

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 123 782

EA 008 339

AUTHOR Deever, R. Merwin; Gibson, G. Jim
TITLE Guidelines for the Implementation and Operation of a Year Round School Program. Research Reports on Educational Administration, Vol. 6, No. 3.
INSTITUTION Arizona State Univ., Tempe. Bureau of Educational Research and Services.
PUB DATE Dec 75
NOTE 12p.; Summary of a Doctoral Dissertation by Margaret Lorraine Hewes Smith

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Administrative Personnel; *Administrator Attitudes; Educational Programs; Elementary Secondary Education; *Guidelines; Program Administration; Program Development; School Schedules; Surveys; *Year Round Schools

ABSTRACT

This study consists of a list of guidelines for the implementation and operation of a year-round school program. The list was developed from a survey of 120 school administrators, functioning at the superintendent, district, and unit school levels, who are involved in 45-15 year-round programs in 16 school districts throughout the country. These administrators ranked 50 items identified in the literature as commonly included in the planning and implementation of year-round school programs. The resulting guidelines are presented in two categories--essential procedures for implementation and operation, and recommended procedures for implementation and operation. These guidelines cover areas such as finance, facilities, staffing needs, and public relations. (DS)

* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

ED123782

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT
OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

RESEARCH REPORTS ON EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION

Volume VI, Number 3

December 1975

GUIDELINES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION
OF A YEAR ROUND SCHOOL PROGRAM

A Summary of a Doctoral Dissertation by
Margaret Lorraine Hewes Smith

Prepared by

R. Merwin Deever

and

G. Jim Gibson

Published by

BUREAU OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND SERVICES
R. Merwin Deever, Director
College of Education
Arizona State University
Tempe, Arizona 85281

EA 008 339

FOREWORD

This study is the third issue of Research Reports on Educational Administration in this its sixth volume year. First published in 1971, R.R.E.A. has brought current and concise research to the desks of Arizona school administrators. The current issue is the twenty-eighth in the continuing series.

Each year, topics which may be of greatest current interest to administrators in Arizona are identified from among the doctoral dissertations produced by the Department of Educational Administration and Supervision at Arizona State University. These topics are then summarized and appear in Research Reports on Educational Administration. The issues are distributed to administrators throughout the state of Arizona.

A list of titles in this series which are available from the Bureau of Educational Research and Services is included on the inside back cover. They are also available in microform from Xerox University Microfilm, 300 North Zeeb Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106.

The dissertation by Margaret Smith developed a set of guidelines for the implementation and operation of a year round school program.

A commonality of response among administrators was found by the researcher through the utilization of a descriptive research survey. The degree of commonality was sufficient to warrant the establishment of the guidelines. These guidelines will assist districts considering or entering the implementation of a year round school program.

R.M.D.
G.J.G.

GUIDELINES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND OPERATION OF A YEAR ROUND SCHOOL PROGRAM

INTRODUCTION

Population movement, varied periods of employment and recreation, increased demand for service from education, increased costs of construction and operation of schools and the need to accelerate and individualize learning combine to create the need for the public school to provide flexible patterns of school attendance. Solutions to these problems are difficult without alternative means of educating individuals. To quote Robert M. Beckworth,

The toughest question facing us now...is whether we have the courage and flexibility and imagination to innovate as the times require. Let us not deceive ourselves. The old ways of doing things are not good enough. But giving up the old ways will be painful. Institutions fear change. In the face of change we all grow defensive, we all move toward protecting our particular vested interests. But the overriding vested interest of all of us is in the vitality of American education. This is the precious thing we hold in trust.

THE PROBLEM

This study had as its stated purpose the development of a set of guidelines for the implementation and operation of a year round school program. To solve this problem the study determined whether or not there were statistically significant differences among responses from three levels of administrators who have been involved in the establishment and management of operational 45-15 year round school programs. The three levels of administrators represented were: 1) superintendency, 2) district level, and 3) unit school level.

PROCEDURES

The descriptive survey research design was utilized in this study. There were 120 subjects participating in the study, all of whom were 45-15 year round school administrators from 16 school districts throughout the United States.

Each year round school administrator responded to each of 50 items identified from the literature as commonly included in the planning and management of year round school programs. Each participant was asked to rate each of the 50 statements on a five point rating scale.

The responses were tabulated according to the respondents' administrative levels and expected frequencies were compiled for each item. A chi square analysis was used to analyze the data. Differences were sought at the .05 level of significance.

The number of responses for each area of year round school operation was tabulated by rank and observed frequencies were translated into proportion of the total response for each item.

Proportional totals were listed in rank order from highest to lowest and from this ranking a set of guidelines was established. The established guidelines included 17 essential procedures and 31 recommended procedures for the implementation and operation of a year round school program.

FINDINGS

Statistically significant differences were found among the three levels of administrators in the response to six of the 50 items in the questionnaire. One of the six items was a planning procedure concerning mandatory pupil participation for maximum space economy. The other five items were management procedures concerning:

- a) student per capita costs
- b) student population projections
- c) increased furniture and equipment replacement
- d) teacher-pupil ratios for elementary classrooms
- e) the employment of attendance or office clerks for year round facilities.

Differences among the three levels of administrators ranged from one to forty-nine percent. The greater differences were found between members of the superintendency and unit school administrator groups. There was sufficient commonality of responses among administrators to warrant the establishment of a set of guidelines for the implementation and operation of a year round school program.

CONCLUSIONS

Essential Procedures for Implementation

Twelve essential procedures for implementation of a year round school program were established. They are:

1. Specific needs of the school or district are identified prior to consideration of a year round program.
2. School and district staff representatives are involved in the decision to recommend implementation of a year round school program.
3. Information concerning the year round school program is disseminated to all groups within the staff and school community.
4. A year round school steering or task force committee is established for the school or district.
5. Objectiveness and clarity are primary goals of the year round school public information program.
6. Specific goals and objectives are identified for the school or district prior to consideration of a year round school program.
7. Understanding basic requirements of various year round school designs is essential to design selection.
8. Community representatives are involved in the decision to recommend implementation of a year round program.
9. Selection of a year round school design is based on clarification of fiscal and attendance requirements prescribed by existing state statutes.
10. Selection of a year round school design is based on identified resources of the school district.

11. A competent educator is appointed to coordinate planning and implementation activities for a year round school program.

12. Alternatives for the realization of district goals are identified prior to consideration of a year round school program.

Essential Procedures for Operation

Five essential procedures for the operation of a year round school program were established. They are:

1. Refrigerated air conditioning is provided for year round schools.

2. Student attendance schedules are coordinated for all family units.

3. Funds are budgeted for year round provision of instructional materials and supplies.

4. Funds are budgeted for increased utility costs for year round schools.

5. An attendance or office clerk is employed for each year round facility.

Recommended Procedures for Implementation

Twelve recommended procedures for implementation of a year round school program were established. They are:

1. Steering committee members help to identify alternatives for reaching identified goals and objectives for the school or district.

2. Staff attitudes concerning the acceptability of a year round school program are determined prior to board decision for implementation.

3. Steering committee members identify the implementation of a year round school program as a viable means of reaching school or district goals.

4. Public information clearly describes how the year round school will meet identified goals and objectives of the school or district.

5. Cost analysis of year round education is based on a comparison of 10-month costs and year round costs for the same school.

6. Specific year round school design selection is consistent with identified goals and objectives for the school or district.

7. Year round school public information program enlists the aid of all news media for objective and accurate dissemination of information.

8. Community attitudes concerning the acceptability of a year round school program are determined prior to board decision for implementation.

9. Year round school task force or steering committee membership is initiated by the district Board of Education.

10. One specific all-year school design is presented to the staff and community for acceptance or rejection.

11. Mandatory pupil participation is utilized for maximum release of classroom space.

12. Selection of a year round design is based on the age-grade level of students as well as the type of students in attendance.

Recommended Procedures for Operation

Nineteen recommended procedures for the operation of a year round school program were established. They are:

1. Numerically balanced attendance groupings are established for maximum space economy.

2. Minimum and maximum student population projections are established for each facility.

3. Consistent teacher-pupil ratios are established for maximum space economy.

4. Minimum and maximum school capacity projections are established for each facility.

5. Student population surveys are completed for each year round facility.

6. Teacher-pupil ratios are maintained at a 25-1 ratio for elementary classrooms.

7. Organizational patterns for the employment of teachers minimizes the need for frequent changes in teacher and/or pupil room assignments.

8. Ethnically balanced attendance groupings are established for each year round facility.

9. Contract options, based on per diem compensation, are made available for teachers.

10. Preventive maintenance and major repair of facilities and vehicles is scheduled throughout the calendar year.

11. Meal planning and vacation schedules for cafeteria personnel are scheduled throughout the calendar year.

12. School attendance options are available for students when both year round and traditional schedules operate within one district.

13. Teacher-pupil ratios are maintained at a 24-1 ratio for kindergarten classrooms.

14. Funds are budgeted in a given fiscal year to purchase necessary items for an initial time period of the subsequent fiscal year.

15. Employment options are made available to teachers when both year round and traditional schedules operate within one district.

16. Nighttime and weekend work is scheduled for custodial and maintenance personnel.

17. A full-time assistant principal is employed when the facility enrollment exceeds 600 students.

18. Mandatory pupil participation is utilized to maintain consistent student per capita costs.

19. Funds are budgeted for increased furniture and equipment replacement for year round schools.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendations which follow were based on the research findings and conclusions drawn from those findings as presented in this study.

1. A similar study should be undertaken to establish guidelines for the implementation and operation of all-year school programs based on a plan other than a year round design.

2. A study should be undertaken to determine if procedures for the implementation and operation of a year round school program change over time.

3. School districts with operating year round school programs should seek to determine if the importance assigned to existing planning and management procedures is consistent for all administrative levels within the district.

4. School districts with operational programs should devise an on-going system for administrative input concerning procedures for planning and management of year round school programs and should give serious consideration to administrative recommendations in the decision-making process.

IMPLICATIONS

Guidelines for the implementation and operation of a year round school program were established by this study. These were set forth in two categories, 1) "essential procedures" for a) implementation and b) operation, and 2) recommended procedures.

Careful study of the guidelines established by this study is recommended for all districts seriously considering establishing a year round program. Any such district should give further attention to adaptations needed by the district to meet its specific needs.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Arizona State Department of Education - Extended School Year Division. Extended School Year 1972-1973. Phoenix: State Department of Education, 1973.
- Beckworth, Robert M., A Major Step Toward Efficient School Operation. Chicago: Illinois State Chamber of Commerce, 1972.
- Bennis, Warren G., Kenneth B. Benne, and Robert Chin, eds. The Planning of Change: Readings in the Applied Behavioral Sciences. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1969.
- Gillis, Reid. "The Twelve-Month School Year: Plans and Strategy," Education Summary (September, 1968), 24-26.
- Goodlad, John. "Year Round Use of Educational Facilities," School Review, 65 (December, 1957), 387.
- May, Frank D. "Year Round School: A Proposal," Elementary School Journal, 61 (April, 1961), 383-393.
- Nation's Schools. "Schoolmen Visualize Need for Extended School Year," Nation's Schools, 83 (March, 1969), 101.
- Roosevelt School District, Information for the Implementation of a Year Round School Program. Phoenix, Arizona: Board of Trustees, 1973.

RESEARCH REPORTS ON EDUCATIONAL ADMINISTRATION

Vol. II, No. 1 August, 1971	"Differentiated Teaching Personnel: A Model for the Secondary School," by Dr. Donald K. Sharples of the U. S. Office of Education in Washington, D. C.	Vol. IV, No. 2. October, 1973.	"Doctoral Research in Education - A Listing of Dissertations Accepted, June 1970 to June 1972 - (Compiled by Thomas H. Bates, Ed. George and Philip G. Grandpulos
Vol. II, No. 2 September, 1971	"A Facilities Program for Parent-Child Educational Centers," by Dr. Arthur Roger Bertoldi of the Ivy League School at Smithtown, New York	Vol. IV, No. 3 June, 1974	"Teacher Acceptance of Classroom Integration of Children with Learning Disabilities - A Summary of a Doctoral Dissertation by Elaine T. Lovitt Prepared by R. Merwin Deever, Michael Holmste and Phil Grandpulos
Vol. II, No. 3 October, 1971	"An Analysis of Dropouts at Central High School, Phoenix, Arizona, and Mesa High School, Mesa, Arizona," by Billy J. Fitzgerald of Mesa High School and Larry Kent Kelly of Central High School.	Vol. V, No. 1 December, 1974.	"A Comparison of Performance and Attitudes of Teachers Performing Independently in Self-Contained Classrooms and Teachers Performing Cooperatively in Open Instructional Teams." A Summary of a Doctoral Dissertation by Fred M. Mills, prepared by Merwin Deever, Phil Grandpulos, and James Jurs
Vol. II, No. 4 November, 1971	"Authority, Relationship, and Liability of School Districts with Respect to Volunteer Auxiliary Personnel," by Dr. Irvin Nikolai of Southwestern Cooperative Education Laboratory at Albuquerque, New Mexico.	Vol. V, No. 2 January, 1975.	"Provisions for Improving Educational Programs in Selected Integrated Contracts, A Summary of a Doctoral Dissertation by Fred Bieber Prepared by R. Merwin Deever, and James Jurs
Vol. II, No. 5 February, 1972	"A Study to Develop an Instrument to Measure the Adequacy of Present and Future School District Organization in the State of Arizona," by Dr. Ralph Gaita of Phoenix District No. 1	Vol. V, No. 3 February	"Alternate Plan For Financing Arizona's Public Schools, A Summary of a Doctoral Dissertation by William J. Morse. Prepared by R. Merwin Deever and Richard A. Sauerbrun II.
Vol. II, No. 6 April, 1972	"The Role and Functions of the Intermediate School District in Arizona," by Dr. Leonard Walter Polk of the Scottsdale Public Schools, Scottsdale, Arizona.	Vol. V, No. 4.	"The Ranking and Perception of Educational Goals, Within a High School District, A Summary of a Doctoral Dissertation by William L. Cole Prepared by Merwin Deever and George E. Berg
Vol. II, No. 7 June, 1972	"A Model for a State Educational Agency Facilities Planning Section," by Dr. Paul Gene Trautman of the Creighton School District of Phoenix, Arizona	Vol. V, No. 5.	"Job Satisfaction of Teachers in Selected Extended School Year Programs, A Summary of a Doctoral Dissertation by Joseph E. Tauson. Prepared by R. Merwin Deever and H. Allen Stockley
Vol. III, No. 1 September 1972	"Assessing Teacher Attitudes towards Staff Differentiation," by Dr. Fenwick Walter English of the Sarasota, Florida Public Schools.	Vol. V, No. 6	"Comparisons of State, County and School District Purchasing Costs for Supplies in Maricopa County, Arizona, A Summary of a Doctoral Dissertation by Joseph L. Matthews." Prepared by R. Merwin Deever and Denis Ching.
Vol. III, No. 2 October, 1972	"A Study of the Validity of Using Learning Expectancy Criteria as a Basis for Accountability," by Dr. Elamy Thomas Luty of the Paradise Valley High School District, Phoenix, Arizona.	Vol. VI, No. 1.	"Criteria Utilized in Selection of District Office Administrative Personnel." A Summary of a Doctoral Dissertation by James L. Passa. Prepared by R. Merwin Deever and James Jurs
Vol. III, No. 3 December, 1972	"Public School Pupil Transportation in Arizona," by Dr. Robert T. Bonnes of Buckeye, Arizona.	Vol. VI, No. 2	"The Status of Teacher Evaluation Practices in Arizona and a Proposed Model-- A Summary of a Doctoral Dissertation by Barbara Irene Davis. Prepared by R. Merwin Deever and George E. Berg.
Vol. III, No. 4 February, 1973	"A Study of Public School Liability Insurance in Arizona," by Dr. John Thomas McGrath, Superintendent of Superior Elementary and High School District, Superior, Arizona.	Vol. VI, No. 3	"Guidelines for the Implementation and Operation of a Year Round School Program--A Summary of a Doctoral Dissertation by Margaret Lorraine Hewes Smith." Prepared by R. Merwin Deever and G. Jim Gibson.
Vol. III, No. 5	"Criteria for Teacher Selection Based upon a Comparison of Pregraduation Performance and Teaching Success," by Dr. William Frank Greaves.		
Vol. III, No. 6 May, 1973	"Guidelines for Establishing or Reorganizing a Very Small, Individualized Secondary School," by Dr. Rowland R. King.		
Vol. IV, No. 1	"An Analysis of Selected Court Cases Which Define the Law in Regard to the Control of Student Behavior," by Dr. Robert R. Weaver, Jr., Director of Guidance at Pemberton Township, N. J.		