### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 116 518

HE 006 841

AUTHOR Lueck, Lowell A.; Urycki, Michaeline TITLE Graduate No-Show Study, Fall 1974. INSTITUTION Western Illinois Univ., Macomb.

REPORT NO IRP-99-75

PUB DATE 74 NOTE 15p.

AVAILABLE FROM Western Illinois University Institutional Research

and Planning, Room 312, Sherman Hall, Macomb,

Illinois 61455

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 Plus Postage

DESCRIPTORS \*Enrollment Influences: \*Financial Problems:

Financial Services; \*Followup Studies; \*Graduate Students; \*Higher Education; Questionnaires; School

Surveys: Surveys

IDENTIFIERS Illinois

### ABSTRACT

This study attempts to follow up students who were admitted to the Graduate School at Western Illinois University but failed to enroll. The study is designed to (1) evaluate present services to potential graduate students and (2) better predict what proportion of accepted applicants will enroll. The no-shows are compared for the Fall Quarters of 1971, 1972, and 1974 and are analyzed by sex, mean GRE scores, residence, and undergraduate rank in class. The reasons for not attending WIU are analyzed for those attending some other graduate school as well as for those not enrolled elsewhere. The most frequently cited reasons involved financial problems. A series of recommendations resulted from this study including improving correspondence with prospective students, and increasing financial aid. (JMF)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-DUCED, EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

-باختخاب

GRADUATE
NO-SHOW STUDY

Fall 1974

IRP-99-75

Prepared by

Lowell A. Lucck and Michaeline Urycki

February, 1975

HE 000 841

### GRADUATE NO-SHOW STUDY

This is the fourth in a series of studies which attempts to follow up students who were admitted to the Graduate School at Western Illinois University but failed to enroll Fall Quarter. This study is designed to (1) evaluate present services to potential graduate students and (2) better predict what proportion of accepted applicants will enroll.

The Office of Institutional Research and Planning would like to acknowledge the assistance provided by the Graduate Office in the gathering of information on both enrollees and no-shows.

Table I compares graduate admissions for the Fall Quarters of 1971, 1972, and 1974. (A breakdown by geographic origin was not available for 1974.) The percent of 1974 applicants accepted shows a slight increase over 1972. In 1971, 80.4 percent of those who applied were accepted, while in 1972 only 63.1 percent were accepted. In 1974, 66.9 percent of those who applied were accepted. The proportion of those accepted who enrolled has increased since 1971. In spite of the steady increase in the percent enrolled during the periods of 1971, 1972, and 1974; however, the 62.6 percent enrollment rate in 1974 was still slightly lower than the 64.5 percent figure found in a similar study in 1970.

Respondents included 55 men and 34 women. Thus, the males were 61.8 percent of the respondents and the females were 38.3 percent. This was very similar to the ratio of enrolled graduate students, which was 61.7 percent males and 38.3 percent females.

Table II shows the mean scores on the verbal and quantitative sections of the GRE for the 1972 and 1974 no-shows. The table also shows the mean verbal and quantitative scores for enrolled students at W.I.U. as well as the mean scores for the national normative sample.

The 1974 mean verbal score of 524.3 for the no-shows was slightly higher than the mean verbal score of 509.1 for the 1972 group. However, both the 1972 and 1974 mean verbal scores were much higher than the mean score of 466 for enrolled W.I.U. students and the mean score of 497 for the national normative sample.

In quantitative ability, the 1974 mean score of 531.7 for no-show students was slightly lower than the mean quantitative score of 539.9 for the 1972 no-show group. Thus, the mean quantitative scores for the 1972 and 1974 no-show groups were higher than the mean of 506 for enrolled W.I.U. students and the national mean score of 512.



TABLE I

# GRADUATE ADMISSIONS

		4	APPLICATIO	TIONS				Percent			Number			Fercent	L
Grographic	Re	Received	-		Accepted		Ac	Accepted		ធ	Enrolled			rrolled	
Origin	1971	1971   1972   1974	1974	1971	1972	1974	971 1972 1974 1971 1972 1974	1972		1971 1972 1974	1972		1971	1971 1972 1974	1974
Illinois	456 437	437		4.18	18 340		91.7 81.3	81.3		259	214		62.3 63.0	63.C	
()uc-of-Stare	227	280		191	191 179		84.1 63.9	63.9		80	77		41.9 43.0	C)	
Foreign	205	208		65	65 65		51.2 31.3	31.3		37	44		39.0 67.7	67.7	
TOTAL	888	925	952	714	584	l	637 80.4 63.1 66.9	63.1	6.99	372	335 399	399	53.2	53.2 57.4	62.6

TABLE II

# GRE SCORES

		VERBAL	VERBAL ABILITY		δ	QUANTITATIVE ABILITY	/E ABILI	える
GRE	No - Show	No-Show No-Show	*	**	No-Show	No-Show No-Show	<b>⊹</b> <	*
Scores	1974	1972	W.I.U.	1972 W.I.U. National	1974	1972 W.I.U. National	W.I.U.	Nation:
No. of Scores	100	92	1,416	1,416 852,197	100	. 92	1,416	1,416 851,915
NECAN	524.3	509.1	466	497	531.7	539.9	506	5.2

 $<sup>\</sup>mbox{\ensuremath{\#}}$  NORMS based on the performance of 1,416 graduate students enrolled at W.I.U. between Fall Quarter 1971 and Fall Quarter 1973.



<sup>\*\*\*</sup> NORMS based on the performance of candidates taking GRE from May 1969 through April 1972.

Place of residence is categorized in Table III, with approximately one-half (52.87 percent) from large cities over 25,000. In 1971 and 1972, approximately half of the men (56.9 and 50.6 percent respectively) came from large cities, compared with 60.4 percent in 1974. The percentage of women who resided in large cities in 1972 was 61.0, compared to 41.2 percent in 1974. Women residing in small cities (population 2,000-25,000) decreased from 36.7 percent in 1971 to 24.2 percent in 1972, and increased to 44.1 percent in 1974.

The percentage of rural residents dropped from 18.9 and 16.1 percent in 1971 and 1972, respectively, to 8.0 percent in 1974. There was a corresponding increase in the percentage of small city residents, with the total percentage increasing from 29.7 to 39.1 percent between 1972 and 1974. There was a marked decrease in the percentage of men from rural areas, with this group totaling 16.9 percent in 1971 and 1972, and dropping to 3.8 percent in 1974.

Table IV shows the distribution of respondents by undergraduate rank in class as reported by them on the questionnaire. A total of 88.8 percent responded to this item with 89.9 percent indicating a rank in the upper half of their graduating class. The number of students in the lower half of their graduating class increased from 3.5 and 3.7 percent in 1971 and 1972, respectively, to 10.1 percent in 1974. The number of women in the lower half of their class increased from 0.0 percent in 1971 and 1972, to 12.1 percent in 1974. There was also an increase (of less magnitude) in the percent of men in the lower half of their class.

One-half (50.6 percent) were enrolled in another graduate school. There was very little change in the percentage of men who planned to attend another institution. However, the percentage of women respondents who selected another graduate school increased from 31.0 percent in 1972 to 47.1 percent in 1974.

Table VI outlines the reasons given for not enrolling at another institution. Financial problems were most frequently cited as the reason for non-attendance, whether the respondents were male or female. Reasons were ranked as follows: (1) inadequate personal finances and chose to work rather than attend school; (2) school I desired to attend was unable to offer enough financial assistance; (3) personal matters dictated I get work; (4) marriage; and (5) health problems.

While the rank order of the 1974 responses was slightly different from those in 1971 and 1972, they were still quite smaller. In general, the responses under the "other" category tended to deal in the inability to select courses or desired programs, or the fact that the student didn't want to attend school at this time.



TASTE TEL.
PLACE OF RESIDENCE

		ij	TOTAL				MEN			1.6	NEXON	
RESIDENCE	1974	%	i.ºº	%	1974	%	5-2	%	1974	ļ,		, ,
	No.	1974	1972	1971	No	1974		1971	No.	1974	1974 1972	1971
Rural Resident (Less Than 2000)	7	8.0	16.1	18.9	2	3.8	16.9	16.9	٠.	14.7	14.7   14.6	23.2
Spall City Resident (2000-25,000)	34	39.1	29.7	29.5	19	35.8	32.5	26.2	15	44.1	44.1 24.2	36.7
Large City Resident (over 25,000)	97	52.9	54.2	51.6	32	<b>60.</b> 4	50.6	56.9	71.	41.2	61.0	40.0
TOTAL	87	100.0 100.0	100.0	100.0	53	100.0	100.0	100.0	34	100.0	100.0 100.0	100.0
No Response	2				2				0			

TABLE IV

ENROLLMENT STATUS

		I	TOTAL			Z	MALE			12.	FEMALE	
FANK	1974	%	%	%	1974	L_	%	%	1974	16.7	3/	j
	No.	1974	1972	1971	No.	1974	1972	1971	No.	1974	1972	1971
Upper !	45	57.0	51.4	55.3	23	5.0	5.0 48.6	50.0	22	66.7	57.1	63.0
Second :	26	32.9	6.45	41.2	19	41.3	41.3 45.8 45.0	45.0	7	21.2	42.9	32.0
Lower 🧏	8	10.1	3.7	3,5	7	8.7	8.7 5.6 5.0	5.0	7	12.1	0.0	0.0
TOTAL	79	79 100.0 100.0	100.0	100.0	97	100.0	100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0	33	100.0	100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0
No Response	10				6				<b>-</b> -1			

# TABLE V

# ENROLLMENT STATUS

		E	TOTAL			*	MEN			1.3	MONEY:	
ENROLLED	1974	%	5,0	ē.º.º	1974	•	6, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5,	/- 420 5.	1974	3,		
	ON	1974	1972	1971	No.		1972	1971	No.	1974	1972	1971
YES	45	50.6 47.1	47.1	47.4	29	52.7	52.7 55.8 46.2	46.2	16	16 47.1 31.0 33.3	31.0	35.3
NO	77		49,4 52.9	52.6	26	47.3	47.3 44.2 53.8	53.8	18	52.9	52.9 69.0 66.7	ó6.7
TOTAL	89	89   100.0   100.0	100.0	100.0	55	55 100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0	100.0	34	34   100.0   100.0   150.0	100.0	100.0

# TABLE VI

# REASONS FOR NOT ENROLLING IN ANOTHER GRADUATE SCHOOL

		Ţ	TOTAL				MEN			-	NOMEN	
RESPONSES	1974	%	%	) /c	1974	5%	%	%	1974	%	77	6
	No.	1974	1972	1971	No.	1974	1972	1971	No	1974	1972	1971
A. Entered Military	0	0	3.2	2.0	0	0	5.9	3,3	0	0	0	0
3. Health Problem	. 7	4.5	1.6	0	0	0	2.9	. 0	2		C	C
C. Inadequate												
Personal Finances	17	38.6	39.7	42.0	13	50.0	29.4	33.3	7	22.2	51.7	55.0
D. School Unable to							╀					
Offer Fin. Aid	6	20.5	11.1	18.0	7	26.9	2.9	20.0	2	11.1	20:7	15.0
E. I Must Get Work	9	13.6	22.2	14.0	4	15.4	17.7	ļ_	2		27 6	15.0
F. I Chose to Get												
Work	17	38,6	41.3	30.0	7	26.9	47.1	30.0	10	55.6	34.5	30.0
G. I Was Married	3	/8.9	6.4	12.0	F	3.8	8.8	3.3	2	11.1	3.5	25.0
H. Other	12	27.2	30.2	20.0	6	34.6	41.2	16.7	3	16.7	17.2	25.0
COTAL	99	100.0	100.0 100.0	100.0	1 41	_	100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0	25		100.0   100.0   100.0	100,0



Reasons for not attending Western for those enrolled elsewhere are shown in Table VII, along with the 1971 and 1972 response rates. The five choices most often checked were, in order of frequency: (1) inadequate financial aids; (2) attendance at a school near home became desirable; (3) did not reel area of study selected was a strong department at W.I.U.; (4) written responses were not prompt; and (5) the area of study selected was unavailable at W.I.U. and correspondence with University officials proved unsatisfactory.

The percentage of students who indicated their selected area of study was unavailable dropped from 26.7 percent in 1970 to 19.6 in 1972, and to 8.9 percent in 1974. At the same time, the percentage of students who felt that the department they selected was not a strong department at W.I.U. dropped from 28.9 percent in 1971 to 19.6 in 1972 and 15.6 in 1974. This may indicate that the reputation of W.I.U. graduate programs has improved.

The 'other" responses tended to elaborate on previously checked items. Ten of the students indicated that the awarding of assistantships was a problem. These students applied for assistantships at W.I.U. and indicated they had heard nothing further, or else were awarded assistantships so late in the year that they had already accepted assistantships at other schools. Three students felt graduate housing was inadequate and that few single rooms were available. Two students specifically indicated that they were not notified as to their acceptance status.

Over 39 percent of the no-shows ranked Western as their first choice at the time they applied. Table VIII seems to indicate that Western was being seriously considered by 58.6 percent of our no-shows (first, second or third choice), while 33.3 percent could be classified as only marginally interested at that time they applied.

The 45 enrolled respondents were located on 34 different campuses in 19 states. Table IX shows these institutions and their location. Approximately 35 percent were enrolled in Illinois schools, as compared with 40.0 percent in 1972. Senior public institutions in Illinois seem to have attracted the no-show students more than any other institutions. This is the same trend that was seen in the 1972 study.

Information on the majors selected by the respondents indicated most were enrolled in majors offered at Western or closely related to our present curriculum. Table X shows the majors reported by the 45 enrolled students. In 1974, the English area enrolled eight students, followed by Psychology and School Psychology with three students each. In 1972 the list was headed by Psychology with nine stedents, followed by English with seven and Business Administration and Guidance and Counseling with four students each. In 1972, English was the only major with three or more students enrolled elsewhere.



# TABLE VII

REASONS FOR NOT ATTENDING WIU FOR THOSE ENROLLED ELSEWHERE

		I	TOTAL				MEN		-		27.7.6%	
REASONS	1974	%	%:	ر ان	1974	252	<i>i</i> , ,	%	1974	,		1
	No.	1974	1972	1971	No.	1974	1972	1971	No	1974	1972	1971
A. Financial Aids Inadecuate	20	7. 77	39,3	37.8	16	55,2	34.9	40.0	7	25.0	. 0 	30,0
B. Printed Materials	,	ı	r		-	77		9 0	c	10	(	c
- 1	7	0	7:/	0.0		1.00	21.5	0.0				,
C. Campus Visit		000	3. 6	7 7	C	C	4.7	2.9	,	6.2	C	10,0
D. Area of Study		3.7								•		
Unavaila	4	8.9	19.6	26.7	4	13,8	23,2	31,4	0	0	7.7	10,0
E. Not Strong Dept.	7	15.6	9.61	28.9	7	13.8	16,3	31.4	 κ	18.3	30.8	20.0
F. Academic Reg.												
too Strict	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
G. Acadmic Reg.					·					(		Ċ
too Liberal	0	0	1.8	0	0	0	2,3	0	0	0	٥	0
H. Requirements for								,		(		Ó
Masters too Liberal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
I. Attendance Near					<b></b>							
Ноте Весате			-			•				,		0
Desirable	16	35.6	30.4	15.6	7	24,1	30.2	11,4	6	56.2	20.3	30.0
J. Correspondence		(	(	,	•	·		c			ا ا ا	0
- 1	4	8.9	12.5		4	13.8	14.0	0,0			4	70.0
K, Housing Unsatisfactory	<u>-</u>	6.7	7.1	7.7	2	6.9	9,3	5,7	Ι	6.2	C	0
L. Written Responses												
not Prompt	ιΛ	11,1	7,1	13,3	3	10,3	2,3	11,4	2	-	23.1	20.0
M. Other	13	28.9	17.9	15,6	7	24,1	23,3	17.1	9	37.5	C	10,0
TOTAL	92	*	*	÷	87	*	*	*	28	4<	*	4<
***************************************		_			**	_						
	1.2				6 5				2.7			7

Percentages based on number of respondence enrolling Percentages total more than 100.0 due to multiple responses. elsewhere rather than number of responses.

TABLE VIII

RANKING OF WIU AT TIME OF APPLICATION

		CI	TOTAL	,			MEN			13	WOMEN	
CHOICE	1974	%	%	%	1974	%	%	%	1974	%	7	], ·
	No.	1974	1972	1971	No	1974	1972	1971	No.	1974	1972	1971
FIRST	34	39.1	40.7	38.5	21	39.6	31,5	38.1	13	38.2	57.5	39.3
SECOND	17	19.5	23.9	18.6	11	20.8	28.9	14.3	9	17.6	15.0	28.6
THIRD	7	0.8	8.0.	11.0	5	<b>7.</b> 6	9.5	15.9	2	5.9	5.0	0
ONE OF SEVERAL	29	33.3	27.4	31.9	T S	30.2	30.1	31.7	13	38.2	22.5	32.1
TOTAL	87	99.9* 100.0	100.0	100.0	53	100.0	100.0 100.0	100.0	34	%6°66	100.0	100.0
NO RESPONSE	2				2				0			

\* Does not total 100.0 because of rounding error.

C

10

# TABLE LX

# INSTITUTIONS SELECTED BY ENROLLED NO-SHOWS

SCHOOL AND LOCATION NUMB	E
And were Chairman Chairman and the Chairman Chairman Chairman and Chairman	
Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona 1	
Bowling Green State University, Bowling Green, Ohio	
Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island	
Connecticut College, New London, Connecticut 1	
Illinois State University, Normal, Illinois5	
Indiana State University, Terre Haute, Indiana 1	
John Carroll University, Cleveland, Ohio 1	
Kent State University, Kent, Ohio1	
Moorhead State College, Moorhead, Minnesota 1	
Northeast Missouri State University, Kirksville, Missouri 1	
Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 1	
Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois	
Ohio University, Athens, Ohio	
Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 1	
Sangamon State University, Springfield, Illinois 1	
Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, Illinois 3	
State University College, Oswego, New York 2	
University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 1	
University of Dayton, Dayton, Ohio 1	
University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 1	
University of Illinois, Chicago Circle, Illinois 1	
University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 3	
University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 2	
University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky 1	
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota	
University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri 1	
University of Nebraska, Omaha, Nebraska 1	
University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 1	
University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 1	
University of Texas, Houston, Texas 1	
University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio 1	
West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia 1	
Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, Michigan 1	
Wichita State University, Wichita, Kansas 1	
TOTAL 45	



TABLE X
MAJORS AND MINORS OF ENROLLED NO-SHOWS

MAJORS	# of People	MINORS	# of People
Accounting	2	Accounting	1
Applied Math /	1	Audiology	1
Aquatic Physiology	1	Botany	2 .
Business	2	German	1
Business Education	1	International Relations	1
Clinical Psychology	1	Organizational Behavior	1
Early Childhood	1	Wildlife	1
Economics	2	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	. *
English	8		
English Education	1	•	
Health '	ī		
Mathematics	1	•	
Music Education	ī	,	
Neurobiology	ī		
Organizational Administration	1		
Oriental Studies	1		
Physical Education	2		
Physical Geography	1		
Political Science	1		
Psychology	3		
Public Management	1		
School Psychology	3	•	
Social Psychology	1		•
Sociology	2		
Soviet Studies	1		
Speech Pathology	2		
Zoology	2		
TOTALS	45	TOTALS	8

Additional comments were mixed with several expressing tavorable optutions about W.I.U. Seven students indicated they were very satisfied with written correspondence and personal contact they had with individual departments. Five students expressed satisfaction with programs at W.I.U. Nine students indicated they were still considering enrolling at W.I.U. at some time in the future. Negative comments generally were related to slow responses concerning admission status and financial aid. Four students indicated they didn't enroll because they didn't hear or heard too late about their assistantship status. Three students said that after the initial contact they either heard nothing or waited several months for another contact.

### Summary

A questionnaire sent to 228 graduate no-shows for Fall Quarter of 1974 was returned by 89 (39.0 percent) of the group. Graduate Record Examination mean scores were higher in the verbal and lower in the quantitative sections of the tests compared to the 1972 no-show group. Both the verbal and quantitative mean scores were higher than the mean of enrolled W.I.U. students and also higher than the national mean.

lialf of the no-shows enrolled at another graduate school with the majority checking some type of financial problem as the reason for non-attendance in graduate school. Inadequate financial aid and the student choosing to work were the most frequent reasons given for not attending Western by those not enrolled elsewhere.

The 45 enrolled in graduate school were located on 34 different campuses in 19 states. Approximately 36.0 percent were enrolled in the state of Illinois. While no single school had more than five students, the public institutions of Illinois enrolled a smaller proportion in 1974 than in 1972.

The proportion of those students who applied and were accepted increased from 63.1 percent in 1972 to 66.9 percent in 1974. A slight increase (5.2 percent) in the enrollment rate over 1972 was achieved.

## Recommendations

- 1. Due to the similarity between the responses of 1971, 1972 and 1974, this study should be repeated only every two years to look at trends in graduate enrollment.
- 2. The Graduate Office and the academic departments should continue to improve correspondence with prospective students, especially in terms of admission status, but also in terms of providing information about the program itself and proper admission procedures.
- 3. As recommended in 1971 and 1972, increased financial aid appears to be a prime factor in attracting additional capable graduate students to Western.
- 4. In regard to assistantships, every effort should continue to be made to provide information, continued correspondence and prompt notification of awards for the students.



# WESTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY FOLLOW-UP STUDY OF APPLICANTS FOR GRADUATE ADMISSION

Please complete the following form and revurn to the Office of Institutional Research and Planning, Sherman 312, Western Illinois University, Macomb, Illinois 61455, using the enclosed self-addressed, postage-paid envelope:

		_	
	Last Name	First	Middle Initial
I.	Have you enrolled in a Graduat	e School this fall?	YesNo .
ı.	If you have, please indicate:		
	A. Name of School		
	B. Location of School  C. Graduate Major	Minor(s)	
,	If you are not now a student, p enrolling in Western's Graduate	lease check the most app	
	A. Entered military service B. Health problem prohibited C. Inadequate personal final D. School I desired to attend E. Personal matters dictated F. I chose to work rather the G. I was married	nces <sup>-</sup> d was unable to offer me d that I get work	enough financial assistance
	H. Other (Please specify)		
	H. Other (Please specify)  If enrolled elsewhere, please c		
	H. Other (Please specify)  If enrolled elsewhere, please c Western Illinois University:	hack the most applicable	
	H. Other (Please specify)  If enrolled elsewhere, please c	heck the most applicable	reason(s) for not attending
	H. Other (Please specify)  If enrolled elsewhere, please c Western Illinois University:  A. Financial aids were not a B. Printed material did not p know C. My campus visit caused	heck the most applicable adequate for my need provide an adequate descr me to change my plans	reason(s) for not attending
	H. Other (Please specify)  If enrolled elsewhere, please control of the second	heck the most applicable adequate for my need provide an adequate describe to change my plans dy not available at WIU study I selected was a seat WIU seemed too strice	reason(s) for not attending ription of what I needed to trong department at WIU of for me
	H. Other (Please specify)  If enrolled elsewhere, please c Western Illinois University:  A. Financial aids were not a B. Printed material did not p know  C. My campus visit caused D. I selected an area of stud E. I did not feel the area of F. The academic regulations C. The academic regulations H. The requirements for a M	heck the most applicable adequate for my need provide an adequate describe to change my plans dy not available at WIU study I selected was a seat WIU seemed too stricts at WIU seemed too liber aster's degree at WIU we	reason(s) for not attending ription of what I needed to trong department at WIU at for me ral for me
	H. Other (Please specify)  If enrolled elsewhere, please control of the second state of the second second state of the second state of the second	heck the most applicable adequate for my need provide an adequate described me to change my plans dy not available at WIU study I selected was a seat WIU seemed too strices at WIU seemed too liber aster's degree at WIU we earer my home became de versity Officials proved to	reason(s) for not attending ription of what I needed to trong department at WIU of for me re too difficult sirable
	H. Other (Please specify)  If enrolled elsewhere, please control of the second state of the second second state of the second secon	heck the most applicable adequate for my need provide an adequate describe to change my plans dy not available at WIU study I selected was a seat WIU seemed too strice at WIU seemed too liber aster's degree at WIU we earer my home became de versity Officials proved thousing satisfactory not prompt enough	reason(s) for not attending ription of what I needed to trong department at WIU of for me ral for me are too difficult sirable unsatisfactory
	H. Other (Please specify)  If enrolled elsewhere, please of Western Illinois University:  A. Financial aids were not at B. Printed material did not please.  B. Printed material did not please.  C. My campus visit caused.  D. I selected an area of stude.  E. I did not feel the area of F. The academic regulations.  G. The academic regulations.  H. The requirements for a M. I. Attendance at a school new J. Correspondence with Unit K. I did not find University.  L. Written responses were replease explain any of the	heck the most applicable adequate for my need provide an adequate described me to change my plans dy not available at WIU study I selected was a seat WIU seemed too strices at WIU seemed too liber aster's degree at WIU we earer my home became de versity Officials proved whousing satisfactory	reason(s) for not attending ription of what I needed to trong department at WIU to for me ral for me re too difficult sirable insatisfactory

V. •	among the schools you considered?
*	A. My first choice C. My third choice  B. My second choice D. One of several choices
VI.	To assist us in tabulating these data please check the characteristics below which best describe you:
	Male Female  Rural Resident Small City Resident Large City Resident  (less than 2000) (2000 - 25,000) (over 25,000)
	I was graduated from college in the: (mark only one) Upper 1/4 of my class  Second 1/4 of my class Lower 1/2 of my class
	If completed by parent, please check here
VII.	Additional comments, if any:

