DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 125 407

HE 007 951

AUTHOR

Elsass, J. E.

TITLE

An Assessment of Future Higher Education Resource Requirements, Including the Role of Tuition: A Staff Report for Use in Developing the Illinois Master

Plan -- Phase IV.

INSTITUTION

Illinois State Board of Higher Education,

Springfield. Master Plan Committee.

PUB DATE

Sep 75 83p.

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.83 HC-\$4.67 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS

Community Colleges; *Educational Assessment; *Educational Economics; Educational Finance;

*Educational Needs; Educational Planning; *Financial Problems; *Higher Education; Income; Junior Colleges;

Management; Post Secondary Education; Resource Allocations; State Aid; State Colleges; State Legislation; State Universities; Trend Analysis;

Tuition

IDENTIFIERS

*Illinois

ABSTRACT

An assessment of future requirements for Illinois higher education operations and grants leads to the identification of some significant trends and problems. These include: (1) trends in enrollment and in financing higher education in Illinois for the period fiscal years 1966 to 1976; (2) projections of resource requirements for Illinois higher education operations and grants; and (3) additional resource requirements as well as financing issues. (Author/KE)



U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EQUICATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EQUICATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT DEFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

AN ASSESSMENT OF FUTURE HIGHER EDUCATION RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING THE ROLE OF TUITION: A Staff report for use in developing the Illinois Master Plan-Phase IV.

by J.E. Elsass

State of Illinois Board of Higher Education



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
I.	INTRODUCTION	I-1
II.	HISTORICAL TRENDS IN FINANCING ILLINOIS HIGHER	
	EDUCATION	II-1
	Enrollment Growth	II-1
	Growth in Financial Support	II-1
	Impact of Inflation on Financial Support	II - 19
	Comparisons With Other States	11-39
III.	RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE FUTURE	III-4
	The Projection Techniques	III-4
	Public University	III-4
	Public Community Colleges	III -6
	Illinois State Scholarship Commission	III-7
	Financial Assistance to Private Colleges and	
	Universities	III-7
	Health Services Grants Act	III-7
	Higher Education Cooperation Act	III-7
	Board of Higher Education	III-8
	Retirement	III-8
	IBA Rentals	III-8
	The Projections	III-8
	Discussion of Projections	III-19
	Sensitivity of the Projections	III-23
IV.	FINANCING ISSUES FOR THE FUTURE	IV-1
	Additional Resources Requirements	IV-1
	Community College Revenue Shortfall	IV-1
	Retirement Funding	IV-1
	New Building Maintenance	IV-2
	Support for Nonpublic Higher Education	IV-3
	New Program Requests	IV-3
	Reducing the Revenue Deficit	₩- 3
	Planning and Management for Growth and Decline	IV-5
	Resource Reallocation	IV-6
	State Supported Research	IV-6
	Public Service Programs	IV-6
	Subsidies to Auxiliary Enterprises	IV-7
	User Fees	IV-7
	Tuition at Public Universities	IV-7
	Increase State Tax Support	IV-10
	Reassessment of Priorities	TV-11



TABLES

<u>Tables</u>	•	Page <u>Number</u>
II-1	Historical Summary of Fall Headcount and Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Enrollment by Major Sector, FY1966 through FY1975	II-2
II-2	Historical Summary of Fall Headcount and Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Enrollment by Major Sector, FY1966 through FY1975, Indexed	11-3
II-3A	Historical Summary of State Expenditures for Higher Education, FY1966 through FY1971	I I- 4
II-3B	Historical Summary of State Expenditures for Higher Education, FY1972 through FY1976	II - 5
II-4A	Historical Summary of State General Revenue Tax Expenditures for Higher Education FY1966 through FY1971	II-8
II-4B	Historical Summary of State General Revenue Tax Expenditures for Higher Education FY1972 through FY1976	II - 9
II - 5	Total State General Revenue Tax Funds and Amount Appropriated to Higher Education FY1966 through FY1976	II-11
II-6A	Historical Summary of State Expenditures for Public Universities, FY1966 through FY1971	II-12
II-6B	Historical Summary of State Expenditures for Public Universities, FY1972 through FY1976	II-13
II-7A	Historical Summary of State General Revenue Tax Expenditures for Public Universities by Campus, FY1966 through FY1971	II-14
II-7B	Historical Summary of State General Revenue Tax Expenditures for Public Universities by Campus, FY1972 through FY1976	II-15
11-8	Audited Operating Expenditures for Public Community Colleges, FY1968 through FY1975	II-16



<u>Tables</u>	,	Page Number
II - 9	Audited Operating Revenues for Public Community Colleges, FY1968 through FY1975	II-17
II-11A	Historical Summary of ISSC Monetary Awards by Major Sector of Higher Educa- tion, FY1966 through FY1971	II-20
II-11B	Historical Summary of ISSC Monetary Awards by Major Sector of Higher Educa- tion, FY1972 through FY1975	II-21
II-12	Historical Summary of the Number of Monetary Awards Made by ISSC, FY1976 through FY1975	II-22
II-13A	Historical Summary of State Expenditures for Higher Education, FY1966 through FY1971, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars	II· ?.4
II-13B	Historical Summary of State Expenditures for Higher Education, FY1972 through FY1976, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars	II - 25
II-14A	Historical Summary of State General Revenue Tax Expenditures for Higher Education FY1966 through FY1971, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars	11-26
II-14B	Historical Summary of State Ceneral Revenue Tax Expenditures for Higher Education FY1972 through FY1976, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars	II-27
II-15A	Historical Summary of State Expenditures for Higher Education, FY1966 through FY1971, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars and Indexed	II-28
II-15B	Historical Summary of State Expenditures for Higher Education, FY1966 through FY1971, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars and Indexed	11-29
II-16A	Historical Summary of State General Revenue Tax Expenditures for Higher Education, FY1966 through FY1971, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars and Indexed	11-30
II-16B	Historical Summary of State General Revenue Tax Expenditures for Higher Education, FY1972 through FY1976, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars and Indexed	II-31



<u>Tables</u>		Page <u>Number</u>
II-17A	Historical Summary of State Expenditures for Public Universities, FY1966 through FY1971, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars	II-32
II-17B	Historical Summary of State Expenditures for Public Universities, FY1972 through FY1976, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars	II-33
II-18A	Historical Summary of State General Revenue Expenditures for Public Universities by Campus, FY1966 through FY1971, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars	11-34
II-1.,B	Historical Summary of State General Revenue Expenditures for Public Universities by Campus, FY1972 through FY1976, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars	11-35
II-19A	Historical Summary of ISSC Monetary Awards by Major Sector of Higher Education, FY1966 through FY1971, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars	II-36
II-19B	Historical Summary of ISSC Monetary Awards by Major Sector of Higher Education, FY1972 through FY1975, Deflated to FY1956 Dollars	II-37
II-21	Total Instructional Costs as a Percentage of Total Costs, Public Univer ities, Public Community Colleges and Combined, FY1967 through FY1974	II-41
II-22	Combined State and Local Appropriations for Higher Education Per FTE Enrollment, FY1974	II - 42
II-23	Combined State and Local Appropriations for Higher Education on a Per Capita Basis, FY1974	11-43
II-24	Combined State and Local Appropriations for Higher Education Per \$1,000 of Per Capita Personal Income, FY1974	11-44



<u>Tables</u>		Page Number
III-1	Projected Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) En- rollment for Public Universities, Public Community Colleges and Private Colleges and Universities, FY1977 through FY1985	III-5
III-2	Higher Education Operations and Grants, Resource Requirements for FY1977 through FY1985, Series I	111-9
III-3	Higher Education Operations and Grants, Resource Requirements for FY1977 through FY1985, Series II	III-10
III-4	Higher Education Operations and Grants, Resource Requirements for FY1977 through FY1985, Series III	III-11
III-5	Projected Resource Requirements and Projected Revenue Sources for Public Community Colleges, FY1977 through FY1985, Series I	III-12
III-6	Projected Resource Requirements and Pro- jected Revenue Sources for Public Com- munity Colleges, FY1977 through FY1985, Series II	III-13
III-7	Projected Resource Requirements and Pro- jected Revenue Sources for Public Com- munity Colleges, FY1977 through FY1985, Series III	III-14
III-8	Projected Requirements for General Revenue Tax Appropriations, FY1977 through FY1985, Series I	III -1 5
III-9	Projected Requirements for General Revenue Tax Appropriations, FY1977 through FY1985, Series II	III-16
III-10	Projected Requirements for General Revenue Tax Appropriations, FY1977 through FY1985, Series III	III-17
III-11	Projected State General Revenue Tax Appropriations, FY1977 through FY1985	111-20
III-12	Analysis of Projected Resource Requirements, Selected Years, FY1977, FY1980 and FY1985, Series I	III-21



<u>Tables</u>		Page <u>Number</u>
III-13	Analysis of Projected Resource Require- ments, Selected Years, FY1977, FY1980 and FY1985, Series II	III-22
III-14	Analysis of Projected Resource Require- ments, Selected Years, FY1977, FY1980 and FY1985, Series III	III-23
IV-1	Resource Requirements for Stabilizing Funding of State University Retirement System	IV-2
IV-2	Additional Resource Requirements for Higher Education and Impact on Projected Revenue Deficit	IV-4
IV-3	Projected Tuition Rates to Achieve Recommended Tuition Policy by FY1980 (assuming 8% inflation)	IV-8
IV-4	Estimated Additional Revenue Resulting From Tuition Rate Increases	IV-9
IV-5	Estimated Additional State Resource Requirements For The ISSC Programs	IV-9
IV-6	Net New Revenues Resulting From The Proposed Tuition Rate Increases	IV-10
IV-7	Revenue Deficit After Tultion Rate Increases, Projection Series II (8 percent inflation)	IV-10



I. INTRODUCTION

This paper prepared by the staff of the Illinois Board of Higher Education is an assessment of future resource requirements for Illinois higher education operations and grants.

Predicting resource requirements is most difficult. Possibly the most useful outcome of projecting resource requirements as a part of a master planning study is to identify some of the significant trends which will possibly occur during the planning period. A further purpose is to identify early some of the problems which are likely to occur in the future.

The next decade in higher education will probably be considerably different than the last decade. While the previous decade was a period in which substantial enrollment growth occurred and substantial funding was provided, the next decade for higher education could be one of limited additional financial support and very modest enrollment growth followed by enrollment declines. The coming decade will be an opportunity to reassess priorities in Illinois higher education and to enhance the quality of existing higher education programs and activities.

Chapter II will present a summary of trends in enrollments and in financing higher education in Illinois for the period fiscal years 1966-1976 inclusive. Projections of resource requirements for Illinois higher education operations and grants, assuming no major changes, are presented in Chapter III. A revenue deficit is projected under all of the projections series. Chapter IV discusses additional resource requirements as well as financing issues for addressing the revenue deficit projected in Chapter III.



I-1

II. HISTORICAL TRENDS IN FINANCING ILLINOIS HIGHER EDUCATION

The following is a summary of trends in financing higher education in Illinois for the period, fiscal year 1966 through 1976. Financial data used for compiling these financing trends includes reports of actual expenditures of State appropriated funds and actual appropriations when spending data was not available.

Enrollment Growth

During the period FY1966 through 1975, substantial enrollment growth occurred in Illinois. Table II-1 shows headcount and full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollment growth for this period. FTE enrollments grew from 238,131 in fiscal year 1966 to 382,537 in fiscal year 1975. Table II-2 shows an index of headcount and FTE enrollment growth by three major sectors-public universities, public community colleges and private colleges and universities. Substantial growth in headcount enrollment has occurred in the public universities and community colleges while modest enrollment growth has occurred among the private colleges and universities. However, there have been stable FTE enrollment levels since FY1972 in the public universities and in the private sector while FTE enrollments at the public community colleges have continued to increase during these years.

Growth in Financial Support

While there has been substantial enrollment growth during the period FY1966 through 1975, there has also been substantial growth in the level of State financial support. Tables II-3 A and B shows total State expenditures for higher education by major component for the period FY1966 through FY1976. Total State expenditures include general revenue tax appropriations and universities income fund appropriations. Universities income fund appropriations are comprised primarily of revenues collected through student tuition and fee charges by the public universities. This data shows that financial support increased from \$206 million in FY1966 to \$770 million in FY1976. Financial support to higher education has more than tripled during this period.

During the period FY1966 to FY1975 a number of new initiatives in Illinois higher education have been undertaken. Direct financial assistance to private colleges and universities has been provided through the Illinois Financial Assistance Act for Nonpublic Institutions of Higher Education since FY1972. This funding program supplements indirect assistance to private colleges and universities through grant programs of ISSC. A major health education program expansion was begun as both public and private universities. Substantial new funds have been allocated to public universities for expansion of an existing medical school and the creation of a new medical school. In addition, financial grants are made to private universities through the Health Services Grants Act for health education program expansion. Substantial



Table 11-1

E	
빌	
ulvelen	973
Egi	Ξ
1	뒿
11-T	6 thr
d Fu	1966
t An	PY
edcoun	ctor
Headco	r Sec
11	14 10
of F	by
IFY (-
	rolls
100	Enrollmen
orte	
HIS	

	FY1966	FY1967	FY1968	FY1969	FY1970	FY1971	FY1972	FY1973	FY1974	FY1975
Headcount Enrollment										
Public Universities	113,855	125,521	137,561	154,448	167,653	183,141	179,105	178,725	180,516	185,635
Public Community Colleges	63,948	68,034	83,246	102,414	121,845	142,486	163,830	185,328	226,109	267,349
Private Colleges & Universities	135,142	139,300	142,254	141,199	141,482	138,906	139,478	137,466	136,936	139,903
Totel	312,945	332,855	363,061	398,061	430,980	464,533	482,413	501,519	543,561	592,887
Full-Time Equivalent Enrollment								•		
Public Universities	97,676	107,186	115,419	129,163	140,277	152,945	153,393	151,933	151,477	152,111
Public Community Colleges	39,865	43,491	52,533	64,293	77,776	89,505	102,608	106,463	106,576	119,707
Private Colleges & Universities	100,590	106,277	109,124	109,909	110,014	108,058	109,468	107,736	107,836	110,719
Totel	238, 131	256,954	277,076	303,365	328,067	350,508	365,469	366,132	365,889	382,537

Table Il-2

Historical Summary of Fall Readcount and Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Enrollment by Major Sector, FY1966 through FY1975, Indexed

	ēŧ	BUTCHER OF THE OFFICE STANDS CHICAGE STANDS	101 010	202111	111000	17/78 THINCH	31			
	FY 1966	FY1967	FY1968	FY 1969	FX1970	FY1971	FY1972	FY1973	FY1974	FY1975
Neadcount Enrollment										
Public Universities	100.0	110.2	120.8	135.7	147.3	160.9	157.3	157.0	158.5	163.0
Public Chamunity Colleges	100.0	106.4	130.2	160.2	190.5	222.8	256.2	289.8	353.6	418.1
Private Collegea & Universities	100.0	103.1	105.3	104.5	104.7	102.8	103.2	101.7	101.3	103.5
Total	100.0	106.4	116.0	127.2	137.7	148.4	154.2	160.3	173.7	189.4
Full-Time Equivalent Enrollment										
Public Universities	100.0	109.7	118.2	132.2	143.6	156.6	157.0	155.5	155.1	155.7
Public Community Colleges	100.0	109.1	131.0	161.3	195.1	224.5	257.4	267.0	267.3	300.3
Private Collegea & Univarsities	100.0	•105.6	108.5	109.3	4.601	₩.701	100.0	107.1	107.2	110.1
Total	100.0	107.9	116.4	127.4	137.0	147.2	153.5	153.7	153.7	160.6



Table 11-3A

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHER EDUCATION, FY1971

EXPEND -ITURES FY1971	388,240	44,361	37,165	9, % 8 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1,687	20,661	35,800	535,912
EXPEND -ITURES FY1970	341,429	35,757	29,579	2,591 1,884 0	108	18,317	28,685	456,360
EXPEND - ITURES FY1969	288,431	20,213	19,425	297 0 0	297	11,716	18,568	358,651
EXPEND -ITURES FY1968	234,943	20, 393	10,629	275	275	13,281	23,370	302,891
EXPEND -ITURES FY1967	213,838	18 F.	5,923	ccoc	c	5,486	7,812	251,667
EXPENP -ITURES FY1966	182,167	10,064	4,381	céco		* 40 * *	5,249	206,804
	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	COMMUNITY COLLEGES	ISSC	BOARD OF HIGHER ED. HEALTH SERV GRANTS HECA NON-PIRE 1. FIN. ASSIST.	OPERATIONS	RETIREMENT	IBA RENTALS	TOTAL STATE EXPENDITURES
				11-4				



Table 11-3B HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHER EDUCATION, FY1972 THROUGH FY1976

	EXPEND -ITURES FY1972	EXPEND -ITURES FY1973	EXPEND -1TURES FY1974	APPROPRI -ATIONS FY1975	APPROPRI -ATIONS FY1976
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	393,458	416,177	438,486	478,398	506,922
COMMINITY COLLEGES	51,610	61,941	76,624	895'06	97,281
ISSC	44,885	536,63	63,067	72,485	70,214
BOARD OF HIGHER ED. HEALTH SERV GRANTS	19,207 12,925	16,878	22,829 15,665	24,307	30,014 21,362
NON-PUBLIC FIN. ASSIST. OPERATIONS	5,315 968	5,829 882	350 5, 8 96 917	6,000 000 000 000 000 000	790 6,76 8 1,094
RETIREMENT	14,517	17,517	19,720	24,486	28,479
IBA RENTALS	32,790	36,137	36,137	36,137	36,137
TOTAL STATE EXPENDITURES	556,466	608,605	656,862	726,376	769,047



improvements were made to the grant programs of the ISSC. These improvements have resulted in more than 50,000 students attending public and private institutions each year with an ISSC award of grant assistance. A substantial portion of the public community college system has been built and financed during this period. In addition, special programs for encouraging cooperation among all institutions, both public and private, have been initiated, special programs for disadvantaged students have been established and substantial gains in faculty and administrative salaries have been achieved. Two new public universities have been established to serve upper division and masters level student enrollment.

During the period, FY1966 through 1976, total general revenue tax funds for the State of Illinois grew from just over \$1 billion in FY1966 to about \$3.8 billion in FY1975. Currently, it is estimated that total general revenue tax funds for FY1976 will exceed \$4 billion. Tables II-4 A and B shows the level of general revenue tax expenditures to Illinois higher education for the period fiscal year 1966 through 1976. During this period financial support grew from \$197 million in FY1966 to \$688 million in FY1976. An often-used measure of state financial support to higher education is the portion of state general revenue tax funds appropriated to higher education.

Table II-5 shows total general revenue tax funds for each of the Fiscal years 1966 to 1976. The table also shows the percentage share of general revenue tax funds appropriated to higher education institutions and programs. The data in Table II-5 indicate a substantial increase in the amount of general revenue tax funds available in FY1970. This major revenue increase was due primarily to the initiation of a State personal and corporate income tax. All other annual revenue increases have resulted from minor changes to the taxing structure and general growth in the State's economy.

Tables II-6 A and B shows total state expenditures for public universities by governing system and individual campuses. Tables II-7 A and B shows total general revenue tax support for public universities by governing system and individual campus. These two tables indicate that substantial growth in financial support for public universities has occurred during the last decade. In some cases, campus—by—campus appropriation and expenditure data were not available for the construction of these two tables.

Table II-8 shows total audited operating expenditures for the public community colleges by major functional area from fiscal year 1968 through 1975. This table shows that expenditures at the community colleges have more than quadrupled in this period. This reflects the major growth in enrollment which has occurred in this sector of Illinois higher education. Table II-9 shows total audited operating revenues for the public community colleges. These data indicate the degree to which community colleges rely on multiple revenue sources for financial support. Table II-10 shows the proportional amount from each of the five funding sources for FY1968 and FY1975.



II-7

IIISTORICAL SIMMARY OF STATE GENERAL REVENUE TAX EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHER EDUCATION FY1966 THROUGH FY1971 Table 11-4A

EXPEND S -ITURES O FY1971	350,836	7 44,361	9 37,165	1 9,687 8,000 0		7 20,661	5 35,800	605,864 6
EXPEND - ITURES FY1970	316,299	35,757	29,579	2,591 1,884 0	202	18,317	28,685	431,229
EXPEND - ITURES FY1969	265,059	20,213	19,425	297	0 297	11,716	18,568	335,278
EXPEND -ITURES FY1968	230,296	20,393	10,629	275	275	13,281	23,370	298,243
EXPEND -ITURES FY1967	192,773	18,608	5,923	c o o	G C	5,486	7,812	230,601
EXPEND -ITURES FY1966	172,986	10,064	4,381	•••	٠. •	116 4	5,249	197,624
	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	COMMUNITY COLLEGES	1880	BOARD OF HIGHER ED. HEALTH SERV GRANTS HECAO	NOM-PUBLIC FIN. ASSIST. OPERATIONS	RETIREMENT	IBA RENTALS	TOTAL STATE EXPENDITURES

Table 11-48

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE GENERAL REVENUE TAX EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHER EDUCATION FY1976

	EXPEND -ITURES FY1972	EXPEND -ITURES FY1973	EXPEND -ITURES FY1974	APPROPRI -ATIONS FY1975	APPROPRI -ATIONS FY1976
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	340,826	352,888	380,013	410,591	425,775
COMMUNITY COLLEGES	51,610	61,941	76,624	195,06	97,281
1880	14,885	59,955	63,067	72,485	70,214
BOARD OF HIGHER ED. HEALTH SERV GRANTS	19,207	16,878	22,829 15,665	24,307	30,014 21,362
NON-PUBLIC FIN. ASSIST. OPERATIONS	5,315 96 8	5,829 882	5,896 710	6,000 786	1,094 1,094
RETIREMENT	14,517	17,517	19,720	24,486	28,479
18A RENTALS	32,790	36,137	36,137	36, 137	36,137
TOTAL STATE EXPENDITURES	503,834	545,316	598,389	698,869	687,900



Table 11-5

Total Stata Ceneral Revenue Tax Funds and Amount Appropriated to Higher Education -

(in thousands of dollars)

					Actual					Estimated	eted
	PY1966	FY1966 FY1967 FY1969	FY1968	FY1969	FY1970	171971	FY1969 FY1970 FY1971 FY1972 FY1974	FY1973	FY1974	FY1975 FY1976	FY1976
Total State General Tax Revenuea	\$1,022,001.2	\$1,022,001.2 \$1,046,032.8 \$1,330,953.4	\$1,330,953.A	\$1,515,910.4	\$2,349,952.3	\$2,552,130.1	\$1,515,910.4 \$2,349,952.3 \$2,552,130.1 \$2,769,300.9 \$3,153,592.4 \$3,572,627.5 \$3,810,000.0 \$4,100,000.0	\$3,153,592.A	\$3,572,627.5	\$3,810,000.0	\$4,100,000.0
General Tax Revenue Support of Higher Education	197,623.6	230,601.4	298,243.3	335,277.8	431,229.4	498,508.5	503,834.2	545,315.6	598, 369.4	658,569.1	687,900.0
Percent of Total State General Tax Revenue Support to Higher Education	19.3	22.0	22.4	22.1	18.3	0.0	16.0	15.3	16.7	17.3	16.8

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Table 11-6A

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE EXPENDITURES FOR PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES, FY1906 THROUGH FY1971

	EXPEND -ITURES FY1966	EXPEND -ITURES FY1967	EXPEND ITURES FY1968	EXPEND -ITURES FY1969	EXPEND -ITURES FY1970	EXPEND -ITURES FY1971
. BURLIC UNIVERSITIES	182,167	213,838	234,943	288,431	341,429	388,240
O C WOLLOW DO	410	26.772	32,425	43,705	9,676	105, 88
BOARD OF GOVERNORS	200,03	11. V	5.287	8,318	8,133	084,6
CSU	77,77	8,965	10,035	12,501	14,677	16,295
150 150	c		0	0 (0	107	
	2,722	4,213	5,784	162./		20,07
	7,391	9,193	11,123	116,61		
910	c	c	0	9	20.5	485
CENTRAL OFFICE	151	187	197	784	cnc	
	200	11 919	18.426	50.476	60,379	72,518
BOARD OF REGENIS	500.00	2 × 4 × 5	16.306	20,445	25,463	29,486
	16,033	100°	22,055	29,816	34,005	38,811
	000'01				693	3,915
SSU CENTRAL DEFICE	-	. 0	19	214	218	306
NINAL DI INE	ì	ı		•		
COLLTHERN III IINIV	35,757	43,939	47,978	55,571	12,429	777'0/
LDRONDALE		•	0	c •	.	•
CARBONIALL	c	c	0	0	s (-
SVSTEM OFFICE	c	c	0	0	5	>
						170 107
STONE THE BOOK	98.173	109,309	116,114	138,680	156,940	/ET '0/T
CITAGO CIRCIE	12,528	17,548	19,489	26,964	696,25	506,00 FAR 01
TOWN CONTRACT.	745 46	24 805	23,119	27,247	32,544	796,307
MEDICAL CENIER	000		70.231	80,601	20,047	405,76
UKBANA	700 700	177 6	3.275	3,867	4,379	2,41/
GENERAL UNIV	04177			•		

Table II-68 Historical Summary of State Expenditures for public Universities, Fy1972 Through Fy1976

EXPEND -ITURES FY1976	493,582	84, 166 13, 258 18, 289 9, 397	14,580 26,198 1,915 530	91,395 36,290 44,980 9,712	95,986 66,016 29,220 751	235,375 44,549 60,053 119,836 10,937
EXPEND -ITURES FY1975	478,398	79,878	13,804 24,615 759 394	87,214 34,130 43,359 9,382 362	92, 88 3 67,597 24,572 715	218,425 41,725 54,966 311,471
EXPEND -ITURES FY1974	438,486	72,744 11,438 16,887 7,639	14,049 21,730 639 362	81,767 31,475 41,286 8,676 331	85,886 58,123 27,190 572	198,089 39,101 46,650 102,863 9,476
EXPEND -ITURES FY1973	416,177	68,314 11,416 16,634 7,178	11,565 21,179 0 343	79,018 30,658 40,236 7,814 310	80,424 52,962 26,656 806	188,421 38,610 84,753 99,529 5,529
EXPEND -ITURES FY1972	393,458	62,713 9,693 16,224 4,860	10,993 20,592 0 351	74,521 29,517 39,344 5,364 296	78,498 45,037 23,647 9,814	177,726 36,937 40,255 95,448 5,066
	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	BOARD OF GOVERNORS CSU E1U GSU	RAL OFF	BOARD OF REGENTS ISU NIU SSU CENTRAL OFFICE	SOUTHERN ILL UNIV CARBONDALE EDWARDSVILLE SYSTEM OFFICE	UNIV OF ILLINOIS CIIICAGO CIRCLE MEDICAL CENTER Urbaha General univ

ERIC

Table 11-7A

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE GENERAL REVENUE TAX EXPENDITURES FOR PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES BY CAMPUS, FY19T6 THROUGH FY1971

EXPEND -ITURES FY1971	350,836	50,933 8,127 14,715 1,669	8,122 17,917 0 384	65,009 26,897 34,033 3,774 3,774	74,211 0 0	160,683 31,019 37,372 86,875 5,417
EXPEND -ITURES FY1970	316,299	45,349 7,152 13,788	8,118 15,722 0 303	55,183 23,873 30,399 693 218	69,686 0 0	146,081 28,768 29,272 83,661 4,379
EXPEND -ITURES FY1969	265,059	39,529 7,625 11,482	6,477 13,660 0 284	44,944 18,501 26,229 214	52,554 0 0	128,032 24,847 26,312 75,006 3,867
EXPEND -ITURES FY1968	230,296	32,270 5,707 9,988	5,759 11,059 0	38,228 16,215 21,949 0 64	47,750 0 0	112,048 18,684 22,064 68,026 5,275
EXPEND -ITURES FY1967	192,773	24,068 3,744 8,069	3,744 8,324 187	30,288 13,463 16,825 0	39,343	99,073 14,874 21,601 60,227 2,371
EXPEND -ITURES. FY1966	172,986	20,152 2,722 7,322	2,722 7,236 0 151	26,948 12,023 14,9°4	357553	90,333 10,995 21,719 56,473 2,146
	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	BOARP OF GOVERNORS CSU E1U	GSU NEIU Wid CCC CENTRAL OFFICE	BOARD OF REGENTS ISU NIU SSU CENTRAL OFFICE	SOUTHERN ILL UNIV CARBOHDALE EDWARDSVILLE SYSTEM OFFICE	UNIV OF ILLINDIS CHICAGO CIRCLE MEDICAL CENTER URBANA GENERAL UNIV

Table 11-78

HISTORICAL SUMMARY DF STATE GENERAL REVENUE TAX EXPENDITURES FOR PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES BY CAMPUS, FY1975

(IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	EXPEPD -ITURES FY1972	EXPEND -ITURES FY1973	EXPEND -ITURES FY1974	EXPEND -ITURES FY197\$	EXPEND -TTURES FY1976
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	340,826	352,888	380,013	410,541	425,775
BOARD OF GOVERNORS CSU	51,547	56,028 9,706	60,753	63,531	63,342
E10 GSU	13,961	13,492	14,254	14,778	14,386
MEIU WIU	8,067 16,733	8,991 16,540	11,533	10,171	9,795 19,134 1,915
CENTRAL OFFICE	351	343	362	304	530
REGEN	63,380	63,475	67,734	71,778 26,891	73,690 28,943
NJU SSU CENTRAL OFFICE	52,829 4,901 296	51,740 7,223 310	54,120 7,651 331	36, 149 8, 395 362	56, 111 8, 224 403
OTHERN ILL UNIV CARBONDALE EDMARDSVILLE SYSTEM OFFICE	69,740 40,111 20,595 9,034	72,600 48,402 23,419 780	78,120 52,908 24,670 542	83,935 58,649 24,572 715	83,854 57,754 25,349 751
UNIV OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO CIRCLE MEDICAL CENTER URBANA GENERAL UNIV	156,159 29,528 37,698 83,847 5,086	160,785 29,012 41,966 84,278 5,529	173,406 29,670 45,190 89,070	191,348 33,248 52,998 96,739 8,318	204,890 34,155 57,475 102,568 10,692



11-15



Audited Operating Expanditures for Public Community Colleges, FY1966 through FY1975

(in thousands of dollars)		i		10174	70179	FV1973	PY1974	Budgeted PY1975
	1 968	L 1909	1119/0	11211	7/2113			
Function								
Instruction	\$ 33,556.0	\$ 48,704.6	\$ 66,169.6	\$ 76,570.5	\$ 82,931.2	\$ 93,793.5	\$112,326.2	\$134,475.1
Academic Support	2,499.5	4,229.9	5,823.3	6,636.4	7,487.6	8,045.7	8,579.5	10,362.2
Student Services	1,818.7	5,185.1	8,322.2	10,141.0	10,952.3	13, 209.2	14,297.4	16,643.0
Public Services	•	330.7	365.9	219.7	435.9	925.4	1,566.3	2,081.6
Data Processing	•	•	•	•	6.990,4	4,014.2	3,836.8	1,317.1
General Administration	8,886.6	26,612.9	14,289.7	14,297.8	12,138.0	10,756.3	12,000.9	12,980.4
Auxillary Sarvices	•	1,912.3	2,675.6	1.76	8.496	1,182.1	731.2	1,080.9
M pue O	5,220.3	9,943.5	12,868.2	17,033.6	14,948.3	19,443.5	22,406.7	28,504.6
Institutional Support	1		732.6	781.4	8,675.2	11,214.6	13,294.8	19,091.0
Other Punctions	2,610.2	505.7	•	•	•	ı	•	•
Total	\$54,591.3	\$97,424.7	\$111,267.1	\$127,377.5	\$142,648.2	\$162,584.5	\$189,041.8	\$226,535.9

Source: Reported Audited Operating Expenditures by Function by the Illinois Community College Board Staff

23

ERIC

Full that Provided by ERIC

Audited Operating Revenues for Public Community Colleges, FY1966 through FY1975

(in thousands of dollars)

	FY1968	FY 1969	<u> </u>	FY1971	FY 1972	FY1973	FY1974	FY1975
Source of Funds								
Local Taxes and Charge Backs . \$ 34	. \$ 34,120.9	\$ 53,840.3	4,120.9 \$ 53,840.3 \$ 66,698.1 \$ 65,529.3 \$ 61,904.9 \$ 77,658.0 \$ 77,199.8 \$ 77,802.1	\$ 65,529.3	\$ 61,904.9	\$ 77,658.0	\$ 77,199.8	\$ 77,802.1
Tuition and Student Fees	7,144.5	10,640.	13,755.6	18,124.6	13,755.6 18,124.6 24,366.2 26,724.2	26,724.2	31,209.9	35,626.8
State Funds	17,429.7	26,007.2	37,678.2	49,725.7	51,787.5	59,516.0	80,326.0	90,570.4
Federal Funds	2,481.1	3,786.1	5,858.1	2,997.0	3,201.1	3,963.4	6,484.9	10,806.1
Other Sources	4,953.7	5,096.4	6,583.3	2,539.5	2,451.2	3,070.0	6,382.4	3,992.4
Total	\$ 66,130.0	6,130.0 \$ 99,371.5	\$130,573.3	\$138,916.0	\$130,573.3 \$138,916.0 \$143,710.9	\$170,931.6	\$170,931.6 \$201,603.0 \$218,797.8	\$218,797.8

Source: Reported Audited Revenues for Operations by Source of Funds from Illinois Community College Board Staff Records

Table II-10

Percentage Amount of Revenue By Source of Fund, FY1968 and 1975, Public Community Colleges

Source of Funds	<u>FY1968</u>	<u>FY1975</u> *
Local Taxes and Chargebacks	51.6%	35.6%
Tuition and Student Fees	10.8%	16.3%
State Funds	26.4%	41.4%
Federal Funds	3.8%	4.9%
Other Sources	7.4%	1.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%

* Budgeted Revenues

This table shows that state funds and tuition and student fee revenue sources have been increasingly important in providing financial support to community colleges. Local tax revenue has been declining in importance. This is an indication of the failure of local property tax revenues to keep pace with enrollment growth in the community colleges and with general monetary growth in the economy.

Tables II-11 A and B shows the growth in financial awards to students through the monetary award programs of ISSC by the three major sectors of higher education. ISSC programs have increased from about \$4.4 million in FY1966 to more than \$73 million in FY1975. Growth in total dollars awarded as monetary grants to students enrolled in public universities grew from less than \$1 willion in FY1966 to \$18.2 million in FY1975. Awards to students of private institutions grew from \$3.6 million in FY1966 to almost \$33.0 million in FY1975.

Table II-12 shows the growth in the number of monetary awards by major sector. The total number of monetary awards has increased tenfold since fiscal year 1966. The largest growth in the number of monetary awards made has occurred in the public university and public community college sectors. From another perspective, 3.1 percent of total FTE enrollment received an ISSC monetary award in FY1966. By fiscal year 1975, more than 20 percent of the total FTE enrollment received an award. While total FTE enrollment does include graduate enrollments, and graduate students are not eligible for ISSC assistance, this measure does indicate the substantial growth in the scope of ISSC programs since fiscal year 1966.

Impact of Inflation on Financial Support

In recent years, inflation has reached record high levels. The impact of inflation is first felt by individuals in their personal and household budgets but inflation also has affected the financial support



II-19

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

Table 11-11A

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF ISSC MONETARY AWARDS BY MAJOR SECTOR OF HIGHER EDUCATION, FY1966 THROUGH FY1971

	EXPEND -ITURES FY1966	EXPEND -ITURES FY1967	EXPEND -ITURES FY1968	EXPEND -ITURFS FY1969	EXPEND -ITUPFS FY1970	EXPEND -ITURES FY1971
1881	4,381	5,923	10,629	19,425	29,579	37,165
PURI IC UNIVERSITY	1TY 595	808	1,317	2,885	5,336	7,954
COPPUBLITY COLLEGES	EGES 22	20	57	294	538	096
PRIVATE INSTITUTES	UTES 3,610	4,856	8,900	15,270	20,185	23,547
S APPLIPIETRATION	155	243	355	976	1,521	402.4

Table 11-118

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF ISSC HONETARY AWARDS BY MAJOR SECTOR OF HIGHER EDUCATION, FY1975

	EXPEND	EXPEND	EXPEND	APPROPRI -
	-ITURES	- LTURES	-TURES	ATIONS
	FY1972	FY1973	FY1974	FY1975
ISSC	2887	59,955	63,067	73,785
PUBLIC UNIVERSITY	11,602	18,107	18,232	18,154
CONTURITY COLLEGES	1,573	2,315	2,598	3,288
PRIVATE INSTITUTES	25,948	30,668	12,890	34,628
ADMINISTRATION	5,762	A . B 64	9,347	17,715



Table II-12

de By ISSC,	
à	
Made	
Awards	
Monetary	
ě	
Number	
the state of	
ğ	
Summery	
atorical	
Ξ	

			1366	through FY	2/61					
	FY1966	FY1967	FY1968	FY1969	FY1970		FY1972	FY1973	FY1974	FY 1975
Public Universities	2,359	3,169	5,258	11,306	16, 181		24,787	31,863	32,751	32,427
Public Community Colleges	16	0	333	1,405	2,833	4,401	6, 359	8 ,604	10,140	15,245
Private Colleges & Universities	5,041	5,531	10,292	16, 704	19,256		25,747	29,121	29,553	30,413
Total	7,491	8,788	15,883	29,415	38,270		56,893	69,588	72,444	78,085

received by governmental agencies and institutions. Most governmental agencies and institutions cannot pass along the full impact of inflation by raising prices for services rendered. Therefore, governmental agencies and institutions must rely on the responsiveness of the state revenue structure to high rates of inflation. In Illinois, the State tax structure is responsive to inflationary levels.

The impact of inflation on financial support to Illinois higher education is shown in Tables II-13 A and B through II-18 A and B. In these tables, financial support has been adjusted to remove inflation, using the Gross National Product (GNP) Implicit Price Deflator series. The GNP Implicit Price Deflator is a method the Department of Commerce uses for expressing GNP in real dollar terms, reflecting the total range of goods and services produced. The following tables show support to Illinois higher education both as an index compared to the GNP deflator and in real, deflated dollars. The indices are expressed in 1000's and Fiscal year 1975 is used as the base year. Therefore, in reading the index, you must read back from Fiscal year 1975.

Tables II-13 A and B shows total State expenditures for higher education by major component. After adjustments for inflation, financial support to Illinois higher education has declined in recent years. Real dollar support for public universities, public community colleges, financial assistance programs for private institutions all declined in FY1975. Tables II-14 A and B shows real dollar support by major component provided by general revenue fund appropriations. When adjusted for inflation, general revenue tax support for most of the major components of Illinois higher education has also declined.

Tables II-15 A and B shows State appropriated financial support to Illinois higher education by major component, indexed to Fiscal Year 1975. An index of general revenue tax support is shown in Tables II-16 A and B by major higher educational component.

Tables II-17 A and B show total State expenditures for public universities deflated to 1966 dollars. Tables II-18 A and B show total State general revenue fund expenditures for public universities deflated to 1966 dollars.

Tables II-19 A and B shows ISSC monetary awards by the three major sectors deflated to Fiscal Year 1966 dollars. Monetary awards have also been affected by recent high levels of inflation.

Unfortunately, inflation has a great impact on higher education resource requirements. Higher education has been a labor intensive production enterprise. The largest single cost factor is personal wages and salaries. High levels of inflation erode wages and salaries and result in significant demands for increases in the personal services share of institutional budgets. If these demands are met, personal services become proportionally a larger share of the total institutional budget.



II-23



Table 11-13A

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHER EDUCATION, FY1966 DOLLARS

(IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

		EXPEND -ITURES FY1966	EXPEND -ITURES FY1967	EXPEND -ITURES FY1968	EXPEND - ITURES FY1969	EXPEND -ITURES FY1970	EXPEND -ITURES FY1971
	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	182,167	207,187	219,655	258,660	290,405	313,780
	COMMUNITY COLLEGES .	10,064	18,029	19,066	18,127	30,414	35,853
	1880	4,381	5,739	9,937	17,420	25,159	30,037
TT_24	BOARD OF HIGHER ED. Health serv grants Heca	000	ccc	257 0 0	266 0 0	2,204 1,602 0	7,829 6,466 0
	NON-PUBLIC FIN. ASSIST.	co	cc	0 257	0 266	0 602	1,363
	RETIREMENT	1146.11	5,315	12,417	10,507	15,580	16,698
	IBA RENTALS	5,249	7,569	21,849	16,652	24,398	28,934
	TOTAL STATE EXPENDITURES	206,804	243,860	283,181	321,631	388,160	433,130

. ;

Table 11-138

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHER EDUCATION, FY1972 THROUGH FY1976, DEFLATED TO FY1966 DO!LARS

	EXPEND - ITURES	EXPEND -1TIRES	EXPEND - ITHRES	APPROPRI	APPROPRI
	FY1972	FY1973	FY1974	FY1975	FY1976
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	306,718	312,492	304,546	299,598	296,100
COMMINITY COLLEGES	40,232	46,509	53,218	56,715	56,823
ISSC	34,990	45,018	43,803	45,394	41,013
BOARD OF HIGHER ED. HEALTH SERV GRANTS	14,973	12,673	15,855	15,223	17,531
HECA NON-PUBLIC FIN. ASSIST. OPERATIONS	4,143 755	246 4,377 662	243 4,095 637	\$06 3,758 618	#61 3,953 639
RETIREMENT	11,316	13,153	13,696	15,334	16,635
IBA RENTALS	25,561	27,134	25,099	22,631	21,108
TOTAL STATE EXPENDITURES	433,790	456,979	456,218	\$6 8 ° 45 4	449,210

Table 11-14A

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATF GENERAL REVENUE TAX EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHER EDUCATION FYIOTOLARS

	EXPFIID -ITURES FY1966	EXPEND -ITURES FY1967	EXPEND -ITURES FY1968	EXPEND - I TURES FY1969	EXPEND -ITURES FY1970	EXPEND -ITURES FY1971
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	172,986	186,777	215,310	237,699	269,030	283,550
COMMUNITY COLLEGES	10,064	18,029	19,066	18,127	30,414	35,853
1880	4,381	5,739	1,937	17,420	25,159	30,037
BOARD OF HIGHER ED. HEALTH SERV GRANTS HECA	coc	ccc	257 0 0	266 0 0	2,204 1,602 0	7,829 6,466 0
NON-PUBLIC FIN. ASSIST. OPERATIONS	o e	cc	257	0 266	602	1,363
RETIREMENT	446.4	5,315	12,417	10,507	15,580	16,698
IBA RENTALS	5,249	7,569	21,849	16,652	24,398	28,934
TOTAL STATE EXPENDITURES	197,624	223,429	278,836	300,671	366,785	402,900

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE GENFRAL REVENUE TAX EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHER EDUCATION FY1972 THROUGH FY1976, DEFLATED TO FY1966 DOLLARS Table 11-14B

	EXPEND - ITURES	EXPEND -ITURES	EXPEND - I TURES	APPROPR!	APPROPRI - ATIONS
	FY1972	FY1973	FY1974	FY1975	FY1976
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	265,689	264,971	263,934	257,134	248,701
COMMUNITY COLLEGES	40,232	46,509	53,218	56,715	56,823
	34,990	45,018	43,803	45,394	41,013
BOARD OF HIGHER ED. HEALTH SERV GRANTS HECA	14,973	12,673	15,855	15,223	17,531
MON-PUBLIC FIN. ASSIST. OPERATIONS	4,143 755	4,377	4,095 763 763	3,758 618	3,953
,	11,316	13,153	13,696	15,334	16,635
•	25,561	27,134	25,099	22,631	21,108
TOTAL STATE EXPENDITURES	392,761	409,458	415,605	412,431	401,811

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

Table 11-15A

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHER EDUCATION, 'Y1966 THROUGH FY1971, DEFLATED TO FY1966 DOLLARS AND INDEXED

EXPEND EXPEND - ITURES FY1971				145 514 15h 619 0 0 974 2,205	1,016 1,089		853 952
EXPEND -ITURES FY1969	863	320	384	, 18 0 0 0 6 1,31	685	736	707
EXPEND - ITURES FY1968	733	336	219	17 0 0 0 416	810	965	623
EXPEND -ITURES FY1967	692	318	126	c00cc	347	334	536
EXPEND -ITURES FY1966	8 09	177	7.6	00000	322	232	455
	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	COMMUNITY COLLEGES	ISSC	BOARD OF HIGHER ED. HEALTH SERV GRANTS HECA HON-PUBLIC FIN. ASSIST. OPERATIONS	RETIREMENT	IBA RENTALS	TOTAL STATE EXPFNDITURES

.

ď

Table 11-158

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHER EDUCATION, FY1972 THROUGH FY1976, DEFLATED TO FY1966 DOLLARS AND INDEXED

	EXPEND -ITURES FY1972	EXPEND -ITURES FY1973	EXPEND -!TURES FY1974	APPROPRI -ATIONS FY1975	APPROPRI -ATIONS FY1976
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	1,024	1,043	1,017	1,000	80
COMMUNITY COLLEGES	709	820	938	1,000	1,002
1880	171	992	465	1,000	106
BOARD OF HIGHER ED. HEALTH SERV GRANTS	486 200 200	833 708 508	1,042	1,000	1,152
NON-PUBLIC FIN. ASSIST.	1,103	1,165	1,090	1,000	1,052
RETIREMENT	738	858	893	1,000	1,085
IBA RENTALS	1,130	1,199	1,109	1,000	933
TOTAL STATE EXPENDITURES	456	1,005	1,003	1,000	886



HISTORICAL SUPPARY OF STATE GENERAL REVENUE TAX EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHER EDUCATION, FY1966 THROUGH FY1971, DEFLATED TO FY1966 DOLLARS AND INDEXED Table 11-16A

	EXPEND - ITURES	EXPEND - I TURES	EXPEND -ITURES	EXPEND -ITURES	EXPEND - ITURES	EXPEND -ITURES
	FY1966	F) 1967	FY1968	F Y I 969	FY 1970	FT19/1
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	673	726	837	924	1,046	1,103
COMMINITY COLLEGES	177	318	336	320	536	632
18SC	47	126	219	384	द्धाः धाः धाः	662
BOARD OF HIGHER ED. HEALTH SERV GRANTS HECA	000	ccc	17 0	80 C	145 154 0	514 619 0
MON-PUBLIC FIN. ASSIST.		: c c	0 4.16	431	ካረ b 0	2,205
RETIREMENT	322	347	810	685	1,016	1,089
IBA RENTALS	232	334	965	736	1,078	1,279
TOTAL STATE EXPENDITURES	479	542	929	729	68	716

Table 11-168

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE GENERAL REVENUE TAX EXPENDITURES FOR HIGHER EDUCATION, FY1972 THROUGH FY1976, DEFLATED TO FY1966 DOLLARS AND INDEXED

	EXPEND	EXPEND	EXPEND	APPROPRI	APPROPRI
	- ITURES	- I TURE S	- I TURES	-ATIONS	-ATIONS
	FY1972	FY1973	FY1974	FY1975	FY1976
PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	1,033	1,031	1,026	1,000	967
COMMINITY COLLEGES	709	820	938	1,000	1,002
1880	171	192	596	1,000	406
RD OF HIGHER ED.	984	813	1,042	1,000	1,152
EALTH SERV GRANTS	965	208	1,042	1,000	1,195
HECA NON-PUBLIC FIN. ASSIST.	0 1 0	605 165	665	000,1	1,137
PERATIONS	1,220	1,071	1,031	1,000	1,034
RETIREMENT	7.78	858	893	1,000	1,085
IBA REHTALS	1,130	1,199	1,109	1,000	933
TOTAL STATF FXPFND11URES	952	993	1,008	1,000	976

0

Table II-17A

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE EXPENDITURES FOR PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES, FY1966 THROUGH FY1971, DEFLATED TO FY1966 DOLLARS

(IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

EXPEND -ITURES FY1971	313,780	47,930	1,349	16,663	310	58,610	31,368	3,164	63,220 0 0 0	144,021 29,830 31,008 77,978 6,378
EXPEND -ITURES FY1970	290,405	42,252 6,918	12,484 227 7.439	14,928	257	51,356	28,923	7 2 3 3 3 2 3 3 3 2 3 3	61,605 0 0	135,192 22,042 27,685 75,112 3,725
EXPEND -ITURES FY1969	258,660	39,193	11,210 0 6,539	13,730	255	45,266	26,739	192	49,835 0 0	124,365 24,181 24,435 71,626 3,468
EXPENO -ITURES FY1968	219,655	30,315	9,382 0 5 407	10,399	184	35,925	20,620	09	35 8 . 4 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	108,559 18,221 21,615 65,065
EXPEND -ITURES FY1967	207,187	25,939	ಕ್ಕ ಕ ರ ರ ರ	200, 2	181	32,766	14,150	c o	42,573 0 0	105,909 17,002 23,064 63,545 2,297
EXPEND -ITURES FY1966	182,167	20,332	7,347	7,391	151	27,905	12,055	C C	35,757 0 0 0	98,173 12,528 23,537 60,962 2,146
	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	BOARD OF GOVERNORS CSU	610 680	NETO VALO	CENTRAL OFFICE	BOARD OF REGENTS	180 1112	SSU CENTRAL OFFICE	SOUTHERN ILL UNIV CARBONDALE EDWARDSVILLE SYSTEM OFFICE	UNIV OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO CIRCLE MCDICAL CENTER URBANA GENERAL UNIV

Table 11-178

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE EXPENDITURES FOR PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES, FY1972 THROUGH FY1976, DEFLATED TO FY1966 OOLLARS

(IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

EXPEND -ITURES FY1976	288,307	49,162 7,744 10,683 5,489 8,516 15,302 1,119	53,385 21,197 26,273 5,673	56,067 38,561 17,068 438	137,486 26,021 35,078 69,198 6,389
EXPEND -ITURES FY1975	299,598	50,024 8,104 11,261 5,877 8,645 15,415 246	54,618 21,374 27,154 5,875 226	58,168 39,969 17,751	136,789 26,131 34,422 69,809 6,427
EXPEND I TURES FY1974	304,546	50,524 11,729 5,305 9,758 15,092 251	56,791 21,860 28,675 6,026 230	59,651 40,369 18,885 398	137,581 27,157 32,400 71,443 6,581
EXPEND -1TURES FY1973	312,492	51,294 8,572 12,490 5,390 8,684 15,902 257	59,331 23,020 30,212 5,867 233	61, 33 8 34, 767 21, 015 605	£41,479 28,991 33,603 74,733 4,152
EXPEND -ITURES FY1972	306,718	48,888 7,556 12,647 3,789 8,569 16,052 274	58,092 23,010 30,671 4,181 231	61,193 35,108 18,434 7,650	138,545 28,794 31,381 74,406 3,964
	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	BOARD OF GOVERNORS CSU E1U GSU NF1U WIU CCC	BOARD OF REGENTS ISU NIU SSU CENTRAL OFFICE	SOUTHERN ILL UNIV CARBONDALE EDWARDSVILLE SYSTEN OFFICE	UNIV OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO CIRCLE MEDICAL CENTER URBANA GENERAL UNIV



Ę

Table 11-18A

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE GENERAL REVENUE EXPENDITURES FOR PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES BY CAMPUS, FY1966 THROUGH FY1971, DEFLATED TO FY1966 DOLLARS

(IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

EXPEND -ITURES FY1971	283,550	41,165 6,568 11,892 1,349 6,564	14,481 0 310	52,541 21,738 27,506 3,050	59,978 0 0	129,866 25,070 30,205 69,387 4,378
EXPEND -ITURES FY1970	269,030	38,572 6,083 11,727 227 6,905	13,373	46,936 20,305 25,856 589 185	59,272 0 0	124,250 24,469 24,898 70,530
EXPEND -ITURES FY1969	237,699	35,449 6,838 10,297 5,809	12,250 12,250 255	40,305 16,591 23,521 192	47,129 0 0	114,817 22,283 23,596 64,814 3,468
EXPEND -ITURES FY1968	215,310	30,170 4,925 9,338 6,338	10°50 18°50	35,741 15,160 20,520 60	643,643 0	104,757 17,468 20,628 63,004 3,062
EXPEND -ITURES FY1967	186,777	23,319 3,627 7,818 1 627	181 181	29,346 13,044 16,302 0	38,119 0 0	95,992 14,411 20,929 58,354 2,297
EXPEND -ITURES FY1966	172,986	. 20,152 2,722 7,322	7,236	26,943 12,021 14,928 0	35,553 0 0	90,333 10,995 21,719 56,473 2,146
	PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES	BOARD OF GOVERNORS CSU E1U GSU	METO WIU CCC CCC CCTRAL OFFICE	BOARD OF REGENTS 1SU N1U SSU CENTRAL OFFICE	SOUTHERN ILL UNIV CARBONDALE EDWARDSVILLE SYSTEM OFFICE	UNIV OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO CIRCLE HEDICAL CENTER URBANA - GRF GENERAL UNIV

Table 11-188

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF STATE GENERAL REVENUE EXPENDITURES FOR PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES BY CAMPUS, FY1972 THROUGH FY1976, DEFLATED TO FY1966 OOLLARS

(IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

EXPEND -ITURES FY1976	248,701	36,999 8,710 8,603 4,550 11,77 11,119	43,043 16,906 21,093 4,804 236	48,980 33,735 14,807 438	119,678 19,950 33,572 59,911 6.245
EXPEND -ITURES FY1975	257,134	39,786 6,274 9,255 5,103 12,053 12,053	16,851 16,861 22,638 5,258 . 5,258	52,564 36,729 15,388 447	119,832 20,819 33,190 60,614 5,209
EXPEND -ITURES FY1974	263,934	42,195 6,811 2,901 12,010 1444 251	47,044 17,803 23,697 5,314 230	54,257 36,747 17,134 376	120,438 20,607 31,386 61,863 6,581
FXPENO -1TURES FY1973	264,971	42,069 17,286 17,131 5,224 5,751 12,419 257	47,661 18,173 23,832 5,423	54,513	120,728 21,784 31,510 63,282 6,152
EXPEND - ITURES FY1972	265,689	40,183 6,077 10,883 3,617 6,288 13,045 0	49,408 19,765 25,592 3,820 231	54,365 31,268 16,055 7,042	121,733 23,018 29,388 65,363 3,964
	PUBLIC UPIVERSITIES	BOARD OF GOVERNORS CSU E16 GSU NF1U WIU CCC CENTRAL OFFICE	BOARD OF REGENTS I SU N I U S SU CENTRAL OFFICE	SOUTHERN ILL UNIV 5 CARBONDALE 3 EDWARDSVILLE 1 SYSTEM OFFICE	UNIV OF ILLINOIS CHICAGO CIRCLE MEDICAL CENTER URBANA GENERAL UNIV



Table 11-19A

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF ISSC MONETARY AWARDS BY MAJOR SECTOR OF HIGHER EDUCATION, FY1966 THROUGH FY1971, DEFLATED TO FY1966 DOLLARS

(IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

EXPEND FXPEND - 1TURES FY1967 FY1967 FY1967 5,739 5,739
•
EXPEND -ITURES FY1966 4,381

:

Table 11-198

HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF ISSC MONETARY AWARDS BY MAJOR SECTOR OF HIGHER EDUCATION, FY1972 THROUGH FY1975, DEFLATED TO FY1966 DOLLARS

(IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

	EXPEND -ITURES	EXPEND -ITURES EX1073	EXPEND -TURES Ex1976	APPROPRI- ATIONS EV1975
1880	34,990	15,018	143,803	46, 208
PUBLIC UNIVERSITY	440.6	13,596	12,663	11,369
COMMINITY COLLEGES	1,227	1,739	1,805	2,059
PRIVATE INSTITUTES	20,278	23,028	22,843	21,686
ADMINISTRATION	164,4	6,656	6,492	11,094



At the same time that the rate of inflation began to increase, enrollments began to level off or decline in many schools. Thus looking at the impact of inflation alone without examining unit costs is somewhat misleading.

Public universities and public community colleges in Illinois have been participating in a statewide study of costs for almost a decade. A review of these cost study reports over time shows that financial support to Illinois higher education has been remarkably stable. Table II-20 shows instructional costs by undergraduate and graduate levels for public universities for the period FY1967 through 1974, adjusted to FY1966 dollars. Undergraduate credit hour costs were \$39.50 in FY1967 and \$37.60 in FY1974. The costs for all activities at public universities excluding maintenance of physical plant have not changed during this period. They were \$45.70 in FY1967 and in FY1974. The data in this table from a different perspective indicates the impact inflation has had on funding support for higher education as well as the funding choices which have been made by the colleges and universities.

Data shown in Table II-21 is also based on the statewide cost study. This data is taken from the public university and community college studies, showing the percentage of total costs attributed to instructional activities. These percentages have not changed significantly over time. The percentage of total costs allocated to instructional activities has actually increased slightly in recent years.

Comparisons With Other States

Another measure of a state's financial support to higher education is a comparison to levels of support in other states. Recently, the State of Washington Council on Higher Education completed a report entitled Financial Support of Higher Education in Washington. In this report, there is higher education financial support data from all 50 states. Table II-22 shows combined state and local appropriations for higher education per FTE student. With this measure Illinois ranks third in financial support per student. Table II-23 shows combined state and local appropriations for higher education per \$1,000 of per capita personal income. With this measure Illinois ranks sixteenth among the other states. The final measure selected from the State of Washington Report is shown in Table II-24. This table shows combined state and local appropriations for higher education on a per capita basis. Illinois ranks ninth, substantially above the national average.

While these measures were based on data for only Fiscal Year 1974, they do indicate that relative to other states Illinois has provided substantial financial support to higher education. It is an accomplishment of which the citizens of Illinois can be proud.



44

Table II-20

Instructional Costs per Credit Hour for Public Universities, FY1966 through FY1974, Deflated to FY1966 Dollars

		Instructional		Grand Total-All Costs	Costs
	Under-			Less O and M of	
	graduate	Graduate	Total	Physical Plant	Total
Fiscal Years					
1967	\$39.5	\$ 96.2	\$47.1	\$45.7	\$55.1
1968	39.8	98.1	47.5	8*97	55.6
1969	39.7	98.7	47.4	46.5	54.4
1970	40.2	100.1	48.4	47.7	55.4
1971	40.8	103.8	49.5	47.6	55.1
1972	Inc	Incomplete Cost Study Report Data Available	ly Report Data Ava	illable	
1973	39.6	101.2	6.74	47.1	54.3
1974	37.6	92.1	45.8	45.7	52.7

Source: Statewide Cost Study Reports for Public Universities, Illinois Board of Higher Education

Table II-21

Total Instructional Costs as a Percentage of Total Costs, Public Universities, Public Community Colleges and Combined, FY1967 through FY1974

	Public Universities Less O and M	ittee	Public Community Colleges Less O and M	Colleges	Combined All Public	ublic
	or Physical Plant	Total	Or Physical Pient	Total	thysical Plant	Totel
Fiscal Years						
1967	64.887	85.39%				
1968	65.14	85.32	9 40 0	4		
1969	86.85	16.91	begun until			
1970	87.25	87.36	97.95%	97.942	90.23%	90.23%
1971	89.80	89.92	99.07	99.07	92.54	92.56
1972	87.24	87.33	98.86	98.63	90.78	90.83
1973	87.62	68.12	97.88	97.98	91.20	91.38
1974	86.71	16.98	98.38	98.48	91.12	90.90

Source: Statewide Cost Study Reports for Public Universities and Public Community Colleges

Table II-22

Combined State and Local Appropriations for Higher Education
Per FTE Enrollment, FY1974

<u>State</u>	<u>Amount</u>	Rank in 1972-73
1. New York	\$3634	2 1
2. Alaska	3477	1
3. <u>Illinois</u>	<u>2726</u>	3 6 5
4. Wisconsin ·	2624	6
5. Pennsylvania	2564 2528 ·	9
6. Iowa 7. South Carolina	2368	14
8. New Jersey	2357	4
9. Georgia	2355	13
10. Wyoming	2295	31
11. North Carolina	2277	11
12. Kansas	2277	38 7
13. Florida	2187 2164	12
14. Mississippi 15. Indiana	2119	19
16. Michigan	2117	22
17. Ohio	2095	21
18. Idaho	2083	20
19. Maryland	2081	15
20. Missouri	2035	17 8
21. Kentucky	2034 2030	26
22. Arizona 23. Arkansas	1997	41
24. Rhode Island	1979	16
25. Connecticut	1938	18
26. Maine	1867	29
27. California	1835	23 27
28. Minnesota	181 <i>7</i>	27 4 0
29. Delaware	1794 1789	44
30. Montana 31. Oregon	1782	36
32. West Virginia	1782	25
33. Hawaii	1759	10
34Texas	1749	28
35. Washington	1725	33
36. Nevada	1722 1695	24 30
37. Virginia 38. Alabama	1687	46
39. Massachusetts	1667	32
40. Louisiana	1644	34
41. Tennessee	1610	39
42. Nebraska	1607	35 4 5
43. Utah	1560 1627	45 37
44. New Mexico 45. Colorado	1537 1506	42
46. South Dakota	1436	47
47. Vermont	1419	43
48. North Dakota	1294	48
49. Oklahoma	1186	49
50. New Hampshire	1026	50
National Average	<u> 1977</u>	

Source: Council of Higher Education, State of Washington





Table II-23

Combined State and Local Appropriations for Higher Education on a Per Capita Basis, FY1974

State	<u>Amount</u>	Rank in 1972-73
Jeace	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
l. Arizona	\$88.31	2
2. Wisconsin	86.46	5
3. Wyoming	84.48	7
4. New York	79.66	8 15
5. Kansas	77.36	12
6. California	75.90 70.91	4 3
7. Alaska	68.25	9
8. Washington	68.13	í
9. Hawall 10. Gregon	67.94	12
11. <u>Illinois</u>	64.17	<u>6</u>
12. Michigan	61.97	14
13. Idaho	59.65	11
14. Delaware	58.59	21
15. Mississippi	58.56	13
16. Iowa	57.82	22
17. Colorado	57.78	10
18. Utah	57.72	16
19. North Carolina	54.15	23
20. Montana	53.80	27 33
21. South Carolina	52.64 52.36	19
22. Maryland	52.36 50.66	26
23. North Dakota	50.03	17
24. New Mexico 25. Florida	49.18	18
26. Texas	48.81	20
27. Nevada	48.33	37
28. Minnesota	48,21	24
29. Georgia	46.28	38
30. Missouri	46.00	28
31. West Virginia	45.75	29
32. Indiana	45.73	32
33. Ohio	45.59	35
34. Kentucky	. 44.54	25 30
35. Nebraska	44.36	42
36.→Pennsylvania	44.10 43.89	31
37. Rhode Island	42.92	40
38. New Jersey 39. Virginia	42.62	34
40. Louisiana	42.53	36
41. Alabama	41.60	47
42. Vermont	39.60	41
43. Connecticut	38.93	39
44. Maine	38.65	44
45. South Dakota	38.09	43
46. Oklahoma	37.02	46
47. Arkansas	36.82	48
48. Tennessee	35.96	45
49. Massachusetts	30.99	49 50
50. New Hampshire	21.92	20
National Average	<u>52.91</u>	

Source: Council of Higher Education, State of Washington II-43



Table II-24

Combined State and Local Appropriations for Higher Education
Per \$1,000 of Per Capita Personal Income, FY1974

		Oneh in
Casaa	Appropriations Per \$1,000 Income	Rank 15 1972-/3
<u>State</u>	31,000 11100	
1. Arizona	\$18.82	2
2. Wisconsin	18.20	3 8
Wyoming	17.99	1
4. Mississippi	16.47	24
5. Kansas	14.59 14.17	10
6. Utah	14.17	12
7. Oregon	13.96	25
8. New York	13.75	-6
9. California 10. South Carolina	13.56	19
11. Idaho	13.52	5
12. Washington	13.24	11
13. New Mexico	12.98	9
14. North Carolina	12.65	15
15. Hawaii	12.30	3
16. Alaska	11.95	7
17. West Virginia	11.55	17
18. Montana	11.49	22
19. Colorado	11.49	13
20. Michigan	11.16	26
21. <u>Illinois</u>	11.12	<u>16</u> 14
22. Kentucky	11.04	27
23. Iowa	10.97 10.82	23
24. Louisiana	10.75	39
25. Alabama	10.68	20
26. Texas	10.53	30
27. Georgia 28. Delaware	10.14	37
29. Florida	9.99	21
30. Vermont	9.77	36
3]. Maryland	9.54	33 ·
32. Missouri	9.50	29
33. Maine	9.47	38
34. Minnesota	9.39	28
35. Arkansas	9.32	43
36. Indiana	9.17	35
37. Rhode Island	9.07	32 41
38. Ohio	8.98	18
39 North Dakota	8.90 8.83	45
40. Pennsylvania	8.78	42
41. Tennessee	8.72	34
42. Virginia 43. Oklahoma	8.53	44
43. Uklanoma 44. Nebraska	8.42	31
45. Nevada	8.41	46
46. South Dakota	8.08	40
47. New Jersey	7.34	47
48. Connecticut	6.56	48
49. Massachusetts	5.90	49
50. New Hampshire	4.67	50
•		•

National Average 11.03

Source: Council of Higher Education, State of Washington II-44



III. RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE FUTURE

Developing accurate, realistic, and understandable projections of resource requirements for higher education in Illinois is a difficult task. Many factors are involved, their interrelationships are complex, and a significant degree of uncertainty cannot be avoided even under the best of circumstances. The projection techniques used in this chapter were selected because they seemed most likely to provide an understandable and useful representation of the coming years. While these projections cannot be assumed operated accurately future conditions in minute detail, they shou a provide a broad perspective within which policy decisions concerning the future may be made. The factors considered in the projections and the assumptions used will be stated throughout, so that the logic of the projection techniques may be evaluated by the reader.

A primary factor used in the projections of resource requirements was expected FTE enrollments. The enrollment projections developed in an earlier Master Plan-Phase IV report were used. (The procedures used to develop those projections are discussed in that report). The resources required per FTE student in each sector of higher education were established using historical enrollment and financial support data. In most cases these unit costs were the foundation of the resource requirement projection. Projections were developed by applying these unit costs to expected enrollment growth with adjustments to reflect program expansion, various rates of inflation, and other factors.

The resource requirement projections primarily focus on higher education institutions, agencies, programs and activities which rely on State appropriated funds for financial support. As has been shown in an earlier chapter of this report, most sectors of Illinois higher education receive some State financial support in the form of direct or indirect grants. The projections do not include those higher education programs and activities which have historically been financed through non-appropriated funds. For example, the projections for public universities do not include organized research activities which are currently supported by non-appropriated sources of funds. Likewise, non-appropriated funds for auxiliary enterprises are not included, but any existing state subsidies for these activities are included.

In the case of the public community colleges total resource requirements have been projected. This was done because the community colleges rely on two sources of public tax funds. The local property tax revenue share is unlikely to keep pace with inflation or with enrollment growth, thus creating a potential revenue shortfall.

The resource requirement projections begin with the basic assumption that no major changes will occur in the nature and characteristics of higher education. Since it is impossible to predict with any degree of accuracy what specific changes might occur by 1985, the projections start with a series based on the existing conditions and conclude with



a discussion of how these conditions could be changed to bring about different future outcomes. The projection series could be changed futher to reflect other assumptions thus resulting in significantly different outcomes.

The base projection series is based on the following assumptions:

- The existing form of state financial support to Illinois
 higher education will remain unchanged. Under this assumption
 public universities will continue to depend heavily on the
 support of State general revenue tax appropriations. Community colleges will continue to rely on a mixture of funding
 sources including state appropriated grants, local tax
 revenues, and student tuition and fees.
- Student financial assistance programs will continue to be adjusted to meet the growth in the number of students seeking and receiving monetary awards as well as increases in tuition.
- 3. State financial assistance to private colleges and universities will continue to be increased to meet inflationary cost growth.
- 4. The tuition policies experienced in recent years in the three sectors of higher education will remain unchanged. Tuition rates at the public community colleges and private colleges and universities will be increased in proportion to general price inflation. Tuition rates at the public universities will remain unchanged.
- 5. Financial support for higher education activities such as those supported through the Higher Education Cooperation Act will continue to be increased to reflect the impact of inflation.
- 6. No changes will be made to the current method of financing the State Universities Retirement System. The employer contributions will continue to be made at a level sufficient to cover minimum payout requirements.

The staff report on enrollment projections provides data on expected full-time-equivalent (FTE) enrollments by the categories of baccalaureate and occupational, general studies, and graduate programs. These projections did not indicate how these enrollments would be distributed among the three sectors of higher education (public universities, public community colleges and private institutions). With the resource requirement projection technique used, projected enrollments by these three sectors were necessary.

For these projections, all general studies enrollment was assigned to the public community colleges since historically almost the entire



enrollment in this category has been at the public community colleges. The assignment of baccalaureate and occupational enrollment growth was not as easy. In recent years the public community colleges! share of this enrollment category has grown while enrollments at the private institutions and public universities have been relatively stable. It is tempting to continue the projection of this historical trend line. However, there are several reasons such a projection may not be realistic. First, community colleges have been in a developmental phase during the last decade. Fall term FTE enrollments at the community colleges have grown from 29,000 in Fiscal Year 1966 to more than 119,000 in Fiscal Year 1975. The second reason is that community college districts have been expanding in number and territory during the historical period in question. Currently, almost the entire state is covered by community college districts. A continuance of the historical enrollment trend lines implies that existing community colleges will continue to attract a larger share of the baccalaureate and occupational student pool even though community colleges are nearing the end of their developmental phase and the number of districts and the scope of territory will not expand as they have in the past. a continuance implies that there will be a set of statewide policies which will encourage enrollments at community colleges at the expense of the public university and private sectors.

For resource requirement projection purposes such a statewide policy implication was not assumed. The resource projections are based on the assumption that baccalaureate and occupational enrollments through FY1982 will grow in proportion to the existing distribution among the three sectors. Thus the resource requirement projections are based on these enrollment assumptions:

- 1. Growth in general studies enrollment will occur entirely in the public community college sector.
- 2. Undergraduate enrollment growth will occur in the three sectors in proportion to the percentage shares of undergraduate bac-calaureate and occupational enrollments which now exist. Therefore, the enrollment projections assume that none of the three sectors will gain a proportionately larger share of undergraduate baccalaureate and occupational enrollment during the projection period.
- 3. Growth in graduate enrollment will be distributed among the public universities and private colleges and universities in proportion to the existing distribution.

While some may argue with certain of these assumptions, it will be shown later in this chapter that from a statewide viewpoint the precise distribution of the total enrollment among the three sectors is not a critical factor in determining the total level of resources required. Only unprecedented shifts from one sector to another would have a significant impact on the resource projections.



A further assumption relative to these enrollment projections by sector is that no major policy changes will occur in Illinois higher education. As an example, a change in the policy of providing financial support to graduate students at public universities would affect the level of graduate enrollment. No such changes have been projected.

The enrollment projections by sector and student level are shown in Table III-1. These enrollment projections are for the fall term, normally counted and reported as of the 10th day of the term. For the public community colleges, funding is based on apportionment enrollments as of the middle of each academic term. In recent years annual apportionment enrollments for the full year have been significantly higher than the enrollments at the fall term reporting date. A factor reflecting these higher apportionment enrollments was incorporated before resource projections were made.

Inflation, like enrollment changes, is expected to continue to have a significant effect on the resource requirements of higher education. It is impossible to forecast future levels of inflation with any degree of accuracy. While economists disagree about specific future inflationary trends, most concur that inflation levels in the future will exceed those rates experienced during the last decade. For this reason, three separate levels of inflation were used to project higher education resource requirements. The inflation rates used in the three projection series are:

Series I 6 percent inflation Series II 8 percent inflation Series III 10 percent inflation

The Projection Techniques

The following is a brief discussion of the resource projection techniques for each of the major higher education components.

Public Universities

Projected resource requirements for the public universities were based on projected changes in enrollment, inflation rates, new requirements for health program expansion through FY1980, and improved productivity. Enrollment growth in these projections was funded at 50 percent of the average cost per FTE student. This view of enrollment growth is based upon a marginal cost concept. Such a concept assumes that new students enrolled at established institutions do not require new financial support equivalent to the total average cost per FTE student. The most recent BHE Cost Study data supports this assumption. The data reveal that the marginal cost for new enrollment in the public universities has been approximately 50 percent of the average total cost per student.





Table 111-1

Projected Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) Encolment for Public Universities, Public Community Colleges and Private Colleges and Universities, FY1977 through FY1985*

	FY1977	FY1978	FY 1979	FY1980	FY1981	FY 1982	FY1983	FY1984	FY 1985
Public Universities	164,506	170,012	174,119	177,007	178,684	178,223	178,223	176,340	173,191
Undergraduate	135,602	138,860	141,671	143,407	144,316	143,861	142,415	140,100	136,711
Graduate/Professional	28,704	31,152	32,448	33,600	34,368	35,136	35,808	36,240	36,480
Public Community Colleges	139,381	143,866	148,157	115,121	154,420	154,166	153,238	151,533	149,090
Undergraduate									
Baccalaureate and Occupational	108,681	111,0661	113,257	. 114,611	115,320	114,966	113,636	112,033	109, 190
General Studies	30,700	32,800	34,900	36,900	39,100	39,200	39,400	39,500	39,700
Private Colleges and Universities	117,613	122,222	125,424	127,782	129,196	129,737	129,539	128,527	126,619
Undergraduate	66,517	41,474	90,272	91,382	91,964	91,673	90,747	19,267	87,099
Graduate/Professional	31,096	33,748	35,152	36,400	37,232	38,064	38,792	39,260	39,520
Iotal	421,500	436,100	447,700	456,300	462,300	462,900	461,000	456,400	006 877
Undergraduate									
Baccalaureate and Occupational	331,000	338,400	345,200	349,400	351,600	350,500	347,000	341,400	333,200
General Studies	30,700	32,800	34,900	36,900	39,100	39,200	39,400	39,500	39,700
Graduate/Frofessional	29,800	64,900	67,600	70,000	71,600	73,200	74,600	75,500	26,000

* Fall Term Enrollments

The resource requirement projections also include an annual negative adjustment for productivity gains. These productivity gains are assumed to be one percent annually for the projections.

The projections also include incremental amounts for continuing the expansion of health education programs through fiscal year 1980. The incremental amounts added for this purpose were:

FY1977	\$5.6 million
£11978	4.1 million
FY1979	3.4 million
FY1980	2.2 million

These incremental amounts were inflated at the appropriate rate for each of the three projection series. After FY1980, no increments for health education programs at public universities are included in the projections. No funds have been added for funding other new and expanded programs.

For the resource requirement projections, enrollments were weighted to reflect the higher costs of graduate and professional educational programs. Graduate enrollments were weighted at three times undergraduate enrollments. For example, if an institution has 1,000 undergraduate and 500 graduate FTE students, the weighted enrollment for resource projection purposes is $2,500 - 1,000 + (3 \times 500) = 2,500$.

Public Community Colleges

The resource requirement projections for the public community colleges were based on an approach similar to that used for the public universities. This approach uses projected enrollments, productivity gains, and funding new enrollments at the 50 percent marginal cost rate. For resource requirement projections, the projected fall FTE enrollment has been converted to annual apportionment enrollment. The ratio for annual apportionment enrollment to fall term enrollment in FY1975 was 1.13. In earlier years this ratio had been quite close to 1.0. Projected annual apportionments were based on the following ratios of annual apportionment enrollments to fall term FTE enrollments:

FY1977	113 percent	
FY1978	110 percent .	
FY1979	108 percent	1005
FY1980	108 and thereafter through FY	TAGN

The resource requirements projected for community colleges include only the amounts of state grants assuming there are no changes to the current method of financing community colleges. However, financing community college resource requirements is a special issue to be discussed later in this report.



Illinois State Scholarship Commission

Resource requirements for the Illinois State Scholarship Commission are based on the assumption that no major program changes will be made. These resource requirements were developed from the projected enrollment growth by sector and the inflation rates for each of the projection series. However, the inflation rates are not included in the projected requirements for monetary awards in the public university sector since the projection series includes no tuition rate increases for this sector. The projected requirements for ISSC are based on the assumption that ISSC programs will be adjusted periodically to recognize tuition rate increases at private colleges and universities by increasing the maximum award. It has also been assumed that Federally funded financial assistance programs will continue to expand. For example, the Federal Basic Educational Opportunity Grant program has been growing rapidly. It is anticipated that these grants will meet the financial needs of students which are not currently met by ISSC programs. The ISSC monetary award program assists needy students in the payment of tuition and fees. The Basic Educational Opportunity Grant program will provide financially needy students assistance for other costs of education such as room, board and transportation.

Administrative support for ISSC was projected based on inflation levels with annual negative adjustments to base appropriations for productivity gains.

Financial Assistance to Private Colleges and Universities

The resource projections for the Illinois Financial Assistance Act assume no major substantive changes to the current program. The projection takes into consideration the inflation levels included in each projection series, and assumes that the current program will be adjusted periodically to keep pace with inflation.

Health Services Grants Act

Resource requirements for this program will begin to stabilize by Fiscal Year 1980 primarily because the State's commitments to provide grants for needed capital construction should have been completed by that time. During the period Fiscal Year 1977 through 1985, it was assumed that requirements for operating grants would grow in proportion to the rates of inflation.

Higher Education Cooperation Act

Funding requirements for these programs were projected to grow in proportion to inflation rates. Included in this grant program is the funding of the Mid-Illinois Computer Consortium, a joint computer program for six public universities.



Board of Higher Education

The projections assume that resource requirements for the Board of Higher Education will grow in proportion to inflation rates. The projections include an annual negative adjustment of one percent to base appropriations for productivity gains.

Retirement

Projections for employer retirement contributions to the State University Retirement System are based on estimates provided by the State University Retirement System staff. The projected amounts are the levels which will be required to meet minimum "payout" levels under an inflation rate of three percent. Relative to the projection for other components of higher education, these estimates are quite conservative.

IBA Rentals

Rental payments to the Illinois Building Authority probably will not decline until after fiscal year 1985. This assumes that those bond issues callable during the projection period will not be called because the interest rates on those issues are much lower than those on current bonds.

The Projections

Tables III-2 through 4 show resource requirements for each of the major components of higher education. Each of these tables shows resource requirements for a separate projection series. The projected resource requirements for the public community colleges on Tables III-2 through 4 include only the state funded portion.

Tables III-5 through 7 show total projected resource requirements and revenue sources for the public community colleges. The projections in these tables show that public community colleges will have substantial revenue shortfalls throughout the planning period. Under projection series I (6 percent inflation), the revenue shortfall is projected to be \$7.7 million in FY1977. By FY1985, it will be more than \$26 million. The special financing issue of public community colleges will be discussed in greater detail later.

Tables III-8 through 10 show total resource requirements for higher education, total projected amounts for the Universities Income Fund and the net amount to be financed by general revenue tax appropriations. The percentage amount shown is the percentage of the total projected State general revenue taxes which will be required for higher education. As can be observed from Table II-5 in Chaper II, the percentage share of total general revenue tax appropriations allocated to higher education has varied substantially since Fiscal Year 1966, but during the last five years it has averaged about a 16.38 percent.



Table 111-2 Higher Education Operations and Grants, Resource Requirements for FY1977 through FY1985, Series 1

(in thousands of dollars)

	FY1977	FY1978	646174	FY 1980	FY 1901	FY1982	FY1903	FY1984	FY 1985
Public Universities	\$544,497.0	\$582,875.0	\$633,509.0	\$ 672,767.0	\$ 720,921.0	\$ 758,686.0	\$ 796,435.0	\$. 833,596.0	\$ 869.778.0
Community Colleges	111,482.0	117,236.0	124,150.0	131,288.0	139,095.0	145,015.0	152,558.0	159, 230.0	165,715.0
Grants	110,800.0	116,520.0	123,400.0	130,500.0	138,270.0	144,950.0	151,650.0	158,280.0	164,720 0
Operations	,82.0	716.0	750.0	788.0	825.0	865.0	908.0	950.0	995 0
1550	76,432.9	62,551.9	89,030.3	94,013.4	96,841.0	102,012.5	104,986.0	107,681.3	109,464.8
Grants	68,813.9	73,327.9	78,204.3	82,563.4	86.771.0	90,312.5	93,356.0	96,211.3	98,354.8
Loans	5,500.0	7,000.0	0.000.0	9,000.0	9,500.0	9,000.0	8,800.0	0.500.0	0 000 0
Operations	2,119.0	2,224.0	2,334.0	2,450.0	2,570.0	2,700.0	2,830.0	2,970.0	3,110.0
Financial Assistance to Privates	7,333.0	7,964.9	9,584.9	9,210.0	9,012.6	10,360.2	10,072.0	11,337.0	11,724 0
Health Ed. Granta to Privates	15,790.0	15,580.0	16,430.0	17,910.0	16.650.0	17,860.0	18,940.0	20,070.0	21,280 0
lifgher Ed. Coop. Act	037.0	887.0	940.0	996.0	1,055.0	1,120.0	1,190.0	1,260.0	1,335 0
Board of litgher Ed.	1,148.0	1,200.0	1,260.0	1,325.0	1,390.0	1,460.0	1,530.0	1,600.0	1,680.0
Retirement	36,900.0	45,300.0	53, 700.0	62,300.0	70,400.0	78, 500.0	B6,600.0	94,700.0	102,700.0
IBA Rentals	36,137.4	36,137.4	36,137,4	36,137.4	36,137.4	36,137.4	36, 137. 4	36,137.4	36, 137.4
Total Requirements	\$630,557.3	\$889.712.2	\$963,749.6	\$1.025.946.8	\$1,094,502.0	\$1,151,959.1	\$1,209,248.4	\$1,245,541.7	\$1,319,814.2



Table III-3

Higher Education Operations and Grants, Resource Requirements for FY1977 through FY1965, Series II

(in thousands of dollars)

	FY1977	FY1978	FY1979	FY1980	FY1981	FY1982	FY 1983	FY1964	FY 1985
	_	\$621,150.0	\$ 677,054.0	\$ 733,913.0	\$ 789,210.0	\$ 647,648.0	\$ 207,712.0	\$ 268.865.0	\$1,030,738.0
	113,595.0 121,715.0	121,715.0	131,325.0	141,500.0	152,760.0	163, 170.0	173,940,0	164,970.0	196, 190.0
Grante	112,900.0	120,975.0	130,530.0	140,650.0	151,850.0	162,200.0	172,900.0	183,860.0	195,000.0
Opes at Sone	695.0	140.0	795.0	0.03	910.0	970.0	1,040.0	1,110.0	1,190.0
	78,300,0	85,625.0	23,540.0	100,140.0	106,800.0	111,940.0	117,075.0	121,915.0	125,970.0
Greate	70,640.0	76,325.0	82,580.0	88,505.0	94,480.0	99,930.0	105,105.0	109,975.0	114,290.0
Loans	5,500.0	7,000.0	8,500.0	0.000.6	9,500.0	9,000.0	8,750.0	0.500.0	0.000.0
Operations	2,160.0	2,300.0	2,460.0	2,635.0	2,820.0	3,010.0	3,220.0	3,440.0	3,680.0
Financial Assistance to Privates	7.470.0	0.240.0	2.070.0	2.900.0	10,770,0	11,600,0	12,400.0	13,160.0	13,670,0
Health Ed. Grants to Privates	15,400.0	16,110.0	17.940.0	20,530,0	20,680.0	22,340.0	24,120.0	26,050.0	20, 140, 0
Higher Ed. Coop. Act	850.0	220.0	990.0	1,075.0	1,140.0	1,230.0	1,330.0	1,430.0	1,550.0
Board of Higher Education	1,170.0	1,250.0	1,340.0	1,430.0	1,530.0	1.630.0	1,740.0	1,870,0	1.990.0
Retirement	36,900.0	45,300.0	53,700.0	62,300.0	70,400,0	78,500.0	0,000,0	24,700.0	102,700.0
IBA Rentela	36,137.4	36,137,4	36,137.4	36,137.4	36,137.4	36,137.4	36,137.4	36,137.4	36,137.4
Total Requirements		₩.	\$1,021,096.4	\$1,106,925.4	\$1,109,427.4	\$7.274,395.4	\$1,361,054.4	1,449,097.4	\$1,537,205.4

59

Table III-4

:

Higher Education Operations and Grants, Resource Requirements for FY1977 through FY1985, Series III

(in thousands of dollers)

	FY1977	FY1978	FY1979	FY1980	186177	FY 1982	FY1983	FY 1984	FY 1985
Public Universities	\$584,888.0	\$655,930.0	\$ 727,928.0	\$ 803,541.0	\$ 880,843.0	\$ 962,943 0	\$1,050,060.0	\$1,141,444.0	\$1,236,837.0
Community Colleges	115,625.0	126,270.0	138,760.0	152,285.0	167,440.0	182,190.0	197,820.0	214,275.0	231,430 0
Grants	114.985.0	125,490.0	137,900.0	151,340.0	166,40) 0	181,050.0	196,570.0	212,900.0	229,920 0
Operations	710.0	780.0	860.0	945.0	1,040.0	1,140.0	1,250.0	1,375.0	1,510.0
1880	#0,196.8	86,826.4	98,299.8	106,723.1	115,474.8	122,962.2	130,667.2	138, 325. 2	145, 398. 2
Granta	72,496.8	79,436.4	87,199.8	94,883.1	102,864.8	110,592.2	118,247.2	125,835.2	133,048 2
Loana	5,500.0	7,000.0	8,500.0	0.000.0	9,500.0	9,000.0	8,750.0	0,500.0	8,000.0
Operationa	2,200.0	2,390.0	2,600.0	2,840.0	3,090.0	3,370.0	3,670.0	3,990.0	4,350.0
Financial Assistance to Privates	7,600.0	8,540.0	0.009.6	10,660.0	11,800.0	12,940.0	14,100.0	15,240.0	15,400.0
Health Ed. Grants to Privates	15,700.0	16,410.0	18,300.0	20,910.0	21,100.0	23, 200.0	25,500.0	28,040.0	30,840.0
Higher Ed. Coop. Act	870.0	950.0	1,050.0	1,150.0	1,270.0	1,400.0	1,540.0	1,700.0	1,860.0
Board of Higher Ed.	1,190.0	1,300.0	1.410.0	1,540.0	1,670.0	1,830 0	2,000.0	2,200.0	2,360.0
Retirement	36,900.0	45,300.0	53,700.0	62,300.0	70,400,0	78,500.0	86,600.0	94,700.0	102,700 0
IDA Rentele	36,137.4	36,137.4	36,137.4	36,137,4	36,137.4	36,137.4	36,137.4	36,137.4	36,137 4
Total Requirements	\$879,177.2	\$979,663.8	\$1,085,185.2	\$1,195,246.5	\$1,306,135.2	\$1,422,102.6	\$1,544,404.6	\$1,672,061.6	\$1,803,962 6



Table 111-5

Projected Resource Requirements and Projected Revenue Sources for Public Community Colleges, FY1977 through FY1985, Series 1

(in thousands of dollars)									
	FY1917	FY1978	FY1979	FY1980	FY1981	FY1982	FY1983	FY 1984	FY1985
Projected Total - Resource Requirements	\$ 263,300.0	\$ 276,920.0	\$ 293,250.0	\$ 310,120.0	\$ 328,600.0	\$ 344,530.0	\$ 360,460.0	\$ 376,200.0	\$ 391,530.0
Projected Total - Revenues	255,517.0	267,246.0	281,135.0	295,468.0	311,075.0	324,905.0	338,658.0	352,160,0	365,185.0
State Grants	111,482.0	117,236.0	124,150.0	131,288.0	139,095.0	145,815.0	152,558.0	159,230.0	165,715.0
Local Revenues	0.066,68	92,700.0	95,475.0	98,340.0	101,290.0	104,330.0	107,460.0	110,680.0	114,000.0
Tuition and Fees	50,045.0	53,310.0	57,510.0	61,840.0	0.069,69	70,760.0	0.059,47	78,250.0	81,470.0
Other	4,000.0	4,000.0	0.000.4	4,000.0	0.000.4	0.000.4	0.000.4	0.000.4	4,000.0
Possible Revenue Shortfall	\$ (7,783.0)	\$ (7,783.0) \$ (9,674.0)	\$ (12,115.0)	\$ 14,652.0)	\$ (17,525.0)	\$ (19,625.0)	\$ (21,802.0)	\$ (24,040.0)	\$ (26, 345.0)



Table 111-6

Projected Resource Requirements and Projected Revenue Sources for Public Community Colleges, 1719977 through FY1985, Series II

(in thousands of dollars)									
	771977	FY1976	FY1979	FY 1980	186174	FY1982	FY1983	FY 1984	FY1985
Projected Total - Resource Requirements	\$ 273,350.0	\$ 292,590.0	\$ 316,000.0	\$ 340,510.0	\$ 367,620.0	\$ 392,700.0	\$ 418,620.0	\$ 418,620,0 . \$ 445,160.0	\$ 472,030.0
Projected Total - Revenues	259,495.0	275,585.0	294,510.0	314,460.0	336,620.0	156,970.0	378,040,0	399,420.0	421,140.0
State Grants	113,595.0	121,715.0	131,325.0	141,500.0	152,760.0	163,170.0	173,940.0	184,970.0	196, 190 0
Local Revenues	90,870.0	94,500.0	98,285.0	102,210.0	106,300.0	110,550.0	115,000.0	119,600.0	124,350.0
Tuition and Fees	51,030.0	55,370.0	0.006,09	66,750.0	73,560.0	79,250.0	85,100.0	90,850.0	0.009,96
Other	4,000.0	4,000.0	4,000.0	4,000.0	4,000.0	4,000.0	4,000.0	4,000.0	4,000.0
Possible Revenue Shortfall	4 (13.855.0)	\$ (13.455.0) \$ (17.305.0) \$ (21.490.0) \$ (26.050.0) \$ (31.000.0) \$ (40.580.0) \$ (45.740.0) \$ (50.050.0)	\$ (21,490.0)	\$ (26,050,0)	\$ (21,000.0)	\$ (35,730.0)	\$ (40,580.0)	\$ (45,740.0)	\$ (50,890,0)



Table 1111-7

Projected Resource Requirements and Projected Revenue Sources for Public Community Colleges, FY1977 through FY1995, Series 111

(in thousands of dollars)

	-	FY1977	FY1978	FY1979	FY1980	FY1981		FY1983	FY1982 FY1983 FY1984	FY 1985
Projected Total - Resource Requirements		\$ 283,550.0	\$ 308,490.0	\$ 339,000.0	\$ 372,000.0	\$ 409,120.0	\$ 445,130.0	\$ 483,300.0	\$ 483,300.0 · \$ 523,480.0	\$ 565,375.0
Projected Tutal - Revenues		263,415.0	284,020.0	308, 190.0	234,305.0	363,510.0	391,700.0	421,580.0	452,635.0	484,810.0
State Grante	115,	115,695.0	126,270.0	138,760.0	152,285.0	167,440.0	182, 190.0	197,620.0	214,275.0	231,430.0
Local Revenues	11,	91,740.0	96,330.0	101,150.0	106,200.0	111,510.0	117,100.0	122,940:0	129,100.0	135,550.0
Tuition and Face	51,	51,980.0	57,420.0	64,280.0	71,820.0	0.095,00	86,410.0	96,820.0	105,260.0	113,830.0
Other	•	4,000.0	4,000.0	4,000.0	4,000.0	₹,000.0	4,000.0	0.000.4	0.000.A	4,000.0
Poseible Revenue Shortfall \$ (20,135,0) \$ (24,470.0)	(e.11 s (20)	135.9)	\$ (24,470.0)	\$ (30,810,0)	\$ (37,695.0) \$ (45,610.0) \$ (53,430.0) \$ (61,720.0) \$ (70,845.0) \$ (80,565.0)	\$ (65,610,0)	(53,430.0)	(61,720.0)	\$ (70,845.0)	\$ (80,565.0)

ERIC *
Full Text Provided by ERIC

Table III-8

Projected Requirements for General Revenue Tax Appropriations, FY1977 through FY1985, Series I

FY1978	\$ 830,557.3 \$ 689,712.2 \$ 963,749.6	85,765.0	6 03,947.2	17.1
FY1979 FY1960 FY	\$1,025,946.8	87,582.0 89,035.0 8	876,167.6 936,911.8 1,000	17.4 17.4
FY1981 FY1982	\$1,094,502.0 \$1,151,959.1 \$1,209,248.4, \$1,245,541.7 \$1,319,814.2	89,878.0 90,035.0	1,004,624.0 1,061,924.1	17.5 17.3
FY1983	.1 \$1,209,248.4	0.959.68 0.	.1 1,119,602.4	17.0
FY 1984	\$1,245,541.7	66,700.0	1,156,841.7	16.4
FY1985	\$1,319,814.2	87,115.0	1,232,699.2	16.4

111-15

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

Table III-9

Projected Requirements for General Revenue Tax Appropriations, Frig. 1919.7 through FY1985, Series 11

(in thousands of dollars)									
	FY 1977	FY 1978	FY1979	FY1980	FY1981	FY 1982	FY1983	FY1984	FY1985
Total Resource Requirements for Higher Education	\$ 853,836.4	\$ 936,447.4	\$1,021,096.4	\$1,021,096.4 \$1,106,925.4 \$1,189,427.4	\$1,189,427.4	\$1,274,395.4	\$1,361,054.4	\$1,274,395.4 \$1,361,054.4 \$1,449,097.4 \$1,537,285.4	\$1,537,285.4
Less Projected Universities Income Fund Revenue	82,747.0	85,765.0	87,582.0	89,035.0	89,678.0	90,035.0	89,646.0	88,700.0	87,115.0
Amount to be Financed by General Revenue Tax Appropriations	771,089.4	850,682.4	933,514.4	933,514.4 1,017,890.4 1,099,549.4	1,099,549.4	1,184,360.4	1,271,408.4	1,360,397.4	1,450,170.4
Percent of Total Projected General Revenue Tax Appropriations	17.3	17.5	17.6	17.6	17.4	17.2	17.0	16.7	16.3

Table 111-10

Projected Requirements for General Revenue Tax Appropriations, RV197 through FY1985, Series 111

(in thousands of dollars)	11917	Total Resource Requirements \$ 879,177.2 \$ for Higher Education	Less Projected Universities 62,747.0 Income Fund Revenue	Amount to be Financed by 796,430.2 General Revenue Taz Appropriations	Percent of Total Projected 17.5 General Revenue Tax Appropriations
	FY1978	979,663.8	85,765.0	8.93,898.8	17.7
	FY1979	\$1,085,185.2	87,582.0	997,603.2	17.6
	FY1980	\$1,195,246.5	89,035.0	997,603.2 1,106,211.5	17.8
	FY 1981	\$1,306,135.2	89,878.0	1,216,257.2	17.6
	FY 1982	979,663.8 \$1,085,185.2 \$1,195,246.5 \$1,306,135.2 \$1,422,102.6	90,035.0		17.4
	FY1983	\$1,544,404.6	89,646.0	1,332,067.6 1,454,758.6	17.1
	FY1984	\$1,544,404.6. \$1,672,061.6 \$1,803,962.6	88,700.0	1,583,361.6	16.8
	FY1985	\$1,803,962.6	87,115.0	1,716,847.6	16.4

The projected revenues for the Universities Income Fund shown in these tables are based on the projected growth in enrollment for public universities through Fiscal Year 1985. No inflation rates were applied to these income projections.

A complete projection of total State general revenue taxes for the planning period was not available from any other source in State government. For purposes of this study, it was necessary to have such a projection so that a complete picture of higher education resource requirements could be presented. In the past, growth in State general revenue tax receipts has been responsive to inflationary trends. Recently, rates of revenue growth actually have exceeded inflation rates. For projection purposes, it was assumed that general revenue tax receipts will grow at an annual rate of one percent above the rate of inflation. Thus, if a 6 percent rate is projected, State general revenue tax receipts will grow at a rate of 7 percent.

Table III-11 shows projected State general revenue tax receipts through 1985 using the three projection series. These projections are based on the assumptions that there will be no new taxes nor any major changes to the existing taxing structure. The only assumption reflected in the projection is that general revenue tax receipts will continue to grow in proportion to the rates of inflation.

Discussion of Projections

For purposes of discussing the resource requirement projections, Fiscal Years 1977, 1980 and 1985 are selected for each of the projection series.

For projection series I (6 percent inflation), higher education faces a possible revenue deficit of almost \$30 million in Fiscal Year 1977. The revenue deficit is the difference between the amount of resources required for higher education and the amount of state funds available if higher education receives 16.38 percent of state revenues. The revenue deficits for projection series I (6 percent inflation) are shown on Table III-12.

By Fiscal Year 1980, the revenue deficit will grow to more than \$57 million. By 1985, the projected revenue deficit will disappear. The disappearance of the revenue deficit is due to the type of projection technique used. As has been described earlier, the projections are based primarily on enrollment levels. Thus, when enrollments increase as they are projected to do through Fiscal Year 1982, resource requirements increase. However, when enrollments decline as they are projected to do in the mid 80's, resource requirements decrease. Thus, the projections for Fiscal Year 1985 imply that higher education will take the steps required to reduce resource requirements relative to the projected enrollment declines. These steps will be most difficult in view of the fact that higher education institutions are labor intensive and that larger numbers of existing faculty are being granted life-time tenure.



Table 111-11

Projected State Ceneral Revenue Tax Appropriations, Frojes

(In th	(In thousands of dollars))			Cene	General Tax Revenues				
		FY1977	FY1978	FY1979	FY1980	FY1980 FY1981 FY1982	FY1982	FY1983 FY1984	7861X4	FY1985
Projec	Projection Series									
Rate	Rates of Inflation									
-	1 6 Percent	\$4, 390,000.0	\$4,694,100 0	\$4,390,000.0 \$4,694,100 0 \$5,022,700 0 \$5,374,300.0 \$5,750,500.0 \$6,151,000.0 \$6,'34,000.0 \$7,045,000.0 \$7,537,700 0	\$5,374,300.0	\$5,750,500.0	\$6,153,000.0	\$6, 34,000.0	\$7,045,000.0	0 00/'285'/\$
=	8 Percent	4,469,000.0		4,871,200.0 5,309,600.0 5,787,510.0 6,308,400 0 6,876,200.0 7,495,100.0 8,170,010.0 8,905,1001.0	5,787,500.0	0 007'806'9	6,876,200.0	7,495,100.0	8,170,000.0	8,905,000.0
===	111 10 Percent	4,551,000.0		5,051,600.0 5,607,300.0 6,224,100.0 5,908,800.0 7,668,800.0 8,512,400.0 9,448,800 0 10,488,200 0	6,224,100.0	5,908,800.0	7,668,800.0	8,512,400.0	9,448,800 0	10,488,200 0

Table III-12

Analysis of Projected Resource Requirements, Selected Years, FY1977, FY1980 and FY1985, Series I

(in thousands of dollars)

	FY1977	FY1980	FY1985
Total Resource Requirements for Higher Education	\$ 830,557.3	\$1,025,946.8	\$1,319,814.2
Less Projected Universities Income Fund Revenue	82,747.0	89,035.0	87,115.0
Amount to be Financed by General Revenue Tax Appropriations	747,810.3	936,911.8	1,232,699.2
Amount of General Revenue Tax Appropriations Available to Higher Education at 16.38 Percent of Projected Total Revenues	719,080.0	880,310.0	1,234,680.0
Possible Revenue Deficit for Higher Education	28,730.3	56,601.8	0 -



Table III-13 shows the impact on revenues under projection series II (8 percent inflation). Based on economic conditions today, this appears to be the most realistic projection series of the three used.

Table III-13

Analysis of Projected Resource Requirements,
Selected Years, FY1977, FY1980 and FY1985, Series II

(in thousands of dollars)

	_	FY1977	FY1980	FY1985
Total Resource Requirements for Higher Education	\$	853,836.4	\$1,106,925.4	\$1,537,285.4
Less Projected Universities Income Fund Revenue		82,747.0	89,035.0	87,115.0
Amount to be Financed by General Revenue Tax Appropriations		771,089.4	1,017,890.4	1,450,170.4
Amount of General Revenue Tax Appropriations Available to Higher Education at 16.38 Percent of Projected Total Revenues		732,020.0	947,990.0	1,458,640.0
Possible Revenue Deficit for Higher Education		39,069.4	69,900.4	- 0 -

Under this projection series, the revenue deficit for higher education will be almost \$40 million in Fiscal Year 1977. Under this projection more than \$80 million new general revenue appropriations would be required in Fiscal Year 1977 over the amount allocated in Fiscal Year 1976. By Fiscal Year 1980, the deficit is projected to be almost \$70 million. The projections show that the revenue deficit disappears in Fiscal Year 1985. But again, this is due to the projection technique used which is based heavily on projected enrollments. Therefore, as enrollments decline, projected resource requirements decline accordingly.

Projection series III (10 percent inflation) is shown in Table III-14. Under this series, the deficit will be more than \$50 million in Fiscal Year 1977 and will increase to nearly \$90 million by Fiscal Year 1980. Again by Fiscal Year 1985, the projection series shows that

revenue and resource requirements are nearly matched in Fiscal Year 1985. For Fiscal Year 1977, a total increase of more than \$100 million new general revenue fund appropriations over Fiscal Year 1976 would be required.

Table III-14

Analysis of Projected Resource Requirements,
Selected Years, FY1977, FY1980 and FY1985, Series III

(in thousands of dollars)

	FY1977	FY1980	FY1985
Total Resource Requirements for Higher Education	\$ 879,177.2	\$1,195,246.5	\$1,803,962.6
Less Projected Universities Income Fund Revenue	82,747.0	89,035.0	87,115.0
Amount to be Financed by General Revenue Tax Appropriations	796,430.0	1,106,210.0	1,716,850.0
Amount of General Revenue Tax Appropriations Available to Higher Education at 16.38 Percent of Projected Total Revenues	745,450.0	1,019,500.0	1,717,900.0
Possible Revenue Deficit for Higher Education	50,980.0	86,710.0	- 0 -

This final projection series shows the impact of double-digit inflation levels on resource requirements. The impact of recent high rates of inflation has been shown in the earlier section of this report which surveys historical trends in financing higher education in Illinois.

Sensitivity of the Projections

Some will argue that a change in the distribution of the projected enr liments among the three sectors would greatly reduce the revenue deficit. Analysis indicates that while there would be a shift in resource requirements by sector, there in fact would not be a substantial difference in total resources required. For example, if enrollments at public universities and private institutions were to remain stable at current levels, and all projected enrollment increases were to occur in the community college sector, State financed resource



71

requirements for public universities would be reduced by approximately \$38 million in Fiscal Year 1980. Total resource requirements for these additional enrollments at community colleges would be around \$46 million. These additional enrollments would add to the already large projected revenue shortfall for the community colleges. Assuming the State would have to finance a considerable share of the additional resources required, the impact of this change in enrollments would be no more than a \$2 or \$3 million reduction to projected State resource requirements. In view of the projected total State resource requirements of more than \$1 billion, this represents a very modest change to the projection. Such a change is clearly within the margin of error that can be anticipated from projections of this sort.

In conclusion, substantial revenue deficits for higher education are projected. Dealing with these projected deficits is probably the most difficult challenge ahead for higher education. This challenge is the topic of the next chapter.



72

IV. FINANCING ISSUES FOR THE FUTURE

Substantial revenue deficits are projected for higher education, as described in the previous chapter of this report. However, these resource requirement projections are based on the assumption that no major changes will be made to the existing state of higher education. If certain changes are made, additional resource requirements will result. These additional requirements will add to the projected revenue deficit for higher education described in the previous section.

Additional Resource Requirements

Community College Revenue Shortfall

In Chapter III Tables III-5 through 7 show the total resource requirements and projected revenues for community colleges. These tables also show a potential revenue shortfall under each of the projection series, caused by the failure of local tax revenue to keep pace with inflation and growing enrollments. This revenue shortfall was described in detail in the Committee Report on Financing Public Community Colleges. In projection series I (6 percent inflation) the potential revenue shortfall for public community colleges will be \$7.7 million in FY1977. By FY1985, the revenue shortfall will grow to more than \$26.3 million. Under projection series II (8 percent inflation), the revenue shortfall in FY1977 will be \$13.8 million, but it would increase to more than \$50. million by FY1985. None of this shortfall is included in the projected state resource requirements for higher education presented in the previous chapter. If the State is to provide funds to assist in meeting this shortfall, additional resources will be required. For example, if the State meets half of the revenue shortfall in FY1977, an additional \$6 to \$7 million will be required under projection series II (8 percent inflation).

It is probable that the revenue shortfall for the community colleges will not be eliminated by any single course of action. It will require a combination of steps and efforts by the State and the community colleges. In addition to State assistance the shortfall may be reduced through productivity increases beyond those already assumed, modification, consolidation or elimination of high cost programs to increase local taxes where voter support may be obtained, and/or increased tuition charges and other user fees for services which now are provided without charge or for an unusually low fee. While the appropriate steps to conserve resources will vary from campus to campus, actions such as these combined with growth in state assistance will be necessary to balance the budget in community colleges.

Retirement Funding

Another potential source of additional resource requirements not included in the projection series is the State University Retirement



IV-1

System. Currently the State provides funding sufficient to cover the State's share of benefit payments to those retired while incurring an obligation to pay future retirement benefits to current employees. Under a full funding plan, the full amount of the employer obligation for each current employee would be paid annually. Most of the contributions would be invested and paid as benefits to employees retiring in the future. The Illinois Pension Laws Commission has reccommended a retirement financing plan which would have the State phase into a full funding program over a period of years. Under this plan, an additional 1 percent of personal services for employees covered by the University Retirement System would be provided each year until full funding is reached. Such a funding plan would stabilize the amount of obligations, which under the existing funding practices are growing by substantial sums each year. This plan would require substantial new State resources. Table IV-1 shows the estimate of additional resource requirements to implement this financing plan. These estimates are based on projected levels of personal services expenditures at the public universities and community colleges at a 6 percent inflation rate.

Resource Requirements for Stabilizing Funding of State
University Retirement System

	Total Additional Amounts Required	Annual <u>Increments</u>
FY1977	\$ 12.9 million	,
FY1978	20.6	\$ 7.7 million
FY1979	29.7	9.1
FY1980	39.3	10.0
FY1981	50.4	11.1
FY1982	61.9	11.5
FY1983	74.0	12.1
FY1984	87.1	13.1
FY1985	100.9	13.8

These requirements also have not been included in the projections described in Chapter III.

New Building Maintenance

Based on current data available from public universities, non-residen-ial buildings under construction or planned for construction in the immediate future total approximately 3 million gross square feet. Operation and maintenance funding for these buildings will require a minimum addition of 6 million dollars. This is new space already planned and approved by the appropriate agencies. These estimated additional resource requirements do not include an allowance for inflation. There will probably be additional resource requirements for



operating support to non-residential space which has not yet been planned or approved for construction. These facilities could be operational by FY1985, thus requiring additional operation and maintenance support.

Support for Nonpublic Higher Education

The Nonpublic Advisory Committee has recommended that the recommendations of the study on Nonpublic Higher Education in Illinois be revised to include the following.

- 1. Funding the Illinois Financial Assistance Act at an annual amount of \$13 million. The current funding level for FY1976 is about \$6.8 million. Based on this recommendation support for this program would be inflated from a base of \$13 million. This recommendation would require an additional \$6 million in funding support.
- 2. Increasing the ISSC maximum monetary award to \$2,000. Increasing the maximum ISSC award to \$2,000 would require an estimated \$9 million over current projections.

Both of these amounts would increase with inflation over time.

New Program Requests

New and expanded program requests for public universities have been ranging between \$5 to \$6 million annually. Additional resources would be required to finance new educational technology such as educational TV. Annual operating costs for a statewide public educational TV network would probably range from \$7 to \$10 million. These amounts do not include estimated capital requirements.

These are some of the additional resource requirements not included in the projections outlined in Chapter III. These additional requirements will increase the revenue deficit described in Chapter III. The impact of these additional resource requirements on the revenue deficits of projection series II (8 percent inflation) is shown in Table IV-2.

For FY1977, the potential impact of these additional resource requirements could go as high as \$42 million. By FY1980, if all of these additional resource requirements were to be funded, the revenue deficit would increase from \$70 million to more than \$162 million. These estimates help convey the scope of the financial problem with which higher education will be faced in the coming decade.

Reducing the Revenue Deficit

This section will discuss ways to reduce the revenue deficit. In some cases, the potential resource requirement impact can be identified.



Table IV-2

Additional Resource Requirements for Higher Education and Impact on Projected Revenue Deficit

(in millions of dollars)

	<u>FY1977</u>	<u>FY1980</u>	<u>FY1985</u>
Revenue Deficit for Higher Education under Projection Series II (8 percent inflation)	\$ <u>39.1</u>	\$ <u>69.9</u>	<u>.</u>
Partial State Funding of Community Colleges Revenue Shortfall under Projection Series II (8 percent inflation)	6.0	13.0	25.0
Additional Funding for State University Retirement System	12.9	39.3	100.9
Operating Support for New Buildings Under Construction or Planned for Construction	1.0	6.7	9.4
Additional Funding Recommended for Nonpublic Higher Education (8 per- cent inflation)	16.0	20.2	29.6
New and Expanded Program Requests from Public Universities	5.0	6.5	9.1
Establishment of a Statewide Ed. TV Network	2.0	7.0	10.0
Total Additional Resource Requirements	42.9	92.7	<u>184.0</u>
Total Revenue Deficit	\$ 82.0	\$ <u>162.6</u>	\$ <u>184.0</u>



In other cases, it is impossible to indicate the potential impact of suggested deficit reduction measures on resource requirements. The fact that a program or activity is included in this section is no way a suggestion that it should be discontinued. It is included as an item, which is to be reviewed carefully as priorities of higher education are reassessed. All of the areas will involve questions of policy.

Planning and Management for Growth and Decline

According to the resource requirement projections, higher education faces an era of scarce resources through fiscal year 1980, but in the early 1980's enrollments are projected to decline. These enrollment declines will reduce resource requirements. However, higher education will not be able to adjust its resource requirements relative to the enrollment declines without careful long-range planning. In effect, higher education must plan now for the enrollment declines of the 1980's while at the same time absorbing the gradual enrollment increases projected for the period 1977 through 1982.

One possible way to prepare for decreased enrollments is to use the existing productive capacity to its maximum. Rather than adding new faculty for the small enrollment increases projected in the interim period, the productivity of existing faculty can be increased to accommodate the new enrollments. Rather than expanding campus facilities at some locations to accommodate new enrollments, it may be necessary to consider policies which encourage enrollments at those campuses which are currently under utilized. All of these steps will require more effective planning and management by higher education institutions and agencies.

While the projections described in Chapter III were based on an assumption of productivity gains, they will not be realized without careful planning and management. The projections assumed that a one percent productivity would be realized during the planning period. It may be necessary to achieve even greater productivity gains than those included in the resource requirement projections if the revenue deficit is to be closed. For institutions, productivity is increased essentially by increasing the student - to - faculty ratios. In other agencies of higher education, other measures will have to be found to achieve productivity gains.

Since enrollments are projected to grow through 1980, but decline thereafter, higher education could accomplish significant productivity gains by absorbing the new enrollments with little or no new resources. The projection model used assumes that new resources equivalent to one/half the average costs would be required for new enrollments. Reducing the rate of marginal cost to twenty-five percent of the average costs would in fact result in productivity gains.



77

Resource Reallocation

Higher education programs and activities must be adjusted to meet constantly changing needs and conditions. In a period of scarce resources, institutions may have to start new programs and activities without additional financial resources. This can be accomplished by stopping or phasing down those programs and activities for which there is a lower priority. The resources from these discontinued or reduced activities and programs could then be reallocated to support new programs and activities. This will require that institutions review existing academic and administrative support programs and activities.

The review of existing programs should include the consideration of resources generated or not generated by a program as well as an examination of the value of the program and its cost. For example, institutional tuition waivers for graduate students in public universities now exceed \$6 million. The extent of these waivers should be examined in view of the high cost of graduate education, the decreasing demand for Ph.D.'s and the significant public subsidies already provided for graduate education. A reduction in the number of tuition waivers granted to graduate students in certain programs could produce revenue for higher priority needs.

The resource requirement projections described in Chapter III did not include additional funds for expanding programs or initiating new programs except for continued health program expansion. In an earlier section of this chapter, it was indicated that approximately \$5 to \$6 million annually probably will be requested for initiation of new programs and for the expansion of existing programs. Reallocation of resources from existing programs and activities could provide the resources necessary to meet these additional requirements as well as resources to offset project deficits.

State Supported Research

Currently, more than \$18 million in state appropriated funds are allocated to support organized research activities. In some cases, this financial support is not matched or supplemented by non-appropriated sources of funds. In an era of scarce resources, these State funded research efforts will have to be reviewed to determine what benefits are being provided to the Illinois public. External sources or state funds for research projects related to specific public needs should assume greater importance.

Public Service Programs

In FY1975, public universities allocated almost \$13 million in direct support to public service programs. These amounts not include the resources required to support countless public service activities by faculty and administrators. Higher education institutions have historically provided special services to the public.



IV-6

Public service programs and activities should be reviewed and a determination made regarding their appropriateness as a higher education endeavor. If another public agency has been established and funded to provide a public service, higher education should not be providing the same service. Other programs and activities may be more appropriately carried on in other private or public agencies.

Subsidies to Auxiliary Enterprises

Many public institutions currently operate auxiliary enterprises such as student unions, housing units, cafeterias, bookstores, university presses, parking facilities and similar enterprises. For the most part, these enterprises are supported financially by fee revenue which is collected from the user of the facilities or services. However, there are direct and indirect State funded subsidies to these operations. These subsidies are provided in various forms such as paying utility bills, providing police and fire protection, paying debt servicing costs and supporting general administrative overhead expenditures. As higher education faces an era of scarce resources, it may be necessary to review State funded subsidies. This topic is closely related to user fees, but it is an area which deserves special comment.

User Fees

Currently universities and colleges offer many special services to the public. Some of these special services are financed, wholly or in part, by fees charged to users. A review of all higher education programs and activities should be made to determine whether or not user fees can be charged to defer some or all of the expenses of rendering the services. In cases where user fees are currently being charged, it may be necessary to consider increases so that more of the costs may be covered by fee revenue. Where feasible user fees should cover all costs of providing the special services, both direct and indirect. Examples of special services which could be financially supported by user fees include:

- -- Special institutes, lectures, conferences and seminars.
- -- Noncredit courses for intellectual and cultural improvement.
- -- Campus parking for faculty and administrators.
- -- Bulletins, periodicals and publications.
- -- Public broadcast services.
- -- Other services to government agencies, private businesses and individuals.

Tuition at Public Universities

The Tuition Study Committee has recommended that fuition charges at public universities be increased. The Committee Report contains an outline of a plan for increasing tuition rates to one third of undergraduate instructional costs. The Committee further recommends that



graduate tuition rates be increased to a level 133 1/3 percent of undergraduate tuition rates. In the projections described in Chapter III of this Report, no tuition increases by public universities are included. As a result the tuition rates at public universities used in the projections decline relative to the rates charges by public community colleges and private colleges and universities.

The Tuition Study Committee has recommended that the proposed tuition policy be implemented by FY1980. The undergraduate tuition rates shown in the table IV-3 are 1/3 of the projected undergraduate instructional costs. The graduate tuition rates are 133 1/3 percent of the undergraduate tuition rates. Tuition rates for students of medicine, dentistry and veterinary medicine programs follow the Tuition Study Committee's recommendation.

Implementation of the recommended tuition policy will increase the amount of revenue available to the universities income fund. To make these revenue projections, it was assumed that tuition rates will be increased gradually towards the levels required by FY1980. Under this plan, the undergraduate tuition rate increases would not exceed \$60 for any of the four years. Graduate tuition increases will average a little more than \$100 annually for the four-year period. The tuition rates by academic level used to project the increased amounts for the universities income fund are shown in Table IV-3.

Table IV-3

Projected Tuition Rates to
Achieve Recommended Tuition Policy by FY1980
(assuming 8% inflation)

Academic Level/	Current Rates		Fiscal	Years	
Program Area	Averaged	1977	1978	1979	1980
Undergraduate	437	\$ 485	\$ 535	\$ 590	\$ 640
Graduate	437	575	645	715	785
Medicine	656	1,250	1,380	1,520	1,650
Dentistry	606	900	995	1,100	1,195
Veterinary Medicine	496	750	830	915	995

The estimated amount of additional revenue resulting from these tuition increases is shown in Table IV-4 below. These additional amounts would be available for each of the years shown.



Estimated Additional Revenue Resulting
From Tuition Rate Increases

		Fiscal	Years	
(in million of dollars)	1977	1978	1979	1980
~				,
Universities Income Fund Revenue From Tuition Increases	\$ 92.2	\$103.9	\$116.1	\$127.5
Projected Universities Income Fund Under Current State Projection	82.7	85.8	87.6	89.0
Additional Revenue-Cummulative	9.5	18.1	28.5	38.5

The Tuition Study Committee has also recommended that student aid programs be increased "to offset the impact of any proposed tuition increases on financially needy students." Based on data provided by the Illinois State Scholarship Commission, it is estimated that the following additional State resource requirements are needed to offset the effects of the tuition increase for each of the years.

<u>Table IV-5</u>

<u>Estimated Additional State Resource Requirements</u>

<u>For The ISSC Programs</u>

Fiscal Year	Additional Resource Requirements For ISSC			
1977	\$2.1 million			
1978	4.0 million			
1979	6.1 million			
1980	8.0 million			

Even with the additional net revenues resulting from the recommended tuition increases, a substantial revenue deficit remains

The ISSC estimates are based on the assumption that the scope of its programs will not change. Therefore, the ISSC estimates assume that other forms of financial assistance will continue to be available to offset the impact of the other student costs. The net revenues generated by a tuition increase after considering the additional requirements of ISSC are shown on the following table.



Table IV-6

Net New Revenues Resulting

From The Proposed Tuition Rate Increases

	Fiscal Years				
(in million of dollars)	1977	<u>1978</u>	<u> 1979</u>	1980	
New Revenue Resulting From Tuition Increase	\$ 9 .5	\$18.1	\$28.5	\$38.5	
State Resource Requirements For ISSC	2.1	4.0	6.1	8.0	
Net New Revenues-Cummulative	7.4	14.1	22.4	30.5	

While increasing tuition rates at the public universities will generate significant new sums of revenue, they cannot eliminate the revenue deficit under projection series II.

Table IV-7

Revenue Deficit After Tuition Rate
Increases, Projection Series II (8 percent inflation)

	<u>FY1977</u>	FY1978	<u>FY1979</u>	FY1980
Revenue Deficit Before Tuition Increase	\$39.1	\$52.8	\$63.8	\$69.9
New Additional Revenue Resulting From Increased Tuition	7.4	14.1	22.4	30 . 5
Remaining Revenue Deficit	31.7	38.7	41.4	39.4

Increased State Tax Support

The historical expenditure data reviewed in Chapter II showed that higher education's percentage share of total State general revenue tax appropriations has varied from year to year. However, in recent years this level of general revenue tax support to higher education has been relatively stable at a little more than 16 percent of total general revenue appropriations. The revenue deficits projected in Chapter III were based on an allocation of 16.38 percent of total general revenue tax funds to higher education. While higher education can implement many measures to conserve and reallocate resources, a combination of actions will be necessary to reduce the projected revenue deficit. Increasing the level of State general revenue tax support to higher education is clearly another possible measure for reducing the projected revenue deficit.



Reassessment of Priorities

In a sense, all of the above suggestions call for a reassessment of priorities. This process must start at the level of campuses and agencies and continue to the governing board and coordinating board levels. In a time of scarce resources, it is even more essential to have clearly defined priorities. What can and should be done with a given level of resource support? Is the existing mix of undergraduate, graduate, vocational, and professional programs appropriate to the needs and priorities of the public? Is the level of public expenditure required by individual programs justified by their value to the public? Clearly defined priorities are at the heart of an effective planning process.

Reassessment of priorities should not stop with the higher education community. The people of Illinois, the Governor, and the General Assembly should reassess statewide priorities. What does the State of Illinois want to accomplish and how much can be supported financially? On the statewide level, higher education must be evaluated along with all other state supported services.

We are confident that from such an evaluation higher education will continue to receive financial and programmatic support from the citizen of Illinois.

