DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 128 727   CG 010 836

AUTHOR   Renick, Theodore F.
TITLE   Self-Understanding and Self-Awareness in a Counselor
              Education Program.
PUB DATE   [75]
NOTE   15p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the
              American Educational Research Association (San
              Francisco, California, April 19-23, 1976)

EDRS PRICE   MF-$0.83 HC-$1.67 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   *Counselor Training; Helping Relationship; Higher
              Education; *Humanistic Education; *Individual
              Development; *Performance Based Education; Program
              Descriptions; Program Evaluation; *Self
              Actualization; *Skill Development

ABSTRACT
              The author describes a counselor education program
based on the premise that self-understanding and self-awareness are
as important as acquisition of skills, techniques, and knowledge for
persons planning to enter the helping professions. In attempting to
create a climate of high trust in which self exploration can occur,
the faculty has implemented special features such as basic encounter
groups, community meetings, open office policy, open classes,
co-learning, and student feedback about their perceptions of the
value of the program. Some of the problems of managing a humanistic
student centered orientation within the framework of a
competency-based program are discussed. Limited data are presented
showing how program emphases are viewed by former students and how
competencies have been evaluated. Attention is also given to
experimental procedures designed to specific counselor competencies.
(Author)

Self-Understanding and Self-Awareness in

a Counselor Education Program

Theodore F. Renick

St. Lawrence University

A. E. R. A. Annual Meeting

Program Number 8.08

2

Abstract

Self-Understanding and Self-Awareness in

a Counselor Education Program

Theodore F. Renick

St. Lawrence University

The author describes a counselor education program based on the
premise that self-understanding and self-awareness are as impor-
tant as acquisition of skills, techniques, and knowledge for per-
sons planning to enter the helping professions. In attempting to
create a climate of high trust in which self exploration can occur,
the faculty has implemented special features such as basic encoun-
ter groups, community meetings, open office policy, open classes,
co-learning, and student feedback about their perceptions of the
value of the program. Some of the problems of managing a human-
istic student centered orientation within the framework of a
competency based program are discussed. Limited data are presented
showing how program emphases are viewed by former students and how
competencies have been evaluated. Attention is also given to ex-
perimental procedures designed to specific counselor competencies.

Self-Understanding and Self-Awareness in

a Counselor Education Program

Theodore F. Renick

St. Lawrence University

The importance of self-awareness and self-understanding of
counselors has been frequently pointed out by leaders in the field
of counselor education (Arbuckle 1975; Corlis and Rabe 1969; Gazda,
et al, 1973; Ohlsen 1974). The basic theme of these writers and
others is that the counselor must have a high degree of self-
understanding and immediate awareness of feelings in order to be
able to understand and communicate effectively with the counselee.

The purpose of this paper is to discuss the value of self-
understanding and self-awareness as part of counselor education,
to describe the procedures utilized to bring about such under-
standing and awareness, to present some data in support of the
philosophy, and to discuss some problems and conflicts encountered
in maintaining a humanistic orientation within the context of a
competency based program.

Characteristics of the Program

The Master's degree level Counselor Education Program at
St. Lawrence University is relatively small with an enrollment
each year of around fifteen full-time students and approximately
twenty part-time students. Although the main emphasis of the pro-
gram is directed toward preparation of public school counselors,
many of the students plan to work in college student personnel,

4

social service, community agencies, and religious organizations.
Most of the full-time students are recent college graduates, while
the part-time students are teachers, social service workers, and
housewives.

The counselor education faculty has attempted to create a
learning community based on the principle that people planning to
work as counselors must experience relationships in which the faci-
litative conditions of positive regard, empathic understanding,
genuineness, and specificity are communicated if these students are
to be able to establish them in their own subsequent counseling
situations and other interpersonal relationships. The importance
of the facilitative conditions as necessary and sufficient for
therapeutic change was indicated by Rogers (1957), and later ela-
borated by Gazda (1973) and Aspy (1975) regarding their value in
educational settings. The intent of the faculty, therefore, is to
establish a community where everyone, faculty and students, can
learn together and where trust is high, responsibility is prized,
and threat is minimal. We believe that students who are free of
threat and placed in a non-competitive environment will learn be-
cause they want to learn and also be willing to share what they are
learning with other students.

Because much of the work in the program is experiential, indi-
viduals applying for admission to the program are encouraged to
talk with matriculated students and to visit classes so they can
have a sample of what it is like to be a student. The importance
of a voluntary committment to a humanistically oriented program

based on sound information about the program was pointed out by Banikiotes (1975).

Early in the fall semester "community meetings" for all students and staff are held to raise questions, share ideas, make suggestions, and hopefully make known any complaints and concerns. These meetings do not continue on a regular schedule, but are called whenever any member of "the community" wants to have one.

A two-day encounter group is held midway in the first semester and all students are encouraged to participate. It is made clear by the staff that students have the right not to participate and that non-participation will in no way be held against them. The groups are conducted at a comfortable college owned lodge in the Adirondack Mountains, usually beginning on Wednesday evening and ending Friday mid-day. The model followed in the group sessions is the Basic Encounter Group as described by Rogers (1970) and Coulson (1970). The purpose of the group experience is to help students and staff develop trust and mutual respect. The tone of the group work is very low key; no one is required to talk. The emphasis is upon understanding self and others, establishing a community of low threat and high trust, and focusing on the here and now as much as possible.

Open office policy is practiced by staff members, and this means that when the office door is open, students are welcomed and encouraged to drop in to chat individually or in groups. Many times the office is crowded, and some of the more timid students are hesitant to break in. However, they gradually learn to come in and freely participate with their peers. When a student needs to have a private

talk with a faculty member, the procedure is to make an appointment. This assures the student that the faculty member will have time for him or her and that the conference will not be interrupted by other people. On these occasions, the door is closed.

Classes vary in structure depending upon the nature of the subject matter and the number of students enrolled. All faculty members try to help students find their own learning styles. This may result in breaking the class into small special interest groups, permitting some students to work independently, and bringing in specialists from the larger community who have expertise in areas with which the instructor is unfamiliar.

Faculty members attempt to reduce the teacher-student distinction because they are convinced that they are learners as well as the students. For students who have experienced highly traditional education, this approach creates confusion and some frustration until they can begin to identify what they want to learn and at what pace their learning can proceed. A fairly typical example is the student who comes to a professor about his or her confusion in the program, and the crux of the problem is that the student is floundering with many new ideas and is experiencing frustration because he or she cannot suddenly have everything in perspective or find the key to instant knowledge. It is at such a point that the faculty can help the student identify questions for which answers can be sought and to suggest specific readings and resource people, including other students, faculty, and people outside the university community. Working through the confusion and frustration is an important process if the student is to acquire a sense of strength

and self-confidence. This process appears to bring about an aware-
ness of self in terms of learning experiences that have pay-off.
The student is assuming responsibility for his or her own learning.

Another direct attempt to facilitate self-understanding is
in the requirement that each student goes through the H. D. I.
General Relationship Improvement Program (Human Development Insti-
tute 1972) with a partner as part of the Techniques of Counseling
course. This program requires ten sessions and is designed to
improve communication skills and increase self-understanding. Also,
the instructor of an Educational Psychology course requires each
student to keep a journal of his or her personal reactions to read-
ings, classroom experiences, and any other experiences that they
want to write about. The professor reads the journals regularly
and writes responses to the students. Student reactions to this
procedure are quite favorable because it helps them relate the
readings to their own personal experiences, i.e., phenomenological
field, and to have a sense that they know the professor and are
known by him as individuals.

Evaluation

The data presented here are limited because the number of stu-
dents involved is not large, and the attempts to evaluate certain
aspects of the program are just beginning. One effort to determine
the practicality of the emphases placed on various attitudes, skills,
and knowledge was the administration of an instrument called "The
Counselor Education Assessment Inventory," developed by this writer.
The inventory listed twenty-two competencies of the program. Indi-

viduals who had completed the program between 1970 and 1974 were asked to rate the degree of emphasis placed on the skills, attitudes, and knowledge listed in the Counselor Education Program, using a five-point scale ranging from very little emphasis to very much and to rate the degree of importance of each one in their current working situation on the same one to five scale. It was expected that analyses of the difference between emphasis in the program and importance on the job would lead to a second survey to find out whether greater or lesser emphases in the program on the competencies where differences were significant would be helpful to students. For purposes of this paper, only the data on items dealing with self-understanding and self-awareness will be presented. For each item a two-tailed t test was used to determine whether the mean difference scores were significantly different from zero. We were not originally interested in how high or low the ratings were. We were concerned with perceived discrepancies between the program emphases and job importance. Of the four items most closely associated with self-understanding, three of the t values did not reach the significance level, and one was significant at the .05 level. The items and t values are presented in Table I.

TABLE I

Comparison Between Perceived Emphasis in a Counselor Education Program

and On-the-Job-Importance of Self-Understanding and Self-Awareness

Item	$\bar{D}$	N	t
1. Understanding yourself.	.049	41	.45
2. Personal responsibility and self-direction.	.1	41	.81
3. Establishing effective relationships with individuals and groups.	.024	41	.22
4. Awareness of personal and professional limits and the ability to make appropriate referrals.	.436	39	2.10*

*p < .05 two tailed

The results in Table I suggests that program emphases were
perceived by the subjects as being congruent with perceived job
importance of self-understanding as expressed in the items. However,
the difference that was indicated in the awareness of personal and
professional limits and the ability to make referrals suggests fur-
ther investigation to determine whether or not some modification in
the program emphasis is in order.

Another form of supportive data for emphasizing self-under-
standing in the Counselor Education Program was found in the addi-
tional free responses given by graduates to the statement asking
them to add any comments they felt would be helpful in improving
the program. Twenty-five of the questions returned contained
additional comments. Of these, three consisted of suggestions
about the program content, while twenty-two respondents specifically
referred to the personal value of the self-understanding and self-

10

awareness gained, resulting from their experiences as graduate students. It must be noted that there are limitations to any conclusions drawn from the data presented above. The data could be biased by the types of individuals who responded because those who had positive attitudes about their student experiences were more likely to respond than those with neutral or negative attitudes. Fifty-four questionnaires were mailed and forty-one were returned. In addition, their responses were based on personal subjective judgments, and there is no established reliability of the instrument used.

Evaluation of student performance in the form of competencies has been a slow and difficult task for a variety of reasons; the most overriding is identifying specific measureable behaviors which are consistent with the humanistic philosophy of the program. This problem was presented by Arbuckle (1975). The task is to find means of assessment that do not destroy the elements of high trust and low threat so that students will continue learning because of self-motivation, and not learning what they must do to meet minimal levels of competency.

We have experimented with several methods of assessing competencies of students and have found that although no one method is completely satisfactory, some hold promise of being useful in providing data about students' development. One method has been to have instructors and field supervisors indicate that students have demonstrated acceptable levels of competence. Another has been the use of pre- and post-tests of students when they are enrolled in the Techniques of Counseling course. This instrument was deve-

loped by Porter (1950), and the results provide an indication of
how well students can communicate understanding of counselee state-
ments. A one tailed t test for the difference between pre- and post-
test means of two sections of the course, treated as one group, re-
sulted in a t value of 5.43. This result suggests that the post-test
mean was higher than the pre-test mean beyond the .05 level of signi-
ficance.

In order to assess student performance in actual counseling
situations, tape recordings of their work in the Counseling Practi-
cum are rated by fellow students and faculty, using as guidelines
the Scales for Assessment of Interpersonal Functioning (Carkhuff 1969).
In addition to providing an assessment of basic counseling skills,
this procedure is a valuable learning experience for the students
making judgments because they develop skills in discriminating re-
sponse levels.

The desire to obtain feedback about counselee's perceptions of
counselors regarding communication of empathy, positive regard,
genuineness, and specificity of expression led to the construction
of a five item multiple choice instrument called the Counselor Faci-
litative Effectiveness Inventory (Renick and Cerio 1974). Its use
has been very limited, but it will be administered in conjunction
with the Relationship Inventory (G. T. Barrett-Lennard 1962) this
year to see if there are any relationships between the ratings made
by counselees on the two. Although both of these rating scales rely
on counselee perceptions, it is hoped that their use will take us
closer to assessment of some of the competencies that are consistent
with the aims and objectives of the program.

Summary

The counselor education program at St. Lawrence University is committed to the philosophy of student centered, humanistic education while attempting to comply with state mandated regulations to become competency based. Limited data suggest that the openness and free interaction between faculty and students tend to facilitate a climate of trust in which self-understanding and self-awareness of students can and does occur. Feed-back from graduates supports the continuation of the program's orientation, and measures of counseling competencies of students suggest that counseling skills can be developed in such an environment.

References

Arbuckle, Dougald S. An existential-humanistic program of counselor
    education. Counselor Education and Supervision, 1975, 14 (3),
    168-174.

Aspy, David N. Helping teachers discover empathy. The Humanistic
    Educator, 1975, 14 (2), 56-63.

Panikiotes, Paul G. Personal growth and professional training.
    Counselor Education and Supervision, 1975, 15 (2), 149-151.

Barrett-Lennard, G. T. Dimensions of therapist responses as causal
    factors in therapeutic change. Psychological Monographs, 1962,
    76, (2, Whole. x - 562).

Carkhuff, Robert R. Helping and Human Relations (Vol. 2). New York:
    Holt Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1969.

Corlis, Rahe B., & Rabe, Peter. Psychotherapy From the Center: A
    Humanistic View of Change and Growth. Scrantom, Pa.: Inter-
    national Textbooks, Inc., 1967.

Coulson, William. Inside a basic encounter group. Counseling Psy-
    chologist, 1970, 2 (2), 1-27. Responses, 28-60.

Gazda, George M., et al. Human Relations Development, a Manual for
    Teachers. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, Inc., 1973.

Human Development Institute, Inc. H. D. I. General Relationship
    Improvement Program. Atlanta: Human Development Institute,
    Inc., 1972.

Ohlsen, Merle M. Guidance Services in the Modern School. New York:
    Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Inc., 1974.

Porter, E. H., Jr. An Introduction to Therapeutic Counseling.

Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1950.

Renick, T. F. & Cerio, James E. Counselor facilitative effective-

ness inventory. Unpublished inventory. (Available from James

E. Cerio, Clarkson College, Potsdam, New York, 13676), 1974.

Rogers, C. R. The necessary and sufficient conditions of therapeutic

personality change. Journal of Consulting Psychology, 1957,

21, 95-110.

Rogers, C. R. On Encounter Groups. New York: Harper and Rowe, 1970.