DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 119 558

HE 007 297

AUTHOR

Sedlacek, William E.

TITLE

NOTE

Should Higher Education Students Be Admitted Differentially By Race and Sex: The Evidence.

Research Report No. 5-75.

INSTITUTION PUB DATE

Maryland Univ., College Park. Cultural Study Center.s

[76]

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage

Academic Achievement; *Admission (School); Caucasian

Students; Grade Prediction; Grades (Scholastic); *Higher Education; Negro Students; Predictor

Variables: *Race: *Selection: *Sex (Characteristics); Socioeconomic Background: Student Attitudes: Student

Interests; Student Motivation; Test Results

ABSTRACT

The evidence supporting the selecting of students with and without regard to race and sex is discussed. It is concluded that students should be selected by race sex subgroup. The support for this position centers around three clusters of study results: (1) studies that show no relationship, or perhaps a negative relationship, between traditional predictors (high school grades and test scores) and college grades for blacks; (2) studies that show if traditional predictors are employed, optimum validity is achieved by separate equations or cutoff scores for each race-sex subgroup; and (3) studies that show certain background, interest, attitudinal and motivational variables are useful in predicting minority success, but are not necessarily useful in predicting the academic success of white students. (Author)

CULTURAL STUDY CENTER

DATA CENTER

UNIVERSELY OF MARYLAND

College Park, Maryland

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN. ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY



HE 027297

CULTURAL STUDY CENTER UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND

杨冠门。

SHOULD HIGHER EDUCATION STUDENTS BE ADMITTED DIFFERENTIALLY BY RACE AND SEX: THE EVIDENCE

William E. Sedlacek

Research Report # 5-75



CULTURAL STUDY CENTER UNI-VERSITY OF MARYLAND COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND

SHOULD HIGHER EDUCATION STUDENTS BE ADMITTED DIFFERENTIALLY BY RACE AND SEX: THE EVIDENCE

WILLIAM E. SEDLACEK

Research Report # 5-75

Summary

The evidence supporting the selecting of students with and without regard to race and sex is discussed. It is concluded that students should be selected by race-sex subgroup. The support for this position centers around three clusters of study results: (1) Studies which show no relationship, or perhaps a negative relationship, between traditional predictors (high school grades and test scores) and college grades for blacks; (2) Studies which show that if traditional predictors are employed, optimum validity is achieved by separate equations or cutoff scores for each race-sex subgroup; (3) Studies which show that certain background, interest, attitudinal and motivational variables are useful in predicting minority success, but are not necessarily useful in predicting the academic success of white students.



The purpose of this article will be to examine the advisability of selecting higher education students separately by race-sex subgroup. A conclusion will be reached based on the available research evidence, and a number of related issues will be discussed.

The Case For Selecting Students Without Regard to Race or Sex

A number of studies have shown that one can employ traditional selection devices such as standardized test scores (e.g., ACT, SAT), high school grades, and high school rank without regard to the race or sex of the students being selected (e.g., Baggaley, 1974; Humphreys, 1973; Schmidt, Berner and Hunter, 1973; Stanley, 1971; Temp, 1971; Thomas and Stanley, 1969).

Stanley (1971), in summarizing the research on predicting the success of "disadvantaged" students, has concluded that admission to selective colleges and universities should be based substantially on test scores and high school grades, regardles, of whether the applicant is from a minority racial, ethnic or sociological group. Stanley feels pessimistic about the possibility of remediation for disadvantaged students and states, "an admissions officer ignores test scores at his institution's peril," (1971, p.642).

Humphreys (1973) concludes that most studies that seemingly find differential validity for racial groups contain erroneous statistical logic. The faulty logic is of two types: (1) Correlations or regressions for different racial groups should be compared to each other and not tested as significantly different from 0; (2) because the minority group samples are often much smaller than those of the majority group, we demand a larger coefficient to achieve significance for the minority group. This makes it appear that we have significance for the majority students but not for the minority students.

Thus, a single prediction equation or cutoff score is most fair to all



concerned and will select the best students for a given school. It is particularly important that higher education select the best possible students during the current times of tight budgets, declining enrollments, and a skeptical public. Bad decisions now could severely damage or wreck higher education completely.

The Case For Selecting Students by Race and Sex

There appear to be a growing number of studies which indicate that we cannot use a single equation or selection system for all students (e.g., Baggaley, 1974; Borgen, 1972; Farver, Sedlacek and Brooks, 1975; Goldman, 1973; Horowitz, Sedlacek and Brooks, 1972; Perry, 1972; Pfeifer and Sedlacek, 1970, 1971, 1974; Sedlacek and Brooks, 1975; Temp, 1971). The support for this position centers around three clusters of results. First, there are studies which show no relationship, or perhaps a negative relationship, between traditional predictors Sedlacek and Brooks (1975) found that the SAT-Verbal scale and college grades. had correlated significantly with freshman grades (.56) for black females and was uncorrelated for black males (-.03) in a special program at the University of Maryland, while the SAT-Math scale correlated .16 for black females and -.33 for black males. Thus the SAT-Math scale actually had negative validity for black males in that sample. Baggaley (1974) found essentially the same results with blacks at the University of Pennsylvania. The SAT-Verbal correlated .19 with grades for black females and -.04 for black males, while the SAT-Math correlated .38 for black females and -.36 for black males.

The second cluster of studies supporting differential race-sex subgroup prediction involves studies which show that if traditional predictors are to be used, there must be separate equations or cutoffs for each subgroup to achieve optimum validity. Horowitz, et al. (1972), Perry (1972), Pfeifer and Sedlacek (1971), and Temp (1971) all clearly show this. Goldman (1973) presents evidence that even when a general regression equation *over-predicts* how well



minorities will do, it is still unfair to them. He argues that since we have less ability to accurately predict minority student grades (higher standard error of estimate), if we combine race-sex groups and develop a single regression equation we will achieve an equation favoring the more predictable majority applicants. Even if we obtain an over-estimate of minority student grades, it will not be offset by the use of a relatively inaccurate equation. Interestingly, white females tend to be the most predictable race-sex subgroup and any general equation would favor them. That we don't have a great many more white females in higher education is evidence that admissions officers have not been reluctant to balance classes with white males. Black males tend to be the least predictable race-sex subgroup and any general equation would discriminate most against them.

Studies by Farver et al. (1975) and Horowitz et al. (1972) further support the proposition of differential regression equations for race-sex subgroups. They found that if grades beyond the freshman year are predicted, different equations result. Not only are the regression equations different over the years, but blacks become relatively more predictable than whites after the freshman year. Thus, race-sex subgroup equations predicting beyond the freshman year appear particularly appropriate. Studies by Berdie and Prestwood (1975) and Kallingal (1971) further support this conclusion.

The third major cluster of studies supporting the consideration of race-sex subgroups in admissions deals with non-cognitive predictors of minority student success. A number of studies have shown that background, interest, attitudinal and motivational variables are related to minority student success, but are not necessarily useful in predicting the academic success of white students (e.g., DiCesare, Sedlacek and Brooks, 1974; Gurin et al., 1969; Horowitz et al., 1972; Lowman and Spuck, 1975; Perry, 1972; Pfeifer and Sedlacek, 1970, 1974; and Sedlacek and Brooks, 1975.)



Sedlacek and Brooks (1976), in reviewing the non-cognitive predictor studies for minorities concluded that there were seven key non-cognitive variables: (1) Positive self-concept. Confidence, strong "self" feeling, strength of character, determination, independence. (2) Understands and Realist based on personal experiences of racism. Committed deals with racism. to fighting to improve existing system. Not submissive to existing wrongs, nor hateful of society, or a "cop-out." Able to handle racist system. Asserts that the school has a role in fighting racism. (3) Realistic self-appraisal. Recognizes and accepts any academic or background deficiencies and works hard at self-development. (4) Prefers long range goals to short-term or immediate needs. Understands and is willing to accept deferred gratification. (5) Availability of strong support person. Has a person of strong influence available to provide advice. (6) Successful leadership experience. Has shown the ability to organize and influence others within one's cultural/racial contexts. (7) Demonstrated community service. Has shown evidence of contribution to his or her community.

Conclusions

It appears to this writer that the weight of the evidence favors a strong consideration of race-sex subgroups in admissions procedures. While the evidence is not always exact in terms of how to weight the variables, particularly the non-cognitive predictors, there is much support for the aforementioned conclusion. Because of our inability to weight the predictors, it is all the more important that local research be conducted at each school. The studies noted above can serve as guidelines, but the specifics should be developed by the admitting institution.

There are a great many issues relating to minority admissions which will not be discussed here. Interested readers are referred to Sedlacek (1974, a,b,)



and Hixson and Epps (1975), for further information. There is one issue, however, which is especially important when attempting to summarize and evaluate the research in this area. We must remember that the very nature of our information gathering and research methods and our tendency to be conservative in interpreting results work against the minority applicant. Our application forms, interviews, letters of recommendation, tests, and the education system itself were designed for majority people. By having relatively few applicants providing scanty information from atypical backgrounds, it is easy to fall back upon the old standards in admission research, and explain results in terms of "flukes" or methodological problems. It is a time when $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. we must drop a notch or two in our model of inductive science and be willing to piece together some more fragile and misunderstood bits of information. If we do not, we could be risking the future of entire races of people. Recent evidence indicates that the numbers and percentages of minorities in higher education are dropping (Sedlacek and Clarke, 1975). Whether this trend continues will depend largely upon the actions of admissions officers and any conclusions we can reach from our research.



References

- Baggaley, A.R. Academic prediction at an Ivy League college, moderated by demographic variables. *Measurement and Evaluation in Guidance*, 1974. 6, (4), 232-235.
- Berdie, R.F. and Prestwood, J.S. Prediction of freshman and graduation grade point averages. *College and University*, 1975, 50, (1), 124-128.
- Borgen, F.H. Differential expectations? Predicting grades for black students in five types of colleges. *Measurement and Evaluation in Guidance*, 1972, 4, (4), 206-212.
- DiCesare, A., Sedlacek, W.E. & Brooks, G.C., Jr. Nonintellectual correlates of black student attrition. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1972, 13, (4), 319-324.
- Farver, A.S., Sedlacek, W.E., & Brooks, G.C., Jr. Longitudinal predictions of university grades for blacks and whites. *Measurement and Evaluation in Guidance*, 1975, 7, (4), 243-250.
- Goldman, R.D. Hidden opportunities in the prediction of college grades for different subgroups. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1973, 10, (3), 205-210.
- Gurin, P., Gurin, G., Lao, R., & Beattie, M. Internal-external control in the motivational dynamics of Negro youth. *Journal of Social Issues*, 1969, 3, (1), 29-53.
- Hixson, J. and Epps, E.G. The failure of selection and the problem of prediction: Racism vs. measurement in higher education. *Journal of Afro-American Issues*, 1975, 3, (1), 117-128.
- Horowitz, J.L., Sedlacek, W.E., & Brooks, G.C., Jr. Correlates of black and white university student grades beyond the freshman year. *Cultural Study Center Research Report # 7-72*. College Park, Maryland: University of Maryland, 1972.
- Humphreys, L.G. Statistical definition of test validity for minority groups. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1973, 58, (1), 1-4.
- Kallingal, A. The prediction of grades for black and white students at Michigan State University. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1971, 8, (4), 264-265.
- Lowman, R.P. and Spuck, D.W. Predictors of college success for the disadvantaged Mexican-American. *Journal of College Student Personnel*, 1975, 16, (1), 40-48.
- Perry, F., Jr. Selected variables related to academic success of black freshmen students at the University of Missouri Columbia. Unpublished dissertation, University of Missouri, Columbia, Mo., 1972.



References (continued)

- Pfeifer, C.M., Jr., & Sedlacek, W.E. Non-intellectual correlates of black and white student grades at the University of Maryland. *Cultural Study Center Research Report # 3-70*. College Park, Maryland: University of Maryland, 1970.
- Pfeifer, C.M., Jr., & Sedlacek, W.E. The validity of academic predictors for black and white students at a predominantly white university. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1971, 8, (4), 253-261.
- Pfeifer, C.M., Jr., & Sedlacek, W.E. Predicting black student grades with non-intellectual measures. *Journal of Negro Education*, 1974, 43, (1), 67-76.
- Schmidt, F.L., Berner, J.G., & Hunter, J.E. Racial differences in validity of employment tests: Reality or illusion? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 1973, 58, (1), 5-9.
- Sedlacek, W.E. Issues in predicting black student success in higher education.

 Journal of Negro Education, 1974a, 43, (4), 512-516.
- Sedlacek, W.E. Racism in society: A behavioral model for change. Behavioral Sciences Tape Library, # 82220. Leonia, New Jersey, 1974b.
- Sedlacek, W.E., & Brooks, G.C., Jr. Predictors of academic success for university students in special programs. *Journal of Nonwhite Concerns in Personnel and Guidance*, 1975 (in press).
- Sedlacek, W.E. & Brooks, G.C., Jr. Racism in American education: A model for change. Chicago: Nelson Hall, 1976 (in press).
- Sedlacek, W.E., & Clarke, J.P. Minority admissions to large universities:
 A six-year national survey. *Cultural Study Center Research Report # 3-75*,
 College Park, Maryland: University of Maryland, 1975.
- Stanley, J.C. Predicting college success of the educationally disadvantaged. *Science*, 1971, 171 (2), 640-647.
- Temp, G. Validity of the SAT for blacks and whites in thirteen integrated institutions. Journal of Educational Measurement, 1971, 8, (4), 245-251.
- Thomas, C.L., & Stanley, J. Effectiveness of high school grades for predicting college grades of black students: A review and discussion. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 1969, 6, (3), 203-215.

