ED 118 190

JC 760 116

AUTHOR

Lucas. John A.

TITLE

Evaluation of Faculty Orientation -- 1975. Research

Report Series, Vol. 7, No. 4.

INSTITUTION

William Rainey Harper Coll., Palatine, Ill.

PUB DATE

10 Nov 75

NOTE

13p.

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage

DESCRIPTORS

*College Faculty; Comparative Analysis; Instructional

Staff; *Junior Colleges; Program Evaluation; Teacher

Attitudes; *Teacher Orientation William Rainey Harper College

IDENTIFIERS

ABSTRACT

In order to evaluate the four-day faculty orientation offered by William Rainey Harper College, a survey was sent to all 202 full-time faculty in the fall of 1975. Ninety-one completed evaluations were #eturned. About 50 percent of the faculty felt that the orientation was very beneficial and should be continued as is. In 1974, 71 percent felt this way. In both years about 19 percent felt. it should be discontinued. The big difference between 1974 and 1975 was that more faculty in 1975 felt the orientation should be modified to shorten the formal orientation, allowing more time for individual preparation and committee work. About 42 percent of the faculty were prevented from attending all or part of the orientation because of other commitments. In 1974 about 20 percent had suggested meeting off campus. Although this suggestion was followed, only 34 percent of the respondents felt that it was worth the money. Thirty percent felt money would be better spent attracting top educational leaders as speakers. New faculty felt the mentors assigned to them.and the new faculty meeting were very helpful. An outline of the ofientation program is included, data are organized into tables, and the survey instrument is appended. (Author/NHM)

Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions, supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. ****************

760 116

William Rainey Harper College

EVALUATION OF FACULTY ORIENTATION --- 1975

Research Report Series Volume VII, No. 4

November 10, 1975

Office of Planning and Research

John A. Lucas, Director

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELPARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-OUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR-ORGANIZATION ORIGINA ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL VISTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

g

Evaluation of Faculty Orientation

In order to evaluate the Faculty Orientation held August 19-23, a survey (shown in the appendix) was sent to all 202 full-time faculty and after several weeks 91 completed evaluations were returned. The results can be summarized as follows:

- (1) About 50 percent of the faculty felt this year's orientation was very beneficial and should be continued as is. Last year 71 percent of the faculty felt this way. In both years about 18-19 percent felt it should be discontinued. The big difference in the overall evaluation between this year and last year is that more faculty this year felt the orientation should be modified before continuing. The biggest group of suggestions centered around shortening the formal orientation allowing more time for individual preparation and committee work. When faculty were asked whether there was enough time devoted to individual planning preparations, 70 percent indicated there was not enough time.
- (2) Theobald's sessions and the special committee meetings were rated as providing more benefit to the faculty than the other sessions offered. However, none of the sessions rated as high on the benefit scale as the top sessions on last year's program.
- (3) About 42 percent of the faculty were prevented from attending all or part of the orientation because of other commitments. This is about the same as last year.
- (4) This year 34 percent of the faculty felt it was worth the money to meet off campus. Last year about 20 percent had suggested meeting off campus. This year 30 percent felt money would be better spent attracting additional top educational leaders rather than meeting off campus while last year 35 percent were satisfied with using the money to attract a number of top educational leaders. In summary, it would be inferred over the last two year's experiences with faculty orientation, about a third of the faculty prefer to use the money to attract top educational leaders, about a third prefer to use the money to meet off campus and a third prefer to use the money in another way during the year.
- (5) The new faculty felt the mentors assigned to them and the new faculty meeting were very helpful to them.

3

EVALUATION OF FACULTY ORIENTATION PROGRAM

	4 .				
1	Overall Evaluation	,	Ł	Number	<u>Percent</u>
	•It was very beneficial and should be	continued		45	50.5
	It was good but should be modified in	a major way			
	before continuing			28	31.5
		Number Number	Percent		`
,	Program too long-more individual pres	aration			,)
	time needed	7	38.9		•
	Need more small group sessions with e	xperts 🍖			
	in which group is effectively run	3	16.7	_	
	Make sure there are good leaders	1	5.6		
	Eliminate guest speakers	1	5.6	•	
	Return to informal setting of 1974	1	- 5.6		•
	Hold on campus	• 1	5.6		
	Need more interaction between faculty		- 4		
	and staff	1	5.6		
	Need more on Harper plans and facilit		5.6		
	Need more emphasis on improvement of	instruction 1	5.6	•	
•	This should only be for new faculty	, ' -	<u>5.6</u>	f	
		18	100.0		•
	It has outlived its usefulness and sho	uld be discontinue	ed .	16	18.0
		•	. •	89	100.0
		•		09	100.0
:	Barriers to Participating in Orientat	ion .	, '		•
	Nothing prevented faculty member from	participating		49	58.3
٠	Other responsibilities and commitment			•	3
	partial or no participation	•		35	41.7
		1 -	1	84	100.0
	1	•	,	04	100.0
	List of Responsibilities or Commitmen	ts Preventino		•	1 *
	Full Participation in Orientation	es ricvenerna			٨
				,	
	Departmental duties, preparation and	committee meetings	3	12	•
	Student registration			45	- /
	Counseling and academic advising			4	
	Medical problems	on a wat i an	•	3⅓ 3	
	Program coordination duties-hiring-pr Administrative responsibilities	ehatarión		• 3 2	,
1	Personal problems	`		1	*
1	1 crossed brostems			,	
1				30	
	1)	4		1	

4/

-1

RATINGS OF GENERAL APRESENTATIONS AND DIALOGUE SESSIONS

	Overall Benefit	ť ,	Appropriateness and Interest-in	ateness rest-in	Effectiveness	veness	Degree of Interest Among	f Among	•
Session	Faculty Rating*	Members	Subject Matter Rating* Numbe	Matter	of Leader Rating*Number	Number	Raticipants Rating* Numbet	ants Number	,
Bob Theobald-Dialogue Session-New Perceptions- The Future of the Community College	3.27		3.84	55 *	3.59		3,39	54	
Bob Theobald-Presentation-Creating America's Third Century, A Challenge to Community Colleges	3.25	. 92	3.90	79	.3.83	. 78	2.94	29	•
Special Committee Meetings	3.11	87	3.47	17	3.24	17	3.31	16	
Planning Strategies for Referendum Presentation by Guerin Fischer and Bill Mann	2.94	. İŞ	3.51	45	2,91	45	2.96 ,	. 47	/ ,·
Support Systems for the Future -Dialogue-Session - John Birkholz	2.90	39	3.34	41	3.31	39	2.68	38	A STANSON
Student Grievance Procedure - Concurrent, Topical Session	2.88	17	3.56	18 .	2.89	19	3.18	11 .	
Administrative Services - Dialogue Session - Bill Mann	2.81	27	3.66	35	3.00	34	2.88	, 5 ,	
The President Looks at the Future - Presentation Robert Lahti	2.79	72	3.55	92	3.06	77	2.51		•
Willow Park Center - Extension Center Operations - Concurrent Topical Session	2.79	19	3.39	18	2.87	15	2.88	16	. \
Academic Affairs Reorganization - Concurrent Topical Session	. 2.76	25	3.16	25 (3,12	25	2.55	22	\
New Gampus Phone System - Concurrent Topical Session	2.71	. 78	3.32	28	2.62	. 29	. 2.36	22	
	`						٠		,

⁵

	• /	1	· / .
•			A
			(*)
	Evaluation of Informal Coffee Session	Number	Percent
	Was able to meet and chat sufficiently with old frients who I hadn't seen during summer Was able to talk with individuals I never or seldom have	46	51.7
` x	ever talked with before	36	40.5
2	Was able to meet and chat with old friends but the time available for this was too short Was able to talk with some of the workshop leaders in more	12	13.5
•	depth after or before the sessions. The physical arrangements were terrible	5	5.6 3.4
	where were many new people	2	2.3
	No desire for this activity .	1	1.1
•	Too busy for these type of sessions	_1	<u> </u>
	Evaluation of Board Dinner	89	,
•	It is a grand tradition and should be continued. It is a good event but shouldn't be held during orientation.	50 13⅓	65.0 17.5
	It should be modified	61/2	8.4
	Have a program-some other focus beside eating - 2½ Reduce cost-cut down on choices and waste - 2 Make it more informal - 1	,	•
	Arrange seating by department - 1 It should be discontinued		9.1
		77	100.0
	Evaluation of Game Activities	•	
,	I participated in same	19	20.9
	I had too many other commitments and responsibilities to participate	- 39	
	I didn't feel those activities were appropriate for orientation	14	42.9 15.4
	There were no activities in which I was interested	10	11.0
,	I wasn't sure who was participating or what was going on More direction was needed	5	2. 2 .*
	It was a good_idea - just didn't get around to it	• 2	2.2
<u> </u>		91	100.0
• •	Realings About Board Member Bortisinstian in Esculty Origination		1
1.	Feelings About Board Member Participation in Faculty Orientation	-	
J	It is important for the faculty to have a time where they can interact with the Board members It is important for Board members to see what educational issues	. 43	48.3
: 1	are important to faculty	₁ 45 · <u> </u>	50.6
	I don't care whether or not Board members participate	19	21.4
		89	•
• ,	Feelings About Time Devoted to Divisional Meetings and Individual Planning		,
`	Divisional Meetings		
•	Not enough time was available	22 51	26.8 62.2
	About the right time was available Too much time was allocated	9	11.0
,		82	100.0
EDIC) · .	100,0
Full Text Provided by ERIC		<u>'</u> :	• • 1

Other Comments About New Faculty Orientation*

The planning for physicals was poor - should be done before semester begins.

New faculty meeting was especially helpful.

College policies should really be explained.

Faculty Interested in Serving on the Overall Planning Committee

G. J. Norini Roger Bechtold Roger Mussell Judy Dincher Chris Newkirk

Faculty Interested in Developing, Leading or Helping to Run a Workshop for Next Year's Faculty Orientation

G. J. Norini Roger Mussell Richard Lockwood Topic or workshop

Competency based instruction
Skill level training programs
Armageddon contingencies - relating on our work
to the enormous world

Faculty Interested in Developing, Leading or Helping to Run a Special Event for Next Year's Faculty Orientation

Roy Kearns Roger Mussell Golf Liberal studies application APPENDIX

Evaluation of Faculty Orientation Program Harper Looks to the Future

August 19-23, 1975 Harper Collège



	A.	It was v	,	cial and defi	nitely should	be conti
-f	В.	It was g	ood but on	ly should be ve some sugge	continued aft stions.	er major
					<u> </u>	•
				• •	,,	

you attended basis. 5=very high 4=high degr 3=satisfact	and rate each sess degree of interes see cory level	t 2=neutra l=low de or val		following fectiveness
Rate each ses each column o	ssion you attended opposite that sessi l	on four different on.	aspects by placing a	number in
Presentations and Dialogue Sessions	Appropriateness and interest in Subject Matter	Effectiveness of Leader	Degree of Interaction Among Participants	Workshop Benefit To You
Tuesday Presentations	•	ŧ	/ /' ·	i '
Creating America's Third Century, A Challenge to Community Colleges - Robert Theobald	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ;		
The President Looks at the Future - Robert Laht				
Tuesday Dialogue Session	<u>s</u>	•	1	1
New Perceptions - The Fu of the Community College Robert Theobald Support Systems for the Future - Yohn Birkholz				
Administrative Services William Mann Wednesday Presentations	- 	- /		
Planning Strategies for Referendum Guerin Fisc and William Mann Wednesday Concurrent Top	,	1		
New Campus Phone System	içai sessions		.	
Student Grievance Proced	ure		- -	,
Willow Park Center Extension Center Operati				1
Academic Affairs Reorganization		<u> </u>		
Thursday Special Committee Meetin	ıgs	10		

	kshop topics	•	1.				1	1
	<u> </u>		1 .	,	. \		.1	·
,	9		,		,	,	. —	,
				1	•		1	
						-		- (- '
I		•			i	<i>M</i> \		
of next Check (/	u be interestyears Facult) those app I would be planning continued to orientation	interes interes interes interes interes run a w	tation e and l ted in ted in orkshop	e devel	our name	he over	or aculty	
. ^								
· 0.	I would be helping to faculty ori	run a s	peci a l	event	for ne	ext year	.'s	
,	helping to faculty ori	run & s lentatio	pecial n. Des	event	for ne	ext year	8	attend
Did other	helping to faculty ori	run a s lentatio	pecial n. Des	event	for ne	ext year	8	attend
Did other	helping to faculty ori (Name) r responsibi	run a s lentatio	pecial n. Des	event	for ne	ext year	8	attend
Did other part or a	(Name) r responsibilation from Yes	run a s lentatio	pecial n. Des	event	for ne	ext year	8	attend
Did other part or a	(Name) r responsible all of the control of the cont	run a s lentatio	pecial n. Des	event	for ne specia	ext year	from	
Did other part or a	(Name) r responsible all of the control of the cont	run a s lentatio	pecial n. Des	event cribe	special specia	ext year	from	
Did other part or a A. B. If yes, other ories	(Name) r responsible all of the control of the cont	run a s lentatio	pecial n. Des	event cribe	special specia	ext year	from	
Did other part or a	helping to faculty ori (Name) r responsible all of the of Yes No describe the ntation program	run a s lentatio	pecial n. Des	event cribe	special specia	tments	from	
Did other part or a A. B. If yes, other ories	(Name) r responsible all of the control of the cont	run a s lentatio	pecial n. Des	event cribe	special specia	ext year	from	
Did other part or a A. B. If yes, other ories	helping to faculty ori (Name) r responsible all of the of Yes No describe the ntation program	run a s lentatio	pecial n. Des	event cribe	special specia	tments	from	
Did other part or a A. B. If yes, other ories	helping to faculty ori (Name) r responsible all of the of Yes No describe the ntation program	run a s lentatio	pecial n. Des	event cribe	special specia	tments	from	

6.	How would of time?	you describe to theck (1/) all	he informa	il coffee sessi propriate.	ons and other periods
1 .	\A.				ly with old friends
	· •	who I hadn't a			riends but the time
		available for	this was t	oo short.	ittends out the time
•	c	I was able to	talk with	individuals I	never or seldom have
	l	ever talked wi			
		depth after of			orkshop leaders in more
1	E.	Other descript			
1	1			V/	
. \	1 1		1,		[·]
. \				iX d	
\ \	T .	*			1
1					
7	Unes realized		ha Dalad F		
1	uom montd	you evaluate t	ne board L	inner: Check	one.
,	/ A.	It is a grand	tradition	which should b	e continued.
	В.	It is a good a			ld during Faculty
}		Orientation.	9		
٠	\c.	It amound be	odined in	the following	Way:
	1 1	\	1,	, /	
	1			1	
	1				
		I		1	3
			7 7	-	
	D.	It should be	iscont inue		
۱ ، ۱		6001 0000		.	
\ 8 .	Check (one.	e game act	ivities offere	d on Tuesday afternoon
1		, 5110	i. []\ /	~ '	
- 1	A.	I participated		X .	
- 1	B.	I had too many	other con	mitmends and r	esponsibilities to
1	. c.	participate.	activities	in which I we	s interested
1	D.				what was going on.
/	E.	.I didn't feel\	they were	appropriate fo	or Orientation.
j	F.	Other (descri	(e)		
	\ 1 1		1 -1	•	
•			-		
	. \	4	•		UNIVERSITY OF CALIF.
•	\	1	•	·· . /	LOS ANGELES
	·		•		1110
	1	<i>;</i>		•	MAR 1 2 1976
•			12	• , ,	CLEARINGHOUSE FOR
٠		1	1 4		LUNIOR COLLEGES

9.	How do you feel about Board member participation in Faculty Orientation? Check () all those appropriate.	
	A. It is important for the faculty to have a time where can interest the board members. B. It is trant for Board members to see what educational issues are important to Faculty. C. I don't care whether Board members participate or not. D. Other comments	٠
•		
10.		
	A. It is well worth the money to hold the orientation in an off campus environment. The facilities were great. B. The money would better be spent in attracting additional top educational leaders to campus. C. The money would be better spent in another way. (Specify)	c
	Did you feel there was enough time for divisional meetings and individual planning Check () once in each column.	ng
•	Division Individual Meetings Planning	V
. 12.	Not enough time was available About the right time was available Too much time was allocated comments about Faculty Orientation	,
*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	FOR NEW FACULTY MEMBERS ONLY	
13.	Please rate each special program planned for new faculty by checking () one column for each row.	
•	Very It was Waste Didn't OK of time Participate Informal reception held on Monday, August 18	
	Experienced faculty member. assigned to you	
`	Thursday morning new faculty meeting	
\ <u>\</u>	Any other comments about your reaction to orientation.	
/'		