
DOCUMENT RESUME

	ED 124 076 BE 007 940	
	AUTHOR	
	TITLE Review of Fall 1973 and Fall 1974 University of	
	.Maryland Baltimore County Freshien Responses to the	
	A. C. B. Student Information Form.	•
	A. C. B. Student Information Form.	
	PUB DATE Har 75	
	NOTE 27p	
	EDRS PRICEHF-\$0.83 HC-\$2.06 Plus Postage	
'	DESCRIPTORS , *College Freshmen; Educational Finance; *Higher	۰.
	Education: +Questionnaires; School Demography,	
	*School Surveys; State Universities; Statistical	
	Studies; Student Attitudes; *Student Characteristics;	
	Tables (Data)	
	IDENTIFIERS . *University of Maryland Baltimore County	-
	ABSTRACT	
	During the 1973 and 1974 orientation programs,	1
	first-time, full-time University of Maryland Baltimore County	
	freshmen were administered the Student Information-Form (SIP) by the	
	UMBC Counseling Center, The SIP is a survey instrument developed by	
	the American. Council on Education for the Cooperative Institutional	

Research Program. The SIP provides information regarding demographic and biographic data, high school background, educational and career aspirations, student traits and attitudes, and financing college expenses. Data were available on 1057, and 980 UMBC freshmen for 1973 and 1974, respectively. This report reviews changes in responses to items by UMBC freshmen between 1973 and 1974. National norms for public universities for the same two year period are reported so that

differences can be seen between SABC and similar institutions.

(Author)



REVIEW OF 1973 AND 1974 UMBC FRESHMAN RESPONSES TO THE AGE STUDENT INFORMATION FORM

INTRODUCTION

Since 1966 the American Council on, Education has conducted the Cooperative Institutional Research Program (CIRP). This program is an ongoing longitudinal study of the American higher education system; the purpose of which is to determine the effects of college on students. Each year an annual report of national normative data on the characteristics of first-time, full-time freshman students is published.

'Institutions of higher education voluntarily participate by administering the <u>Student Information Form</u> (SIF) to their first-time, full-time freshman students during orientation, registration, or the first few weeks of classes. Participating institutions include public and private two-year colleges, fouryear colleges, and universities.

The <u>Student</u> <u>Information Form</u> (SIF) is the survey instrument which is used to collect initial input information for longitudinal research. The SIF provides information regarding demographic and biographic data, high school background, educational and career aspirations, student traits and attitudes, and financing • college expenses.

UMBC has participated in the CIRP for the last two years. The SIF was administered to first-time, full-time freshmen during the summer orientation programs in 1973 and 1974 by the UMBC Counseling Center. SIF data were available for 1051 students in 1973 and 980 in 1974. The forms were processed by CIRP and reports were sent to UMBC.

The following tables were prepared to facilitate reviewing changes in UMBC student responses to items between 1973 and 1974. For the same two year period National Norms for Public Universities were reported so that comparisons could be made between UMBC and similar institutions. Some items of the SIF were changed between 1973 and 1974. With the exception of two financial aid items, only those questions that were repeated both years and questions that were asked for the first time in 1974 were included in the tables. In reviewing each item it should be borne in mind that every student did not necessarily answer every question. Therefore, the percentage responding to any one item is not always a percentage of the total number of students for whom SIF data were available.

Since a complete set of tables is appended to this report, it would have been redundant to cite each and every change that occurred for UMBC student responses between 1973 and 1974. For reasons of brevity and comprehension, therefore, only a few differences were pointed out in this review, recognizing that some differences felt to be important by others perusing the tables

have remained unmentioned. The primary focus was upon changes between 1973 and 1974 UMBC freshman classes and in some instances upon differences between UMBC and public university norms.

HIGHLIGHTS

The age distribution for 1974 UMBC freshmen shows that 97.3% of the students are less than 20 years of age, which is the same percentage as that for 1974 National norms. This was a 0.6% decrease from the 1973 percentage in that age bracket for UMBC students; fewer 17 and more 18 and 19 year old students enrolled as UMBC freshmen in 1974 than 1973. The percentage of students 20 years or older increased from 2.0% to 2.6%.

The racial background of the two UMBC classes remained essentially the same with a slight decrease in the percentage of white/caucasian students and a slight increase in black/Negro/ Afro-American students. In contrast to the National norms, UMBC has had about 13% fewer white/caucasian students and about 14% more black/Negro/Afro-American students during both years.

About 70% of the 1973 and 1974 UMBC freshmen listed Baptist, Jewish, Methodist, and Roman Catholic as their religious preference. Nationally, 55% of the freshman classes considered those religions as their preference. Better than half of the 1974 UMBC freshmen considered themselves as middle of the road in terms of political orientation; and that was an increase of 5.7% over last year. Another third considered themselves libberal, 6.4% less than last year. A slight decrease was noted for conservative, and slight increases occurred for far left and far right. The 1974 National norm distribution is not markedly different from that for the 1974 UMBC freshman.

The educational levels for parents of UMBC freshman classes have typically been lower than the national norm. A higher percentage of fathers of UMBC students had less than a high school education, and a lower percentage had college and graduate degrees. The same pattern was reflected for mothers, but additionally the percentage of mothers of UMBC freshmen who were high school graduates was about 11% higher than the national. norm.

The vast majority of National-and UMBC freshman classes have completed a college preparatory high school program (about 95%) and have entered college in the fall following high school graduation (about 95-97%). UMBC was the student's first choice of colleges for approximately three-fourths of the 1974 class.

The percentage of UMBC freshmen with high school grades of A or A+ remained essentially the same from 1973 to 1974. The percentages with B's decreased from 69.6 to 67.7 and with C's increased from 13.5 to 16.9 for the same period. Compared with

national norms, UMBC classes have typically had a lower percentage of A students and higher percentages of B and C students in the entering freshman classes.

For the last two years National and UMBC freshmen considered the same three reasons as very important to selecting their college: "has a good academic reputation," "offers special education program," and "has low tuition." The fourth reason cited by UMBC freshmen was "wanted to live at home" while nationally the fourth reason was "wanted to live away from home."

A little less than three-fourths of the UMBC entering classes planned to earn the bachelor's degree at UMBC. When considering the highest degree planned anywhere, the percentages for national norms and UMBC freshmen between 1973 and 1974 increased for degree categories at or below the bachelor's level and decreased for postgraduate degree categories. The changes amounted to approximately 10%. 'Whether this can be considered as a tendency for freshmen not to aspire to graduate degree training remains unclear without more longitudinal data.

Percentage changes in probable major fields of study can be difficult to interpret and somewhat misleading since the categorization of several majors differed between 1973 and 1974. The decrease in "health professions" and the increase in "other technical fields" for UMBC freshmen was partially a result of classifying health technology (medical, dental and laboratory) majors as "health professions" in 1973 but as "other technical fields" in 1974. Other than these two categories, no drastic changes occurred between 1973 and 1974 for UMBC freeshmen. "Health professions," "social sciences," and "biological sciences" continued to account for a large proportion of probable majors for UMBC classes (52.9% in 1973 and 44.5% in 1974--an underestimate in 1974 due to reclassification of health technology). On the national level, " these major fields accounted for 30.2% and 24.5% of probable fields of study in 1973 and 1974, respectively.

The residence data provided some interesting contrasts. About three-fourths of the 1974 UMBC freshman plan to live with parents or relatives but only 39.6% prefer that living arrangement. Further, about 25% plan to live in other private homes, apartments, or in the college dormitories but better than half would prefer that. These data would suggest that, provided UMBC freshmen could implement their preferences, their places of residency for the freshman year would be quite different than their stated plans. On the national level, discrepancies between plans and preferences were much less: 20% planned to live with parents and relatives and 12% preferred that, while about 77% both planned and preferred living in private homes, apartments, and college dormitories! Implementation of preferences on the national level seemed to be much more of a reality. However, when preferences were compared, a larger percentage of UMBC freshmen favored living with parents or relatives

and a smaller percentage favored private homes, apartments, or college dormitories than the national norms.

A smaller percentage of 1974 UMBC freshmen considered "independence" and "intrinsic interest in the field" as very important for a long-term career choice than the 1973 freshman class. However, the same five reasons by percentages were rated at the top of the list by the 1973 UMBC, 1974 UMBC, and 1974 National norm groups: "intrinsic interest in the field," "be helpful to others," "work with people," "contribution to society," and "job openings available," in that order.

Due to reclassification of some occupations, changes between 1973 and 1974 for probable career occupation are difficult to interpret. The increase in "other occupation" is clearly, in part, accounted for by having combined many titles reported separately in 1973 into one "other occupation" category. Comparing percentages for 1974 UMBC freshmen and 1974 National norms suggests that UMBC freshmen tend to be more interested in the categories of doctor, health professional, and nurse and less interested in artist, business man, engineer, and farmer or forester. Generally comparable to national norms, fathers of UMBC freshmen were typically businessmen and skilled workers (51.1%).

UMBC freshmen rated their self-traits "above average" generally the same as the national norm group. Some obvious differences occurred where a higher percentage of UMBC freshmen rated themselves as above average on "cheerfulness," "defensiveness," "popularity," "popularity with opposite sex," and "understanding of others."

The same five objectives considered to be "essential or very important" received the highest percentage of responses from the 1973 UMBC, 1974 UMBC, and 1974 National norm groups. They_were: "help others in difficulty," "develop a philosophy of life," "be an authority in my field," "be very well off financially," and "raise a family."

The two UMBC freshman classes responded generally the same when asked items concerning the future and estimating "the chances were very good" that they would do certain things. Some comparisons between the 1974 UMBC freshman and 1974 National norms were revealing. A larger percentage of UMBC students thought chances were very good that they would work at an outside job (39.43 vs. 26.33) and that they would transfer to another college (19.53 vs. 10.03). For UMBC, the percentages were less for living in a coeducational dorm (15.73 vs. 32.43), making at least a B average (32.33 vs. 40.63), being satisfied with college (42.63 vs. 53.73), and finding a job in one's field after graduation (49.93 vs. 55.13).

Students were asked to rate their degree of agreement with a number of statements. A higher percentage of 1974 UMBC freshmen

than 1974 National norms agreed strongly or somewhat that the government was not doing enough to control environment pollution, people should be paid equally, marijuana should be legalized, an individual can do little to change society, and open_admissions should be adopted by all public colleges; and a lower percentage than nationally agreed that parents should be discouraged from having large families. Other differences were evident but to a lesser degree.

a , 30 , 1. h .

Six/items assessed the degree of identification with certain groups. Students identified to a greater degree with people of their own generation than with the other group items. Less than half identified strongly or strongest of all with people of their own made, and the UMBC percentage was higher than the national percentage. About 37% agreed strongly or strongest of all with people of their own sex and with people who live in their community. Lasely, 21.2% of UMBC freshmen and 25.6% nationally identified to that extent with people of their religion. Reference groups in order of importance appeared to be peers, racial, sex, community, and religious.

The financial data are quite detailed and extensive. The percentage of students who were financially independent in 1974 increased to 11.4% (2.8% over 1973) for UMBC freshmen and to 12.5% (4.4% over 1974) nationally About 63% of the freshmen had some or major concern about financing college. In 1974 the estimated parental income for 63% of UMBC freshmen was between \$10,000-\$24,999, about the same as 1973 and slightly more than nationally. However, a larger percentage of 1974 UMBC freshmen parents had incomes of less than \$10,000 and a smaller percentage had incomes of \$25,000 or more when compared to 1974 national norrs.

In 1974 the percentages of UMBC freshmen that expected support ranging from \$1 to over \$4,000 from the following sources compared to national norms (in parentheses) were: Educational Opportunity Grant, 22.9% (18.2%); Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant, 3.3% (5.0%); College Work Study, 7.0% (9.4%); State Scholarship or Grant, 18.4% (15.5%); Federal Guaranteed Student Loan, 4.8% (9.2%); National Direct Student Loan, 2.5% (9.9%); Other Loan 2.7% (6.3%) / Local or Private Scholarships or Grants, 11.6% (19.2%); Parents or Family, 81.8% (85.6%); Part-time Work, 76.1% (73.7%); Full-time Employment, 13.0% (10.0%); and Savings, 58.0% (63.4%). Little support was expected from spouse, parent's military service or social security benefits, personal military service benefits, and other sources. UMBC freshmen, as opposed to national norms, tend to depend more on Basic Educational Opportunity Grants, State Scholarships or Grants, Part-time work, and Full-Employment; and less upon Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants, College Work-Study, Federal Guaranteed Loans, National Direct Student Loans, Other Loans, Local or Private Scholarships or Grants, Parent or Family Aid, and Savings.

THE AMERICAN PRESHMAN: COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC

·

. .

44

*

+ · K

,1

Ł

.1

. . .

1

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							+	
Item	Natio	onal N ic Uni	orms fo	or ies 1	JMBC F	resh	an Cl	ass
		973	1974	•	197		1974	
		<u></u>						
Age 16 of younger		0.1	0.1		0.		. 0.0	
17		4.3	3.5		- 83.		4.5	-
19	1	3.9 -	15.1	· · .	7.	.0 . 1	8.8	
20 21		0.7 0.2	1.1	,	* 1.	0.	1.1	1
22		0.1 /	0.3			1	0.3	•
23-25		0.2/	-0.5	~	.0		0.3	.*
26-29 30 or older		0.0	0.2	/		1	0.4	
	/			1.				:
Racial Background	/		. /	/ .	•			
White/Caucasian	/9	5.9	95.0	•	82	.9 :	80.8	
Black/Negro/Afro-American	. /.	2.4	/3.1		16	.0	17.7	· .
American Indian Oriental	/	.9.	0.7			.3	1.2	
Other,	•	.9	1.0			.7	0.4	
Mexican-American Chicano	/	.4	0.4		• • •	.2	0.1	
Puerto-Riçan American	. /.	. 4	+ 0.1		•	.0	0.4	
	/							-
Marital Status								
Presently married Presently engaged		1.7	1.0	:	. 1	• 4 • 5	0.7	
Seeing one person exclusively		33.9	34.4		36	.9	35.3	d
Dating, but no one steadily Not dating, in recent months		46.6. 17.4	42.8			.9	43.3	
spe until fin recent months				S.		• •	10.0	
	• 1			. /	· .	_'		
		1		٠.		• .		
······································								•••
· · /. · ·			1					
		•				•		·
	•		2-	•				
			•		r			
COOPERATIVE INSTITU	TIONA	L RESE	ARCH P	ROGRÁ	M		•	1
AMERICAN COUN	CIL O	N EDUC	ATION				**. *	(
	_						•	
	`8	٠.					•	1

THE AMERICAN FRESHMAN.

Se.

COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC

	E.			•
, Item	National Public Un	Norms for iversities	UMBC Fre	shman Class
	. 1973	1974	1973	1974
		-		
F				
Current Religious Preference		• +		
Baptist	:11.3 .	. 9.9 .	10.6	
Congregational (U.C.C)	2.6	2.9		
Eastern Orthodox	.5	. 0.6	1.1	
Episcopal '	4.1	3.3	3,8	
Jewish	4.2	4.3	12.0	12.6
Latter Day Saints (Mormon)	.3	6.3 .	* .(
Lutheran	8.4'	10.2	6.5	
Methodist	13.7	12.2	10.8	
Muslim	1	0.1		
Presbyterian	8.3	6.6	. 2.	
Quaker (Society of Friends)	2	0.2		
Roman Catholic	25.9	28.6 # /	, 37.	36.5
Seventh Day Adventist	.1	0.1		
Unitarian-Universalist * "	.6	0.5		
Other Protestant	4.4	4.3	1 1.	
Other Religion	. 3.2	3.4		7 . 2.7
None	12.3	12.5	9.1	
		,		
Father's Religious Preference				
Baptist	77.	10.4	* /	10.9
Congregational (U.C.C.)		3.2		. 0.4
Eastern Orthodox		- 0.8 .		1.2
Episcopal		3.7		3.3
Jewish		. 5.0		.14.1.
Latter Day Saints (Mormon)		0.2	·	J' 0.2
Lutheran .	1. 771	.11.1		8.8
Methodist	!	13.3 1	· · · ·	
Muslim		0.1,	-+-	0.1
Presbyterian		7.7		4.2
Quaker (Society of Friends)		0.2		. ,0.0
Poman Catholic		29.1		34.7
Seventh Day Adventist	· ·	.011	it	0.1
Unitarian-Universalist		0.6		. 0.2
Other Protestant	11 - Lia	4.6		1.9.
Other religion		2.0		1.3
None		- 8.0		5.0

COOPERATIVE INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH PROGRAM AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

.

, 1

·* 1

II

THE AMERICAN FRESHMAN: COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1	• /		· • • •	
	tional Norms	for .		
Item Pu	blic Univers	ities	UMBC Fres	hman Clas
				r
1.	-1973 197	4 .	*1973	1974
	· ·		An	
		1		•
Mother's Religious Preference			••	
Baptist	10	.8		112.1
Congregational (U.C.C.)	3	.4		* 0.4
Easterh Orthodox	0	.7 .		1.0
Episcopal		.1 .		3.2
Jewish	4	.8 . 1	'	13.6
Latter Day Saints (Mormon)		.3 1.	/	0.1
Lutheran	11			9.2
Methodist		.0		12.7
Muslim				
		.1		0.2
Presbyterian		.8 .		3.8
Quaker (Society of Friends)		.2		0.0
Roman Catholic	1	.9		38.0
Seventh Dat Adventist		.1	`	0.1
Unitarian-Universalist	<u>ې</u>	.8 .		0.1
Other Protestant	4	. 8	'	1.9
Other religion	2	.1		1.5
None	3	.7		2.1
	•		· ·	
•				•
Political Orientation	1			. /
Far Left	. 1.8 . 1	.9	2.3	32.
Liberal	84.1 30		39,4.	33.0
Middle of the road		.1	46.5	52.2
Conservative		.5	11.4	10.6
				1.0
Far right	.4		4 .	1.0,
· · ·	• •			
			•	
Veteran				
No		3.5	99.3	. 99.0
Yes	.4 3	5	7	1.0,
7				*
	/ . '			• • •
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · /	-		•	*
	.*			•
, /	· ·			4
•. /			· ·	-
• • • • • • • •		-		1
··· / ·				· ·
		-		•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· ·		
COOPERATIVE INSTITUTIO	NAT. PECEADOR	PROCES	M	•
CONTERVILYE INSTITUTIO	ON EDUCARTO	I FROGICA	u.1	
AMERICAN COUNCIL	ON EDUCATIC	1		~
	10	-1		•
	10			
II	10		,	

		· · COM	PARISON	BETWI	SEN I	NATI	ONAL	NOR	IS A	10 01	lac.			-
				•.					•			•	80	*
			· · ·							· · · · ·			· · · ·	
		Item	.				iona				•			
	1		.*				fic I				UMI		hman Cla	155
	. **		<i>.</i> .		•	11	1973	•	1974			1973	1974	•
•				•								···· }		•
		's' Edu			•		•		*					
		ar scho		ess.			3.9.	4	5.5		,	7.6	. 5.8	
-	Some I High	high sc School	nool graduat	•			7.7	•	8.9			16.0	15.4	
	Post-	seconda	ry othe	er than	n co		4.6		4.7	~		-3.8	4.1	
1	Some .	college					15.9		14.8			16.2	15.3	
		ge degr			-		24.5		22.5		~	16.9	17.6	
		graduat ate deg		51		1	3.4		2.9			2.3	1.8	
,	Gradu	ace deg					16.5	•.	14.9		/	9.8	12.4	
							,			• ·			• •	
		r's Edu ar scho			1				~ ~	•				•
		ar scac high sc		Ļess	1		2.1	•	2.9		•	4.1 14.6	4.1	/
		school.		te	•		38.0	• •	39.0			49.9	50.9	1
	Post-	seconda	ary oth	er. tha	n co	11.	8.5		8.6			6.2.	6.2	
		coilege					18.4		16.6			13.1	1 -	
· ` `	Some	ge degi gradmat	e scho	n 1 ' '	4		19.4	•	18.3		. ,	8.1	8.4	
	Gradu	ate dec	iree	01			4.8		4.6			3.0	2.1	
				1.							۰.		• •	
٠,	High	Cabaal	Drogram	7 .								1		
		School ge prép			۰,				94.6				93.9	
	Óther			1				:	5.4				6.1	
		•	<i>ι</i> ·	,					1.	1				
	Voar	Gradua	rod fro	m High	Sal		1							
	1974	Gradua	Leu. 110	in higi	i aci	1001	'		95.3	•			96.0	1.
•	1973						97.2	10	.2.6			97.2	2.3	,
	1972	. ~				•	1.7		0.6	5		1.6	0.7	
	1971		lion				.3		1.1	• ,		.3	0.6	
	H.S.	or ear Equiva	lency (G.E.D	Te	st)	.5		0.3			.6	0.4	
1	Neve	c'ompl	ated hi	gh sch	1001		* .2		0.1			.0	0.0	
	• ,	-					· · ·	•						
			•			-	-						`	
·			• • •	· · · · · ·	; ·					• •				
		/		-			•							
	-				4	۹.		•.				. /		

COOPERATIVE INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH PROGRAM AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

IV 11

THE AMERICAN FRESHMAN:

...

, Item		Norms for iversities	UMBC Fres	hman Cla	.55
-	1973	1974	1973	1974	
Average Grade in High School					-
A or At	11.5	10.7	6.8	5.7	ē .
A-	-15.5	15.9	9.0	* 9.5	•
B ····································	25.9	26.1	30.5	33.1	
B	11.6	11.2	, 13.7	12:0	
C+	5.8	7.9	9.7	11.5	
C .	3.3	4.4	3.8	5.4	
D	.1	· 0.2	2.	0.3	
		:	1 :	1	
This College is Student's		1	/		
First choice	/	78.5		76.0	
Second choice		16.8	'	19.8	
Less than second choice.		4.7		4,2	
	/ .	1 .		-	: *
Reasons Noted as Very Importan	t	/	• . •		
In Selecting This College		1			
Relatives wanted me to come he Wanted to live away from home	re 6.8 23.8/	5.4	10:3 16.1	5.6	
Teacher advised me	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.1	
Has a good academic reputation		56.0	58.2	. 45.8	
Offered financial assistance	10.7	13.0 .	15.8	10.8	•
Advice of someone who attended		17.0	15.8	13.1	
Offers special education progr Has low tuition	am 25.4 24.8	29.2	41.5	27.9 27.6	
Advice of guidance counselor	5.9	6.7	7.8	9.2	`
Wanted to live at home	6.3	7.3	23.7	21.8	
Could not get a job	1:0	1.5	3.1	2.6	
		÷			
				and the second division of the second divisio	

COOPERATIVE INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH PROGRAM AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

v

THE AMERICAN PRESHMAN: COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC

	1973	1974	1973	1974
Highest Degree Planned Here	* 4	۰,		y ,
None	3.3.	5.0	8.6	4.8
Associate (or equivalent)	1.8	5.2	. 2.1	3.4
Bachelor's (B.S., B.A.)	70.0	63.1	74.7	. 73.3
Master's (M.A., M.S.)	15.2	15.7	7.8	9.0
Ph.D., or Ed.D.	2.7	2.7	2.1	2.0
LL.B., or J.D. (Law)	2.1.	1.8	.9	0.6
B.D. or M.Div. (Divinity)	:1	0.1	2	0.1
Other	8	1.4	1.3	1.3
M.D., D.O., D.D.S., or D.V.M.	4.0	4.9	2.2	1.6
Winhald Dames Distant and		1		
Highest Degree Planned Anywhere		2		
None	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.2
Associate (or equivalent) Bachelor's (B.A., B.S.)	30.6	2.5	.3	0.5
Master's (M.A., M.S.)	33.0	26.6	* \$26.8	37.2
Ph.D., or Ed.D.	13.2	9.5	32.1	29.0 11.8
M.D., D.O., D.D.S., or D.V.M.	11.9	10.9	17.6	14.6
LL.B., or J.D., (Law)	6.8	5.0	4 6.3	3.4
B.D. or M.Div. Divinity)	.3	0.2	.4	0.1
Other	1.3	1.6	1.9	1.1

COOPERATIVE INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH PROGRAM AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

THE AMERICAN FRESHMAN:

COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC-

• Item		Norms for		shman Class
	1973	1974	1973	1974
Probable Major Field of Study	•		· · · · · · · ·	•
Agriculture (incl. forestry)	3.6.		.5.	1.1 .
Biological sciences	9.7	8.9	10.5	. 12.1
Business	13.8	12.6	6.0	6.7'.
Education Engineering	9.9	9.6	6.9 2.2	5.4
English	1.6	1.2	1.0	1.0
Health professions	9.8	8.6	26.6	20.0 *
History, political science	1 1.6	4.0	1.0	4.7
Humanities (other)	2.8	2.2	2.0	1.4
Fine Arts	7.2	6.9	3.3	4.0
Mathematics and statistics	2.1	1.6 *	3.6	2.8
Physical sciences	3.6	3.2	4.1	4.2
Social sciences	10.7	7.0	15.8	12.4
Other technical fields Other nontechnical fields	2.4	5.6	0.9	10.4
Undecided	6.8	10.0	9.5 6.1	6.6
Undeclued	, 4.0	1		. 4.5
	••••	1.	•	• •
Residence Planned During Fall Te	erm			• • •
With parents or relatives	15.9	20.0	73.0	73.5
Other private home or apartment	2.2.	3.3	1.1	3.5
College dormitory	- 78.3	73.8	26.5	22.1
Fracernity or scrority house	1.6	1.2		0.1
Other campus housing Other	11.8	1.3		0.0
other .	• • • • •	0.4	. • • •	0.2
•		•	· · · ·	\backslash .
Residence Preferred During Fall	N.		•	
Term .				· ·· \
With parents or relatives		12.0"		39.6
Other private home or apartment	**	25.9	1,	20.9
College dormitory	~~	51.5		. 34.8
Fraternity or sorority house		5.6		1.9
Other campus housing Other		2.0		0.6
· · · · · ·				- -
• • • •		1-	•	

COOPERATIVE INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH PROGRAM AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

VII

THE AMERICAN FRESHMAN:

COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC'

2.1

4

2

and the second process of the second s			17-	
	National	Norms for	· · · · · ·	• 1
Item .		iversities	UMBC Fres	hman Cla
1	Conservation and the second of the second	4	Compared by and the Date of th	An owner water and the second s
•	1973	1974	1973	1974
· · · ·	• •			
Reasons Checked as Very Important	<u> </u>	• •	-	• • •
for Long-term Career Choice	•			
Job openings available	. 51.4	47.9.	49.6	49.4
Rapid advancement	31.1	31.4	,35.4	36.6
High anticipated earnings	38.0	38.1	40.3	42.6
Respected occupation	-27.0	28.0	31.6	29.5
Independence	40.8	42.6	47.4	38.5
Chance for steady progress	44.7	45.8	. 47.8	: 45.9
Contribution to society	48.4	. 49.0	56.0	52.6
Avoid pressure	16.2	15.3	18.6	17.4
Work with ideas	49.7	45.7	44.1	40.1
Be helpful to others	60.0 7	60.0 .	69.4	68.5
Work with people	57.9	57.6	66.8	64.7
Intrinsic interest in field	72.1	69.6	76.7	.69.8
				•
Probable Career Occupation				
artist (incl. performer)	2.0	5.6	1.7	27
business man	16.0	10.9	5,3	.7.6
clergy or religious worker	0.4	0.3	. 0.6	0.2
educator (college teacher)	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.5
doctor (M.D. or D.D.S.)	12.0	7.3	:14.1	11.9
educator (secondary)	2.7	3.8	2.7	2.1
educator (elementary) -	0.3	2.5	2.7	2.7
engineer	16.0	8.0	. 2.2	2.1
farmer or forester	5.6	5.2	. 1.1 .	1.6
health professional (mon-M.D.)	6.5	12.6	20.8	
lawyer .	9.0	4.6	5.6	4.5
nurse	0.1	4.5	9.8	8.2
research.scientist	5.3	2.8	. 5.1	3.5
other occupation	13.0	18.7 .	14.4	20.1
undecided	10.3	12.6	13.4	13.4

COOPERATIVE INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH PROGRAM AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

VIII

THE AMERICAN PRESHMAN:

COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC

Item	•. •	Pu	tional Norms blic.Universi	ties UMBC Fr	eshman Class
· · ·	•		1973 1974	and the second s	4
Father's Occup Artist (incl. Businessman Clergy or rel: Educator (collo Doctor (M.D. o Educator (seco Educator (eler Engineer	performer) igious work ige teacher or D.D.S.) ondary) mentary)	er	0.7 30.1 0.7 1.5 2.6 2.1 0.6 8.9		0.4 26.9 0.8 9.4 1.8 1.2 0.7 9.4
Farmer or for Health profess Lawyer Military care Research scies	sion (non M	1.D.)	$\begin{array}{cccc} & 7.5 \\ & 1.3 \\ & 1.7 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 0.9 \end{array}$		0.4 1.9 2.1 1.6 1.1
Skilled worke Semi-skilled Unemployed Other accupat	or unskille	ed worker	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		18.1 •7.7 1.1 24.6
Skilled worke Semi-skilled Unemployed;	or unskille	ed worker	14.6 6.7 1.6		18.1 7.7 1.1
Skilled worke Semi-skilled Unemployed;	or unskille	ed worker	14.6 6.7 1.6		18.1 7.7 1.1
Skilled worke Semi-skilled Unemployed; Other accupat	or unskille	ed worker	14.6 6.7 1.6		18.1 7.7 1.1
Skilled worke Semi-skilled Unemployed; Other accupat	or unskille	ed worker	14.6 6.7 1.6		18.1 7.7 1.1
Skilled worke Semi-skilled Unemployed; Other accupat	or unskille	ed worker	14.6 6.7 1.6		18.1 7.7 1.1
Skilled worke Semi-skilled Unemployed; Other accupat	or unskille	ed worker	14.6 6.7 1.6		18.1 7.7 1.1
Skilled worke Semi-skilled Unemployed; Other accupat	or unskille	ed worker	14.6 6.7 1.6		18.1 7.7 1.1

AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION. 16

> · · IX *

THE AMERICAN FRESHMAN: COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC .

	Item.	•				orms fo		UMBC	Free	shman (Clas
• -	. ?	-	۰ مۇھ ، بە	1.9		1974	*	State of the local division of the local div	973	197	
		17		3 4 1							
Trait	Self Ratin	ngs (Perc	entage :								
Vi Sel	f Ratings	ADOVE AV	erage		50 tu	67.7			i. ·	67	.0 `
Athlat	ic ability	1	`			39.8					
Artict	ic ability	Y	:			20.7				- 36	.6
Cheerf		Y,			-	52.0					.3
	iveness		• •			. 25.9					.8 .
	to achiev			. [3	65.2					.2
	ship abil		1.		1	46.5					.2
	atical ab				<u> </u>	43.0					.3
	ical abil		. `	_	-	25.3				21	
Origin		ILY			-	40.9					.2 .
	al attrac	tivonage			_	. 28.0					.4
	cal conse			•	<u> </u>	11.5					
	cal liber			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	24.3					.6.
Popula		allpm	1.000		-	32.9		*			.2
	rity with	annoaite				30.1	1				.2
Popula	ITTLY WILL	ODDDDATLE	S PEX	_		30.1					
Dublic	anaking	-hili4.									
Public	: speaking	ability			-: '	23.7				19	.3
Self-c	speaking	ability (intelle	ectual)			23.7 47.2	•	•		19	.3
Self-c Self-c	speaking confidence confidence	ability (intelle (social)	ectual) ·	1		23.7 47.2 35.1	·	•		19 , 45 41	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit	speaking confidence confidence ivity to	ability (intelle (social)	ectual) ·	1		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4		÷		19 - 45 - 41 - 27	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbo	s speaking confidence confidence ivity to ornness	ability (intelle (social) criticism	ectual) · m	1		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3		÷		19 45 41 27 • 36	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	1		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2		·		19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	s speaking confidence confidence ivity to ornness	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	,		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3		•		19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	1		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2		•		19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	1		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2		•		19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	1		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2		•		19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	1		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2		•		19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	,		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	,		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	1		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m			23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	,		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2				19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	,		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2		•		19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	,		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2				19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	1		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2				19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	1		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2				19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	1		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2				19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3
Self-c Self-c Sensit Stubbc Unders	speaking confidence confidence ivity to prnness standing o	ability (intelle (social) criticism f others	ectual) · m	1		23.7 47.2 35.1 26.4 38.3 66.2		•		19 45 41 27 • 36 73	.3

PERATIVE INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH I AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

17

X

THE AMERICAN PRESEMAN:

COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC

/ Item		National N Public Un:	ivensities	UMBC Fresh	iman Class
		- 1973	1974	1973	1974
			-		
bjectives Conside					4
ssential or Very chieve in a perf		20.4	10.7	15.9	11.0
e an authority i		63.4	64.5	63,9	. 56.6
btain recognitio	n from collean		39.0	03,9	34.3
administrative	ly responsible	24.1	23:8	28.2	25.5
e very well off		52.5	43.2	61.9	48.9
lelp others in di		61.5	59.7	68.3	67.5
rite original wo			12.5		13.4
reate works of a			14.3	·	13.1
keep up with poli			40.1		32.5
Succeed in my own		40.7	37.8	39.4	31.1
evelop a philoso		71.3	63.5,	66.7	56.1
Participate in co			28.9		27.0
Influence politic		15.0	12.9	14.7	12.5
aise a family		29.5	51.4	31.1	48.1
grae a semant			27.0		26.0
ala alean un env	-i romant	22 6			
		- 33.6		28.2	
telp clean up env Influence social	values . · 2		25.6	28.2	27.2
	values . · 2				
influence social	values . · 2		25.6		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6	28.2	27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6	28.2	27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3	28.2	27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3	28.2	27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3	28.2	27.2
influence social	values . · 2		25.6 15.3		27.2

THE AMERICAN FRESHMAN: COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC

.

	Item	• .				Norms f iversit		UMBC	Fresh	man Cla	ass
	•				1973	1974	*	19	73	1974	
Student	s Estimate	Chances	are		· .						
Verv Go	od that Th	ev Will		•							
	major fiel		~		19.2	.15.5		1	14.2	12.0	•
	career cho		•	*	18.0	14.6		4	13.9	13.4	
	e or more				2.2	1.8		• •	2.7	2.6	
	e with hor				9.4	- 10.2			8.2	, 7.6	
	ted to stu		lice	•	1.6	1.5			1.2	- 1.0	
	social fra				17.3	14.7			7.6		
ing in	a coeduca	tional a	JOILLY	~				÷ .			
					31.2	32.4			18.5	15.7	
	a commune			ege	.1.5	1.6			2.4	1.9	-
	ted to an				5.8	6.0		•	4.4	3.2	
	: least a				38.9	40.6			31.6	32.3	
	etra time t		ete deg	ree	4.3	4.2			3.7	4.4	
	coutside ;				25.5	26.3			35.7	39.4	
	ocational				11.9	10.3		:	11.5	9.5	-
	ndividual (5.1	4.9			6.0	6.3	j -
Enroll	in honors	courses			0.6.	4.9	1		6.6	6.9	1
Get a I	Bachelor's	degree			. 77.8	72.2	2		80.4	75.2	
Drop ou	ut tempora	rily			1.8	1.8	3		2.7	1.0	
Transfe	er to anot	her coll	ege		9.7	10.0)		21.0	19.5	5
Enter a	armed forc	es ·	-		0.9.	. 0.9)		1.9	1.3	
Be sat:	isfied wit	h college	e		57.6	53.7	1	•	44.0	42.6	
Be suc	cessful af	ter grad	uation		18.7	18.3	3		19.2	15.8	
	ob in own			đ.	56.7	55.3	L		53.3	49.9	
	rried whil				. 7.7.				7.2	5.8	
	within a y			ae .	18.5	17.			16.7	15.2	
	ut permane				0.9	0.			1.2	0.9	
							• • •				
•					•				• .		
·)	¢ ·									• •	
•									••		
•						· · · ·	•				•
	•									6	
							•				
. '			•	*	•		÷				
		•								0	
						×					
					÷.					•	
	· 1				· ·	•		.`	,	· ·	

AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

XII

19

THE AMERICAN PRESHMAN: COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC

Item			Norms for iversities	UMBC Fresh	man Class
	. 1	973	1,974	1973	1974
Agree Strongly or Somewhat		•		••	• • •
Gov't. not controlling pollut Gov't. not protecting consume Too many rights for criminals People should be paid equally Women's activities best in ho Wealthy should pay more taxes Marijuana should be legalized Dicourage large families Women should get job equality Can do little to change socie Col.regulate students off can Students help evaluate facult Abolish college grades De-emphasize organized sports Regulate student publications College has right to ban spec Prefer treatment for disadvar Col. too lax on student prote Adopt open admissions at pub Use same degree standard for	er 7 4 7 2 5 4 7 4 7 4 5 7 7 9 9 4 7 7 9 4 7 9 4 7 7 9 4 7 7 9 4 7 7 7 9 4 7 7 9 4 7 7 7 9 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	8.9° 6.8 8.5 1.9 5/0 2.2 0.0 2.3 7.9 8.6 3.5 3.0 8.4 3.5 3.0 8.6 3.5 7.4	83.1 76.2 49.9 24.2 25.1 75.8 46.9 65.6 92.8 42.0 10.8 76.6 27.3 28.0 24.8 18.0 33.7 30.1 31.6 76.9	91.2 84.6 51.7 28.7 24.7 77.1 55.4 66.8 94.9 45.7 7.3 76.5 37.3 24.1 26.7 21.0 35.1 32.8 31.4 78.4	86.3 82.6 46.9 31.4 26.6 77.4 56.1 59.1 92.4 49.8 12.7 74.3 27.8 28.9 26.9 20.7 33.0 28.6 40.9 77.0
	;				A
Identify with People Who Live	e	÷		• •	
in My Community Little or none Moderately Strongly Strongest of all	 		18.0 45.2 26.6 10.2	*	19.7 43.9 24.6 11.9
Identify with People of My Own Religion				· · · ·	• • • •
Little or none Moderately Strongly Strongest of all	· ·		32.1 42.3 18.7 6.9	·	36.3 42.4 16.0 5.2

COOPERATIVE INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH PROGRAM AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

THE AMERICAN PRESEMAN: COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC

Iten	National I Public Un	Norms for iversities	UMBC Fresh	man Class
· · · /	1973	1974	1973	1974
Identify with People of		. 4	,	
ly Cwn Sex				1
Little or none		7.4		18.4 .
loderately		54.8	· · ·	53.9
Strongly		34.8		34.1
Strongest of all		3.0		3.6
	· 5-10			
Identify with People of				•
ly own Race		2		
Little or none		13.5		9.1
loderately		45.2		42.3
Strongly		34.2		38.3
Strongest of all	· ·	7.0		10.3
•				
Identify with People of My Own Generation		• •		i
Little or none		3.5		2.7.
Moderately		26.0	+-	22.7
Strongly		. 43.3	<u></u> .	41.4
Strongest of all		27.2		33.3
·····	2 - 1 - 1			
	· ·			. '
Financially independent This		'	-	
Yes	11.6	12.5	10.4	11.4
No	.88.4	87.5	89.6	88.2
. 1	: .	•		
Financially Independent Last				
Yes	6.8	8.1 .	9.2	* 8.6
NO .	93.2	91.9	90.8	91.4
V .				
^ ,				
Concern About Financing Colle	ge			•
No concern	35.2	37.2	32.4 1	36.9
Some concern	49.1	48.7	47.9	46.7
Major concern	15.7	14.1	19.6	16.4
	•			

COOPERATIVE INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH PROGRAM AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

XIV

21

THE AMERICAN PRESHMAN: COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC

i.,

.

٩,

• .

Item		Norms for	UMBC Fresh	man 01
	Charles and the second s	iversities		
	1973	1974	1973 ;	1974
Plating and a second second			<u></u>	. ,
Estimated Parental Income Less than \$3000		1.0	2.0	2.0
\$3000-\$3999	1.4	1.9	3.0	2.9
\$4000-\$5999	2.6	3.0	. 2.9	4.6 -
\$6000-\$7999	4.2	4.4	5.5	4.6
\$8000-\$9999	6.6		8.6	6.0
\$10,000-\$12,999	14.2	14.6	16.5	16.9
\$12,500-\$14,999	13.9	13.5	14.2	14.5
\$15,000-\$19,,999	19.1#		20.1	18.7
\$20,000-\$24,999	14.2	14.1 .	12.9-	12.9
\$25,000-\$29,999	7.5	7.5	6.0	6.9
\$30,000-\$34,999	5.2	4.9	3.3	4.4.
\$35,000-\$39,999	- 3.0	2.9	2.0	3.3
\$40,000-\$49,999	. 2,46	2.6	1.1	1.8
\$50,000 or more	4.2	4.2	1.4	1.0
	• /			
· · /. · .	1 - 1			
Total Income Last Year Indepen	ident .	•	*	
of Parents			* .	
None	14.2	12.1	27.3	19.3
Less than \$500	30.0	28.6	29.4	29.2
\$500-\$999	27.0	,25.5	19.7 \$	22.6
\$1009-\$1,999	20.4	21.3	16.9	19.0
\$2000-\$2,999	5.6	7.6	3.9	× 6.3
\$3000-\$4,999	1.9	3.0	* 1.9	1.9
\$5000-\$9,999	0.6	1.2	0.6	1.3
\$10,000 or moré	. 0,3	0.5	0.3	0.3
가지 못한 것은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 없다.	: /.	•		
	1.	•		
Support from Basic Educational	L /	· · ·	,	
Opportunity Grant	1.	.Y	9 č 🦾 🖓	
None		81.8		77.1
\$1-\$499		9.0		12.2
.\$500-\$999		6.4		6.3
\$1,000-\$1,999	*	2.2		4.1
\$2,000-\$4,000		# 0.4		0.2
Over \$4,000		0.2	'	0:2
./ /		· / e		
, '/ /				
	. /		~	
/			1	•
· / ·			N:	
		103000		
COOPERATIVE INSTI	TUTIONAL REA	BLARCH PROG	RAI1	
AMERICAN · CO	UNCIL ON EDU	JUATION		
		•	• •	
/				

	• *		•
Item Nat	tional Norms for blic Universities	UMBC Fres	hman Class
	1973 / 1974	1973	1974
· · · · · ·	1913 / 1914	ي و دريد 	13/4
Support from Supplemental Educa-			
ional Opportunity Grant			•
NORE '	95.0	·	96.7
\$1-\$499	2.8		1.6 .
\$500-\$999	1.6	•	.1,3
\$1,000-\$1,999	0.4	,	0.2
\$2,000-\$4,000	0.1		/0.0
Over \$4,000	0.0		. 0.2
1			
Support from College Work-Study	· ·		
Grant			
None	90.6		93.0
\$1-\$499	4.8	1	5.1
\$500-\$999	·		1.6
\$1,000-\$1,999	0.4		σ.3
\$2,000-\$4,000	0.1		0.0
Over \$4,000	0.0		0.0 .
	• •	. /.	
Support from State Scholarship	·		
or Grant		•	
None	84.5	· n	\$1.6
\$1-\$499	8.9	·	11.5
\$500-\$999	5.4	•	6.2
\$1,000-\$1,999	0.8		0.5
\$2,000-\$4,000	0.2		0.2
Over \$4,000	0.1	•	0.0
	۰.		1.
Support from Federal Guaranteed	·		/
Student Loan		* 1	• .) .
None	90.8		95.2
\$1-\$499	2.5		95.2
\$500-\$999	2.5	·	1.8
\$1,000-\$1,999	3.6		0.8
\$2,000-\$4,999	0.6		0.2
Over \$4,000	0.1		0.2
2	• •		
	i		

1

COOPERATIVE INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH PROGRAM AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

XVI

1

23

	. ,	• •	•	· . ·	
	THE AMERICA	N PRESHM	an- t		
001102.07.05	THE AMERICA	W FRADUT	ANT. /	-	
COMPARISO	N BETWEEN NAT	LIONAL NO.	RMS AND UND	· ·· ·	1
	£		•	۲	
		•	• •	4	• • •
		1		~	
• •		ational N			
Item.				ÚMBC Fresh	man Class
		1973	1974	1973	1974
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · ·	<u></u>	······································
Support' from National	Dimant		,		
	Direct				
Student Loan				N	
None	•		90.1	*	97.5
\$1-\$499			4.7		. 1.5
\$500-\$999	• •		3.7		0.7
\$1,000-\$1,999	1-1		1.3		0.3
\$2,000-\$4,000	· :		0.1		0.0
Over \$4,000	.: (0.0	** *	0.0
	• 1	•		• • • •	•
and the state of t			. :	• •	
Support from Other Lo.	an				
None	•		93.7	,	97.3
\$1-\$499			2.0	,	1.4
\$500-\$999			1.8	·	0.9
\$1,000-\$1,999			1.9	, ,	0.5
\$2,000-\$4,000			9.5		0.0
Over \$4,000	· ·	·	0.1		0.0
		1		•	· ` N
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			• •		
Local or Private Scho	larships or	~			
Grants			•		
None		67.7	80.8	62.8	88.4
\$1-\$499		16.0	12.6	18.4	. 7.6
\$500-\$999.	•.	9.4	4.3	11.3	.3.4
\$1000-\$1999		5.0 *	1.7	6.1	*0.5
\$2000-\$4000		1.4	0.4	1.4	.0.2
Over \$4000	•	0.5	0.1	0.0	. 0.0 -
	:	12.	• •		
· · · ·					•
Federally Ins. or Col	lege Loans	4		1.	/
Nona ·		84.3.	*	88.5	· 1
\$1-\$499	÷	4.6		. 6.0	'
\$500-\$999	1	5.5		, 3.4	
\$1000-\$1999	**	4.8		1.5	
\$2000-\$4000	•	0.6		.0.4	
Over \$4000 *		0.2		0.2	
1	, ,	• •			••
/		.>	•		•
	·			• •	
				/	

1

COOPERATIVE INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH PROGRAM AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

XVII

THE AMERICAN FRESHMAN: COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC > .4 National Norms for Item UMBC Freshman Class Public Universities 1974 1973 1974 1973 Other Repayable Loan 93.5 None 95.8 \$1-\$499 2.3 2.6 _1 \$500-\$999 1.9 0.4 \$1,000-\$1,999 \$2,000-\$4,000 1.7 1.0 0.4 0.0 Over \$4,000 0.2 0.1 Support from Spouse None T 98.0 . 98.6 97.7. 96.2 \$1-\$499 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.5 \$300-\$999 0.3 0.3 0.5 0.2 \$1,000-\$1,999. 0.5 0.2 0.1 1.5 \$2,000-\$4,000 0.3 . 0.3 0.1 0.7 Over \$4,000 0.3 0.1 0.1 0.3 Support from Parental or Family And None. 12.3 14.1 14.4 18.1 \$1-\$499 .. . 19.2 17.1 30.7 23.8 \$500-\$999 . 15.0 14.7 29.2 27.0 \$1,000-\$1,999 26.1 22.6 15.3 16.3 \$2,000-\$4,000 21.9 22.4 ~ 6.6 10.3 Over \$4,000 .7.6 6.7 4.2 4.4 · . # Support from Parent's Military Service Benefit None 92,6 98.4 97.6 ,99.2 \$1-\$499 1.8 0,8 1.3 1.0.5 \$500-\$999 \$1,000-\$1,999 . 0.8 0.3 0.8 0.2 0.8 0.3 0.4 0.2 \$2,000-\$4,000 0.3 0.2. 0.0 0.0 Over \$4,000 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.0 COOPERATIVE INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH PROGRAM AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION.

• • • •

25

XVEII

THE AMERICAN FRESHMAN: COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC

۱.

2

	L	-	·				4-1-	
			1/	C	• •	- 1	4	
			National N	orms for		1.	/	
	Item		Public Uni		UMBO F	reshman	Class	1.
	· · · · ·	· .	1973	1974	197	3 197	4	de ·
	32	4		114		- /	/	. 7
-	the second s	· *.	·			1.1	•	
	Support from Parent's SS Benefits	-		/ .		1 /	• •	
	None		93.7.	92.5	- 93/	7 . 94.	3	
	\$1-\$499		3.0	3.5	4:			
	\$500-\$999	•	11	1.8	/i.			,
	\$1,000-\$1,999		1:2 -	1.6	0.	4 1.		. 1.
•	\$2,000-\$4,000		0.3	0.4	/ 0.			••
	over \$4,000		0.1	0.1	0.			· . •
	UVEL 94,000	:		0.1			· /	· .
				/			_ /	· .
	Support from Personal Military	•	**				• ./	
	Service Benefit			1,5 .			• /	
	None	•	99.4	98.9 -	99.	2 99.	2 /	
	\$1-\$499	+	0.1	0.2				
•	\$500-\$999		0.1		0,			
			0.1	0.2	. 0.			1
-	\$1,000-\$1,999	•	0.3	0.3	. 0.			/
	\$2,000-\$4,000		0.1	0.1				1 . :
	Over \$4,000		0.1	0.1	0.	0 0.	9 . /	
	/ * .		: /			/		• •
			. / .		* * ***	/	,	
	Support from Part-time or Summer		1.			. /		
11	Work	•						•
•	None		22.8	26.3	31.			
•	\$1-\$499		47.7	42.8	54.			
	\$500-\$999		22.0	21.7	11.			•
	\$1,000-\$1,999		6.5	7.8	. 2,		.4	+ 1.
	\$2,000-\$4,000		0.9	1.2	0.		2.	
	Over \$4,000		0.1	0.2	. 0.	1 0.	.0'	
• . ,				•		1-		
. '			w 4					•
	Support from Full-time Employment							1
	None	•	91:0	90.0	92.			•
~	\$1-\$499		3.8	4.1	4.		.5	
•	\$500-\$999		3.0	3.4	1.		.4	
	\$1,000-\$1,999		1.6	1.7	0.		.8	• .
	\$2,000-\$4,000		0.4	0.6	0.		2 * .	
	Over \$4,000		0.2	0.2	. 0.	1 0.	Z	•
						1		

COOPERATIVE INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH PROGRAM AMERICAN COUNCIL ON EDUCATION

THE AMERICAN FRESHTAN: COMPARISON BETWEEN NATIONAL NORMS AND UMBC

•

			·/
Item	National Norms for		-
	Public Universitie		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	1973 1974	1973	1974
		1	
Support from Savings			•••
None	39.1 36.6	51.2	42.0
\$1-\$499 \$500-\$999	39.6 . 38.9	39.1	42.3
\$1,000-\$1,999	12.6 14.2 6.2 7.2	5.7. 3.1	10.2
\$2,000-\$4,000	1.9 2.5	0.5	1.1 4
Over \$4,000	0.5 0.6	0.5	0.8
Cther Sources of Support			
Cther Sources of Support			
None \$1-\$499 \$500-\$999	95.9 95.8 2.1 2.1	95.8	95.8
* \$500-\$999	0.9 0.8	0.4.	0.9
\$1,000-\$1,999 \$2,000-\$,000	0.5 0.7	- 1.0	1.1
Over \$4,000	0:2 0.3	0.1	0.2
a man a set of a set		. 1	
4 de 1			
nonnen en	aan daring hora ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an	uninger and a second	ana kaprovinant antis karaktaratak
		·	*. *
	• • • • •	the Case of the	
the second	alarandada nayadada nadaradada nadaradada	nanipanyakan yakan da te senanga yana manakka kesahan dapan dapatahan	
	A1 1.		1
		· · · ·	1.1.1
			• • •
	•	· · · · · ·	. • •
		· - *	
Cooperative institut	TTONAL RESEARCH PRO	GRAM	
AMERICAN COUN	CIL ON EDUCATION		• :
	27		
	YY		•