

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 118 944

CE 006 513

TITLE A Comparative Study of the Occupational Achievement of Vocational and Non-Vocational High School Graduates in Texas: Final Report.

INSTITUTION Texas Univ., Austin. Center for International Education.

SPONS AGENCY Texas Education Agency, Austin. Dept. of Occupational Education and Technology.

PUB DATE 30 Jun 73

NOTE 183p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.83 HC-\$10.03 Plus Postage

DESCRIPTORS *Achievement; Comparative Analysis; Employment Level; Employment Opportunities; Followup Studies; Graduate Surveys; *High School Graduates; Income; Job Tenure; Occupational Surveys; Participant Characteristics; Questionnaires; *Relevance (Education); Research Methodology; Socioeconomic Background; Tables (Data); *Vocational Education; *Vocational Followup

IDENTIFIERS Texas

ABSTRACT

The final report describes a Texas study to determine if differences in occupational achievement existed between those high school graduates who had been enrolled in vocational education programs and those who had not. A questionnaire was sent to 3,045 individuals who graduated from high school in 1970 in Austin, San Antonio, and Houston, to determine their occupational achievement. Statistics were based upon the 894 questionnaires returned, with six variables of income and length of employment used: accumulated income, monthly earnings, hourly rate, weekly working hours, employed months, and actual job length. Analysis of variance and multiple regression analysis were the statistical techniques used. A summary is provided and chapters include: (1) study background information; (2) descriptions of research methods and techniques; (3) discussion of test factor associations; (4) presentation of preliminary analysis findings; (5) presentation of further testing; (6) discussion of conclusions and policy implications. Thirty-one tables supplement the text. Appended material includes additional tables, the questionnaire and accompanying letters, and extensive coded raw data on the 894 participants. It is stated that vocational education has proved effective as pre-employment training for those graduates who made a direct transition from school to work. (LH)

* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

ED118944

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF VOCATIONAL AND NON-VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS

John A. Laska
Project Director

Jaw-Woei Chiou
Senior Researcher

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPY
OF UNCLASSIFIED MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

John A. Laska

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

Department of Cultural Foundations of Education
Center for International Education
University of Texas at Austin

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT
OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

CE006518



A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT
OF VOCATIONAL AND NON-VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL
GRADUATES IN TEXAS

Final Report

June 30, 1973

John A. Laska
Project Director

Jaw-Woei Chiou
Senior Researcher

Department of Cultural Foundations of Education
Center for International Education
University of Texas at Austin

This Study was Prepared under a Contract with
the Department of Occupational Education and
Technology of the Texas Education Agency

Copyright

1973

Summary

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF VOCATIONAL AND NON-VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS

The main problem that this study attempted to investigate was to determine whether there were real differences in occupational achievement between the high school graduates who had been enrolled in vocational education programs and the graduates who had not been enrolled in these programs.

A questionnaire was developed for a survey of occupational achievement of the young workers who graduated from high school in May, 1970, in the three Texas cities of Austin, San Antonio, and Houston. A total of 3,045 graduates were selected from 17 high schools in the three cities cited for the mailing of questionnaires. The results of this study were based on 894 completed questionnaires (a 29 percent response rate).

Six variables of income and length of employment were used as the indicators of the occupational achievement of high school graduates: accumulated income, monthly earning, hourly rate, weekly working hours, employed months, and actual job length.

The statistical techniques of analysis of variance and multiple regression analysis were used to analyze the

data secured from the completed questionnaires. Analysis of variance was utilized to test the significance of the differences in occupational achievement between vocational and non-vocational graduates when some school-related and non-school-related variables are taken into account. For a complementary role, multiple regression analysis provided relevant information for the proper procedures of controlling school-related and non-school-related variables.

The two major findings of this study may be stated as follows:

1. Of the 894 respondents included in the analysis, 244 completed two years or more of college during a 27-month period after graduation from high school, while 157 attended college for some time ranging from 4 to 18 months during the same period of time. For these 401 working college students, with one exception secondary vocational education was not an important variable in accounting for their occupational achievement after high school when community, sex, and college attendance were controlled. This also was true for female non-college graduates in the Houston area.

2. There were, however, significant differences in accumulated income and actual job length between non-college vocational and non-vocational graduates of both sexes in the Austin-San Antonio area. Also, there were

significant differences in accumulated income, monthly earning, and hourly rate between male non-college vocational and non-vocational graduates in the Houston area. When the marital status, ethnicity, social origin and academic achievement of these students were controlled, the significant differences in occupational achievement were basically unchanged.

In answering the attacks directed against secondary vocational education, this study provides positive evidence for the effectiveness of vocational education as pre-employment training for those vocational graduates who made the transition from school to work without attending college. The allegations which dismiss secondary vocational education as having no significance for employment or as being a waste of public resources must supply more conclusive evidence for their assertions. Based on the findings of this study, the continuing support of secondary vocational education can be justified for those who wish to enter the world of work rather than attend college after graduation from high school.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was accomplished under a contract with the Department of Occupational Education and Technology of the Texas Education Agency. It involved the collection of data from 17 high schools in 5 Texas independent school districts--Austin I.S.D., North East I.S.D., San Antonio I.S.D., Aldine I.S.D., and Houston I.S.D. The information for this study was obtained from the high school graduates who had completed high school in these school districts in May, 1970. Deep appreciation must be expressed to the many persons in the Texas Education Agency, the school districts cited, and the project schools whose generous assistance made this study possible. A debt of gratitude is also owed to the high school graduates who participated in the questionnaire survey which provided the essential information for this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SUMMARY	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vi
CHAPTER I Problem, Purpose, and Organization of the Study	1
CHAPTER II Methodology: Procedures and Tech- niques	21
CHAPTER III Test Factors and Their Associations with Secondary Vocational Education and Occupational Achievement	38
CHAPTER IV Preliminary Analysis of Effects of Secondary Vocational Education	56
CHAPTER V Further Examination of Effects of Secondary Vocational Education	88
CHAPTER VI Conclusions and Policy Implications	125
APPENDIX A.1 Total Expenditures for Vocational and Technical Education from Federal and Matching Funds	135
APPENDIX A.2 Correlation Coefficients Among Six Indices of Occupational Achieve- ment	136
APPENDIX A.3 Loadings on Principal Component Factors of Six Indices of Occupa- tional Achievement	137
APPENDIX A.4 Orthogonal Varimax Rotated Factor Loadings of Six Indices	138
APPENDIX B.1 Questionnaire	139
APPENDIX B.2 Letter Used in First Mailing	146
APPENDIX B.3 Letter Used in Second Mailing	148
APPENDIX C Coded Raw Data	150
BIBLIOGRAPHY	167

LISTS OF TABLES

Tables	Page
2.1 Distributions of Responses of 3045 High School Graduates in Texas to Two Mailings of Questionnaires	27
2.2 Distributions of Responses, Non-Contacts and Non-Responses of 3045 Graduates in 17 Public High Schools in 5 Independent School Districts for the Questionnaire Survey	29
2.3 Distributions of Returned Questionnaires According to School District and Usefulness . .	31
2.4 Distributions of Vocational and Non-Vocational High School Graduates of Both Sexes According to Academic Achievement, Marital Status, Ethnicity, Father's Occupation, Father's Education, or College Attendance	33
3.1 The Results of 10 Test Factors in Linear Multiple Regression Model to Account for Secondary Vocational Education of 894 High School Graduates in Texas	44
3.2 The Results of 10 Test Factors in Linear Multiple Regression Model to Account for Accumulated Income and Monthly Earning of 894 High School Graduates in Texas	46
3.3 The Results of 10 Test Factors in Linear Multiple Regression Model to Account for Hourly Rate and Weekly Working Hours of 894 High School Graduates in Texas	48
3.4 The Results of 10 Test Factors in Linear Multiple Regression Model to Account for Employed Months and Actual Job Length of 894 High School Graduates in Texas	50

Tables

Page

3.5	The Summary of the Results of Secondary Education, and Six Indices of Occupational Achievement to be Accounted for by 10 Test Factors in Linear Multiple Regression Models for 894 High School Graduates in Texas	52
4.1	Effects of Community, Sex Differences, and College Attendance on Accumulated Income of 894 High School Graduates in Texas	60
4.2	Effects of Community, Sex Differences, and College Attendance on Monthly Earning of 894 High School Graduates in Texas	61
4.3	Effects of Community, Sex Differences, and College Attendance on Hourly Rate of 894 High School Graduates in Texas	62
4.4	Effects of Community, Sex Differences, and College Attendance on Weekly Working Hours of 894 High School Graduates in Texas	63
4.5	Effects of Community, Sex Differences, and College Attendance on Employed Months of 894 High School Graduates in Texas	64
4.6	Effects of Community, Sex Differences, and College Attendance on Actual Job Length of 894 High School Graduates in Texas	65
4.7	Six Indices of Occupational Achievement of 894 High School Graduates in Texas according to Community, Sex, and College Attendance	66
4.8	The 1969 Annual Income of Persons 18-24 Years Old who Completed 4 Years of High School and 1-3 Years of College in Austin, San Antonio and Houston Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas	69
4.9	Effects of Vocational Education on Six Indices of Occupational Achievement of 894 High School Graduates in Texas when Community, Sex Differences and College Attendance are Controlled	77

Tables	Page
4.10 Six Indices of Occupational Achievement of 435 High School Graduates from Austin-San Antonio Area According to Sex Differences, College Attendance, and Vocational Education	78
4.11 Six Indices of Occupational Achievement of 459 High School Graduates from Houston Area According to Sex Differences, College Attendance, and Vocational Education	80
5.1 Effects of Sex Differences, Vocational Education, and Marital Status of Occupational Achievement for Non-College High School Graduates in Texas	92
5.2 Six Indices of Occupational Achievement of Non-College High School Graduates in Texas According to Community, Sex Differences, Vocational Education and Marital Status	93
5.3 Individual Income in 1969 of Texans 18-24 Years Old According to Sex, Ethnicity and Educational Attainment	98
5.4 Effects of Sex, Vocational Education, Ethnicity, on Six Indices of Occupational Achievement for Non-College High School Graduates in Texas . . .	100
5.5 Six Indices of Non-College High School Graduates in Texas According to Community, Sex Differences, Vocational Education, and Ethnicity	101
5.6 Effects of Sex, Vocational Education, Father's Occupation on Six Indices of Occupational Achievement for Non-College High School Graduates in Texas	106
5.7 Six Indices of Occupational Achievement of Non-College High School Graduates in Texas According to Community, Sex Differences, Vocational Education, and Father's Occupation	107
5.8 Effects of Sex Differences, Vocational Education, and Father's Education on Six Indices of Occupational Achievement for Non-College High School Graduates in Texas	112

Tables	Page
5.9 Six Indices of Occupational Achievement of Non-College High School Graduates in Texas According to Community, Sex Differences, Vocational Education, and Father's Education	113
5.10 Effects of Sex Differences, Vocational Education, and Academic Achievement on Six Indices of Occupational Achievement for Non-College High School Graduates in Texas	118
5.11 Six Indices of Occupational Achievement of Non-College High School Graduates in Texas According to Community, Sex Differences, Vocational Education and Academic Achievement	119

CHAPTER I

PROBLEM, PURPOSE, AND ORGANIZATION OF THE STUDY

Issue of Accountability of Vocational Education

Vocational education has been promoted in American colleges and schools for a long period of time. Since the passing of the Morrill Act of 1862, vocational education at the college level has received federal encouragement and appropriations.¹ Before the turn of this century, vocational education had been introduced into American high schools. However, the Smith-Hughes Act of 1917 was the first legislation which provided federal aid to vocational education in high schools.² In the past decade, federal appropriations for vocational and technical education have experienced unprecedented growth. The Vocational Education Act of 1963 authorized appropriations of \$60 million for the 1964 fiscal year, \$118.5 million for the 1965 fiscal year, and \$225 million for each subsequent fiscal year.³ The Vocational Education Amendments of 1968 authorized appropriations of \$335 million for the 1969 fiscal year, \$565 million for the 1970 fiscal year, \$675 million for the 1971 and 1972 fiscal years, respectively, and \$565 million for the 1973 fiscal year.⁴ Under the Acts of

1963 and 1968, federal expenditures for vocational-technical education were \$225.9 million, \$230.4 million, and \$227.5 million in the respective fiscal years of 1967, 1968, and 1969. It is apparent that the actual expenditures somewhat exceeded the authorized appropriation of \$225 million in each of 1967 and 1968 fiscal years while the 1969 expenditures were far short of reaching the maximal authorized appropriation of \$335 million. In addition to the appropriations provided by the Vocational Education Act of 1963 and its Amendments of 1968, some federal funds were also available to contribute to recent federal support of vocational education under the Smith-Hughes Act and the George-Barden Act. Therefore, federal annual expenditures for vocational-technical education were slightly higher than those provided under the Acts of 1963 and 1968. In terms of actual figures, the grand totals of federal expenditures for vocational-technical education were \$260.3 million, \$262.4 million, and \$254.7 million for the respective fiscal years of 1967, 1968, and 1969 (see Appendix A.1).

However, the state and local funds which matched federal appropriations for vocational-technical education were far greater than the federal expenditures cited. State and local matching funds for vocational-technical education were \$743.8 million, \$930.5 million, and \$1,114.1 million for the respective fiscal years of 1967, 1968, and 1969.

In the State of Texas, a total of federal funds of \$46.9 million was spent for vocational-technical education for the three fiscal years of 1967, 1968, and 1969 combined. The matching funds from state and local sources amounted to a total of \$131.0 million for the same three years (also see Appendix A.1). For the three subsequent fiscal years of 1970, 1971, and 1972 combined, the public expenditures for vocational-technical education consisted of a total of \$66.6 million from federal sources and a total of \$251.0 million from state and local sources.⁵

Since huge portions of educational funds have been and will continue to be invested in vocational education, concerned and interested taxpayers, legislators, and political leaders have a legitimate right to inquire about the efficacy of those large expenditures in preparing students for gainful employment in the labor market.

Industry and business have been widely noted for their emphasis on accountability. Even in many areas of public service, this very concept of being accountable is pursued. However, education is traditionally blamed for its lack of accountability. During recent years, public education has received increasingly strong pressures for accountability from legislators, political leaders, as well as the general public. Vocational education is a logical target. Establishing new programs, upgrading existing programs, and improving teacher training in vocational education are con-

sidered as resource input. Unless the output of vocational education is evaluated, there is no answer to the public demand for accountability in preparing youth for actual employment.

One of the best ways for evaluating the output of vocational education is to conduct follow-up studies of the students who have graduated from various programs of vocational training. Empirical research findings from these studies could produce feedback for vocational education programs in their continuing process of improvement and re-direction.

Review of the Related Literature

There have been numerous follow-up studies of post-graduate employment and related experience of high school graduates. Many of these studies compare vocational education graduates with non-vocational graduates in various dimensions of occupational achievement and employment experiences.

Dealing with the income of high school graduates, several studies consistently reveal that starting pay received by vocational education graduates was not significantly different from that received by non-vocational graduates. On the other hand, the failure to earn higher pay was compensated for by the fact that vocational education graduates had a longer time of employment and a higher degree of

job satisfaction than did the graduates who had not been enrolled in vocational education.⁶

For a more complete understanding of the relationships of education to the income of working youth, other relevant variables must be considered. Little reports that a study conducted by Olien and Donahue indicates that girls from the city attained professional goals proportionately more often than girls from rural areas.⁷ Lane's study of six metropolitan centers points out a significant city effect on the relationship of education and social origin to occupational prestige.⁸ These studies suggest that community differences must be a relevant variable in a follow-up study of occupational achievement of high school graduates.

On the basis of a state-wide follow-up study in Wisconsin, Little reports that the prestige level of occupations attained have little correlation with the level of scholastic achievement in high school. College education improves the chances of increasing the level of occupation attained.⁹ Perrucci and Perrucci also report that college grades are directly related to career mobility. These findings seem to lead to the theory that high school academic records are not related to occupational mobility as college grades are.¹⁰ Nevertheless, Little reports that the San Mateo, California follow-up study points out the fact that 50 percent of high school graduates were asked by employers about academic grades.¹¹ Therefore, whether high school

grades are a significant factor in occupational achievement should be a relevant question in a study of initial employment of high school graduates.

The studies of Kaufman and Lewis, and Kaufman et al. show that variations in social origin are found between vocational education students and their non-vocational counterparts. In comparison with non-vocational students, those enrolled in a vocational curriculum were less represented by families with fathers in white-collar occupations and by families with fathers finishing high school and having a college education.¹² However, the cited studies do not conceptualize social origin as a variable which is directly related to the occupational achievement of high school graduates. On the other hand, Ecklan's study of occupational mobility indicates that without a college degree, occupational achievement was significantly affected by social origin.¹³ Straus and Homberg report that among high school juniors and seniors in part-time employment, middle class boys were more likely to be employed for longer hours and to earn more.¹⁴ It is another relevant question to inquire whether social origin influences initial employment of high school graduates.

Lieberson and Fuguitt report that the absence of racial discrimination in the job market would not eliminate racial differences immediately. They predict that several generations would be necessary before the disadvantages of Negroes in occupations were eliminated.¹⁵ In his study of

the relationship between school integration and occupational achievement of Negroes, Crain points out effects of racial composition of school on occupational success of Negro graduates.¹⁶ Kaufman et al. indicate that despite variations among vocational programs, Negro graduates both started at lower average wages and received smaller increments than did white graduates.¹⁷ According to these findings, ethnicity should be a relevant variable in a follow-up study of vocational education graduates.

In summary, a review of existing research indicates that earnings, length of employment, job satisfaction, occupational status, and occupational mobility were commonly used as the indices of occupational achievement. Some other variables were found relevant in accounting for these indices of occupational achievement. They were sex, ethnicity, academic achievement, social origin, college attendance, community differences, and vocational education. The findings obtained from existing research were extremely useful in developing the conceptions and deciding the scope of this study.

Six Indices of Occupational Achievement

Occupational achievement is a term which has been used loosely without being precisely defined. Anything which is used as a relevant criterion for evaluating the job-related attainment and satisfaction can be considered as an index of occupational achievement. In this study, income

and length of employment are used as the criteria for the occupational achievement of high school graduates. However, by no means are they considered to be the only relevant criteria of occupational achievement.

More explicitly, the measures of occupational achievement used in this study include certain aspects of income and length of employment of high school graduates of the 1970 class in Texas cities during the period of 27 months after graduation, dating from June 1, 1970 until August 31, 1972. The information on occupational achievement was obtained from Item 11 in 894 completed questionnaires. From this information, six kinds of data were derived as the indices of occupational achievement of high school graduates in this study. Accumulated income, monthly earning and hourly rate were derived to measure the income aspects of occupational achievement, whereas weekly working hours, employed months and actual job length were derived to deal with the length of employment. These six indices were computed for each of 894 respondents. Since previous research included only some of the six indices cited in a single study, the inclusion of all six indices makes the findings of this study more comparable with the findings of other studies.

The accumulated income is defined as the total income earned by a high school graduate for all of the jobs he or she obtained during a period of 27 months after gradu-

ation (the end of May, 1970), as computed by Formula 1.1 below. Monthly earning is the average pay per month earned by a graduate during the time when he or she was employed, as computed by Formula 1.2. Finally, the hourly rate is the average pay per hour earned by a graduate during the time he or she was employed, as computed by Formula 1.3. Since the number of working hours per week is not necessarily uniform during different periods of employment for an individual graduate, there is a distinction between the monthly earning and the hourly rate. The formulas for the income-related variables are

Accumulated income =

$$\sum X_i M_i \text{ or } \sum 4.2857 Y_i M_i \quad (1.1)$$

Monthly earning =

$$\sum X_i M_i / M_i \text{ or } \sum 4.2857 Y_i M_i / M_i \quad (1.2)$$

Hourly rate =

$$\sum X_i M_i / 4.2857 H_i M_i \text{ or } \sum Y_i M_i / H_i M_i \quad (1.3)$$

with X_i = monthly pay rate for any one of the jobs taken, Y_i = weekly rate for one of the jobs, M_i = months staying in any one of the jobs, H_i = the working hours per week for any one of the jobs. The number 4.2857 stands for the number of weeks for each month; this number was obtained by dividing 30 days by 7 days.

Weekly working hours is defined as the average of different working hours per week in the jobs taken by a

graduate during a post-high school period of 27 months, as computed by Formula 1.4 below. Employed months is the number of months in which a graduate was employed without taking into account the working hours per week, as computed by Formula 1.5. If one worked ten hours per week for a month, one's employed months is counted as a month just as in the case of one who worked forty or more hours per week for a month. There is no distinction to be made between the two cases. However, the actual job length (total man-months worked) was conceived to distinguish different amounts of work-loads in the same period of employed time. It is defined as the number of months to be counted on a basis of forty working hours per week, as computed by Formula 1.6. If one worked for twenty hours per week for a duration of two months, his actual job length is counted as one month instead of two months. The cited formulas are

Weekly working hours =

$$\Sigma 4.2857H_iM_i / 4.2857M_i = \Sigma H_iM_i / M_i \quad (1.4)$$

$$\text{Employed months} = \Sigma M_i \quad (1.5)$$

Actual job length =

$$\Sigma 4.2857H_iM_i / 4.2857 \times 40 = \Sigma H_iM_i / 40 \quad (1.6)$$

Of the six indices of occupational achievement of high school graduates used in this study, the accumulated income is a composite variable which is related to all five of the other indices. There are two reasons to support this

assertion. The first reason is that the correlations of accumulated income with the five other indices are all positive and higher than any other correlations of one index with the other indices. Although its correlation coefficient with weekly working hours is .28, the accumulated income has correlation coefficients ranging from .61 to .81 with four other indices (see Appendix A.2). The other reason is that accumulated income is associated by a correlation coefficient of .97 with the first principal component extracted from the intercorrelation matrix of six indices cited (see Appendix A.3). The first principal component "contributes a maximum to the total variance."²⁰ In accounting for six indices of the occupational achievement of high school graduates, the first principal component accounts for 55.33 percent of the total variance. Since the correlation coefficient is .97 between the accumulated income and the first principal component, the accumulated income is almost identical to the first principal component which represents the highest possible portion of information common to all six indices.

However, the principal component of accumulated income is not adequate enough in representing the occupational achievement of high school graduates as conceived in this study, because an exclusive use of accumulated income will leave unaccounted for almost half of the total variance of six indices of occupational achievement. An orthogonal

varimax rotation factor analysis produces three independent factors. They are labeled as the number of months of employment, the pay rate per hour, and the number of hours worked per week because the indices of employed months, hourly rate, and weekly working hours were nearly perfect measures of the three factors. Besides, the accumulated income is much related to both factors of "number of months of employment" and "pay rate per hour"; the monthly earning is much related to both factors of "pay rate per hour" and "number of hours worked per week"; and, the actual job length is extremely highly related to the factor of "number of months of employment" while it has a slight relationship with the factor of "number of hours worked per week" (see Appendix A.4).

Statement of the Problem

This study is designed as a follow-up survey of the occupational achievement of high school graduates during the period of transition from school to work. The main problem here is to find out whether there are real differences in occupational achievement between the high school graduates enrolled in vocational education programs and the graduates not enrolled in these programs. Vocational education programs cannot be effective enough unless the persons who received training from these programs have better showings in occupational achievement than the persons who did not have such training. The principal interest in this study is to

compare the occupational achievement of vocational and non-vocational high school graduates cited, and thus to inquire whether vocational education is a significant independent variable in accounting for the variations in occupational achievement of these graduates.

However, even if the differences in occupational achievement between vocational and non-vocational high school graduates are statistically significant, there are risks of getting a spurious relationship between vocational education and occupational achievement. Unless some relevant school-related and non-school-related variables are introduced as test factors in the analyses for this study, no confidence can be given to the differential occupational achievement, if any, for vocational and non-vocational high school graduates. More explicitly, the possibility is not ruled out that the links between vocational education and occupational achievement are not genuine relationships but that the links between them are caused by test factors which have associations to both vocational education and occupational achievement of high school graduates. If this is the case, the links between vocational education and occupational achievement will disappear when these test factors are controlled. The test factors that have common associations with two other variables and also establish a spurious relationship between these two variables are termed extraneous variables.¹⁸

Therefore, some relevant school related and non-school-related variables, which are identified by existing research and the preliminary questionnaire survey, should be included as test factors in analyses so that the possible differences in occupational achievement between vocational and non-vocational high school graduates can be judged as either genuine or spurious in this study. In order to represent clearly and explicitly the problem involved, an hypothesis will be helpful to develop the necessary conceptions for this study. The hypothesis is formulated as follows:

High school graduates who enrolled in secondary vocational education programs have, on the average, higher accumulated income, higher monthly earning, higher hourly rate, more working hours per week, more employed months, and longer actual job length than do the graduates who never took secondary vocational training, if differences due to some non-school-related and school-related variables (such as sex, community, ethnicity, social origin, marital status, college attendance, and academic achievement) are controlled.

Scope of the Study

Although existing research provides some school-related and non-school-related variables which may be relevant in accounting for the occupational achievement of high school

graduates, no comprehensive investigation was conducted by taking all of these relevant variables into account. This study is an attempt to establish the relationships between secondary vocational education and the occupational achievement of high school graduates by considering other relevant variables as test factors to be controlled. The methodology used in this study is more sophisticated than that used in most of the previous studies.

However, the test factors taken into account in this study were not all-inclusive. Many school-related and non-school-related variables which also may be relevant to an investigation of the occupational achievement of high school graduates, are beyond the scope of this study. For example, quality of teaching personnel, teaching facilities for vocational programs, work motivation and other personality traits of students, and labor market situations are not taken into account in this study. Therefore, the scope of this study is quite limited by excluding many possibly relevant variables from the investigation.

Purpose of the Study

This study is an attempt to provide information that can be used to evaluate the relationship between high school vocational education and the occupational achievement attained by its graduates during the transition from school to work. It is expected to produce evidence which shows whether vocational education is effective and productive in

preparing young people for employment after graduation. More specifically, this study aims at obtaining findings which may be utilized to contribute to education and employment in three different ways, as follows:

In the first place, evaluation of vocational education, judged in terms of the graduates' occupational achievements, will produce relevant feedback for educational planners, vocational teachers, and interested educators. This feedback will indicate both the strengths and weaknesses will serve as a reliable basis for constructive criticism and improvement.

Secondly, with more knowledge of both the merits and defects of past performance in vocational education programs, educational planners and vocational educators can more accurately inform the federal government, the state legislature and the general public so that both understanding and cooperation can be sought in handling problems of vocational education more realistically and more effectively.

Finally, since this study specifically deals with occupational achievement and employment experiences of high school graduates in their first two years after graduation, relevant information about these adolescent workers will be useful for educational institutions, public and private employment agencies, interested employers, and other enthusiastic individuals and organizations who are willing to help these youngsters smoothly transfer from school to work.

Since adolescent workers are not mature, intellectually and emotionally, it is a moral obligation for the society as a whole to give all assistance available to these inexperienced new workers.

Organization of the Remaining Chapters

This study consists of three major steps in handling the problem of the relationship of secondary vocational education to occupational achievement of high school graduates in Texas cities. At the first step, sex, community, and college attendance are chosen as the first three test factors to be controlled, because of their significant associations with occupational achievement and for secondary vocational education of these high school graduates. Then, the preliminary analysis of the effects of secondary vocational education are made with the three test factors simultaneously controlled. At the final step, additional test factors such as marital status, ethnicity, father's occupation, father's education, and academic achievement are included as controlled variables in turn for further testing the effects of secondary vocational education.

Before the results from the above analyses are presented, the descriptions of research methods and techniques in this study will be presented in Chapter II. The associations of test factors to secondary vocational education and occupational achievement of high school graduates are reported in Chapter III. The findings from the preliminary

analysis of the effects of secondary vocational education are discussed in Chapter IV. Then, the results of further testing of these effects are included in Chapter V. Finally, the conclusions of this study and the policy implications deduced from them are elaborated on in Chapter VI.

NOTES

¹John F. Thompson, Foundations of Vocational Education (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1973), pp. 69-71.

²Ibid., p. 74.

³U.S. Congress, House, An Act to Strengthen and Improve the Quality of Vocational Education and to Expand the Vocational Education Opportunities in the Nation, to Extend for Three Years the National Defense Education Act of 1958 and Public Law 815 and 874, Eighty-first Congress (Federally Affected Areas), and for Other Purposes, Public Law 88-210, 88th Congress, Dec. 18, 1963, H.R. 4955, pp. 1-3.

⁴U.S. Congress, House, An Act to Amend the Vocational Education Act of 1963, and for Other Purposes, Public Law 90-576, 90th Congress, October 16, 1968, H.R. 18366, pp. 1-2, 9.

⁵The information was secured from the administrative office of the Department of Occupational Education and Technology, Texas Education Agency, and is based on the duplicate copies of OE Forms 3131 entitled Expenditures for Vocational Education by Source, Purpose and Level for the fiscal years of 1970, 1971, and 1972, which were submitted to the Office of Education, the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare.

⁶J. Kenneth Little, Review and Synthesis of Research on the Placement and Follow-up of Vocational Education Students (Columbus, Ohio: Center for Vocational and Technical Education, 1970), pp. 23-6.

⁷Ibid., p. 6.

⁸Angela Lane, "Occupational Mobility in Six Cities," American Sociological Review 33 (October 1968): 740-47.

⁹Little, "The Occupations of Non-College Youth," American Educational Research Journal 4 (March 1967): 147-53.

¹⁰Carolyn Cummings Perrucci and Robert Perrucci, "Social Origins, Education Contexts, and Career Mobility," American Sociological Review 35 (June 1970): 451-62.

¹¹Little, Placement and Follow-up, p. 11.

¹²Jacob J. Kaufman and Morgan V. Lewis, The Potential of Vocational Education (University Park, Penn.: Institute for Research on Human Resources, Penn. State Univ., 1968), Tables 25 and 26, pp. 56-57; Jacob J. Kaufman et al., The Role of Secondary Schools in the Preparation of Youth for Employment (University Park, Penn.: The Institute for Research on Human Resources, Penn. State Univ., 1967), Tables 6.3 and 6.4, Chapter 6, pp. 6-7.

¹³Bruce K. Ecklan, "Academic Ability, Higher Education and Occupational Mobility," American Sociological Review 30 (October 1965): 735-46.

¹⁴Murray Straus and Katherine H. Homberg, "Part-time Employment, Social Class, and Achievement in High School," Sociology and Social Research 52 (April 1968): 224-30.

¹⁵Stanley Lieberson and Glenn V. Fuguitt, "Negro-White Occupational Differences in the Absence of Discrimination," American Journal of Sociology 73 (September 1967): 188-200.

¹⁶Robert L. Crain, "School Integration and Occupational Achievement of Negroes," American Journal of Sociology 75 (January 1970): 593-606.

¹⁷Kaufman et al., Preparation of Youth, Table 9.13, Chapter 9, p. 16.

¹⁸A detailed discussion of extraneous variables with examples in existing research is presented in The Logic of Survey Analysis by Morris Rosenberg (New York: Basic Books, 1968), pp. 27-40, 72-3.

¹⁹A brief summary of the characteristics of principal components is made in Modern Factor Analysis by Harry H. Harman (2nd ed.; Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967), pp. 136-37.

CHAPTER II

METHODOLOGY: PROCEDURES AND TECHNIQUES

Before proceeding with a discussion of the major findings, it is necessary to inform the reader of how the data were collected and analyzed in this study. In this chapter, four principal procedures for collecting the basic data of occupational achievement, and two major statistical techniques for handling data analysis will be reported. The procedures involved are: to develop the questionnaire for the problems of this study, to select the sample for the questionnaire survey, to solicit the responses from the sample selected, and to decide the period of time for investigation. The two statistical techniques on which this study depends most are analysis of variance and multiple regression analysis. Each of these procedures and techniques will be presented in order as follows.

Construction of the Questionnaire

A preliminary questionnaire of occupational achievement for high school graduates was developed for the purpose of testing for verbal comprehension. Eleven high school graduates (three men and eight women) were invited to fill in the questionnaire and to participate in discussing

each of the questionnaire items. All of them graduated from the high schools in Austin, Texas, in May, 1970, and were working in Austin at that moment. Each of them had been contacted by both telephone and invitation letter, and had been promised an award of \$7.50 for a two-hour period of participation. The purpose of offering this payment was to ensure their participation as scheduled and to encourage their enthusiastic cooperation.

In order to make close communication possible, three sessions were arranged for the trial testing of verbal comprehension. Each session was limited to three or four persons and lasted about two hours. The questionnaire was revised on the basis of the reactions elicited from the eleven participants, and it was served for the purpose of preliminary questionnaire survey.

The revised questionnaire was sent to each of four hundred high school graduates in Austin and Houston, Texas. These people also graduated in May, 1970, from high schools in the Austin Independent School District (I.S.D.), Aldine I.S.D. (in Houston) and Houston I.S.D. This procedure had a double purpose--to estimate a possible response rate for the questionnaire of six pages and to secure information for further improvement of the questionnaire.

In responding to the preliminary questionnaire survey, 108 graduates (27 percent) returned the completed questionnaires in pre-stamped, self-addressed envelopes,

over a period of forty-five days; forty-two questionnaires (11 percent) were returned by the post office because of no forwardable addresses. These results provided confidence for a relatively high return rate with a six-page questionnaire for high school graduates.

The data obtained from the returned questionnaires were analyzed to provide the basis for a final revision of the questionnaire. Both the format and the content of some questionnaire items was revised as a result of item analysis. Some items were judged as dispensable in this study because they provided the redundant information which could be obtained from other items. Redundant items included mother's education and occupation, the availability of a job, information about first job, attitudes towards family and friends, preferences toward jobs, and directly related items. Moreover, the trial procedure for item analysis produced some information which indicated unanticipated significance of several variables such as college attendance, marital status, business education, and industrial arts. These results led to the re-ordering of priorities in adding and dropping the items used in the final questionnaire.

Selection of Sample

The basic principle in sample selection in this study was to obtain two comparable groups in each project school. One of the groups consisted of the graduates who

earned a minimum of two units of credit upon satisfactory completion of at least one year of a training program for gainful employment in vocational agricultural education, vocational distributive education, vocational industrial education, or vocational office education. The other group consisted of the graduates who did not enroll in any of the vocational programs in high school. The non-vocational group was selected to match the vocational group according to sex and accumulated scholastic ranking for all high school courses. Given the existing conditions of permanent records for students, this was the only realistic way to select comparable vocational and non-vocational groups.

For convenience in selecting vocational graduates, two units of credit in vocational programs were considered as the criterion in this study whenever the student records in project schools or the information on vocational graduates in project school districts were accessible to the investigator. This criterion was considered justifiable in view of the information in Standards for Public Schools Operating Programs of Occupational Education for In-Service Students, which was issued by the Texas Education Agency in March, 1971. As a general rule, the Standards for each vocational education program specified that "the student may earn two credits per year toward the basic 16 required" upon satisfactory completion of one of the approved programs in vocational education.

As far as secondary vocational education is concerned, there are seven broad areas of vocational education programs in Texas public high schools. Due to small enrollments in vocational health occupations education, vocational homemaking education (gainful), and coordinated vocational academic education, high school graduates who completed vocational education in these areas are excluded from this study. According to the state-wide statistics, there have been larger enrollments in the four other areas cited earlier, which thus provide a more adequate base for manipulations of data obtained from a questionnaire survey. The point is that prior considerations should be made in order to avoid wasting time and resources in collecting probably inconclusive information.

The lists of names of the 1970 graduates, along with the latest home addresses, and accumulated scholastic rankings for four years, were obtained from 17 public high schools in five independent school districts in the state of Texas. The investigator secured cooperation from the project schools in collecting the above information through an approved letter or personal arrangement from district directors of vocational education, from research and development, or from the principals of these schools. Some information on vocational graduates was sought from the district office when a project school was unable to produce it for the investigator.

Mailing of Questionnaire and Response Rates

A final version of the questionnaire (see Appendix B.1) and a letter requesting cooperation (see Appendix B.2) were mailed to each of 3,045 graduates selected in this study. The results of each of two mailings are presented in Table 2.1. The first mailing was made during the period from August 12 to August 14, 1972. Until September 4, 1972, a total of 567 graduates had completed the questionnaires and sent them back by first class self-addressed envelopes, while 424 could not be reached for various reasons, such as no forwardable addresses, being away from home, or reported as deceased by their families. Two graduates indicated their refusal to answer questions. Among the original sample of 3,045 graduates, there were 2,052 persons who did not respond to the request for cooperation by the time the second mailing of the questionnaires was made.

During the period from September 4 to September 6, 1972, each of 2,052 graduates who did not respond to the first request were sent a second copy of the questionnaire enclosed with another letter written differently from the first letter (see Appendix B.3). By the cut-off date of October 15, 1972, an additional 491 graduates had returned the questionnaires with their occupational information. Seventy-eight graduates could not be reached by mail. Six people stated that they had no intention of filling out the

TABLE 2.1

DISTRIBUTIONS OF RESPONSES OF
3045 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN
TEXAS TO TWO MAILINGS OF
QUESTIONNAIRES

Responses or Non-responses	First Mail- ing by Sept. 4, 1972	Second Mail- ing by Oct. 15, 1972	Total in Two Mailings
Returned Questionnaires	567 (19%)	491 (24%)	1058 (35%)
Non-contacts Undelivered questionnaires (no forwardable addresses)	424 (14%)	78 (4%)	502 (16%)
Addressees deceased	413 (14%)	61 (3%)	474 (15%)
Addressees away from home	10 (-)	--	10 (-)
Non-responses Declined to res- pond to question- naires	1 (-)	17 (1%)	18 (1%)
No answer at all	2054 (67%)	1483 (72%)	1485 (49%)
Total sample selected for mailing	2 (-)	6 (-)	8 (-)
	2052 (67%)	1477 (72%)	1477 (49%)
	3045 (100%)	2052 (100%)	3045 (100%)

questionnaires mailed to them. A total of 1,477 graduates failed to respond to either mailing.

In all, there were 1,058 (35 percent) out of 3,045 graduates who responded to this study; 502 (16 percent) of them were not contactable by questionnaire mailing, and 1,485 (49 percent) of them either notified us of their refusal to give cooperation or did not return the questionnaires by the deadline set in this study (see Table 1.2).

The response rates differ from school to school and vary from district to district. The response rates by school range from 49 percent (Travis High School, Austin I.S.D.) to 17 percent (Anderson High School, Austin I.S.D.) and the response rates by district spread from 42 percent (North East I.S.D.) to 31 percent (San Antonio I.S.D.). The response rates of high school graduates selected in this study were not necessarily related to ethnicity or accurate addresses (see Table 2.2).

Among the five high schools with response rates of 41 percent or higher, four of them were all white or predominantly white schools and the fifth one predominantly Mexican-American (Johnston High School, Austin I.S.D.). Out of the four high schools with the response rates of 29 percent or lower, one was an all black school; another, an all Mexican-American school; two others, predominantly white schools. Moreover, the graduates of an all white school (Roosevelt High School, North East I.S.D.) had a 43 percent

TABLE 2.2
DISTRIBUTIONS OF RESPONSES, NON-CONTACTS AND NON-RESPONSES OF 3045 GRADUATES IN 17
PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOLS IN 5 INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICTS FOR THE QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY

School District	High School	Responses	Non-Contacts	Non-Responses	Total Samples
Austin I.S.D.	Anderson ^a	10 (17%)	22 (37%)	28 (47%)	60 (101%)*
	Austin ^b	27 (25%)	21 (20%)	58 (55%)	106 (100%)
	Johnstgn ^c	38 (41%)	12 (13%)	42 (46%)	92 (100%)
	Lanier ^b	26 (27%)	9 (9%)	61 (64%)	96 (100%)
	Travis ^b	34 (49%)	14 (20%)	22 (31%)	70 (100%)
	District Total	135 (32%)	78 (18%)	211 (50%)	424 (100%)
North East I.S.D.	MacArthur ^b	95 (41%)	38 (16%)	101 (43%)	234 (100%)
	Roosevelt ^b	59 (43%)	26 (19%)	51 (38%)	136 (100%)
	District Total	154 (42%)	64 (17%)	152 (41%)	370 (100%)
San Antonio I.S.D.	Durbank ^c	80 (31%)	31 (12%)	149 (57%)	260 (100%)
	Highland ^c	68 (37%)	20 (11%)	96 (52%)	184 (100%)
	Lanier ^c	65 (27%)	53 (22%)	122 (51%)	240 (100%)
	District Total	213 (31%)	104 (15%)	367 (54%)	684 (100%)
Aldine I.S.D.	Aldine ^b	60 (44%)	8 (6%)	68 (50%)	136 (100%)
	Carver ^a	39 (38%)	4 (4%)	61 (59%)	104 (101%)*
	MacArthur ^b	41 (29%)	31 (22%)	70 (49%)	142 (100%)
	District Total	140 (37%)	43 (11%)	199 (52%)	382 (100%)
Houston I.S.D.	Kashemere ^a	72 (31%)	45 (20%)	113 (49%)	230 (100%)
	Sam Houston ^b	149 (41%)	61 (17%)	154 (42%)	364 (100%)
	Regan ^b	120 (33%)	58 (16%)	185 (51%)	363 (100%)
	Wheatley ^a	75 (33%)	49 (21%)	104 (46%)	228 (100%)
	District Total	416 (35%)	213 (18%)	556 (47%)	1185 (100%)
Grand Total		1058 (35%)	502 (16%)	1485 (49%)	3045 (100%)

^aThe school had all black or predominantly black graduates in 1970.

^bThe school had all white or predominantly white graduates in 1970.

^cThe school had all Mexican-American or predominantly Mexican-American graduates in 1970.

*The sum of percentages within this school is unequal to 100 percent because of rounding error.

response rate with a 19 percent non-contact rate, whereas the graduates of a predominantly white school (Lanier High School, Austin I.S.D.) had a rather low response rate of 27 percent, with a small non-contact rate of 9 percent. Therefore, no clear-cut generalization can be provided for the response rates in this study.

Among 1,058 respondents, 60 of them reported that they had not worked for gainful employment since graduation from high school. The other 998 respondents had been employed since graduation from high school. However, 104 respondents who had had working experience did not complete some important items--especially Item 11 which deals with job title, income and employment length. Therefore, a final total of 894 returned questionnaires are considered as useful for data analysis in this study. These distributions of useful and useless questionnaires are tabulated in Table 2.3, as follows.

Characteristics of the Sample

Among the 894 high school graduates included in this study, there are 154 (17 percent) male vocational graduates, 224 (25 percent) male non-vocational graduates, 218 (24 percent) female vocational graduates, and 298 (33 percent) female non-vocational graduates. Female respondents are more than male respondents while non-vocational graduates are more than vocational graduates, as far as this sample is concerned.

TABLE 2.3
DISTRIBUTIONS OF RETURNED QUESTIONNAIRES ACCORDING
TO SCHOOL DISTRICT AND USEFULNESS

School Districts	Useless Questionnaires		Useful Question- naires	Total Returned Question- naires
	Never Employed	Not Completed		
Austin I.S.D.	4 (3%)	9 (7%)	122 (90%)	135 (100%)
North East I.S.D.	13 (8%)	10 (6%)	131 (85%)	154 (99%)*
San Antonio I.S.D.	3 (1%)	28 (13%)	182 (85%)	213 (99%)*
Aldine I.S.D.	7 (5%)	23 (16%)	110 (79%)	140 (100%)
Houston I.S.D.	33 (8%)	34 (8%)	349 (84%)	416 (100%)
<u>Totals</u>	<u>60 (6%)</u>	<u>104 (10%)</u>	<u>894 (34%)</u>	<u>1058 (100%)</u>

*The sum of percentages within this district is unequal to 100 percent because of rounding error.

In terms of high school academic achievement, the high achievement group (top 30 percent) is less represented among vocational graduates than among non-vocational graduates when sex is taken into account. The high achievement group is less represented among male respondents than among female respondents when vocational education is taken into account. The reverse is true of the low achievement group (lower 30 percent) in both cases (see Table 2.4).

In terms of marital status, most of the respondents were not married at the time of the questionnaire survey. However, female vocational graduates deviated from the general pattern. Among them, the numbers of married and single respondents were nearly equal (also see Table 2.5).

In terms of ethnicity, the numbers of respondents among three ethnic groups were not balanced at all. White respondents consisted of slightly higher than 60 percent of the sample, while the rest of the respondents were equally divided for black and Mexican-American groups (also see Table 2.5).

In terms of social origin, the respondents with higher father's occupation were less than those with lower father's occupation. The numbers of respondents for three groups based on father's education were better balanced, although those with fathers having high school education were somewhat more than those in two other groups (see Table 2.4).

TABLE 2.4

DISTRIBUTIONS OF VOCATIONAL AND NON-VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES OF BOTH SEXES ACCORDING TO ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT, MARITAL STATUS, ETHNICITY, FATHER'S OCCUPATION, FATHER'S EDUCATION, OR COLLEGE ATTENDANCE

		Male		Female		Grand Total
		Voc. Graduate	Non-Voc. Graduate	Voc. Graduate	Non-Voc. Graduate	
Academic Ach.	High	27 (18%)	87 (39%)	82 (37%)	156 (52%)	352 (39%)
	Middle	61 (40%)	92 (41%)	97 (44%)	96 (32%)	346 (39%)
	Low	66 (42%)	45 (20%)	39 (18%)	46 (16%)	196 (22%)
	Total	154 (100%)	224 (100%)	218 (99%)*	298 (100%)	894 (100%)
Marital Status	Single	103 (67%)	169 (75%)	111 (51%)	211 (71%)	594 (66%)
	Married	51 (33%)	55 (25%)	107 (49%)	87 (29%)	300 (34%)
	Total	154 (100%)	224 (100%)	218 (100%)	298 (100%)	894 (100%)
Ethnicity ^a	Black	22 (15%)	43 (20%)	44 (20%)	66 (22%)	175 (20%)
	M. Am.	32 (21%)	44 (20%)	46 (21%)	50 (17%)	172 (20%)
	White	95 (64%)	132 (60%)	127 (59%)	178 (61%)	532 (61%)
	Total	149 (100%)	219 (100%)	217 (100%)	294 (100%)	879 (101%)*
Father's Occup. ^b	High	59 (39%)	92 (41%)	77 (36%)	121 (42%)	349 (40%)
	Low	93 (61%)	130 (59%)	139 (64%)	169 (58%)	531 (60%)
	Total	152 (100%)	222 (100%)	216 (100%)	290 (100%)	880 (100%)
Father's Educ. ^c	College	37 (25%)	70 (31%)	47 (22%)	108 (38%)	262 (30%)
	H. Sch.	61 (41%)	94 (42%)	95 (45%)	90 (31%)	340 (39%)
	E. Sch.	52 (35%)	59 (26%)	70 (33%)	89 (31%)	270 (31%)
	Total	150 (100%)	223 (100%)	212 (100%)	287 (100%)	872 (100%)
College Attend.	None	98 (64%)	86 (38%)	163 (75%)	146 (49%)	493 (55%)
	4 Mos.	6 (4%)	12 (5%)	8 (4%)	13 (4%)	39 (4%)
	9 Mos.	6 (4%)	9 (4%)	7 (3%)	17 (6%)	39 (4%)
	18 Mos.	15 (10%)	25 (11%)	12 (6%)	23 (8%)	75 (8%)
	24 Mos.+	29 (19%)	92 (41%)	28 (13%)	99 (33%)	248 (29%)
	Total	154 (100%)	224 (99%)*	218 (101%)*	298 (100%)	894 (100%)

^aA total of 15 respondents did not report the information on ethnicity.

^bA total of 14 respondents did not report the information on father's occupation.

^cA total of 22 respondents did not report the information on father's education.

*A sum of percentages is unequal to 100 percent because of rounding error.

Moreover, there was a surprisingly high proportion of respondents who went to college for some time. A total of 45 percent of 894 respondents attended college from 4 months to more than 2 years. A total of 55 percent never went to college at all. Among the college-going respondents, vocational graduates were much less represented than were non-vocational graduates. The reverse was true for the non-college respondents (also see Table 2.4).

Finally, the variables such as academic achievement, father's occupation and father's education are defined in later chapters when they are taken into account for analysis. It is less feasible to illustrate these variables in this section.

Income and Length of Employment Surveyed

All amounts of income reported in different jobs for each of the 894 respondents are assumed to be gross salaries or wages before tax deductions unless otherwise specified by the respondents. Since 17 respondents reported their take-home income rather than gross income, their gross income is estimated on the basis of 5.2 percent for social security and 14.9 percent of income tax withheld for single workers or 12.9 percent income tax withheld for married workers. The percentages of income tax withheld used here are derived from the average of income tax withheld in 1971 and 1972 (for a gross monthly income of \$400). Because most of the respondents report take-home monthly pay between \$300

and \$350, using the tax rate of gross monthly income of \$400 will cause only limited marginal errors in estimating the gross income from take-home income.

Moreover, the length of employment included in the analysis was secured from a period of 27 months after graduation from high school, dating from June 1, 1970, until August 31, 1972. The respondents who returned the questionnaires during August 14-31, 1972, were presumed to have continued in their current jobs through August 31, 1972, unless they were not working at the time of responding to the questionnaire survey. For those who returned the questionnaires from September 1, 1972, until October 15, 1972, their employment occurring during that period of time was not included in the analysis. Therefore, the same period of 27 months after high school graduation was specified for all of the respondents in a study of their income and employment length.

Techniques of Data Analysis

There are two major statistical techniques which are used in this study--analysis of variance and multiple regression analysis. Since the major problem involved in this study is to compare the occupational achievement of vocational and non-vocational high school graduates, analysis of variance is most appropriate to serve this purpose. By means of this technique, all relevant variables (used as test factors) can be manipulated flexibly in various combi-

nations with the variable of vocational education, which is the main concern of the entire investigation. Moreover, this technique has another advantage in showing interaction effects of some independent variables included in this study. For instance, if male vocational graduates fare much better in occupational achievement than their male non-vocational counterparts, while female vocational graduates do not have advantageous edges over their female non-vocational counterparts, the analysis of variance may produce results which indicate vocational education is a significant variable in accounting for the income and the length of employment. On the other hand, the same results also may show that a significant interaction occurs between sex and vocational education. Vocational education, in this case, may have a strong positive association with occupational achievement of male graduates, but it may have no relationship with occupational achievement of female graduates.

However, multiple regression analysis plays an important complementary role in data analysis in this study. This technique is used to obtain information about the associations of test factors to secondary vocational education and occupational achievement of high school graduates. These associations serve as appropriate bases on which the test factors are controlled properly so as to facilitate the analysis of the relationship between secondary vocational education and the occupational achievement cited.

Moreover, analysis of principal components and orthogonal varimax rotation are used to provide the interpretation of the interrelationships among different indices of occupational achievement. But, these techniques are applied merely for a minor task which does not involve the relationship between secondary vocational education and occupational achievement of high school graduates, which is the main concern of this study.

CHAPTER III

TEST FACTORS AND THEIR ASSOCIATIONS WITH SECONDARY VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT

In this chapter, the associations of test factors with secondary vocational education, and six indices of occupational achievement are presented. As mentioned in Chapter I, these associations might produce spurious relationships between secondary vocational education and occupational achievement of high school graduates. The test factors having strong associations with secondary vocational education and occupational achievement are more likely to be extraneous variables to these spurious relationships than the other test factors. Unless the former are controlled first in testing the relationships between secondary vocational education and occupational achievement, there is no point in controlling other test factors which are less likely to be extraneous variables. Therefore, the information presented in this chapter is used to formulate a proper order in which test factors are controlled so that the examination of genuine relationships between secondary vocational education and occupational achievement can be made possible.

Ten Test Factors for Vocational Education and Occupational Achievement

There are ten school-related and non-school-related variables included as test factors in this study. They are academic achievement, sex, marital status, ethnicity, father's occupation, father's education, community, business education, industrial arts, and college attendance. All of them were considered as potential extraneous variables to the relationships between vocational education and occupational achievement. These ten test factors were included as the predictor variables in linear multiple regression models to predict the vocational education criterion, as well as the six criterion indices of occupational achievement. The associations of each of ten test factors to each of seven criteria cited were secured from the results of multiple regression analyses.

Before the results of the multiple regression analyses are presented, the properties of the ten test factors involved should be explained. First of all, the measure of academic achievement used here was based on the accumulated scholastic ranking for four years of high school. The high school graduates who were among the top 30 percent in their high school classes were assigned to the "high" academic achievement group. Those among the lower 30 percent in their high school classes were assigned to the "low" academic achievement group. The remaining graduates were assigned to the group for "middle" academic achievement.

Sex, marital status, ethnicity, community, and college attendance are simpler than other test factors. The sex variable denotes the variations of being male or being female, while marital status denotes the variations of being married or being single. Ethnicity involves the variations of being white, black, or Mexican-American. Community differences deal with the variations of being graduated from high school in three different cities (Austin, San Antonio, or Houston).¹ College attendance means the length of time (in terms of months) during which a respondent went to college.

Father's occupation and father's education were based on the information secured from Items 2 and 3 in the returned questionnaires of this study (see Appendix B.1). There were five categories for the respondents to report the appropriate father's occupation. Those whose father's occupation belonged to one of the first three categories were assigned to the group for low father's occupation. Because there were very few respondents whose father's occupation applied to the first two categories, it was not feasible to separate those two categories from the third category. Those whose father's occupation were applicable to the other two categories were assigned to the group for high father's occupation. No further division of this group was feasible because of the small number of cases in the fifth category.

The grouping of respondents based on father's education also involved a consideration of the distributions of cases among the seven categories. Three groups were produced according to father's education. Those whose fathers finished the eighth grade or less were assigned to the group having fathers with elementary school education; those whose fathers had some high school or graduated from high school were assigned to the group having high school education; and, those whose fathers went to college or beyond were assigned to the group having fathers with college education.

Finally, business education and industrial arts are two areas of high school general education. The information about whether a respondent took any subjects in business education or industrial arts at high school was secured from Items 4 and 5 in the returned questionnaires (also see Appendix B.1). For the conveniences of data coding, six types of business education and five types of industrial arts were formed for this study. The six types of business education were general business, bookkeeping, shorthand, typing, business machine, and clerical practice (including office training, and stenographic practice). The five types of industrial arts were general industrial arts (including general shop), drafting, handicrafts, woodworking (including general woodshop), and other subjects related to electricity, radio, electronics, metalwork, or welding.

Among the ten test factors cited, college attendance was the only continuous variable. The other nine test factors were conceived as either nominal or ordinal variables. Each of them was coded as two or more dichotomous variables in the multiple regression analyses (see Appendix C for detailed illustrations of the coding of raw data for this study. As a result, there were 29 dichotomous variables and 1 continuous variable to represent the ten test factors as predictor variables in linear multiple regression analyses to predict vocational education and occupational achievement criteria.

Associations of Test Factors to Vocational Education, and Occupational Achievement

The associations of a test factor to secondary vocational education or occupational achievement criteria in linear multiple regression models represent the portion of total variance of secondary vocational education or an index of occupational achievement which is accounted for by this particular test factor. Whether this portion of variance accounted for is statistically significant or not depends upon the F-ratio secured from a significance test and its proper degrees of freedom in both numerator and denominator terms as indicated in Formula 3.1²:

$$F = \frac{(M_{10}^2 - M_9^2)/(K_{10} - K_9)}{(1 - M_{10}^2)/(N - K_{10})} \quad (3.1)$$

Where M_{10}^2 is a squared multiple correlation obtained from 10 test factors in a full model

M_9^2 is a squared multiple correlation obtained from 9 test factors in a reduced model (1 test factor taken away from the full model)

N is the number of respondents included in the analysis

K_{10} is the number of predictors in the full model plus 1 (standing for a constant in the model)

K_9 is the number of predictors in the reduced model plus 1 (also standing for a constant in the model)

The term $M_{10}^2 - M_9^2$ produces the portion of variance accounted for by a test factor which is not included in the reduced model, while the term $K_{10} - K_9$ is used for the degree of freedom in the numerator term of F-ratio (also the numbers of predictors to stand for the test factor excluded from the reduced model). The term $1 - M_{10}^2$ stands for the portion of variance not accounted for by all 10 test factors, while $N - K_{10}$ is used for the degrees of freedom for the denominator term of F-ratio. The results of 7 multiple regression analyses represented in Tables 3.1 through 3.5 were secured by means of the significance test as indicated by Formula 3.1.

As indicated in Table 3.1, when secondary vocational education was used as the criterion to be accounted for by

TABLE 3.1

THE RESULTS OF 10 TEST FACTORS IN LINEAR MULTIPLE REGRESSION
MODEL TO ACCOUNT FOR SECONDARY VOCATIONAL EDUCATION OF
894 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS^a

Test Factors	DFN	DFD	Portion of Variance	F-Ratio	Prob.
Academic Achievement ^b	3	863	.863	3.189	.0227*
Sex ^c	2	863	.14	.801	----
Marital Status ^c	2	863	.73	4.070	.0171*
Ethnicity ^d	3	848	.25	.892	----
Father's Occupation ^e	2	849	.04	.218	----
Father's Education ^f	3	841	.89	3.201	.0224*
Community ^f	3	863	.76	2.801	.0382*
Business Education ^g	6	863	8.80	16.267	.0000****
Industrial Arts ^g	5	863	2.49	5.530	.0001****
College Attendance ^h	1	863	1.97	21.863	.0000****

^aA vocational graduate is coded as 1 while a non-vocational graduate is coded as 0; the multiple correlation of secondary vocational education to the 10 test factors is .4711; the probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

^bThree dichotomous variables are used to code academic achievement (high, middle, and low).

^cTwo dichotomous variables are used to code marital status (single, and married), and sex (male, and female).

^dThree dichotomous variables are used to code ethnicity (black, Mexican American, and white); 15 respondents failed to furnish the information for this item.

^eTwo dichotomous variables are used to code father's occupation (high, and low); 14 respondents failed to furnish the information for this item.

^fThree dichotomous variables are used to code father's education (college, high school, and elementary school), and community (Austin, San Antonio, and Houston); 22 respondents did not furnish the information on father's education.

^gSix dichotomous variables are used to code six types of business education taken at high school while five dichotomous variables are applied to five types of high school industrial arts.

^hA continuous variable in terms of the number of months is used to code the length of college attendance.

* Significant at the .05 level

**** Significant at the .0001 level or beyond

test factors in a linear multiple regression model, it was coded as 1 or 0 depending upon whether a respondent was a vocational graduate or non-vocational graduate. A multiple correlation coefficient of .4711 was obtained from the relationship between secondary vocational education and a linear combination of 10 test factors. Therefore, 22.20 percent (the square of the multiple correlation coefficient of .4711, expressed as a percent) of the variance of being a vocational graduate or not was accounted for by the ten test factors.

Of the ten test factors, seven contributed significantly in accounting for secondary vocational education. College attendance, industrial arts, and business education, respectively, each accounted for from 1.97 percent to 8.80 percent of the total variance of secondary vocational education, and all of these three test factors were significant at the .0001 level or beyond in accounting for the variations of being a vocational graduate or a non-vocational graduate. Marital status, community, academic achievement, and father's education were significant at the .05 level in accounting for secondary vocational education. Three other predictors were not significant in accounting for whether or not a respondent was a vocational graduate.

As shown in Table 3.2, when the accumulated income of high school graduates was used as the criterion variable, its multiple correlation with ten test factors was .5522.

TABLE 3.2
 THE RESULTS OF 10 TEST FACTORS IN LINEAR MULTIPLE REGRESSION MODEL TO ACCOUNT FOR
 ACCUMULATED INCOME AND MONTHLY EARNING OF 894
 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXASA

Test Factor ^d	DFN	DFD	Accumulated Income ^b		Monthly Earning ^c			
			Portion of Variance	F-Ratio	Prob.	Portion of Variance	F-Ratio	Prob.
Academic Achievement	3	863	.49%	2.036	-----	.27%	1.007	-----
Sex	2	863	3.35%	20.790	.0000****	5.03	28.750	.0000****
Marital Status	2	863	.85	5.253	.0057**	.79	4.516	.0112*
Ethnicity	3	848	1.58	6.420	.0005***	.86	3.236	.0214*
Father's Occupation	2	849	.30	1.850	-----	.20	1.145	-----
Father's Education	3	841	.21	.849	-----	.26	.982	-----
Community Business Education	3	863	1.34	5.544	.0012**	3.36	12.806	.0000****
Industrial Arts	6	863	1.05	2.177	.0426*	.72	1.373	-----
College Attendance	5	863	.84	2.095	.0631	1.01	2.305	.0422*
	1	863	11.31	140.472	.0000****	3.24	37.044	.0000****

^aThe results with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.
^bThe multiple correlation of accumulated income to the 10 test factors is .5222;
 therefore, 30.49 percent of the total variance of accumulated income is accounted for by the same test factors.
^cThe multiple correlation of monthly earning to the 10 test factors is .4954; therefore, 24.54 percent of the total variance of monthly earning is accounted for by the same test factors.
^dRefer to the notes in Table 3.1 for the explanations of the 10 test factors.

* Significant at the .05 level ** Significant at the .01 level
 *** Significant at the .001 level **** Significant at the .0001 level or beyond

Thus, 30.49 percent of the total variance of accumulated income could be accounted for by a linear combination of these test factors. Sex, and college attendance were significant beyond the .0001 level in accounting for 3.35 percent and 11.31 percent, respectively, of total variance of the accumulated income criterion. Ethnicity was significant at the .001 level, while marital status, and community were significant at the .01 level, in accounting for the accumulated income. Business education was significant at the .05 level. However, four other test factors were not significant.

As further shown in Table 3.2, when the monthly earning of high school graduates was used as the criterion variable, its multiple correlation with a linear combination of ten test factors was .4954. A sum of 24.54 percent of total variance was accounted for by the ten test factors. College attendance, community, and sex were significant beyond the .0001 level, each accounting for from 3.24 percent to 5.03 percent of the total variance of the monthly earning. Marital status, ethnicity, and industrial arts were significant at the .05 level. Four other predictors were not significant in accounting for the monthly earning of high school graduates.

As indicated in Table 3.3, when the hourly rate of high school graduates was used as a criterion, its multiple correlation with a linear combination of ten test factors

TABLE 3.3
 THE RESULTS OF 10 TEST FACTORS IN LINEAR MULTIPLE REGRESSION MODEL TO ACCOUNT
 FOR HOURLY RATE AND WEEKLY WORKING HOURS OF 894
 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS^a

Test Factors ^d	DFN	DFD	Hourly Rate ^b		Weekly Working Hours ^c			
			Portion of Variance	F-Ratio	Prob.	Portion of Variance	F-Ratio	Prob.
Academic Achievement	3	863	.27*	.920	---	.03*	.110	---
Sex	2	863	3.07	15.639	.0000****	1.83	9.208	.0003***
Marital Status	2	863	1.04	5.298	.0055**	.03	.130	---
Ethnicity	3	848	.42	1.414	---	.12	.408	---
Father's Occupation	2	849	.37	1.871	---	.00	.024	---
Father's Education	3	841	.20	.669	---	.14	.459	---
Community	3	863	3.22	10.949	.0000****	.11	.375	---
Business Education	6	863	.95	1.622	---	.66	1.109	---
Industrial Arts	5	863	.85	1.725	---	.39	.794	---
College Attendance	1	863	.20	2.025	---	7.11	71.707	.0000****

^aThe results with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

^bThe multiple correlation of hourly rate to the 10 test factors is .3924; therefore, 15.40 percent of the total variance of hourly rate is accounted for by the same test factors.

^cThe multiple correlation of weekly working hours to the 10 test factors is .3801; therefore, 14.45 percent of the total variance of weekly working hours is accounted for by the same test factors.

^dRefer to Table 3.1 for the explanation of the 10 test factors.

* Significant at the .01 level *** Significant at the .001 level
 **** Significant at the .0001 level or beyond

was .3924; thus, only 15.40 percent of the total variance of the hourly rate variable was accounted for by the ten test factors. Only three test factors were significant predictors in accounting for the hourly rate of high school graduates. Both sex and community were significant beyond the .0001 level, each variable accounting for slightly over 3 percent of the total variance of the hourly rate. Marital status was significant at the .01 level, and accounted for about 1 percent of the same total variance. Seven other test factors were insignificant as predictors.

As also indicated in Table 3.3, when the weekly working hours variable was used as the criterion, the multiple correlation and its squared multiple correlation were .3801 and 14.45 percent, respectively. As in the case of the hourly rate, they were somewhat lower in comparison with the values obtained when secondary vocational education and four other indices of occupational achievement were used as the criteria. Sex and college attendance were significant at the .001 and beyond the .0001 level, respectively, accounting for 1.83 percent and 7.11 percent, respectively, of total variance of the weekly working hours. Eight other test factors were not significant in accounting for the same index.

As shown in Table 3.4, when the employed months variable was used as the criterion, its multiple correlation and the squared multiple correlation were .4535 and 20.57

TABLE 3.4
 THE RESULTS OF 10 TEST FACTORS IN LINEAR MULTIPLE REGRESSION MODEL TO ACCOUNT
 FOR EMPLOYED MONTHS AND ACTUAL JOB LENGTH OF 894
 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXASA

Test Factors ^d	DFN	DFD	Employed Months ^b		Actual Job Length ^c			
			Portion of Variance	F-Ratio	Prob.	Portion of Variance	F-Ratio	Prob.
Academic Achievement	3	863	.17*	.600	-----	.18*	7.748	-----
Sex	2	863	.63	3.449	.0312*	1.27	7.966	.0007***
Marital Status	2	863	.12	.652	-----	.06	.392	-----
Ethnicity	3	848	1.82	6.470	.0005***	1.71	7.048	.0003***
Father's Occupation	2	849	.02	.127	-----	.07	.417	-----
Father's Education	3	841	.34	1.191	-----	.18	.726	-----
Community	3	863	.07	.261	-----	.06	.246	-----
Business Education	6	863	1.53	2.777	.0112*	.82	1.720	-----
Industrial Arts	5	863	.58	1.251	-----	.80	2.020	.0727
College Attendance	1	863	8.63	93.711	.0000****	16.61	208.672	.0000****

^aThe results with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

^bThe multiple correlation of employed months to the 10 test factors is .4535; therefore, 20.57 percent of the total variance of employed months is accounted for by the same test factors.

^cThe multiple correlation of actual job length to the 10 test factors is .5596; therefore, 31.31 percent of the total variance of actual job length is accounted for by the same test factors.

^dRefer to Table for the explanations of the 10 test factors.

* Significant at the .05 level *** Significant at the .001 level
 **** Significant at the .0001 level or beyond

percent, respectively. Ethnicity and college attendance were significant at the .001 level and beyond the .0001 level in accounting for 1.82 percent and 8.63 percent, respectively, of the total variance of the employed months. Both sex and business education were significant at the .05 level while six other test factors were not significant in accounting for the same index.

Finally, as also shown in Table 3.4, when the actual job length variable was used as the criterion, its multiple correlation and the squared multiple correlation were .5596 and 31.31 percent, respectively, higher than those in the cases of secondary vocational education and other indices of occupational achievement. College attendance was significant beyond the .0001 level, accounting for 16.61 percent of total variance of the actual job length variable. Both sex and ethnicity were significant at the .001 level in accounting for 1.27 percent and 1.71 percent, respectively, of the same total variance. However, seven other test factors were not significant predictors for the same index.

Test Factors Having Higher Likelihood of Being Extraneous Variables

Based on a summary of the associations of ten test factors with secondary vocational education and each of six indices of occupational achievement, as shown in Table 3.5, there were five test factors which had significant common

TABLE 3.5
 THE SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS OF SECONDARY VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND SIX INDICES OF
 OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT TO BE ACCOUNTED FOR BY 10 TEST FACTORS IN
 LINEAR MULTIPLE REGRESSION MODELS FOR 894 HIGH
 SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS^a

Test Factors	Secondary Vocational Education	Accumu- lated Income	Monthly Earning	Hourly Rate	Weekly Working Hours	Employed Months	Actual Job Length
Academic Achievement	.0227*	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Sex	-----	.0000****	.0000****	.0000****	.0003****	.0312*	.0007****
Marital Status	.0171*	.0057***	.0112*	.0055**	-----	-----	-----
Ethnicity	-----	.0005****	.0214*	-----	-----	.0005****	.0003****
Father's Occupation	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Father's Education	.0224*	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Community	.0382*	.0012**	.0000****	.0000****	-----	-----	-----
Business Education	.0000****	.0426*	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Industrial Arts	.0001****	.0631	.0422*	-----	-----	.0112*	-----
College Attendance	.0000****	.0000****	.0000****	-----	.0000****	.0000****	.0727

^aThe results with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

* Significant at the .05 level ** Significant at the .01 level
 *** Significant at the .001 level **** Significant at the .0001 level or beyond

association with secondary vocational education and at least one out of the six indices of occupational achievement. College attendance had the highest likelihood of being an extraneous variable, since it accounted for vocational education and five indices of occupational achievement (with an exception of the hourly rate) beyond the significance level of .0001. Next, community and marital status had a higher likelihood of being extraneous variables than did seven other test factors. Both of the two test factors cited had significant associations with secondary vocational education, accumulated income, monthly earning, and hourly rate at the .05 level or beyond. Business education and industrial arts were significant at the .0001 level or beyond in accounting for secondary vocational education, while both of them had at least significant associations with at least one of six indices of occupational achievement.

Academic achievement and father's education were significantly related to secondary vocational education at the .05 level, while they were not significant in accounting for any of six indices of occupational achievement. On the contrary, sex and ethnicity were significant at the .05 level or beyond in accounting for all or most of six indices of occupational achievement; but, they were not significantly related to being a vocational or non-vocational graduate. Finally, father's occupation, as used in this study, had no significant association with vocational education or with any of the six indices of occupational achievement.

Summary

There are ten test factors included in this study. They are academic achievement, sex, marital status, ethnicity, father's occupation, father's education, community, business education, industrial arts, and college attendance. All of them were considered as potential extraneous variables which might establish spurious relationships between vocational education on one hand, and six indices of occupational achievement on the other hand. The statistical technique of multiple regression analysis is used to examine possible common associations of each of these test factors to both vocational education and occupational achievement. The results indicated that college attendance was most likely to be an extraneous variable because it had strong common associations with vocational education and on the five of the six indices of occupational achievement. Next, community and marital status were two test factors which also had a high likelihood of being extraneous variables. Then, business education and industrial arts were two other test factors which had the properties of an extraneous variable. Finally, academic achievement, sex, ethnicity, and father's education had significant associations with either secondary vocational education or with one or more of the indices of occupational achievement. However, these associations were not common to both vocational education and occupational achievement.

NOTES

¹Great majorities of the respondents in this study were presumed to have obtained employment in the same cities where they had completed high school; among the respondents who were working at the time of replying to the questionnaire survey, 87 out of 91 graduated from high schools in Austin, 190 out of 218 graduated from high schools in San Antonio, and 311 out of 341 graduated in Houston were employed in the same cities where their high schools were located; 41 respondents were working in Texas cities other than the cities in which they graduated, 19 respondents were out of state, and 2 respondents were employed abroad.

²Formula 3.1 and its interpretations in this study are based on Donald J. Veldman's formula

$$F = \frac{(M_A^2 - M_B^2)/(K_A - K_B)}{(1 - M_A^2)/(N - K_A)}$$

and his ideas related to this formula; see his book Fortran Programming for the Behavioral Sciences (New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1967), pp. 297-98

CHAPTER IV

PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS OF EFFECTS OF SECONDARY VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

The effects of secondary vocational education in this study denote differences between vocational and non-vocational high school graduates in six indices of occupational achievement. The conception of "effects," as used herein, is better understood in terms of the connection between an independent variable and its dependent variable in mathematics. The effects of vocational education are the variations in occupational achievement (as a dependent variable) which are associated with the variations of being vocational or non-vocational graduates. The term "effects" does not necessarily imply the causal relationship between vocational education in high school and occupational achievement after high school.

In the present chapter, the findings secured from preliminary examination of the effects of secondary vocational education are discussed. The procedures for this preliminary analysis involved simultaneously controlling three test factors: sex, community, and college attendance. The results of the effects of these three test factors, which were secured by the technique of multiple regression

analysis presented in Chapter III and the technique of analysis of variance conducted in this chapter, were used to decide how the controlling procedures would be conducted in order to best produce preliminary findings of the effects of vocational education for the high school graduates in this study.

Rationale for Selecting Sex, Community, and College Attendance as Control Variables in Preliminary Analysis

Based on the findings in Chapter III, the test factors which had the most significant associations with both secondary vocational education and the indices of occupational achievement of high school graduates, were college attendance, community, and marital status. But, sex differences were significantly related to all of the six indices of occupational achievement, although the sex variable was not significantly related to being a vocational or non-vocational graduate.

Because so many previous research findings have been reported separately for men and women, it was decided that the sex variable should be included among the control variables in the preliminary study. It was further decided that the college attendance variable should also be included, because it was significantly related to all but one of the six occupational achievement variables. Because of the distribution of cases, it was not feasible to control more than three test factors at a time; therefore, a choice had to be

made between the community and marital status variables. The community variable was chosen because it seemed logically to be of greater interest in terms of difference among the labor markets in three cities.

Before sex, community, and college attendance were controlled for the preliminary examination cited, the effects of each of these three test factors were analyzed separately for each of six indices of occupational achievement by the statistical technique of analysis of variance. The results obtained from these analyses were used as the bases on which the controlling procedures were decided upon, to serve more adequately the purpose of testing the effects of vocational education. Finally, for the testing of the effects of the three test factors cited, sex was broken down into two levels (male and female); community, three levels (Austin, San Antonio, and Houston); and, the length of college attendance, three levels (none, 4-18 months, and 24 months or longer).

Community Differences and Occupational Achievement

With community coded into three categories as described above, triple-classification analyses of variance, taking into account the community, sex, and college attendance variables, indicated significant community effects on three of the six occupational achievement variables. These results are shown in the first column of Tables 4.1-4.6. In

order to determine just where these community differences were, three additional triple-analyses of variance were computed for each criterion variable, one for each possible pair of communities. The results of these additional analyses are shown in the second, third, and last columns of Tables 4.1-4.6.

With sex, community, and college attendance taken into account, community differences were significant in accounting for accumulated income (at the .01 level), monthly earning (at the .001 level or beyond), and hourly rate (at the .001 level or beyond) when respondents from Houston were contrasted with respondents from either of the two other cities. But, no significant community differences were found for the same three indices of occupational achievement when the respondents from Austin were contrasted with those from San Antonio (see Tables 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3).

Moreover, there were no significant effects (at the .05 level) due to community differences as far as weekly working hours and actual job length were concerned, when respondents from all three communities were contrasted simultaneously.

Furthermore, the mean values shown in Table 4.7 indicate that, when significant community effects were found, the average Houston respondent fared better than the average respondent from either Austin or San Antonio. In

EFFECTS OF COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES, AND COLLEGE ATTENDANCE ON ACCUMULATED INCOME OF 894 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS^a

TABLE 4.1

Effects	Austin vs. San Antonio vs. Houston		Austin vs. San Antonio		Austin vs. Houston		San Antonio vs. Houston	
Community	.0027**	-----	.0038**	-----	.0029**	-----		
Sex	.0000****	.0048**	.0004***		.0000****			
College Attendance	.0000****	.0000****	.0000****		.0000****			
Community x Sex	-----	-----	-----		.0135*			
Community x College	-----	-----	-----		.0860			
Sex x College	-----	-----	-----		.0692			
Community x Sex x College	-----	-----	-----		-----			

^aThe results of analyses with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

* Significant at the .05 level ** Significant at the .01 level
 *** Significant at the .001 level **** Significant at the .0001 level
 or beyond

TABLE 4.2
EFFECTS OF COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES, AND COLLEGE ATTENDANCE ON
MONTHLY EARNING OF 894 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS^a

Effects	Austin vs. San Antonio vs. Houston		Austin vs. San Antonio Houston		San Antonio vs. Houston	
Community	.0001****	-----	.0004****	-----	.0000****	-----
Sex	.0000****	-----	.0000****	-----	.0000****	-----
College Attendance	.0001****	-----	.0012**	-----	.0008****	-----
Community x Sex	.0098**	-----	-----	-----	.0004****	-----
Community x College	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Sex x College	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Community x Sex x College	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

^aThe results of analyses with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

* Significant at the .05 level ** Significant at the .01 level
 *** Significant at the .001 level **** Significant at the .0001 level
 or beyond

TABLE 4.3
EFFECTS OF COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES, AND COLLEGE ATTENDANCE ON
HOURLY RATE OF 894 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS^a

Effects	Austin vs. San Antonio vs. Houston		Austin vs. San Antonio		Austin vs. Houston		San Antonio vs. Houston	
Community	.0002***	-----	-----	-----	.0004***	-----	.0000****	-----
Sex	.0002***	-----	.0746	-----	.0010***	-----	.0000****	-----
College Attendance	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Community x Sex	.0246*	-----	-----	-----	.0189*	-----	.0053**	-----
Community x College	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Sex x College	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Community x Sex x College	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

^aThe results of analyses with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

* Significant at the .05 level ** Significant at the .01 level
 *** Significant at the .001 level *** Significant at the .0001 level
 or beyond

TABLE 4.4
EFFECTS OF COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES, AND COLLEGE ATTENDANCE ON
WEEKLY WORKING HOURS OF 894 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS^a

Effects	Austin vs. San Antonio vs. Houston		Austin vs. San Antonio		Austin vs. Houston		San Antonio vs. Houston	
Community	-----		-----		-----		-----	
Sex	.0000****		.0009****		.0001****		.0000****	
College Attendance	.0000****		.0006****		.0000****		.0000****	
Community x Sex	-----		-----		-----		-----	
Community x College	-----		-----		-----		-----	
Sex x College	.0044**		.0101*		.0142*		-----	
Community x Sex x College	-----		-----		.0808		-----	

^aThe results of analyses with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

* Significant at the .05 level ** Significant at the .01 level
 *** Significant at the .001 level **** Significant at the .0001 level
 or beyond



TABLE 4.5

EFFECTS OF COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES, AND COLLEGE ATTENDANCE ON EMPLOYED MONTHS OF 894 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS^a

Effects	Austin vs. San Antonio vs. Houston		Austin vs. Houston vs. San Antonio	
	Austin vs. San Antonio	vs. Houston	Austin vs. Houston	vs. San Antonio
Community	.0568	.0472*	.0911	-----
Sex	.0903	-----	-----	.0109*
College Attendance	.0000****	.0000****	.0000****	.0000****
Community x Sex	-----	-----	-----	-----
Community x College	-----	.0949	-----	-----
Sex x College	-----	-----	-----	-----
Community x Sex x College	-----	-----	-----	-----

^aThe results of analyses with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

* Significant at the .05 level ** Significant at the .01 level
 *** Significant at the .001 level **** Significant at the .0001 level
 or beyond

TABLE 4.6
EFFECTS OF COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES, AND COLLEGE ATTENDANCE ON
ACTUAL JOB LENGTH OF 894 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS^a

Effects	Austin vs. San Antonio vs. Houston		Austin vs. San Antonio		Austin vs. Houston		San Antonio vs. Houston	
Community	.0733		.0796		.0797		-----	
Sex	.0008***		.0402*		.0035**		.0001****	
College Attendance	.0000****		.0000****		.0000****		.0000****	
Community x Sex	-----		-----		-----		-----	
Community x College	.0700		.0664		-----		-----	
Sex x College	-----		-----		-----		-----	
Community x Sex x College	-----		-----		-----		-----	

^aThe results of analyses with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

* Significant at the .05 level ** Significant at the .01 level
 *** Significant at the .001 level **** Significant at the .0001 level
 or beyond

TABLE 4.7
SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF 394 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES
IN TEXAS ACCORDING TO COMMUNITY, SEX, AND COLLEGE ATTENDANCE

Community Area	Sex	College Attendance	No. of Cases	Accumulated Income		Monthly Earning		Hourly Rate	
				Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Austin	M	None	22	\$ 8304	\$2885	\$377.5	\$ 76.9	\$2.15	\$.43
		4-18 Mos.	7	5036	4917	300.8	135.8	1.95	.74
		24 Mos.+	8	2501	1497	308.7	80.5	1.85	.49
	F	None	61	6495	3220	316.3	80.4	1.94	.44
		4-18 Mos.	7	3070	1492	282.6	80.4	1.86	.13
		24 Mos.+	17	2615	2793	218.6	120.7	1.81	.52
San Antonio	M	None	62	8011	4438	371.7	133.7	2.25	.87
		4-18 Mos.	36	5722	3044	325.8	122.1	2.01	.72
		24 Mos.+	46	4136	4415	317.3	152.1	2.06	1.11
	F	None	77	6061	2977	305.2	82.1	1.84	.38
		4-18 Mos.	36	4711	2411	292.5	86.6	1.87	.35
		24 Mos.+	56	3097	2262	253.5	112.6	1.95	.86
Houston	M	None	100	10979	6395	488.6	208.3	2.75	1.00
		4-18 Mos.	30	7549	4033	438.9	175.6	2.51	.60
		24 Mos.+	67	4556	3482	388.2	187.2	2.52	.99
	F	None	171	6750	3532	338.6	95.9	2.10	.60
		4-18 Mos.	37	4748	3207	310.5	80.0	1.96	.43
		24 Mos.+	54	2915	2492	254.3	96.8	2.00	.95

TABLE 4.7 -- CONTINUED
 SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF 894 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES
 IN TEXAS ACCORDING TO COMMUNITY, SEX, AND COLLEGE ATTENDANCE

Community Area	Sex	College Attendance	No. of Cases	Weekly Working Hours Mean	S.D.	Employed Months Mean	S.D.	Actual Job Length Mean	S.D.
Austin	M	None	22	41.16 Hrs.	3.54 Hrs.	22.09 Mos.	6.15 Mos.	22.68 Mos.	6.50 Mos.
		4-18 Mos.	7	35.29	4.55	14.29	7.87	12.95	8.03
	F	24 Mos.+	8	39.75	7.28	8.78	5.10	8.42	4.71
		None	61	38.54	7.16	19.93	7.67	19.49	8.59
San Antonio	M	4-18 Mos.	7	35.24	9.24	11.79	6.63	9.49	4.16
		24 Mos.+	17	28.12	11.28	11.63	9.74	7.96	7.66
	F	None	62	39.56	7.30	20.60	7.33	20.72	8.50
		4-18 Mos.	36	38.48	9.66	17.90	7.93	16.91	8.66
Houston	M	24 Mos.+	46	36.81	11.98	13.11	8.28	11.07	6.77
		None	77	38.40	6.11	19.34	7.48	18.91	8.22
	F	4-18 Mos.	36	36.31	7.24	16.88	8.02	14.81	7.15
		24 Mos.+	56	30.98	9.72	13.06	8.41	9.40	6.37
Houston	M	None	100	41.48	5.78	21.86	6.43	22.60	7.19
		4-18 Mos.	30	40.54	9.43	18.38	8.20	17.62	8.02
	F	24 Mos.+	67	35.95	10.14	12.85	8.00	10.49	6.12
		None	171	37.95	5.44	19.47	8.60	18.34	7.87
Houston	F	4-18 Mos.	54	36.98	5.44	14.57	7.89	13.43	7.33
		24 Mos.+	37	30.96	9.15	11.78	7.00	8.67	5.65

other words, the former earned more than the latter in accumulated income, monthly earning, and hourly rate.

Finally, the validity of these results was supported by the findings in other surveys. The 1970 census data showed that the 1969 annual income of high school graduates of 18-24 years old was substantially higher in the Houston Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA) in comparison with the same income index of the equivalent group of people in either the Austin SMSA or the San Antonio SMSA when community, sex differences, and educational attainment (college attendance) were taken into account (refer to Table 4.8 for the actual income figures). And, the area wage surveys conducted in 1972 by the U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics indicated that the weekly earning in the same type of employment was higher in the Houston SMSA than in the San Antonio SMSA. In the sample of order clerks, for a 40-hour work week, male clerks earned \$148 in the Houston SMSA in April, 1972, and \$109 in the San Antonio SMAS in May, 1972. For 39.5 hours per week, female clerks received \$107.5 in the Houston SMSA in April, 1972, and \$91 in the San Antonio SMSA in May, 1973.¹ If these weekly earnings were transformed into the figures of monthly earnings and hourly rates, exactly the same community differences would be produced.

Sex Differences and Occupational Achievement

As sex, community, and college attendance were taken into account in triple-classification analyses of

TABLE 4.8

THE 1969 ANNUAL INCOME OF PERSONS 18-24 YEARS OLD WHO
 COMPLETED 4 YEARS OF HIGH SCHOOL AND 1-3 YEARS
 OF COLLEGE IN AUSTIN, SAN ANTONIO AND HOUSTON
 STANDARD METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS

Sex	Educational Attainment	Austin SMSA	San Antonio SMSA	Houston SMSA
Male	High School 4 Yrs	\$2775	\$3055	\$4357
	College 1-3 Yrs	2430	2789	3877
Female	High School 4 Yrs	\$2479	\$2472	\$2733
	College 1-3 Yrs	1849	2317	2681

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: Detailed Characteristics, pc(1)-D45, Texas, Sec. 2 (Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1972), pp. 2133, 2149 and 2151.

variance (See Tables 4.1-4.6), sex differences were significant in accounting for accumulated income (at the .01 level or beyond), monthly earning (at the .001 level or beyond), weekly working hours (at the .001 level or beyond), and actual job length (at the .05 level or beyond) when all of three cities or any two of the three cities were included for testing.

Sex effects on the hourly rate did not reach the significance level of .05 when only Austin and San Antonio were contrasted. But, sex differences were significant at the .001 level or beyond when Houston was contrasted with either or both of the other two cities (see Table 4.3). In addition, sex differences in employed months were significant at the .05 level when the respondents in San Antonio were contrasted only with those in Houston, while these significant sex effects disappeared when Austin was included with either or both of the other two other cities for testing (see Table 4.5).

All of the significant sex effects cited above indicate that male respondents earned higher income, worked more hours per week, and stayed in jobs longer in comparison with female workers (see Table 4.7). There was not a single exception to this assertion whenever significant sex effects appeared.

Effects of College Attendance and Occupational Achievement

As the same three test factors were taken into account, the length of college attendance was significant beyond the .0001 level in accounting for accumulated income, employed months, and actual job length (see Tables 4.1, 4.5 and 4.6). It was significant at the .01 level or beyond in accounting for the monthly earning, and at the .001 level or beyond in accounting for the weekly working hours (see Tables 4.2 and 4.4). However, college attendance made no significant differences at all for the hourly rate received by both college-going and non-college high school graduates in this study (see Table 4.3).

What the cited effects of college attendance implied is that due to college education the working college students had less time for gainful employment than the non-college working youths in the same high school class. Almost certainly, because of class attendance and homework, the average college student had a restricted number of hours per week available for jobs, and very likely was kept from taking a job for consecutive months. Thus it seems reasonable to find that working college students had, on the average, shorter actual job length, less monthly earning and less accumulated income than did the non-college respondents, although no significant effects of college attendance were found on hourly rate (refer to Table 4.7).

Interaction Effects--Community x Sex,
and Sex x College Attendance

Along with the effects due to sex differences, community differences, and different lengths of college attendance, there were two types of significant interaction effects on some indices of occupational achievement. The interaction between community and sex was significant at the .05 level or beyond in accounting for accumulated income in one of the subsamples, and both monthly earning, and hourly rate when the total sample or some subsamples were included for testing. Also, the interaction between sex and college attendance was significant at the .05 level or beyond in accounting for the weekly working hours for the total sample and two of the three subsamples.

More specifically, an interaction between community and sex was significant in accounting for the accumulated income of the respondents from San Antonio and Houston combined (see Table 4.1). The same type of interaction was significant at the .001 level in accounting for the monthly earning of the respondents from the same two cities combined. When the Austin respondents were included, the statistical significance was reduced from the .001 level to the .01 level (see Table 4.2). Moreover, this interaction was significant at the .05 level or beyond in accounting for the hourly rate when Houston was included with either or both of two other cities (see Table 4.3). Finally, the interaction

between sex and college attendance was significant at the .05 level or beyond in accounting for the weekly working hours when Austin was included with either or both of two other cities (see Table 4.4).

For the above interactions between community and sex, the explanation is that the differences in accumulated income, monthly earning and hourly rate due to community effects were greater for male respondents than for female respondents. In other words, the differences in the three indices of income between the male respondents from Houston on one side and the male respondents from Austin and/or San Antonio on the other side, were more likely larger than the differences in the same three indices between the female respondents of the same two sides (refer to Table 4.7). These results are consistent with the findings secured from the 1970 census (refer to Table 4.8) and the 1972 wage surveys in the Houston and San Antonio SMSAs cited earlier in this chapter.

As for the interaction between sex and college attendance for the weekly working hours, the explanation is that sex differences were larger among the working college students who attended college for two years or longer than among the high school graduates who either never went to college or attended college for 4 months up to 18 months. In the former group, the sex differences ranged from 4.99 to 11.63 hours per week while no more than 3.56 hours per week could be found for the differences between the two sexes in the latter two groups (also refer to Table 4.7).

Method of Controlling Community,
Sex, and College Attendance

Based on the results of the triple classification analyses of variances reported in previous sections, community, sex, and college attendance were all significant at the .05 level or beyond in accounting for the accumulated income and the monthly earning variables when the respondents from all of the three cities were included for testing. Both community and sex were significant at the .05 level or beyond in accounting for the hourly rate; and both sex and college attendance were significant at the .05 level or beyond in accounting for the actual job length. Nevertheless, when only the respondents from Austin and San Antonio were included in the analyses, the community effects were not significant at the .05 level in accounting for five out of six of the indices of occupational achievement. The only exception was the weekly working hours which was barely accounted for at the significance level of .05 by the community effects.

Therefore, sex, community, and college attendance had to be controlled in the following manner: two levels (male and female) for sex, two levels (Austin-San Antonio and Houston) for community, and three levels (none, 4-18 months, and 24 months or longer) for college attendance. Sex and college attendance were handled in exactly the same way as they were for triple-classification analyses of variance in previous sections. Community differences were

handled in a modified way; that is, two separate community areas were formed to substitute for three separate cities. Although the differences in weekly working hours between Austin and San Antonio reached the significance level of .05, they did not produce significant differences in accumulated income, monthly earning, employed months, or actual job length. Moreover, there were 122 respondents from Austin, 313 from San Antonio, and 459 from Houston. The merging of the respondents from Austin and San Antonio facilitated data analyses because almost equivalent numbers of cases in two community areas were more likely subject to the same method of controlling additional test factors than were unbalanced numbers of cases in three cities.

The method of controlling test factors used in this study is identical to that suggested by Rosenberg. A test factor is controlled when it is stratified and broken down into several categories. Rosenberg illustrates that this process creates "contingent associations," in which the relation between two variables may disappear if this relation is due to a third variable (test factor).² But, since six indices of occupational achievement were conceived as interval scales instead of nominal or ordinal scales, the technique of analysis of variance is preferable to chi square analysis, which is an appropriate technique for testing the contingent associations.

Preliminary Findings of Effects
of Vocational Education

When community, sex differences, and college attendance were controlled by the method stated in the last section, 12 groups of graduates were formed. Within each of these groups, vocational graduates were compared with non-vocational graduates on all of the six indices of occupational achievement used in this study. In this way, the relationship established between vocational education and occupational achievement could not be attributed to any of the three controlled variables (test factors) cited. A single-classification analysis of variance was used to test the differences in occupational achievement between vocational and non-vocational graduates.³ Based on the results secured from this analysis, shown in Tables 4.9-4.11, secondary vocational education was significant at the .05 level or beyond in accounting for several indices of occupational achievement for both male and female non-college high school graduates; but, it was significant for working college students only in the case of female students on the hourly rate variable.

Working College Students. For both male and female respondents who went to college for 4-18 months or two years or longer, vocational education did not make any significant differences in the six indices of occupational achievement, with one exception--that female college students (two years

TABLE 4.9
EFFECTS OF VOCATIONAL EDUCATION ON SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF
894 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS WHEN COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES
AND COLLEGE ATTENDANCE ARE CONTROLLED^a

Community	College Attendance	Sex	Accumulated			Weekly Working Hours	Employed Months	Actual Job Length
			Income	Monthly Earnings	Hourly Rate			
Austin-San Antonio Area	None	Male	.0249*	---	---	---	.0007***	.0004***
		Female	.0420*	.0914	---	.0176*	.0996	.0297*
		Male	---	---	---	---	---	---
		Female	---	---	---	---	---	---
24 Mos.+	Male	---	.0931	---	---	---	---	
	Female	---	---	---	.0919	---	---	
Houston Area	None	Male	.0092**	.0111*	.0149*	---	---	---
		Female	---	---	---	---	---	---
		Male	---	---	---	---	---	---
		Female	---	---	---	---	---	---
4-18 Mos.	Male	---	---	---	---	---	---	
	Female	---	---	---	---	---	---	
24 Mos.+	Male	---	---	.0159*	---	---	---	
	Female	---	---	---	---	---	---	

^aThe results with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

* Significant at the .05 level

*** Significant at the .001 level

** Significant at the .01 level

*** Significant at the .0001 level or beyond

TABLE 4.10
 SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF 435 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES
 FROM AUSTIN-SAN ANTONIO AREA ACCORDING TO SEX DIFFERENCES,
 COLLEGE ATTENDANCE, AND VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

Sex	College Attendance	Vocational Education	No. of Cases	Accumulated Income		Monthly Earning		Hourly Rate	
				Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Male	None	Vocational	48	\$8697	\$3269	\$372.5	\$111.4	\$2.14	\$.55
		Non-Voc.	36	6818	4355	367.0	123.2	2.29	.95
		Vocational	20	5893	3036	324.3	156.3	1.97	.71
	4-18 Mos.	Non-Voc.	23	5364	3656	319.4	88.2	2.03	.73
		Vocational	18	3736	2387	270.2	86.7	1.82	.25
		Non-Voc.	36	3973	4821	338.9	160.6	2.13	1.26
Female	None	Vocational	73	6749	3126	321.0	73.3	1.91	.43
		Non-Voc.	65	5696	2958	297.9	88.3	1.86	.39
		Vocational	18	4388	2600	280.1	54.3	1.80	.21
	4-18 Mos.	Non-Voc.	25	4484	2213	296.6	102.0	1.92	.39
		Vocational	14	3107	2895	230.9	113.4	2.14	1.26
		Non-Voc.	59	2956	2276	248.8	115.6	1.87	.63
24 Mos.+									

TABLE 4.10 -- CONTINUED
 SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF 435 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES
 FROM AUSTIN-SAN ANTONIO AREA ACCORDING TO SEX DIFFERENCES,
 COLLEGE ATTENDANCE, AND VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

Sex	College Attendance	Vocational Education	No. of Cases	Weekly Working Hours		Employed Months		Actual Job Length	
				Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Male	None	Vocational	48	40.76	6.29	23.09	4.79	23.72	6.24
		Non-Voc.	36	38.82	6.77	17.72	8.49	17.35	8.76
		Vocational	20	37.51	10.02	18.53	6.76	17.52	8.10
	4-18 Mos.	Non-Voc.	23	38.35	8.35	16.25	8.86	15.17	9.03
		Vocational	18	34.28	7.70	14.28	8.04	11.97	7.50
		Non-Voc.	35	38.72	12.70	11.57	7.94	10.03	6.00
Female	None	Vocational	73	39.67	5.65	20.60	7.79	20.61	8.34
		Non-Voc.	65	37.07	7.23	18.49	7.16	17.55	8.15
		Vocational	18	36.73	5.34	15.72	8.97	14.02	7.78
	4-18 Mos.	Non-Voc.	25	35.71	8.80	15.28	7.35	13.90	6.55
		Vocational	14	26.27	9.98	13.39	11.06	8.48	7.65
		Non-Voc.	59	31.27	9.97	12.57	8.13	9.20	6.47
24 Mos.+	Vocational								
	Non-Voc.								

TABLE 4.11

SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF 459 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES FROM HOUSTON AREA
 ACCORDING TO SEX DIFFERENCES, COLLEGE ATTENDANCE, AND VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

Sex	College Attendance	Vocational Education	No. of Cases	Accumulated Income		Monthly Earning		Hourly Rate	
				Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Male	None	Vocational	48	\$12809	\$7528	\$544.3	\$257.3	\$3.00	\$1.19
		Non-Voc.	50	9496	4537	437.9	135.4	2.51	.73
		Vocational	9	8402	4282	307.9	93.8	2.36	.53
	4-18 Mos.	Non-Voc.	23	6917	3958	449.2	192.4	2.56	.61
		Vocational	10	4273	3044	354.3	173.3	2.31	.69
		Non-Voc.	57	4606	3576	394.1	190.4	2.55	1.04
Female	None	Vocational	91	6638	3438	343.6	91.9	2.08	.51
		Non-Voc.	80	6879	3652	333.0	100.5	2.12	.69
		Vocational	13	4059	2963	283.6	86.5	1.81	.36
	4-18 Mos.	Non-Voc.	28	4756	3262	313.5	75.6	1.99	.44
		Vocational	10	2481	1759	283.1	96.6	2.68	1.90
		Non-Voc.	40	3058	2720	248.2	100.9	1.86	.49

TABLE 4.11 --- CONTINUED
 SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF 459 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES FROM HOUSTON AREA
 ACCORDING TO SEX DIFFERENCES, COLLEGE ATTENDANCE, AND VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

Sex	College Attendance	Vocational Education	No. of Cases	Weekly Working Hours		Employed Months		Actual Job Length	
				Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Male	None	Vocational	48	42.25	6.17	23.00	5.99	23.97	6.29
		Non-Voc.	50	40.84	5.44	21.24	6.35	21.80	7.48
		Vocational	9	40.31	2.04	20.11	7.39	20.33	7.73
	4-18 Mos.	Non-Voc.	23	40.51	10.77	16.98	8.67	15.86	8.09
		Vocational	10	34.39	10.20	14.60	9.05	11.01	5.78
		Non-Voc.	57	36.22	10.20	12.54	7.84	10.40	6.22
Female	None	Vocational	91	38.51	4.43	18.77	7.88	18.10	7.78
		Non-Voc.	80	37.31	6.37	20.26	9.33	18.61	8.01
		Vocational	13	36.04	5.26	14.88	7.60	13.17	8.33
	4-18 Mos.	Non-Voc.	28	36.95	5.68	14.00	7.39	12.93	6.94
		Vocational	10	29.41	11.82	9.00	4.85	6.61	5.25
		Non-Voc.	40	31.07	8.80	12.49	7.11	9.15	5.63
24 Mos.+	Vocational	91	38.51	4.43	18.77	7.88	18.10	7.78	
	Non-Voc.	80	37.31	6.37	20.26	9.33	18.61	8.01	

or longer) who took vocational education in high school got a higher average hourly rate than their non-vocational counterparts who had no vocational training. However, this advantage in average hourly rate on the part of female vocational graduates who went to college did not amount to a higher average monthly earning or accumulated income (see Tables 4.9-4.11).

Non-College High School Graduates from Austin-San Antonio Area. Male non-college vocational graduates had higher means of employed months and actual job length (both significant at the .001 level) than those of their non-vocational counterparts in the Austin-San Antonio area. Female non-college vocational graduates were better off in weekly working hours and actual job length (both significant at the .05 level) in the same community area. The longer lengths of employment of vocational graduates of both sexes corresponded to their higher averages in accumulated income (significant at the .05 level) where the Austin-San Antonio area was concerned (see Tables 4.9-4.11).

In terms of actual figures (Table 4.10), the means of employed months and actual job length for male vocational graduates were 23.09 months and 23.72 months, respectively. The means of these two indices were 17.72 months and 17.35 months in the same order for male non-vocational graduates. The means of accumulated income were \$8697 and \$6818 for male vocational and non-vocational graduates, respectively.

As for the female non-college respondents, the means of weekly working hours and actual job length were 39.67 hours and 20.61 months for vocational graduates, and 37.07 hours and 17.55 months for non-vocational graduates. Finally, the means of accumulated income were \$6749 and \$5696 for female vocational and non-vocational graduates, respectively.

However, for both male and female respondents from the Austin-San Antonio area, the secondary vocational variable was not significant at the .05 level in accounting for the monthly earning and the hourly rate. Higher mean accumulated income of vocational graduates was not much related to the pay rates they received. Rather, it might be more related to their actual job length and/or two other indices of length of employment (weekly working hours and employed months).

Non-College High School Graduates from the Houston Area. For the male non-college respondents, vocational graduates had a higher mean monthly earning and higher mean hourly rate (both significant at the .05 level) than those of non-vocational graduates. These advantages to male vocational graduates in pay rates also corresponded to their higher average accumulated income (significant at the .01 level). However, no significant differences were found between female non-college vocational and non-vocational graduates in the same three indices of occupational achievement in the Houston area (see Table 4.9 and 4.11).

For male respondents from the Houston area, as the significant effects of vocational education cited were reported in actual figures, vocational graduates had \$3.00 and \$544.3, respectively, for mean hourly rate and mean monthly earning, while non-vocational graduates had \$2.51 and \$437.9, respectively, for the same two indices. The means of accumulated income were \$12,809 and \$9,496 for male vocational and non-vocational graduates, respectively. In all three indices of income, male vocational graduates fared substantially better than non-vocational graduates (refer to Table 4.11).

Nevertheless, for both male and female non-college graduates, vocational education was not significant at the .05 level in accounting for the weekly working hours, the employed months, and the actual job length. All these three indices were the variables for length of employment (see Table 4.9).

Summary of Preliminary Findings of Effects of Vocational Education. Based on the above results, preliminary findings of the effects of vocational education are stated as follows: First, vocational education graduates who were available for employment a total of 27 months were better off in gainful employment than non-vocational graduates, among non-college workers, with the exception of female graduates from the Houston area. However, this was not

so at all among college students who joined the labor force while attending college.

Second, the advantages of non-college vocational graduates differed between the two community areas. Vocational education was associated with length of employment in the Austin-San Antonio area whereas it was associated with pay rates in the Houston area (for male graduates only).

Third, although unable to significantly account for both employment lengths and pay rates simultaneously, vocational education was successful in accounting for the accumulated income of non-college workers in both sexes in the Austin-San Antonio area, and that of male non-college workers in the Houston area.

Finally, as discussed in Chapter I and shown in Appendix A.4, accumulated income of high school graduates was related more to employed months and hourly rate than to weekly working hours--the three factorically pure indices of occupational achievement. It is a logical deduction, therefore, that vocational education must be significant in accounting for employed months and/or hourly rate if vocational education makes any real differences in accumulated income at all.

Summary

Three test factors--community, sex, and college attendance--were chosen to be controlled in preliminary

analysis because they had strong significant associations with occupational achievement and/or secondary vocational education. As the process of controlling the three factors was carried out, secondary vocational education was found to have no significant associations with the occupational achievement of female non-college workers in the Houston area, as well as all groups of working college students. However, secondary vocational education was significant at the .05 level or beyond in accounting for the accumulated income as far as non-college workers of both sexes in the Austin-San Antonio area, and male non-college workers in the Houston area, were concerned. Significant effects of secondary vocational education were found on the employed months of male non-college workers, the weekly working hours of female non-college workers, and the actual job length of non-college workers of both sexes in the Austin-San Antonio area. Moreover, these significant effects also were found on the monthly earning and the hourly rate of male non-college workers in the Houston area. Therefore, the effects of secondary vocational education were significant at the .05 level or beyond in accounting for some of six indices of occupational achievement of non-college workers, depending upon sex and community differences.

NOTES

¹See U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Area Wage Survey: The Houston, Texas, Metropolitan Area, April 1972, Bulletin 1725-79 (1972), Table A-1, pp. 6-7 and Area Wage Survey: The San Antonio, Texas, Metropolitan Area, May, 1972, Bulletin 1725-67, Table A-1, p. 6.

²A brief discussion of the method of controlling a variable is presented in The Logic of Survey Analysis by Morris Rosenberg (New York: Basic Books, Inc., Publishers, 1968), pp. 23-27.

³A single-classification analysis of variance with vocational and non-vocational graduates as two groups is equivalent to the t test for them as two independent groups; see Donald J. Veldman, Fortran Programming for the Behavioral Sciences (New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1967), p. 247.

CHAPTER V
FURTHER EXAMINATION OF EFFECTS OF SECONDARY
VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

Preliminary findings presented in Chapter III indicated that vocational education was significant in accounting for some indices of occupational achievement of non-college workers, but not for the indices of occupational achievement of working college students, with a single exception. Thus, there was no point in further analyzing the information on college students. Further testing of the effects of secondary vocational education was, therefore, limited to the 493 respondents who never went to college.

In this chapter, the findings from further testing were reported in a way that results secured by controlling marital status and ethnicity were presented first, followed by results obtained from controlling, in turn, such test factors as father's occupation, father's education, and academic achievement. The reason for marital status and ethnicity being considered first was that they were the test factors which were more likely than the other three to be extraneous variables because of their significant common association with both secondary vocational education and some

indices of occupational achievement of high school graduates, as reported in Chapter III.

Although business education and industrial arts were found to have significant associations with secondary vocational education and some indices of occupational achievement, it was not feasible to include them as independent variables in analysis in this chapter. There were two reasons for omitting the two test factors in further analysis of effects of secondary vocational education: Since each of them consisted of five or six dichotomous variables, there were technical difficulties in considering either of them as an independent variable in the analysis of variance. Besides, no information about the two areas of curriculum was secured from the project schools. Therefore, there were no grounds on which these two test factors could be handled in proper ways in order to fit the statistical technique used in this chapter. However, both business education and industrial arts were possibly important variables in the investigation of the relationship between secondary vocational education and occupational achievement of high school graduates.

For convenience of data analysis, male and female respondents were combined when effects of test factors in this chapter were taken into account. In other words, sex also was controlled as were each of the other test factors, and was handled by three-way classification analysis of variance.

Effects of Secondary Vocational Education
with Marital Status Taken into Account

Marital status was not a significant variable in any of the indices of income and employment length for high school graduates from the Austin-San Antonio area. However, it was highly significant at the .001 level in accounting for accumulated income, monthly earning and hourly rate of the Houston graduates. It was quite possible that the significant effects of marital status on the accumulated income of high school graduates from the Houston area were related to pay rates rather than employment lengths. However, the effects of marital status on the occupational achievement in the Houston area applied to male graduates to a much greater extent than to female graduates. Male married vocational graduates earned 136 percent of the accumulated income of male single vocational graduates. The ratio of accumulated income of male married non-vocational graduates to male single non-vocational graduates was as high as 168 percent. These ratios of male married workers to male single workers were 132 percent to 142 percent, and 124 percent to 139 percent, in that order, as monthly earnings and hourly rates were considered. Nevertheless, the differences in income between married and single women was negligible at best (see Tables 5.1 and 5.2).

As marital status was taken into account, the effects of vocational education remained basically unchanged when income and employment lengths of high school graduates

were considered. First, secondary vocational education was significant at the .05 level in accounting for the accumulated income of high school graduates from both the Austin-San Antonio area and the Houston area. For the Austin-San Antonio graduates, effects of secondary vocational education were quite clear-cut for both sexes. Regardless of whether they were married or single, vocational graduates had a higher accumulated income than non-vocational graduates of the same sex. For the Houston graduates, effects of secondary vocational education operated in a somewhat complicated way. Beneficial consequences of secondary vocational education were not present for women. These benefits were shown for men as vocational graduates were compared with non-vocational graduates of the same marital status. These sex differences in the effectiveness of secondary vocational education led to a statistically significant interaction between sex and secondary vocational education at the .05 level.

Second, the effects of secondary vocational education on accumulated income were related to its effects on employed months and actual job length (significant at the .01 level) for the graduates from Austin-San Antonio, while favorable effects of secondary vocational education were related to monthly earning and hourly rate (significant at the .01 and .05 levels, respectively) in the Houston area. These findings were consistent with the results in other analyses in this study.

TABLE 5.1
EFFECTS OF SEX DIFFERENCES, VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND MARITAL STATUS
ON SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT FOR
NON-COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXASA

Community Area	Effects	Accumulated			Weekly		Employed Months	Actual Job Length
		Income	Monthly Earning	Hourly Rate	Working Hours	Months		
Austin-San Antonio	Sex	.0032**	.0000****	.0005***	.0260*	----	----	.0050**
	Voc Education	.0205*	----	----	.0552	----	----	----
	Marital Status	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Sex x Voc Ed	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Sex x Marital	----	----	----	.0304*	----	----	----
	Voc Ed x Marital	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
Houston	Sex x Voc Ed x Marital	----	.0577	----	----	----	----	----
	Sex	.0000****	.0000****	.0000****	.0000****	.0106*	----	.0000****
	Voc Education	.0353*	.0028**	.0354*	.0607	----	----	----
	Marital Status	.0003****	.0602****	.0005***	----	----	----	.0808
	Sex x Voc Ed	.0120*	.0142*	.0151*	----	----	----	----
	Sex x Marital	.0005****	.0001****	.0001****	----	----	----	----
Houston	Voc Ed x Marital	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Sex x Voc Ed x Marital	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

The results with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

* Significant at the .05 level ** Significant at the .01 level
 *** Significant at the .001 level **** Significant at the .0001 level or beyond

TABLE 5.2
SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF NON-COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS
ACCORDING TO COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES, VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND MARITAL STATUS

Community Area	Sex	Vocational Education	Marital Status	No. of Cases	Accumulated Income		Monthly Earning		Hourly Rate	
					Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Austin-San Antonio	M	Voc. Graduate	M	16	\$ 8428	\$2826	\$359.1	\$ 81.5	\$2.02	\$.43
		Non-Voc. Graduate	S	32	8832	3505	379.2	124.4	2.19	.61
	M	Voc. Graduate	M	6	7702	1661	435.7	100.4	2.42	.46
		Non-Voc. Graduate	S	30	6641	4714	353.2	124.1	2.27	1.02
	F	Voc. Graduate	M	39	6252	3352	322.4	69.0	1.96	.37
		Non-Voc. Graduate	S	34	7319	2786	319.4	78.9	1.85	.49
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	M	21	5853	3200	290.3	98.2	1.89	.38
			S	44	5621	2872	301.5	84.2	1.84	.40
Houston	M	Voc. Graduate	M	17	15092	10547	641.6	380.8	3.42	1.63
		Non-Voc. Graduate	S	33	11106	5191	486.5	131.5	2.76	.78
	M	Voc. Graduate	M	17	12965	4373	544.4	147.0	3.09	.82
		Non-Voc. Graduate	S	33	7709	3503	383.0	90.2	2.22	.47
	F	Voc. Graduate	M	57	6677	3358	345.5	80.2	2.09	.46
		Non-Voc. Graduate	S	34	6572	3619	340.3	109.9	2.07	.58
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	M	36	6981	3876	319.1	100.8	2.05	.51
			S	43	6780	3542	344.5	101.1	2.17	.82

TABLE 5.2 -- CONTINUED
 SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF NON-COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS
 ACCORDING TO COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES, VOCATIONAL EDUCATION AND MARITAL STATUS

Community Area	Sex	Vocational Education	Marital Status	No. of Cases	Weekly Working Hours		Employed Mean	Months		Actual Job Mean	Length	
					Hrs	S.D.		S.D.	Mos		Mos	S.D.
Austin-San Antonio	M	Voc. Graduate	M	16	41.70	5.91	23.22	5.04	24.28	6.79		
			S	32	40.29	6.51	23.03	4.74	23.44	6.04		
	M	Non-Voc. Graduate	M	6	41.90	2.65	18.83	6.71	19.41	6.16		
			S	30	38.28	7.20	17.50	8.88	16.94	9.22		
	F	Voc. Graduate	M	39	38.85	5.62	18.67	8.32	18.33	8.41		
			S	34	40.66	5.61	22.81	6.58	23.22	7.55		
Houston	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	M	21	35.60	8.85	19.07	6.84	17.65	8.51		
			S	44	37.77	6.38	18.21	7.36	17.51	8.07		
	M	Voc. Graduate	M	17	43.29	6.98	22.71	4.71	24.50	6.11		
			S	33	41.53	5.58	22.36	7.34	22.83	7.26		
	M	Non-Voc. Graduate	M	17	41.22	3.55	23.71	3.74	24.43	4.38		
			S	33	40.64	6.23	19.97	7.07	20.44	8.39		
Houston	F	Voc. Graduate	M	57	38.72	4.22	18.89	7.69	18.21	7.55		
			S	34	38.15	4.79	18.57	8.30	17.92	8.26		
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	M	36	36.39	7.19	21.39	11.29	19.11	8.80		
			S	43	38.01	5.64	19.28	7.44	18.11	7.44		
	M	Voc. Graduate	M	17	43.29	6.98	22.71	4.71	24.50	6.11		
			S	33	41.53	5.58	22.36	7.34	22.83	7.26		

Finally, gaps in income between vocational graduates and non-vocational graduates were wider when both were single. Effects of vocational education were less impressive for married graduates. For instance, the ratio of accumulated income of single male vocational graduates to single male non-vocational graduates was 133 percent and 144 percent for the Austin-San Antonio area and the Houston area, respectively, while the ratio of married male vocational graduates to married male non-vocational graduates was 109 percent and 116 percent in the same order. The female graduates from the Austin-San Antonio area followed the same pattern. Single vocational graduates earned 130 percent of the accumulated income of non-vocational graduates with the same marital status, while this ratio was only 107 percent for married women. However, female graduates from Houston were so insensitive to the effects of both vocational education and marital status that no real differences were shown by these two variables.

Effects of Vocational Education with Ethnicity Taken into Account

In the 1970 census of population, persons with Spanish surnames and origins (equivalent to Mexican-Americans in this study) were not considered as a race. The census information secured from these people was included in the category of Whites and Others. However, Mexican-Americans as well as blacks were a disadvantaged group as

far as income is concerned. Thus, there was a necessity to separate Mexican-Americans from whites in order to establish whether there were differences in income and length of employment between these two groups of people. Accordingly, in the questionnaire (Item 1) the respondents were asked for an identification in terms of one of three ethnic groups; that is, whites, Mexican-Americans, and blacks. Since race was not a desirable term to describe the criterion of grouping, it was called ethnicity in this study.

According to Wattenberg and Scammon¹, black family income went up by 99.6 percent, while white family income increased by 69 percent in the United States during the decade of 1961-1971. The faster rate of increase in black family income closed the income gap between black and white family income. In 1961 the ratio of black family income to white family income was 53 percent; in 1971 this ratio was up to 63 percent. However, in the South, the ratio of black family income to white family income was somewhat lower than the national average. It was 57 percent in the South as a whole in 1971.² It was 59.8 percent in Texas in 1969.³ These figures reflected some progress toward equal distribution in income between blacks and whites. However, there has been a more dramatic change than the data show, because the income gap due to ethnic differences was much narrower among younger people than among the total labor force.

For the 1969 annual income of persons 18-24 years old, the black average income was 82 percent of the white average income, and the Mexican-American average was 84-85 percent of the white average. When those in the same age range who completed four years of high school were considered, black men and Mexican-American men earned 86 percent and 90 percent, respectively, of the average income of white men in 1969. The percentages for black women and Mexican-American women were 82 percent and 94 percent, respectively, in the same year (see Table 5.3). These findings were basically consistent with those presented later in this chapter.

In the Austin-San Antonio area, only white graduates and Mexican-American graduates were included in the analysis of the effects of ethnicity. Black non-college graduates were not taken into account because only 19 black respondents were available. Technically, these black graduates could have been included in the analysis, but generalizations would have been unsatisfactory because of the extremely small number of cases. For the same reason, the 15 Mexican-American graduates also were excluded from the analysis for the Houston area.

Secondary vocational education for non-college workers remained significant at the .05 level for both community areas in accounting for accumulated income when sex and ethnicity were simultaneously taken into account. Voca-

TABLE 5.3

INDIVIDUAL INCOME IN 1969 OF TEXANS 18-24 YEARS
 OLD ACCORDING TO SEX, ETHNICITY
 AND EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT

Educational Attainment	Sex	White	Black	Mexican-American
All Educational Levels	Male	\$3348 (100%)	\$2759 (82%)	\$2855 (85%)
	Female	2250 (100%)	1849 (82%)	1892 (84%)
Four Years of High School	Male	3632 (100%)	3119 (86%)	3285 (90%)
	Female	2442 (100%)	2006 (82%)	2288 (94%)

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, U.S. Census of Population: Detailed Characteristics (Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1972), pc 17-D45, Texas, Section 2, pp. 2121-22.

tional education was also significant in accounting for some other indices. It made significant differences in weekly working hours (at the .05 level), employed months (at the .001 level) and actual job length (at the .001 level) for the graduates from the Austin-San Antonio area; but, it was significant (at the .01 level) in accounting for the monthly earning of graduates from the Houston area (see Table 5.4). Therefore, different reasons should be given in the interpretation of why vocational education was significant in accounting for the accumulated income of high school graduates in the two community areas. Vocational graduates fared better in accumulated income than did non-vocational graduates because the former had a longer average length of employment than the latter in the Austin-San Antonio area. However, vocational graduates as a whole fared better in accumulated income than their non-vocational counterparts because of higher average monthly earning, rather than a higher average length of employment, in the Houston area. Moreover, it is important to pay attention to a significant interaction between sex and vocational education in accumulated income and monthly earning in the Houston area. It may be interpreted that only male vocational graduates had a higher achievement in accumulated income and monthly earning than their non-vocational counterparts (see Tables 5.4 and 5.5).

TABLE 5.4
EFFECTS OF SEX, VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND ETHNICITY ON SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL
ACHIEVEMENT FOR NON-COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS^a

Community Area	Effects	Accumulated Income	Monthly Earning	Hourly Rate	Weekly Working Hours	Employed Months	Actual Job Length
Austin-San Antonio ^b	Sex	.0008***	.0001***	.0002***	---	---	---
	Voc Education	.0106*	---	---	.0208*	.0006***	.0002***
	Ethnicity (White v. Mexican-Amer.)	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Sex x Voc Educ.	---	---	---	---	---	---
Houston ^c	Sex	.0000****	.0000****	.0000****	.0002***	.0008***	.0000****
	Voc Education	.0391*	.0069**	.0567	---	---	---
	Ethnicity (White v. Mexican-Amer.)	.0048**	---	.0464*	---	.0001***	.0002***
	Sex x Voc Educ.	.0184*	.0185*	.0260*	---	---	---
	Sex x Ethnicity	.0413*	.0671	---	---	.0209*	.0541
	Voc Ed. x Ethnicity	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Sex x Voc x Ethn.	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Sex x Voc x Ethn.	---	---	---	---	---	---

^aThe probabilities larger than .10 are not listed.
^bBlack graduates are excluded from analysis owing to small number of respondents.
^cMexican-American graduates are excluded from analysis owing to small number of respondents.

* Significant at the .05 level ** Significant at the .01 level
 *** Significant at the .001 level **** Significant at the .0001 level

TABLE 5.5
SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF NON-COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL
GRADUATES IN TEXAS ACCORDING TO COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES,
VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND ETHNICITY

Community Area	Sex	Vocational Education	Ethnicity	No. of Cases	Accumulated Income		Monthly Earning		Hourly Rate	
					Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Austin-San Antonio	M	Voc. Graduate	White	21	\$ 8366	\$ 3476	\$368.8	\$118.1	\$2.15	\$.45
		Non-Voc. Graduate	Mexican Am.	20	9428	3296	394.2	117.0	2.20	.69
	M	Non-Voc. Graduate	White	14	8005	5535	400.7	152.1	2.44	1.17
		Voc. Graduate	Mexican Am.	20	7131	4591	360.8	127.6	2.30	.92
	F	Voc. Graduate	White	36	7017	3284	324.4	84.3	2.01	.48
		Non-Voc. Graduate	Mexican Am.	30	7089	2667	324.5	61.4	1.85	.36
Houston	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	White	32	6229	2956	307.9	88.7	1.90	.39
		Graduate	Mexican Am.	24	5184	3072	298.9	89.7	1.88	.37
	M	Voc. Graduate	White	37	12777	5738	537.7	163.8	3.04	.92
		Non-Voc. Graduate	Black	11	12443	12399	562.2	463.4	2.96	1.83
	M	Non-Voc. Graduate	White	27	10042	4444	444.8	140.5	2.55	.74
		Voc. Graduate	Black	16	9241	5333	441.8	153.8	2.55	.82
Houston	F	Voc. Graduate	White	63	7431	3288	364.7	88.5	2.19	.52
		Non-Voc. Graduate	Black	25	4451	2802	294.6	76.6	1.82	.39
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	White	53	7957	3175	351.7	94.2	2.25	.69
		Graduate	Black	23	4336	3781	291.6	111.8	1.82	.66

TABLE 5.5 -- CONTINUED
 SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF NON-COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL
 GRADUATES IN TEXAS ACCORDING TO COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES,
 VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND ETHNICITY

Community Area	Sex	Vocational Education	Ethnicity	No. of Cases	Weekly Working Hours		Employed Months		Actual Job Length	
					Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Austin-San Antonio	M	Voc.	White	21	39.87	8.42	22.14	5.26	22.38	7.05
		Graduate	Mexican Am.	20	41.94	3.40	23.93	3.93	25.17	5.09
	M	Non-Voc.	White	14	39.94	5.88	17.96	8.85	17.90	9.11
	F	Graduate	Mexican Am.	20	38.12	7.79	19.05	8.61	18.60	9.36
Houston	F	Voc.	White	36	38.14	6.31	21.06	7.56	20.42	7.93
		Graduate	Mexican Am.	30	41.32	4.95	21.87	7.38	22.64	8.23
	F	Non-Voc.	White	32	37.21	6.10	19.34	7.00	18.46	7.63
		Graduate	Mexican Am.	24	37.02	8.43	16.99	7.54	16.05	8.63
Houston	M	Voc.	White	37	41.47	4.55	23.09	5.36	23.95	6.02
		Graduate	Black	11	42.05	5.54	21.50	8.56	22.39	8.99
	M	Non-Voc.	White	27	40.79	6.75	22.54	6.31	23.21	8.09
		Graduate	Black	16	40.15	2.78	19.88	6.57	19.93	6.67
	F	Voc.	White	63	38.98	3.17	20.11	7.66	19.57	7.52
		Graduate	Black	25	37.74	5.76	14.56	7.22	13.88	7.03
	F	Non-Voc.	White	53	36.90	6.71	22.80	8.51	20.64	6.70
		Graduate	Black	23	37.96	6.11	14.07	9.18	13.37	9.07

Considering the effects of ethnicity, there were no significant differences between white and Mexican-American graduates in all six indices of occupational achievement in the Austin-San Antonio area. But, white graduates fared significantly better than black graduates in accumulated income (at the .01 level), hourly rate (at the .05 level), employed months (at the .0001 level), as well as actual job length (at the .001 level) in the Houston area. There were also significant interaction effects between sex and ethnicity in accumulated income and employed months in the Houston area. Based on the above information, ethnic differences in occupational achievement between white and black graduates were due to a differential length of employment more than differential pay rates. And, the disadvantages of black graduates in occupational achievement were due to the female groups rather than the male groups (also see Tables 5.4 and 5.5).

Effects of Secondary Vocational Education with
Father's Occupation Taken into Account

Thomas considers income, occupational appeal, and worker qualification as the three major vertical dimensions of occupational structure.⁴ Since no inquiry was made about father's income in the questionnaire survey, father's occupational prestige and education attainment were used as the two indices of social origin in this study. These two indices are close to Thomas' conceptions of occupational appeal and worker qualification.

Item 2 in the questionnaire consisted of five groups of occupational titles, in order from low prestige to high prestige. The five rated options for father's occupation were based on the 1963 scores and ranks of NORC occupations matched by the 1960 socioeconomic status of census occupations.⁵ The cutoff points for the five groups of occupations were arbitrary, but with no overlapping in prestige scores and ranks. As the occupational titles were different between the NORC data and the census data, the more updated or commonly used titles were chosen, according to common sense judgment.

There were two advantages in the use of the father's occupational prestige as an index of social origin. The scale of occupational prestige is a uni-dimensioned continuum with no confusion of indistinctive overlapping and multi-dimensioned complication. As suggested by Reiss, this ordered scale of occupational prestige can be used to measure social status.⁶ Furthermore, occupational prestige is highly stable in American society. According to a study conducted by Hodge, Siegel and Rossi, prestige ratings of occupations were very consistent during the four decades from 1925 until 1963. The associations of the 1963 NORC scores to the 1925 prestige ratings in Counts' study and to the 1947 NORC scores were as high as .934 and .990, respectively.⁷ Since the prestige ratings of occupations are so stable, it was assumed that the ordered options of fathers'

occupations in this study were in all probability highly reliable, even though they were based on investigations made a decade earlier.

Since there were very few respondents whose father's occupation belonged to either of the two lowest categories, these respondents, plus the respondents in the third category, formed a group of low father's occupation for the convenience of statistical analysis. The respondents in the two highest categories, on the other hand, formed a group of high father's occupation. The difference in income and length of employment between these two groups was thus considered to be the effects of the father's occupational prestige.

As far as the Austin-San Antonio area was concerned, the father's occupation was not found to be a significant variable in accounting for income and employment length of high school graduates. Although there was significant interaction in hourly rate between sex and father's occupation, it did not amount to any interaction effect in accumulated income or monthly earning. However, father's occupation is significant at the .05 level in accounting for employed months and actual job length of high school graduates from the Houston area. Also, there were significant interactions at the .01 level between sex and father's occupation as accumulated income and pay rates were considered (see Tables 5.6 and 5.7).

TABLE 5.6
EFFECTS OF SEX DIFFERENCES, VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND FATHER'S OCCUPATION
ON SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT FOR NON-COLLEGE
HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS^a

Community Area	Effects	Accumulated Income	Monthly Earning	Hourly Rate	Weekly Working Hours	Employed Months	Actual Job Length
Austin-San Antonio	Sex	.0029***	.0001****	.0001****	---	---	---
	Voc Education	.0072***	---	---	.0023**	.0017**	.0002***
	Father's Occup.	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Sex x Father's Occup.	---	---	.0631	---	---	---
	Sex x Voc Ed	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Voc Ed x Father's Occup.	---	---	.0302*	.0693	---	---
Houston	Sex	.0000****	.0000****	.0000****	.0000****	---	.0016**
	Voc Education	.0105*	.0021**	.0246*	.0825	---	---
	Father's Occup.	.0612	---	---	---	.0403*	.0291*
	Sex x Father's Occup.	.0014**	.0038**	.0006***	---	.0919	---
	Sex x Voc Ed	---	---	---	---	---	---
	Voc Ed x Father's Occup.	---	---	---	---	---	---
Sex x Voc Ed x Father's Occup.		.0583	---	.0078**	.0949	---	---

^aThe results with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

* Significant at the .05 level ** Significant at the .01 level
 *** Significant at the .001 level **** Significant at the .0001 level or beyond



TABLE 5.7
SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF NON-COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL
GRADUATES IN TEXAS ACCORDING TO COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES,
VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND FATHER'S OCCUPATION

Community Area	Sex	Vocational Education	Father's Occup.	No. of Cases	Accumulated		Monthly Earning		Hourly Rate	
					Income Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.
Austin-San Antonio	M	Voc. Graduate	Low	35	\$ 8658	\$3420	\$366.8	\$114.6	\$2.15	\$.59
			High	13	8802	2952	387.8	105.3	2.10	.45
	M	Non-Voc. Graduate	Low	26	7277	5149	377.5	139.6	2.20	.78
			High	10	7271	4358	365.4	126.1	2.67	1.40
	F	Voc. Graduate	Low	47	6847	3290	326.3	77.5	1.94	.46
			High	25	6722	2812	314.5	64.8	1.85	.38
Houston	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	Low	40	5368	3014	294.9	93.8	1.83	.42
			High	26	6226	2791	303.3	78.9	1.91	.34
	M	Voc. Graduate	Low	34	11618	7741	520.6	269.3	2.86	1.14
			High	16	14256	7150	578.9	218.1	3.25	1.21
	M	Non-Voc. Graduate	Low	36	10049	4807	454.1	144.3	2.62	.76
			High	14	8074	3512	396.2	102.2	2.23	.61
Houston	F	Voc. Graduate	Low	63	6038	3386	332.1	83.6	2.03	.43
			High	28	7986	3218	369.3	105.3	2.20	.65
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	Low	62	6385	3784	322.9	103.5	2.01	.53
			High	17	8648	2645	369.5	85.0	2.52	1.03

TABLE 5.7 --CONTINUED
 SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF NON-COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL
 GRADUATES IN TEXAS ACCORDING TO COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES,
 VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND FATHER'S OCCUPATION

Community Area	Sex	Vocational Education	Father's Occup.	No. of Cases	Weekly Working Hours Mean	S.D.	Employed Months Mean	S.D.	Actual Job Length Mean	S.D.
Austin-San Antonio	M	Voc. Graduate	Low	35	40.02	6.81	23.27	4.95	23.51	6.46
			High	13	42.76	4.19	22.62	4.44	24.28	5.82
	M	Non-Voc. Graduate	Low	26	40.14	4.66	18.15	8.55	18.34	8.67
			High	10	35.80	10.28	18.30	8.90	16.83	10.24
	F	Voc. Graduate	Low	47	39.84	6.60	20.50	8.18	20.66	8.81
			High	25	39.70	3.23	21.12	7.09	20.95	7.41
Houston	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	Low	40	37.16	7.60	17.58	7.17	16.71	8.28
			High	26	39.97	6.72	19.94	6.89	18.91	7.75
	M	Voc. Graduate	Low	34	42.37	7.11	21.84	6.97	22.84	7.51
			High	16	41.61	3.04	23.84	5.37	24.59	5.30
	M	Non-Voc. Graduate	Low	36	40.38	4.97	21.53	6.02	21.86	6.92
			High	14	42.00	6.56	20.50	7.35	21.62	9.03
Houston	F	Voc. Graduate	Low	63	38.05	5.05	17.55	7.94	16.75	7.78
			High	28	39.55	2.25	21.52	7.14	21.15	6.99
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	Low	62	37.56	6.24	19.38	10.05	17.85	8.28
			High	17	36.24	7.08	23.38	5.58	21.18	6.72

The relationship of vocational education to income and employment of high school graduates basically remained unchanged after the father's occupation was controlled. In the Austin-San Antonio area, significant effects of vocational education on accumulated income of high school graduates were related to its effects on all three indices of employment length. On the other hand, significant effects of vocational education in the Houston area were related to pay rates of graduates, since vocational graduates fared better than non-vocational graduates in both monthly earning and hourly rate, rather than length of employment. Male vocational graduates fared better in accumulated income than male non-vocational graduates wherever effects of the father's occupational prestige operated. It was apparent that male non-vocational graduates were unlikely to make up for a lack of vocational education by favorable family influences. On the contrary, female non-vocational graduates from supposedly more influential families scored even lower than those from less influential families. Finally, secondary vocational education had slight negative effects on the accumulated income of female graduates when the father's occupation was taken into account. However, these negative influences were so negligible that no significant interaction between sex and secondary vocational education appeared in accumulated income.

Effects of Vocational Education with
Father's Education Taken into Account

Item 3 in the questionnaire consisted of seven categories of father's educational attainment, in order from elementary school to graduation from college and receipt of graduate degrees. In the analysis, three levels of father's educational attainment were used--elementary school, high school, and college or beyond. The level of elementary school covered the eighth grade and below; the level of high school included graduation from high school or some high school education; and the level of college or beyond applied to some college education, graduation from college and receipt of graduate degrees. This practice of reducing the number of categories was necessary to facilitate data analysis. Three-way analysis of variance was used in this chapter for non-college graduates in the Austin-San Antonio area and the Houston area, separately; too many categories of father's education would have given a very small number of cases to some cells, which stand for particular categories on the basis of a combination of sex, vocational education, and father's education. The means of these cells with small numbers of cases would have been very sensitive to sampling fluctuations. Even with only three categories for father's education, one cell out of twelve for the Austin-San Antonio area and two cells out of twelve for the Houston area had less than ten cases.

Father's education was significant at the .05 level in accounting for accumulated income, monthly earnings and hourly rates of non-college graduates from the Austin-San Antonio area. With the exception of male vocational graduates, respondents whose fathers had attended or graduated from high school fared better in the three indices cited than did the respondents whose fathers attended elementary school or college. However, none of six indices of occupational achievement were significantly accounted for by father's education in the Houston area (see Tables 5.8 and 5.9).

When father's education was taken into account, secondary vocational education significantly accounted for the accumulated income of high school graduates at the .01 level and .05 level, respectively, for the Austin-San Antonio and Houston areas. The effectiveness of vocational education in accounting for accumulated income improved from the .05 level in previous analyses to the .01 level in this analysis for the Austin-San Antonio area. However, the significance of secondary vocational education remained at the same level for the Houston graduates. The significant effects of secondary vocational education should be explained in terms of employment lengths rather than pay rates for the Austin-San Antonio graduates, but in terms of pay rates rather than employment lengths for the Houston graduates. Secondary vocational education was significant at the

TABLE 5.8
EFFECTS OF SEX DIFFERENCES, VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND FATHER'S EDUCATION
ON SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT FOR NON-COLLEGE
HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS^a

Community Area	Effects	Accumulated	Monthly	Hourly	Weekly	Employed	Actual
		Income	Earning	Rate	Working Hours	Months	Job Length
Austin-San Antonio	Sex	.0024**	.0002***	.0004***	----	----	----
	Voc Education	.0079**	----	----	.0171*	.0009***	.0003***
	Father's Educ	.0191*	.0126*	.0320*	----	----	.0995
	Sex x Voc Ed	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Sex x Father's Education	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Voc Ed x Father's Education	----	.0108*	.0558	----	----	----
Houston	Sex	.0000***	.0000***	.0030***	.0000***	.0251*	.0002***
	Voc Education	.0342*	.0060**	.0278*	----	----	----
	Father's Educ	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Sex x Voc Ed	.0041**	.0195*	.0117*	----	.0775	----
	Sex x Father's Education	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Voc Ed x Father's Education	----	----	----	----	----	----
Sex x Voc Ed x Father's Educ	----	----	----	----	----	----	

^aThe results with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

* Significant at the .05 level ** Significant at the .01 level
 *** Significant at the .001 level **** Significant at the .0001 level or beyond

TABLE 5.9
SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF NON-COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS ACCORDING TO COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES, VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND FATHER'S EDUCATION

Community Area	Sex	Vocational Education	Father's Education	No. of Cases	Accumulated		Monthly		Hourly	
					Income Mean	S.D.	Earning Mean	S.D.	Rate Mean	S.D.
Austin-San Antonio	M	Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	18	\$ 9625	\$3475	\$402.9	\$124.1	\$2.27	\$.74
			High Sch. College	17	8577	2747	365.3	63.4	2.03	.29
			College	13	7571	3466	339.8	137.3	2.09	.53
	M	Non-Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	15	6176	3384	327.3	90.3	2.20	.91
			High Sch. College	15	9151	5913	440.5	159.5	2.60	1.16
			College	6	5333	4249	325.4	98.3	2.00	.65
	F	Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	25	6530	2826	317.9	65.9	1.81	.35
			High Sch. College	32	7132	3228	324.2	82.3	2.01	.50
			College	16	6327	3467	319.4	69.4	1.86	.40
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	27	5369	2966	277.2	91.8	1.73	.38
		High Sch. College	21	6501	3193	336.2	89.5	2.11	.37	
		College	17	5220	2588	283.4	68.1	1.76	.28	
Houston	M	Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	21	11394	5367	512.1	145.3	2.87	.92
			High Sch. College	21	14115	9136	568.9	343.7	3.10	1.42
			College	6	13191	8240	571.4	240.1	3.13	1.28
	M	Non-Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	19	8876	3693	420.1	123.5	2.39	.68
			High Sch. College	22	9816	4893	435.9	138.8	2.58	.74
			College	9	10024	5570	483.3	156.9	2.58	.86
	F	Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	36	6938	3433	336.4	83.7	2.05	.43
			High Sch. College	40	6658	3613	344.8	98.7	2.10	.52
			College	15	5863	3050	357.4	120.4	2.11	.68
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	36	6632	3827	321.4	107.2	2.13	.90
		High Sch. College	28	6699	3800	336.7	95.4	2.06	.49	
		College	16	7749	3012	352.6	96.1	2.18	.43	

TABLE 5.9 -- CONTINUED
 SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF NON-COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL
 GRADUATES IN TEXAS ACCORDING TO COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES,
 VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND FATHER'S EDUCATION

Community Area	Sex	Vocational Education	Father's Education	No. of Cases	Weekly Working Hours		Employed Months		Actual Job Length	
					Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Austin-San Antonio	M	Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	18	41.69	2.81	23.92	4.03	24.99	4.89
				17	42.09	4.82	23.24	5.15	24.39	6.06
				13	37.73	9.95	21.77	5.33	21.08	7.69
	M	Non-Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	15	37.16	8.69	18.60	7.98	17.76	8.63
				15	41.01	5.37	19.23	8.42	19.54	8.88
				6	38.17	3.32	14.58	10.56	14.27	10.71
Houston	F	Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	25	41.12	5.84	20.64	8.23	21.27	9.21
				32	38.37	6.40	21.20	7.16	20.86	7.82
				16	40.11	2.61	19.31	8.62	19.09	8.25
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	27	36.99	8.57	18.62	7.70	17.60	9.05
				21	36.98	6.51	18.71	6.94	17.69	7.57
				17	37.30	6.25	18.00	6.93	17.00	7.77
Houston	M	Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	21	42.79	8.44	21.83	7.15	22.80	7.44
				21	41.59	3.56	24.48	4.12	25.41	4.61
				6	42.69	4.41	21.91	6.97	23.02	7.05
	M	Non-Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	19	41.09	3.54	20.82	5.45	21.44	5.95
				22	39.41	6.84	21.95	6.55	21.90	8.29
				9	43.79	3.81	20.39	8.07	22.29	9.04
Houston	F	Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	36	38.28	5.44	19.88	7.63	18.98	7.63
				40	38.36	4.08	18.63	8.03	17.94	7.84
				15	39.45	2.11	16.50	8.07	16.43	8.19
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	Element. High Sch.	36	36.65	7.93	20.03	10.58	17.94	7.97
				28	38.16	4.51	19.61	9.28	18.37	8.77
				16	37.30	5.27	21.94	6.22	20.52	6.78

.001 level in accounting for employed months and actual job length for the Austin-San Antonio area, and at the .01 level and .05 level in accounting for monthly earning and hourly rate for the Houston area. Moreover, secondary vocational education was more effective for men than for women in the Houston area, and this explained the interactions between sex and secondary vocational education when income was under consideration.

Except for male graduates whose father's education was at the high school level, vocational graduates had a higher accumulated income than non-vocational graduates in both sexes. Female vocational graduates earned from 10 percent to 22 percent more than female non-vocational graduates. Although female graduates were usually paid less than male graduates, male non-vocational graduates whose father's education was at either the elementary school level or college (or beyond) level had a lower accumulated income than female vocational graduates with a corresponding level of father's education.

Vocational Education with Academic Achievement Taken into Account

For each high school graduate selected to receive the questionnaire on occupational achievement in this study, his or her accumulated rank in the 1970 graduating class was secured from the student's high school. In view of the requirements for the practical manipulation of data analysis,

each respondent was assigned to one of three groups according to his or her academic percentile. The high achievement group consisted of graduates with percentiles of 70 or above. The low achievement group consisted of those with percentiles of 30 or below. The middle achievement group consisted of those with percentiles between 30 and 70. In other words, the top 30 percent formed the high achievement group; the middle 40 percent, the middle achievement group; while the bottom 30 percent formed the low achievement group. This grouping facilitated comparison of information on high school academic achievement and seemed the best way of handling high school academic achievement as a variable in accounting for occupational achievement after graduation, since no information about scores in standardized achievement tests were available for this study.

Since the selection of samples for the questionnaire survey involved matching vocational graduates with non-vocational graduates in terms of sex and academic achievement, there was hope that the number of vocational and non-vocational graduates would be comparable when sex, secondary vocational education, and academic achievement were taken into account. As far as non-college workers were concerned, this hope was approximately realized. There were reasonably balanced distributions of cases between vocational and non-vocational graduates in each appropriate category in both community areas (see Table 5.11).

The effects of high school academic achievement on accumulated income were significant at the .05 level for high school graduates from the Houston area. This was not the case for high school graduates from the Austin-San Antonio area. In the Houston area, the effects of academic achievement on the accumulated income of non-college graduates probably were related to effects on pay rates since academic achievement was significant in accounting for monthly earning and hourly rate at the .01 level and the .05 level, respectively. Although academic achievement had a significant effect on hourly rate for the Austin-San Antonio area, these effects were not transformed into significant effects on accumulated income and monthly earning (see Tables 5.10 and 5.11).

The pattern in which academic achievement operated for non-college graduates from the Houston area was not clear-cut. Generally, the high achievement group fared better in accumulated income than the middle achievement group which, in turn, earned a higher income than the low achievement group. However, there were two exceptions--in male non-vocational graduates in the high achievement group and female non-vocational graduates in the low achievement group.

With academic achievement taken into account, the effects of vocational education basically remained the same for high school graduates from the Austin-San Antonio area, whose accumulated income was not significantly accounted for

TABLE 5.10
EFFECTS OF SEX DIFFERENCES, VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND ACADEMIC
ACHIEVEMENT ON SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT
FOR NON-COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS^a

Community Area	Effects	Accumulated Income	Monthly Earning	Hourly Rate	Weekly Working Hours	Employed Months	Actual Job Length
Austin-San Antonio	Sex	.0015**	.0000****	.0000****	----	----	----
	Voc Education	.0230*	----	.0080**	.0030**	.0064**	.0009****
	Academic	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Sex x Voc	----	----	----	----	----	----
Houston	Education	----	----	.0263*	.0162*	----	----
	Sex x Academic	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Voc x Voc	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Academic	----	----	----	----	----	----
Houston	Sex	.0000****	.0000****	.0000****	.0000****	.0217*	.0001****
	Voc Education	.0011**	.0002***	.0059**	.0387*	----	----
	Academic	.0326*	.0054**	.0415*	----	----	----
	Sex x Voc	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Education	.0006****	.0007****	.0011**	----	----	----
	Sex x Academic	----	----	----	----	----	----
	Voc x Voc	----	.0197*	----	----	----	----

^aThe results with probabilities larger than .10 are not listed in this table.

* Significant at the .05 level ** Significant at the .01 level
 *** Significant at the .001 level **** Significant at the .0001 level or beyond

TABLE 5.11
 SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF NON-COLLEGE
 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS ACCORDING TO
 COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES, VOCATIONAL
 EDUCATION AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

Community Area	Sex	Vocational Education	Acad. Achv.	No. of Cases	Accumulated Income		Monthly Earning		Hourly Rate	
					Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Austin-San Antonio	M	Voc. Graduate	High	5	\$ 8934	\$ 6315	\$435.4	\$175.6	\$2.48	\$1.06
			Mid.	17	8954	2576	372.2	69.1	2.05	.35
			Low	26	8484	3060	360.6	120.1	2.13	.54
	F	Voc. Graduate	High	7	8253	8031	404.0	235.9	2.88	1.57
			Mid.	15	7249	4326	380.9	101.4	2.13	.57
			Low	14	6814	3699	352.1	103.9	2.28	.98
Houston	M	Voc. Graduate	High	21	7064	3097	334.3	59.9	1.93	.38
			Mid.	40	6492	3046	313.5	80.6	1.92	.46
			Low	12	7054	3619	322.8	71.3	1.82	.46
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	High	23	5855	3137	302.4	109.0	1.89	.46
			Mid.	25	5246	3168	297.0	87.2	1.88	.38
			Low	17	6142	2422	293.1	58.5	1.78	.29
Houston	M	Voc. Graduate	High	6	16586	15847	703.8	596.1	3.45	2.30
			Mid.	22	13202	6569	571.4	176.8	3.19	1.04
			Low	22	11422	4666	476.2	149.9	2.71	.85
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	High	14	9035	4677	420.9	150.3	2.50	.78
			Mid.	25	10386	4342	457.4	137.4	2.63	.74
			Low	11	8060	4756	415.2	114.9	2.27	.63
Houston	M	Voc. Graduate	High	30	7700	3333	372.8	105.5	2.26	.61
			Mid.	40	6241	3385	339.5	80.4	2.06	.42
			Low	21	5861	3482	309.6	81.9	1.86	.42
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	High	34	7296	3468	339.9	99.7	2.09	.50
			Mid.	22	6010	4400	332.5	119.2	2.09	.61
			Low	18	7014	3016	318.4	79.4	2.21	1.08

TABLE 5.11 -- CONTINUED
 SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT OF NON-COLLEGE HIGH SCHOOL
 GRADUATES IN TEXAS ACCORDING TO COMMUNITY, SEX DIFFERENCES
 VOCATIONAL EDUCATION, AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

Community Area	Sex	Vocational Education	Acad. Achv.	No. of Cases	Weekly Working Hours		Employed Months		Actual Job Length	
					Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Austin-San Antonio	M	Voc. Graduate	High	5	41.14	1.34	19.40	6.89	19.80	6.62
			Mid.	17	42.50	4.06	23.76	3.91	25.37	5.40
			Low	26	39.55	7.74	23.37	4.75	23.39	6.52
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	High	7	35.16	10.53	16.93	9.02	15.43	10.39
			Mid.	15	42.07	4.90	17.80	8.42	18.96	9.20
			Low	14	37.46	5.22	19.25	8.90	18.05	8.54
Houston	M	Voc. Graduate	High	21	40.60	2.60	20.86	7.61	21.23	7.98
			Mid.	40	38.45	6.13	20.29	7.75	19.62	7.61
			Low	12	42.28	6.99	21.17	8.83	22.82	11.13
	F	Non-Voc. Graduate	High	23	37.04	9.22	18.66	6.04	17.61	8.18
			Mid.	25	36.36	7.29	16.82	8.27	15.86	8.75
			Low	17	38.16	3.56	20.71	6.51	19.97	6.94
Houston	M	Voc. Graduate	High	6	45.00	6.42	22.41	6.86	24.89	7.89
			Mid.	20	42.55	7.25	22.35	7.39	23.30	7.67
			Low	22	41.23	4.97	23.75	4.30	24.33	4.43
		Non-Voc. Graduate	High	14	55.72	8.83	21.29	6.50	21.37	8.83
			Mid.	25	40.42	2.65	22.12	5.24	22.40	5.37
			Low	11	43.23	4.25	19.18	8.40	21.09	10.07
	F	Voc. Graduate	High	30	38.50	3.36	20.20	6.86	19.42	6.81
			Mid.	40	38.50	4.80	18.19	8.54	17.36	8.18
			Low	21	38.71	5.18	17.83	8.02	17.62	8.39
		Non-Voc. Graduate	High	34	37.66	5.17	21.49	9.94	19.61	7.19
			Mid.	22	37.41	6.95	17.11	10.06	16.30	10.11
			Low	18	36.40	8.14	21.39	5.92	19.20	6.58

by academic achievement. Secondary vocational education was significant at the .05 level in accounting for the accumulated income of the graduates from this community area. These effects of secondary vocational education were related to those of employment length since they were significant at the .01 level or beyond in accounting for weekly working hours, employed months and actual job length.

For the graduates from the Houston area, the relationship of secondary vocational education to income and employment was modified in a minor way as academic achievement was taken into account. Secondary vocational education was significant at the .01 level in accounting for accumulated income and hourly rate, and at the .001 level in accounting for monthly earning. These results, in a stronger way, were consistent with those secured from other analyses in this and previous chapters. Moreover, the effects of secondary vocational education on weekly working hours were found to be significant. Before academic achievement was included in the analysis, weekly working hours were not found to be significant in relation to secondary vocational education in any other analyses.

Male vocational graduates in the Houston area in all three achievement groups were superior to each of the three achievement groups of non-vocational graduates in accumulated income, monthly earning, and hourly rate. There was no overlapping between male vocational graduates and

their non-vocational counterparts in these three indices of occupational achievement when academic achievement was controlled. In other words, academic achievement was significant in accounting for the intra-class differences between vocational graduates and non-vocational graduates. However, secondary vocational education was more important than academic achievement in accounting for accumulated income and pay rates of male graduates in the Houston area. High academic achievement was not enough to compensate for a lack of vocational education as far as occupational achievement of the graduates was concerned.

Summary

In further testing of the effects of secondary vocational education, as marital status, ethnicity, father's occupation, father's education, and academic achievement were introduced in turn as additional test factors, the relationship between secondary vocational education and occupational achievement remained valid as stated in the preliminary analysis. Three groups of non-college vocational graduates--male and female vocational graduates in the Austin-San Antonio area, and male vocational graduates in the Houston area--were superior in accumulated income to their non-vocational counterparts in the same community area. Moreover, vocational graduates were better off than non-vocational graduates in length of employment in the Austin-San Antonio area, while the effects of secondary vocational

education were significant in pay rates in the Houston area. These results were exactly the same as reported in the preliminary findings. In spite of the fact that test factors included in further testing significantly accounted for some indices of occupational achievement under certain conditions, these associations of test factors with occupational achievement did not affect the operation of the effects of secondary vocational education. As marital status, which had significant associations with both vocational education and accumulated income, was not an extraneous variable, the control of other test factors also did not cancel the effects of secondary vocational education. Therefore, the finding that the above three groups of vocational graduates were better off in accumulated income and some other indices than their non-vocational counterparts was not a spurious relationship but a genuine fact.

NOTES

¹ Ben J. Wattenberg and Richard M. Scammon, "Black Progress and Liberal Rhetoric," Commentary 55 (April 1973): 36.

² Ibid., p. 37.

³ Texas Department of Community Affairs, Texas Office of Economic Opportunity, Report of the Office, Poverty in Texas, (Austin, Tx.: Texas Office of Economic Opportunity, 1972), Table III-5, p. III-9, obtained from Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 77.

⁴ Lawrence Thomas, The Occupational Structure and Education, (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1956), pp. 22-6.

⁵ Leonard Broom and Betty J. Maynard, "Prestige and Socioeconomic Ranking of Occupations," Social Science Quarterly 50 (September 1969), pp. 369-73.

⁶ Albert J. Reiss, Jr. et al., Occupations and Social Status (New York: The Free Press, 1961), p. 60.

⁷ Robert W. Hodge, Paul M. Siegel and Peter H. Rossi, "Occupational Prestige in the United States, 1925-63," American Journal of Sociology 70 (November 1964): 286-302.

⁸ Kenneth Little, Review and Synthesis of Research on the Placement and Follow-up of Vocational Education Students (Columbus, Ohio: The Center for Vocational and Technical Education, 1970), p. 11.

CHAPTER VI
CONCLUSIONS AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Selection of Sample

This study was a follow-up investigation of the occupational achievement of vocational and non-vocational graduates who completed high school at the end of May, 1970. The information secured for this study was covered by a period of 27 months, dating from June 1, 1970, until August 31, 1972. A questionnaire developed by the investigator was used to obtain the information of occupational achievement. A sample of 3,045 graduates was selected for the questionnaire survey from 17 public high schools in three Texas cities--Austin, San Antonio, and Houston. These graduates were selected in such a way that each vocational graduate in a particular rank was matched by the same sex and equivalent rank. This procedure was designed for the purpose of facilitating the control of test factors for the analysis of differences in occupational achievement due to secondary vocational education.

Among the 3,045 high school graduates who were selected for receiving the questionnaire, 1,058 of them (35 percent) responded to this study by the cut-off date of October 15, 1972. By eliminating 60 respondents who had had

no employment experience by that time, and 104 respondents who had not completed the questionnaire, the findings of this study were based on the information secured from the returned questionnaires of 894 respondents (29 percent).

For the purpose of comparing the occupational achievement of vocational and non-vocational high school graduates, six indices were developed as the criteria for this study. They were accumulated income, monthly earning, hourly rate, weekly working hours, employed months, and actual job length.

Conclusions

The results of various analyses produced several important findings in this study. First, of 894 respondents included in the analysis, 244 completed two years or more of college during a 27-month period after graduation from high school, while 157 attended college for some time ranging from 4 to 18 months during the same period of time. For these 401 working college students, secondary vocational education was not an important variable to their initial employment after high school when community, sex, and college attendance were controlled for the preliminary analysis. With a single exception of the hourly rate of female respondents from the Houston area who completed two years of college or longer, all six indices of occupational achievement were not significantly accounted for by secondary vocational education.

Second, secondary vocational education was far more important to the occupational achievement of 493 non-college high school graduates than that of working college students, as also shown in preliminary analysis. The effects of secondary vocational education were significant at the .05 level or beyond in accounting for the accumulated income and the actual job length of non-college workers of both sexes, the employed months of male non-college workers, as well as the weekly working hours of female non-college workers, in the Austin-San Antonio area. These effects also were significant (at the .05 level or beyond) to the accumulated income, monthly earning, and the hourly rate of male non-college workers in the Houston area. But, in all six indices of occupational education, no significant differences were found between vocational and non-vocational graduates for female non-college workers in the Houston area. These preliminary findings of effects of secondary vocational education were upheld by further analyses, in which marital status, ethnicity, father's occupation, father's education, and academic achievement were controlled in turn.

Third, community differences were found in the occupational achievement of high school graduates. The community variable accounted for differences in income, rather than in length of employment, of these graduates. Moreover, the community variable was related to the magnitude of differences due to the sex variable, and the nature of differ-

ences due to secondary vocational education.

More explicitly, although differences in occupational achievement due to the sex variable were real to both community areas, these differences were much stronger in magnitude in the Houston area than in the San Antonio area. Income disadvantages to female graduates in the Houston area were so apparent that female graduates in that area failed to share benefits of income advantages due to community differences. Their accumulated income and pay rates were only slightly higher than female graduates in the Austin-San Antonio area. These results, secured from the questionnaire survey in this study, were cross-validated by the 1970 census data¹ and the 1972 wage survey,² which led the investigator to speculate that the effects of secondary vocational education would not be significant unless strong discrimination in the labor market in a community disappear.

On the other hand, community differences seemed to be related to the operation of secondary vocational education in different ways. In the Austin-San Antonio area, effects of secondary vocational education appeared in accumulated income and lengths of employment while, in the Houston area, they were shown in accumulated income and pay rates. A reasonable speculation is the labor market in the Austin-San Antonio area rewarded more qualified and compe-

tent young workers by keeping them from being unemployed rather than giving higher pay for the same amount of time worked. On the other hand, the labor market in the Houston area rewarded the better young workers by paying them more for the same amount of time or by placing them in jobs of higher pay.

Summarily, the findings cited did not totally support, nor did they totally reject, the hypothesis of this study. Based on these findings, the effects of secondary vocational education made some differences in occupational achievement of high school graduates in a more restricted scope than that of the original hypothesis of this study as follows:

1. Secondary vocational education was by no means an important variable to the occupational achievement of working college students; with only an isolated exception, the hypothesis was rejected in all six indices of occupational achievement as far as these college students were concerned.

2. Moreover, secondary vocational education also was irrelevant to the occupational achievement of female non-college workers in the Houston area; the hypothesis was totally rejected in all six indices of occupational achievement for these female graduates.

3. Moreover, secondary vocational education did not make real differences in both monthly earning and hourly rate of non-college workers of both sexes in the Austin-San Antonio area, as well as working hours per week, employed months, and actual job length of male non-college workers in the Houston area; in these aspects, the hypothesis was rejected.

4. However, vocational graduates did have a higher mean accumulated income than non-vocational graduates as far as non-college workers of both sexes from the Austin-San Antonio area and male non-college workers from the Houston area were concerned; in the accumulated income criterion, the hypothesis was accepted for these non-college workers.

5. Finally, among non-college workers, vocational graduates fared better than non-vocational graduates in actual job length for both males and females in the Austin-San Antonio area, and in both monthly earning and hourly rate for males only in the Houston area; in this scope, the hypothesis was accepted.

Policy Implications

The findings in this study did not merely serve to satisfy the academic curiosity of the investigator. They were more meaningful in providing facts about the occupational achievement of vocational and non-vocational graduates for vocational educators, policy makers, and the concerned public, who are in the process of soliciting public support with requests for adequate funding of vocational education programs in high schools. Whether huge amounts of public monies should be allotted for continuing support of vocational education at the secondary level is not a trivial matter.

High school vocational education has been under attack. There are two major points for which vocational education is faulted. A high proportion of vocational graduates did not stay in the jobs closely related to the training they had received in high school because the specific skills and training offered by traditional secondary vocational programs were not in great demand. And, vocational high school graduates did not have higher pay or longer employment than those non-vocational graduates enrolled in a general academic curriculum.³ Exactly for these

allegations, a special task force of the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare suggested that education for employment preparation should be postponed until "later stages" (after high school). A huge investment in vocational education under the age of 21 was not desired.⁴

Dealing with the relatedness of employment to vocational education, this study did not produce findings contrary to facts cited by the critics of secondary vocational education. Among 320 respondents who received vocational education and were working at the time of the questionnaire survey, only 42.5 percent of them remained in jobs related to, or considerably related to, their vocational training.⁴ However, Somers finds in his vocational follow-up study that the relatedness of jobs to training had no significant effect on occupational status and the income of vocational graduates.⁵ Therefore, the graduates working in the field of employment unrelated to their vocational education did not necessarily suffer a loss of income.

Dealing with income and employment lengths of high school graduates, the findings in this study indicated that during the period of 27 months after graduation from high school vocational graduates had greater accumulated income than non-vocational graduates due to either higher pay rates or longer periods of employment. Although a study of Kaufman and others did not produce conclusive evidence that vocational graduates fared better in hourly rates and pay

raises than non-vocational graduates,⁶ the study of Kaufman and Lewis showed longer actual job length and higher monthly earning received by vocational graduates.⁷ Since actual job length and monthly earning were highly related to accumulated income of high school graduates, the income information in the study of Kaufman and Lewis did support the findings in this study. Moreover, in a review study of nation-wide follow-up investigations of high school graduates, Stromsdorfer found that the beneficial return rates of vocational education came well above the "upper bound of 10 percent for the social opportunity cost rate of capital."⁸

Although undoubtedly vocational education did not quite successfully provide exact skills and training for direct use in jobs in which high school graduates worked, beneficial returns of secondary vocational education were definitely real and substantive in the long-run calculation. Further study will be required to investigate the reasons why vocational graduates fared better than non-vocational graduates, even if the former more likely than not entered a field of employment unrelated to their training. A higher occupational achievement on the part of vocational graduates at the secondary level, as illustrated in this study, should warn against a risky, immediate withdrawal of public support and lack of adequate funding of vocational programs in high schools.

NOTES

¹For non-college high school graduates 18-24 years old in 1969, male mean annual income in Houston, San Antonio and Austin was \$4,357, \$3,055, and \$2,775, respectively; female mean annual income in these three metropolitan areas was \$2,733, \$2,492, and \$2,479, in that order; see Table 4.8, Chapter IV.

²For order clerks, for a forty-hour work week, men earned \$148 in Houston in April, 1972, and \$109 in San Antonio in May, 1972; women, for 39.5 hours, made \$107.5 per week in Houston in April, 1972, and \$91 per week in San Antonio in May, 1972; see Note 1, Chapter IV.

³Editorial, Austin American, April 17, 1973; and A Special Task Force to the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, Report of the Task Force, Work in America (Cambridge, Mass.: The MIT Press, 1973), pp. 138-40.

⁴The numbers of cases for four categories in Questionnaire Item 20 are 75, 61, 59 and 125, in order from "exactly the job for which I am vocationally trained" to "a job which has nothing to do with my vocational training."

⁵Gerald Somers, The Effectiveness of Vocational and Technical Programs: A National Follow-up (Madison, Wisc.: Center for Studies in Vocational and Technical Education, University of Wisconsin, 1971), pp. 205-06.

⁶Jacob J. Kaufman et al., The Role of the Secondary Schools in the Preparation of Youth for Employment (University Park, Penn.: Institute for Research on Human Resources, Penn. State University, 1967), Chapter 6, pp. 23-5, and Chapter 9, pp. 42-44.

⁷Jacob J. Kaufman and Morgan V. Lewis, The Potential of Vocational Education (University Park, Penn.: Institute for Research on Human Resources, Penn. State University, 1968), pp. 119-21.

⁸Ernst W. Stromsdorfer, Review and Synthesis of Cost-Effectiveness Studies of Vocational and Technical Education (Columbus, Ohio: The Center for Vocational and Technical Education, Ohio State University, 1972), pp. 53-6.

APPENDICES

-134-

147

APPENDIX A.1

TOTAL EXPENDITURES FOR VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL EDUCATION FROM FEDERAL AND MATCHING FUNDS FOR THE UNITED STATES, AND THE STATE OF TEXAS DURING THE FISCAL YEARS OF 1967, 1968, AND 1969

U.S. or Texas	Fiscal Year	Sources of Funds	Total	Voc. Ed. Act of 1963 or 1968 Amend.	Smith-Hughes Act	George-Barden Act, Title I	George-Barden Act, Title II	George-Barden Act, Title III
U.S.	1967	All Sources	\$1,004,133,43	\$ 781,223,064	\$17,457,837	\$176,040,794	\$ 9,572,043	\$19,839,475
		Combined Federal	260,320,618	225,864,779	3,891,116	21,008,308	2,718,674	6,837,741
		State and Local	743,812,549	555,358,285	13,566,721	155,032,486	6,853,369	13,001,734
U.S.	1968	All Sources	1,192,862,965	967,297,096	20,367,427	172,662,461	10,944,660	21,591,321
		Combined Federal	262,383,716	230,420,003	3,793,040	19,166,346	2,419,590	6,584,737
		State and Local	930,479,249	736,877,093	16,574,387	153,496,115	8,525,070	15,006,584
U.S.	1969	All Sources	1,368,756,523	1,151,519,769	18,746,554	169,312,276	10,633,424	18,544,500
		Combined Federal	254,676,376	227,527,428	3,134,104	16,775,427	1,954,903	5,284,514
		State and Local	1,114,080,147	923,992,341	15,612,450	152,536,849	8,678,521	13,259,986
Texas	1967	All Sources	57,208,769	34,794,803	1,227,968	19,217,428	477,659	1,490,911
		Combined Federal	15,824,721	13,120,541	359,602	1,399,426	236,120	708,359
		State and Local	41,384,721	21,674,262	868,366	17,818,002	241,539	782,552
Texas	1968	All Sources	57,151,637	38,545,974	731,867	15,982,004	472,267	1,419,485
		Combined Federal	15,738,533	13,022,564	359,602	1,411,888	236,120	708,359
		State and Local	41,413,104	25,523,410	372,265	14,570,156	236,147	711,126
Texas	1969	All Sources	63,479,802	62,985,151	0	494,651	0	0
		Combined Federal	15,296,068	15,070,518	0	225,550	0	0
		State and Local	48,183,734	47,914,633	0	269,101	0	0

Sources: U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of Education, Vocational and Technical Education; Annual Report, Fiscal Year 1967 (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1969), Table 22, p. 121, Table 24, p. 123, Tables 32-35, pp. 131-34; Vocational and Technical Education; Annual Report, Fiscal Year 1968 (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1970), Table 23, p. 144, Table 25, p. 146, Tables 33-36, pp. 154-57; Vocational and Technical Education; Annual Report, Fiscal Year 1969 (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1971), Table 22, p. 54, Table 24, p. 56, Tables 31-34, pp. 63-66.

APPENDIX A.2

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS AMONG SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL
ACHIEVEMENT FOR 894 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS

Variable	1 Accumu- lated Income	2 Monthly Earnings	3 Hourly Rate	4 Weekly Working Hours	5 Employed Months	6 Actual Job Length
1 Accumulated Income	1.0000	.7098	.6066	.2799	.7332	.8138
2 Monthly Earning	.7098	1.0000	.7946	.5159	.1505	.3409
3 Hourly Rate	.6066	.7946	1.0000	-.0246	.1635	.1495
4 Weekly Work- ing Hours	.2799	.5159	-.0246	1.0000	-.0058	.3673
5 Employed Months	.7332	.1505	.1635	-.0058	1.0000	.8970
6 Actual Job Length	.8138	.3409	.1495	.3673	.8970	1.0000

APPENDIX A.3

LOADINGS ON PRINCIPAL COMPONENT FACTORS OF SIX INDICES OF
OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT FOR 894 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS

Variable	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃	P ₄	P ₅	P ₆
1 Accumulated Income	.9709	-.0487	-.1141	-.1932	.0404	.0541
2 Monthly Earning	.7712	.6184	.0678	-.0341	-.1177	-.0561
3 Hourly Rate	.6188	.5910	-.4936	.1341	.0767	.0190
4 Weekly Work- ing Hours	.4132	.2359	.8751	.0703	.0238	.0486
5 Employed Months	.7240	-.6476	-.1827	.1077	-.0958	.0478
6 Actual Job Length	.8392	-.5052	.1604	.0285	.0757	-.0902
Variance	3.3197	1.4643	1.0860	.0738	.0369	.0192
Percent	55.3291	24.4053	18.1002	1.2305	.6143	.3205

APPENDIX A.4

ORTHOGONAL VARIMAX ROTATED FACTOR LOADINGS^a OF SIX INDICES OF OCCUPATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT FOR 894 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS

Variable	F ₁ ^b	F ₂ ^b	F ₃ ^b	F ₄	F ₅	F ₆
1 Accumulated Income	.7435	.5762	.1632	-.2956	-.0316	-.0112
2 Monthly Earning	.1407	.8665	.4286	-.1012	-.1881	-.0044
3 Hourly Rate	.0962	.9833	-.1173	.0327	.0930	-.0205
4 Weekly Work- ing Hours	.0827	.0867	.9925	-.0206	-.0092	-.0093
5 Employed Months	.9859	.0614	-.0912	.0675	-.0265	.1033
6 Actual Job Length	.9455	.0930	.2812	-.0317	-.0158	-.1305
Variance	2.4547	2.0697	1.2965	.1047	.0461	.0283
Percent	40.9109	34.4957	21.6088	1.7443	.7678	.4724

^aAll six rotated factors are listed in this table; however, only the first three factors are meaningful for interpretation.

^bNumber of months of employment, pay rate per hour, and number of hours per week are used to identify the first three factors, F₁, F₂, and F₃, respectively, in that order; these three factors combined account for 5.82 (97.02 percent) out of the total variance for six indices of occupational achievement.

APPENDIX B.1
QUESTIONNAIRE

QUESTIONNAIRE

1. Please do not remove the label at the upper right corner on this page. It is very important for processing returned questionnaires.
2. Please complete as many items as you can and give as accurate information as possible.
3. Thank you for your help and cooperation.

NAME _____ / _____
 _____ First _____ Middle _____ Maiden _____ Last _____
 SEX: _____ (1) Male (check one) MARITAL STATUS: _____ (1) Single (check one)
 _____ (2) Female _____ (2) Married

BIRTH DATE: _____ (1) After December, 1952;
 (check one) _____ (2) Between July and December, 1952;
 _____ (3) Between January and June, 1952;
 _____ (4) Between July and December, 1951;
 _____ (5) Between January and June, 1951;
 _____ (6) Before January, 1951

1. Which do you think is the best way to describe yourself? (check one)
 - _____ (1) Black American
 - _____ (2) Mexican American
 - _____ (3) White American
 - _____ (4) Other (specify: _____)

2. Which do you think is the best category to describe your father's (or male guardian's) occupation? (In case of being retired or deceased, what did he do before?) (check one)
 - _____ (1) Clothes presser in laundry, garbage collector, shoe shiner, and similar positions
 - _____ (2) Taxi driver, filling station attendant, restaurant cook, restaurant waiter, dockworker, coal miner, night watchman, janitor, and similar positions
 - _____ (3) Carpenter, plumber, pipe fitter, army corporal, owner-manager of a small store in city, owner-operator of lunch stand, mail carrier, auto repairman, playground instructor, barber, machine operator in factory, local labor union official, truck driver, salesman, store clerk, office clerk, milk route man, and similar positions
 - _____ (4) Accountant, public school teacher, owner of factory, owner-operator of a printing shop, building contractor, professional artist, city welfare worker, county official, skilled electrician, skilled machinist, undertaker, policeman, insurance agent, broker, book-keeper, farm owner and operator, tenant farmer (who manages the farm), and similar positions
 - _____ (5) Physician, dentist, natural scientist, social scientist, college professor, lawyer, judge, mayor of a large city, departmental head in state government, civil engineer, banker, and similar positions

3. How far did your father (or male guardian) go in school? (check one)
- (1) None or some elementary school
 (2) Finished elementary school (the 8th grade)
 (3) Some high school (beyond the 8th grade)
 (4) Graduated from high school
 (5) Some college education
 (6) Graduated from a four-year college
 (7) Has bachelor of law degree, master's degree, or doctoral degree
4. Which of the following subjects in BUSINESS EDUCATION did you study in high school for at least one full year? (check as many as apply)
- (1) General business (5) Office training
 (2) Bookkeeping (6) Shorthand
 (3) Business machines (7) Stenographic practice
 (4) Clerical practice (8) Typewriting
5. Which of the following subjects in INDUSTRIAL ARTS did you study in high school for at least one full year? (check as many as apply)
- (1) General shop or general industrial arts
 (2) Drafting (not vocational drafting)
 (3) General electricity or basic electronics (not electrical trades or vocational electronics)
 (4) General machine shop or general power mechanics
 (5) Handicrafts
 (6) Metalwork (not vocational metalwork)
 (7) Radio (not vocational radio and T.V.)
 (8) General welding (not vocational welding)
 (9) Woodworking or general woodshop (not vocational woodwork)
6. Which of the following programs in VOCATIONAL EDUCATION did you take in high school? (check one; or check two if you enrolled in two vocational education programs)
- (0) None
 (1) Vocational agriculture
 (2) Distributive education (DE)
 (3) Vocational office education (VOE)
 (4) Industrial cooperative training (ICT)
 (5) Data processing
 (6) Vocational cosmetology, or dressmaking, or cooking and baking
 (7) Auto mechanics, or other auto trades
 (8) Commercial art, photography, vocational drafting, etc.
 (9) Cabinetmaking, vocational woodworking, etc.
 (10) Building trades, bricklaying, etc.
 (11) Metal or electrical trades, vocational printing, radio and T.V., electronics, air conditioning and refrigerator, etc.
7. If you took one of the vocational education programs mentioned in ITEM 6, why did you take it? (check as many as apply)
- (1) Because of parents' suggestions
 (2) Because of friends' suggestions
 (3) Because of counselor's suggestions
 (4) Because of teachers' suggestions
 (5) Because I thought it would prepare me for a job
 (6) Other (specify: _____)

8. Were you ever asked whether or not you had taken HIGH SCHOOL VOCATIONAL TRAINING when you applied for a job? (check one)
 ___ (1) Never ___ (3) Three or four times
 ___ (2) Once or twice ___ (4) Five or more times
9. How much VOCATIONAL TRAINING have you had AFTER you graduated from high school? (check one and specify)
 ___ (1) None
 ___ (2) Less than 6 months in _____
 what school or college?
 ___ (3) Between 6 and 12 months in _____
 what school or college?
 ___ (4) Between 1 and 2 years in _____
 what school or college?
 ___ (5) 2 full years in _____
 what school or college?
10. Were you asked whether or not you had taken VOCATIONAL TRAINING AFTER HIGH SCHOOL when you applied for a job? (Check one)
 ___ (1) Never ___ (3) Three or four times
 ___ (2) Once or twice ___ (4) Five or more times
11. Please list ALL JOBS and DIFFERENT INCOMES you have ever had AFTER graduation from high school. (Different wages in the same job should be reported separately in the following spaces)

Job title	Weekly pay or monthly pay	Hours per week	Months in job
(1) _____	\$ _____ per wk		
	\$ _____ per mo	_____ hrs	_____ mos
(2) _____	\$ _____ per wk		
	\$ _____ per mo	_____ hrs	_____ mos
(3) _____	\$ _____ per wk		
	\$ _____ per mo	_____ hrs	_____ mos
(4) _____	\$ _____ per wk		
	\$ _____ per mo	_____ hrs	_____ mos
(5) _____	\$ _____ per wk		
	\$ _____ per mo	_____ hrs	_____ mos

12. Have you had full-time college education (non-vocational training) since you graduated from high school? (check one and specify)
 ___ (1) No ___ (2) Yes (specify how long: _____ yrs _____ mos)
13. Have you ever had ON-THE-JOB TRAINING sponsored by your employer? (check one and specify)
 ___ (1) None
 ___ (2) Yes. It took about _____ months and _____ days in _____
 what kind of training?

14. What kind of additional education do you intend to have in the near future? (check one)
- (1) No more education and training
- (2) Part-time vocational training for one or two years
- (3) Full-time vocational training for one or two years
- (4) Four-year college education
- (5) Other (specify: _____)

PLEASE FILL IN THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IF YOU ARE WORKING RIGHT NOW. IF YOU ARE NOT WORKING, PLEASE STOP HERE AND MAIL BACK THIS QUESTIONNAIRE.

15. How did you get your PRESENT job? (check as many as apply)
- (1) Through direct application
- (2) Through newspaper advertisement
- (3) Through private employment agency
- (4) Helped by public employment agency (specify: _____)
what agency?
- (5) Helped by high school counselor
- (6) Helped by high school teacher
- (7) Helped by family
- (8) Helped by relatives or friends
- (9) Helped by school or college where I had vocational training after high school
16. Did your present employer hire you after a test or examination? (check one and specify)
- (1) No (2) Yes (specify: _____)
what kind of examination?
17. Which do you think is the best category to describe your present occupation? (Check one)
- (1) Clothes presser in laundry, garbage collector, shoe shiner, and similar positions
- (2) Taxi driver, restaurant cook, restaurant waiter or waitress, filling station attendant, dockworker, night watchman, janitor, and similar positions
- (3) Carpenter, plumber, pipe fitter, auto repairman, barber, beautician, mail carrier, owner-operator of lunch stand, owner-manager of a small store in city, salesman, clerk in store, office clerk, coal miner, machine operator in factory, truck driver, milk route man, playground instructor, and similar positions
- (4) Owner of factory, owner-operator of a printing shop, farm owner and operator, tenant farmer (who manages the farm), building contractor, professional artist, skilled machinist, skilled electrician, policeman, insurance agent, broker, and similar positions

25. How do you feel about the PHYSICAL SURROUNDINGS of your work? (check one)
 ___ (1) My work is in extremely comfortable physical surroundings
 ___ (2) My work is in mostly comfortable physical surroundings
 ___ (3) My work is in mostly uncomfortable physical surroundings
 ___ (4) My work is in extremely uncomfortable physical surroundings
26. How do you like the amount of your WORKING HOURS? (check one)
 ___ (1) My working hours are too short
 ___ (2) My working hours are just right
 ___ (3) My working hours are too long
27. How do you like the amount of your INCOME? (check one)
 ___ (1) My income is less than what I deserve to have
 ___ (2) My income is just what I deserve to have
 ___ (3) My income is more than what I deserve to have
28. What does your FAMILY think of your job? (check one)
 ___ (1) My family is completely satisfied with my job
 ___ (2) My family is mostly satisfied with my job
 ___ (3) My family is mostly dissatisfied with my job
 ___ (4) My family is completely dissatisfied with my job
29. What does your boss think about your JOB ABILITY? (check one)
 ___ (1) My boss thinks I am excellent
 ___ (2) My boss thinks I am somewhat above average
 ___ (3) My boss thinks I am average
 ___ (4) My boss thinks I am somewhat below average
 ___ (5) My boss thinks I am very poor
30. What do your FRIENDS and ACQUAINTANCES think about your job? (check one)
 ___ (1) They are extremely impressed with my job
 ___ (2) They are mostly impressed with my job
 ___ (3) They are mostly unimpressed with my job
 ___ (4) They are extremely unimpressed with my job
31. Does your boss give you any help in order to do your job better? (check one)
 ___ (1) My boss is always helpful
 ___ (2) My boss is usually helpful
 ___ (3) My boss is sometimes helpful
 ___ (4) My boss is never helpful
32. It takes me about ___ hours and ___ minutes to go to work from where I live. My transportation usually is _____. (fill in blanks)
33. I usually work on ___ (1) day shift; ___ (2) night shift; (check one)
 ___ (3) other (specify: _____)
34. Considering EVERYTHING about your present job, how do you feel about it?
 (check one)
 ___ (1) I am completely happy with my job
 ___ (2) I am mostly happy with my job
 ___ (3) I am mostly unhappy with my job
 ___ (4) I am completely unhappy with my job

APPENDIX B.2
LETTER USED IN FIRST MAILING



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN
 DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL FOUNDATIONS OF EDUCATION
 COLLEGE OF EDUCATION
 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712

August 14, 1972

Dear Graduate:

We are undertaking a research project sponsored by the Texas Education Agency. We have secured approval of your school district and high school in obtaining your name and address, along with those of your fellow graduates in 1970.

Our research is a follow-up study of the occupational achievement of high school graduates in Texas. You have been selected in this project to provide information about your job experience. By giving your cooperation to this study, you will be doing a great service toward the improvement of high school education in Texas.

We sincerely hope that you will fill out the research questionnaire which is enclosed with this letter. It will take only about ten minutes to complete.

An envelope is also enclosed with this letter for your convenience in returning the questionnaire. There is no need to put any stamps on this envelope. Please return the completed questionnaire to us as soon as possible.

Thank you very much for your cooperation.

Sincerely yours,

John Laska
 Associate Professor

J.W. Chiou
 Research Associate

JL:JWC/amc
 Enclosures

APPENDIX B.3
LETTER USED IN SECOND MAILING



THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN
DEPARTMENT OF CULTURAL FOUNDATIONS OF EDUCATION
COLLEGE OF EDUCATION
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712

September 5, 1972

Dear Graduate:

We are working on a research project of the occupational achievement of high school graduates in Texas. We are sincerely seeking your cooperation to fill out the questionnaire which is enclosed with this letter.

We sent the same questionnaire to you on August 14, 1972. Up to this moment, we still have not heard from you. We would like to ask you to spare about ten minutes of your time to help us, because your cooperation is most important to our research in providing valuable information for the improvement of education in Texas.

An envelope is also enclosed with this letter for your convenience in returning the questionnaire. There is no need to put any stamps on this envelope. Please return the completed questionnaire to us as soon as possible.

Thank you very much for your cooperation.

Sincerely yours,

Handwritten signature of John Laska in cursive.

John Laska
Associate Professor

Handwritten signature of J.W. Chiou in cursive.

J.W. Chiou
Research Associate

JL:JWC/amc
Enclosures

APPENDIX C
CODED RAW DATA OF 894 HIGH SCHOOL
GRADUATES IN TEXAS

Columns	Contents Specialized
1-5	ID CODE
6-8	ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT (HIGH=100, MID.=010, LOW=001)
9-10	VOCATIONAL EDUCATION (VOC. GRAD.=10, NON-VOC. GRAD.=01)
11-12	SEX (MALE=10, FEMALE=01)
13-14	MARITAL STATUS (SINGLE=10, MARRIED=01)
15-17	ETHNICITY (BLACK=100, MEX. AM.=010, WHITE=01)
18-19	OCCUPATION OF FATHER (HIGH=10, LOW=01)
20-22	EDUCATION OF FATHER (COLLEGE=100, HIGH SCHOOL=010, ELEMENT. SCHOOL=001)
23-25	COMMUNITY (AUSTIN=100, SAN ANTONIO=010, HOUSTON=001)
26-31	BUSINESS EDUCATION (GEN. BUSINESS=100000, BOOK-KEEPING=010000, SHORTHAND=001000, TYPING=000100, BUSINESS MACHINE=000010, CLERICAL PRACTICE=000001)
32-36	INDUSTRIAL ARTS (GEN. IND. ARTS=10000, DRAFTING=01000, HANDICRAFTS=00100, WOODWORKING=00010, OTHERS=00001)
37-38	COLLEGE ATTENDANCE (IN TERMS OF MONTHS)
39-43	ACCUMULATED INCOME (\$, F5.0)
44-48	MONTHLY EARNING (\$, F5.1)
49-53	HOURLY RATE (\$, F5.2)
54-58	WEEKLY WORKING HOURS (HRS, F5.2)
59-63	EMPLOYED MONTHS (MOS, F5.2)
64-68	ACTUAL JOB LENGTH (MOS, F5.2)

THE RAW DATA OF 894 HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATES IN TEXAS

110010100110101000110100000001010124	260	3154	184	4000	400	400	
110020101010101000110100000110111301	0	6171	3429	240	4000	1800	1800
11003011010101010011010001112111301	0	7459	2763	218	2963	2700	2000
11004100010101000100110000000000000000	0	5440	2200	93	5000	2700	3375
11005100010101100011000100000000000000	0	8469	3529	206	4000	2400	2400
1100610010101010001010100111101000000	0	10522	3897	227	4000	2700	2700
1100701010010110001100100000000000000	0	1851	3086	160	4500	600	675
1100801010010110001100100000000000000	0	2897	2229	130	4000	1300	1300
1100901010101010001010100110011000000	0	3707	2966	173	4000	1250	1250
110101001010101000100100000011100100	0	2157	2157	126	4000	1000	1000
120010100101100010101000100000000000	0	11644	4479	261	4000	2600	2600
120020100110100011010010000000000000	0	2829	2357	212	2592	1200	777
120030101001010011001010010100100000	0	6240	3120	214	3400	2000	1700
120040100101000110100100000000000000	0	2931	1221	177	1612	2400	967
1200501010101100	0	3394	2829	165	4000	1200	1200
120060100101100010100100000000000000	0	754	1886	210	2100	400	210
12007011001100100	0	2545	2995	175	3985	850	847
12008010010110010010010111100010	0	1692	3384	197	4000	500	500
120090101010001010101000000000000000	0	3009	3539	206	4000	850	850
1201001100101	0	1697	2829	165	4000	600	600
120110001011000110100100010001000000	0	3600	1636	194	1964	2200	1000
12012010011001001001100010101000000	0	9639	3570	208	1000	2700	2700
1201300110101000101010001000110000	0	11950	4426	258	1000	2700	2700
1201401010011000101010001101100000	0	7120	3956	231	4000	1800	1800
12015001011010001101001010000000010	0	1620	2700	140	4500	600	675
12016100100100110100100111101000000	0	503	1457	170	2000	400	200
120170011001011000110010000000000000	0	1800	3000	175	4000	600	600
1201800110100110001010010101000000	0	9989	3700	216	4000	2700	2700
120190011001100100100100100000000000	0	8222	3045	116	6111	2700	4125
120200100101100011010010000000000000	0	214	1071	60	4200	200	210
120210100101100011010010000000000000	0	193	771	120	1500	250	94
120220100101100011010010000001100000	0	2184	809	181	1044	2700	705
1202301010011001001010000001000000	0	7406	2743	160	4000	2700	2700
12024010100110001101001000100010000	0	5488	3430	188	4250	1600	1700
120250010101100011001010000000000000	0	1911	2389	186	3000	800	600
12026010100110010010011001110100000	0	10605	3928	229	4000	2700	2700
12027010011010001101001001000001000	0	2253	3338	151	5167	675	872
12028010010110001100100000010000000	0	433	1082	252	1000	400	100
12029010100101001010100000010000000	0	8016	3340	195	4000	2400	2400
12030010010110100010100010100000000	0	7473	3114	213	3417	2400	2050
1203101001011000110010000000000000	0	1399	3498	204	4000	400	400
1203201001011000110000000000000000	0	5253	3203	188	4069	1600	1627
12033001101001100010010010000000000	0	7720	2969	146	4754	2600	3090
1203410010010110001100010010000000	0	4551	3034	177	4000	1500	1500
130010101010100100100100000000000000	0	9150	4357	254	4000	2100	2100
13002100101010010100100000000000000	0	10414	3857	225	4000	2700	2700
13003010100110010010010001000000000	0	7704	2853	168	3956	2700	2670
13004001010110010100011000111010000	0	8220	3044	178	4000	2700	2700
1300501010010101010101001111100000	0	7534	2790	195	3333	2700	2250
1300600110100010101010000000011111	0	8724	3231	188	4000	2700	2700
1300710010101010010010000001100000	0	1861	979	177	1295	1900	615
1300810010101001001001000000000000	0	2057	1582	117	3154	1300	1025
13009010101010010100100000000001124	0	1125	3750	219	4000	300	300
1301000110010101001100100111100000	0	10314	3820	223	4000	2700	2700
13011010010110100	0	3926	2004	164	4000	1400	1400
13012100101010010010011001010100000	0	10386	4327	252	4000	2400	2400
1301321010010101001010101011100000	0	8985	3320	206	3770	2700	2550
1301400101011010001001001001000000	0	4753	3395	204	3886	1400	1360
1301500101101001001001000000000000	0	4624	2569	140	4278	1800	1925
1301601011010101001001000000001010	0	14696	5443	317	4000	2700	2700
130170100110010100100100010000000	0	5859	4882	250	4558	1200	1367

1301801001011001010	100100000000000	0	3086	3429	280	4000	900	900	
130190100110010010101001100000000000000000000	0	7480	3400	198	4000	2200	2200		
130201000101011000100110000000000000000000000	0	7650	3187	109	3938	2400	2362		
130210100101100100010011001001111000000	0	1152	3440	224	4000	300	300		
1302201010011001001001100100000000000000000000	0	977	2445	152	3750	400	375		
130231000110101000110011000000000000000000000	0	3761	3582	249	4000	1050	1050		
130240010101100100010011000000000000000000000	0	4760	2800	163	4000	1700	1700		
1400101010011001001010101010101010101010101010	0	3371	5143	363	3300	2600	2150		
1400200101011000110010101010110000000	0	10824	4009	234	4000	2700	2700		
1400300110100100101010101010101010101010101010	0	12640	4046	251	4500	2600	2925		
1400400101010100110010101010101010101010101010	0	9480	3269	195	3917	2900	2040		
140050101011000110100100000000000000000000000	0	1954	3257	190	4000	600	600		
14006001011010	10101000101000000024	2437	1950	118	3872	1250	1210		
1400701010110001101010101010101010101010101010	0	8014	3339	202	3850	2400	2310		
1400800110011000110010101010101010101010101010	0	8808	3670	214	4000	2400	2400		
1400910001010100110100100010001000000000000000	0	1221	2327	168	3230	525	425		
1401010001011000110010101010101010101010101010	0	7008	3893	227	4020	1800	1800		
14011100100101010101010101010101010101010101010	0	10836	4015	234	4000	2700	2700		
1401201010010101101010011111000000	0	7220	3800	222	4000	1900	1900		
1401310001100100110010101010101010101010101010	0	14735	5457	337	3770	2700	2550		
14014010010110001101010101010101010101010101010	0	5856	4880	285	4000	1200	1200		
14015010100101010101010101010101010101010101010	0	4369	1783	114	3641	2450	2230		
14016100010101010101010101010101010101010101010	0	7073	4161	243	4000	1700	1700		
14017001011010100101010101010101010101010101010	0	6546	4676	273	4000	1400	1400		
14018001011010010101010101010101010101010101010	0	10179	3770	220	4000	2700	2700		
14019001101001	0110010001010000100	0	6949	2574	139	4315	2700	2912	
140200010110100010110010000000100000024	0	4097	3414	217	3667	1200	1100		
14021010110100011010100000001000001	0	1157	2314	141	3840	500	400		
1402201001010100101010101010101010101010101010	0	6994	2914	170	4000	2400	2400		
1402301010010100101010100000001000000	0	400	1000	292	800	400	80		
14024010010110	10	10000000100000	0	7919	2933	177	3070	2700	2612
1402500110011000110010100000001000000	0	8700	3222	150	5000	2700	3375		
14026100010101001100101001011100000	0	1650	1269	166	1785	1500	500		
1402701010101000101010101010101010101010101010	0	5049	3155	184	4000	1600	1600		
1402801010011000101010101010101010101010101010	0	6249	2314	150	3600	2700	2430		
140290011001010011010010001110100000	0	1388	4380	256	4000	2600	2600		
15001010010101010101010101010101010101010101010	0	4994	1850	177	2444	2700	1650		
1500210010110010100011000100010000024	0	1365	2482	162	3564	550	490		
150030010110100011010101010101010101010101010	0	463	4629	270	4000	100	100		
15004000101101001001001100100000110111	0	7049	3710	197	4395	1900	2087		
1500501010011000101010101010101010101010101010	0	7764	2876	179	3750	2700	2531		
1500600110101000101010101010101010101010101010	4	2314	1929	150	3000	1200	900		
15007010010101010101010101010101010101010101010	24	1971	1232	134	2150	1600	860		
150080101010100011001010100000000000018	0	7339	3495	208	3929	2100	2063		
150090011010100010110010001000110111	0	9900	3700	216	4000	2700	2700		
15010010010110001101010101010101010101010101010	0	8764	3506	204	4000	2500	2500		
1501101001101000101010101010101010101010101010	0	7920	3600	200	4200	2200	2310		
15012100010101010101010101010101010101010101010	0	10000	3877	226	4000	2600	2600		
1501301001011000110010101010101010101010101010	0	3840	3200	167	4000	1200	1200		
150140101001100101000110001001100000	0	2448	2720	159	4000	900	900		
15015010	0	9480	3511	205	4000	2700	2700		
15016100101010001101010101010101010101010101010	0	1436	2393	186	3000	600	450		
1501701001010100110010101010101010101010101010	0	10242	4397	239	4000	2500	2500		
1501800110101010101010101010101010101010101010	9	6214	3884	227	4000	1600	1600		
150191000101100011010101010101010101010101010	0	3000	3000	175	4000	1300	1300		
15020010010101010101010101010101010101010101010	0	5095	3640	212	4000	1400	1400		
1502110010011000101010101010101010101010101010	24	1746	1706	153	2600	1000	650		
150220101011000101010101010101010101010101010	0	6444	2864	167	4000	2250	2250		
150230101010100011010101010101010101010101010	0	11392	4382	223	4592	2600	2985		
150240010101100011000110010101010101010101010	0	5571	2321	169	3200	2400	1920		
150250101011000101010101010101010101010101010	0	3814	2543	159	3733	1500	1400		
21001010010110001101000100000010000024	0	1800	2250	131	4000	800	800		



210220100011010001101000100000000000024	1260	4200	245	4000	300	300	
210230100011010001101000100000000000024	2250	3750	219	4000	600	600	
210240100011010001101000100000000000024	7467	5334	347	3589	1400	1256	
210250100011010001101000100000000000024	1546	2265	176	3000	700	525	
210260100011010001101000100000000000024	6943	2571	187	3200	2700	2160	
210270100011010001101000100000000000024	10	4616	1710	159	2511	2700	1695
210280100011010001101000100000000000024	2057	5143	250	4650	400	465	
210290100011010001101000100000000000024	41950	6500	303	5000	2300	2075	
210300100011010001101000100000000000024	1307	2614	152	4000	500	500	
210310100011010001101000100000000000024	2516	2516	140	4200	1000	1000	
210320100011010001101000100000000000024	2857	4762	217	5111	2700	3450	
210330100011010001101000100000000000024	2700	1000	150	1556	2700	1050	
210340100011010001101000100000000000024	2475	4125	175	5500	600	825	
210350100011010001101000100000000000024	0	7029	2811	159	4120	2500	2500
210360100011010001101000100000000000024	3334	2779	160	4050	1200	1217	
210370100011010001101000100000000000024	5750	2396	140	4000	2400	2400	
210380100011010001101000100000000000024	8126	3386	164	4825	2400	2095	
210390100011010001101000100000000000024	600	6000	280	5000	100	125	
210400100011010001101000100000000000024	5574	3644	224	4000	1450	1450	
210410100011010001101000100000000000024	3086	1714	200	2000	1800	900	
210420100011010001101000100000000000024	5104	2320	175	3091	2200	1700	
210430100011010001101000100000000000024	5859	3255	280	2711	1800	1220	
210440100011010001101000100000000000024	1491	4971	145	8000	300	600	
210450100011010001101000100000000000024	1997	2102	166	2947	950	700	
210460100011010001101000100000000000024	2250	2012	164	4000	800	800	
210470100011010001101000100000000000024	7797	2088	175	3857	2700	2000	
210480100011010001101000100000000000024	1860	1860	175	2480	1000	620	
210490100011010001101000100000000000024	1093	1821	142	3000	600	450	
210500100011010001101000100000000000024	5149	5057	295	4000	2600	2600	
210510100011010001101000100000000000024	7183	3265	190	4000	2200	2200	
210520100011010001101000100000000000024	1479	2464	164	3500	600	525	
210530100011010001101000100000000000024	6579	3655	197	4333	1800	1950	
210540100011010001101000100000000000024	600	2400	175	2667	300	200	
210550100011010001101000100000000000024	0	7046	3914	238	3033	1800	1725
210560100011010001101000100000000000024	0	1650	1500	130	2700	1100	742
210570100011010001101000100000000000024	24	3047	781	158	1154	3900	1125
210580100011010001101000100000000000024	619	4954	240	4820	125	151	
210590100011010001101000100000000000024	1055	1319	171	1800	800	360	
210600100011010001101000100000000000024	3429	3429	200	4000	1000	1000	
210610100011010001101000100000000000024	9249	3425	200	4000	2700	2700	
210620100011010001101000100000000000024	5925	2469	180	3200	2400	1920	
210630100011010001101000100000000000024	9343	3593	210	4000	2600	2600	
210640100011010001101000100000000000024	5088	2423	149	3800	2100	1950	
210650100011010001101000100000000000024	7303	3043	169	4200	2400	2520	
210660100011010001101000100000000000024	1950	1300	200	1460	1500	547	
210670100011010001101000100000000000024	1564	1422	137	2427	1100	667	
210680100011010001101000100000000000024	3578	2105	147	3341	1700	1420	
210690100011010001101000100000000000024	9000	5000	292	4000	1800	1800	
210700100011010001101000100000000000024	2940	3095	181	4000	950	950	
210710100011010001101000100000000000024	7189	3126	191	3526	2300	2200	
210720100011010001101000100000000000024	996	866	190	1065	1150	306	
210730100011010001101000100000000000024	7687	2957	172	4000	2600	2600	
210740100011010001101000100000000000024	8370	4650	174	6250	1800	2012	
210750100011010001101000100000000000024	600	2000	140	3333	300	250	
210760100011010001101000100000000000024	814	2714	150	4000	300	300	
210770100011010001101000100000000000024	1140	2850	166	4000	400	400	
210780100011010001101000100000000000024	7000	3250	202	3750	2400	2250	
210790100011010001101000100000000000024	2139	2343	219	2500	900	563	
210800100011010001101000100000000000024	2456	1891	178	2477	1300	805	
210810100011010001101000100000000000024	1715	1270	152	1956	1350	660	
210820100011010001101000100000000000024	244	1629	141	2700	150	101	
210830100011010001101000100000000000024	3571	2747	168	3015	1300	1240	

2106400110101000101100010000000101000 0	6351	2823	165	4000	2250	2250
21065100101010001010 010011101.000024	1616	3231	209	3600	500	450
21066001011010001101000101000000000024	1671	2786	217	3000	600	450
2106700110010100101010001000111100000 0	900	3000	167	4000	300	315
21068001011010001101000100000000000024	874	2914	170	4000	300	300
21069001100110001100100100000000000018	2370	2962	177	3912	800	782
21070001011010001010101001000100000018	5143	3673	168	5107	1400	1787
2107100101100100110100010000.000100024	2929	4686	291	3760	625	500
210720011010010011001001001110100001 4	8700	3625	220	3850	2400	2310
21073001010100100100100010000000000000	4414	3679	238	3600	1200	1000
21074001010110001101000100000000000024	5657	4714	275	4000	1200	1200
21075001001011000110100010000000000024	2571	2143	133	3750	1200	1125
21076001011001001101000100000000000018	3411	3101	221	3275	1100	900
2107700101001100011010001001110100000 0	8871	3286	192	4000	2700	2700
21078001001110001101000100000000000018	9257	4025	196	4783	2300	2750
210790010110100011010001010000000000 0	724	2414	146	3067	300	290
21080001101010001101000100000000000018	3769	2094	161	3028	1800	1362
22001001101001001101000100000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
22002001101001001101000100000000000018	0	0	0	0	0	0
22003001011001001101000100000000000018	3467	2889	169	4000	1200	1200
22004001011001001101000100000000000018	0	0	0	0	0	0
22005001001101000110100010000000000024	268	2679	156	4000	100	100
22006001001101000110100010000000000024	669	2229	177	2933	300	220
22007001001101000110100010000000000024	0	0	0	0	0	0
22008001001101000110100010000000000018	6094	2257	142	3700	2700	2497
22009001001101000110100010000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
22010001001101000110100010000000000018	1029	3429	200	4000	300	300
2201100100110100011010001000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
22012001001101000110100010000000000024	1761	4404	130	7000	400	790
22013001001101000110100010000000000004	6391	3195	196	3800	2000	1900
22014001001101000110100010000000000024	2423	2670	163	3833	900	863
2201500100110100011010001000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
22016001001101000110100010000000000024	8567	3180	176	4207	2700	2840
22017001001101000110100010000000000024	257	857	100	2000	300	150
2201800100110100011010001000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2201900100110100011010001000000000000	7637	2995	171	4078	2550	2600
2202000100110100011010001000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2202100100110100011010001000000000000	9429	4714	314	3500	2000	1750
2202200100110100011010001000000000000	9545	3818	223	4000	2500	2500
22023001001101000110100010000000000024	5940	2029	178	3714	2100	1950
22024001001101000110100010000000000024	5657	3328	190	4000	1700	1737
2202500100110100011010001000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
22026001001101000110100010000000000024	2029	2357	167	3300	1200	990
22027001001101000110100010000000000024	2230	2706	181	3400	750	652
2202800100110100011010001000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2202900100110100011010001000000000000	4256	2037	192	3450	1500	1294
22030001001101000110100010000000000018	7600	5486	320	4000	1400	1400
22031001001101000110100010000000000024	3429	1714	160	2500	2000	1250
22032001001101000110100010000000000018	1710	2974	173	4017	575	577
22033001001101000110100010000000000024	5100	3704	242	3571	1400	1250
2203400100110100011010001000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2203500100110100011010001000000000000	9100	3400	190	4000	2700	2700
2203600100110100011010001000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2203700100110100011010001000000000000	2100	3000	175	4000	700	700
22038001001101000110100010000000000018	5900	1476	127	2722	2700	1037
22039001001101000110100010000000000024	5241	3063	172	4176	1700	1775
2204000100110100011010001000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2204100100110100011010001000000000000	1824	3041	165	4300	600	645
2204200100110100011010001000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2204300100110100011010001000000000000	2012	2012	130	3600	1000	900
22044001001101000110100010000000000024	1211	1274	151	1900	950	466
22045001001101000110100010000000000024	1470	1837	107	4000	800	800
22046001001101000110100010000000000024	814	2036	146	3250	400	325
2204700100110100011010001000000000000	6643	2460	144	4000	2700	2700
22048001001101000110100010000000000018	900	3000	175	4000	300	300
22049001001101000110100010000000000024	2391	2989	174	4000	800	800
2205000100110100011010001000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2205100100110100011010001000000000000	9560	3541	218	3796	2700	2563
2205200100110100011010001000000000000	0	0	0	0	0	0
2205300100110100011010001000000000000	8000	3500	204	4000	2400	2400
22054001001101000110100010000000000018	10130	3755	219	4000	2700	2700
22055001001101000110100010000000000018	214000	5357	260	4000	2700	3240
22056001001101000110100010000000000024	0	0	0	0	0	0
22057001001101000110100010000000000024	6857	3429	200	4000	2000	2000
22058001001101000110100010000000000024	3236	4045	260	3625	800	725



2204601010101000101012010110001012000	4	9000	7500	420	4167	1200	1250
220470101010100010101000100100000010	3	2461	3786	221	4000	650	650
22048010101010001010100010000000000	3	7766	3530	165	5000	2200	2750
2204901001101000110010001010001000000	24	3156	3322	181	4289	950	1019
220501000110100011010001000000000000	18	2829	2571	150	4000	1100	1100
220510101001100011010001000000000000	18	5031	2096	167	2938	2400	1762
310010101010100010100010000000000000	11	13907	5151	300	4000	2700	2700
310020101010010100010001000100010110	0	12966	4802	215	5204	2700	3512
310031000101100101000100010000011000	124	1500	3750	219	4200	400	400
310041001010100010100010000000000000	24	7620	3240	178	4000	2500	2500
31005011001100100010001000101112000	9	8329	3085	180	4000	2700	2700
310060100110010100010001000100010110	0	4800	3429	200	4000	1400	1400
310070100101100100010001000100010000	0	3430	2859	167	4000	1200	1200
310081000101100010100010000001000000	24	1157	1286	113	2650	900	596
310090101010010100010001000000000000	24	1153	2306	149	3600	500	450
310100101010100010100010001000000000	0	7104	3383	166	4750	2100	2494
310110011001100100010001000101000000	4	7329	3857	225	4000	1900	1900
310121000101100100010001000000000000	24	2139	1240	145	2000	1700	850
310130011001100100010001000000110000	0	11666	4487	262	4000	2600	2600
31014100100011001000100010001011110000	9	6252	3291	192	4000	1900	1900
310150010101100100010001000101000000	4	9227	3417	204	3924	2700	2635
310161001001010100010001000101000000	0	1423	2846	166	4000	500	500
310171000101100010001000100000000000	24	1851	2057	200	2400	900	540
310181000101100010001000000000000000	24	6817	2525	147	4000	2700	2700
310190010110100100010001000000000000	0	1029	3429	267	3000	300	225
310201000101100100010001000100000000	0	1029	3429	267	3000	300	225
310210001010100100010001000100000000	0	1100	0	0	0	0	0
310221000101100100010001000000000000	24	10864	4178	250	3892	2600	2530
310231000101100100010001000100000000	0	0	1100	0	7406	3086	180
310241000101100100010001000000000000	24	6979	2585	293	2056	2700	1387
310250100101100100010001000000000000	0	3943	3033	177	4000	1300	1300
310260100101100100010001000000000000	0	9904	3840	220	4000	2600	2652
310270011001100100010001000000000000	0	6943	2571	150	4000	2700	2700
310280010101010100010001000000000000	18	8501	3149	204	3600	2700	2430
310290011001100100010001000101101010	0	7350	2722	159	4000	2700	2700
310300010101010100010001000000000000	18	5580	2657	155	4000	2100	2100
310310001011000100010001000100000000	0	9875	3657	180	4750	2700	3206
310320010110100100010001000000000000	11	4	5979	2989	241	2900	2000
310331000101100100010001000000000000	0	12034	4457	260	4000	2700	2700
310340011010100100010001000000000000	24	2400	1500	156	2250	1600	900
310350010011010001000100010000000000	11	1024	9000	210	4000	2500	2500
310360011010100100010001000000000000	4	2990	2990	174	4000	1000	1000
310370010110010001000100010000000000	9	0264	3480	203	4000	1800	1800
310380010101010100010001000100000000	0	9471	3643	189	4500	2600	2925
3103910001011000100010001000101110000	0	7392	2957	172	4016	2500	2510
310400101010100100010001000000000000	18	5760	5760	336	4000	1000	1000
310410001100110010010001000100110000	18	4671	3114	199	3653	1500	1370
310421001001100010010001000000000000	0	9889	3463	214	4000	2700	2700
310430011010010010010001000000000000	0	7258	3156	170	4326	2300	2487
310441000110010010010001000000000000	11	016	5957	2206	165	3111	2700
310451000110100100100100010000000000	24	2314	2571	150	4000	900	900
310461001001001001001001000100000000	0	1714	3429	200	4000	500	500
310470010110100100100010000000000000	14	4242	8928	893	2800	2700	1890
310480011010100100100100000000000000	0	1000	6000	350	4000	1800	1800
310490010101000100010001000000000000	24	5224	3265	194	3925	1600	1570
310501000101100100010001000101110000	0	4731	3380	197	4000	1400	1400
310511000110100100010001000100000000	0	3657	2571	500	1200	1500	450
310521001001100100010001000000000000	0	7714	3214	150	5000	2400	3000
310531001001100100010001000000000000	0	6570	2433	133	4267	2700	2800
310541001001100100010001000000000000	24	8486	3143	184	3978	2700	2685
310551000101100100010001000100000000	0	5400	3000	175	4000	1800	1800
310561000101100100010000000000000000	0	5610	3300	192	4000	1700	1700
310571000101100100010000000000000000	0	8914	3302	206	3741	2700	2525



3105710010101001001001000000001100	0	8568	4509	263	4000	1900	1900
3105820110100100110010010020000000100	0	3674	2817	156	4222	1350	1425
3105900110100100100100100100000011100	4	9043	3932	292	4543	2300	2612
3106010010010010010010010000001100000	4	2024	2024	196	2410	1000	602
310611000101100100100100100100100000000	0	6722	3538	154	5368	1900	2550
3106201010010010010010010000000000000	0	7011	2862	186	3592	2450	2200
31063010011001001001001001001001000000	0	5786	5786	320	4500	1000	1125
310641000101010100100100100100000000000	0	2709	2462	144	4000	1100	1100
31065100011001001100100100100100000000	0	5696	3564	224	4075	1600	1630
310661000101100100100100100100100000024	0	5760	2743	160	4000	2100	2100
31067010101010010010010010000000000011	0	8837	3535	206	4000	2500	2500
32001001101010010100100100100000000110	0	9257	3429	200	4000	2700	2700
32002100011010001101000100000000000024	0	2711	3872	228	3957	700	692
32003100101010001100100100000000000024	0	4980	1915	179	2492	2600	1620
32004010011010011010010010000001111241	0	8229	3857	225	4000	2600	2600
3200500101101000110010010020000100000	0	9450	3500	204	4000	2700	2700
3200610001100100100100100100000100000	4	8923	4957	315	3667	1800	1650
32007010110101001001001000000100000018	0	3495	3495	179	4560	1000	1140
3200801001101000100100100100000010000	0	1543	2571	120	5000	600	750
3200910001101000110100100100000010110024	0	2704	1803	191	2200	1500	825
32010010110100011010001001000011100124	0	1491	2983	174	4000	500	500
320110011010010010110001010000101110	0	7521	2786	266	2444	2700	1650
320120011010010101001001000111101	0	10950	4256	237	4000	2700	2700
3201310001101001010100100100100000010124	0	3793	3448	219	3682	1100	1012
3201410010101000101010100000000000000	0	4234	3849	208	4318	1100	1188
3201501010101000101010100000000000000	0	7406	3086	180	4000	2400	2400
3201600110101001010100100000000000000	0	6471	2942	172	4000	2200	2200
3201710001101000101001010000000000000	0	7500	2778	137	4733	2700	3195
320180100101100010101010010011000000	0	4800	4000	249	3750	1200	1125
3201901001010100101010010000000000000	0	6994	2914	151	4500	2400	2700
3202010001011000110010010010011000000	0	8563	4757	277	4000	1800	1800
320211001001101000101000101111110000024	0	4937	4114	640	1500	1200	450
3202201001011000110100010000000000000	0	5194	2866	171	3933	1800	1770
32023100010101010101001010000100000018	0	5614	2552	170	3500	2200	1930
3202410001011000110100100000000100000	9	6300	3150	187	3925	2000	1962
320251000101100100100101010011000000	0	4997	2468	170	3390	2025	1716
32026100010101000110010010000000000024	0	1929	1286	167	1800	1500	675
3202710001011000101001000000000000024	0	4364	3637	220	3854	1200	1156
32028100010101100011000100000000000024	0	2680	1340	208	1500	2000	750
3202901001011000101010000000000000018	0	3994	1902	169	2619	2100	1375
3203010001011001001010000000000000024	0	2143	4286	250	4000	500	500
320311000101010010110001001001100000	0	4050	3375	197	4000	1200	1200
32032100100110010010100100100110000024	0	2074	1596	173	2154	1300	700
3203301000100100010010010000000000000	0	4950	1833	159	2696	2700	1820
320340100101010101000101001011100000	9	4500	2143	167	3000	2100	1575
3203500101011001001001010100100000000	0	7308	2897	169	4000	2550	2550
32036100101010010110001001011100000	0	2241	1245	143	2033	1800	915
320371000101100010101010010001000124	0	990	1650	96	4000	600	600
32038100010110001101000100000000000018	0	5670	3150	184	4000	1800	1800
3203910001010101001001010010000000000	0	6549	3852	225	4000	1700	1700
32040010010110001101000100000001000024	0	6281	3140	186	3950	2000	1975
32041100100101001101000100000001000024	0	2160	3600	215	3900	600	585
32042100010110001101000100000001000024	0	2560	1969	174	2646	1300	860
32043100010110001101000100000001000024	0	4230	1762	183	2250	2400	1350
3204401001011000101001001000100000018	0	4320	1600	178	2100	2700	1417
32045100010110001101000100000000000024	0	1929	2143	143	3500	900	788
320460010101100011010001001000100014	0	6250	2660	162	3830	2350	2250
3204710010011000101001010111101000024	0	5786	2143	250	2000	2700	1350
320480010101100100101001000000100000	4	831	2771	162	4000	300	300
320491000101101001010100111110000024	0	2132	1376	134	2403	1550	931
3205010010011000110010010011111000024	0	2687	3583	209	4000	750	750
3205110001011000110100010000000000000	9	5246	2914	170	4000	1800	1800



320521001001010100101001010000000000	012179	3770	221	3972	2700	2681	
320531001010101010101001001001100000	010980	4575	267	4000	2400	2400	
3205410010010101010101001000000100000	11714	3429	200	4000	500	500	
32055100101100010101001000000100000024	2247	2497	162	3600	900	810	
32056100101010101010101010010001000000	8229	3048	178	4000	2700	2700	
320571001001010101010101001000000100000	8674	3614	211	4000	2400	2400	
32058100101011001101001000000100000024	2957	1643	192	2000	1800	900	
3205910010110011010001000200010000024	1363	2271	141	3750	600	563	
320601001001010101010010000001000000	0	6435	2738	163	3915	2350	2300
33001010010100100100101000000000000	0	984	820	120	1600	1200	480
330020101010010010100100100000000000	0	7114	2635	157	3927	2700	2647
33003011010100100100101000000000000	0	6364	2357	137	4000	2700	2700
330041001010010010100100100000000000	0	202250	7500	437	4000	2700	2700
33005010101001001001001000000000000	0	6457	2391	193	2889	2700	1950
33006100101001001001001000000000000	9	1157	2314	135	4000	500	500
330070100100100100100100100000000000	0	8074	3105	181	4000	2600	2600
33008010101001001001001000000000000	0	8250	3300	193	4000	2500	2500
33009010101001001001001000000000000	0	9514	3806	222	4000	2500	2500
330101001001010100100100100000000000	0	4264	2941	172	4000	1450	1450
330110100101001001001001000001000000	0	771	2571	200	3000	300	225
330120110101001001001001000000010000	0	8143	3316	165	4278	2700	2687
33013010101010010010010000000000000	0	5666	1889	161	2733	3000	2850
3301410010010010010010000000000000	0	6400	3200	187	4000	2000	2000
33015010101001001001001000000000000	0	9500	3800	222	4000	2500	2500
3301610010110010010010000000000000	0	2400	2400	128	4360	1800	1090
33017010010100100100100000000000000	0	5271	2510	144	4071	2100	2137
33018100101010010010010000000000000	0	2584	957	158	1411	2700	952
33019010010100100100100000000000000	0	3703	1371	160	2000	2700	1350
33020010010100100100100000000000000	0	3429	2449	143	4000	1400	1400
33021010010100100100100000000000000	0	9146	3387	198	4000	2700	2700
33022100100101010010010011110000000	0	4680	3900	227	4000	1200	1200
33023100101010010010010000000000000	0	4521	3118	174	4172	1450	1512
33024010010101010010010000000000000	0	1322	1889	225	1957	700	342
330250100101100100110001000110100000	4	6657	3429	200	4000	2000	2000
33026010100110010010010000000000000	0	5726	4772	224	4960	1200	1488
3302701001010010010010000000000000	0	7209	3277	176	4332	2200	2382
33028100101010010010010000000000000	0	3497	2331	172	3160	1500	1185
33029100101001001100010000000000000	4	1246	4165	194	5000	2700	3375
33030010010100100100100000000000000	0	8599	3909	228	4000	2200	2200
3303110010100100100100000000000000	9	4333	2889	168	4000	1500	1500
33032010010101010101001001000000000	0	4371	2914	170	4000	1500	1500
33033100100100100100100000000000000	9	4564	3148	184	4000	1450	1450
33034010010101001001000000000000000	0	4681	3149	175	4194	1550	1625
33035010101001010010010000000000000	0	8357	3895	181	4000	2700	2700
33036100101001001001001000111000000	0	4774	1768	249	1659	2700	1120
33037100101001001001001000000000000	0	5940	2200	158	3250	2700	2194
33038100101010010010010000000000000	0	2897	2229	130	4000	1300	1300
330391001001100101001001001110100000	0	1286	2143	167	3000	600	450
33040010101001010010010010000000000	0	11130	4111	197	4867	2700	3285
33041010101001010010010000000000000	0	9151	3979	207	4478	2300	2575
33042100101010010010010000000000000	0	3440	2867	167	4000	1200	1200
33043011010100100100100000000000000	4	6182	3342	184	4243	1850	1962
33044010010100100100100000000000000	4	500	5000	292	4000	100	100
33045100101100100100100000000000000	0	6013	3340	195	4000	1800	1800
33046100101001010010010000000000000	0	19710	7300	426	4000	2700	2700
33047010101001001001001000000000000	0	5799	2521	217	2713	2300	1560
3304801101001010010000000000000000	0	5904	3280	191	4000	1800	1800
3304910010110010010010000000000000	0	7426	3908	481	1895	1900	900
3305001010100100100100000000000000	0	7134	2642	159	3089	2700	2625
3305101001010010010010000000000000	4	9032	3763	435	2021	2400	1212
3305210010100100100100100000000000	0	3240	1200	112	2500	2700	1688
33053100101010101010010000000000000	0	7521	2786	144	4500	2700	3037



330540	1201110100100100100100100000000000	0	874	2914	170	4000	300	300
330550	12011101001001001001001000011110111	0	6621	2452	146	3911	2700	2640
410010	12011100011000110001100100000010000000	0	450	1500	100	3500	300	263
410020	120111000011001100110010000000000000	0	7172	6360	371	4000	2700	2700
410030	12011100001100110011001000011111100000	0	17050	3722	217	4000	2700	2700
410040	12011100001100110011001000011111100000	0	5875	4052	236	4000	1450	1450
410051	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	1061	1179	183	1500	900	330
410060	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	3446	3829	223	4000	900	900
410070	12011100001100110011001000011111100000	0	6228	3460	272	4000	1800	1800
410080	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	9189	3400	199	4000	2700	2700
410090	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	16001	6154	359	4000	2600	2600
410100	1201110000110011001100100001100000000000	0	6770	2800	131	5000	2400	3000
410110	12011100001100110011001000011111100000	0	9150	4357	254	4000	2100	2100
410120	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	9900	4500	262	4000	2200	2200
410130	120111000011001100110010000100000000000	0	7414	2852	620	1873	2600	697
410140	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	2990	2700	143	3750	1300	1219
410150	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	9000	4286	240	4167	2100	2100
410160	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	3900	3250	190	4000	1200	1200
410170	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	1750	3889	227	4000	450	450
410180	1201110000110011001100100001100001100001	9	8295	3386	200	3951	2450	2420
410190	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	643	2571	150	4000	250	250
410200	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	1449	2897	169	4000	500	500
410210	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	3300	5077	344	3446	650	560
410220	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	1929	3214	187	4000	600	600
410230	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	9232	3847	224	4000	2400	2400
410240	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	3086	5143	240	5200	600	750
410250	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	6300	3500	200	4000	1800	1837
410260	12011100001100110011001000011100100000	0	2400	3429	200	4000	700	700
410270	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	10155	3761	219	4000	2700	2700
410280	12011100001100110011001000011111100000	0	7700	3208	187	4000	2400	2400
410290	120111000011001100110010000110000000000	0	9345	3738	218	4000	2500	2500
410300	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	910071	6295	280	5250	1600	2100
410310	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	8549	3166	207	3565	2700	2400
410320	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	13657	6503	335	4524	2100	2375
410330	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	7322	2711	150	4000	2700	2700
410340	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	1200	4000	233	4200	300	300
410350	120111000011001100110010000111000000000	0	14606	5410	298	4237	2700	2860
410360	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	4950	2605	155	3921	1900	1862
410370	12011100001100110011001000011111100000	0	7150	3763	220	4000	1900	1900
410380	12011100001100110011001000011011100000	0	9566	3986	310	3000	2400	1800
410390	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	1543	5143	267	4500	300	330
410400	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	2106	3643	212	4000	600	600
410410	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	1286	4286	143	7000	300	525
410420	120111000011001100110010000111001100000	0	6750	4500	233	4500	1500	1600
410430	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	6222	3457	202	4000	1800	1800
410440	120111000011001100110010000111000120001	0	11028	4084	258	3689	2700	2490
410450	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	9840	3644	191	4444	2700	3000
420010	12011100001100110011001000011111100000	0	4095	4329	200	5055	946	1196
420020	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	4140	4600	230	4667	900	1050
420030	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	10845	5164	278	4333	2100	2275
420040	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	2857	3571	195	4275	800	855
420050	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	2996	3329	183	4250	900	956
420060	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	3686	3686	215	4000	1000	1000
420070	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	8600	3215	193	3891	2700	2626
420080	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	476961	9079	804	5536	2500	3460
420090	12011100001100110011001000010000000000	0	3437	2291	127	4203	1500	1576
420100	12011100001100110011001000011111100000	0	6150	3237	183	4132	1900	1962
420110	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	2151	2151	179	2800	1000	700
420120	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	4937	4114	640	1500	1200	450
420130	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	9	1239	1239	165	1750	1000	430
420140	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	1594	2657	225	2750	600	413
420150	12011100001100110011001000000000000000	0	2143	3061	200	3571	700	625



420161001011010001	00100011010100024	1577	3943	613	1500	400	150
42017010101101000101000100010000000	0	771	1929	112	4000	400	400
4201810001011010010001000100000100000024	1851	1029	160	1500	1800	675	
4201901010110100010001000100000000000	0	3099	2582	172	3500	1200	1050
420200101011010001000100010001010100000	0	7108	3091	190	3791	2300	2100
420210101011010001000100010000000000024	1407	1082	166	1523	1300	495	
420220101011010001000100010001000000000	0	3429	2857	139	4792	1200	1438
4202310010110100010001000100010010100000	0	3600	2571	150	4000	1400	1400
420240101011010001000100010001010100000	0	1929	1378	84	3829	1400	1340
42025010101101000100010001000000000000	0	4731	2558	174	3438	1850	1590
42026010101101000100010001011111000000	0	3225	3225	200	3757	1000	939
420270101011010001000100010001000000018	6845	3111	182	4000	2200	2200	
420280101011010001000100010001000101000	0	5914	3286	202	3800	1800	1710
42029010101101000100010001011010000000	0	5529	2633	154	4000	2100	2100
420300101011010001000100010000000000024	624	3120	182	4000	200	200	
42031010101101000100010001011101000000	0	1980	3600	187	4500	550	619
420320101011010001000100011110000000	0	1131	2829	165	4000	400	400
4300101010100100010001000100000101000	0	320640	7644	429	4156	2700	2805
430020101011000101000100011110100010	9	6621	2452	165	3467	2700	2340
43003010101100011000100010000000000024	1723	2871	167	4000	600	600	
4300401010110001100010001000000100000	0	6017	2229	130	4000	2700	2700
430050101011000110001000100000101000	0	5486	3448	158	4500	1800	2025
430060101010100100010001000000000000	0	1200	2400	127	4400	500	550
4300701010110010100101000112000100000	0	6943	3857	225	4000	1800	1800
43008010110100100010001000100000000001	0	5486	4571	267	4000	1200	1200
43009100100110001100010001000111100000	0	11700	4500	263	4000	2600	2600
4301010011010001100010001000000101010	0	14040	5200	202	6000	2700	4050
4301100110101000101010001000000001000	0	6549	4366	255	4000	1500	1500
43012100011001	1010000100000000000024	1543	1714	133	3000	900	675
4301300110100100101001000100000000000	0	5994	3330	130	6000	1800	2700
4301401010100100100100100000000000000	0	12986	4994	216	5385	2600	3500
4301501001101001010101000100000000000	0	10654	4098	239	4000	2600	2600
43016100010101001010000111101000000	0	9300	3720	217	4000	2500	2500
4301700110100100101001000100010001000	0	7971	2952	221	3111	2700	2100
43018100010110010010001000010000100000	0	7029	2811	174	3760	2500	2350
4301900110010010101000111111000000	0	6990	3883	217	4167	1800	1875
4302010001101000101000100000000000024	793	3171	145	5100	250	319	
43021000101010010101000101101000000	0	7487	3255	190	4000	2300	2300
43022100100110001010100010011100000024	2959	3288	184	4167	900	930	
43023010101010	010010000000000000000	2964	4944	166	6933	600	1040
43024010101101000101000110000100000	0	13766	5098	297	4000	2700	2700
4302510001010101001010004010101000000	0	6400	2783	162	4000	2300	2300
4302601010101001001001000110001000100	0	21000	7778	425	4267	2700	2800
4302701010101001001001000100000000001	0	15643	5794	312	4326	2700	2920
4302810001101000101001000100000000024	2254	1049	171	1428	2150	767	
430291001001100010100100100111100000	0	9385	3610	210	4012	2600	2600
43030001101001001100100010000100100	0	23730	8778	512	4000	2700	2700
43031001100101001001000111111110000	0	7103	2732	160	3784	2600	2459
43032100011010001010000110001000012420400	7556	441	4000	2700	2700		
4303301011010001001000100000000000024	2760	9200	537	4000	300	300	
51001010011010010010010001000000001101	0	12690	5400	315	4000	2350	2350
51002001100101001001000100010000000	0	10800	4000	267	3500	2700	2362
510030101010010100100100010001000000	0	4561	1900	213	2083	2400	1250
5100401010011000110100010000000000000	0	8880	7400	432	4000	1200	1200
510050101010001100100010000000000000	0	910106	4042	251	3760	2500	2350
510060101010001100010001000100000000	0	9150	3978	257	3609	2300	2075
510071001010001010001000100011000000	0	6115	3706	216	4000	1650	1650
510080101001100011000100010001000000	0	12150	4500	273	3850	2700	2599
51009010110100011001000100010000000101	0	3969	3600	244	3455	1100	950
51010100010010010010001000000000000	0	11750	4352	289	3519	2700	2375
51011001001000100010001000000000000	0	8666	3210	189	3967	2700	2677
5101210010101000101100010100000000024	5400	6000	280	5000	900	1125	



Full Text Provided by ERIC

510131000101100010100100100011100000	012204	4520	264	4000	2700	2700
510140101010100011000100100010101101	010457	4357	254	4000	2400	2400
510150101010001001100100010000000000	0 7714	5143	275	4360	1500	1635
510161001001010010110001111111100000	0 7600	3455	202	4000	2200	2200
510171001001010011001000100000000000	0 8818	3919	236	3878	2250	2181
510180101010010100101001001110100000	011151	4130	255	3775	2700	2548
510190101010100011010001000000000000	0 6948	3860	235	3833	1800	1725
510200101010100101001010001000000000	0 2957	4224	246	4000	700	730
510211000101010010110000100001100000	010995	4072	258	3676	2700	2481
5102201010110	0 20101110100010	4 5125	4100	206	4648	1250 1452
510230101010100101010000110000000000	02700010000	530	4400	2700	2970	
510241000101010011010000110111000000	011750	4752	254	4000	2700 2700	
510251000101010010110000111011000000	0 9679	3585	216	3868	2700 2611	
51026010110101001010010000000000000	410330	4216	246	4000	2450 2450	
510270100110100011001000110000000000	013179	6276	266	5514	2100 2095	
51028100010110	0 0010000010001024	4594	3063	189	3707 1500 1420	
510291000101010011001000100011100000	0 6659	3917	251	3647	1700 1550	
51030100101100010101010100110100010	013500	5000	292	4000	2700 2700	
510311000110101001001001000000000000	0 6660	3700	216	4000	1800 1800	
510320010110100010100001000000000000	160710714	160710714	357	7000	150 263	
510331001001010011000100101010100000	010875	4183	244	4000	2600 2600	
510340100101010010100100100000000000	0 1216	1693	176	2250	600 338	
510350011010100101001001001001001001	015900	5889	315	4356	2700 2940	
510360100101100101001001001000000000	3125	2404	228	2462	1300 800	
510370101010100101001001001001000000	0 6200	3750	219	4000	1600 1600	
51038010010110010101001110101000000	0 6343	2349	169	3250	2700 2194	
51039100100110010100100101011000000	011362	4370	272	3750	2600 2438	
5104010001101000110100010000011000124	6000	5000	292	4000	1200 1200	
510410011010101001001001000000000000	010530	3900	227	4000	2700 2700	
510420101010101010101000100000100000	0 6429	3061	169	4214	2100 2212	
5104300110010101011000100100000100000	011700	4179	239	4079	2800 2855	
51044010100101001101000100000100010	0 5135	3950	230	4000	1300 1300	
510451001001010010101001000001000000	0 9450	3500	272	3000	2700 2025	
510461001001010010101000100101000000	010220	3785	231	3824	2700 2581	
5104710001010100101001001110100010	011025	4283	238	4000	2700 2700	
51048010011010011001001000000000000	0 5764	2506	144	4070	2300 2340	
510491001011000101	0 0010100100010	0 7436	3380	197	4000 2200 2200	
51050010010110010100100100000000000	0 8100	2893	193	3500	2800 2450	
51051010010101001010000101101000000	0 9989	4162	315	3083	2400 1850	
510520100110100101000100110000011010	0 5825	3883	230	3933	1500 1475	
51053001010110011010000101110100000	012049	4478	274	3815	2700 2575	
51054100101100010100011001000000000	3889	3241	189	4000	1200 1200	
51055010011001001010001000000000000	010124	5554	2314	180	2875 2400 1725	
51056010101010011001001000001000000	0 9000	3462	221	3662	2600 2300	
51057100010110011010000100101001010	0 6600	3771	236	3726	1750 1630	
51058001101010011010001000000000000	014725	5454	268	4417	2700 2981	
51059100010101001010010010010000000	015106	5810	339	4000	2600 2600	
510600100110100101001001000000000000	010224	3249	1249	226	1208 2600 838	
51061001101010011001001000000000000	014580	5400	291	4333	2700 2925	
510620010110100101010010000001010110	0 514	5143	300	4000	100 100	
51063010011010001100100110000000000	0 8640	3200	187	4000	2700 2700	
51064010101010010100100100000100000	012032	5053	268	4392	2500 2745	
51065100011010010101000110000100000	0 6086	4347	254	4000	1400 1400	
51066100100101010010100010101000000	0 4707	3487	197	4139	1350 1397	
51067001011010011010001000000000000	0 1800	3600	198	4250	500 531	
51068010011001010100010010000110101	011081	4617	269	4000	2400 2400	
51069001011010001001010100000000000	0 8023	3286	160	4500	2600 2925	
510701001010100010100100111000011100	0 7800	3900	228	4000	2000 2000	
51071100100100100101010011110000000	0 4724	2362	138	4000	2000 2000	
51072100010101001100010010000110000	010024	4683	2129	197	2527 2200 1390	
510731000101010010100100101011100010	0 5071	1878	208	2104	2700 1420	
51074100010110010100100100000100000	010024	3857	4286	250	4000 900 900	

5203001001100100011000000000000000	4	9146	5716	370	4450	1600	1700
5203110001100121001001000000000000	4	6441	4226	242	3875	1600	1550
5203201001001000000000000000000000	11024	3471	2242	184	2588	1700	1100
5203301001001000000000000000000000	0	9459	3503	204	4000	2700	2700
5203410001100000000000000000000000	10324	2100	1750	192	2125	1200	630
5203501001001000000000000000000000	0	22950	8500	496	4000	2700	2700
5203601000100000000000000000000000	0	11031	4086	238	4000	2700	2700
5203701000000000000000000000000000	0	12600	4667	272	4000	2700	2700
5203810001100000000000000000000000	0	5745	3239	224	3704	2700	2500
5203901000000000000000000000000000	4	0061	3544	214	3066	2500	2416
5204000100010000000000000000000000	0	6310	3500	224	4000	1800	1800
5204100100010000000000000000000000	0	16266	6032	352	4000	2700	2700
5204210001001000000000000000000000	0	11571	4286	286	3500	2700	2362
5204301001100000000000000000000000	0	41464	5357	312	4000	2700	2700
5204401001100000000000000000000000	0	4410	2316	183	2941	1900	1400
5204500100100000000000000000000000	0	18514	6857	333	4800	2700	3200
5204610001000000000000000000000000	0	5511	3445	201	4000	1600	1600
5204701000000000000000000000000000	0	14301	5297	329	4000	2700	2700
5204800100100000000000000000000000	9	4160	2447	177	3224	1700	1370
5204900100010000000000000000000000	0	1617	2473	163	3538	650	575
5205100011000000000000000000000000	0	324	3471	202	4000	1500	1500
5205210001001000000000000000000000	0	2379	2265	158	3343	1050	877
5205310001100000000000000000000000	0	24	3590	247	3385	1300	1100
5205410001001000000000000000000000	4	1700	4250	248	1000	400	400
5205501001100000000000000000000000	0	11486	5743	335	4000	2000	2000
5205601001100000000000000000000000	0	5379	4137	201	4000	1300	1563
5205710001001000000000000000000000	0	2931	1891	141	3126	1550	1211
5205800100100000000000000000000000	0	8486	3536	165	5000	2400	3000
5205910001001000000000000000000000	0	1671	2786	217	3000	600	450
5206000100100000000000000000000000	0	9250	3426	221	3611	2700	2438
5206101001001000000000000000000000	0	10460	4184	244	4000	2500	2500
5206201000000000000000000000000000	0	4260	1578	129	2044	2700	1920
5206310001000000000000000000000000	0	5786	2755	183	3514	2100	1845
5206400100100000000000000000000000	0	41409	6134	374	3026	2300	2200
5206510001001000000000000000000000	0	11475	4250	248	4000	2700	2700
5206601001100000000000000000000000	4	9107	3373	266	2963	2700	2000
5206701000000000000000000000000000	0	300	3000	175	4000	100	100
5206810001001000000000000000000000	9	5679	3155	180	4000	1800	1800
5206901000000000000000000000000000	0	1794	2989	160	3875	600	501
5207000100000000000000000000000000	0	3744	1872	109	4000	2000	2000
5207101000100000000000000000000000	0	11580	4289	250	4200	2700	2700
5207200100100000000000000000000000	0	9900	3667	214	4000	2700	2700
5207300110000000000000000000000000	0	6841	3801	207	4278	1800	1925
5207400110000000000000000000000000	0	17275	6398	340	4393	2700	2965
5207501000000000000000000000000000	0	5036	3731	216	4037	1350	1362
5207601001001000000000000000000000	0	4436	3412	199	4000	1300	1300
5207710001100000000000000000000000	0	4114	3165	200	3692	1300	1200
5207801001001000000000000000000000	0	7261	3157	189	3891	2300	2237
5207901001100000000000000000000000	0	8320	3782	213	4136	2200	2275
5208001001100000000000000000000000	0	9643	3709	239	3615	2600	2350
5208110001100000000000000000000000	0	5786	6429	357	4200	900	945
5208201001001000000000000000000000	0	2100	3500	204	4000	600	600
5208301001100000000000000000000000	0	42070	7433	434	4000	2700	2700
5208410001001000000000000000000000	0	5979	2599	303	2000	2300	1150
5208510001001000000000000000000000	0	1963	1784	136	3055	1100	800
5208601001100000000000000000000000	0	874	1457	113	3000	600	450
5208701001100000000000000000000000	9	6460	4050	236	4000	1600	1600
5208800110000000000000000000000000	0	1971	3286	192	4000	600	600
5208901001100000000000000000000000	0	11250	5625	375	3500	2000	1750
5209001001001000000000000000000000	0	6557	4098	250	3825	1600	1530
5209101001100000000000000000000000	0	9245	3424	200	4000	2700	2700

520921000110100011001000100000101000024	7603	4224	291	3389	1800	1525
520931000110100011001000100000101000024	2357	3367	172	4571	700	800
520941000110100011001000100000101000024	2121	3536	275	3200	600	450
520951000110100011001000100000101000024	411734	4694	274	4000	2500	2500
520961000110100011001000100000101000024	3600	2400	267	1750	1800	700
520971000110100011001000100000101000024	7500	2885	165	4069	2600	2645
520981000110100011001000100000101000024	9161	3393	238	3333	2700	2250
520991000110100011001000100000101000024	11571	4286	250	4000	2700	2700
521001000110100011001000100000101000024	5950	4250	248	4000	1400	1400
521011000110100011001000100000101000024	3343	2229	153	3400	1500	1275
521021000110100011001000100000101000024	5800	5852	327	4170	2700	2815
521031000110100011001000100000101000024	2000	2857	194	3429	700	600
521041000110100011001000100000101000024	1558	3116	182	4000	500	500
521051000110100011001000100000101000024	3557	1976	225	2050	1800	922
521061000110100011001000100000101000024	5614	2673	172	3611	2100	1900
521071000110100011001000100000101000024	8100	4050	236	4000	2000	2000
521081000110100011001000100000101000024	9516	3660	214	4000	2600	2600
521091000110100011001000100000101000024	1200	4000	156	6000	300	450
521101000110100011001000100000101000024	4243	4714	275	4200	900	900
521111000110100011001000100000101000024	5040	5305	309	4000	950	950
521121000110100011001000100000101000024	4554	6071	483	2933	750	550
521131000110100011001000100000101000024	1800	1500	184	1900	1200	570
521141000110100011001000100000101000024	7136	2643	148	1167	2700	2812
521151000110100011001000100000101000024	5233	1938	163	2778	2700	1875
521161000110100011001000100000101000024	6964	3397	250	3171	2050	1625
521171000110100011001000100000101000024	9000	6000	350	4000	1500	1500
521181000110100011001000100000101000024	12343	5143	320	3750	2400	2250
521191000110100011001000100000101000024	2674	2431	347	1636	1100	450
521201000110100011001000100000101000024	2507	6268	316	4625	400	463
521211000110100011001000100000101000024	4809	2531	173	3411	1900	1620
521221000110100011001000100000101000024	9274	3567	230	3615	2600	2350
521231000110100011001000100000101000024	7071	3722	217	4000	1900	1900
521241000110100011001000100000101000024	7299	4055	242	3889	1800	1750
521251000110100011001000100000101000024	5764	2882	168	4000	2000	2000
521261000110100011001000100000101000024	4462	2550	189	3151	1750	1379
521271000110100011001000100000101000024	4371	5464	319	4000	800	800
521281000110100011001000100000101000024	3619	4021	235	4000	900	900
530011000110100011001000100000101000024	2199	2443	190	3000	900	675
530021000110100011001000100000101000024	4927	5529	322	4000	2700	2700
530031000110100011001000100000101000024	318	5503	181	3931	1800	1769
530041000110100011001000100000101000024	289	1929	112	4000	150	150
530051000110100011001000100000101000024	1200	1714	308	1300	700	227
530061000110100011001000100000101000024	7818	2896	229	2956	2700	1995
530071000110100011001000100000101000024	2160	2400	160	3500	900	788
530081000110100011001000100000101000024	3330	3027	177	4000	1100	1100
530091000110100011001000100000101000024	2726	1817	170	2500	1500	938
530101000110100011001000100000101000024	2263	2057	133	3600	1100	990
530111000110100011001000100000101000024	231	2314	135	4300	100	100
530121000110100011001000100000101000024	4641	2579	147	4100	1800	1845
530131000110100011001000100000101000024	5649	2690	157	4000	2100	2100
530141000110100011001000100000101000024	13221	3931	229	4000	2600	2600
530151000110100011001000100000101000024	3600	3000	175	4000	1200	1200
530161000110100011001000100000101000024	4821	5357	312	4000	900	900
530171000110100011001000100000101000024	6943	2571	180	3333	2700	2250
530181000110100011001000100000101000024	10414	3857	450	2000	2700	1350
530191000110100011001000100000101000024	1471	2452	195	2933	600	400
530201000110100011001000100000101000024	4201	3000	295	2371	1400	830
530211000110100011001000100000101000024	4500	3214	188	4000	1400	1400
530221000110100011001000100000101000024	3482	1290	199	1511	2700	1020
530231000110100011001000100000101000024	1646	2743	160	4000	600	600
530241000110100011001000100000101000024	1303	3257	190	4000	400	400
530251000110100011001000100000101000024	2074	2766	160	4000	750	757



53026010011001100010001000000000000	0	7646	3475	203	4000	2200	2200		
53027010011011000100100100100000000	0	525	3500	214	4000	1500	1500		
53028100011010100010010010010010010	0	4932	1827	237	1800	2700	1215		
53029100011010100010010010010010010	0	1330	3833	224	4000	2700	2700		
53030010101001100011000010000000000	0	15943	6643	368	4000	2400	2400		
53031001101001100010010010010000000	0	8512	6380	355	4000	1400	1400		
53032010100110100010010010010010010	0	411405	4578	252	4240	2500	2650		
53033100011010100010010010000000000	0	951	3171	185	4000	300	300		
53034100011010100010010010010000000	0	911931	4971	290	4000	2400	2400		
53035100011010100010010010000000000	0	274	2743	160	4000	100	100		
53036010101010100010010010000010000	0	5334	3556	207	4000	1500	1500		
53037010010101010001001001000001000	0	1734	2168	141	3600	800	720		
53038100011010100010010010010010010	0	1124	3964	4955	343	3375	800	675	
53039100010101010001001001000000000	0	1324	823	2743	160	4000	300	300	
53040001010101010001001001000001000	0	3343	2786	162	4000	1200	1200		
53041010011010100010010010000100000	0	9	4020	3292	180	4000	1300	1300	
53042001101010100010010010000011100	0	9	8229	3429	280	4000	2400	2400	
530430100110101000100001000001011124	0	11124	8400	9333	467	4667	900	1050	
53044010011010100010010010000000000	0	1324	1800	6000	350	4000	300	300	
5304510001010101000100100022010000224	0	224	4886	2714	173	3667	1800	1650	
53046100010101010001100000000000000	0	9	2759	2508	222	2636	1100	725	
53047001101010100010010010000011000	0	1324	6514	2413	149	3778	2700	2550	
5304701010101010001001001000001110	0	1110	9634	3568	208	4000	2700	2700	
5304900110101000100100111110110018	0	18	290	2697	130	5200	100	130	
53050010011010100010010010000010000	0	1324	7869	3577	209	4000	2200	2200	
530510001101010001001001001000000024	0	24	5571	2786	193	3360	2000	1600	
530521000101010100010010010000000210	0	210	3233	2694	177	3550	1200	1065	
53053100101010100010010010000011001	0	1001	9	4467	2978	174	4000	1500	1500
530540011001101010001000011010100000	0	1000	7714	3214	187	4000	2400	2400	
530551200110101001001000100001000024	0	24	600	2000	117	4000	300	300	
53056100010101010001001001000001000024	0	24	411	1371	160	2000	300	150	
53057100011010100010010001000000024	0	24	2800	4741	364	3637	2700	2050	
53058010011001100010010010010011001	0	11001	18707	7483	403	4336	2500	2710	
530590011001100100100100100000100000	0	1000	906	1294	160	1886	700	330	
530600100101010100010010010000010000	0	10000	13414	5159	301	4000	2600	2600	
54001001010101010001001000000100000	0	4	107	2143	172	2900	50	36	
54002100100110100010010010010010000	0	4	2400	3429	280	4000	700	700	
54003001011010100010010010000001000	0	1000	6037	3354	196	4000	1800	1800	
540041000101010100011000100001000024	0	24	4215	2007	185	2529	2100	1321	
54005010010101000110001000010000000	0	10000	18586	4071	237	4000	2600	2600	
54006010010101010001001001001000000	0	1000	6174	3249	212	3579	1900	1700	
54007000110101000100100001000000024	0	24	1467	2405	207	2750	600	413	
540080100110101000100100100000010118	0	118	6891	2552	185	3222	2700	2175	
5400910001101010001000001000010000120	0	120	3105	1479	101	3429	2100	1800	
54010001010101000100000110111000000	0	11000	9	3000	3000	179	3980	1000	975
54011000101010001001001001000000000	0	1000	1704	2492	142	4000	700	715	
54012100100101010001001001000000024	0	24	2143	2103	146	3360	1020	840	
5401300101011010001001000001000024	0	24	2940	2104	123	4000	1400	1400	
540141000110101000100001000010100018	0	18	2960	3700	216	4000	800	800	
540151000101010001100010000101000024	0	24	926	3086	180	4000	300	300	
5401610011010100011000010000000021	0	21	1543	1714	100	4000	920	920	
54017010010101000100001000100000018	0	18	1414	2357	137	4000	600	600	
540181000101010001100001000100000000	0	1000	4500	3600	210	4000	1250	1250	
54019010010101000100001000100000000	0	1000	5740	2126	124	4000	2700	2700	
54020001101010001001001001000000000	0	1000	13886	5143	300	4000	2700	2700	
540210010110100010010010000011010	0	1101	6686	4775	279	4000	1400	1400	
5402210010101000100100100000000000	0	1000	1980	2329	148	3682	850	782	
5402310001101000100100100100000000	0	1000	11775	4361	254	4000	2700	2700	
54024100010101000100001000010100024	0	24	2160	1800	159	2633	1200	790	
540251000110101000100100100110000024	0	24	4869	2117	163	3022	2300	1737	
54026010100101010001001001001000000	0	1000	5406	3600	217	3667	1500	1450	
540270100110101000100100100000010101	0	10101	12350	4574	267	4000	2700	2700	



540280	10101010100010100011101000100024	4050	1500	140	2500	2700	1600	
540291	10010110100011000010000110000024	2772	1631	169	2247	1700	955	
540301	00100110100100100010001010000000	012900	5186	392	4000	2500	2500	
540310	01010101000100100100000100000000	0	4965	2379	134	4012	2150	2156
540321	00011001100010100010010010000024	3667	4075	240	3967	900	892	
540331	00010110100011000010000010100024	3574	2979	331	2100	1200	630	
540341	000110101000101001100000010000	9	4929	3080	180	4000	1600	1600
540351	00011010100010100110000000000000	0	6196	2950	196	3517	2100	1546
540361	0010010110001001001001101010000	0	7031	4136	257	3750	1700	1594
540370	01100101000100100100000100000000	0	1929	2143	125	4000	900	900
540380	10011010100011000110001010100024	1157	1206	120	2500	900	563	
540390	101100110001000100000010100000	0	12797	4740	284	3889	2700	2625
540401	00010101100101000010000010000024	945	3150	184	4000	300	300	
540411	00101010100010100010000000100024	4050	3115	197	3692	1300	1200	
540421	000110011000101000100010010000	0	23800	8000	467	4000	2600	2600
540430	101010100010010011000001000000	0	1050	3500	204	4000	300	300
540440	100110011000101000110001110001	0	12288	4551	265	4000	2700	2700
540450	10101010001010001100001110000	0	10639	3940	230	4000	2700	2700
540460	100110101000100100100001100000	0	11601	4462	260	4000	2600	2600
540470	100110100010010010000010000000	0	2790	3100	181	4000	900	900
540480	101001101000101000101010100000	0	707	1768	165	2500	400	250
540490	101101010001001001001000000024	3065	2554	149	4000	1200	1200	
540500	11010101000100010011000000010118	5311	2656	145	4280	2000	2140	
540510	1010101000100100111010100000	0	2773	2773	162	4000	1000	1000
540520	101101010001001001000000000101	0	4159	2599	152	4000	1600	1600
540531	000110101000100100100000101000	0	7750	3875	226	4000	2000	2000
540540	100101101000101000100001010000	0	1166	5829	302	4500	200	225

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- A Special Task Force to the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare. Work in America. Cambridge, Mass.: The MIT Press, 1973.
- Austin American. Editorial, April 17, 1973.
- Broom, Leonard and Betty J. Maynard. "Prestige and Socio-economic Ranking of Occupations." Social Science Quarterly 50 (September 1964): 286-302.
- Crain, Robert L. "School Integration and Occupational Achievement of Negroes." American Journal of Sociology 75 (January 1970): 593-606.
- Ecklan, Bruce K. "Academic Ability, Higher Education and Occupational Mobility." American Sociological Review 30 (October 1965): 735-46.
- Harman, Harry, H. Modern Factor Analysis. 2nd ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1967.
- Hodge, Robert W., Paul M. Sigel and Peter H. Rossi. "Occupational Prestige in the United States, 1925-63." American Journal of Sociology 70 (November 1964) 286-302.
- Kaufman, Jacob J. and Morgan V. Lewis. The Potential of Vocational Education. University Park, Penn.: Institute for Research on Human Resources, Penn. State Univ., 1968.
- Kaufman, Jacob J., Carl J. Schaefer, Morgan V. Lewis, David W. Stevens, and Elaine W. House. The Role of Secondary Schools in the Preparation of Youth for Employment. University Park, Penn.: The Institute for Research on Human Resources, Penn. State Univ., 1967.
- Lane, Angela. "Occupational Mobility in Six Cities." American Sociological Review 33 (October 1968): 740-47.
- Lieberson, Stanley and Glenn V. Fuguitt. "Negro-White Occupational Differences in the Absence of Discrimination." American Journal of Sociology 73 (September 1967): 188-200.

- Little, J. Kenneth. "The Occupations of Non-College Youth." American Education Research Journal 4 (March 1967): 147-53.
- Little, J. Kenneth. Review and Synthesis of Research on the Placement and Follow-up of Vocational Education Students. Columbus, Ohio: Center for Vocational and Technical Education, 1970.
- Perrucci, Carolyn Commings and Robert Perrucci. "Social Origins, Education Contexts, and Career Mobility." American Sociological Review 35 (June 1970): 451-62.
- Reiss, Albert J., Jr., Otis Dudley Duncan, Paul K. Hatt and Cecil C. North. Occupations and Social Status. New York: Free Press, 1961.
- Rosenberg, Morris. The Logic of Survey Analysis. New York: Basic Books, 1968.
- Somers, Gerald. The Effectiveness of Vocational and Technical Programs: A National Follow-up. Madison, Wisc.: Center for Studies in Vocational and Technical Education, University of Wisconsin, 1971.
- Straus, Murray and Katherine H. Homberg. "Part-time Employment, Social Class, and Achievement in High School." Sociology and Social Research 52 (April 1968): 224-30.
- Stromsdorfer, Ernst W. Review and Synthesis of Cost-Effectiveness Studies of Vocational and Technical Education. Columbus, Ohio: The Center for Vocational and Technical Education, Ohio State Univ., 1972.
- Texas Department of Community Affairs, Texas Office of Economic Opportunity. Poverty in Texas. Austin, Texas: Texas Office of Economic Opportunity, 1972.
- Texas Education Agency. Vocational Agriculture Education Program Standards. Rev. ed. Austin, Texas: Texas Education Agency, 1971.
- Texas Education Agency. Vocational Distribution Education Program Standards. Rev. ed. Austin, Texas: Texas Education Agency, 1971.
- Texas Education Agency. Vocational Industrial Education Program Standards. Rev. ed. Austin, Texas: Texas Education Agency, 1971.

- Texas Education Agency. Vocational Office Education Program Standards. Rev. ed. Austin, Texas: Texas Education Agency, 1971.
- Thomas, Lawrence. The Occupational Structure and Education. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1956.
- Thompson, John F. Foundations of Vocational Education. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1973.
- U.S. Congress, House. An Act to Amend the Vocational Education Act of 1963, and for Other Purposes. Public Law 90-576, 90th Congress, October 16, 1968, H.R. 18366..
- U.S. Congress, House. An Act to Strengthen and Improve the Quality of Vocational Education and to Expand the Vocational Education Opportunities in the Nation, to Extend for Three Years the National Defense Education Act of 1958 and Public Law 815 and 874, Eighty-first Congress (Federally Affected Area), and for Other Purposes. Public Law 88-210, 88th Congress, Dec. 18, 1963.
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census. U.S. Census of Population: Detailed Characteristics. Pc(1)-D45, Texas, Sec. 2. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Area Wage Survey: The Houston, Texas, Metropolitan Area April 1972. Bulletin 1725-79, 1972.
- U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Area Wage Survey: The San Antonio, Texas, Metropolitan Area, May, 1972. Bulletin 1725-67, 1972.
- U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of Education. Vocational and Technical Education; Annual Report, Fiscal Year, 1967. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1969.
- U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Office of Education. Vocational and Technical Education; Annual Report, Fiscal Year, 1968. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1970.
- U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Office of Education. Vocational and Technical Education; Annual Report, Fiscal Year, 1969. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1971.

Veldman, Donald J. Fortran Programming for the Behavioral Sciences. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1967.

Wattenberg, Ben J. and Richard M. Scammon. "Black Progress and Liberal Rhetoric." Commentary 55 (April 1973): 36.