

DOCUMENT RESUME

D 127 795

FL 007 887

AUTHOR Cook, Margaret  
 TITLE Transitional Speech Features in the College Lecture.  
 CATESOL Occasional Papers, No. 1.  
 INSTITUTION California Association of Teachers of English to  
 Speakers of Other Languages.  
 PUB DATE 74  
 NOTE 12p.; For related documents, see FL 007 882-888  
 EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.  
 DESCRIPTORS \*Colleges; \*English; Higher Education; Language  
 Patterns; \*Language Styles; \*Language Usage;  
 \*Lecture; Oral Communication; \*Sociolinguistics;  
 Speech; Speeches; Speech Habits; Written Language

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the speech performance characteristic of the college lecturer. One of the most organized forms of speech performance, the lecture functions as a referential monologue and has a necessarily topical focus. Specifically dealt with are the ways in which lecturers introduce new topics, link together topical utterances, and close out episodes. Various transitional tactics are examined as general features of speech that correlate closely with the transitional devices in written communication. The analysis is based on the assumption that connectives are normative features in lecture-type speech acts and that they are rule-governed according to the direction imposed by the speaker on the narrative. The three general rules for maintaining and directing relevance in speech are: (1) topic continuation, (2) topic recycling, and (3) topic change. The editing rule is at work when the lecturer interrupts himself to redirect the lecture. It is found that smooth transitions are attempted by all lecturers regardless of style differences in performance. Examples are provided in an appendix.  
 (CLK)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished \*  
 \* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort \*  
 \* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal \*  
 \* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality \*  
 \* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available \*  
 \* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not \*  
 \* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions \*  
 \* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

ED127795

FL007887

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

TRANSITIONAL SPEECH FEATURES IN THE COLLEGE LECTURE

Margaret Cook  
San Jose State University

In an attempt to analyze speech performance that is characteristic of the college lecturer, it is first necessary to place certain restrictions upon the scope of such a study. Whether it be formal or informal in style, the college lecture is one of the most organized forms of speech performance. It functions as a referential monologue and its focus is necessarily topical. However, it cannot be delivered through isolated topical utterances if overall coherence is to be achieved. Constraints of continuity and relevance are imposed upon the lecturer much the same as they are upon the technical writer.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, such a speaker must employ various tactical devices to reinforce the relationship of each topical unit to the total message of his speech act. The concern here shall be limited to these ways in which lecturers introduce new topics, link together topical utterances, and close out episodes. A corpus of transitional utterances has been recorded for this study from lecturers given by four professors at San Jose State University and this paper will attempt to analyze the various transitional tactics as general features of speech that correlate closely with transitional devices in written communication. The analysis will be based on the assumption that connectives are normative features of lecture-type speech acts and, moreover, that they are rule-governed in accordance with the direction a lecturer imposes upon his narrative.

General Transitional Rules

Three general rules<sup>2</sup> for maintaining and directing relevance in speech are 1) topic continuation, 2) topic recycling, and 3) topic change. As will be shown, these rules also correspond with strategies that impose continuity on written narratives. In many respects, however, the oral genre departs from the literary transitional tactics, for speech performance requires spontaneity and operates with less planning and control than does written communication. Therefore, another body of devices, which have been incorporated here under the 'editing' rule, will also be considered in this analysis of transitional speech features.

The first of the general rules, topical continuation, is the use of connectives to direct topical utterances as probes into preceding topics. It is a device that enables the speaker to move from one topic to a related topic, to covertly suggest the relevance between the two, and to proceed using the same speech pattern. As with subordinate sequence paragraph construction (written), the tendency is to introduce something new at each level that is developed in the next level. Examples of topical continuation found in informal lecture material (see appendix) demonstrate the wide range of possible formulations:



"This whole thing about \_\_\_\_\_ also applies to \_\_\_\_\_."

"Um, there are several reasons why \_\_\_\_\_ hasn't come up so far, and one is \_\_\_\_\_."

"The same thing took place with regard to \_\_\_\_\_."

More specifically, when the rule of topic continuation is applied, a choice of special syntactic devices is open to the lecturer. He may a) repeat a word or phrase from a previous utterance, b) use a synonym for a word in a preceding sentence, or c) introduce a pronoun whose antecedent was in the sentence that came before. From the data here the last choice is easiest to isolate:

"Some of this of course depends on \_\_\_\_\_."

"Now that won't happen unless \_\_\_\_\_."

"Keep in mind here that most of what we're talking about is \_\_\_\_\_."

"So that's why \_\_\_\_\_."

(Note: pronoun or pronoun phrases have been underlined only for my emphasis.)

Sequencing, however, need not necessarily be subordinate as in the above examples of topic continuation, but may be co-ordinate. That is, a lecturer may initially assert that he will bring up several points and overtly mark each as it occurs in the lecture:

"But two different things are involved here, \_\_\_\_\_ & \_\_\_\_\_."

"Oh! So here comes the inevitable, there are three \_\_\_\_\_s."

"The second \_\_\_\_\_ I talked about is \_\_\_\_\_."

"All right, there's all kinds of stuff about \_\_\_\_\_."

"\_\_\_\_\_, the other thing I wanted to mention. . ."

"A couple of things, first of all \_\_\_\_\_."

"Now getting back to our four basic \_\_\_\_\_."

"There are two terms which are used interchangeably, \_\_\_\_\_ & \_\_\_\_\_."

"Now there are three great factors that have altered . . ."

"Okay? . . . all right so . . . for point one of \_\_\_\_\_ . . ."

Secondly, and in contrast to topic continuation, is the rule for topic recycling. This rule is applied when the lecturer wishes not to string together overlapping topical utterances, but to elaborate some antecedent topic. In this way he can talk about the same thing over and over until he feels he has made his point clear enough to move on to another topic. Recycling can take the form of examples, contrasts, and analogies:

"And you find examples of this \_\_\_\_\_"

"Maybe some other examples of this too, . . ."

"Uhm, well here . . . (pause) . . . Another example of this too would be . . ."

"So I guess that the major things this illustrates are . . ."

"Also, um, another kind of \_\_\_\_\_ would be \_\_\_\_\_"

"If you look at \_\_\_\_\_ as a \_\_\_\_\_"

"So you've got this, uh, oh what would be a good analogy?"

"Uh, \_\_\_\_\_ is a whole separate bag of worms from \_\_\_\_\_"

As with topic continuance, recycling can go on indefinitely or until the lecturer feels that his topic has been sufficiently covered. Yet when the later does occur the third rule for maintaining relevance, topic change, can be applied. It would seem from the data that by the time a professor has reached this final stage of an episode he has nearly exhausted his supply of subtle connectives for in closing off or limiting a previous topic most lecturers tend to rely on a summons-like device such as

"Any comments or questions on \_\_\_\_\_?"

"Shall we move on to bigger and better things?"

"So is it all right if I talk about \_\_\_\_\_ now?"

"Got any questions about \_\_\_\_\_? Okay, let's talk about \_\_\_\_\_."

"Any more you wanna say about that? . . . Okay, we talked about \_\_\_\_\_ last time, . . . did I tell you about \_\_\_\_\_?"

"You don't wanna talk about \_\_\_\_\_, do ya?"

Even when audience response is not directly summoned, we find that lecturers use rather abrupt tactics to indicate topic change.

"That's about all we're gonna say about \_\_\_\_\_."

"Next time we're gonna talk about \_\_\_\_\_."

"Well, I haven't got time to go into this, but . . ."

One possible explanation for this lack of smooth transition might be that since there is such a conscious awareness of the constraints of continuity and relevance the lecturer feels obliged to mark the closing of topics in obvious ways so that the material to follow will not seem irrelevant. This consciousness of constraint also gives rise to devices such as touched-off utterances, meta-linguistic side sequences, and false starts, which either function as transitions or apologies for deviation. All are variations of the editing rule.

#### The Editing Rule

When a lecturer interrupts himself so that there is a break in the flow of

referential speech, he is signaling to his listeners that the direction he has been taking is deviant from what was intended. In technical writing, of course, this sort of interruption never becomes apparent. Therefore, besides the general rules for maintaining in-course relevance, a lecturer also has a rule to get him back on course whenever he finds himself wandering. For purposes of this paper this has been termed the editing rule. One editing tactic, the metalinguistic interruption device, comments on the form of language being used in the lecture but does not serve to shift the topic. The most outstanding example recorded for this analysis was

"Anny-waay, . . . I'm going to change my name to Sammy Sidetrack-- you'd never know we came here to talk about \_\_\_\_\_, . . ." (continues topic)

Touched-off utterances, another form of editing, may or may not shift the topic, but usually do if they relate closely to something being said. When signaled these interruptions take such forms as

"Oh, that reminds me of \_\_\_\_\_"

"Okay, but before going into \_\_\_\_\_, it would be better to talk about \_\_\_\_\_"

"Also this business also brings up something that I wanted to mention last time but forgot to bring up . . ."

"And I'll mention this later but there are also . . ."

"Which is what we're gonna talk about today (looks at watch)

"Why are we talkin' about that? . . . Oh, so the \_\_\_\_\_ is . . ."

"\_\_\_\_\_, that I'm about to describe to you here, . . ."

Although as an editing tactic they appear unintentional, false starts seem to reveal that verbal planning is going on and they do in fact interrupt the flow of referential speech so that the lecturer can regain continuity

"There's a . . . (long pause) . . . One thing I should mention is \_\_\_\_\_."

"Um, the wh . . . This is a class about \_\_\_\_\_ and more or less we've hit all the major aspects of \_\_\_\_\_."

"Remember we ta-- . . . Did we talk about \_\_\_\_\_ as a part of the \_\_\_\_\_?"

"Although . . . well . . . no . . . I guess that's later."

"Okay? . . . Um . . . at some point in the field of \_\_\_\_\_ there was a . . ."

Naturally, employment of any of the rules discussed above is idiosyncratic, and as can be seen in the appendix material, some lecturers tend to take stronger preference for one particular rule. It would seem that connectives are more rule-governed when conscious awareness of speech perfor-

mance is taking place; especially when the speaker is projecting as to what must be said next and how to lead into future topics gracefully.

What has been most interesting, though, is the evidence that smooth transitions are attempted by all of the lecturers regardless of their stylistic differences in speech performance. These are the features of referential monologues that bind topical complexities into large units so that they may become conceptually digestible. Most amusing to the topically disinterested listener are the metalinguistic editings of lecturers. These reveal that there has been a breakdown in organization, a loss of form. The devices not only add conversational life to the offering of factual information but also communicate the speaker's conscientious concern for his listener.

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup>Susan Ervin-Tripp, "On Sociolinguistic Rules: Alternation and Co-occurrence, from Directions in Sociolinguistics, eds. Gumperz and Hymes, 1972. Page 243

<sup>2</sup>Introduced by Kjolseth and discussed by Ervin-Tripp, page 243

#### APPENDIX

##### PROFESSOR "W"

"Now please note, if you can read that, (points to note on board) that we will have an exam on Monday . . ." (discusses exam)

"Now today I brought a film in . . . (discusses film). . ." (after film:)  
"as I said before the film, this was \_\_\_\_\_"

"Any questions or comments on this film?"

"Well let me explain this to some extent . . ."

(side sequence:) "\_\_\_\_\_, that I'm about to describe to you here, . . ."

"Any other points that should be aired while this is fresh in your minds? What about the \_\_\_\_\_?"

"Well, let's take a look in the remaining few minutes here at \_\_\_\_\_"

"But now reflecting back to our discussion of \_\_\_\_\_"

"Now let me say one other thing here because of \_\_\_\_\_"

"I'll steer us around on Friday to \_\_\_\_\_ and talk a little bit more about it, but . . ."

"\_\_\_\_\_, I might mention in passing here, have been . . ."

"Keep in mind here that most of what we're talking about is \_\_\_\_\_"

"Some of you asked that I bring in extra copies of \_\_\_\_\_, . . ."

"Now getting back to our four basic \_\_\_\_\_"

"The other day I was talking about \_\_\_\_\_"

"There are two terms which are used interchangeably: \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_"

"Let's look at the situation with regard to \_\_\_\_\_"

"But the point that I was leading up to was that \_\_\_\_\_"

"But turning back to the \_\_\_\_\_, I want to make some distinctions here which are often misunderstood."

"Now today I want to finish up \_\_\_\_\_ so we can get on to \_\_\_\_\_"

"Now are there any questions that we haven't resolved in the area of \_\_\_\_\_, especially on the . . ."

"But on the \_\_\_\_\_, for instance, . . ."

"The same thing took place with regard to \_\_\_\_\_"

"Now there are three great factors that have altered,"

"But by and large, we would have to state that \_\_\_\_\_"

"But because of these three things I have mentioned here we do find that \_\_\_\_\_ has occurred."

"The (pause) . . . situation isn't entirely new, I don't mean to say that \_\_\_\_\_"

"But in closing this part out, you will find that \_\_\_\_\_"

"Now once again for those of you who came in late, I . . ."

PROFESSOR "X"

"Well, we're ready to do \_\_\_\_\_!"

"The \_\_\_\_\_ I was talking about is on page 35, 'did I read it to you?'"

"Okay, any other reactions to \_\_\_\_\_?"

"Any other things about \_\_\_\_\_?"

"Okay: \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_."

"\_\_\_\_\_, is another thing I wanted to include too, . . ."

"Now tell me something about \_\_\_\_\_"

"\_\_\_\_\_, the other thing I wanted to mention, . . ."

"Well, I haven't got time to go into this but, \_\_\_\_\_"

"In other words, if you want to raise the question, \_\_\_\_\_, . . ."

"Now, what about \_\_\_\_\_, . . . How is \_\_\_\_\_ mentioned in this book?"

"A couple of things, first of all \_\_\_\_\_, . . ."

"At any rate all I was trying to say was if you've ever seen a \_\_\_\_\_, . . ."

PROFESSOR "Y"

"It was pointed out, pointedly, last time that \_\_\_\_\_"

"Uh, this (hardout) is from \_\_\_\_\_"

"I guess it would be good probably to talk about \_\_\_\_\_"

"Uh, so what's happening here in terms of \_\_\_\_\_?"

"There's one interesting thing here too, \_\_\_\_\_"

"Also this business also brings up something that I wanted to mention last time but forgot to bring up, \_\_\_\_\_"

"So I guess that the major things this illustrates are \_\_\_\_\_"

"Along that same line \_\_\_\_\_ is \_\_\_\_\_"

"Um, so . . . I guess I should have numbered this because \_\_\_\_\_"

"Another thing I've noticed about this is \_\_\_\_\_"

"So, how would you feel about \_\_\_\_\_?"

"So, is it all right if I talk about \_\_\_\_\_ now?"

"There are two main \_\_\_\_\_ I'm using \_\_\_\_\_, . . ."

"I guess the last thing I was talking about last time was \_\_\_\_\_"

"Well, \_\_\_\_\_ is something that \_\_\_\_\_"

"Although . . . well no, . . . I guess that's later (drops unIntroduced topic before ever introducing it)

"The second \_\_\_\_\_ I talked about is \_\_\_\_\_"

"Although I should mention that \_\_\_\_\_"

"Um, oh, here are some other \_\_\_\_\_"

"And I'll mention this later but there are also \_\_\_\_\_"

"Also, um, another kind of \_\_\_\_\_ would be \_\_\_\_\_"

"This whole thing about \_\_\_\_\_ also applies to \_\_\_\_\_"

"Oh, and that reminds me of \_\_\_\_\_"

"Uhm, the \_\_\_\_\_ is also a case of \_\_\_\_\_"

"Then there is another form of \_\_\_\_\_"

"Some of this of course depends on \_\_\_\_\_"

"There's a . . . One thing I should mention is \_\_\_\_\_"

"This kinda ties in with \_\_\_\_\_ too"

"There are other things too, uh, \_\_\_\_\_"

"Uh, and also there are \_\_\_\_\_"

"But two different things are involved here: \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_"

"Oh! And another thing too about \_\_\_\_\_ is \_\_\_\_\_"



"There are also some other \_\_\_\_\_ revolving around \_\_\_\_\_"

"Okay, but before going into \_\_\_\_\_ it would be better to talk about \_\_\_\_\_"

"Oh, so here comes the inevitable, there are three \_\_\_\_\_"

"I guess I'll have to talk about \_\_\_\_\_ later" (glances at clock)

"First off, I'll begin with an announcement, . . ."

"It's pretty clear that there are \_\_\_\_\_ and also \_\_\_\_\_"

"Then there's also \_\_\_\_\_"

"As far as \_\_\_\_\_ go, . . ."

"In fact, what are some of the \_\_\_\_\_, . . ."

"And this is something I mentioned in the beginning too: \_\_\_\_\_"

"What this all comes down to actually is \_\_\_\_\_"

"And you find examples of this \_\_\_\_\_"

"Maybe some other examples of this too, . . ."

"Actually, in this respect . . ."

"Uhm, well here . . . another example of this too \_\_\_\_\_, let's say with \_\_\_\_\_, . . ."

"As far as \_\_\_\_\_ goes, . . ."

"One thing which is also true is \_\_\_\_\_"

"Another aspect of this too would be \_\_\_\_\_"

"There are some other aspects of this too, \_\_\_\_\_"

"I have an anecdote to start with which illustrates \_\_\_\_\_,"

"Um, okay, I guess today would be the day to talk about \_\_\_\_\_"

"Does anybody have any comments on \_\_\_\_\_?"

"An interesting thing though, I don't think I mentioned this before but, \_\_\_\_\_"

"Oh that whole thing with \_\_\_\_\_? Well . . . (expands on topic)

"I've noticed though, that \_\_\_\_\_, . . ."

"Also, this seems to be a point of \_\_\_\_\_ now too."

"Although I hear that in \_\_\_\_\_ it's even more . . ."

"Um, . . . \_\_\_\_\_"

"Well, actually here's another one where . . . and it's \_\_\_\_\_"

"Um, it was kind of intriguing that the . . . was \_\_\_\_\_"

"This is slightly . . . but it's something that was brought up in . . . it's that \_\_\_\_\_"

"There was an interesting footnote, but I don't know whether you read this or not, in the article that brought up \_\_\_\_\_, . . ."

"Oh, a little later it struck me, though, that \_\_\_\_\_"

PROFESSOR "Z"

"I did mention at some point that, uh, \_\_\_\_\_"

"Um, and this is interesting because more or less the general conclusion is that \_\_\_\_\_"

"So that's why \_\_\_\_\_"

"Um the, uh, this is a class about \_\_\_\_\_ and more or less we've hit all the major aspects of \_\_\_\_\_"

"If you look at \_\_\_\_\_ as a \_\_\_\_\_, . . ."

"Um, there are several reasons why \_\_\_\_\_ hasn't come up so far and one is \_\_\_\_\_"

"Now. Within the study of \_\_\_\_\_ that was looked at, as . . ."

"Now. One problem with \_\_\_\_\_ is, . . ."

"You will remember that \_\_\_\_\_ is a device that . . ."

"Remember we ta-- . . . Did we talk about \_\_\_\_\_ as a part of the \_\_\_\_\_?"

"Any more you wanna say about that?" . . . Okay we talked about \_\_\_\_\_ last time. Did I tell you about \_\_\_\_\_?"

"This \_\_\_\_\_ (holds up handout) we didn't talk about this, right?"

(in midst of a paragraph a topic is named) "\_\_\_\_\_." (looks at watch,) . . . Which is what we're gonna talk about today? . . . (continues old topic)

"Anny-waay, . . . (I'm going to change my name to Sammy Sidetrack -- you'd never know we came here to talk about \_\_\_\_\_) . . ."

"Let's knock this class off now and if any of you have any questions you can come up front here and . . ."

"Got any questions about \_\_\_\_\_? Okay let's talk about \_\_\_\_\_."

"That is to say, what is the origin of \_\_\_\_\_,"

"Okay, let's just run through some of the \_\_\_\_\_"

"And so! (pause) . . . We are talking about \_\_\_\_\_, and we just finished \_\_\_\_\_"

"Now on the other end of things (pause) . . . well, before we look at that, let's look at \_\_\_\_\_"

"Now the traditional way of looking at \_\_\_\_\_ is, . . ."

"The next stage involves \_\_\_\_\_"

"Um, . . . the same thing happens when \_\_\_\_\_"

"All right, there's all kinds of stuff about \_\_\_\_\_"

"Did we talk about the issue of \_\_\_\_\_?"

"Another thing you'll notice about \_\_\_\_\_ is . . ."

"So, you've got this, uh, oh what would be a good analogy? . . . Oh, well, . . ."

"Why are we talkin' about that? . . . Oh, so the \_\_\_\_\_ is . . ."

"Uh, but ya know there's a lot of that just to \_\_\_\_\_ . . ."

"Uhm. Very difficult to \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ is particularly \_\_\_\_\_ because . . ."

"The \_\_\_\_\_ has had a kind of funny history, it . . ."

"Now that won't happen unless \_\_\_\_\_"

"Um, well this is all by the way of setting up a connection of \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_"

"And the question is, \_\_\_\_\_"

"Uh, \_\_\_\_\_ is a whole separate bag of worms from \_\_\_\_\_"

"Uhm, and interestingly enough, I think, is the \_\_\_\_\_"

"Uh, ya know, more of the \_\_\_\_\_ marbles have gone away from \_\_\_\_\_ and into \_\_\_\_\_"

"Any comments or questions on \_\_\_\_\_?"

"That's about all we're gonna say about \_\_\_\_\_"

"Next time we're gonna talk about \_\_\_\_\_"

"Um, anyway, notice that even \_\_\_\_\_ . . ."

"And what developed out of that was what we refer to as \_\_\_\_\_"

"So really the thing that kicked off the field of \_\_\_\_\_, "

"So its really a losing notion to talk about \_\_\_\_\_ as opposed to \_\_\_\_\_"

"The obvious thing about \_\_\_\_\_ is"

"Uh, it is of course true that \_\_\_\_\_, but . . ."

"So you no doubt recall that \_\_\_\_\_"

"So really the way we approached \_\_\_\_\_ was . . ."

"That's why in \_\_\_\_\_"

"You don't wanna talk about \_\_\_\_\_, do ya?"

"Okay, let's talk about \_\_\_\_\_ instead"

"Okay? . . . All right so . . . for point one of \_\_\_\_\_, . . ."

"Okay and that's what you would do for \_\_\_\_\_"

"Looking back at the \_\_\_\_\_ problems we did, do you have any unanswered questions or unresolved issues?"

"Okay so, look at the \_\_\_\_\_, do you have any questions about that?"

"Okay? (pause) . . . Um. At some point in the field of \_\_\_\_\_ there was a \_\_\_\_\_"

"Just as in dealing with \_\_\_\_\_ it is . . ."

"Shall we move on to bigger and better things?"

"Also, notice about \_\_\_\_\_ that . . ."

"Okay, so there's been a shift in emphasis from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_"

"Uhm, very interesting to the field of \_\_\_\_\_ is how \_\_\_\_\_."