- 	
- AUTKUK	Pastore, Nancy A.
TITLE	The Effects of a Superordinate Context on the
	Learning and Petention of Pacts for Second and Pourth
	Grade Children.
PUB DATE	75
NOTE	14p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (Washington, D.C., April 1975)
EDRS PRICE	MP-\$0.83 HC-\$1.67 Plus Postage
DESCRIPTORS	*Abstraction Levels; Elementary Education; *Learning
	Processes; Memory; Psychological Studies; Reading Pesearch; *Recall (Psychological); *Relevance (Information Retrieval); *Semantics

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to provide a more complete understanding of the storage and retrieval processes of developmentally different youngsters. Porty-four second and fourth grade subjects were given a lesson consisting of 25 facts to learn and remember. Half of the group learned the facts in a context containing superordinate statements (topic sentences), the other half with coordinate (related) statements. Half of the subjects went through free recall sessions before the retention test while half did not. Significantly greater recall and recognition was found for second grade subjects going through coordinate learning and free recall retention treatments, and fourth grade subjects going through superordinate learning and straight retention treatments. Free recall sessions were taped and analyzed. Implications for cognitive-developmental research and instructional modes are discussed. (Author/MKM)

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING T POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRETENTOPPICET NATIONAL REVIYATE OF

The Effects of a Superordinate Context on the Learning

and Retention of Facts for Second and Fourth Grade Children

Nancy A: Pastore
Purdue University

American Educational Research Association:

Washington, D.C

April, 1975

Porty-rour second and fourth grade subjects were given a lesson consisting of 25 facts to learn and remember. Half the group learned the facts in a context containing superord fate statements (topic sentences), the other half with co-ordinate (related) statements. Half of the subjects went through free recall sessions before the retention test while half did not. Significantly greater recall and recognition was found for second grade subjects going through co-ordinate learning and free recall retention treatments, and fourth grade subjects going through superordinate learning and straight retention treatments. Free recall sessions were taped and analyzed. Implications for cognitive-developmental research and instructional modes are discussed.

The Effects of a Superordinate Context on the Learning and Retention of Facts for Second and Fourth Grade Children

INTRODUCTION

The effects of differential instructional modes on children's learning has long been an issue among theorists in educational psychology. Ausubel (1968) proposed . that meaningful learning occurred when potentially meaningful ideas were incorporated into pre-existing cognitive structures and anchored through the use of "advance organizers." Rothkopf (1968) also found that text embedded questions, relevant to the material being remembered, produced marked effects on meaningful learning and reten-Extending these ideas, Gagne (1969) compared the rélative effectiveness of providing fourth and fifth grade subjects with a superordinate context for the learning and retention of facts, and found that providing a "topic sentence," which organized following sentences, was more effective than a corollary condition in which coordinate sentences (other facts) were substituted for the topic sentences. In a later study, Gagne and Wiegand (1970) compared superordinate and co-ordinate manipulators at both learning and retention. In contrast to the earlier study, however, there was no advantage for the superordinate context at learning, but a superordinate context provided at retention did result in significantly higher

fact recognition. Gagne and Wiegand accounted for the discrepant results by noting that the later study had included only fourth grade subjects, alluding to develop mental differences in subject performance.

In a replication and extension of the Gagne and Wiegand study, Cunningham, Pastore and Mizokawa (1974) explored the effects of developmental differences in children's learning. Third and fifth grade subjects were given a combination of superordinate (topic) and/or co-ordinate (no topic) presentations at both learning and retention.

Recall and recognition tests revealed that the topic-no topic (T-NT) combination was most conducive to both recognition and recall, indicating that children of this age group might learn more effectively if, given the cue to organize information, they are allowed to generate and use their own organizers. Although fifth grade subjects did relatively better than third grade subjects across treatments, distinct developmental differences in the storage and retrieval of information were not found,

The present study was set up to provide a more complete understanding of the storage and retrieval processes
of developmentally different youngsters. Here, second
and fourth grade subjects were given differential learning
treatments and their performance on recognition, cued
recall and free recall tasks was examined.

METHOD

Subjects

Forty-four second and fourth grade students from a local elementary school served as subjects. Ages ranged from 7.5 to 11.5 years. Groups were divided approximately evenly by sex, and subjects were randomly assigned to one of four experimental treatments, within classes.

Design and Procedures

A 2 x 2 x 2 factorial design was used. Factor one was learning treatment (superordinate or co-ordinate), factor two, retention treatment (free recall or straight retention), and factor three, grade level (second or fourth). Classes were divided into two groups, with one group being taken to another room, the other remaining in class. This procedure was counterbalanced across treatments.

After an Introductory talk accompanied by slides, the subjects were given a 25 sentence lesson on Howler monkeys, presented one sentence at a time. The sentences (facts) were presented on slides (projected on a screen) and read twice to the subjects. The subjects followed, along in booklets provided them, filling in a key word which was deleted from each sentence in their booklets. The subjects were instructed to simply copy the missing word off the screen into their booklets (this copying procedure provided assurance that the material was initially learned). During the second reading of the sentence,

the word "blank" was used where appropriate. The total inter-item interval was approximately 20 seconds.

Superordinate and co-ordinate learning treatments differed in two ways. Before each set of five
slides, a blank slide appeared on the screen for five
seconds. During this interval in the superordinate condition, the experimenter said, "This sentence tells what
the next few are all about," and a sentence which presumably organized the next four sentences, followed. In
the co-ordinate condition, the experimenter said, "The
next few sentences go together," and a sentence with a
fact parallel to the next four followed. The superordinate or co-ordinate sentences appeared at positions 1, 6,
11, 16 and 21. The other 20 context sentences were identical in both conditions.

Two days later the subjects were reassembled for the retention sessions. Each learning group was divided again, one half going to free recall (FR) sessions before the retention test, the remaining half going straight to the retention test. The subjects in the free recall group were taken one at a time to a separate room where each subject's responses were taped. Following that, they were assembled in a group and given the retention test.

There were five facts which the experimenter determined to assess for retention. These appeared two slides after the superordinate or co-ordinate sentence

in each set/of five, at positions 3, 8, 13, 18 and 23.

Retention was measured by means of a five page booklet,
each page of which contained four sentences with a blank.
One of the sentences on each page was a paraphrase of the
fact to be remembered, the other three misleads. The
subjects were to check the fact they had learned (providing a measure of recognition) and were then to complete
the blank (providing a measure of recall). To account
for variance in reading ability, all retention materials
were read aloud to the subjects by an unbiased reader who
had no knowledge of the learned materials.

RESULTS

Separate analyses of variance were conducted on recognition, recall given recognition with synonyms allowed, and verbatim recall given recognition.

Recognition resulted in a significant learning X grade level interaction, with second grade subjects performing better on the co-ordinate (no topic) learning treatment while fourth grade subjects performed better on the superordinate (topic sentence) treatment, \underline{F} (1,43) = 4.71, p < .05.

Recall given recognition with synonyms allowed resulted in a significant retention X grade level interaction, F (1,43) = 4.02, p < .05, with free recall sessions prior to the retention test facilitating second grade subject recall and not facilitating (perhaps inter-

ferring with) fourth grade subject recall.

Verbatim recall given recognition resulted in a significant main effect for retention treatment, with the straight retention test, rather than the free recall session before retention, resulting in significantly better verbatim recall, F(1,45) = 4.27, p < .05.

All three measures resulted in a significant main effect for grade level, with fourth grade subjects performing better than second grade subjects.

A descriptive analysis of the free recall sessions resulted in a word, idea and correct idea count. A \underline{t} -test of significance was run on item combinations.

The word count analysis revealed that fourth grade subjects produced more words during free recall sessions. Fourth grade subjects in the superordinate (topic sentence) learning condition had a slightly higher word count than other groups.

The idea and correct idea count revealed similar results. A significant (p < .01) idea and correct idea count for fourth grade subjects, with topic (T) fourth grade subjects and no topic (NT) second grade subjects performing better than other learning treatment X grade level groups.

All three measures resulted in higher male performance, with fourth grade male word count resulting in significantly higher totals than fourth grade female word count, $\pm(42) = 2.08$, p < :05.

DISCUSSION

Cunningham, Pastore and Mizokawa (1974) interpreted their data to indicate that third and fifth grade youngsters might learn more effectively if, given the cue to organize information, they are allowed to devise and use their own organizers. The significant learning X retention treatment interactions for this study clarify and extend those conclusions.

Second grade subjects performed better on recognition when the learning treatment focused attention on the structural elements of the sentences. The lead-in sentence ("The next few sentences go together") and the first (organizer) sentence in each group, provided cues that focused the subjects on the content and style of following sentences. Conversely, fourth grade subjects performed better when their attention was focused on the meaning of the sentences. The lead-in sentence ("This sentence tells what the next few are all about.") and the first (organizer) sentence, in this treatment, provided semantic cues for subjects.

Likewise, results for recall (given recognition with synonyms allowed) showed second grade subjects performing better when given a free recall session that might have structurally organized thinking processes. For fourth grade subjects, however, extra structure provided at retention appeared to interfere with processing. Cunning ham, Pastore and Mizokawa (1974) also found that adding



structure (topic sentences) at retention interferred with processing, especially for older (fifth grade) subjects.

Information from free recall sessions supplemented the preceding results: superordinate learning treatment fourth grade subjects and co-ordinate learning treatment second grade subjects performed better on free recall tasks. Additionally, the free recall sessions provided further information on the distinct processing skills of the different groups. For example, second grade subjects tended to remember isolated facts, brought up one at a time. Also, second grade subjects attempted to give verbatim respónses. Fourth grade subjects, however, tended to remember groups of items - their statements readily leading from one item to another. These groups of items were normally organized around a theme (such as "all the things monkeys do in trees") and appeared to be connected semantically. Additionally, fourth grade subjects paraphrased more readily and used synonyms more frequently during the free recall sessions.

The sex difference in free recall performance added further information concerning child processing. It appeared that the subjects, especially fourth grade subjects, often remembered sex-appropriate facts - i.e. boys remembered action-male oriented facts while girls remembered domestic-female oriented ones. The protocol inad-

than domestic-female oriented ones, and may have buted to the lower female free recall responses.

vertently included many more action-male orient

To summarize, this study showed that younger ren appear to process information through the use ceptual, or structural, cues. Conversely, older ren appear to process through the use of semantic organizing information into meaningful units, tyin formation together with organizational schemes rel

Older children use techniques that make

These differences in child processing correlative with the different characteristics of children in developmental stages of concrete and abstract oper outlined by Piaget (1960). According to Piaget, coin beginning concrete operations (normally between ages of seven to eight, as the second grade subject in this study were) are bound by external phenomen

storage and retrieval processes more efficient.

Children in beginning abstract operations (normall tween the ages of 10 to 11, as the fourth grade su

in this study were) are not as externally bound, b

their understanding of events. Perceptual cues, b

auditory and visual, influence their comprehension

smore capable of internal organization and are ther

able to abstract rules or principles, to use in cohending events. They have the ability to understa

a deeper, more semantic level and can make generalizations that enable them to process information more effectively (Piaget and Inhelder, 1969).

Results from this study provide insights into the storage and retrieval processes of developmentally different children. These results have implications for both cognitive developmental research in this area, and instructional theory and practice, especially in the area of reading or language arts.

REFERENCES

- Ausubel, D. P. Educational psychology: A cognitive view. New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1968.
- Cunningham, D. J., Pastore, N. & Mizokawa, D. T.

 Developmental differences in using a superordinate context for the Pearning and retention of facts.

 Journal of Reading Behavior, 1974, VI, 3, 225-232.
- Gagne, R. M. Context, isolation and interference effects on retention of facts. <u>Journal of Educational</u> Psychology, 1969, 60, 408-414.
- Gagne, R. M. & Wiegand, V. K. Effects of a superordinate context on learning and retention of facts. <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1970, 61, 406-409.
- Piaget, J. The psychology of intelligence. Totowa, New Jersey: Littlefield, Adams & Company, 1960.
- Piaget, J. & Inhelder, B. The psychology of the child. New York: Basic Books, 1969.
- Rothkopf, E. Z. Two scientific approaches to the management of instruction. In R. M. Gagne & W. J. Gephart (Eds.), Learning, research and school subjects. Itasca, Illinois: Peacock, 1968.