></del>	h.	<pre>grouping requirement(s)</pre>
				i.	required equipment
			2.		he basis of the available information, does the identified in- ctional material appear compatible with:
	Weller	• =		a.	learner characteristics
				(	l) the learner assessment
				(	2) the learner educational plan
	بخيات			b.	program characteristics
				(	) the total instructional program considerations
				(2	2) the identified environmental constraints
111.	RE	VIE	EW		•
	in o	ord vio	er to usly	defir define	age III will be: an in-depth analysis of an instructional material to the material's characteristics to determine the material's characteristics to determine the determinant of the stage necessitates on of the instructional material.
	A.	A	naly	sis of	Material
		qu	aliti	ies of	includes recommended questions for determining the intrinsic the material(s) independent of specific learner characteristics equirements.)
	······································		_ 1.	Are o	bjectives in behavioral terms (specifying what the student task nder what conditions, and level of performance expected)?
			_ 2.	Are t or se	echniques of instruction for each lesson either clearly specified lf-evident?
<del></del>		<del></del> -	_ 3.	Are f chron	acts, concepts, and principles ordered in a logical manner (e.g., ologically, easy to difficult, etc.)?
			_ 4.	Does activ	the material contain appropriate supplementary or alternative ities that contribute to or extend proposed learning?



5.

<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	
		6.	Does the content appear accurate?
		7.	Does the material avoid content which betrays prejudice, perpetuates stereotypes, or neglects the talents, contributions, or aspirations of any segment of the population?
		8.	Can the material be readily adapted to meet individual learner differences in abilities and interests?
SHOP SHIPE	- Halleman	9.	Can pacing of the material be adapted to variations in learner rate of mastery?
		10.	Is provision made for adapting, altering, or combining input and response modalities according to learner variations?
		11.	Does the material incorporate evaluation items and procedures which are compatible with program objectives?
		12.	Are there sufficient evaluative items to accurately assess student progress?
		13.	Is performance assessed frequently enough to allow accurate assessment of student progress and continuous feedback to learner?
<del></del>		14.	Is the format uncluttered, grammatically correct, and free of typo-graphical errors?
		15.	Are illustrations and photographs clear, attractive, and appropriate to content?
		16.	Are auditory components of adequate clarity and amplification?
		17.	Are all necessary components either provided with the material or readily and inexpensively available?
		18.	Can consumable portions of material be easily and inexpensively replaced or legally reproduced?
=		19.	Is cost reasonable in comparison with similar commercial materials or homemade alternatives?
<del></del>		20.	Does the publisher clearly state the rationale for selection of program elements, content, and methodology (e.g., choice may be based on tradition, survey of other materials, logic of subject matter, experimental evidence, unvalidated theory)?
		21.	Are testimonials, research, and publisher claims clearly differentiated?
		22.	Are reinforcement procedures and schedules clearly indicated?
		23.	Is a variety of cuing and prompting techniques used?



# B. Matching Material to Learner

(This section involves the integration of the identified learner needs with the analyzed material characteristics to determine compatibility for instructional purposes.)

<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	
		1.	Are stated objectives and scope of the material compatible with learner's need?
	-	2.	Are prerequisite student skills/abilities needed to work comfortably and successfully with the material specified and compatible with the learner's characteristics?
<del></del>		3.	Are the skills and abilities needed by the instructor to work effectively with the material specified and compatible with instructor's expertise?
<del></del>		4.	Are levels of interest, abstraction, vocabulary, and sentence structure compatible with characteristics of the learner?
<del></del>		5.	Is the degree of required teacher involvement (constant interaction, supportive or monitoring role, largely student directed, variable) compatible with teacher resources and learner characteristics?
		6.	Does the material incorporate motivational devices to sustain student interest which are appropriate to the learner's characteristics?
		7.	Are input and output modalities (visual, auditory, motor, tactile) compatible with learner characteristics?
		8.	Is the demonstration of task mastery (e.g., written test, performance test, oral test) compatible with or adaptable to intended learner's characteristics?
		9.	Is the format of the material (e.g., game, book, filmstrip, etc.) compatible with the learner's mental and physical abilities?
		10.	Is the durability and safety of the material adequate for the learner?
<del></del> .	-3	11.	Is information provided indicating (successful) field testing of the material with students similar in learning characteristics and interests to those of the learner?

# V. DECISION MAKING

The outcome of stage IV will be: a final determination of material suitability for use in a specific learning situation. Individualization of the decision making, based on items of priority concern, is implicit in this process.



Page 6

Α.	As a result of the review process, which questions have you identified as (most) critical to you in deciding to utilize the material with the learner?
В.	On the basis of those critical priority concerns, is the material appropriate for specified learning requirements?
	Yes (implies accept)
	No (implies reject)
	Unsure (requires more analysis)
С.	If unsure of appropriateness, are there other less critical questions which could be considered in making the decision to utilize the material?
D.	On the basis of those additional considerations, is the material now deemed appropriate for specified learning requirements?
	Yes
	Unsure
Ε.	If still unsure of appropriateness of the material, will comparison with othe previewed material(s), in relation to critical questions, help identify the material which most closely approximates the specified learning requirements?
F.	If still unsure of the appropriateness of the material, would modifications of the material render it usable?

- G. If no:
  - 1. Return to search process. Re-examine sources of material identification and information in locating other potential materials.
  - 2. Review learner characteristics in an effort to modify requirements for material.

1. Do you have access to resources for required modification?



# STANDARD CRITERIA

# FOR THE SELECTION AND EVALUATION

# OF INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIAL

# National Level

- I. IDENTIFICATION OF NEEDS
  - A. Sources
- II. INITIAL SELECTION
  - A. Search
  - B. Screen
- III. REVIEW
  - A. Learner Characteristics
  - B. Teacher Requirements
  - C. Materials Characteristics
  - D. Matching Material to Learner
- IV. DECISIONS
  - A. Use
  - B. Adapt
  - C. Field Test
  - D. Recommendations
- V. EVALUATION

and the state of the







The National Center on Educational Media and Materials for the Handicapped (NCEMMH) in cooperation with the national system of learning resource centers, professional associations, various other public and private agencies, and interested individuals provides a comprehensive program of activities to facilitate the use of new educational technology in instructional programs for handicapped persons. NCEMMH provides leadership and service in the development, design, and dissemination of learning resources that are effective for the handicapped.

The Center is funded under Contract OEC-300-72-4478 by the Bureau of Education for the Handicapped, U.S. Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. The contract is administered through the College of Education and the Research Foundation of The

Ohio State University.

This document was prepared pursuant to a contract with the U.S. Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Bureau of Education for the Handicapped. Contractors undertaking such projects under government sponsorship are encouraged to express their judgment freely in professional and technical matters. Points of view or opinions do not, therefore, necessarily represent official Office of Education position or policy.

Duplication of the criteria, as distributed by NCEMMH, is permitted.

For additional copies, please send a self-addressed envelope to: MMT, The National Center on Educational Media and Materials for the Handicapped, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210.

9/76

Publication No. NC-76-40" 3

# I. IDENTIFICATION OF NEEDS

The outcome of stage I will be: identification of the availability and adequacy of sources of need information prior to any selection of suitable instructional materials.

# A. Sources

1.	The National Needs Assessment sponsored by the Bureau of Education for the Handicapped
2.	Consumers who are currently working with handicapped children
3.	Analysis of curriculums and instructional priorities at Learner Level
4.	Analysis of learner characteristics
5.	Availability of appropriate materials for curricular areas
6.	Availability of effective materials for learners

# II. INITIAL SELECTION

The outcome of stage II will be: the identification of at least ten pieces of instructional material which, on first screening, appear compatible with learner requirements and which will be considered for further review. Identification of alternate materials for examination will facilitate final selection decisions on a comparative basis.

# A. Search

(The items listed below encourage the user to investigate various potential materials information sources and to consider essential points when gathering information about materials.)

<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	
		1	. Have you identified resources for materials which have potential use with the handicapped?
<del></del>	<del></del>	2	Have materials been identified which may be appropriate for the learner characteristics of the handicapped?
		3.	Have materials been identified which may be appropriate for the curricular needs of the handicapped?
	В.	Screen	
		vide inf actual i ough and	ptimal conditions, a written product abstract or review will pro- formation pertaining to all of the items listed below, so that nspection of the product is not necessary. In the absence of thor- accurate material descriptions, however, scrutiny of the material ill be required.)
<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>	
		1.	Is it a learner-use material?
		2.	Is it an instructor-use material?
		3.	Are all components of the material available?
<del></del>		4.	Does the material have potential for use with the handicapped?
'		5.	Is the material designed for use by the handicapped?
		6.	Does the material appear to be practical to use with the handicapped?
		7.	Does the material appear to be easily usable by the handicapped?



<del></del>	******		8. Is the format of the material appropriate for the target handicapped audience?
			9. Is the material of acceptable technical quality?
		1	O. Does the material have instructional objectives?
		1	1. Does the material appear to meet the curricular needs of the handicapped target population?
	<del></del>	1	2. How does the cost of the material affect the accessibility to the material?
. 11		1;	3. Does the material appear to present any physical danger to the target handicapped audience?
111.	RE	/IEW	
	mat stu Req	erial i dent ba uiremer	me of stage III will be: an in-depth analysis of an instructional in order to match (section D) the material for use with a specific used on section A, Learner Characteristics, section B, Teacher ats, and section C, Materials Characteristics. Implementation of the instructional material.
	A.	Learr	ner Characteristics
		tor of educat	following outline is intended to serve as a guideline to the selectinstructional materials in identifying the characteristics and ional requirements of the specific learner for whom material is sought.)
		1. Wh	at are the possible modes of input?
		,	auditory
			visual
		<del></del>	tactile
			kinesthetic
		2. Wh	at are the preferred modes of input?
•		• .	auditory
		<del></del>	visual
			tactile
			tactile kinesthetic

Page 3

3.	What are the possible modes of response?
	verbal
	written
	gesture
4.	What is the learner's instructional level?
5.	What is the learner's interest level?
6.	What is the learner's reading level?
7.	What is the learner's interest areas?
8.	What are the learner's interest/motivation requirements?
	a use of a game-type format
	b use of humor
	c use of a variety of stimuli
	d use of suspense
	e use of novelty
	f use of an interaction system of instantaneous feedback
	g use of cartoon format
	h use of puppets
	i use of characters
9.	What are the learner's entry level skills?
10.	What are the learner's reinforcement requirements?
Tea	cher Requirements
COL.	e following outline is intended to serve as a guideline to the selec- of instructional materials in identifying the requirements to allow eacher/instructor to effectively use the material.)
1.	Are a teacher's manual and/or instructions provided?
2.	If a teacher's manual and/or instructions are provided, does it include:
	a philosophy and rationale

Page 4

B.

16

	b statement of objectives
	c statement of instructional and interest levels
	d statement of reading level
	e statement of prerequisite skills
	f listing of material/program elements
	glisting of required materials and equipment
	h suggestions for teacher/instructor use
	i suggestions for student/learner use
	j suggestions for instructional alternatives
	k suggestions for evaluation
	1 suggestions for additional resources
3.	Instructor time requirements:
	a training
	b preparation
	cuse
	dclean-up
4.	What is the degree of instructor involvement?
	a full-time teacher involvement is required during instructional period
	b part-time teacher involvement required
	c no teacher involvement required
	d full-time aide involvement required
	e part-time aideinvolvement required
	f no aideinvolvement required
	gfull-time parent involvement required
•	h part-time parent involvement required
	i no parent involvement required
	j full-time peer involvement required

ERIC

k.	p	art-time peer involvement required	
1.	r	peer involvement required	
m.	m	aterials can be used independently by learn	ers
Is t	he mat	erial practical?	
Yes	No	NA	
		a. maneuverability	
		b. ease of storage	
<del></del>		c. number of parts	
<del></del>		d. identification of parts	
<del></del>		e. size of parts	
	<del> ii</del>	f. storage/organization of parts	
		g. durability of product and packagi	ng
		h. replaceability of consumable and parts	nonconsumable
		i. requires use of specialized equip	ment
Is th	ne tota	l cost reasonable?	
Yes	No	NA .	
		a. inservice training	
		b. initial cost	
		c. per use cost (replacement of consu	ımables)
		d. required supplementary materials of	costs
	<del></del>	e. replacement cost (replacement of r	onconsumables)
		Is the material appropriate for the cu	ırriculum?
•		Has this material been field tested?	
	٠.	If so, has it been found to be effecti	ve?
	1. m. Is to Yes  Is the state of th	Is the matery No	1 no peer involvement required  m materials can be used independently by learn Is the material practical?  Yes No NA a. maneuverability b. ease of storage c. number of parts d. identification of parts e. size of parts g. durability of product and packaging h. replaceability of consumable and uparts i. requires use of specialized equipments i. requires use of specialized equipments i. inservice training b. initial cost c. per use cost (replacement of consumable and uparts) d. required supplementary materials of the complete cost (replacement of the complete cost) d. required supplementary materials of the material appropriate for the complete cost.

# C. Materials Characteristics

(The following outline is intended to serve as a guideline to the selector of instructional materials in identifying specific characteristics a material requires to allow for communication with a learner.)

Technic	al quality		
a. Qua	lity of auditory presentation:	Acceptable	Unacceptable
(1)	clarity (easily understood, recording quality good)	· ************************************	-
(2)	amplification	<del></del>	
(3)	voice level	<del></del>	
(4)	dialect/accent		<del></del>
(5)	voice speed	-	
(6)	voice quality		-
(7)	sequence		
(8)	quality of narration (reader style)	-	***************************************
(9)	music/sound/voice mixing	-	
b. Qual	ity of visual presentation:	Acceptable	Unacceptable
b. Qual	ity of visual presentation: sharpness	Acceptable	Unacceptable
,		Acceptable	Unacceptable
(1)	sharpness	Acceptable	Unacceptable
(1) (2)	sharpness color	Acceptable	Unacceptable
(1) (2) (3)	sharpness color distracting elements	Acceptable	Unacceptable
(1) (2) (3) (4)	sharpness color distracting elements complexity	Acceptable	Unacceptable
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	sharpness color distracting elements complexity size relationships	Acceptable	Unacceptable
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)	sharpness color distracting elements complexity size relationships sequence subjective angle (learner point	Acceptable	Unacceptable
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7)	sharpness  color  distracting elements  complexity  size relationships  sequence  subjective angle (learner point of view)  objective angle (observer point	Acceptable	Unacceptable

ERIC

<sub>3/2</sub>19

Page 7

		c.	tion:	ty of print and graphic presenta-	Acceptable	Unacceptable
			(1)	legibility (style and size)		
			(2)	captioning (location and pacing)	****	···
			(3)	clarity of print (contrast)		
			(4)	accuracy		
		d.	Quali	ty of tactile presentation:		<del></del>
			(1)	oraille (clear and easily discriminable)	<u></u>	
				actile drawings (clear and easily discriminable)	5-5	
			(3) t	exture (clear and easily discriminable		
			(4) ¢	composition (physical format, hysical arrangement)		
		•		anipulables (discriminable, imension, shape, mass)		
2.	Inst	ruct	ional	quality		
	<u>Yes</u>	No	<u>NA</u>			-27° °
				a) Does the selection of subject ma represent the content area?	tter facts ad	equately
		1750		b) Is the content presented in the	material accu	rate?
				c) Is the content logically sequence	ed?	
		•		d) Is the content organized for ease	e of study?	
		· .		e) Are various points of view, incluminorities, handicapped, ideologistics social values, sex roles, etc., a sented?	ies, personal	and
			1	) Are the objectives of the materia	al clearly sta	ated?
	<del></del>		9	Is the content of the material co- objectives?	onsistent with	n the
,			h	) Are the prerequisite skills for u stated?	ise of the mat	terials
	<del></del>		i	) Are essential sub-skills required instructional sequence?	l included in	the

Page 8

			j)	Is the reading level of the material stated?	
	<del></del>		k)	Is the vocabulary systematically introduced?	
	<del></del>		1)	Is the vocabulary consistent with the stated reading level?	
			m)	Is the instructional level stated?	
			n)	Is the interest level stated?	
			o)	Is the material self-pacing?	
	<del></del>	=	p)	Does the material provide for frequent reinforcement of major concepts?	
			q)	Does the material summarize and review major points	
	<del></del>	<del></del>	r)	Does the material provide frequent opportunities fo active student involvement and response?	
			s)	Does the material provide for evaluation of user performance?	
			t)	Does the material provide criterion-referenced assessment?	
	******		u)	Are all of the supplementary materials needed for instruction included in the materials package?	
Matching Material to Learner					
(The following questions require a synthesis of information gained from stage III, Review. The synthesis is essential before proceeding to stage IV, Decision.)					
	Yes	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>		
		<del></del>	1.	Are the characteristics of the material compatible with perceived learner characteristics?	
	<del></del>	<del></del>	2.	Are the characteristics of the material compatible with perceived teacher requirements?	
			3.	Have you checked the list of criteria in the TEACHER LEVEL, stage III, Review, section B, Matching Material to Learner?	

#### IV. DECISIONS

The outcome of stage IV will be: a final determination of material suitability for use in a specific learning situation. Individualization of the decision making, based on items of priority concern, is implicit in this process.

After the review process, it was found that the material was:

Yes	No	NI	
			needed by the learner
			usable with the learner
			usable by the instructor
		<del></del>	effective

Decisions to:

- A. Use
- B. Adapt
- C. Field Test

can be made by identifying from the review data responsiveness of the material to learner need, usability with the learner, usability by the instructor, and effectiveness.

Directions: For each criterion met, place a "+" in the appropriate box. For each criterion not met, place a "-" in the appropriate box. If no information is available, place an "NI" in the appropriate box.

Needed	Usable with Learner	Usable by Teacher	Effective

Match your review summary with the decision matrix below:

#### D. Recommendations

_ N	UL	UT	E
+	+	+	+
+	+	+	-
+	+	+	NI
+	+	-	+
+	+	-	-
+	-	-	-
+	-	+	-
+	-	+	+
+	-	+	NI
- 4.	+	- +	+
-	-	+	+
_	-	-	+
-	-	-	-
-	-	-	NI

#### Recommend for:

U = Use/make available for use/information dissemination

A = Adapt

U/FT = Use/Field Test

R/A/D = Reject/Adapt/Develop

R = Reject/not acceptable



# V. EVALUATION

The outcome of stage V will be: a final judgment, either positive, negative, or inconclusive, as to the usefulness and effectiveness of the material with the learner in a given learning situation.

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>NA</u>	
		1.	Does this material meet the requirements of the teacher? (see teacher requirement section in review instrument)
		2.	Does this material meet the requirements of the learner? (see learner characteristics section in review instrument)
	***************************************	3.	Does this material lead to the attainment of the specified objectives? (see instructional quality section in the review instrument)
<del></del>	<del>************</del>	4.	Does the technical quality of the material meet the requirements of the learner? (see technical quality section in review instrument)
		5.	Do the instructional qualities of the material meet the requirements of the learner? (see instructional quality section of review instrument)

