DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 126 865

IR 003 770

AUTHOR Lasker Harry

TITLE The Jamaican Project. Final Report.

INSTITUTION Children's Television Workshop, New York, N.Y. SPONS AGENCY John and Mary R. Markle Foundation, New York, N.Y.:

Ken Poundation, Inc., New York, N.Y.

PUB DATE [73]

NOTE 136p.: Not available in hard copy due to marginal

legibility of original document

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 Plus Postage. HC Not Available from EDRS.

DESCRIPTORS Animation; *Attention; Childhood Interests; Children;

Cross Cultural Studies: *Educational Television; Production Techniques: *Rural Areas: Television Research: *Television Viewing: Video Cassette

Systems

IDENTIFIERS Childrens Television Workshop: *Jamaica: *Sesame

Street

ABSTRACT

In 1972, a study was undertaken to test the reactions of media-inexperienced children in the Jamaican highlands to their first exposure to video-cassette-delivered episodes of "Sesame Street." Children were randomly selected from three different age groups: three to five-year-olds, six to eight-year-olds, and nine to eleven-year-olds. Groups of 15 age-grouped children were placed in a viewing room with a television monitor and with a distractor unit which flashed slides every eight seconds. Children's reactions were video-taped and coded into indices of distraction for each program segment. Data were then analyzed according to overall attention patterns and attention as a function of program structure, age of subjects, and viewing week. This report describes in detail the methodology employed and results obtained. (EMH)



U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EOUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EOUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY SCOPE OF INTEREST NOTICE

The ERIC Facility has assigned this document for processing to:

PS

In our judgement, this document is also of interest to the clearinghouses noted to the right. Indexing should reflect their special points of view.

THE JAMAICAN PROJECT

Final Report

This document was processed for the ERIC Document Reproduction Service by the ERIC Clearinghouse at Stanford. We are aware that some pages probably will not be readable in microfiche arin Herdeny form. However, this is the best available copy, and we feel that the document should not be withheld from interested readers on the basis of these unreadable pages alone.

Harry M. Lasker

Center for Research in Children's Television

Harvard University

This study was made possible by grants from the Ken Foundation and the John and Mary Markle Foundation.

Acknowledgements

The Jamaican project was made possible through the joint activities of several organizations. The Ken Foundation and the John and Mary Markle Roundation provided research grants to fund field operations and data analysis. The Sony Corporation and the Suzuki Corporation provided the mobile video units, as well as other equipment and staff assistance in the field. The Children's Television Workshop provided video educational material and staff assistance in project coordination and supervision. Finally, the Center for Research in Children's Television, Harvard University, was responsible for the scoring and analysis of attention data from Jamaica.

The success of this project depended in great measure on the active cooperation of several organizations in Jamaica. The Ministry of Education supervised the project's field operations. The Office of the Prime Minister, Ministry of Labor, and Ministry of Youth and Development provided general support for the project. The Jamaican Information Service provided technical assistance and staff support for the mobile units. The Jamaican Broadcasting Service facilitated equipment shipping and storage, and provided overall project assistance. The Department of Education, University of the West Indies, contributed technical advice and support. Finally, the teaching staffs at St. Peter's, Hall's Delight, Tower Hill, Hagley Gap, and Ness Castle provided tireless assistance in collection of data.

There are scores of individuals who contributed to the Jamaican project. We can only name some of them here. We are particularly indebted to the following Jamaicans for their support: Mr. Clifton Smith, Ministry of Education; Mr. Kenneth Williams, Jamaican Information Service; Mr. Carey

Robinson, Mr. Laurie Stewart, Jamaican Broadcasting Service; Mr. Kelley, Principal, Clifton All-Ages School; Dr. Dennis Craig, Department of Education, University of the West Indies.

deserves special recognition. They had to cope with a wide range of technical and organizational problems which inevitably arise in the field.

The success of the project rests largely with the ingenuity, persistence, and energy which they displayed. Most central in the field operations was Mr. Evans Whilby, project manager in Jamaica, without whom field activities would have been mearly impossible. Mr. Teruya Abe, International Division, Sony Corporation, provided invaluable technical and organizational assistance in the field. Mr. Kenichi Aoyegi and Mr. Jusaku Seki, Suzuki Corporation, contributed technical support for the mobile unit which as critical for the project. Francis and Wilfred Walker of the Jamaican Broadcasting Service helped the team organize in villages and transport equipment between yillages.

At the Children's Television Workshop, Ms. Sarah Frank provided remarkable assistance and patience in coordinating project support and New York-based activities. Dr. Edward Palmer and Dr. Gerald Lesser provided technical guidance on the organization of the project and the collection of data. Mr. Michael Dann coordinated the initial launching of the project and maintained project supervision throughout. Mr. Robert Hatch and Mr. Stuart Aubrey assisted in the photograph documenting and the general press releases associated with the project.

Finally, at the Center for Research in Children's Television, special thanks go to Ms. Susan Futterman who coordinated data scoring and was central in the development of the attribute scoring system used in this report.

Mr. Robert Rosa also provided extensive assistance in the coordination and

execution of data scoring.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	•		; • •		* .	Page
			•	-	•	•
Part	I.	Introduction			•	1
	1.0	Background				. 1
		The Jamaican Site				
		The Methodology for Study				. 7
	4.0 8	Structure of the Report	• • • • • •			. 8
Part	II.	General Attention Patter	ns	٧	e e	
	100	General Attention Pattern		•		. 10
		l Overall attention by a				
•		2 Overall attention by w				
		e overall addension by "	. /	• • •	• • •	
	2.0 I	Differences in Attention				13
	-	Variables				•
		l Attention by quality o				
		2 Attention by type of p				
	2.3	3 Attention differences	by character	• • • .		. 15
,		4 Attention by other bit				
	2.5	5 Interaction of program	attributes .	• • •	• • •	. 17
	3.0. 1	Basic Differences by Age		,		. 19
•		l Age and program qualit				
		2 Age and type of progra				
		3 Age and attention by p				
		Age and duration of bi				
		5 More complex breakdown				
٠.						.,
		General Attention by Week				
		l Viewing week and type				
•		2 Viewing week and progr				
•	<i>P</i> (• -	3 Viewing week and durat	ion of bit.	• • •	• •: •	. 26`
Part	III.	Differences in Attention	by Age			. 27
•						
		Introduction		i		. 27
	1.3	l A priori attributes	• • • • • •	• • •	• • •	. 29
	2.0 /	Attributes Relating to So	und			. 37
		Music				37
-	2.2					. 38
•		3 Number of voices				. 38
	2.1					. 39
	2.5	-VF				39
	2.6			• • •		40
	2.			:		40
	2.8		•	• • •	(41
	2.9		·			42

		rap	<u>_</u>
	3.0 At	ributes Relating to Visual Track 43	j
	3.1	Figure/ground contrast 43)
	3.2	Large movement on screen 44	
	3.3	Setting ,	
		Visual mode 44	
	ال ۱۰ ۲۰ ۸۰	ributes Relating to Character on Screen 45	
_	4.0 AU		
=	–		
	1.2		
	1, 1,		
•	4.4	Kind of character 47	
		ributes Relating to Content and Integration of	
		isual and Verbal Track 47	
		Curriculum content	
	5.2	Visual noise 48	
	5.3	Integration of visual and sound track 50	
	6.0 At	ributes Relating to format of presentation 51	
		Race	
	6.2	Humor	
	6.3	Affect	
	6.4	Presentation of goal	
-		Bit duration	
-	7.0 Su	mary of Attention Pattern by Age 54	
	1.0 00	ady of Attention fattern by Age	
Part	IV. Co	clusions	
Part	V. Ta	les	



TABLES

Table	<u>:</u>	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	•	Overall Attention by Age Group
2		Variation in Attention by Week of Viewing (All Ages Combined)
2 a	`#	Overall Attention by Week of Viewing for Different Age Groups
3		Overall Attention by Type of Program (All Ages Combined)
4		Overall Attention by Type of Program Segment
.5	2	Overall Attention by Principal Character in Bit (All Ages Combined)
•6		Overall Attention by Program Quartile (All Ages Combined)
7		Overall Attention by Durâtion of Program Bit (All Ages Combined)
8	٠.	Overall Attention by Centrality of Music (All Ages Combined)
9.		Overall Attention by Centrality of Visual Effects (All Ages Combine
10		Overall Attention by Type of Program Segment and Program Quartile (All Ages Combined)
-11		Overall Attention by Duration of Bit and Type of Segment
12	9	Overall Attention by Bit Duration and Program Quartile (All Ages Combined)
13		Attention by Age and Program Quality
14		Attention by Age and Type of Program Segment
15 .		Attention by Age and Program Quartile
16	. ·	Attention by Age and Duration of Bit
· 16a		Attention by Age of Viewers, Bit Duration and Program Quartile (Analysis of Variance)
17		Overall Attention by Type of Program Segment and Week of Viewing (All Ages Combined)
18		Overall Attention by Viewing Week and Program Quartile (All Ages Combined)
19		Overall Attention by Viewing Week and Duration of Bit (All Ages Combined)
20	,	Attention by Age of Viewers, Type of Program Segment and Week of Viewing (Analysis of Variance)

<u> Pable</u>	+
45"	Attention by Curriculum Area for Different Age Groups
46	Attention by Nature of Affect for Different Age Groups
47	Attention by Visual Noise for Different Age Groups .
48	Attention by Visual Clarity for Different Age Groups
49	Attention by Integration of Visual and Sound for Differen Age Groups
50	Attention by Pace for Different Age Groups
51	Attention by Intensity of Humon for Different Age Groups
52	Attention by 'Location' of Humor for Different Age Groups
53	Attention by Mode of Humor for Different Age Groups
54	Attention by Mode of Presentation of Goal for Different Age Groups
55	Attention by Direction of Speech for Different Age Groups
56	Attention by Bit Duration for Different Age Groups

Part I: : Introduction

1.0 Background

Every year television is becoming a more pervasive medium in the world. While it has frequently been used for entertainment, sophistication about the educational use of television also grows yearly. Increasingly, populations beyond the reach of television are beyond certain educational opportunities as well.

In 1972 the Sony-Suzuki Corporations had completed the develop ment of a remarkable system for bringing television to remote areas of the world beyond the reach of electricity or a broadcast signal. The Sony Corporation had developed a videocassette recorder, a portable machine which could play an hour-long color television program from a relatively indestructible plastic cassette. Cassettes seem ideally suited to uses in remote areas where they protect videotapes from damage by dust, heat, humidity, and general handling. The Suzuki Corporation had recently perfected a miniaturized jeep which could carry the videocassette system to the most inaccessible areas in mountainous or jungle terrains.

The development of this mobile videocassette system seemed to make possible the extension of television to remote areas. In order to assess the viability of the idea of mobile educational television in inaccessible areas, the Sony Corporation approached the Children's Television Workshop with the idea of a joint project in which Sesame Street would be shown in a remote area through the use of the Sony-Suzuki mobile-video Jimny unit. For the Sony and Suzuki Corporations, the project would afford an opportunity to field test their equipment and to observe the general reactions of villages to mobile television. For the Children's Television Workshop, the project offered a unique possibility: a chance to observe the reactions

2

to television of children who had never before, viewed it.

This opportunity was particularly interesting for CTW because it would allow the study of the attention patterns of children with low levels of "media literacy" - a capacity to interpret the meaning of visual techniques. Although over 50 countries now broadcast various versions of Sesame Street, its success abroad was completely unanticipated. The program was originally intended for an urban American audience: 3 to 5-year-olds in the inner -city. The program was intended to compete effectively with other types of television available on American channels: movies, cartoon shows, and other programs for children. To capture and hold attention, Sesame Street has purposely made use of every available television convention; in fact, the program has invented some. It employs a lexicon of television formats familiar to the experienced television viewer - zoom-ins, cuts, splitscreens, fade-outs, animation, stop-action, thought-balloons, as well as a number of less familiar techniques including chroma-key, rippled effects, electronic bridges, computer animation, pixillation, word-matting, and abstract animation.

For the American child these techniques do seem to grasp and hold attention. But what about the viewing habits, skills and "media literacy" of children in other countries currently showing the program? Does the program expect too much media sophistication? Does it assume a level of media literacy which children with less exposure to the media simply have not acquired? On the other hand, can children develop a sufficient media literacy to learn from the program? If so, how long does this take? These were important questions for CTW, which was then assisting in the cross-cultural adaptation of Sesame Street.

The mobile videocassette project seemed to offer a perfect package

for bringing television to remote areas which had never before viewed. The equipment seemed to offer an ideal opportunity to study systematically the reactions of inexperienced viewers to Sesame Street because the mobile jeep could also carry a camera which could videotape the reaction of children as they watched the program. Thus, CTW, Sony and Suzuki, with overlapping interests, undertook a project to show the program in a remote area, and videotape children watching television for the first time. This report describes what we learned about the reactions of children in remote areas to six weeks of viewing Sesame Street on a mobile videocassette unit.

2.0 The Jamaican Site. Our initial problem was to choose a country appropriate for the study. We wanted a country fairly close to the United States, an English-speaking country where Sesame Street had been shown in previous years and had been well received, yet one which had remote areas without television. Given these criteria, we selected Jamaica.

Jamaica was no stranger to Sesame Street. It was among the first foreign countries to broadcast the program. Today Sesame Street is among the most widely viewed and popular programs on Jamaican television. Yet Jamaica has large pockets scattered throughout the country that are beyond the reach of television. In some of these areas the television signal is eclipsed by tall mountains. In others, electrical power lines have not yet penetrated, even though a signal could be received.

One of the more spectacular areas of non-reception is the Blue

Mountains to the east of Kingston. This area of steep, rugged mountains

that rise to 7,000 feet, lies within 30 miles of Kingston, the capital.

Remote villages perch high atop mountainous ridges and overlook precipitous mountain gorges which drop hundreds of feet to the valley floor below.

The towns are accessible only on winding dirt roads which swollen rivers make impassable during the rainy season. Homes in the villages themselves

are sprinkled over a wide area. Children reportedly walk as far as 10 miles a day to the school. Pine trees, banana trees, coffee bushes and poinsettias stretch upward in a silence broken only by the echoing born blasts of trucks negotiating hairpin turns. Here was a remote site well—suited for the proposed experiment.

With the assistance of the Jamaican Government, the Ministry of Education, the Jamaican Information Service, and the Jamaican Broadcasting Corporation, we selected five villages in which we would operate. Most of the children Mad never viewed television and had rarely seen films. However, electric power was scheduled to reach the villages soon, so we felt they would not be tantalized by something they could never see again.

We set up mobile sites in four of these villages where we would not systematically observe children watching the programs. At the fifth village we arranged a stationary site where we set up a rather complex system to allow us to make videotapes of the children as they watched. Sesame Street.

The stationary site offered the best possibility to generate data about how inexperienced television viewers react to different types of program material. Our methodology here was rather simple. By mounting a videotape camera above the television set, we could have a permanent record of the children's attention patterns as they watched the program. Rather than attempting to make scorable observations in the field, the videotape records could be scored more carefully back at the Center for Research in Children's Television at the Graduate School of Education, Harvard University.

The children to be videotaped were chosen randomly from three different age groups: 3 to 5-year-olds, 6 to 8-year-olds and 9 to 11-year-olds.

Children watched the program with their own the mates in groups of 15.

They watched in a special room which was unsupervised and they were told they could do whatever they wished. In the front of the room we had set up two viewing alternatives: the television monitor, and a distractor unit which flashed interesting slides every eight seconds. Thus, children's attention purposely would be distracted from the television screen in several ways: they could watch the distractor unit instead of the television; they could talk with each other; they could get up and move around if they so wished. Out interest was to see, under these conditions, how much attention children paid to the television.

One of the unique aspects of <u>Sesame Street</u> is that each program is composed of 40 td 50 programing sections. Each part concerns iteself with a specific program goal, and can employ a wide variety of special effects. Sections vary in terms of characters involved, overall technique, length, pacing, visual effects, setting, and special devices employed such as music or humor. Some sections are filmed, others are animated. Some are videotaped on the "street" set, others involve the muppets - a particular puppet unique to the program. In all, about 800 sections, involving various combinations of these factors, were shown to the children in Jamaica. By studying how the pattern of attention varied across the different sections, we hope to come to a better understanding of exactly which elements or attributes make a particular section appealing to inexperienced viewers.

This was the overall plan we had for the project, but to bring it into reality required the commitment and ingenuity of many Jamaicans. We were fortunate to have full cooperation and support of the Ministry of Education, the Jamaican Information Service, the Jamaican Broadcasting

Corporation and the Office of the Prime Minister. Many of these organizations offered manpower and supervisory guidance in helping us to execute the project. Field operations were under the competent guidance of a Jamaican graduate student from the Graduate School of Education, Evans Whilby, who coordinated activities in Kingston and the villages. We enjoyed substantial support from school principals and teachers in selected villages, and they helped us to enlist the support of parents and children in the communities.

In October, 1972, with the administrative groundwork properly laid, the equipment and technical experts from Japan arrived to initiate the experiment. The two mobile units proved to be much more remarkable than we had anticipated. The miniature size of the jeep was striking; yet it proved rugged and strong, capable of climbing any mountain road we attempted. By the end of October, with a unique team comprised of Jamaicans, Japanese, and Americans we began making showings in the stationary and mobile sites over a period of six weeks.

The children's reactions to the initial viewings were predictable, but delightful. Their faces during their first half hour of viewing showed a bewildering assortment of expressions, ranging from mystification to surprise, delight, and excitement. Yet these extreme expressions quickly settled into a normal pattern of general interest. Rather than studying expressions, however, our concern was to measure quite exactly the attention patterns as they emerged over time.

Despite initial problems with setting up the equipment, the experiment at the stationary site proceeded quite smoothly over the six weeks of the experiment. At the end of the project it was clear that our intervention, particularly at the stationary site, had whetted such an appetite for television that we felt obligated to leave a viewing capacity at sites

7

where we had done substantial showing. Thus, for example, at the stationary site we left a generator and a television so that children could continue viewing after the experiment was over. Additional equipment and mobile vehicles were given to the Prime Minister's office at the end of the experiment.

Approximately 100 hours of videotapes of the three different age groups were shipped back to the United States for analysis at the Center for Research in Children's Television, Harvard University. There, the exact attention levels of children to each programming part were precisely scored.

scoring the tapes follows the Children's Television Workshop technique of creating a "distractor graph" for each program. Such a graph shows the attention of a group of children every few seconds as it fluctuates up and down through the entire span of the program. By dividing the distractor graph for a program into sections, it is possible to determine which parts are relatively effective in creating and holding attention. By systematically separating effective sections from the ineffective ones, we can begin to determine which of the various television conventions appear to be associated with high attention for inexperienced viewers.

recorded shown in Jamaica. This was a tedious undertaking. Our technique was to stop the videotapes every 10 seconds and, by keeping a stop-action picture on the screen, to score exactly what each child was doing. For an hour's videotape this meant scoring 360 different moments within each program for each of the 15 children, or a total of 5,400 scores for each tape. For our complete complement of tapes this meant generating nearly a half-million scores.

Clearly, the generation of such a large number of individual children's attention scores constituted a nearly unmanageable mass of data. For the analysis described in this report, these half million individual child-mement attention scores were collapsed in the following way. We first created a group attention score for every observation moment in particular bits. This group attention score was constructed by calculating the percentage of children watching the program out of a group of children at any given observation point. For example, an attention rating of 66.6 for a group of 15 children would mean that at the observation moment, 10 children had their eyes on the screen while 5 did not.

These group attention scores were then further collapsed to create overall bit-attention scores. For example, if a bit lasted exactly one minute it would have six 10-second observation moments within it and, therefore, six group attention scores. These six scores would be averaged to derive a total bit-group attention score. The analysis in this report is primarily based upon the bit-group attention score. Since we showed for some groups programs five days a week for six weeks, we have for some groups 30 programs. An average program contains approximately 40 bits so that for some groups we have as many as 1200 bit-group attention scores.

While the collapsing of data into bit-group attention scores admittedly loses some of the finer distinctions within the data, it does make analysis of the data much more manageable. As we shall see in the following section, even the use of the general bit-group attention score produces large numbers of fascinating trends.

4.0 Structure of the report

In sections which follow we report the results of the analysis of attention patterns for the Jamaican children. In the second section we will

explore general attention patterns for all age groups combined. Here we will look at basic trends such as attention by viewing week and program qualities. In the third section we shall examine age differences in ttention more closely. As a vehicle for this comparison we will use a set of attributes which distinguish bits from one another. These attributes relate to a wide range of qualities of the bit: how sound is used, the use of visual effects, the characters and setting, content, and format of presentation. The attributes are the basis of testing for age-specific differences in attention. A final section summarizes the major trends we have identified in the attention studies, as well as major conclusions from the Jamaican project itself.

:1.0 General attention patterns.

There are two general variables which are most basic in this study:

age and viewing week. 'Age' refers to the age of the viewing audience, while

'week' refers to the week during which the program was viewed. There were

three age groups in this study: Basic School students, first and second

graders, and third and fourth graders. Similarly, there were four weeks of

non-repeated viewing. In this initial section we will examine general

differences in attention. First, we shall see how attention varied by age

groups when combined over all viewing weeks. Then we will explore attention

by viewing week for all age groups combined.

1.1 Overall attention by are. Table 1 shows that there are overall significant differences in attention between the three age groups. Basic School students are significantly lower in attention than are older children; however, there is no significant difference in attention between the two older groups.

On the surface, this may seem somewhat surprising since Sesame Street is intended for the youngest age group. The findings vould tend to suggest two possible types of interpretations: (1) the program is more appealing to older children and/or (2) older children have a greater capacity to be attentive to a television program. The greater variability in the attention of the Basic School children tends to suggest that at least the latter interpretation may be true. Younger children fluctuate more in attention and may not be able to sustain high levels of attention over long periods of time. This may be especially true in the first weeks of viewing as we shall see in a later section.

1.2 Overall attention by week. The majority of the children in the study viewed television over a six-week period. During the last two

weeks, children in Groups II and III repeated shows that they saw earlier. Table 2 summarizes the overall attention scores by the particular week of viewing. There are clearly differences in attention level by week. Initially, attention is high, but it drops during the second week to its lowest point. In the third week it proceeds to build reaching approximately its original level of Week 1 by the fourth week. During the two repeat weeks overall attention is higher than in the initial week.

This curvilinear pattern suggests that two different effects may be operating. The first is a <u>novelty effect</u>. The sheer newness and peculiarity of television seems to be responsible for exceptionally high attention the first week. However, the novelty of television seems rapidly to wear off. By the second week, after only five hours of viewing, attention has already hit its lowest point. This rapid accommodation is interesting. Apparent-. Ty the child viewers are very adaptable to the new medium of television for it held their extreme attention for only a few days.

The second effect seems to be operating in the gradual growth of attention from its overall low point during the second week. Once the novelty has worn off, it appears that there is a growing capacity to attend to the television again. It is unclear what causes this growth effect. One possibility is that children develop a greater capacity to sit still for an entire hour and pay attention to television. Another equally plausible possibility is that the Jamaican children began to comprehend more about the Sesame Street program as their expectations about its format and the characters became more crystallized. These increasingly firm expectations about the nature of the program could have a positive influence on general attention as the program became more predictable. Whatever its cause,

'growth effects' are observable in most groups' attention patterns.

Between-week comparisons show that despite the initial high level of attention when the 'novelty effect' was likely to be most strong, the viewing of repeat programs during the last two weeks produced substantially higher levels of attention than those obtained during the height of the novelty effect. Children, once they had viewed for several weeks, pard ... more attention to a program the second time they saw it. This pattern suggests that a capacity to predict what will happen in the program enhances attention. Certainly there is little evidence that the appeal of material declines with the second viewing.

broken down by three viewing age groups. Unfortunately as noted earlier, the Basic School children viewed the material for only two weeks. Their attention patterns did not tend to show the intense novelty effects of older groups. Since there was not subsequent viewing for the youngest group, it was impossible to determine whether their attention would show growth effects. First- and second-graders show the curvilinear pattern of attention most strongly of the three viewing groups. Both the 'novelty effects' and 'growth effects' are strongest in this group.

While the oldest group does show a drop in attention after the first week, it is smaller than that of the first- and second-graders. Unlike other groups, from the second week on , the oldest group does not show any appreciable 'growth effects.' Attention seems to stabilize the second week and does not grow over time. This stability in attention appears associated with other variables that will be explored in later sections.

2.0 Differences in attention by general program variables.

Besides varying by age and week of viewing, attention patterns of the children in this sample appear to be linked to general program variables. In this section we will identify some of the attention patterns for all age groups combined in regards to such program attributes as quality of program, type of segment, type of characters, program quartile and duration of segment.

general aspect of the program which the children could respond to was the overall quality. On the basis of distractorgraph data in the United States the Sesame Street research staff identified several types of programs for use in Jamaica. Five 'good' and five 'poor' programs were selected on the basis of extreme attention scores with American subjects. 'Special' programs were identified which made heavy use of special visual effects.

Finally, two 'experimental' programs were specially developed which contained the more sophisticated and abstract material that had appeared in Sesame Street. Bits were chosen when they employed special visual effects, such as pixillation, matting, chroma-key, etc., which would theoretically require a relatively high degree of media sophistication to comprehend. These bits were edited together to have a general appearance of a regular Sesame Street program.

Table 3 shows that there were significant differences in attention to the four types of programs. These differences suggest children are responsive to different types of program quality. To our fascination programs classified as 'good' actually received significantly higher levels of attention

14.

than did 'poor' programs. Apparently a rural group of Jamaican children with little or no media experience could distinguish between programs termed 'good' and 'poor' in the United States. It would appear that children of distinctly different cultural, economic, and geographic backgrounds, with substantial differences in media exposure, show broad similarities in attention to program quality.

Somewhat to our amazement, 'experimental' programs containing the most sophisticated visual techniques and abstractions available in Scsame

Street received significantly higher attention than did the regular programs ('good' and 'poor' programs combined). Furthermore, the 'experimental' programs received substantially higher attention than the 'good' programs alone. While these results do not indicate whether children comprehended all the materials in the 'experimental' programs, the sephisticated media techniques clearly seem to dramatically influence attention.

2.2 <u>Differences in attention by type of program material. Sesame Street</u> contains numerous types of bits. Some segments are filmed, while others are animated. Some videotaped bits are in a limbo' with a blank studio background. Still others involve Muppets, either alone or in interaction with people on the Street. These segments entail different types of locations, characters and reality. To that extent they may stimulate distinct attention patterns. In this study we considered six types of program material: film, animation, scenes shot on the Street without Muppets, Street scenes with Muppets (either Big Bird or Oscar), Muppet segments, and studio pieces videotaped in limbo. Table 4 shows that there are in fact substantial differences in attention to these types of program segments. In terms of mean attention across viewing groups the six categories rank as follows:

(1) film; (2) studio limbo; (3) animation; (4) Street scenes; (5) Street scenes with Muppets; (6) Muopet bits.

These rankings are somewhat surprising, since the Muppets score particularly low. Unlike attention patterns in the United States, bits involving only Muppets are significantly lower in attention than every other type of program material. Even in Street scenes, however, the appeal of Muppets appears to be enhanced when they interact with other live characters. This may give us an initial clue in interpreting the strikingly low attention which Muppets receive.

2.3 Attention differences by character. The lack of general appeal of the Muppets is made all the more peculiar by the fact that particular age groups pay substantial amounts of attention to particular Muppet characters. Table 5 indicates that of the principal Muppet characters, Oscar receives most attention, followed by any Muppets, Cookie Monster, Big Bird, Grover, Kerwit, and Bert and Ernie. These patterns suggest that the inattention to the Muppets is not a general phenomenon. Children do distinguish between particular Muppet characters, and those that tend to be most popular in the United States (Big Bird, Cookie Monster, and Oscar) are also more appealing to the Jamaican children. The differences in attention rank do tend to suggest one possible clue to the general lack of appeal of the Muppets in Jamaica. The most popular characters, Big Bird, Cookie, and Oscar, are creatures and not humans. They tend to talk less than other Muppets such as Grover, Bert, and Ernie. Often the dramatic action of the popular characters is more child-like and more visually obvious (e.g., Cookie's stealing of food). Finally, the more popular Muppets tend to interact more with human characters. These patterns suggest that

children might be responding to such variables as reality of the character, degree of visual clarity of the Muppet's behavior, and degree of verbality of the Muppet character.

tional differences in attention associated with other fundamental bit qualities. Table 6 shows that there are significant variations in attention to bits within particular quartiles of the program. When all age groups are combined there is a general decline in attention in each successive program quartile. Strongest declines are between the second and third quartiles. This decline is not as strong in other samples used by the Workshop and may well be associated with an inability to watch a full hour of television on the part of the viewer with little media experience. As we shall see in later sections, declining attention by quartile is stronger in some age groups and shows signs of shifting over time as media exposure grows.

Not surprisingly, attention also varies with the duration of particular bits. For all viewing groups combined, attention drops significantly when bits are more than three minutes in duration (see Table 7). This pattern shows interesting parallels to the widely held producer's belief that segments over three minutes cannot sustain attention. Evidence we will consider later suggests that discrimination of bit duration grows over time and becomes an increasingly significant determinant of overall attention.

Other general bit attributes also influence attention for the three viewing groups combined. The presence of music which is incidental but not central to the bit enhances attention significantly in the combined groups (Table 8). Attention patterns are the reverse for general visual effects. Table 9 shows that attention is greatest when visual effects such as pixillation, chroma-key, matting, computer animation, etc.) are

central to the bit.

2.5 <u>Interaction of program attributes</u>. We have seen that certain segment characteristics such as program quality, type of characters, bit position, duration, music and visual effects do influence attention in all viewing groups combined. However, we have examined

their impact singly. How do these attributes act together? From a statistical point of view, is there interaction between program attributes? Do effect 'A' and effect 'B' combine to produce a third effect 'AB' which is distinct from the original two effects. For example, it is possible that the type of segment (film, animation, street scene, Muppet bit, studio piece, etc.) could interact with position within the program. If this were the case, we might expect one type of material to do better in the beginning of the program while another type might sustain attention better at the end. Table 10 shows a cross-tabulation of average attention scores for various types of program material in particular quartiles of the program. It is clear that while position and type of material are by themselves important variables in determining level of appeal, there is no statistically significant interaction between the two dimensions. That is, there is not substantial variation in appeal of a particular type of material when it appears in a particular quartile of the program.

The attention to bits drops from an average of 84 percent during the first quarter of the program to an average of 71 percent during the last quarter, a decline of 13 percent. Of the particular types of programming material, animation drops least (8 percent) while the Muppets decline the most (17 percent). As noted earlier, the bulk of this decline in attention occurs within the first half of the program; attention remains relatively stable after that point.

Material and its duration. An example of a significant interplay here might be that particular types of bits would have higher appeal when done in short versions, while other types would have highest attention when produced in a larger format. Table 11 shows the interaction between duration and type of bit. Again, we see that the first-order effects are strongly significant, but that the interaction is mild and not noteworthy. Apparently type of material and duration are attributes that operate independently on attention in this sample.

Despite the lack of interaction of duration and type of bit, there is one pattern worth noting (Table 11). When all bits are considered, the average attention is highest for the bits under one minute, 79.5 percent. As duration of the bit increases, attention generally declines. Bits more than three minutes in duration have an average attention of 71.5 percent. Of the various types of program material, all of them show a decline in attention with increasing duration of the bit, except one: animation. Here, attention patterns are reversed. The shortest bits have the lowest attention (76.9 percent) and the longest bits have the highest attention (83.5 percent). Animation does not capture attention well when it is less than one minute in duration. Of the six types of program material, for the one-minute duration or less, animation ranks fifth; but for any longer period, it receives the highest attention when compared to other types of material. Apparently animation has the peculiar property of increasing appeal with increasing duration.

Other interaction patterns may exist, such as the interplay between bitduration and its position in program. Do bits of a particular duration

do differentially better in any particular quartile of the program? . Table 12 shows that this interaction is not at all significant. While short bits start with overall higher levels of attention than longer bits, they show a very comparable decline in attention from the beginning to the end of the program? As Table 12 shows, short bits (under one minute) in the first quartile of the program have an overall attention of 89.6 percent and in the fourth quartile 74.2 percent, a decline of approximate-19 15 percent/ Longer bits (over three minutes) in the first quartile of the program have an overall attention of 76.1 percent and in the fourth quartile 57.4 percent, a decline of approximately 19 percent. Thus, both short and long bits show approximately the same absolute drop in attention between the first and last quartiles of the program. As is true with the other basic bit attributes, the interaction is non-significant. Apparently primary attributes such as program quality, type of production; position within the program, and bit duration operate significantly but independently of each other in influencing attention.

3.0 Basic differences by age.

Up to this point e have considered overall attention patterns to the most basic attributes. In this section we will take a closer look at the data. While still considering the more fundamental bit attributes, we will examine how these program qualities affect attention in each age group: Basic School (Group I); first- and second-graders (Group II); and third- and fourth-graders (Group III). In doing this we will be able to break down overall attention patterns and explore how the basic attributes operate differentially in distinct age groups.

3.1 Age and program quality. As we have seen previously, there are substantial differences in attention by both age and quality of program,

when each is considered separately. When taken together, as in Table 13, both effects still prove to be highly significant and independent of one another. Furthermore, there is an important interaction between the two dimensions. Children of different ages respond differently to particular types of program. The Basic School children, for example, are most attentive to the 'good' programs, moderately attentive to the 'experimental' programs, and show little differentiation between programs rated as 'poor' and 'special' programs. The first- and second-graders, on the other hand, show very high attention to 'special' and 'experimental' programs, and much lower attention to the 'good' and 'poor' programs. Finally, the third- and fourth-graders show relatively comparable levels of attention to the 'good', 'poor', and 'special' programs, and substantially higher attention to the 'experimental' tapes.

In all three age groups, the lowest attention was paid to the programs rated 'poor,' However, only the older children (first-through fourth-graders) showed high responsiveness to the 'experimental' and 'special' tapes. In both cases, the older groups paid higher attention to the 'experimental' tapes than to the 'good' programs. These patterns suggest that attention to special visual techniques employed in the 'special' and 'experimental' programs tends to increase with age.

3.2 Age and type of program material. Table 14 presents the break-down of attention by age and type of program material. Apparently, type of material does not interact with age; children of different ages respond similarly to different types of programming material. Despite this fact, there are some trends in the data worth noting. The general gain in attention with age is approximately 8 percent; that is, third; and fourth-graders for all programs paid 8 percent higher attention than

the Bhsic School children. However, gains in attention by age are stronger for a few types of programming. Animation gains substantially more than other types of material with increasing age, a gain of 16 points. Nuppets also show differentially higher gains with increasing age of the audience. The bulk of the gains in attention to animation occur between the Basic School group and the first- and second, graders, whereas the gain in attention to Muppets is relatively continuous across age groups. While the overall pattern of changes in attention across age groups is not statistically significant, these trends point to higher responsiveness to animation and Muppets with increasing age. Both types of material involve higher levels of fantasy and abstraction because they entail non-human characters. Thus the patterns here parallel evidence that older children show more attention to more abstract visual material.

3.3 Age and attention by program quartiles. Attention continues to vary significantly across program quartile even when the sample is broken down by age. However, attention by program quartile shows significantly different patterns for particular age groups (Table 15). Basic School children show very high levels of attention to the first and second quarters of the program during which there is an attention decline of only 3.8 percent. However, between the second and third quartiles, there is a substantial drop in attention (11 percent), and an accelerated decline between the third and fourth quartiles (16 percent). Similarly, the first- and second-graders show high and relatively constant levels of attention during the first-half of the program. There is a decline of approximately 9 percent between the second and third quartiles, but attention seems to stabilize with only a 3 percent decline between last quartiles. Finally, the oldest group shows a moderate decline between

the first and second quartiles of the program, at which point attention tends to stabilize with moderate losses of roughly 2-3% between successive quartiles.

While all groups show substantially similar levels of attention during the first quarter of the program, there are substantial differences in attention during the last half. The youngest children show a marked inability to watch the entire program with high levels of attention. The oldest children, on the other hand, show substantial stability of attention after the first quarter. The attention pattern of the youngest group does differ from children of similar ages with more media exposure whose attention throughout the program tends to oscillate more than to decline steadily. The youngest Jamaican children may not yet have learned the television viewing skill of sitting for an entire hour to watch a static object. Apparently this 'ability' takes more than two weeks to develop.

3.4 Age and duration of bits. As noted earlier, attention varied by the overall duration of the bit. Bits one minute and under received the highest levels of attention while there were substantial declines of attention in bits of over three minutes. The drop in attention with increasing duration of a bit showed basically similar patterns across all age groups (Table 16). Two- to three-minute bits received roughly 4 to 6 percent less attention than shorter bits. On the other hand, segments longer than three minutes showed fairly regular declines of about 11 percent in attention. Thus, for all age groups the most substantial decline in attention occurred between the bits of up to three minutes and those which were longer.

23.

3.5 More complex breakdowns by age. It is possible to simultaneously compare the impact of quartile within the program, duration of the bit, and age of the viewer on the overall level of attention paid to a particular bit. In this comparison each of the major variables was tested to determine if its effect is significant above and beyond the variation explained by other effects. Table 16a shows that in this comparison all three major effects (age, duration of the bit, and position within the program); are independently significant in explaining the variation in attention. Of the various types of second- and third-order interactions among these variables, only one effect was significant. As we have seen in Table 15 this is the interaction between quartile of the program and age. The third-order effect between position within the program, duration of the bit, and age was not significant.

These results summarize only a few of the major bit attributes which could differ across age groups. The data do demonstrate that some of the basic qualities of particular bits (including overall quality, type of program material, position of the bit within the program, and bit duration) are responsible for attention differences in all age groups. Generally these attributes appear to affect attention similarly across age groups. Only one factor, position within the program, appears to operate differently in particular age groups.

Were shown Sesame Street over a period of six weeks. During the first four weeks children viewed a new program each day. In a final period equivalent to roughly one week of viewing, we repeated programs chosen at random from those that had been previously shown. Since the Jamaican

51

children had never before seen television, it is natural to expect their reactions to television and to Sesame Street to shift over time. Not only would we expect to find overall differences in attention by week, but we would expect the response of the children to particular attributes to shift over time as the viewers became more accustomed to television.

earlier, there is a general curvilinear attention pattern over the weeks of viewing. Attention generally was highest during the first week, dropped to its lowest point roughly in the second week, and climbed to approximately its original level by the fourth week. In many cases, the attention levels in the periods of repeated programs exceeded levels during the first week. This curvilinear pattern we have broken into represents a 'novelty effect' and a growth effect.'

While this model is undoubtedly simplistic, it may help shed some light on Table 17 which presents the six major types of hits and their level of attention by viewing week. For all viewing groups combined there is a significant interaction between week of viewing and type of material. Apparently, as time went on attention focused more closely on some types of material and declined for others. Almost all types of programming reach their lowest point of attention during the second week. Thus, for most types, the 'novelty effect' wore off in approximately one week's time. There are, however, some substantial deviations from this pattern. Those types of segments which had the highest level of appeal during the first week, i.e., film material and studio material, showed a more retarded decline in attention. Both reached their lowest point of attention during the third week, and showed relatively small overall drop in attention

during this time period (i.e., small'novelty effect'). Animation, while dropping to its lowest point in attention by the second week, showed almost no 'novelty effect,' losing only one percent in attention. The most popular material, film and studio pieces, do show average or strong 'growth effects.' Studio pieces show an average attention growth of 6 percent, while film increased approximately 12 percent.

Other types of programming show very strong 'novelty effects,' during the first week. Street scenes show a sharp decline of 12 percent in attention. Street scenes with Muppets dropped 10 percent while Muppets alone decline precipitously by 14 percent. Street scenes are slow to show 'growth effects,' but they occur strongly during the fourth week and repeat weeks of viewing. Street scenes with Muppets show moderate "growth effects' which stabilize basically after the third week. Attention to the Muppets remains erratic, fluctuating strongly from week to week.

4.2 Viewing week and program quartile. Table 18 shows that there is substantial variation in the attention to particular program quartiles over time. During Week 1 there is a gradual decline in attention to the program, particularly during the second half of the program. During Week 2, this decline during the second half of the program is strongly exaggerated, reaching 14 points between the second and third quarters of the program. During the third week of showing, the decline during the second half of the program is less substantial. By the fifth week attention remains above the 80 percent mark through the third quarter of the program. These results indicate that after the initial novelty of the program has worn off in Week 1, there is a general building of attention in two respects: (1) attention in early parts of the program builds above its original base level in Week 1; and (2) attention remains high deeper

4.3 Viewing week and duration of bit. Table 19 shows that there is an interaction between the duration of the bit viewed and the week during which children watched the particular bit. This means that the duration of the bit took on a distinctly different significance during particular weeks. During the first week of viewing, the overall attention for bits under one minute in length and for those over three minutes in length were relatively similar, differing only by an average of 5 percent. However, as time went on, children began paying less attention to longer bits, and paid differentially more attention to short bits. By the repeat programs, there was nearly a 20-point difference in attention between short and long pieces. This strongly suggests that the children were initially not sensitive to bit length but gradually began to discriminate bit duration. As these discriminations grew, the children progressively focused their attention. Nowever, the unfolding of attention patterns for short and long bits followed somewhat different courses. For the longer bits, attention dropped to its approximately final point by the second week, 65 percent. The pattern is different for short pieces. While the overall attention did reach its lowest point during the second week, it was only a minor drop from the level during the first week. From then on, attention to the shorter bits continually rose until the end of the experiment. Segments of intermediate length reached the lowest point in attention during the third week when attention began rising until the end of the experiment.

Part III: Differences in Attention by Age

Differences in attention by age groups:

1.0 Introduction.

In analyzing fluctuations in appeal data, the bit is often a convenient unit of analysis. Because it combines a distinct set of production factors, each bit can be considered a unique and special type of stimulus. Each one entails a particular permutation of factors which, theoretically, should strongly influence its overall appeal in attention. The wide range of variation in the nature and content of bits in Sesare Street provides a kind of natural experiment. Numerous characteristics of the bits are mixed in different combinations with each other; a careful analysis of the content in each bit sould allow the researcher to understand how various factors in combination with others operate to produce overall attention.

particular dimensions or 'attributes' in bits which can explain variations in attention. One methodology for discovering these attributes is inductive. By separating bits with extremely high and extremely low attention, and by systematically comparing them for their differences, it is possible to infer those factors which are responsible for high attention. Theoretically such factors should be present in bits of high attention and absent in those with low. The inductive approach employs content analysis and an 'after-the-fact' use of attention data to develop hypothetical attributes which cause high attention.

While the inductive approach to attribute analysis would have been feasible with the Jamaican data, we have used a different approach.

Rather than inferring attributes after the fact from attention data, we developed an a priori list of attributes. By comparing the bits, we developed a set of 50 attributes which might predict variations in attention of the Jamaican children. While a priori attributes may not be totally inclusive, they have the advantage of not depending on attention data.

Since a priori definitions are less subject to variations in the bits chosen for analysis, they are potentially more universal than inferred attributes. Also, if the a priori attributes produced significant differences we would have, in effect, created and tested a hypothesis. Bits which were defined on an a priori basis may be of more immediate utility to producers in understanding in cormon sense terms which factors affect viewer attention.

It is entirely possible for the <u>a priori</u> and the inferred attribute methodologies to produce entirely distinct sets of qualities which predict attention. Certainly the <u>a priori</u> attributes can be used to group bits which are at least superficially similar in their structure; a secondary analysis based on inferred attributes might sharpen predictions of variations in attention.

attributes below. Most of the scoring entailed three to nine categories which represent distinct ways in which a particular attribute might appear on the screen. The attributes were scored for several major domains: curriculum content, characters, format, and techniques that were used. The following attributes were used to analyze the Jamaican data.

I. 'Content:

- A. Curriculum Goal (taken from the Curriculum goal definition of the fourth season). (1)
- B. Clarity of Message.
 - (1) Charity of visual message (2): the degree to which the bit's message is depicted visually.
 - (2) Clarity of verbal message (3): the degree to which the bit's message is stated verbally.
 - (3) Visual noise (4): the proportion of the visual track which is not related to the bit's message.
 - (4) Verbal noise (5); the proportion of the sound track which is not related to the bit's message.

II. Characters:

- A. Familiarity of characters (6): the degree to which characters are familiar and nameable.
- B. Type of character (7): the kind of central character (e.g.
- C. Particular live character (8): the exact live character on screen.
- D. Particular Muppet character (9): the exact Muppet on screen.
- E. Cultural type of character (10): the general cultural background of the character on screen (race included here).
- F. Sex of active character (11): the sex of the central character.
- G. Age of active character (12): the age of the central character.
- H. Strength of affect shown (13): general strength of affect shown by the central characters.

I. Nature of affect shown (14): general type of affect shown by

III. Format:

- A. Format type (15): the degree to which the bit is self-contained or part of a series.
- B. Visual medium (16): the medium used to record the bit (film, video tape, animation, et.)
- C. Goal format type (17): the general way in which the goal content is presented.
- D. Dominant tone (18): the general mood of the bit (e.g. humorous, conflictual, matter-of-fact, etc.)
- E. Humor.
 - 1. Intensity (19): the strength of humor used in the bit.
 - 2. Track location of humor (20): whether humor is located on visual or sound track or both.
 - 3. Mode of humor (21): type of humor used.
- F. Duration of bit (22): overall length of the bit.
- G. Integration of visual and sound track (23): degree to which the visual and sound tracks are related.
- H. Visual perspective (24): consistency of visual perspective.
- I. Direction of speech (25): direction of speech of central characters.

IV. Techniques used:

- A. General techniques.
 - .1. Pace (26): the general pace of the bit.

- 2. Large visual movement (27): the amount of visual movement covering large portions of the screen.
- 3. Visual background (28): the presence of a visual background.
- 4. Figure-ground contrast (29): the intensity of figure/ground contrasts.
- 5. Setting (30): the location of the bit setting.
- 6. Music (31): the centrality of music in the bit.
- 7. Sound effects (32): the centrality of sound effects in the bit.
- 8. Visual effects (33): the centrality of visual effects in the bit.
- B. Visual techniques.
 - 1. Print (34): the type of print on the screen.
 - 2. Numbers (35): the presence of numbers on the screen.
 - 3. Camera techniques (36): the use of particular visual techniques (e.g. zooms, fades, pixillation, etc.)
 - 4. Natural effects (37): the use of natural visual effects such as rain, snow, night, etc.
 - 5. Electronic Editing (38): the use of electronic editing such as chroma-key etc.
 - 6. Animation type (39): the type of animation used.
- C. Verbal sound track.
 - 1. Number of voices (40): the number of voices on sound tracks.
 - 2. Language (11): the type of language spoken by central characters.
 - 3. Sex of voice (42): the sex of the voice on the sound track.
 - 4. Type of voice (43): the type of voice on the sound track
 (e.g. adult, child, etc.)

- · 5. Content (44): general content of the sound track.
- 6. Dialects (45): basic dialect used.
- D. Music sound track.
 - 1. Type (46): overall type of music (e.g. instrumental; song, humming, etc.)
 - 2. Continuity (47): the continuity of music during the bit.
 - 3. Kind (48): the general kind of music used in the bit.
- E. Sound effects.
 - 1. Centrality (49): centrality of sound effects used in the bit.
 - 2. Location (50): location of sound effect used (e.g., back-ground vs.synchronized with visual track).

Each bit that was shown to the children in Jamaica was scored for each of these dimensions. First we noted the attribute's absence or presence. If present in a particular hit, then each form of the attribute was scored. For example, for attribute #8 'particular live character' we would score exactly which character was on the screen (e.g. Susan, Maria or Gordon etc.). A particular bit might employ a large combination of the attributes listed above. To determine the overall effect of a particular attribute, we could compare the average attention levels for the attribute's subcategories. Again, referring to the particular live character, we could determine if there was substantial variation in attention to the particular characters that appeared on the screen; for example, was there higher attention every time Maria appeared? The analysis which follows is based on a between-categories search for variation in attention within the attributes defined here.

In the analysis which follows, we have taken each age group separately and have determined whether particular attributes significantly explain the

33.

variations in the attention of that particular group. Once we have identified which variables seem significant for a particular group, we are able to compare these patterns of significance across the groups to determine which attributes seem to have a strong effect for all age groups. The statistics in the tables which follow, however, have been developed on a subgroup basis: Parallel patterns in variation in attention found in the three viewing groups thus represent three separate tests of the significance of that attribute.

In an analysts of attributes we are faced with a few logical possibilities for the patterns of significance of a particular attribute.

These basic logical possibilities for a particular attribute are represented schematically below:

Attribute		Signif	icant in A	ge Group	Factor Type
•	****	Ī	ÎI,	ÌII	,
Α	•				•
В	•	X .			Declining
C .		X	X	•	Declining
D		X	. x	X	Constant
E	3 *	,	x	x	Emerging
F			•	x ·	Emerging

Since we have three different age groups, the first possibility (represented as Attribute 'A') is that the attribute does not significantly explain differences in attention in any group. The second possibility (represented by Attribute 'B') is that the attribute only is significant for the youngest group (Group I). A third possibility is that the

attribute "C" is significant for Groups I and II. Other possibilities are that attribute "D" is significant for all groups or Groups I and III, attribute "E" is salient for only Groups II and III, and attribute "F" is significant only for the oldest group.

From such a patterning of scores, we might make three general classifications. Since attributes "B" and "C" are only significant for younger children, they tend to decline in importance with age. We shall refer to such types of attributes as <u>declining factors</u>. Attribute "D" however would seem to represent a new type of factor. Here, the attribute appears to be of substantial importance for all groups. It does not appear to undergo major changes with age and thus would appear to be of constant importance. Therefore we will call such attributes <u>constant factors</u>. Attributes "E" and "F," on the other hand, do not appear to be significant for young children, while they are of increased importance for older children.

Because these attributes appear to emerge in significance with increased age we shall call them <u>emerging factors</u>.

Clearly, if attributes in our analysis were to arrange themselves as neatly as schematically depicted in the above diagram, they would offer an intriguing basis for development of some hypotheses about how children in the observation groups attend to television. When data are grouped into 'declining,' 'constant,' and 'emerging' factors that influence attention it can become the grounds for theorizing about developmental changes in attentional processes with increased age.

To make sense of the large body of comparisons that we have generated in the Jamaican study, we have organized the attribute data into precisely this form. Figure I includes only those attributes from the total list which have shown significant differences with at least one of the observation

ERIC Provided by ERIC

Figure I

Significance of Selected Attributes in Affecting Attention of All Age Groups

. •	***	Factor	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			è	Age Group	
•	•		***************************************)-			H /	II.	III
.	Domain	Attribute Name	Attribute Number	Number	Section Discussed		Basic School	1&2 Graders	3&4 Graders
	, , , ,						•		
	Sound	Music	47a		2.1	· ·	(x)	April 20 Apr	•
		Sound Effects	7 € 6¶	· /	2.2		×	ę.	
	•	Number of Voices	017	•	. 2.3		×	(x)	×
		Type of Voice	43		2.4		×	×	(x)
£	· [Voice Content	, 44		2.5			, ×	×
	 ~	Sex of Voice	27	1 1 1 1	1 2 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,	;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	; ; ; ; ; ;	1 1 1 1 1 1 1
43	•	Continuity of Music	• • • •	<u>.</u>	2.7				
}		Dialect	5 ∌	erenting seem	8.0	,		(X)	(X)
· .		Kind of Music	L4 7		2.9.		1		×
•	H			,,					,
	Visual	Figure/Ground Contrast	53		3.1	ſ	×		
	•	Large Movement	. 27		3.2			×	×
d)		Setting,	30	*	3.3			(x),	×
		Visual Mode	36a	· ·	3.4		•	•	×

$$(x) = p < .10$$

Figure I (cont.)

Significance of Selected Attributes in Affecting Attention of All Age Groups

`		Factor		•	. Ag	Age Group	
		•			н	II	III
Domain	<u>.</u>	Attribute Name /	Attribute Number	Section Discussed	Basic School	1&2 Graders	3&4 Graders
.•				•			
III		Particular Muppet	6	T. 1	×	•	
2 4 0 4 0 0 1 0 1	4040	Age and frme of					
		Character Character	12 ,	4.2		×	•
	о ·	Type of Charac-			۰		•
		ter		7.4		(X)	, , X .
4		Particular Live					
4		· Character	~	p.3			(%)
		Kind of Charac-				:	
		ter	7 a	1. 1		:	×
	1			. ,	. 1		•
VI .			•				-
Conter	Content and	Curriculum	₽.				
Integration	ation	Content	н	5.1		, (x)	×
Auditory	tory	Visual Moise	#	5.2		· · ·	(X)
	•	Fisual Clarity	۲۷	. 5.2			×
, :-,	•	Integration of		•	•		
		Visual and		•			
		Sound	23	5.3 .			×
***					-		

0	
-1	
•	
V	
_	٠
b,	
ll	
\approx	
_	

35a.

ERIC Fruit fext Provided by ERIC

Figure I (cont.)

Significance of Selected Attributes in Affecting Attention of All Age Groups

I	Factor	*	4	Age Group	· iii :
Domain	Attribute Name	Attribute Number	Section Distussed	Basic School 1&2 Graders	iders . 3&4 Graders
Λ	<i></i>	:	. 0		÷.
Presentation Format	tion Pace	98	6.1	×	×
•	Humor	67	6.2	×	X
•	Location of Humor	50			×
	Affect	77	6.3	(X)	
45	Presentation of Goal	17	7/5	*	×
•	Directions of Speech	25			×
•	Bit Duration	52	6.5	•	*
			4		1

$$(x) = p < .10$$

$$X = p < .05,$$

groups in the study. Of the total list of 50 ttributes, 33 did produce such differences. The variables have been arranged into 5 general domains of factors, those relating to sound, visual phenomena, characters, visual and verbal techniques, and presentation formats. Within each domain attributes have been sequenced according to their properties as 'declining,' constant,' or 'emerging factors across age groups. Specifically, within each domain those attributes influencing only the young children have been listed first, those holding constant across all age groups next, while those attributes relating only to older groups are last. The domain groups themselves in turn have been grouped in terms of their age relevance; those showing a preponderance of factors relating to young children are first, while those pertaining primarily to older children have been listed last.

A simple reading of Figure I indicates some clear shifts across age groups.

- 1. Number of structural factors influencing attention. While younger children showed relatively few numbers of factors influencing their overall attention (10) there appeared to be a progressive increase with age in the number of attributes predicting attention. The oldest group had 22 significant factors, more than twice the number of the youngest group.
- 2. Shift in sense modality. Not only were younger children focusing on fewer attributes but there appeared to be distinct age differences in the type of attributes which influence attention. The younger children seem more attentive to a 'sound' factor while older children appear more sensitive to visual phenomenon. These differences suggest a shift in age in the

dominant sense modality influencing attention from the auditory to the visual.

- 3. Perceptual complexity. As we will see in our following discussion younger children appear to attend more to gross, general types of attributes, while older children showed more sensitivity to more differentiated attributes involving finer perceptual distinctions and complexities.
- the younger children tend to structure their attention around simple, unidimensional sense modalities. For example, they tended to be highly attentive to simple sound or general visual phenomena. Older children, on the contrary, tend to show a sensitivity to techniques which employ the integration of visual and sound phenomena and make higher use of crossmodal reinforcements.

In order to interpret the clear pattern in Figure 1 with greater sensitivity and depth, we will discuss separately each attribute variable in the five domains. At the end of this section we will then return to an overall summary of the change in attention patterns across age groups.

- 2.0 Attributes relating to sound. There are several attributes in the domain of sound which we shall examine: Music, sound effects, number and type of voice, content and sex of voice, continuity of music, dialect and kind of music. In general, younger children appear to be more sensitive to the first of these factors, while older children attend more to the latter dimensions. We shall explore each attribute separately.
- 2.1 Music. Younger children attended more strongly when music was central in a bit (Table 26). The youngest children showed a near significant difference in attention to bits where music was central as opposed

30.

to supportive. Group II showed somewhat higher attention to central music but the significance of this difference was less. Finally, the oldest group showed no difference whatsoever in attention to bits if music was central or supportive.

- ences in attention to sound effects (Table 27). Surprisingly, however, the youngest children showed higher attention when sound effects were only peripheral or supportive and there was a substantial decline in attention when sound effects were central. When compared to the effects observed with music, it would appear that younger children are selectively more attentive to auditory factors. However, such factors apparently can influence attention in both directions. Music tends to heighten attention in the young while other sound effects apparently can distract or retard attention. As with other attributes, it may be that the presence of sound effects is correlated with some other attribute which is distracting to children. On the surface the strong differences in the direction of significance between these two sound factors is difficult to interpret. What is clear is that basic sound attributes seem to affect attention in the youngest group more than in other groups.
- 2.3 Number of voices. This attribute shown in Table 28 appears to be of constant significance across age groups. For all age groups 'voices of groups' appear to have high levels of attention; they received 82.0 percent attention for the oldest group, 80.6 percent for the middle group, and 72.5 percent attention for the youngest group. Younger children, on the contrary, appear to be more highly attuned to sound tracks, involving a single voice played off against a group of voices. Single

voices receive substantially different levels of attention across age groups. The older children appear capable of attending to single voices while the youngest children pay the least attention to single voices. This may indicate that while the wounger children are attentive to sound factors, they are simply unable to understand the dialect on the sound track, since age was associated with higher scores on verbal comprehension of other dialects. Dialogue between two characters receives poor levels of attention in all groups. This also tends to suggest that the level of verbal comprehension required to understand dialogue may be missing. Once again we should note that the types of voice on the sound track would undoubtedly be related to other attributes such as the types of characters on the screen. For this reason it is impossible to determine whether attention differences observed here are due solely to the

2:4 Type of voice. Table 29 shows how the three different viewing groups responded to particular types of voices including: an adult live voice, a child's live voice, adult's animated voice, a child's animated voice, muppets; muppets and adults, muppets and children, and adults and children. Older children paid substantially higher levels of attention to adult voices than did the youngest group. Similarly all groups paid high levels of attention to children's voices. In general live voices, and voices of real characters received higher overall attention than voices of imaginary characters such as animated characters or Muppets.

types of voice on the sound track:

2.5 <u>Voice content</u>. The voice content attribute measured differences in the use of voices: singing, verbalizing, recitation of numbers and alphabet. spelling, labelling, conversation or other. Table 30 shows that the voice content attribute was significant only for the older two groups

For them, attention levels did not differ substantially when voices were singing as opposed to reciting. What seemed more important was the actual content of what was being recited, numbers appearing to be of more interest than the alphabet. While there were not overall significant differences for the youngest group, it is interesting to note that singing the alphabet appeared to receive highest attention while saying numbers appeared to rank lowest. Of the other categories within this attribute, labelling appeared to receive higher levels of attention in the older two groups.

- 2.6 Sex of voice. This attribute had several categories for different possible combination of voices: male, female, male and Lemale, group, a male in a group and a female in a group. Here we have an attribute of declining importance as is shown in Table 31. Groups I and II did show substantial differences by an overall type of voice. Here the male voice alone or the female voice alone received lower levels of attention than the two together or a group voice. This may be due to the fact that a male or female voice alone occurs most often in pieces which are narrated and the person speaking is not seen on the screen. Younger children may pay more attention when the person speaking is actually seen on the screen and the voice is not disembodied from the character speaking. Whatever the cause, older children do not appear to be sensitive to such factors as the sex of the voice in the bit.
- 2.7 Continuity of music. A more complex type of attribute such as the continuity of music, appears to have a higher level of impact on older children. As shown in Table 32, this attribute was scored in several categories: music being continuous, incidental at the beginning,

incidental at the end and occasional music throughout the bit. Young children did not show significant variation in their attention to bits that employed music in different parts. However, Group II and III were more sensitive to these factors. For example, highest levels of attention were achieved for Group II when music was either continuous or incidental at the beginning of the bit. The later music occurred within the bit, the less it influenced overall attention. Occasional music throughout the bit produced levels of attention approximately comparable to incidental music at the end of the bit. It is tempting to speculate that younger children, while being sensitive to the global attribute of music either being central or peripheral to the bit, lack the discriminatory capacity to have the exact placement or continuity of music strongly structure their attention. However, Group II, which is still sensitive to sound track attributes and music, does show a markedly increased sensitivity to the placement of music within the bit. As sound track sensitivities declined for the older group, these differences tend to lessen as Table 31 shows.

2.8 Dialect. Only the older age groups appear to have attention substantially influenced by the dialect of the key character. Several dialects were scored in this attribute: so-called 'standard' American English, a Black American dialect, a Spanish dialect, Spanish and Black dialects, and Muppets (which were scored here as constituting a particular dialect). Table 33 shows that Groups II and III paid highest levels of attention to Spanish and Black American dialects. Clearly for these children, Spanish appears to have a strong novelty effect. Group I, which did not show overall variation in attention levels for this

attribute, did show markedly high attention to Spanish language when it was on the screen. Only the oldest age group showed substantially high attention for the Black American dialect, significantly higher than those bits employing standard American dialects. Once again, it is difficult to interpret whether this represents a sensitivity to linguistic dialect or a simple interest in Black characters.

Kind of music. It was possible to break down the specific 2.9 type of music that appeared in a bit into categories such as: rhythmic, melodic, soul, jazz, march, melodramatic and other types of music. Only the oldest group showed substantial sensitivity to these different types of music. March music and soul music received the highest levels of attention while rhythmic music received the lowest. Here again it would appear that the older children are attending to the more complex facets within the domain of music rather than to its simple presence or absence. However, these patterns are based on limited amounts of data in which certain categories are absent at times for particular groups. differences in attention seem to operate on a completely subliminal basis; they are measurable despite the numerous types of 'noise' in this study including gross collapsing of attention data, measurement errors, and the interplay of various of other attributes which could influence overall attention.

In summary, it appears that the younger children are more sensitive to general sound attributes than are the older children. All groups appear to be sensitive to the number of voices on the screen, seem to be more sensitive to group voices and appear to be less attentive to voices which require comprehension of dialect. All groups show higher levels of

attention for live children and adult voices, while the older group shows an increasing attentiveness to adult voices. Older children appear to be more sensitive to the content of voice and complex variables such as particular type of music and its continuity. Thus in domain of sound, we find that simple sound factors appear to structure attention differentially more strongly for younger children while complex distinctions within music and the uses of voice are more important to older groups.

- 3.0 Attributes relating to visual track. As Figure I suggests, there is also an important shift in attention patterns in the visual domain. Once again, we find that attention of the very young is more strongly related to gross, general visual patterns. In contrast, older children appear to focus on the mode of visual presentation. Shifts in attention to visual attributes can be seen in the following areas.
- ground contrasts for the three age groups. For the youngest group this program attribute is significant. Bits in which there is medium figure/ground contrasts attract highest levels of attention. For the youngest group low figure/ground contrast is associated with very low levels of attention. As age increases the importance of the figure/ground contrast diminishes. It produces moderate but non-significant trends for Group II, and no differences at all for the oldest group. In addition, the attribute shows signs of reversing its direction; for the first and second graders, bits with lowest figure/ground contrast get the most attention. These patterns suggest that the youngest-children's attention is more affected by general visual patterns than is the older children's, High contrasts appear more appealing to the youngest while lower contrast catches the attention of older children.

- attentive when there is large movement on the screen (Table 37). These patterns appear somewhat more pronounced for the two older groups. Older children show increased attention differences between bits with little and substantial amounts of large movement. These trends tend to contradict the broader patterns in the data which suggests that younger children are more attentive to general bit attributes. Large movement may well be associated with other more complex attributes which attract older children's attention, but we are unable to determine this in the present analysis.
- visual setting. Older children appear to be more affected by the visual setting of the bits, as Table 38 indicates. With increasing age attention patterns vary more strongly in relationship to the setting. Youngest children show very little sensitivity to setting. Apparently the 'level' at which they attend to television is more general. Only urban exterior settings produce strong attention differences. For the youngest group this pattern may well be explained by a 'novelty effect,' but it is not clear that novelty is the only cause of these differences. Group II shows more substantial attention variation by setting. Interestingly, this group is most attentive to fantasy settings. Still stronger differences are evident in Group III. Here, attention is highest to bits with exterior-country settings.
- 3.4 <u>Visual mode</u>. A final set of differences in the visual domain is presented in Table 39. Here we have further evidence of visual factors which seem to be of increasing importance with growing age. Young children show little discrimination in attention between segments which are produced on videotape, in animation, or in live-action film. Group II

begins to show growing attention differences, while in Group III these differences are significant. In the oldest group, animation is of highest appeal, with film a close second. Interestingly, in the other groups, animation is not top-ranked in attention.

As was true in the sound track, attention to the visual track appears to shift with age. Younger children appear to be more attentive to static visual scenes in which there is moderate to high figure/ground contrast. Older children pay more attention to bits with lower figure/ground contrast and increasing amounts of large movement on the screen. In addition, they appear to be more sensitive to visual 'content' and other subtleties such as visual setting and the mode of visual production. Once again we find older children focusing on a larger number of more complex and differentiated factors. They appear to be attending to programming on a 'deeper' level, one associated more closely with the meaning of the bit.

- Attributes relating to character on the screen. As Figure I suggests there are also shifts in attention patterns by the kinds of characters on the screen. Attention patterns for younger children differ significantly by particular muppet characters. With increasing age, children appear to be more oriented towards live characters and show highest attention to unfamiliar characters.
- Basic School children show significant attention differences by the type of muppet character on the screen. Big Bird received the highest level of attention, while Kermit received the lowest. Muppets on the Street (Big Bird and Oscar) appear to receive higher levels of attention than Muppets in Limbo. With increasing age, variability in attention to particular types of muppets declines. Across all age groups, however, Ernie and Bert receive relatively low levels of attention.

4.2 Age and type of character Table 41 shows levels of attention to specific types of characters particularly adults, children, and muppets in various combinations. Attention differs by type of character only for Group II. Highest level of attention is received by bits involving children and muppets. Significantly, these bits are frequently performed without a script and are based on the spontaneous reaction of children to the muppets. This type of bit appears to be particularly attractive to the Group II as do bits involving animals, which are also derived from spontaneous live shots. Group II also shows high sensitivity to bits involving adults (adults alone or in combination with children). Attention to mappets and bits involving children as the main characters received the lowest level of attention in this group.

Table 12 summarizes attention by a different set of distinctions among characters. Again, the older age groups appear more sensitive to variations in type of character. Group II shows highest level of attention to live characters and objects. For this group, lowest level of attention was paid to non-muppets. For the older age group, attention was high to bits involving any muppet, animation and live characters. Attention was low for live characters and muppets, abstract characters and objects. The only type of character that shows a continuous trend across age groups are animated characters which display rising levels of attention with increasing age.

patterns to particular live characters in the program. Only for the oldest group did differences among live characters approach significance. This pattern parallels others in which older children pay more attention to adult characters rather than making discrimination between imaginary characters like mappets. Attention to adult characters varies with an overall low for Gordon and Hooper and relative highs for Holly. Live,

groups of children on the screen receive relatively high levels of attention.

by kind of character. Again, we see increasing levels of discrimination with increasing age. Attention differences for the youngest groups are completely insignificant, while there is a trend toward significance in Group II and more strongly significant patterns in the older age group. While Table 44 mixes distinctions in the kind of character, a general pattern appears to be that older children pay more attention to unfamiliar characters on the screen, including personalities and other imaginative characters such as Letters, Numbers, Hands, etc.

In general, younger children appear to discriminate more among particular muppet characters, favoring those characters which interact with human beings on the Street. With increasing age children appear to discriminate increasingly by the age of the character on the screen, adults and live actors being favored (particularly where spontaneous action is central). Older children appear to be more discriminating of the degree of familiarity of characters on the screen and to favor unfamiliar characters.

verbal track. Clearly those attributes relating to the content of the bit on the screen are of interest. The central question here is whether children pay different levels of attention to particular subject matters. An interest in the content of particular bits is closely related to the degree to which the message of the bit is clearly presented. Hence, in this Section we will look not only at the particular content of the bit, but the degree to which its content is judged as being clearly presented both visually and verbally. In addition, we will look at a ... Cfinal attribute having to do with the degree of integration of visual.

and verbal presentation, which theoretically should affect the clarity of the message being presented.

5.1 Curriculum content. Table 45 shows what affects the attention which children in this sample pay to particular subject areas within the Sesame Street curriculum. For the youngest group attention does not differ by curriculum area. For Group II there are significant differences in attention to particular topics within the curriculum. In this group, attention is highest for goals relating to numbers, generating explanations, the natural environment, and geometric forms. Attention is lowest in the area evaluating explanations and man-made environment. For the oldest group, the differences in attention to particular curriculum topics are increasingly strong. In general, attention levels to particular curriculum areas parallel those of the second group. Attention is high for generating explanations, the natural environment, and number goals. Attention tends to be lowest for geometric forms, social units, the self, and classification.

These results suggest that attention may be highest to those skills currently being mastered by children and drops off substantially for those topics that are either irrelevant or already mastered. Trends across ages, such as a growing level of attention paid to bits relating to generating explanations or declining attention paid to bits relating to social units, suggest differences in the appearance of particular topics given the viewer's developmental stage. However, these trends are difficult to interpret because particular curriculum areas, such as the number goal, tend to have fixed formats that use techniques (such as animation and high pace) which tend to attract older children's attention.

5.2 <u>Visual noise</u>, Table 47 shows attention patterns for different age groups-according to the level of visual noise and the degree to which visual information irrelevant to the bit message is presented. While the

reliability of coding this visual noise is not high, the data suggest/ that older children's attention is influenced by visual noise. Youngest children show no significant difference in attention by level of visual noise; they may be less capable of discriminating the meaning of a parti cular bit and/or more influenced by other attributes of the bit. For the first and second graders, there is a trend toward attention differences according to the level of visual noise. Contrary to expectations, however, these children pay higher levels of attention when visual noise is high. While these differences in attention are not statistically significant, it is intriguing that this middle group of children appears to be attracted by those visual factors entailed by a complicated visual track which is discrepant in some way from the message of the bit. The oldest age group shows even a stronger sensitivity to visual noise. However, for this group, attention to the program is highest when the noise level is low and drops sharply when the noise level becomes high. This suggests that, at least for the oldest age group, the match between the complexity of the visual track and the message of the bit can be discriminated on some levels and affects overall attention patterns.

A distinction related to visual noise is visual clarity. Visual noise refers to the degree to which visual information on the screen is unrelated to the overall message of the bit. On the other hand, visual clarity refers to the extent to which the message of the bit is actually represented visually on the screen. The two factors need not be correlated in a particular bit, nor will they necessarily have similar effects on attention.

Table 48 shows attention by level of visual clarity. Here we find that the youngest age group shows slight, but non-significant differences by degree of visual clarity. For this group, higher levels of attention are paid to those messages which are visually clear. For the oldest age

group, these attention differences become strongly significant. Attention is distinctly lower to those bits in which the message is not visually clear.

5.3 Integration of visual and sound track. Age also appears correlated to sensitivity to the integration of visual and sound tracks. Table 49 presents attention levels in which visual and sound tracks are integrated in different ways. Visual and sound tracks can be synchronized when, for example, a person's voice is heard at the moment that he speaks. The two tracks can be matched when, for example, a particular type of sound effect or music symbolizes or represents what is going on in the visual track. Finally, visual and sound tracks can be separated such as those bits in which there is music before there is an object on the screen or an object moving without sound. Table 49 suggests that with increasing age children show increasing sensitivity to the way in which visual and sound tracks are combined. For the oldest children there is a significantly higher level of attention to bits in which sound and visual track are matched. A substantial gain in attention to matched bits appears to have been achieved by some types of bits by the first and second grøders as vell.

In general, these comparisons show that the older two age groups appear to be more sensitive to the content of the bit on the screen and to the degree of clarity with which that content is presented. With increasing age, children show more highly varying levels of attention to particular curriculum goal areas. Their pattern of attention suggests that they pay most attention to those areas of the curriculum which are developmentally appropriate. In general, older children appear to be more sensitive to visual noise although the way they orient to visual noise appears to change with age. Visual clarity of the bit appears to affect both old and young children, while the integration of the visual

and sound track appears to have an impact on the attention of primarily the older age group.

- domain of attributes relates to the particular style of presentation of a bit. These factors relate to such phenomena as the pace of the bit, the kind and style of humor in it, the types of emotion shown, the particular technique of presenting the goal, and the overall duration of the bit.

 As such, these factors related to the overall format by which the goal or content of the bit is presented. These attributes do not relate to the specific, more concrete attributes such as those relating to sound, visual track, characters, etc., but more abstract phenomena involved in the overall mode of presentation of material on television.
- 6.1 Pace. All three age groups show substantial attention differences by different levels of pace, as is shown in Table 50. However, attention to pace differs substantially by age groups. Basic School children show highest level of attention to relatively slow-paced bits, with next highest level of attention to exceptionally fast-paced bits. The elder two age groups show very similar patterns; attention is markedly higher when the pace is highest.
- 6.2 <u>Humor.</u> Humor is present in a number of forms in bits on <u>Sesame Street</u>, and it is interesting to see if attention appears to vary with level and type of humor. Table 51 shows that for the middle group, attention appears to be noticeably higher when humor is absent altogether from the bit. The meaning of this trend is not clear. The attention pattern is reversed for the oldest age group, although the trend does not reach statistical significance. Older age children appear to pay higher levels of attention with increasing centrality of humor in the bit. The patterns may suggest that a high level of development is necessary

in a cross-cultural setting for children to 'make sense' of intended 'foreign' humor.

Table 52 shows attention patterns by the location of the humor.

Humor was scored as being primarily verbal, primarily visual, or involving both verbal and visual elements. Across age groups, there is declining attention to humor which is primarily verbal and increasing attention to humor which is primarily visual. Only for the oldest age group, however, are the differences between these types of humor statistically significant. The older age group appears to be more highly attentive to visual humor. These trends may reflect the difficulties of the children in fully comprehending the verbal track as well as increasing sophistication at decoding visual forms of humor.

A parallel pattern emerges from Table 53 which shows attention levels to particular modes of humor. Attention patterns do not differ significantly for the youngest two groups. However, the placet group shows attention differences which approach significance. Attention is highest in bits where a character is made to look silly and in bits where central characters engage in verbal plays on words and verbal nonsense. Attention is lower in bits where characters are incongruous or in which the comprehension of the humor depends on an understanding of the context. It may not be surprising that attention to this type of humor is lowest since it may be most sensitively affected by cultural factors.

- 6.3 Affect. Bits can be scored for the overall type of affect shown. Only the middle group appears to have attention strongly influenced by the nature of the affect shown on the screen. Bits which have a strong positive affective tone receive the highest level of attention. Lower levels of attention are received for those bits which employ both positive, and negative affect in the same sequence.
 - 6.4 Presentation of Goal. The message of bits can be presented in



conversation, explanation or story, and may involve such operations as identification, matching and labeling, or sequencing of material shown.

Table 54 shows attention levels by the mode of the presentation of goals in bits. Again, we find that the older children seem to have their attention more affected by this type of attribute. First and second graders show the highest level of attention when a goal is presented in a sequence manner. Attention is lowest when a goal is presented in a context of a story. For the oldest age group attention is high when goals are presented in sequence manner and in conversation. Attention appears to be lower when the goal is explained directly. It is interesting to hote that the traditional modes of goal presentation entailing explanation or a story received lower levels of attention. More direct presentation of material entailed in conversation, identifying, matching, labeling and sequence material, appears to hold higher levels of attention.

presenting the goal also appears related to attention patterns. As shown in Table 55, the attention of older children is more affected by variations in the direction of speech. Highest levels of attention are achieved when the audience is addressed directly, or asked for its participation. These two techniques do not appear to have as powerful affect on attention for younger age groups, despite their more frequent use with younger children.

varied with duration of the bit involved. Table 56 shows a more sensitive breakdown by the timing of the bit. The two older age groups appear to be more affected by duration of the bit than the Basic School group. For the oldest age group, attention by bit duration differs very significantly by 30-second intervals. For this age group attention appears highest for the shortest bits. For grades one and two, however, attention peaks for those bits between one and two minutes in length. This pattern matches a weak

54.

of content, attention patterns by duration of the bit shift with age. Older children apparently be the more sensitive to shorter bits, while for younger children attention builds in a different type of way and peaks in longer bits. These differences in attention pattern by bit duration may be related to age-related differences in the speed with which the child can orient to and make sense of what is going on in a particular bit.

With the exception of pace which appears important across age groups, the general format of presentation of bits appears to have the strongest effects on the attention of the older age groups. They appear to be influenced by the intensity of humor, the location of humor within the bit, as well as the specific type of humor used. Furthermore, the older two groups show greater sensitivity to the type of affect shown within the bit, and the overall mode of presentation of the bit, including such factors as the direction of speech of the characters on the screen. Finally, the older age groups show more variation in attention by duration of bits. The oldest group is most attentive to the very shortest bits, while the middle age group is more sensitive to bits of moderate duration.

7.0 Summary of attention patterns by age. We have looked at the overall patterns of attention in five general domains: attributes relating to sound, the visual track, characters, the integration of visual and verbal tracks, and the presentation format. It is now time, to summarize the general patterns that we have identified in this analysis.

We should begin by reiterating once more the dangers of generalizing too much on the basis of this data. Certainly, in numerous areas, several distinct interpretations of the data might be made and there is really insufficient ground to establish the validity of any particular interpretation. The primary value of this study, rather than developing claborate

interpretations, is to clearly establish the overall trends in attention of this particular set of children with the hope that patterns which are identified here may be found elsewhere and that theory-building may proceed in future studies. Clearly, the attention patterns identified here can only be safely interpreted to be representative of the sample of children from which they came. We make no claims that the attention patterns of this set of children is representative of media-illiterate children, nor of children in the developing nations, nor of children in Jamaica, nor even of the children of the village in which the study took place. If future studies in the developing world show similar patterns of attention to the ones observed here, we may begin to test a set of hypotheses about the development of attentional patterns to television among children with little viewing experience.

As we have noted earlier in this paper, a few major trends appear strongly associated with age. 1) We have noted that younger children appear to have fewer factors which affect their attention level to material. In this study, only 10 attributes appear to substantially influence the attention patterns of the youngest children, while 22 attributes produced attention differences for the oldest group. Thus, with increasing age, there appears to be agrowing number of attribute factors which influence overall attention patterns.

- 2) There is a general shift in the sense modality which affects attention patterns across age groups. The youngest children, as shown in Figure II, are very sensitive to simple sound patterns, and show attention differences with many of the simple sound factors. Older children appear to be more affected by more complex sound factors, as well as visual attributes. In addition, older children appear more responsive to the complex integration of visual and verbal tracks.
 - 3) Complexity of perceptual descrimination appears to shift with

increasing age. Younger children appear to be more attentive to gross simple types of attributes while older children appear to be influenced by attributes which are more complex and involve finer perceptual distinction.

- 4) Older children appear to be more sensitive to those attributes which involve an integration of different sense modalities. For example, older children show attention shifts when sound track symbolically matches the meaning of the visual track.
- 5) There is greater evidence that the older children are more affected in their attention by specific content of bits; younger children tend to pay attention in a more global way to all content areas within the program.

These patterns tend to suggest that the reality of television and the nature of the television experience probably differed for the three age groups in the study. For the youngest group, in which sensitivity to sound is strong, television is probably experienced more like a 'radio with a picture'. There would appear to be less finely tuned comprehension of content of the program and to the nuance of character and styles of presentation. The younger child appears to be more globally focused on the television and more generally attentive regardless of content. the oldest group, on the other hand, the experience of watching television would appear to be more complex. The older child is more sensitive to the overall meaning of the bits, is more influenced by the style with which that meaning is presented to him, and is more aware of nuances in_ the characters which present information and ideas. The older child seems to make higher order connections between the sound and visual track rather than experiencing them as somewhat independent phenomena. The older child has his attention less affected by simple sound factors and to the visual presentation per se, especially visual clarity and visual noise. For the older child, television appears to be a more visual medium

"hich presents more complex messages in a variety of formats.

To illustrate the differences in nuance with which children in this study appear to attend to Sesame Street, we have constructed a Figure III which summarizes some of the trends described in this Section. This Table summarizes many of the patterns noted in this Section on age differences, and suggests the different kinds of production approaches which might marketize attention for the particular age groups in this study. We should stress once again that these trends may not bear any significance for groups except for those in this study. Despite this restriction, it is fascinating to speculate on the basis of this Table the changes in production which might maximize attention for the three different age groups in the study. It suggests shifts in production techniques, nuance and emphasis which would tend to change the flavor, style, pace and direction of the program. While this Table simply summarizes those distinctions made earlier in this Section, it may be of interestate those producers trying to think about the reshaping of Sesame Street for use in developing nations.

General Summary of Attention by Age Groups

Attribute

Group I

Group II

Group III

Sound Factors

2.1 Music

2.2 Sound Effects

2.3 Number of Voices

2.4 Type of Voice

2.6 Sex of Voice

2.7 Continuity of Music

68

2.8 Dialect

2.9 Music

Visual Factors

3.1 Figure/ground

3.2 Large Movement

3.3\Setting

Music should be central in the bit (26)

Peripheral sound effects (27)

Single and group voices should be used (28)

Children's voices should be used frequently (29)

Use male and female voices together (31-)

Aftention highest with moderate figure/ground contrasts (36)

Large movement enhances attention

Music should be central in the bit (26) - Group voices should be used (28)

Children's voices should be used (29)

Male and female voice to gether or group voice Continuous or incidental

at the beginning Dialect seems to have a significant effect on

attention

Group voice or single voice (28)

Children and/or adult voices should be used (29) (Sex of voice is not in-portant)

Continuous or incidental at the beginning

Dialect has a significant effect on attention

Kind of music affects overall attention

Large movement enhances attention

Setting significant; preference for out of doors and fantasy, limbo (38)

Large movement enhances attention

Setting significant; preference for out of doors and fantasy, limbo (33)

Figure II (cont.)

General Summary of Attention by Age Groups

Attrabute

group I

Group II

Group III

Visual Mode

Characters

live characters rather Generally preference for then imaginary.

(Preference for film

Attentive to muppets and film (39).

reference

4.1 Perticular Muppet

Muppets receive higher attention if '

-they are in short bits

-they are in beginning -they are accompanied they interact with live persons of program by music

69

Any muppets receive relatively high attention movelty effect) (40)

Favorite muppets (40) . Any muppets Big Bird Oscar

Age is not a significant factor in central

4.2'Age of central

character

character

Moderate differentiation between muppets (40)

Favorite mupplets (40) -Grover

-Cookie -Oscar

Age of central character -interest in children -interest in animals with muppets s important

Relatively low differentiation between muppet characters (07)

. Favorite muppets (40) -Cookie

.Age not significant

-interest in children interest in animals

Figure II (cont.)

General Summary of Attention by Age Groups

Group I

Group III

4.2 Type of character , ,	.Type of character is salient	.Tyre of character is significant	.Type of ghar nificant
	.Preference for live charac-	*preference for objects	-greatest to chara
	.Muspets are enhanced when	animals	orelerence on August
A A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF	with a live character	.little taste for mup-	_animat
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	.Munnets with ab-	pets (although they	-live c
	stractions (lines and	too are enhanced by	7)
	. dots) of relatively	live persons)	
	little interest (41)	any muppet > regular	
		$muppets_{c}(k_{1})$	
4.4 Kind of character	. preference for letter and	preference for letter and	preference f

more fantesy oriented acter differences attentiveness racter is sigpreference for letter characters ce for uppet/ tion preference for letter and number characters (42)

unknown charecters (42) tendency to prefer novel number characters (42)

preference for letter and number characters (42)

-prefers Molly, pavid, Tom, live character is more salient

sendency to pay higher attention to different racial or group

3 Particular live character

Content

Social interactions Relational concepts Natural environment Social units Number goals

Perceptual discrimination Venerating explanations Social interactions Matural environment re-reading goals Mumber goals

Generating explanation Relational concepts Natural environment Pre-reading goals. Number goals Preferences:

3	
ERIC	
Full Text Provided by ERIC	

Figure II (cont.)

General Summary of Attention by Age Groups

Attribute

Group II

Group III

5.1 Curriculum

.Symbolic representa-.Child and His World tion (45) Emphasis on:

Emphasis, on:

Symbolic representation

Reasoning and problem

(Child and his World)

solving

Reasoning and problem solving Emphasis on:

Symbolic representation

6.3 Affect

5.2 Visual noise

affect than positive affect (46)

More attentive to négative

Visual noise or clarity not really a salient factor

affective tone enhances attention (46)

Strong positive or negative

Attention tends to focus on high visual noise (47,48)

tion when sound matched .(tends toward high atten-(64) to sight)

. Affective tone not salient Cognitive organization

higher with low viguel Attention significantly noise

visual clarity of message Attention higher when is high (47,48)

tion with sound matched Significantly higher

Format of Rresentation

Sight and Sound

5.3 Integration of

6.1 Pace

6.2 Humor

highest attention (50) Pace should be slow for

Fast pace enhances attention (50)

Attention highest when humor is absent

Fast pace enhances attention (50,) Strong humor tends to increase aftention (51

Higher, attention to visual hustor (52)

Figure II (cont.).

General Summary of Attention by Age Groups

Group II Group I Attribute

Group III

6.2 Humor

Preference for (53)
-looking silly
-nonsense=Verbal

.Preference for (53)
 -incongruity
 -slapstick
 -context

6.4 Presentation mode

Fresentation preference:
-sequence
-identification, label,
matching (active
modes) (54).

Presentation preference:
-sequence
-conversation
(active modes) (54)

6.4 Direction of speech

.Higher attention to narration (55)

Higher attention when audience addressed directly or asked, to participate (55)

Part IV: Conclusions

We can learn a number of lessons from the Jamaican study, many of which have been detailed in this paper. However, we have already pointed out the dangers of generalizing findings in this study to other populations outside Jamaica. What is needed is studies similar to this one, conducted in other remote areas which may either confirm or deny the trends outlined here. The patterns we have identified in the attention of Jamaican children to Sesame Street might serve as the basis for these future studies. Therefore, we report these general conclusions here, not to claim their general validity for populations in other parts of the world or other parts of Jamaica but rather to facilitate their study in other remote areas.

The Jamaican project has shown that the technology for the extension of educational television to remote areas is at hand. The viability of such equipment was demonstrated in several broad ways in our efforts. Pictures were successfully and reliably brought by mobile vehicle to remote villages. The scores of hours of attention of children to television was successfully recorded with this equipment in the villages and became the basis for the analysis presented in this paper. Finally, the mobility of the equipment was established by the ability of the mobile unit to reach remarkably remote mountainous areas within Jamaica. In all, the Sony-Suzuki equipment system proved a very effective system for reaching remote areas with a quality television image and for systematically recording video data which could be analyzed at length in a laboratory setting.

Another general conclusion is that the mobile television unit appears to appeal to both children and adults in remote villages. Everywhere the mobile video unit traveled in Jamaica, its reception was warm and efficusiastic. Adults in the village where the study took place showed a keen interest in the educational possibilities of the mobile unit. Both children and adults

59

were delighted by the appearance of television in their villages, fascinated to watch the mobile programs, and anxious for the vehicles to return. While these observations are impressionistic, any visitor who traveled with the mobile units could not fail to be impressed by the excitement caused by the arrival of a mobile television unit.

Besides these general conclusions there are a number of more specific ones which are based on the data in the attention studies.

- 1. Overall attention levels of children who had not previously viewed television appear quite high and generally comparable to the attention levels of more "experienced" viewers in the United States. Average attention figures of the Jamaican children viewing Sesame Street are comparable to attention figures derived by the Children's Television Workshop for 3 to 5-year-old populations in the United States (generally ranging from 65 to 95%). While attention levels were high in the initial hours of viewing, probably due to the initial novelty of the video medium, high levels of attention did not appear to be simply an artifact of the initial novelty of the medium since attention in later weeks of viewing usually exceeded initial attention levels.
- 2. Programs which have high levels of appeal in the United States appear to have high levels of appeal with a population that has never before viewed television. Programs which receive high levels of attention in the United States received significantly high levels of attention among Jamaican children; programs with poor appeal in America received low attention in Jamaica. Apparently, a "good program" can receive high attention in different cultural groups and in viewing populations with different levels of television viewing experience.
- 3. Adaptation to the medium of television appears to take place at a rapid pace. While the exact meaning of this adaptation is hard to ascertain



all groups show shifting attention patterns over time. All groups show high attention in the first week, which then recedes after five hours of viewing and finally grows to exceed initial attention levels. In addition, young children show rapid shifts in the ability to attend longer to a television program. These shifts in attention occur within 20 to 30 hours of exposure to the medium. From this study we cannot determine whether the shift in attention pattern continues beyond the point of six weeks of viewing, nor at what point in time attention patterns reach a point of stabilization for each viewing age group. The data do suggest that such shifts may occur within a few hours of exposure to the medium. Whether such attention patterns shift in the direction of more experienced viewers in other cultures is an interesting question which might be explored in future studies.

- the United States do not seem to hold the attention of the Jamaican children in this study. Specifically, muppet bits usually show low levels of attention in the Jamaican sample. It is not clear whether these effects are due to linguistic factors, such as the inability to comprehend muppet-style English, whether they are the products of a different type of fantasy life for the child in a remote area which makes characters like muppets more difficult to understand, or whether they are due to other factors. Within the Jamaican sample, there generally appears a preference for bits which involve live characters and natural settings more closely resembling the world which surrounds the Jamaican children.
- 5. Contrary to our expectation, special media effects which employ the most complicated of television conventions receive high levels of attention. This may be due to the novelty of such visual effects for the children in the sample. However, the appeal of these types of techniques does not appear to decline substantially over the six-week viewing period.

Paralleling the high attention received by special television effects is the high attention paid to animation. As with children in the United States animation appears to grasp and hold a Jamaican child's attention in a powerful way.

6. A great many attributes of program bits substantially influence the attention of the Jamaican children. Mearly three dozen such significant attributes were identified in this study. The effect of bit attributes appears to vary considerably with age, allowing us a glimpse at some developmental differences in the way in which television is perceived. With increasing age, children appear capable of being attentive simultaneously to a larger number of bit attributes. Older children paid more attention to visual attributes and were more attentive to attributes which involve finer levels of perceptual discrimination. Finally, older children show a greater ability to be attentive to attributes which involve an integration of different sense modalities, particularly visual and auditory. If these patterns are borne out in future studies, they may suggest ways to produce television which will appeal more effectively to children of different ages.

Other outcomes. The Jamaican study raises some important questions about the cross-cultural adaptation of programs like Sesame Street for populations with little viewing experience. In the Jamaican sample the youngest age group did not show highest levels of attention to rapid pace, did not initially attend to the whole program with high levels of attention, did not respond attentively to certain suppet characters who are popular in the United States and attended to certain parts of the curriculum distinctively different from that of older children. While these latterns may have shifted with more extensive viewing, they do raise the important question of whether attention patterns of less experienced viewers may be distinct and may require distinct production styles. The trends in the Jamaican data might be taken into

account in studying the appeal of <u>Sesame Street</u> and its adaptation in developing nations.

Ironically, the information about attention to certain a priori attributes described in this paper is now more detailed for the Jamaican sample than it is for American samples. There is now a need to develope a comparable data base in the United States which would offer some grounds for more specific comparisons in attention patterns between Jamaican children and an American sample. The reason that such a comparable data base does not exist in the United States is that the a priori attribute scoring system was developed specifically for use in the Jamaican study and has not been currently applied in an American sample. While comparisons between the Jamaican sample and an American sample would be subject to hopeless problems of interpretation, it would be of substantial interest to determine the degree of similarity in the attention patterns of the two samples.

The Jamaican experience has demonstrated the viability of using videotapes of viewers in the field as the basis for subsequent attention scoring. This approach makes it possible to develop attention measures in remote areas and with large groups of viewers rather than individual children. The laboratory scoring of videotapes, though tedious, is viable. It permits low loss of data given short working periods in the field.

The <u>a priori</u> approach to the definition and scoring of attributes also appears to offer a fruitful approach to the analysis of attention scores. Previous studies have relied primarily on the definition of attributes which has been empirically derived through the comparison of bits receiving high and low levels of attention. The <u>a priori</u> approach in which attributes are initially defined on a theoretical basis may produce significant differences which may be especially amenable to systematic theory building. While there

of centain a priori attributes, the technique does seem worth pursuing. An area of particular interest is how the a priori attributes cluster into larger groupings of program attributes. While we have not attempted an analysis of this kind here, the data from the Jamaican project could be used to develop such a factor analysis.

The technique of attribute analysis has allowed us to get a deeper insight into how attention to television may shift in children of different ages. The data strongly suggest that it is possible to empirically build a developmental theory of television attention which might become a valuable basis for more effective age-specific programming.

Finally, the findings of this study could serve as a set of hypotheses about attention patterns of children with low levels of media exposure in remote areas in the world. If future studies conducted in rural areas of other countries confirm some of the patterns identified in the Jamaican study, it might be possible to begin to build a theory of attention patterns which relates to low levels of media literacy. Such studies, combined with age-specific attention patterns, could become an extremely useful guide to the adaptation of video materials for educational uses in remote areas. Certainly there is a growing need for the development of such knowledge and theory, since Sesame Street and other educational programs for children are being viewed in scores of countries around the world.

The Jamaican project itself points the way to a promising future for mobile television in remote areas. The project in Jamaica has shown that Sony videocassette equipment works in remote areas under punishing climatic conditions and that the technology for moving video equipment effectively and reliably to remote areas exists in such compact forms as the Suzuki vehicle.

Furthermore, the field experience has demonstrated the strong positive appeal which mobile television appears to have for both the children and adults in remote villages. The distractor studies have shown that the attention patterns of children who have never before viewed television is high and comparable in overall intensity to attention levels of more medialiterate children. Other CTW research has shown that high levels of attention are a powerful first step towards effective learning through television. Finally the distractor studies have given us new insight into the attention patterns of children in remote rural areas and might in the future be useful in the cross-cultural adaptation of television programs for countries in the developing world. By demonstrating the viability of the equipment, the popularity of television in remote areas, attentiveness of inexperienced viewers to the medium, their rapid rate of adaptation while viewing, and in identifying ways which might make television increasingly appealing to children in remote areas, the Jamaican project has taken useful initial steps in the extension of educational television to areas currently beyond the reach of television.

Overall Attention by Age Group

Variance	424.919 492.074 420.278 390.810
z	1844 289 698 698 857
S	20.615 22.183 20.501 19.769
reent Attention	77.271 . 71.100 . 78.304 . 78.510
Per	I (Basic School) II (1st & 2nd Grade) III (3rd & 4th Grade)
Group	Overall Group I (Group II Group III

78	Under .001
F-Test	15.061
뜀	2 1841
Mean Square	6090.555 404.405
	Among Groups Within Groups

80

T-Test of Group Differences

72	Under .001 Under .001 Over .500
T-Test	-4.897 -5.334 -0.201
됩	585 1141 1554
ES .	1.471
Difference	-7.203 -7.410 -0.206
• • •	Group I vs. Group II Group I vs. Group-III Group II vs. Group III

ERIC FEMILISATE PROVIDENCE DE PERIC

Variation in Attention by Week of Viewing

(All Ages Combined)

•	,	Percent Attention	S	Z	Variance
•	. * .	9		il	
Overall	•	77.396	20.275	1652	411.089
Week One	•	77.828	17.872	314	319,397
Week Two		73.645	. 23.115	262	534.311
Week Three		75.182	20.433	346	417.492
Week Four	صويع ت	77.375	20.618	384	425,116
Repeat (Week 5&6)	J '	82,084	18.475	3/16	341,323

٧٩	Under .001
F-Test	8.061
Ä	1647 1647
Mean Square	3259.825
	mal.
_	Among Groups, Within Groups

T-Tests of Differences Between Weeks

24	.015 .079 .0ver .500
T-Test	2.443 1.760 0.306 -2.997
III.	574 658 696 658
() ()	1.712 1.503 1.481 1.420
Difference	4.183 2.646 0.453 -4.256
	4
•	и к к к и м ч и
•	Week Week Week
	48. 48.
	Week 1 Week 1 Week 1

66.

Overall Attention By Week of Viewing For Different Age Groups

	. Pi	627 189	195 139	•			•	•	:
E I)	. 6	18.818 17.337	19.613	•		뛤	, 623 623	V	ስ ጋፍ
Grades 3 & 4)	Mean Percent Attention	77 .313 79.201 76.519	76.246 76.835			Mean Square	330.597 354.796	F-Test D.	0.932
o	z	538 130 125	153		;			١ ا <u>ب</u> سد	H
2)	8	21.410 18.403 26.687		•		티	3 534	7	Under .001
Grades 1 & 2	ttention		8		•	are			
Gr Grad	Mean Percent Attention	76.177 76.100 69.328	73.673 85.785		69	Mean Square	6274.316 426.578	F-Test	14.708
	. \ ¤l	21.3 144 69	11		•	. • ,		•	
I School.)		23.580 23.961 22.761			_	된	211.	1	Over . 500
Group I (Basic School)	tention			•		e	g	A.	Óve
0	Mean Percent Attention	71.005 70.882 71.261				Mean Square	6.605 561.240	F-Test	0.012,
	/iewing	_ 9 0	rree jur		1		•	. •	
-	Week of Viewing	Overall Week One Week Two	Week Inree Week Four	. ,	•		*		
•	· •=1	- (*		82	, .	•	ý		

Overall Attention by Type of Program

(All Ages. Combined)

·• ,	Mean	€	d	*
Type of Program	Percent Attention	ଞ	EI 2	Variance
Overall	, 77.613	20.265	1681	א טיבע
Good".	77.824	19.863	938	394 530
Sperial	73.475	21.146	. 299	447:164
Experimental	83.077	22.562	224	509.066
·		000.01	מעס	70).612

Mean Square

3947.296 404.573

T-Test Comparisons Between Types of Programs

- }	**	
70	.002 Over .500 Under .001	```
T-Test	3.242 0.619 -3.633	
뉌	1235 1160 1156	,
SE	1.341 3.519 1.446	٠. ا
Difference	4.349 0.940 ntal -5.253	
	. 'Bad' . Special . Experimen	
	Good vs. 1 Good vs. S Good vs. E	

omparison of 'Experimental' and 'Regular' Program

•	•	•	. •	•
•	/ariance	404.669	18.538	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
•	N N	1761	1541	DF . T-Test
	cion (SD	16.606	20.458	SE 1.444
Meen	rerent Accent	77.805 83.077	. 77.052	Difference 6.025
	Overall	Experimental ('Exp.' & Special Combined)		'Experimental' vs. 'Regular'

Under .001

Table 4

Overall Attention by Type, of Program Segment

(All Ages Combined)

Variance	378.714 327.369 365.921 301.363 327.330 438.051 390.648
Zi	1437 470 222 138 158 272
SD	19.461 18.093 19.129 17.360 18.092 20.903
Mean	77.561 81.704 79.613 75.674 72.899 70.588
Type of Segment	Overall Film Animation Street Street: Muppets Mignets

7	Jnder .001
7	Under
F-Test	15.237
E I	5 , 5
Mean Square	5501.711 361.075
	ng Groups,

Comparison of Muppet Segments with Other Types of Segmen

2 4	Under .001 Under .001	Under .001
. !	•	
T-Test	-7.596' -4.941 -2.452 -1.156	ーサーカドー
딤	740 1492 1408 1428	_ *
SE	1.463 1.825 2.074 1.999	702.4
Difference	-11.116 - 9.024 - 5.086 pets - 2.311	•
	Muppets vs. Film Muppets vs. Animation Muppets vs. Street Muppets vs. Street: Mupp Muppets vs. Studio	

Overall Attention by Principal Character in Bit (All Ages Combined)

Principal Character	. ()	Mean	S) El	Variance
Overall		71.374	20.134	398	1,05,359
Big Bird		71:267	19.335.	98	373.876
Oscar		77.116	14.533	1 43	211.218
Ernie		64.024	21.248	æ	451.492
Kermit	-	65.414	24.158	5	583.620
Cookie Monster		75.238	21.609	, 12	466.943
Grover		70.135	20 - 24 7	, 37	409.953
Any Muppet		76.632	17.560	95	308.336
. Other Muppet,	٠	74.000	19.222	≉	369.500

85

2	Under .001
F-Test	3.6 64
占	340
Mean Square	1422.278 388.144
•	Among Groups Within Groups

Overall Attention by Program Quartile

(All Ages Combined)

1	·	•
Variance	412.417 269.786 350.044 423.602 461.944	Tool Ander .001
ᆈ	1827 415 422 427 427 563	F-rest
G)	20.308 16.425 18.709 20.582 21.493	1823
Mean Percent Attention	77.483 84.867 81.244 74.569 71.430	Mean Square 16928 7414 384,648
Program Quartile.	Program Mean First Quartile Second Quartile Third Quartile Fourth Quartile	Agene, broups Within Groups

Comparison of Attention Between Selected Quartiles

86

796	Under .001 Under .001	
T-Test	-10.638 - 7.484 - 2.316	
DF	976 983 988	
S	1.263 1.311)1.356	\
Difference	-13.438 - 9.814 - 3.139	
Quartiles Compared	Fourth vs. First Fourth vs. Second Fourth vs. Third	

Overall Attention by Duration of Program Bit Table 7

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

(All Ages Combined)

Duration of Bit	Mean	Bi	ĸI,	Variance
Overgil	76.923	20.738	1711	430.076
1 Minute or Less	80.092		669	497,323
2 to 3 Minutes	. 76.308		850	339.276
More Than 3 Minutes	66.475	•	162	461.836

7	Under .001	o
F-Test	30.055	
G.I	2 1708	
Mean Square	12508.16 0 . 416.182	
	Among Groups	•

Comparison of Attentior to Bits of Differing Duration

7	Under .001 Under .001 Under .001
T-Test	3.654 7.041 6.048
빔	1547 859 1010
띠	1.035 1.834 1.626
Difference	3.783 13.616 9.833
	<pre>51 Min. vs. 2-3 Mins. 51 Min. vs. > 3 Mins. 2-3 Mins. vs. > 3 Mins.</pre>

72.

Overall Attention by Centrality of Music

(All Ages Combined)

Centrality of Music	Mean	: ଶ	zl	Variance
Overall No Mysic	76.350	20.374	545	415.104 456.579
Some Music Central	79.169	18.694	183	340.483

7 a	Under .001
F-Test	094.6
뛤	542
Mean Square	3815.414
	Among Groups* Within Groups

Comparison of Bits with Different Use of Music

70	Under .001 Under .001
T-Test	-3.560 -3.323 1.039
님	360 453 -
SE	2.520 1.948 2.403
)ifference	-8.972 -6.475 1 2.497
: :	No Music vs. Some Music No Music vs. Music Central Some Music vs. Music Central
	No I



Overall Attention by Centrality of Visual Effects (All Ages Combined)

'	• •		ı	ml ml		•
ء ان			600•	Use of Visual Effects	70	.405 .005 .019
Variance	415.095 415.736 486.181 349.274	리	•	e of Visu	T-Test	0.835 -2.878 -2.377
el .	545 419 30 96	F-Test	4774	1	DF.	
S	20.374 20.390 22.050 18.689	周	5 ¹ 22	Bits with Different		4 447 7 513 0 124
Mean	76.350 75.375 72.133 81.927	are .	7	on of Bits	SE	3.884 2.277 4.120
ar .	,	Mean Square	1958.327	Comparison of	Difference	3.241 -6.552 -9.794
y of Visual Effects	Overall No Visual Effects Some Visual Effects Visual Effects) .	Among Groups Within Groups			Some Central Central
Centrality of	Overall No Visual Some Visual Visual Ef		N	•		None vs. Some None vs. Cent Some vs. Cent

89

Table, 10

Overall Attention by Type of Program Segment and Program Quartile

Segment	
Program	
Type of	

		; , p		; · .	, and so	
Row Merginels	84.101	80.458	T3.5TT	71,468	77.401, Percent of Total Sum of	5.5½ 3.22% 0.89 90.34 100.00
Studio	22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	86, 450 17.234 40.	77.633 20.308 60	75.164 21.761 55	82.187	
Muppets	81.609 14.552 46	74.667 16.717. 54	68.043 7 22.534 92	64.425 22.072 80	72.186	Under 0.001 Under 0.001 Over 0.500
Street:	78.667 12.833 48	73.77# 15.435 31	74.667 1 17.220 2	66.877- 22.015 57	73.496 F-Test	og E
Street	61.469 19.761 32	78.537 16.530 41	70.906 16.967 32	71.121 14.583 33	75.508 Mean Square	9674.063 3374.631 312.230 335.379 365.271
Animation	85.316 19.997 38	84.329 18.432 73	70.783 19.727 116	77.231 16.607 65	ू <u>ड</u> त ग	15, 1411 1434
Film	88.043 15.620 138	84.990 13.920 102	79.431 18.700 102	73.992 20.085 127	81.614 79. Sum of Squares	29022.188 16873.156 4683.473. 473220.250 523799.000
Program Quartile	First Mean SD N	Second Mean SD N.	Third Mean SD SD N	Fourth Mean SD N	Column Marginels Mean Source of Variation	Program Quartile Type of Segment Quartile x Type of Seg. Unit
~ MIOI		•	•			*

. An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects.

Table 11

Overall Attention by Duration of Bit and Type of Sermer

	•	•	•		•	•		of Squares	3*	
Row	80.512	, 80.66 4	75.901	, 71.60,	70.219	76.739。	75.939	Percent of Total Sum	1.11 0.77 0.86 97.27	100.00
>3 Minutes	79.111 .13.625 18	83.500 3.536 2	69.222 21.493 18	67.667 19.571 21	62.527 21.565 55	67.286 17:576 21	71.552	st .pd. 1	3 0.009 2 0.005 4 0.284 ted	*ic
2-3 Minutes	_	57.1 48.5		. ·	: •			uare F-Test	5 3.121 5.402 5.402 5. Not Tested	J
, b.	•	81.571 17.185 77	76.271 16.460 96	. 73.206 16.440 102	68.732 × 19.543 157	, 80.532 17.853 79	76.690	,	1127.216 1951.119 131.816 361.216	366.805 e preceding effects
1 Minute	82.600 19.151 260	76.921 21.453 126	82.211 15.248 19	73.931 23.232 29	79.396 21.639 53	82.400 21.697 70	79.576	uares D	52 5 37 2 55. 10,	3392.563 7.1386 used in testing the
	Mean SD N	Mean SD H	Mean SD M	Mean SD N	Mean SD M	Mean SD	s Mean	Sum of	5636,082 3902,837 4348,465, 494505,250	<u> </u>
Progrem Segment	rł	a.	m	a		9	Column Merginals	griation	Tent Bit tion	Total 50 Asterisk (*) marks the effect
Type of Pr	Film	Animation	Street	Street: M	Muppets	Studio	(S)	Source of Variation	Durellon of Bit	Asterisk (
			0.1					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

76.

Table 12

Overall Attention by Bit Duration and Program Quartil

(All Ages Combined)

Mean 89.607 82.593 76.171 77.219 15.624 1.0	Programs One #41			, 3		<i>•</i>
Mean By 607 B2.593 76.171 B2.790 Nean 17.398 13.536 16.624 Nean 83.424 B0.291 72.219 78.645 Nean 75.385 75.287 78.645 Nean 74.225 77.080 57.486 67.263 Nean 80.660 77.060 67.132 74.951 Sum of Squares DF Mean Equare F-Test D-Test D-Test D-Test 19.6.335 16.08.551 43.333 Under 6.001 4.64 19.6.335 16.08.547 16.080 0.286 16.089 16.08 16.08 16.08 16.080 16.08 16.08 16.080 16.08 16.08 16.080 16.08 16.08 16.080 16.09 16.09 16.00 16.080 16.00 16.080 16.00 16.00 16.080 16.00 16.00 16.080 16.00 16.00 16.080 16.00 16.00 16.080 16.00 16.00 16.080 16.00 16.00 16.080 16.00 16.00 16.080 16.00 16.00 16.080 16.00 16.00 16.080 16.00 16.00 16.080 16.080 16.00 16.080 16.080 16.00 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080 16.080	4	•	בי שועות	2-3 Minutes	V3-Minute	Row Merginal
Mean 83.424 80.291 72.219 78.645 SD 20.251 15.645 32 32 Nean 75.385 75.278 62.654 71.105 Nean 75.385 75.278 62.654 71.105 Nean 74.225 70.080 57.486 67.263 SD 22.304 77.060 67.132 74.951 SD Sum of Squares DF Mean Fourare F-Test D-C Total Sum of 23.879 1678 32.879 Under 0.001 5.28 tion 1926.335 6 32.056 0.869 0.000 0.28 620786.688 1678 36.659 1678 36.658 690711.500 1689 1608.047 100.00	The state of the s	Meen SD N	89.607 17.398 178	82.593 13.536 167	76.171 16.624 41	82.790
Mean 75,385 75,2878 62,654 71.105 SD 130 203,149 19,731 71.105 Mean 74,225 70.080 57,486 67.263 SD 222.304 222.304 77.06 67.263 SD 222.304 77.06 67.263 SD 77.06 77.06 67.132 74.951 SD Mean 77.06 67.132 74.951 Lion Sum of Squares DF Mean Fouer F-Test p e 36461.492 3 12153.828 32.879 Under 0.001 5.28 tion 1926.335 6 16085 0.0869 0ver 0.500 0.28 650711.500 1689 408.047 100.00 100.00	pu	Mean SD N	83.424 20.251 158	80.291 15.845 206	72.219 20.393	78.645
Mean T4.225 70.080 57.486 67.263 Sun of Squares DP Mean Square F-Test D-Total Sun of Squares 1678 321.056 0.869 0.001 0.28 Sun of Squares DP Mean Square F-Test D-Total Sun of Total Sun of Square 1926.335 6 321.056 0.869 0.001 0.28 Sun of Squares DP Mean Square F-Test D-Total Sun of Total Sun of Square 1926.335 6 321.056 0.869 0.001 1.64 Sun of Squares DP Mean Square F-Test D-Total Sun of Total Sun of Total Sun of Total Sun of Square 1926.335 16018.551 13.333 10.001 1.64 Sun of Squares DP Mean Square F-Test D-Total Sun of Total Sun of Square 1926.335 16018.551 13.333 10.001 1.64 Sun of Squares DP Mean Square F-Test D-Total Sun of Square 1926.335 16018.551 1601	~ ~	Mean . SD N	75,385 72,343 130	75 278 24.149	62.654 10.731 58	71.105
### Sum of Squares DF Mean Fourre F-Test Percent of Total Sum of Squares DF Mean Fourre F-Test Percent of Total Sum of	#.	Mean SD II	74.225 22.301 222	70.080 . 20.349	57,486 73,386 35	67.263
tion Sum of Squares DF Mean Square F-Test p Percent of Total Sum of Squares DF Mean Square F-Test p Percent of Total Sum of Total Sum of Total Sum of Total Sum of Squares 1573.828 32.879 Under 0.001 5.28 1576.335 6 321.056 0.869 Over 0.500 0.28 89.80 620711.500 1689 408.047	m Mëretnats	· Mean .	80.660	77.060	67.132	74.951
e 36461.492 3 12153.828 32.879 Under 0.001 t 32037.105 2 16018.551 43.333 Under 0.001 tion 1926.335 6 321.056 0.869 Over 0.500 620286.688 1678 369.658	ce of Variation				st p.K.	nt of Sun of
690711.500 1689 408.947	ran Guartile ation of Mit tile x Duration	36461.195 32037.105 1926.335 620286.688		· • v		
	Total	690711.500	1689	Հղ Ե° 80ղ	• 0	100.00

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects.

77.

Table 13

Attention by Age and Frogram Quality

	Age of Viewing Group.		. Lood,	Bad.	Special	•	Experiments!	Ross Mewind	
	Basic School	Mean SD N	78.567 18.619 66	·	•		74.973 19.374 73	70.685	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	Grades 1 & 2	°Mean SĎ N	76.738 21.135 413	73.379 22.694		ر از میر	86.274 115.340 73	81.086	
	Grades 3 & 4	Mean SD	78.772 18.745 456	', =	3 79.947 1 19.483 76	· .	87.919 11.362 74	80.490	
	Column Marginals	Mean	78.029	71.005	77.593		83.055	17.420°-,	
•	Source of Variation	Sum of	Sum of Squares	티	Mear Souare	T	٧ ۵	Percent of Total Cum of Sov	Sauares
*	Age Quality of Program Age x Quality Unit	22023.086 17747.117 13923.902 643016.188	22023.086 17747.117 13923.902 43016.188	3 9 1664	1±(11.543 5(15.703 2:20.650 36.128	28.496 15.309 6.005	Under 0.001 Under 0.001 Under 0.001	3.16 01 2.55 01 2.00 92.29	
	. Total	696710.188	.188	1575	115.946		U	100.00	
					•		•		

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects

Table 15

Attention by Age and Program Quartile

Now Marginals 71.678	78.934	79.365	76.659	Percent of Total Subres 1 2.24 8.50 2.83 86.14	
69.344 53.106 19.176 24.771 64	74.743 71.853 20.220 167 211	043 75.882 654 19.057 262		F-rest p	
Second 80.262 ≠ 18.71.3 61	83.865 18.205 157	79.709 77.043 19.183 19.654 196, 184	81.26.6 73.710	Mear Square 83f0.969 21175.496 3521.342 367.780 422.831	
Mean 84.000 SD 13.601 N 60	"Mean 85.256 SD 18.188 " 1.56	Mean 84.827 SD 16.036 N 185	Mean 84.694	Sum of Equares 16721.938 63526,496 21128.055 646189.625 1757 747566.000 1768	
Age of Viewing Group Basic	orages 1 & 2	* 8 7	Column Merginels	Source of Variation Age Program of Quartile Age x Quartile Unit Total	

Table 14

Attention by Age and Type of Program Segment

	•		,		•			•	of Squares		
· .	ซูเ			•	_				Percent of Total Sum o	2.12 1.87 0.72 95.29	100.00
	Row Marginels	80.177	75.850	75.054	72.342 /	68.544	78.202	75.043	V p	Under 0.001 Under 0.001 0.383	
The Group	Grades	83.079 15.009 214	82.455 18.310 110	74.096 15.562 73	71.813 17.622 75	73.869 19.710 130	81.750 19.568 88.	77.844	F-Test	6.293 13.865 1.070 Not Tested	
Age of Viewing	Grades	82.161 19.378 193	78.728 17.933 92.	78.566 19.348 53	74.738 18.021 61	68.982 21.385 110	80.571 17.480 70	. 77.291	Mean Square	2233.952 1921.154 379.941 354.967	368,100
. , "	Basic School	75.29 0 22.384 62	66.368 24.407 19	72.500 18.928 12	70.476 20.532 21.	62.781 22.399 32	72.556 28.156 18	69.995	res DF	5 10 1415	1432
• .	•	ng OD N	SD N	san SD N	sath SD N	san SD N	ean SD N	g.	Sum of Squares	11169.762 9843.512 3799.412 502306.563	527,19.188
•	Type of Program Segment	Film Mean SD SD SD N N	Animation Mean SD SD SD N. N. N.	SD SD N N	Street Mean Scenes Scenes SD With Muppets N	Muppets Mean SD SD	Studio Mean SD N	Column Marginals Mean	Source of Variation	Type of Segment Age Type x Age Unit	Total
•		- 0		•.	<i>M U ,</i> <u>s</u>	2	ω i	. .	ώl	# *	

9,5

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects.

Tuble 16

Attention by Age and Duration of Bit

		•			TION
	⊣ [NI ~	m!	m!	Marginals.
Basic	Mean 75,454 SD 25,498 N 97	69.841 19.861 151	58.810 21.940 21		68.035
Grades 1 & 2 2	Mean 79,392 SD 22,001 N 273	78.298 18.666 312	67.563 19.295	.	75.08¼
Grades 3 & 4. 3	Mean 81.951 SD 21.426 N 327	77.223 17.132 386	68.184 22.725 76		75.786
Column Marginals	Mean 78.932	75.121	64.852	のできる。	72.968
Source of Variation	Sum of Squares DF.	Mean Square	F-Test	\ \ \ P	Percent of Total Sum of Squar
Age Duration of Bit Age x Duration Unit	27056.934 2 27056.934 2 723.296 4 696075.813 1698	4687.879 13528.465 180.824 409.938	11.436 33.001 0.441	Under 0.001 Under 0.001 Over 0.500	
Total	733231.688 1706	429.796			100.00

96

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects.

Table 16a

Attention by Age of Viewers, Bit Duration and Program Quartile

(Analysis of Variance)

ercent of	5.82 3.02 1.30 0.31 1.57	0.80 87.12	, , ,
7 A	Under 0.001 Under 0.001 Under 0.001 Under 0.001 Over 0.500	0.235	
F-Test	36.741 28.582 12.288 0.968 4.955	1.262 Not Tested	ď
Mean Square	12932.656 10060.910 1375.387 340.780 \$1744.289 118.402	444.287 351.007	395.657
됩	~ 0000 m	12 1650	1685
Sum of Souares	38797.973 20121.824 8659.777 2044.677 10465.734 473.608	5331. ⁴⁴ 1 580795.813	666681.625
Source	Program Quartile Duration of Bit Age of Viewers Quartile x Duration Quartile x Age Duration x Age Quartile x Duration		Total

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects.

Table 17
Overall Attention by Type of Promism Segment and Week of Viewing (All Ages Combined)

Type of Progrem Segment

Rov Warginals	503	. 559	TT:	45	23	83	Squares
Kar Ig	. 78.903	72.259	74.877	78.145	80.723	76.981	44
Studio	81,119 13,808- 31	80.075 21.751 40	76.792 21.410 53	83.821 15.751	83.000 23.468. 25.	81.022	otto
Muppets	76.356 15.133 15	62.780 22.086 59	72.421 20.811 57	68.726 21.565 73	76.711 20.851 38	. 71.399	Under 0.001 Under 0.001
Street With	75.409 19.632 22	65.150 23.324 20	72.158 15.252 57	75.630 16.406 46	74.154 23.119 13	72.500	F-Test 7.017 10.764 1.485 Not, Tested
Scenes	79.946 13.888 37	67.261 19.168 23	67.885 20.170 26	83.045 11.733 22	78.200 16.900 6 30	75.267	Mean Square 2466.081 3783.040 521.994 351.451 371.665
Animation	78.119 14.588 67	77.111 20.854 27 ` `	62.378 19.304 37	76.344 26.999 32	82.492 17.831 59	79.289	Tes DF 4 5 20 1407 1436
Film	82.169 14.796 83	81.179 18.659 67	77.627 21.017 102	81.302 18.656 159	89.780 11.545 59	82.411	Sum of Squares 9864.324 18915.199 10439.879 494491.500
• .	Mean SD H	Mean SD N	Mean SD N	Mean SD N	Mean SD N	Mean	ation S t Type
g Week	H ,	N L	ო	.	ب	រាន	Source of Variation Week of Viewing Type of Sepment Week x Segment Type Unit Total
Viewing Week	One	Two	Three	Four	Repeat	Column Marginals	Source Week of Type of Week x Unit

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects.

84.

Overall Attention by Viewing Week and Program Quartile Table 18

(All Ages Combined)

				•		Saueres		•
Row	84.513	81.646	74.157	70.726	77.750	Percent of Total Sum of	7.12 2.26 1.39 89.24	100.00
Repeat 5	89.378 35.477 74	84.922 19.269 77	80.959 17.766 74	76.504 18.471	82.941	70	Under 0.001 Under 0.001 0.014	•
Four	.86.073 14.926 96	82.750 14.871 96	74.731 18.820 108	63.988 26.831 ·	76.885	F-Test	43.293 10.313 2.108 Not Tested	
Three 3	82.466 16.673 73	76.949 19.251 79	71.973 23.746 74	71.567 20.068 120	15.739	Mean Souare	16072.684 3828.745 782.681 371.250	411.220
170 21	80,140 20,187 57,*	79.910 20.511 67	65.227 24.075 66	70.389 24.105 72	73.917			
One	84.507 14.568 67	83.700 16.517 60	77.894 15.104 66	71.182 19.356 121	79.321	dum of Squares DF	48218.055 3 15314.980 4 9392.176 12 604766.000 1629	677691.063 1648
	Meen SD	Mean SD N	Mean SD	Mean SD N	Mean	Sum of	, 4821 , 1531 935 60476	67169
Program Quartile	First	Second	Third 3	Fourth	Column Merginals	Source of Variation	Program Quartile Weck of Viewing Quartile x Week	Total

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the proceding effects.

85.

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects.

Table 19
Overall Attention by Vaccing Week and Duration of Bit
(All Ages Soubined)

Durylion of Bit

							1		
	Viewing Week		1 Minute	2-3 Minutes		3 Minutes	Row Marginal	듸	
	One	Mean SD N	78.233 19.004. 133	77.229 16.340 144	73.500 19.659 20 (,	76.321	ļ	نو
•	Tvo	Mean SD N	75.483 25.926 87	73.807 20.912 119	65.258 18.945 31		71.516	•	
	Three	Mean SD N	79.276 21.293 156	70,523 18.398 130	65.703/ 21.906 37	· ;·	71.834	~	
•	Four	Mean SD N	,80.465 22.077 142	75.844 18.557 225	63, 485 23. 708 33.		73.265		•
	Repeat	Mean SD N	~86.189 18.771 132	81.383 16.508 162	67.643 22.098 28	ż	78,405		•
•	Column Marginals	Mean	79.929	.75.757	67.118		74.268		· K ,
•	Source of Variation	ation	Sum of Squares	띰	Mean Square	F-Test	7 8	Percent of Total	5
	Week of Vlewing Duration of Bit Week x Duration Unit	ស្និ÷ ជ	6476.129 25720.445 4803.363 611646.438	1352 1352 1354	1619.032 12860.823 600.420 391.078 Not	1.140 2.884 1.535 Tested	0.003 Under 0.001 0.141	3.97 0.74 94.30	
	To	Total	648646.313	1578	950.114			100.00	

100

Table 20 .

Attention by Age of Viewers, Type of Promism Segment and Weck of Viewing

(Analysts of Variance)

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	io.	Mean Square	F-T-Rest	\ \ p	Sun of Squares
Type of Segment	20030.348	rt	20090.848	61.509	Under 0,001	5.03
week of Viewing	11695.418	m	3698.473	11.935	Under 0.001	2.93
Age of Viewers	75.742	H	75.742	0.232	over 0.500	0.02
Type x week	7032.012	m	2344.004	7.176	Under 0.001	1.76
Type x Age	244.552	H	244.552	0.749	0.387	90.0
Week x Age	9928.230	m	3309.110	10.132	Under 0.001	. 2.1.8
Type x week x Age	305-257	m	268.119	0.822	0.482	0,20
' Unit	34,9822,638	101	326.632	Not Tested		87.52
Total	399694.500	1086	368.043			100.00

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects.

Table 21

ERIC LEUTENT PROVIDER DY ERIC

Attention by Age of Viewers, Program Quartile and Week of Viewing

(Analysis of Variance)

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	II.	Mean Square	F-Test	7	Percent of Total Sum of Squares
Program Quartile	22638.379	-	22638.379	61.889	Under 0.001	18.1
Week of Viewing	9757.785	m	3252.595	8.892	Under 0.001	2.00
Age of Viewers	103.822	٦	103.822	0.234	Over 02500	^
Quertile x Week	1731.597	m	577.199	1.578	0.194	0.37
Quartile x Age	1934.239	٦	1034.239	5.288	0.025	
Week x Age	8580.805	c)	2860.268	7.819	Under 0.001	
Quartile x Veek x Age	2802.974	τ	934.324	2.554	0.055	. 09,00
Unit	420292.875	1149	. 365.700	Not Tested		89.84
Total	467842.250	17.64	1,01.926	· .		100.00

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects.

Table 22

Attention by Age of Viewers, Duration of Bit and Week of Viewing

(Analysis of Variance)

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	FO.	Mean Souare	F-Test	1	Percent of Total
Duration of Bit Week of Viewing Age of Viewers. Duration y Week	13461.527 5064.043 129.024	9 m H	6730.762 1688.014 129.024	18.347	Under 0.001 0.004 Over 0.500	3.18 1.20 0.03
Duration x Age Week x Age Duration x Week x Age	1126.920 8857.129 3149.386	3056 1056	2952.376 2952.376 524.898 346.866	1.850 1.536 8.048 1.431 Not Tested	0.085 0.216 Under 0.001 0.200	0.97 2.09 0.74 91.52
Totel	423292.438	1079	392.301		•	100.00

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects.

Table 23

Attention by Type of Segment, Program Quartile and Week of Viewing

(Analysis of Variance for All Ages Combined)

	3.85 1.53 0.01 0.11 86.43	Under 0.001 Under 0.001 Under 0.001 Over 0.500 0.002	95.678 55.175 7.296 0.165 1.102 5.362 0.681 Not Tested	31880.367 18381.508 2431.039 54.970 397.144 1786.577 226.962 333.204	1 1 3 3 3 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 3	31880.367 18384.508 7293.117 54.970 1101.432 5359.730 680.887 413173.188	Program Quartile Type of Setment Week of Viewing Ouhrtile x Type Ouhrtile x Week Type x Type x Week Wuit
Total	Percent of Total	\frac{1}{2}	F-Test	Nean Square	됩	Sum of Souares	Source of Veriation

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects

90.

Table 24

Attention by Type of Segment, Duration of Bit and Week of Viewing

(Analysis of Variance for All Ages Combined)

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	티	Mean Souare	F-Test	1	Sun of Squeres	d
Duration of Bit	5395.301	≈	2697.650	7.463		1.22	•
Type of Segment	6054.891	- Н	6054.891	16.753	Under	1.37	
Week of Viewing	4419.594	ന	1473.198	. 9L0. 4		1.00	
Duration x Type	1,05.095	°C∪	202.547	0.560	8	60.0	
Duration x Week ,	1,71,5.023	9	750.837	2.188		1.07	
Type x Week	2864.974	m	7 954.991	-2.642	0.01/9	0.65	•
Duration x Type x Week	2876.038	9	1,79.31,8	.1.326	0.243	. 0.65	
Unit	414947.750	11,48	361.1153	Not Tested		93.94	
		· .	•			•	
Total	441708.500	1711 -	377.206	· ·	•	100.00	(

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects.

Table 25

Attention by Bit Duration, Program Quartile and Week of Viewing

Unit 456669.250 1230 371.276 Not Tested 89.01 .	456669.250 1230 371.276 Not Tested

An asterisk (*) marks the effect used in testing the preceding effects.

100.00

409.467

513061.875

F-Test 0.265

F-Test

2,306

2.940

Table 26

	Attention	by Centra	lity o	Attention by Centrality of Music for Different Age Groups	r Differ	ent Age	Groups		بسم ب
)				,1 >			
Centrality of Music	Bas	Basic School		Grades	ss 1 & 2	i i	Gra	Grades 3 & 4	
-	Mean	` & ***	zi C	Mean	8	اع	Mean	ଞା	
Overall Centrel	69.969	. 22.672 . 22.672	, 55 25 25	78.916	18.984	167 76	79.043	17.336	185
Supportive .	64.900	21.743	36	76.879	18.232	91	79.613	17.960	106
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	•	•			•	•	•	•	•
	Mean	Square	DF	Mean Square	quare	DF	Mean	Mean Square	a
Among Groups Within Groups	1486	1486.441 505.542	1,5	829.622 359.750	522 , 750	165	303	80.264 303.392	183
									,

Table 27

Attention by Sound Effects for Different Age Groups

			·		1	.50
•	≽i	226 57 169	DF	1 1 1 2 2 1		Over
3 & 4		16.861 17.850 16.505	nare	e.		
Grades 3 & 4	Mean	81.416 80.596 81.692	Mcan Square	50.800 286.595	F-Test	0.177
	2	•	e 1		1	
αl	zl	•	E .		P	, ,
Grades 1 & 2	SD	•	Mean Square	•	la t	
Gra	Mean		Mean		F-Test	•
,	•••	w	T			
اب	z۱	69 42 7. 7.	FO	61 61	٩, ٩	.050
Basic School	ି ପ୍ର	21.931 24.003 19.719	Mean Square	177 526	اد	-
Bas	Mean	68.783 61.667 72.578	Mean	1863.177 467.526	F-Test	3.985
	•.		_	•	_	•
		•			•	
	Sound Effects	Overall Central Supportive		Among Groups Within Groups	•	
	ΩÌ	ဝပဖ	•	٠, ۵	_	,

Attention by Type of Voice for Different Agencies

	126 126 33 39 126 127 127 127	394 a 2073
अ. १८ १५	30 18.309 17.904 12.964 19.116 18.808 19.751 18.373 14.166	18r.e.
Grades	Mean 76.816 79.658 80.949 71.500 72.170 72.170 72.170 73.674 74.000	
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		DF 8 341 2 2 Under .001
Grades 1 & 2	fean SD . 690 .000 .000 .000 .005 .005 .005 .005 .0	Square 722 516
01	87.7. 83.3.99.7.7.99.	
sic School	23.304 146 20.140 51 13.022 9 28.300 22 10.000 2 24.352 31 20.825 17 0.0 1 13.452 14	137 137 2 C20.
Basic	Mean 67.815 23 69.667 ~20 75.556 13 60.591 28 79.000 10 79.000 10 86.387 24 55.000 0 86.364 13	Mean Squar 1206.026 508.318 F-Test 2.373
	200 E 0 E E E E E E	
YPe	Overall Adult Live Children Live Adult Animation Child Animation Muppet & Adult Muppet & Children Adult & Children	roups, roups,
Voice Type	Overall Adult Live Children Live Adult Animatio Child Animatio Muppet & Adult Muppet & Child Adult & Childre	Among Groups Within Groups,

Attention by Mumber of Voices for Different Age Groups

Number of Voices	Basic	Basic School	• •	Gra	Grades 1 & 2	· · · · ·	Grades	# 28 € 81	•	
	Mean	SD CS	· **	Mean		zl	Mean	52.	ř.	
Overall Single Two Group Several	O, + - 1 m O O,	24.782 21.745 21.745 20.205 19.499	11,29,33	77.567 73.145 73.145 80.659 78.070 83.629	19.702 18.539 20.968 13.345 18.359 24.283	351 117 110 44 43	76.841 80.197 72.242 82.068 77.281	18.337 17.294 19.432 11.750 14.333	132 132 128 14 57 39	
Other	59.000 0.0	0.0.	1 <u>DF</u>	77.000 * Mean S	23.000 Square	DF 2	46.000	.000 46.000 Mean Square	2 DF	
Among Groups Within Groups	.1441.430 520.961	,	-5 137	729-586 384.353	မှ က	345	1473.460 322.725	160 725	5 396	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	F-Test		78	F-Test	/	70	F-Test		7 9	
•	2.767	•	. 021	1.898		• 095	1.566		Under	.00
1		- : · .				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•	

110

Table 30

Attention by, Voice Content for Different Age Groups

		•					-				
		Basic	c School	·H	Grade	Grades 1 & 2		Grade	Grades 3 & 4		
Voice Content	ži	ean		zi	Mean	SD	zl	Mean	SD	۲İ	
Overall	67	750	23.534	136	77.647	19.536	326	76.560	18.304	377	
Singing Alphabet	80.	000	6.519		75.22	8.753	6	73.308	14.349	13	
Singing Numbers	90.	.000	7.000	₽.	82.714	11.342	7	~ 84.231	12.843	13	
Saying Alphabet	. 64	929	25.872	58	78.343	17.342	· L9	78,587	17.299	8	
Saying Numbers	7	897	22,343	59	84.857	13.849	49	80.750	17.520	, 09	
Spelling	59.	800;	19.01	2	982.99	16.254	7	69.143	19.268	-	
Labeling	67.1	650	25,1,88	20	80.667	23.695	45	77.019	17.722	53	
Conversation '	67.	333	21.511	45	173.500	20.735	130	74.225	18.514	138	
Others	63.(000	38,192	m	83.117	15.919	12	67.231	24.835	13	
	\$ •	•	•					•			
	ži "	ean Sq	Square	TH.	Mean S	Square	DF	Hean Sq	Square	전 전	
Among Groups	ัง ว	261.938		<u> </u>		بر ة .	. 2	652.041			
Within Groups	in	74.139	•••	128	369.976	9	318	329.928	რ ‡	. 698	
					·	•	•				1
	<u>.</u>	-Test	•	1	F-Test		119	F-Test	1	1	
(0	.¥56		Over .500	0 2.611	÷ 6	.013	1.976		.058	
•											

Table 31

Attention Differences by Sex of Vouce for Different Age Groups

7 2 E	zi	301 4 05- 762 254 156 28	÷	21.240 25 711.916 4 38.065 5
Group 3 & h	Mean SD	76.864 18.301 76.622 18.762 72.214 19.156	7.1	,
(1	»	352 205 25		
Group 1 & 2	8	19.664	•	
ີ ວ່າ	Mean	77.696 76.127	77.293	79.429
님	Ž	146 94 95	1 2 8	9
Basic School	ß	23.30t 23.817	13.841	19.422
Ba	Mean	67.815 64.043 68.167		
	est.	famu (•	
	Sex of Voice	Overall Male	1 2.2 Group	Male's Group

Attention by Continuity of Music for Different Ages

	., RI	216 145 7 30 30	21 c	
Grades 3 & 4	S	17.521 17.037 16.274 17.000 17.956 17.613	lare	i.i.
Grad	Mean	77.801 79.745 75.000 85.000 71.900 73.000	Mean Square 516.360 303.448	F-Test
	zi	201 136 5 6 33 19	DF 5	Da Under .001
Grades 1 k 2		20.928 18.211 7.359 13.111 28.022 16.007 25.000	e 1	
Grad	Meen	77.776 81.471 82.200 76.333 68.394 71.632 33.000	Mean Sque 1919.733 402.226	F-T-set
· ·	×	55 d a u si s	DF 17	p < . 500
ic School	<u>6</u>	22.766 22.318 0.500 34.257 20.200 19.431	quere 5	*
Basic	Mean	68.861 70.878 89.500 54.667 62.333 65.667	Mean Square \$45.585 531.672	F-Test
	Continuity of Music	Overall Continuous Incidental - Beginning Incidental - Middle Incidental - End, Occasional	Among Groups Within Groups	

98.

99.

Table 33

Attention by Dialect Spoken for Different Age Groups

		Başic	School	•	Grad	Grades 1 & 2		셍	Grades 3.& 4	٦	
Dialect Spoken	:	Mean	SD	, zi	Mean	S	ᆈ	Mean	S	zi	
Overall 'Standard' 'Black' Spanish		68.221 68.152 55.333 87.500	22.865 23.091 8.731 5.500	145 138 3	77.690 78.373 77.000 80.500	19.762 19.431 25.277 17.671	345 311 13 4	76.960 76.073 89.182 81.750	18.010 18.279 8.483 17.369 8.351	394. 358 11 4	
Muppet & Group Other		73.000	18.000	N	52.730	16.544 18.524	.# (0	78.333 84.200	15.606	30	
	•	Mean Square	nare .	F	Mean Square	quare	DF	Mean S	Square	DF.	
		257.579 536.087	6.2	3 139	836.615	•	5 339	683.718 <i>4</i> 320.592	,	5 391	
		F-Test	1	N/	F-Dest	1	٧	F-Test		7 a	1
	• .	0.480	٥.	Over .500	272		.057	2.133		.061	

100.

Table 34
Attention by Kind of Music for Different Age Groups

	Basic	Basic School	Grad	Grades 1 & 2	ار	61	Grades 3 &	7	,
Kind of Music	Mean	SD	Mean	ଥ	zi.	Mean	SD	ei Ei	•
Overall Rhythmic Melodic	68.861 22. 73.143 23. 68.714 21.	22.766 72 23.066 21 21.022 4 ⁴ 9	77.776 78.892 77.14.3	20.928 25.392 20.239 16.550	201 37 140 18	77,823 .72.024 78.881 84.158	17.558 17.643 16.140	21 5 41 151 • 19	
Cool Jazz March, Melodrama Other			81.500 -90.500	17.400	40	91.500	43.000	, ~ ~	•
-	Mean Square	EG S	Mean Sc	Square	된	Mean	Mean Square	띪	
Among Groups Within Groups	898.37e 497.371		101.700 148.840	Nag-	4 195	1021.828		1 000 500	
	F-Test		F-Test	•	7	F-Test	ابد ۴	1 P	ð.
	7,806	, 12h	0.227		Over .500	0 3.491		.005	•
	L.	•	•	•		**			

Attention by Figure/Ground Contrast for Different Age Groups

Table 36

Grades 3 & 4 Mean 5D N 77.151 18.308 424 79.400 18.518 15 76.691 17.292 175 77.350 19.005 234 Mean Square DF 60.918 2 337.269 421 F-Test p	0.181 Over .500
Grades 3 & Mean 5D 77.151 18.308 79.400 18.518 76.691 17.292 77.350 19.005 Mean Square 60.918 337.269	181
Mean 77.151 79.400 76.691 77.350 Mean 8 60.918	181
•	
2 373 210 153 210 370 370 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	واو
Grades 1 & 2 Mean SD .008 19.525 .660 11.910 .333 20.049 .995 19.283 Mean Square 595.308 381.090 3	i i
Grades Mean 78.008 1988.690 177.333 277.995 17.995 1988 381.090 F-Test	, כאָם ר
153 66 85 150 150	0.50
Basic School an SD 185 23.115 100 1.000 103 18.413 118 25.704 an Square 505.432 523.577	
Hean SD 68.085 23.1 47.000 1.00 72.803 18.4 64.918 25.7 Mean Square 1605.432 523.577 F-Test	990 6
Contrast	
Figure/Ground Contrast Overall Low Medium High Among Groups Within Groups	•
A A A	

Table 37

Attention by Large Movement on Screen for Different Ages

Table 38

Attention by Setting for Different Age Groups

	Ba	Basic School		Grad	Grades 1 & 2		Grad	Grades.3 & 4	,	
Setting	Mean	8) (3)	, zl	Mean	SD	zi	Mean	S	zi	
Overall	68.213	23.252	150	78.057	19.518	. 369	77,113	18.388	417	
Studio (Limbo)	67.846	26.496	65	78.037	20.958	163	77.953	20.330	172	
Interior - Home	61.583	21.804	12	73.576	21.132	33	68.789	17.622	38	
1	62,857	19.119	-	67.895	22,817	1,9	75.933	14.243	ဓ္ဌ	
Exterior - City	73.824	19.834	34	79.493	16.924	₹ 75	76.372	16.079	76	
1	000.99	18.547		17.091	16.701	33	84.194	12.468	33	
	62,455	19.983		84.652	13.968	23	80.292	•	 5.	
Exterior - Other	72.667	17.944		83,130	14.405	23	76.429	17.537	.58	`,
	.`.						ا رب ر		. \	
	\ <u>-</u>									
	Mean	Square	된	Mean	Square	됩	Mean	Mean Square	띪	
Among Groups	ä	£89	9	733.7	150	9	116.2	/ 96	, 'o	
ıin Groups	550.2	278	143	376.10	99	362	332.5	521	, 014	
			.						. · .	
	F-Test	أب	70	F-Test	ا د	700	F-Test	t	1	
	0.730		Over .500	0 1.951	>	. 072	2.334		032	
	1				•	1) h	-	1	

Table 39

Attention by Visual Mode for Different Age Groups

		Basi	Basic School	٠	Gred	Grades 1 & 2		Grad	Grades 3 & 4		, c
Visual Mode)	Mean	SD	N.	Mean	ଞା	zi	Mean		zi	
Overall Video Tape Animation Film	· , · \	68.542 69.977 65.423 71.133	22.970 22.000 25.462 17.515	153 86 52 15	78.011 76.137 79.342 81.907	19.498 20.775 17.358 17.878	371 208 120 43	77.234 75.184 80.130 79.490	18.342 18.162 19.519 14.185	419 239 131 19	•
* * *		Mean E	ean Square	FO	Mean 8	Square	DF	Mean	Square	DF	
Among Groups Within Groups	• •	391.661 532.935		, 2 150	689.807 379.535	35	368	1175.298	٠.	, 1,16	
		F-Test	1	1	F-Test	الد	2	F-Test		V _a	` .
	•	0.735		.482	1.818	•	.164	2.527		.031	

Table 40

Attention by Particular Muppet for Different Age Groups

•		173.		
×	\$ }	19 26 11 14 14	DE 671	- P 808
Grades 3 & 4	18.351 18.836 12.806	15.624 15.877 25.843 12.888 19.355	Mean Square 400.325 335.622	
Gra	73.266 64.759 80.500	74.316 75.538 70.636 71.500 74.977	Mean Sq. 400,325	F-Test
, , , ,	143 26 8	18 25 10 12	DF 6 134	D 2
Grades 1 & 2	21.474 23.337 21.684	16.720 16.186 21.158 16.988 23.781	quare 7	
Grad	73.441	73.266 59.400 79.417 76.875	Mean Square 734.157 448.282	F-Test
٥		·		sil v
심	67 61 4	2 6 9 2	· 66	053
Basic School	23.027 22.831 \0.0	• • • • •	quare	
Meen	64.898 55.600 55.000	77.333 40.000 53.000 70.809	Mean Square 985.349 ' 468.010	F-Test 2.105
	•	A		
		•		
' .	Burt	နှာ မ	sďnoz	•
duppet	Overall Ernie & Burt Cookie Oscar	Big Bird Kermit; Grover Any Muppet	Among Groups Within Groups	•
P.	9 5 5 8 9 8 9 8 9 9 8 9 9 8 9 9 8 9 9 8 9	Red Green	Amc W1t	• •

Table 41

Attention by Age and Type of Character for Different Age Groups

•					น 8
	Z	117 146 39 88	25. 10 10 10 10 10	108 108) p
3 3 8.4	(S)	18.362 19.239 15.987 12.379	20.376 14.145 12.540 12.426 13.092	aren ,	
Grades	Mean	77.060 77.541 79.820 73.329	77.640 72.500 74.700 74.200 81.242	Mean Sq 295.065	F-Test
•	zi	371 113 41 75	17 17 30 50 50	8 362 -	600
1 & 2	GS.	19.550 21.377 19.396 21.137	13.796 9.736 16.016 13.158	uare	
Graces	Mean	77.941 79.513 73.976 72.000	79.706 89.833 76.167 84.700	Mear Sq 972.007 370.204	F-Test 2.626
100	E	151 148 20 30	, e E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	. DF 7 142	Dver 500
Basic School	SD	23.203 23.553 22.307 24.702	20.592 20.592 29.337 25.736 21.158	Square	
Be	Mean	68.583 69.062 67.700 63.767	68.636 68.000 67.769 75.933	Mean Squ 252.521 558.268	F-Test
	Age & Type of Character	•	Muppet		
1	Type of	Overall Adult Child Muppet	Adult & Child Child, & Muppet Adult, Child & Muppet Animal	Among Groups Within Groups	,
*	Age &	Overall Adult Child Muppet	Adult Child RAdult, Adult, Animal	Among Within	

Table 42

Attention by Type of Character for Different Age Groups

		1 ,	m M	Basic School	딩	્ઠા	Grades 1 &	2	췽	Grades 3 & 4	اد.	
· 7	Type of Character	•	Mean	SD S	zi	Mean	SD	zl	Mean	SD.	zi	
	Overall	-		23.199	151	78,000	19.582	371	77.19h	18.326	, 422	
	Live			16.579	30	81.911,	18.871	62	79.170	15.957	100	
• .	Muppet ('Known')		61.886	23.668	35	71.506	21.226	79	73.092	19.201	98	Ŋ.
	Live & Muppet	`	68.647	20.875	17	76.611	17.363	, 5,	72.170	17.055	23	
	Any Muppet	: .	91.667	1.886	m	79.500 (46.912	9	85.750	15.802	.	
	Objects.		58,500	17.604	9	82.350	16.286	<u>ද</u>	73.850	15.907	ର	
	Animation		66.977	26.165	77	79.336	17.293	107	81.297	18.551	118	
	Abstract	•	001.79	30,526	<u>1</u>	73.000	22.045	ო	66, 400	38.655	ψ.	
1	Aniual	٠.	67.429	17.943	_	80.312	15.345	16	78.944	13,385	1.8	
2	Other	: -	73.250	13.663	. ≠	80.571	12.397	<u> </u>	75.333	14.648	9	
2	ed.	₹.			a ay ay						•	
	1 .		Mean S	Square	님	Mean 8	Square	E E	Mean	Mean Square	뉨	. :
	Among Grouns		701, 801	[₹ œ	191 029		. «	757	ر ب	œ	
	Within Groups	•		16	142	377.982	er	362	328.47	\ \\	413	•
	. `						• .		, .**	**		
•	*		F-Test	ر ز الد	7	F-Test	ا	Y	F-Test	الد	2	ı i
		• •	1.507		160	1.797		07.7	2.305	•	020	



Table-43

Attention by Live Characters for Different Age Groups,

	Bas	Basic School		Grad	Grades 1 & 2		ଧା	Grades 3 & 4		
Live Characters	Mean	Q	%	Mean	8	Z.	Mean	63	E I	
			ţ	.; ; ;	9	år (3		, b	
Sucen	73.964	19.214	Νά	717.77	18.544	138	76.481	16.759	156	•
Molly	81,000	9.566	o -4	17.027 86.833	11 . 1850	/ م م	88 833 ·	13.000 5.113	ሳ ጉ	
Gordon		23.060	· in	76.385	13.726	33	69.471	19.427	17	
Maria	65.000	20.785	Ó	76.444	14.167	6.	79.833	13.668	9	
David, Tom		11.314	ന	79.333	19.956	12	84.400	13.215	15	r
Høoper	68.750	25.714	ᠴ	79.889	14,843	ە 0	71.500	16,119.	77	
Children	64.800	11.391	• ^	83.714	24.417	1,	•	13.759	7,7	
Live Group.	81.000	10.368	7	75.529	15.707	17	75.158	13,793	16	
Other	77.375	18.950	9 T	79.143	18.265	42	16.240	18,651	50	
	نن			/	/-					200
	Mean 8	Square	띪	Mean 8	Square	DE.	Mean 8	Mean Souare	됩	•
	214.287	29	, 8 7 7	151.532		, 8 0 <u>6</u> 1	455.6	621	8 5	•
		.` !	·	•	***	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	<u> </u>		- -	•
	F-Test	,	70	F-Test		1	F-Test	ا (1	•
	0.530	5	Over .500	0.423		Over .500	799.1, 0		111	
			٢							

Table th

Attention by Kind of Character for Different Age Groups

	, see .		
피	397 181 128 24 24 6 3 7	DF 6	1 20
Grades 3 & h	18.410 16.903 18.680 21.954 12.931 21.608 10.934 11.687	are.	. I
Grad	77.247 74.110 80.266 72.083 85.333 76.788 85.143	Mean Squ 1005.120 327.793	F-Test
ما	349 155 109 21 5 29 12 17	<u>DF</u> 341	7 070
Crades 1 & 2	19.688 20.404 20.902 13.570 5.004 18.368 13.708	lare	
Mear	77.164 75.200 78.385 83.952 86.600 74.069 81.083	Mean Square 510.145 386.195	Der .500 1.521
티	139 61 61 61 61 75 75	DF 5.	1 2 P
Basic School	22.940 23.251 24.400 25.971 17.126 10.943 14.489	lare	
. Basi Mean	58.842 67.770 66.067 61.833 75.214 81.200 76.714	Mean Sq. 451.578 534.266	F-Test
Kind of Character	Overall Familiar/Regular Unfamiliar/Person Objects Personality Any Muppet Letter Number Hand	Among Groups Within Groups	

Attention by Curriculum Area for Different Age Groups

		11 g
≭ 	2000 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 00 01 01	D. d.
6	18.383 19.114 16.949 29.534 16.046 18.154 6.819 11.728 13.544 19.721 13.379 0.0	
Mean	77.289 79.313 81.841 62.444 71.312 77.613 66.167 68.657 83.000 76.333 67.087 64.400 70.913 82.853 90.000	F-Test
	364 63 12 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	990
8	19.429 18.395 13.307 17.549 13.007 22.603 15.677 18.653 30.536 16.456 22.291 24.288 13.800 0.0	
Mean	78.07 77.08 85.63 80.22 75.86 74.00 74.93 74.93 74.93 74.93 74.93 74.95 74.93 74.93 76.00 70.84 82.93 96.00	F-Test
zi	1132 TH 1132 T	7 4.18
89	23.231 25.812 24.002 26.043 16.706 18.138 0.0 17.787 17.787 17.787 17.552 0.0	•
Mean .	67.94 62.73 72.52 40.00 58.57 71.09 77.33 70.20 71.57 69.44 67.69 0.0	F-Test
Curriculum Area	Overall Pre-reading Goals Number Goals Geometric Forms Perceptual Discriminator Relational Concepts Classification Making Inferences Generating Explanations Fvaluating Explanations Foli Social Units Social Interactions Man-made Environment Natural Environment Ouality of Environment Within Groups	•
	Mean SD N Mean SD N Mean SD	Mean SD Mean SQ SD SD Mean SQ SD Mean SQ SD Mean SQ SD Mean SQ SD SD Mean SQ SD SD Mean SQ SD SD SD Mean SQ SD SD Mean SQ SD SD Mean SQ SD SD Mean SQ SD SD SD Mean SQ SD SD Mean SQ SD SD Mean SQ SD SD SD Mean SQ SD SD Mean SQ SD SD Mean SQ SD SD Mean SQ SD Mean SQ

Over .500

o.246

.058

Over .500 2.521

_ab].e 46

Attention by Wature of Affect for Different Age Groups

	. •	•	* ,	. 1
_	mi	116 319 28 58 58	DF 3	20
Grades 3 & 4		18.305 18.008 15.400 21.803	•	
Grac	Mean	77.178 77.527 75.107 76.776 74.455	Mean Square 83.020 337.720	F-Test
	zľ	370 289 28 28 44	<u>DF</u> 3 366	, 7ª
Crades 1 & 2	없.	19.547 18.086 24.866 23.598 15.514	lare.	
Crade	· Mc an	77.941 79.187 77.657 71.939 68.144	Mean Sor 954.254 378.1418	F-Test
— 1	zi	153 123 14 16	DF 2 149	24
Basic School		23.17 ⁴ 23.717 16.782 22.313	quare 5	•
Bas	Mean	68.144 67.106 76.286 69.000	Mean Square 357.395 544.267	F-Test
	1-5	• ,		
	Nature of Affect	Overall Positive Negative Both Other	Among Groups Within Groups	•

Table 47

ERIC

Attention by Visual Noise for Different Age Groups

	•	,		_
•	zi.	409 308 80 21	DF	£06.
Grades 3 & 4	ଞ୍ଚା	18.466 19.290 15.438 13.598	Mean Square	48 11
Grad	Mean	77.015 77.198 78.662 68.048	Mean	957.148 338.811
.•	zi	358 292 55 11	DF	2 355
Grades 1 & 2	8	19.014 19.742 15.676 9.332	fean Square	88
Grade	Mean	77.601 77.021 78.800 87.000	Mean S	573.796 361.346
	zi	150. 117 29 4	DF	2 1 ⁴ 7
Basic School	S.	23.143 23.947 19.236 23.689	ean Square	98 1 82
Basi	Mean	68.200 68.7 ^{11,1} 66.965 61.250	Mean S	135.908 5 ⁴¹ 1.678
,	•		•	· · _
		·		, p
	Visual Noise	Overall Low Medium High		Among Groups Within Groups

√a.	190.
F-Test	2.825
79	.206
F-Test	1.588
7	Over .500
F-Test	0.250

Table 48 Attention by Visual Clarity for Different Age Groups

	*Mean SD N Mean SD 'N Mean SD N	68.200 23.143 150 77.632 18.997 359 77.056 18.463 410 64.158 25.799 19 78.030 20,125 33 67.377 20.074 53 64.471 23.423 51 77.630 17.398 115 78.489 16.102 139 71.537 21.738 80 77.52 19.639 211 78.495 18.753 218	Hean Square DF Meun Square DF Mean Square DF	955.173 2 1.861 2 2850.664 2 533.530 147 363.917 356 329.390 4Q7	F-Test p6 F-''est p6
त्र ०००८ हो ०ए स	S		ean Square	•	F-Test D

Table 49

Attention by Integration of Visual and Sound for Different Age Groups

Integration of	Basic	Basic School		Orad	Grades 1 & 2		Grade	Grades 3 & 4	· •
Sight & Sound	Mean	ജ	2	Mesn	<u>.</u>	zi	Mean	S	뙤
Overall Integrated, Synchronized 67.500 Integrated, Matched 71.750	68.266 67.500 71.750	23.148 23.517 19.854	154 138 12	78.029 77.764 62.878	19.481 19.827 14.513	375 284 49	77.139 75.107 82.222	18.288 18.671 13.884	425 319 54
Separate . Integrated, Synchronized 88.00	80.500 88.000	3.000	ณ ณ	73.848	10.914 23.010	33.9	76.909 86.317	15.565	11
	Mean Square	duare	占	Meen Square	quare	FC	Mean Square	quare	DF.
Among Groups Within Groups	434.925 541.146	•	3	604.087 378.731		3	2055.276 322.967	• • •	3 421
	F-Test		16th	F-Test 1.595		191	F-Test 6.364		Under .001

Table 50

Attention by Pace for Different Age Groups

		Bass	Basic School	سا	Gra	Grades 1 & 2	αl	S.	Grades 3 & 4	· .	
Pace	• ,	Mean	CS	# ZI	Mean	읪	zi	Mean	8	×	
Overall. Slow Medium Fast	• •	68.351 81.100 65.733 75.400	23.024 15.469 22.831 23.260	151 10 116 25,	78.008 78.514 76.207 / 83.415	19.525 15.198 20.164 18.072	373 35 256 82	77.176 74.864 75.955 82.414	18.341 14.535 18.985 16.867	1571 14 290 87	
Among Groups Within Groups		Mean Squar 1831.278 516.118	Square 278 118	148 P	Mean Squa 1617.785 375.558	Square 785 558	DF 2 370	Mean Squa 1526.347 331.502	e l	DF 2 418	
		F-Test	 	.032	F-Test	. I	215.	F-Test	ند اد	i i	

Table 51

()

Attention by Intensity of Humor for Different Age Groups

Intensity of in	llumor .	Basi Mean	Basic School	zl	Grad	Grades 1 & 2 an SD	zl	Gra	Grades 3 & 4	z	
Overall Absent Mild Moderate Very Strong		67.672 72.308 63.180 70.417	24.131 22.098 24.589 25.937	125 520 61 61	77.799 81.72 76.494 73.632 75.429	20.219 18.871 18.851 24.406 19.588	319 114 141 57	77.156 76.870 75.381 81.522 81.222	19.045 17.602 20.731 16.287 15.880	352 108 168 67 9	•
x		Mean 8	Square		rean S	quare	F	Mean S	Square	当	
Among Groups Within Groups		812.76	ayo 1	221	1051		3 315	654.670 .361.221	О д	m 878	
		F-Test 1.398		22.7.	F-rest	1	.058	F-Test	1	p 2	

Attention by 'Location' of Humor for Different Age Groups

	•	•		*	۲.	
	۶İ	252 35 107 109	DF	2 248	, 1	.005
Grades 3 & L	GS S	19.474 19.833 15.808 21.454	Square	. •	'e	y ⁿ
Gre	Mean	77.329 69.343 81.785 75.113	Mean S	1630,936	F-Test	4.461
-	ž.	217 28 99 89	剧	213 213	V _P	.348
Grades 1 & 2	8	21.505 20.100 22.269 20.775	quare	2 H		
Grade	Mean	76.083 71.393 78.778 74.562	Mean Square	513.482 463.931	F-Test	1.107
•	- 4 - 4			•	- 11	
	zi,	82 11 31	됩.	N &	P	124
Basic School	ଞ୍ଚ	24.593 21.118 23.074 25.267	Square	431 755	ادد	•
Basic	Mean	66.500 77.800 69.585 58.774	Mean	590.755	F-Test	1.985
			Ş	•	•,	Ø
	Location of Hymor	Overall & Primarily Verbal & Primarily Visual Both Verbal & Visual	•	Among Groups Within Groups	1	
	Locati	Overall Primari Primari Both Ves		Among Within		.*

1Ì7.

Table 53

ERIC **

Full faxt Provided by ERIC **

Attention by Mode of Humor for Different Age Groups

	1		10	•		, .∳1
احـ	ri I	2 23 56 16	% # 44 % # 64	26. TI	216 216	, 158
Grades 3 & 1	8	18.764 19.925 18.1347		.22.457 Square		l l
Gra	Mean	77.363 80,911 75.625 68.25	82.778 74.046 73.429		546.902 346.323	F-fest
· (.	× V	193 16 15	28 38 10	24 JUF	5 186	452
Grades 1 & 2		21.784 21.685 14.212	21.192 23.828 23.543	18.077	, 1	1
Grad	Mean	75.378 76.283 78.133	500 210 800	69.125 Mean So	1459.93h 1477.565	F-Test
		4	.,	•	· ·	7 8
	z!	75 77	17	₹	, 68	, 0
Basic School	SD	24.931 26.145 26.147	19.859 29.237 16.426	21.322	₹.£	J 4946
	Mean	65.307 68.296 66.571	61.000 61.118 63.643	70.600 21.3	137.024 673,463	F-Test
	نوب الأون إ		× × ;	•		
	,	ન			•	· /
•	Mode of Humor	Overall Nonsense-Verbal Duping-Verbal	incongraity Looks Silly Mistake Context		Among Groups Within Groups	•
, ,	Mod	Ove Non Duo		•	Amo Wit	•

Table 54

Attention by Mode of Presentation of Goal for Different Age Groups

The contract of Made	ř.	Basic School	ا ا	. 51.	Grades 1 & 2	0	OI	Grades 3 &	عا
of Goal	Mean	S	zl	Mean	(S)	z l	Mean		اع
Overall Sequenced	68.510	23.027 23.106	153	77.938 84.045	. 19.543 13.169	369	77.143	18.317	418 85
Identify, Match, Label, Conversation	74.000	23.913 17.826	7.0	78.079 79.955	20.327 14.605	151 22	77.699	18.962 12.109	
Explanation	70.542	23.058	^م ر کې	73.409 67.421	21.305 24.001	88 19	73.91/1	17.545 22.255	
Other	67.500	20.141	† T	83.545	13.727	22	, ko 500	119.91	~
	Mean	Square	DF	Mean	Square	ង	Mean	Square	DF
Among Groups Within Groups	549.142 533.184	ղ8 Հղ	7 147	1437.729 368.123	729 123	5 363	823.947 330.404	+7 դс	51,12

F-Test p	2.494 .031
78	•005°
F-Test	31902
12	\$ T
F-Test	1.030

Attention by Direction of Speech for Different Age Groups

4	Basi	Basic School	1	હી	Grades 1 & 2	Na	히	Grades 3 & 4.	ㅋ	
Direction of Speech	Mean	SS	Z	Mean	8 1	N	Mean	S	RI	· · .
Overall Addressing Each Other Addressing Audience Asking for Participation Combination 1 & 2 Variation	68.007 67.976 71.333 84.333 64.946 56.167 69.833	23.361 22.321 22.327 1.247 23.392 32.437 30.262	27. 27. 27. 27. 6	77.824 76.906 78.667 67.000 76.037 80.625 83.286	19.524 21.718 18.514 15.824 19.419 17.496	364 107 105 82 82 48	77.087 72.711 80.590 82.667 74.575. 85.574	18.240 19.027 15.718 7.587 17.784 15.586 26.749	44.22 11.42	• ,
•	Mean Square	uare	DE	Mean	Mean Square	DF	Mean Square	quare	DF	
Among Groups Within Groups	361, 73 ⁴ 560, 252	y	139	247.095 385.264	•	#55 #55	1042.144 319.440	, •	¥03.	
	F-Test	Over	p _ o	F-Test 0.641	الد	7-4 005 · 500	F-Test		002	

135

Attention by Bit Duration for Different Age Groups

		1,24 5.7	92 93	0 L	25 14 14	8			•	J 60.
les 3 & 4	SI N	94.9	5.455	4.177 7.861 8.878	5.77 207		are Tr	8 115	•	Under
Orades	Mean	77.599	81.622	75.689 170 514	72.714 17		Mean Square	1311.671 303.859		1-rest
ر د ع	zi	369	83.	40 P	17 T	81	DF	360	•	.123
rades 1		2,5	15.834	1 67 87 1 87 87	1 %		Souare	289 105 ~		
	Mean	78.165 78.882	79.14 80.036 80.36	72.407	81.619 66.000	10.0h	Mean	604		.500
School N			029 28 107 28	.~ "	1 55 1	^	님	145	8	Over .
lesic		ຊູ່ & ເຄີ		13.	21.3	· ·	n Square	.398 .322	در س س	17
Mean		68.565 4.864 7.864	72.821 72.071	70.000 57.400	70.91 58.4.29	<u>.</u>	Mean	445 664	· F-Te	0.91
	, 			•	- }			88		•
Bit Duration	,	Overall 0 - :30 :30 - 1:00	00 - 1:30 30 - 2:00	30 - 2:30	30 - 1.00	. .		Among Groups Within Offoups		
副	į	ို ဝင်	HH	N N N	3:30 More	3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		MAH		

121.