DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 125 262 FL 007 646

AUTHOR Swain, Merrill

TITLE Writing Skills of Grade Three French Immersion

Pupils. Working Papers on Bilingualism, No. 7.

INSTITUTION Ontario Inst. for Studies in Education, Toronto.

Bilingual Education Project.

SFONS AGENCY Ontario Dept. of Education, Toronto.

PUB DATE Sep 75

NOTE 41p.; For related documents, see FL 007 647-651

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$2.06 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Bilingual Education; *Bilingualism; *Eilingual Students; Capitalization (Alphabetic); Child

Language; *Composition (Literary); Composition Skills (Literary); Creativity; English; *Error Patterns; *French; Language Programs; Language Skills; Primary

Education: Punctuation: Spelling: Verbal Development:

Vocabulary Skills: *Writing Skills

IDENTIFIERS *Immersion Programs

ABSTRACT

This paper analyzes short stories written in English and French by French immersion pupils at the Grade 3 level. Their English writing skills are compared to those of Grade 3 pupils in a regular English program. Aspects of their writing skills which are examined include vocabulary skills, technical skills (punctuation, capitalization and spelling), grammatical skills and creativity. The errors made by the pupils are discussed in detail. (Author)

 Writing Skills of Grade Three French Immersion Pupils

Merrill Swain
The Ontario Institute for Studies in Education
Toronto, Canada

ABSTRACT

This paper analyzes short stories written in English and French by French immersion pupils at the Grade 3 level. Their English writing skills are compared to those of Grade 3 pupils in a regular English program. Aspects of their writing skills which are examined include vocabulary skills, technical skills (punctuation, capitalization and spelling), grammatical skills and creativity. The errors made by the pupils are discussed in detail.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EQUICATION POSITION OR POLICY

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPY-RIGHTED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY O. T. S.E. Bullingia

TO ERC ANO ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING
UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION, FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT

The research reported in this paper was funded in part by a Grant-in-Aid of Educational Research from the Ministry of Education for the Province of Ontario.



Writing Skills of Grade Three French Immersion Pupils

Merrill Swain

As part of a longitudinal evaluation of the Freuch immersion program in the Ottawa area public schools (Barik and Swain, 1975), the writing skills of the Grade 3 pupils were assessed. This paper reports the results by (1) comparing French immersion pupils' ability to write in English with that of pupils in the regular English program; and (2) comparing French immersion pupils' ability to write in French with their ability to write in English. Further it describes in detail some of the more frequent errors made by the pupils in French in order to provide a basis for the preparation of appropriate instructional material.

The study involved 40 pupils from two French immersion Grade 3 classes and 24 pupils from two regular English Grade 3 classes. The French immersion classes and regular English classes were comparable with respect to linguistic characteristics (all from English-speaking homes) and socio-economic background (generally middle to upper-middle class).

French immersion programs have been described elsewhere (see for example Lambert and Tucker, 1972; Swain, 1974; 1975). Suffice it to say here that the French immersion pupils in the present study were instructed entirely in French in Kindergarten and Grade 1. In Grades 2 and 3 they continued to receive all their instruction in French with the exception of approximately one hour a day of instruction in English Language Arts taught to them by an English-speaking teacher. The regular English pupils on the other hand, received all their instruction in English at all grade levels with the exception of daily 20 minute French language classes.

The pupils in the present study were required to write two stories in



English each based on a different picture, the second picture being the more complex and detailed of the two. The first picture showed a train, a boy wearing a jacket and cap, and a small dog. The boy is waving at the train. The second picture showed a house on fire, a lady at a second story window, two firemen, a firetruck, and a ladder going up to the window. One fireman is manning a hose; the second is on the ladder. The French immersion pupils were required to write two additional stories in French each based on a different picture. The first picture showed a child wearing a raincoat : rying an umbrella. The child is standing in front of a book lying in a puddle of water. The second picture depicted a boy and a girl on the beach. The boy is running across the sand playing with a kite. The girl is playing in the sand with a pail and a shovel, making a sandcastle. There is a sailboat, and some seagulls in the background. The time limit allowed to complete each story was ten minutes. For the French immersion pupils, the English stories and French stories were written on two separate occasions.

The stories the children wrote were analyzed on the basis of the following four categories:

- (1) Vocabulary skills
- (2) Technical skills (spelling, punctuation, and capitalization)
- (3) Grammatical skills (sentence types and syntactical errors)
- (4) Creativity

The next four sections consider each skill in turn, first describing the basis of the analysis followed by description of the results.

Preliminary analyses of the stories involved the calculation of averages for the number of words per sentence, the number of sentences per story, and the number of words per story. Words were considered to be units bounded by spaces. Contractions were considered as two words; hyphenated words were



classed as one word. Sentences were defined as units marked by capitalization (other than proper nouns) or a period, or both. The results are shown in Table 1.

No statistical analyses have been made of any of the data presented in this report because the concern of this paper is less with the magnitude of the differences between groups (which has been well documented in evaluation reports (Lambert and Tucker, 1972; Barik and Swain, 1974)) and more with the errors themselves. In other words, it is felt that it is important educationally at this point in time to note what errors French immersion pupils make.

TABLE 1

Average Number of Words and Sentences Used in English and French Stories

	Englis	h Stories	French Stories
	Regular	Immersion	Immersion
Average number of words per sentence	13.4	12.5	11.3
Average number of sentences per story	4.6	6.5	5.7
Average number of words per story	61.6	81.3	64.4 ,

In English, the French immersion pupils wrote on the average about one word less per sentence than the regular English pupils (12.5 versus 13.4). However, the French immersion pupils wrote an average of almost two sentences more per story than the regular program pupils (6.5 versus 4.6). Thus, overall, the French immersion pupils wrote longer stories in English (approximately 20 more words per story) than their English-instructed peers.

In French, the French immersion pupils wrote about one word less per



sentence (11.3 versus 12.5) and about one sentence less per story (5.7 versus 6.5) than they did when writing English stories. However, they still wrote slightly longer stories in French than did the regular English pupils in English (64.4 versus 61.6 words).

VOCABULARY SKILLS

a) Variety

Token refers to each noun (verbs, prepositions, etc.) used. Type refers to each different noun (verbs, prepositions, etc.) used in each story. A type-token ratio (TTR), that is, a ratio of the total number of types to the total number of tokens within any particular part of speech, represents the variety of use within that specific part of speech. The higher the ratio, the greater the variety of nouns (verbs, prepositions, etc.) used. In other words, the higher the ratio, the less there is repetition of vocabulary items within the part of speech being considered. TRs were calculated for nouns, verbs, adjectives, adverbs and prepositions in each English story written by the regular English pupils and by the French immersion pupils, as well as in each French story written by the French immersion pupils. Average TTRs were then calculated within each part of speech (see Table 2).

The following points should be noted about what was included in the vocabulary counts:

- (1) The noun count included proper nouns.
- (2) The verb count did not include modals, (e.g., will, may).
- (3) The adjective count included predicate adjectives, (e.g., "The ball was <a href="little" as well as past participles used as adjectives," (e.g., "She was <a href="frightened" frightened" frightened" frightened" frightened" frightened" frightened fright dinner frightened frightene



- (4) Adverb phrases of time such as "last night," "one day," "all the time" and "all of a sudden" were counted as one adverb.
- (5) The preposition count did not include the "to" in front of an infinitive in English. In French "de", "a" and "pour" in front an infinitive were included in the count.

The TTRs are shown in Table 2. These results are important as, although the TTR in each category in English is higher for the regular English pupils, the difference between the two groups of pupils in each category is small (e.g., adjectives .82 versus .80). The largest difference occurs with respect to prepositions (.81 versus .75). Thus, with the possible exception of prepositions, French immersion pupils used as much variety in their choice of

TABLE 2
Type-Token Ratios

	Englis	sh Stories	French Stories
	Regular	Immersion	Immersion
Nouns	. 69	.65	.66
Verbs	.72	.70	.69
Adjectives	.82	.80	.86
Adverbs .	. 91	.89	.85
Prepositions	.81	.75	.58

English vocabulary (nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs) as their English-instructed peer group. Furthermore, the French immersion pupils used as much variety in their choice of French nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs as they did in their choice of English nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs. With respect to prepositions, however, they used less variety in French than in English.



Less variety in the use of French prepositions relative to English prepositions may be due to differences in the two languages. For example, "de" was a frequently used preposition in the French stories, and in most cases its use was obligatory, that is, no other preposition was acceptable. Thus it is possible that there was less opportunity of choice in French prepositions than in English necessarily reducing the TTR in French relative to English. However, this is mere speculation which could be verified best by examining the TTRs in French stories written by native French-speaking children.

b) Errors (morphological and lexical misuse)

Morphological errors and errors of lexical substitution, addition or omission (lexical misuse) were examined. Note was made of spelling errors and syntactic errors, but these are described elsewhere in this paper under technical and grammatical skills respectively and are not included in the counts of errors noted in this section. Table 3 summarizes the results. The figures in Table 3 represent the number of lexical substitution and morphological errors occurring within the noun (verb, adjective, etc.) category expressed as a percent of all the nouns (verbs, adjectives, etc.) used.

<u>TABLE 3</u>

Morphological Errors and Lexical Misuse (Substitution, Addition or Omission)

	Englis	h Stories	French Stories
	Regular	Immersion	Immersion
% of nouns with errors	1.7%	1.0%	3.3%
% of verbs with errors	4.0%	2.6%	18.5%
% of adjectives with errors	0.8%	0.3%	16.7%
% of adverbs with errors	2.3%	1.5%	3.2%
% of prepositions with errors	5.4%	3.2%	9.8%
% of articles with errors	1.9%	2.3%	18.0%
% of pronouns with errors 8	4.4%	1.6%	11.3%

The first point to note is that although the differences are not large, the French immersion pupils made fewer lexical substitution and morphological errors percentage-wise in each part of speech than did their English-instructed counterparts with the exception of articles. Thus the French immersion children are performing at least as well in vocabulary skills in English as their English-educated peer group.

The second point to note is that the French immersion children made more errors percentage-wise in writing French words than they did in writing English words. The differences are especially marked in verbs (18.5% versus 2.6%), adjectives (16.7% versus .3%), articles (18.0% versus 2.3%), and pronouns (11.3% versus 1.6%). Verbs must be marked for number agreement and tense. Adjectives, articles and pronouns must be marked for number and gender in many cases. It would be interesting to know how native French-speaking children perform in these areas relative to the French immersion children. Do French children have as much difficulty with the French verbal system and with marking gender and number agreement as the French immersion children (i.e., with these parts of speech, is written French more complex than English?), or is the French immersion pupils' poor performance related to the fact that French is a second language and still being learned?

The kinds of errors made by each group in writing their stories are described below with respect to each part of speech.

Nouns

Most of the errors involving English nouns were connected with noun number. And the majority of these number errors involved confusion, in the second story over <u>fireman</u> and <u>firemen</u> (e.g., "a firemen came", "some fireman came; they..."). Most of the remaining errors in English nouns involved expressions



of quantity with one, some and all (e.g., "one of our friend_", "all of the train_").

The majority of errors involving nouns in French were classified as lexical substitution. These were divided into two definite types. Either the pupils were unfamiliar with a vocabulary item in French and therefore substituted an English equivalent (e.g., "sa petite spade", "dans le suitcase"), or the pupils used a word that was similar in form (e.g., "la bibliothèque" (bibliothècaire)) or similar in meaning (e.g., "avant la cloche" (l'heure); "la terre" (sable)).

Verbs

On the whole, the French immersion pupils used a smaller range of verb tenses in English than the regular English pupils, limiting themselves mostly to present, simple past, and an occasional progressive. The most frequent type of error observed in the English stories was the omission of past tense endings "dog stop__"; "I open__".

Errors involving French verbs included using the incorrect past participle form (e.g., "a perdre" (perdu)); wrong auxiliary (e.g., "a tombé", "a venu"; incorrect subject-verb agreement (e.g., "les enfants sommes allées", "Paul et Sophie va"); incorrect infinitive form (e.g., "je vais fait", "perder"); and also fabricated forms (e.g., "a pron" (pris)).

Adjectives

Errors related to the use of the adjective in English were rare. An example is "Thanks to the fireman the lady would have been died".



Errors related to the use of the adjective in French primarily involved incorrect number agreement (e.g., "elle est tristes") or incorrect gender agreement (e.g., "un petite livre", "un livre ancienne"). The remaining errors involved the use of fabricated or inappropriate forms (e.g., "ils sont mous" (mouillés)).

Adverbs

In English the errors involving adverbs were mostly lexical substitutions rather than incorrect adverb forms. An example of adverb lexical substitution is "The train went <u>álong</u>" (The train went by). An example of the use of an incorrect form is "Afterward I went to bed,..." (After I went to bed,...).

In French all adverb errors involved lexical substitution (e.g., "un jour" (aujourd'hui), "Martine juste joue").

Prepositions

Most errors in English involved preposition substitution (e.g., "all <u>in</u> a sudden", "slept <u>to</u> ten o'clock") and a small number of cases involved omission (e.g., "there is a fire __ my next door neighbours").

In French, again the majority of errors involved preposition substitution (e.g., "audesous de sa tête" (audessus), "pour l'école (à)", "sur la cours" (dans)). However, some errors involved the addition of a preposition (e.g., "sur lundi", "regardé à") or the omission of a preposition (e.g., "a dit_tout_le_monde" (à)).

<u>Articles</u>

In the case of articles in English most errors involved omission of articles (e.g., "there was__little house", "got__ladder"). The French immersion pupils also tended to confuse a and an (e.g., "an metal", "a avalanche").



The majority of errors of articles in French involved incorrect gender (e.g., "tout cette jour", "sa livre", "un fille"). It is interesting to note that even within a story, one would find both, for example, "un fille" and "une fille". Other errors involved article omission and addition, particularly in expressions that appeared as translations from English, (e.g., "c'est __temps", "pour un longtemps", "livre de l'école"). Errors involving number agreement were relatively rare, perhaps because the pupils tended to use singular nouns in their stories. An example of an error in number is "le__ deux enfant_".

Pronouns

Most of the errors involving pronouns in English were categorized as either cases of ambiguous reference, or no antecedent. Examples included such sentences as: "She opened the kitchen door; it was on fire" (the door, or the oven?), "they told them" (no antecedent), "So Tommy helped him move the tree", (no antecedent).

In French, the majority of the errors involved the omission of either reflexive pronouns (e.g., "__est arrêté" (s')); direct object pronouns (e.g., "j'_ai apporté" (1'), "aller __cacher"(me)); or indirect object pronouns (e.g., "_ a donné" (lui)). Also errors in gender (e.g., "il la lance" (le livre)); number (e.g., "nous allons me coucher"); and person (e.g., "nous allons se coucher") were observed.

TECHNICAL SKILLS

<u>Punctuation</u> (omitted, substituted, added), <u>capitalization</u> (omitted, added) and spelling errors were noted and analyzed.

Further specification within these categories of technical skills is



necessary.

Punctuation was counted as:

- a) omitted if capitalization was included, but terminal punctuation was missing; also included here were omissions of appropriate punctuation required to set words off (quotations, interjections, nouns in apposition, etc.).
- b) added if an apostrophe was included within a word (for example, in French stories where rules for English possessive constructions were sometimes used).
- c) substituted included substitution of comma for semi-colon, semi-colon for colon, etc. Also included here was substitution of English quotation marks (" ") for French (<< >>) in the French task.

Capitalization was counted as:

a) omitted - if a period was used to mark the termination of a sentence, but initial capitalization was missing.

Spelling errors were counted as:

- a) 1 error only if a word was misspelled more than once in the same way within a story.
- b) 1 error only if the same "morphological" spelling error occurred more than once in a story (this included use of -ez or é for infinitive ending, or -er for past participles, etc.).
- c) 1 error only if more than one spelling error occurred in the same word.
- d) errors in accents in French were counted as spelling errors.



The results concerning technical skills are summarized in Table 4 where the average number of errors per story are given.

TABLE 4

Technical Skills - Punctuation, Capitalization and Spelling:

Average Number of Errors in English and French Stories

	Engli	sh Stories I	French Stories
	Regular	Immersion	Immersion
Average number omitted per story	2.0	2.7	1.7
Average number added per story.	0.1	0.1	: 0.3
Average number substituted per story	0.1	0.4	0.4
Average number of punctuation errors per story	2.2	3.2	2.4
Average number omitted per story	1.3	1.6	0.6
Average number added per story	0.1	0.1	0.1
Average number of capitalization errors per story	1.4	1.7	0.7
Average number of spelling errors per story	4.1	4.6	8.3
	Average number added per story. Average number substituted per story. Average number of punctuation errors per story Average number omitted per story Average number added per story Average number of capitalization errors per story Average number of spelling errors	Average number omitted per story 2.0 Average number added per story 0.1 Average number substituted per 0.1 story. Average number of punctuation errors per story 2.2 Average number omitted per story 1.3 Average number added per story 0.1 Average number of capitalization errors per story 1.4 Average number of spelling errors	Average number omitted per story 2.0 2.7 Average number added per story 0.1 0.1 Average number substituted per 0.1 0.4 story. Average number of punctuation errors per story 2.2 3.2 Average number omitted per story 1.3 1.6 Average number added per story 0.1 0.1 Average number of capitalization errors per story 1.4 1.7 Average number of spelling errors

Punctuation

French immersion pupils made on the average one more punctuation error per English story than the regular English pupils (3.2 versus 2.2). It must be remembered, however, that the French immersion children wrote longer stories and therefore had more opportunity to make errors. The most frequent errors included the omission of a period at the end of a sentence, omission of commas, omission of quotation marks, and omission of the apostrophe in possessives. These errors were on the average made about equally as often by both groups of children. In addition, however, French immersion pupils made some errors rarely if ever made by the regular English pupils. These errors



are listed below from the most frequent to the least frequent.

- 1. omission of the apostrophe in contracted verb forms and negatives,
- e.g., "you__11", "couldn__t".
- 2. use of dash instead of quotation marks.
- 3. incorrect position of apostrophe, e.g., "could 'nt".
- 4. omission of question marks.

In French, the French immersion children made fewer punctuation errors than they did in writing English. Again, It must be taken into account that the French immersion children wrote shorter stories in French than in English and therefore had fewer opportunities to make errors. The errors from most frequent to least frequent are listed below.

- 1. omission of the apostrophe in pronoun-verb contraction, e.g., "jai" "cétait".
- 2. omission of hyphens, e.g., "donnez_moi", "pense_t_i1".
- 3. omission of the period at the end of a sentence.
- 4. omission of commas.
- 5. hyphens added when not needed, e.g., "par-ce-que".
- 6. use of English quotation marks (" ") instead of French quotation marks (<< >>).
- 7. omission of quotation marks.
- 8. omission of the apostrophe in article-noun contractions, e.g., "lautre"
- 9. omission of the apostrophe in negatives, e.g., "na pas".

Capitalization

In English, the difference between the number of capitalization errors made by the regular English pupils and the French immersion pupils was small (1.4 errors per story versus 1.7 errors per story respectively). Again, it



must be pointed out the French immersion children wrote longer stories in English and therefore had more opportunity to make errors. Both groups of children omitted the capitals on proper names about equally as frequently. They also omitted capitals at the beginning of a sentence about equally as frequently. In addition, the French immersion children tended to omit capitals on words that are not capitalized in French, for example, days of the week and months of the year.

In writing the French stories, the French immersion pupils made fewer capitalization errors per story than they did in writing English stories (.7 versus 1.7) or than the regular English pupils did in writing English stories (.7 versus 1.4). These errors consisted, as in English, mainly of omitting the capitals on proper names and at the beginning of a sentence. Perhaps the superior performance of the French immersion pupils on French capitalization relative to themselves or to their English-instructed counterparts in English is due to the fact that there are fewer instances in French where capitalization is necessary, although it would have been possible for the French immersion pupils to "overcapitalize"; that is, to put capitals in French where they are not required.

Spelling |

Although the average number of spelling errors per story in English was slightly greater for the French immersion children (4.6 versus 4.1), it must be remembered that the French immersion children wrote longer stories. When this is taken into consideration, it turns out that on the average 6% of the words in each English story written by French immersion children contained spelling errors whereas 7% of the words Th each English story written by the English-instructed group contained spelling errors.

In French the French immersion pupils made more spelling errors per story



than they did in English. In fact, on the average 13% of the words in each French story contained spelling errors. It would be interesting to know to what extent these errors would have been made by native French-speaking children.

No attempt has been made to classify the errors since not enough data are available to determine which errors are due to confusion between the two language systems and which errors are due to confusion within one of the language systems. In order to make these distinctions, data from French-speaking children would be needed. Instead all of the spelling errors have been listed in Appendix A. Appendix Al lists the spelling errors made by the regular English pupils writing English stories. Appendix A2 lists the spelling errors made by the French immersion pupils writing English stories. And Appendix A3 lists the spelling errors made by the French immersion pupils writing English stories.

GRAMMATICAL SKILLS

a) Types of Sentences

Sentences were classified as simple, compound, complex, compoundcomplex, or as a sentence fragment. Simple sentences included sentences with
compound subject noun phrases (e.g., "Andrew et Margaret sont très contents").
Compound sentences were any sentences joined by a coordinate conjunction or
which had a double predicate (e.g., "One day he went to the train station
and waved his arm at the driver"). Complex sentences were any sentences with
a relative clause (e.g., "Une fois il y avait un petit garçon qui est allé à
la maison de son ami") or with an adverbial clause (e.g., "The lady cried 'help'
because her house was on fire").

The average number of each type of sentence occurring per story was



of the total number of sentences. The results are shown in Table 5.

TABLE 5
Types of Sentences

	•	Englis	sh Stories	French Stories	
		Regular	Immersion	Immersion	
Simple	average number per story % of total number	2,1 46%	2.8 43%	2.8 50%	
Compound	average number per story % of total number	1.2	1.3 20%	1.2 20%	
Complex	average number per story % of total number	0.6	1.2 19%	1.0	
Compound/ Complex	average number per story % of total number	0.6 13%	1.0 15%	0.6	
Fragments	average number per story % of total number	0.1	0.2 3%	0.1	

In English, the French immersion pupils wrote proportionately fewer simple and compound sentences and more complex and compound/complex sentences than their English-educated peers. In all cases, however, the differences are small, never exceeding 6%. These data suggest that the French immersion children are writing sentences in English which are at least as sophisticated as their English-educated counterparts. Furthermore, in French, although there was a slight tendency for them to write proportionately more simple sentences than they did in English (50% versus 43%), the French immersion pupils are about as advanced in the types of sentences they use in written French as in English.

b) Syntactic Errors

Errors of syntax were noted in the English and French stories. Only about 2% of the sentences in the English stories written by either group of pupils contained syntactic errors. About half of the errors made by the French immersion pupils in English appear to be due to the influence of French,



for example, adjectives misplaced, adverbs misplaced or direct objects misplaced. However, the absolute frequency with which these errors occurred was very low.

Approximately 10% of the sentences in the French stories written by the French immersion pupils contained syntactic errors. The types of errors made are noted below in order from the most frequent error to the least frequent.

- 1. misplaced pronoun, e.g., "a amené nous", "tu peux aider moi".
- 2. misplaced direct object noun, e.g., "a laissé son livre tomber".
- 3. misplaced adverb, e.g., 'Martine juste joue dans la sable".
- 4. misplaced adjective, e.g., "du froid l'eau".
- 5. misplaced preposition, e.g., "qui il appartient $\underline{\tilde{a}}$ ".
- 6. misplaced negative, e.g., "j'ai attrapé rien",

CREATIVITY

Analyses of creative skills involved determining the number of stories in both languages with titles, the number of descriptive stories and, for comparison purposes, the number of narrative stories. Within the stories, such elements as logical chronological sequence, selection of proper names for characters and use of dialogue were counted. The stories were also assessed according to creativity or imagination of the narrative (creation or elaboration of relevant events using the picture as a starting point). The data on creative skills are found in Table 6.



TABLE 6
Creative Skills

	Englis	h Stories	French Stories
F	Regular	Immersion	Immersion
% of stories with titles	38%	61%	50%
% descriptive stories	6%	8%	14%
% narrative stories	94%	92%	86%
% of stories with logical chrono- chronological sequence	53%	53%	51%
% of stories with dialogue	6%	29%	21%
% of stories with proper names for characters	36%	44%	64%
% of stories creating events related to, but not represented in picture	d 45%	35%	45%

In English, the regular English pupils created titles for 38% of the stories they wrote. In comparison, the French immersion pupils created titles for 61% of the stories they wrote. In French, the French immersion pupils added titles to 50% of the stories. Although this is lower than the percentage obtained by the same group of pupils on the English task, it is still higher than that obtained by the regular English pupils in writing their English stories.

In an effort to establish what general kinds of stories the pupils wrote in regard to the pictures provided, a comparison was made of the number of pure descriptions, as opposed to narratives (which often included some description as background). Six percent of the stories written by the regular English pupils and 8% of the English stories written by the French immersion pupils were of a purely descriptive nature. In French, however, 14% of the



stories written by the French immersion pupils were purely descriptive stories. Thus, the French immersion pupils seem slightly more inclined to write descriptive stories in French than in English or, to put it another way, they seemed less inclined to write narrative stories in French than in English (86% versus 92% respectively).

Within the stories analyses were done on logical chronological sequence

- i.e., the flow of the paragraph - did it flow smoothly and logically

from one event to the next, or was it disjointed and awkwardly sequenced?

Fifty-three percent of the stories written in English by both groups

demonstrated logical chronological sequence. Approximately the same percentage

of French stories (51%) were written in a logical chronological sequence.

The percentages observed regarding the inclusion of dialogue by the various groups are interesting - 6% of the stories written by the regular English pupils and 29% of the English stories written by the French immersion pupils included dialogue. Thus the French immersion pupils made greater use of dialogue in their English stories than did the regular English pupils. They also made greater use of dialogue in their French stories than did their English-instructed peers (21% versus 6%), although they used less dialogue in their French stories than in their own English stories (21% versus 29%).

The regular English pupils created proper names for characters in 36% of the stories they wrote. French immersion pupils created names for characters in 44% of the English stories and 64% of the French stories they wrote.

As a measure of imagination or creativity, the stories were analyzed on the basis of creation of events relating to the picture, but not necessarily evident in the actual picture. This included elaboration of the background to the picture, as well as narration of events which took place either prior to, in conjunction with, or after the events shown in the picture. These



extra events must have been related to the picture, that is, they must have used the picture as a starting point. A description of a forest fire in conjunction with the second picture of the English task, though imaginative, was considered off-topic, and therefore counted as "inappropriate" In many cases it is difficult to draw the line between originality or creativity, and inappropriateness. Forty-five percent of the stories written by the English pupils, 35% of the English stories written by the French immersion pupils and 45% of the French stories written by the French immersion pupils included related events not represented in the picture.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Overall the performance of the Grade 3 French immersion pupils in writing English stories compares favourably with that of their English-instructed peers considering the limited time devoted to formal school instruction in English Language Arts relative to the regular English program pupils. Specifically, the results related to their English writing skills show that the French immersion pupils relative to the English program pupils:

- 1. write longer stories.
- 2. use as much variety in their choice of English vocabulary (nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs) with the possible exception of their use of prepositions.
- 3. make proportionately fewer lexical misuse and morphological errors in each part of speech (nouns, verbs, adjectives, adverbs, prepositions and pronouns) except with articles.
- 4. make on the average more punctuation, capitalization, and spelling errors per story, but the differences are small. However, the French immersion pupils write longer stories and therefore have a greater opportunity of making errors. Thus, for example, when spelling errors are calculated as a



2 percent of all vocabulary used, the percentage of spelling errors

made by the French immersion children in writing English stories is Slightly lower than that of regular pupils.

- 5. write proportionately fewer simple and compound sentences and proportionately more complex and compound-complex sentences.
- 6. make proportionately the same number of syntactic errors.
- 7. are at least as creative in writing stories in that the two groups write proportionately about equally as many purely descriptive stories, narrative stories and stories with logical chronological sequencing. They give more stories a title and give more characters a name. They write more stories with dialogue. However, they write fewer stories creating events related to the picture but not depicted in it.

Generally speaking, the French stories written by the French immersion pupils contain more errors than their own English stories. Specifically, the results related to the French writing skills of the French immersion pupils relative to their English writing skills show that in French the French immersion pupils:

- 1. write shorter stories.
- 2. use as much variety in their choice of vocabulary (nouns, verbs, adjectives, and adverbs) with the exception of their use of prepositions.
- 3. make proportionately more lexical misuse and morphological errors in each part of speech. Difficulties in adjectives, articles and pronouns are related to the choice of appropriate morphological endings to signal gender, number and person. Similarly, difficulties in verbs are related to the morphology required to signal tense, number and person.
- 4. make on the average fewer punctuation and capitalization errors, although the differences are small. However, the French immersion pupils write shorter stories in French than they do in English and may, therefore, have less opportunity for making errors.



- 5. make on the average more spelling errors.
- 6. write proportionately more simple sentences and fewer compound-complex sentences.
- 7. make proportionately more syntactic errors.
- 8. write proportionately fewer stories with titles but more with names for characters. They write more purely descriptive stories as well as more stories which describe related events not represented in the picture. However, they make less use of dialogue. About equally as many of the English and French stories are told in a logical chronological sequence.

The results presented in this paper complement those presented in Barik and Swain (1974) concerning a larger sample of the Grade 3 students in the Ottawa French immersion program. On a standardized test of English achievement (Metropolitan Achievement Test), the French immersion pupils performed as well as their English peer group in word knowledge, reading and language (includes items on punctuation and capitalization), but not as well in spelling. On a standardized test of French achievement (Test de Rendement en Français), the French immersion pupils' performance was equivalent to that of from 23 to 39% of native French-speaking Grade 3 pupils.

In addition, the results of the present paper are, generally speaking, consistent with those noted by Genesee (1974) in a study of the writing skills of Grade 4 immersion pupils. Genesee had teachers rate compositions written in English by French immersion pupils and English program pupils. He found that the French immersion pupils were similar to their English educated counterparts in the following aspects of writing: sentence accuracy, vocabulary choice, sentence complexity and variety, and organization. The immersion students, however, lagged behind the non-immersion students on spelling. On the other hand, the immersion students were



rated higher than the non-immersion students on originality of thought, on punctuation and on length of story.

These results suggest that there is little need for alarm concerning the English writing skills of the French immersion pupils. Further instruction related to their French writing skills would seem of more concern at this time. The errors discussed in this paper should be useful in providing a basis for such instruction.



Footnotes

Many thanks are expressed to Kathy McTavish and Kathy Scott who spent a great deal of time counting and recording the errors made by the students. This paper would not have been completed without their help. Thanks are also expressed to Dr. H.C. Barik who read and commented on an earlier draft of this paper.

The examples given throughout the paper are taken from the texts of the students' stories. All errors made by the students in the examples quoted have been corrected in the text with the exception of the one being used to illustrate the point.



References

- Barik, Henri C., and Swain, Merrill. "Bilingual Education Project: Evaluation of the 1973-74 French Immersion Program in Grades 1-3 in the Federal Capital's Public Schools", Modern Language Centre manuscript, November 1974.
- Barik, Henri C., and Swain, Merrill. "Three-year Evaluation of a Large Scale Early Grade French Immersion Program: The Ottawa Study", in Language Learning, 1975 (in press).
- Genesee, F. "Evaluation of English-Writing Skills of Students in French Immersion Programs", Protestant School Board of Greater Montreal mimeo, November 19/4.
- Lambert, W.E., and Tucker, G.R. <u>Bilingual Education of Children</u>, Newbury House: Rowley, Mass., 1972.
- Swain, Merrill. "French immersion programs across Canada" in The Canadian Modern Language Review, Vol. 21, No. 2, November 1974, pp. 117-129.
- Swain, Merrill. "More About Primary French Immersion Classes", in Orbit 27, April 1975, pp. 13-15.



Appendix Al
Spelling Errors: Regular English Pupils on Stories in English

		·						•																											
Canaidan	coud	cralwed	carryer	climed	cabnet	cucing	cot	conpany	Delowe 2	t uworad	brat	becaus	bussy	baby sit	backing	Berry	bother	buisniss	breakfeast	by	bye	bagag	bigest	beter		all ways	agian	anwerd	د allready	alrendy ?	are	apon	allowens	atlas	ERROR
							(2X)												(2X)		(2X)	(2X)										(2X)			
Canadian		crawled	carrier	climbed	cabinet	cooking	caught	company	DETON	Delow (:)	brought	because	busy	babysit	baking	Barry	brother	business	breakfast	buy	by	baggage	biggest	better		always	again	answered		already	our	upon	allowance	at last	CORRECT FORM
		Fridday	fooen	finilly i	finaly ?	fraind	firmen J	firenen >	firnen > (2x)	رہ	firer	frie $\langle 3X \rangle$	لہ	faverit		eny	eung	ex-ray	engineyer	ennofe	eveywhere	exiting	ever_		did_'t	derret (2X)	departmet	diver	damag		ckwickly	cealn	cealning	comming	ERROR
	•	Friday	fooling		finally	friend		1	firemen	Ilreman	(?)		fire	favorite .		any	young	x-ray	engineer	enough	everywhere	exciting	every		didn't	dessert (?)	department	driver	damage	,	quickly	clean (?)	cleaning (?)	coming	CORRECT FORM



Spelling Errors: Regular English Pupils on Stories in English

	no ,	non't	neew	new {	ninenten	nexsc	Indianore	nummhìe		ridilacopa	Manatoha	mad (?)	Marry	mater	mapel	-	3	ladly or laddly?	•	iast	latter (2X)	ladar	\$ *** *** ***	junped jaket		incirenc		houes	hospitle	hared \	To a contract of the contract	happarry		ď.	gose \$ (3x)	208	grandnother	ERROR	×
	how (?)	not (?)	man			nineteen	next	(?) (neighbour		Manitoba	made	Mary	marter	maple			lady	lovely	last	later	ladder	•	jacket	immed	insurance	•	house	hospital			heard	happily	grinned		goes	grandmother	CORRECT FORM	
stason (skramed ?	suden	stoped	sher	streed	somthing (4X)	safves	SOW	saing	smock	smeed	Sazs	siting	skie	siad)	soid }	squirl		stared ? (2%)	0,	stars (2X)	strang		. realy	remembera /	rored .		plase	plece	peole S	peopl ۲	011	out_side	oven	olny	ERROR	•
		station	screamed	sudden .	stopped	sure	street	something	saves	Saw	saying			0 (:)	sitting	ski	•	Said	squirrei		Scarced		stairs	strange		really	ד פווופוווספד כמ	roared		place	police		people.	(outside	or (;) orten (;)		CORRECT FORM	

- 26 -

stasion

Spelling Errors: Regular English Pupils on Stories in English

ye1d	wating	wavse	wachted	where	wraped	windos	we11	wared	write	wen	wark J	wherck ?	vilage	up_stairs	ther	there	thire	t 00	two	to	thow	traks	trak	traped	traying	trues	truk	The	tianes	trian)	tain į	tride	through	ERRORS	
Α		(2X)		(3x)					(2X)			•				•		(2X)		(5X)										(2X)					
yelled	waiting	Waves	watched	were	wrapped	windows	while	warned	right	when		work .	village	upstairs	there	their	there	to	too	too ,	though	tracks	track	trapped		trucks (?)	truck	they	trains		train	tried	throw	CORRECT FORM ERROR	
							•															•										,		CORRECT FORM	•

27 -

Appendix A2

Spelling Errors: French Immersion Pupils on Stories in English

bey } by } brige burt bernt bunt bloing brake	alarme al arme alwis agin a nuther avalanch All apparte appoligized accross adventuer	approching aske ageunst axatly upoun a pone amer anquer	ERROR aspos and are all most annimals
(3x)		(2X) (4X)	(2 x)
buy bridge burnt blowing break	all arm always (?) again another avalanche I'll apart apolgized across adventure	approaching ask against exactly upon over anchor	correct form supposed an our almost animals around
cors carots cot cought { (2X) cout cood cod cod cede	<pre>comeing } comming } calaps calaps coverd coverd completly controll cabuse cheif } chife } climming chassing</pre>	becauce } (2x) becase } (2x) canda colled crie chou cept	ERROR Beaty brote ble bye bate bin
carrots caught could	coming collapse covered completely control caboose chief climbing climbed chasing	Canada called cry choo (?) chew (?) except	CORRECT FORM Beatty brought (?) by body (?) been because

otawa	oonc	our	oner	ocklock	olny	of	ov S	off?		northen	needead	Nochenal	noure	meighbores J	neibours {	Nabores >	nabber	newsons	nitting	new	niun	no	mony	midle	moutaine	montanes J	montines }	Montoel	mamed	Misses	mishan	musiums	murderd	minites 5		Micheal	ERROR
						(2X)																(2X)	•							(3X)						(3X)	•
Ottawa	once	hour.	owner	o'clock	only	off	•	of		northern	needed	National	(?)			neighbours	neighbour	new Ones	knitting	knew	noon	know	money	middle	mountain		mountains	Montreal	named	Mrs.	mission	museums	· murdered		minutes	Michael	CORRECT FORM
stif .	spraing sertaniv	speciale	skelitan	SOW	sparces	super	sone		suddenely ?	sqirted	saveing		rocy	robed	remenrer	remenbered	rite	ruched	ruines		quit	quicly (3X)	pepole J (2X)	۲J	W	permosion (2X)	puting	purposly	Patricha	potatos	pasengerse	plaing (5X)	plaied S	payed ?	, .	plain	ERRON
stiff (?)	sprang certainly	. special	skeleton		sparks (?) sparce (?)	supper	soon		~	skirted (?) squirted (?)	saving		rocky	robbed	remember	remembered	right or write	rushed	ruins	3	quite	quickly		people	precious	permission	putting	purposely	Patricia	potatoes	passengers		•	plaved (?) paid (?)		plane	CORRECT FORM

- 29 -

Spelling Errors: French Immersion Pupils on Stories in English

		•				foure	fond	is	<i>ر</i>		nds	fixt	finace	fryde		evrey	exept	exitement	enginer S	enginear)	equale	erning		excliamed ·	exsitment	Expeiraly		everytime	everywere	exiting		draem	daze	danres	departnent S	departement γ	desle	dicided	diver	,	ERRORS
						,		(2X)			(3X)												(2X)			•	(2X)		(2X)						(2X)					(3X)	
						four	found	furnace (?) furnish (?)		first	friends	fixed	finance	fried		every	except	excitement		engineer	equal	earning	excited	exclaimed	excitement	(?)	engine	every time	everywhere	exciting		dream	days	dangerous (?)		department	diesel .	decided	driver	dinner	CORRECT FORM
luckyley	liftime	locamotive	let	litle \$	littel γ (2X)	living	lade	lader	latter D (2X)	ىہ		knowked		idiet	il	in side		held	hif	nhaw	hidrent	huck	hose	herd 5	heared ?	hos	hury	hollo	him self	hosbend	her (2X)	haf	hostpital	hapened	hy	here		girlfreid	gose (2X)	gote	ERRORS
luckily	life time	locomotive	lit		little	leaving	lady	ladder	•	. later		knew		idiot	he'11 (?) I'11 (?)	inside		help	if (?)	who (?)	hundred	nook	house		heard	whose (?) house (?)	hurry	hollow	himself	husband	here	half	hospital	happened	high (?) hy (?)	hear		girlfriend	goes	got	CORRECT FORM

33

30 -

Appendix A2

Spelling Errors: French Emmersion Pupils on Stories in English

traintraks trickclng tring	then tough trakes 3	touck }	tomorow }	station }	screemed	screeming }	shoud screamming)	sarted \	stated \	sead	socking	soporsed	spraded sparc	SOW	sugaud	Sitavers	stoped	scoquuel	safly	salades	skered	ERROR
				(2X)		(3X)	-										(3X)	. 4				•
train tracks trickling trying	than through tracks	took	tomorrow	station	screamed		should screaming		ted	some said	soaking	supposed	sprayed sparse	Sew	squad	shovels	stopped	schedule	safely	salads	scared this morning	CORRECT FORM
	Vancoover vacaition }	vary { vare	undestand	unites useuly une	they're	there's	4	there γ (2X)	their) (10x)	ther \ (3X)	tow (3X)	ہ	tow (2X)	tow 5 (2X)	to (4X)	thai (2X)	thoe	trile	the	through }	thup	ERROR
	Vancouver Vacation	very	understand	units usually	their	theirs	ל היי היי היי היי היי היי היי היי היי הי	they're		there		to	to or too	two	too	they	though	rnem	† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †	threw	chump	CORRECT FORM

ERRORS
CORRECT FORM
ERRORS
CORRECT FORM

youse	were	wher or where)	where {	Wore	whent	whel >	will ?	write	worck .	wafes	wayved	wait	wich	would'ed .	wating	whated	Wa	wene	wathing }	whaching 7	was'it }	wasent)	wonderd	whith	wer	wan	
	(3X)		(3X)	•						(2X)			(2X)			•		(2X)	•					(2X)			
yours	Where			were	went		we'11	right	work	waves	waved	what	which	wouldn't	waiting	wanted	way	when	•	watching		wasn't	wondered	with	wear	one	

- 32 -

35

aver ai aporter (2x) aporter (3x) aporte	ter (_	aver		avee	avie, J	avet	avais J	avait	2::2:4 7	achete	achete	arrivée	Λ.	a amener	apetient J	appartien [attrape	attraper	atraper (3x)		areter	apercorc	anarcoi+	2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	מים ליל ליל בי		مسر		an jourd in (Ox)	~		aurevoir (2x)	aten	anvie	ami ·	a (82x)	á (10x)	alor J	alore }	avoirs	audesous	ERROR	
apporte		apporter	ai apporté	avait?	avait?	•	avalt	1. 1. 1.	t of the state of	יייי פיייי	achète	acheter	arrivé	sont arrivés	a amené		appartient	attraper	attrape	attraper	ar rece	arrece	STATE OF C	apercoit	D+	année	en er	après	1	aussi		au jourd 'hu i	autres	oir O	attend ? .a temps?	envie	amis	ω/		•	alors	avoir	au-dessous	CORRECT FORM	
Cecile		comance	ceil	cane	celce chose	cen		anbaurottoto	Piritoric (Cx)	~	biblioteheque /	bibliantic }	beingné	baignez	beaux	brun	blans	orisser	Tags Cooked	dea hêtice	ha+0		istoire	beacoup (4x)	botes	bot	bonomme		alés J (2x)	alée 🥎		aller	aller $(3x)$	allé	aler) (2x)	alle \	allee >	allé (2x)	٠٠.	-	aporte) (2x)	~	aporté	ERROR	
Cécile		commence	ciel	canne	quelque chose		•		÷		1	bibliothèque	beigné	baigner	beau	bruns	oranc	brise	7 CC	hêtises	המים ליים ליים ליים ליים ליים ליים ליים ל	bateaux	bonnes histoires	beaucoup	bottes	botte 3	bonhomme 6			allé	111	sont allés	allé	allés				aller	j I	apporte		apporter	apporté	CORRECT FORM	

- 33 -

ŧ~w

deaur	desus	dollar	desider	diset	devoir	doure		cest	cest	c est	cet	cetais	cest	c'est sa	cervolen)	cerf-volent {	cerf-volante (courr	cour	cours \	cour	cour	court	chateau J	chateâu ?	conteant	content	class	chose	checher	les cigale	cooved	.comment	Calafornia	chaute	cloch	Clode	соше	chocalat	EKRUK	
	(2x)								(XZ)						(XZ)	(2x)	(3x)	cours	(2x)	•				(7x)	•	(2x)		(x4)					t								ť
		•		•														? court																							
•	dessus ?	dollars	décide	dix-sept	devoirs	dur		s.esc	500	2025	6 C	o Post.	o obtait	ceso you			Cert-voranc	ant molant		court	cours	courent	cours		château	content	contents	classe	choses	chercher	les cigales	• ?	comment	California	chaude?	cloche '	C1aude	comme	chocolat		CORRECT FORM
	éte)	ètait	etais L	éter	@\	e]	ête	et	es	et	est	échape	echapper	ecole	enfen)	enfant)	es-ce	esay	escalier	eutre	erbe	estoire		duore)	deheur	deores	deor	dehor)	a donner	a donnez	dacord.	Dahcor	demin	dis \	di 7	det	diner J	dinner \	diner danes	•	ERROR
	(\underset{x} \underset{\psi}								(2x)	(10x)	(3x)			(12x)		(2x)	•	(2x)	•							•	(3x)	(\u00e4x\u00e4)		(3x)			(xtl)		(૪x)			(2x)			
				était	et	••>	est	es	est	est	et ·	eddenae	ecitation	ecore		enfants	est-ce	essale	escaliers	autre	herbe	histoire?	•					dehors	a donné	a donné	d'accord	D'accord?	demain		dit	des		dîner	dans	dîner	CORRECT FORM
	D I	on the same of the																	3	7																					-

CORRECT FORM

		•	-	
ils ill il inquète	grivoner ganbader grose garcon heurs heurex heurex heusaux	fare fer fait fais fes	fore for for fain fafe facher foie, foit	ERROR esté etre a étét était etait estait estait
(6x)	(2x) (2x) (5x) (2x)	(2x) (2x)	(2x)	(2x) (2x) (6x)
μ.	griffoner gambader gros garçon heures heureux	faire fais fait	fort faut fin fâché fini fois	CORRECT FORM était être a été étais était forces froide
magazin malheurese mechante mama mateau	lecole lear lear lessy laise laise ses livre machait	kans lest lopital iake lentdemain	joue } joue } joue } jouent joues joues a joues a joues jou	<pre>ERROR Jaques { (2x) Jaque (3x) journee jaite joues jous jous joue joue</pre>
magasin malheureuse mechante maman ?	l'école leur laissé là livre ses livres	dans? laisse l'hôpital lac lendemain	jouent jouets jouets a joue a joue 300	CORRECT FORM Jacques journée j'étais jouet jouer

ERROR

CORRECT FORM

Bď ont mait met pesen peché ojourduj ouisou J maitemant maintent maitenent metrenant mes mes mathematique. mere . mère oiseau nottre mentnet m/est maryey mouyie mouée minuet maitress maime HOC nouse nintnen mais matimetique mathématique monsoeur march muse (x4) (2x) (2x) (2x) (2x) (2x) (3x) (2x) (2x) (2x (2x) ont met pas mais paysan ? pecher aujourd'hui 9 oiseaux autre nineteen ? maintenant? maintenant maintenant? mathématiques mouillé? mouillé minutes mère mer maîtresse m'aime nous monsieur musée mots 心動でne prent pemier par ce que ? paraplui pron peid poisson poor perde pas que plui parepluit pandeat profesoeur petête peitte poĭsons persones povens picnic pleure pluis pluit <u>paraplie</u> parapose pendans pran prends proffeseur proveseur proffesseur piers ptite persons pens panse plait prepart peure partie pries porte (3x) (2x) (2x) (2x) (2x) (5x) (5x) (2x) pieds perd près ? plaît prend? peur parce que parapluie pendant prend pied petite suossipa personnes pense Inog pouvons pique-nique parti porter premier pleurer pluie professeur prépare prend

39

36 -

regarde) si regarder régarde regadz	retournez ramace ramacer	roux reste revien raporter repondit	qulqeu quelle qaund qand quant roche recreasion	pleure } pleure } plaue qu'es que } questqu	peux } pleue pleue pleue	passque parceque par-se-que peu peu peu	ERROR
			(2x) (3x)		(3x) (2x)	(2x) (2x) (2x)	
ai regardé regarde regardez	retourner ramasse ramasser	roue rester revient rapporter répondit	quelque quel quand , roches récréation	pleure plu qu'est-ce que	peut peuvent pleut	parce que peut peux	CORRECT FORM
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{sais} \\ \text{se} \\ \text{ses} \end{array} $ setais	sest se sait)	serf-volent (2x) sétait sétaint sètre sette set	olante () lant () len () len ()	seicher sech soer seour sone sonner soufle (3x)	selêver sait sans va solien sortai	sapelle samdí Suzzane (3x) Sussan sercret shose	ERROR
c fétait	c est	c'était c'étaient être cette cette?	ça sont son cerf-volant	secher sec sonne sonné sonné souffle	se lever sais s'en va ? sortait	s'appelle samedi Suzanne Susan secret choses	CORRECT FORM

ERROR

CORRECT FORM

tres tras a terniner tranpe trempe dmanp trampe tous touté toute à coup tous a coup toute de suite travaille travil travers (2x) (x²) (1x). (2x) (5x) (22) trempé très a terminé tout temps travail texte tout à coup tout de suite traverse vait (veut viens. vian vien **∀**e voleille (x4) (2x (x2x) veux veut ? vais vole vient voler

temp (2x)

tent tant

38 -

a tomber tombé (3x)

tombey

tombé

tomber) tonber { (5x)

tombé

a tombé tomber

trouvée ai trouver est tomber

(3x)

trouve (2x) (5x)

a trouver

a trouvé trouve trouver ai trouvé est tombé

voila vetements

vola

voit XTOA

voil

vert

Vie

Vas

voile

ERIC Provided by ERIC

va?

٧a

vers

voit voient

voilà

vêtements

41