

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 115 808

95

CE 005 631

AUTHOR Waddy, Paul H.; And Others
TITLE An Empirical Determination of Tasks Essential to Successful Performance as a Sawmill Worker. Determination of a Common Core of Basic Skills in Agribusiness and Natural Resources.
INSTITUTION Ohio State Univ., Columbus. Dept. of Agricultural Education.; Ohio State Univ., Columbus. Research Foundation.
SPONS AGENCY Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C.
BUREAU NO V0033VZ
PUB DATE 75
GRANT OEG-O-74-1716
NOTE 20p.; For an explanation of the project, see CE 005 614-615; and for the other occupations, see CE 005 616-643
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 Plus Postage
DESCRIPTORS Agricultural Education; Blue Collar Occupations; Job Analysis; *Job Skills; *Lumber Industry; *Occupational Information; Occupational Surveys; *Off Farm Agricultural Occupations; Tables (Data); *Task Analysis; Vocational Education
IDENTIFIERS *Sawmill Workers

ABSTRACT

To improve vocational educational programs in agriculture, occupational information on a common core of basic skills within the occupational area of the sawmill worker is presented in the revised task inventory survey. The purpose of the occupational survey was to identify a common core of basic skills which are performed and are essential for success in the occupation. Objectives were accomplished by constructing an initial task inventory to identify duty areas and task statements for the occupation. The initial task inventory was reviewed by consultants in the field, and 84 tasks were identified. A random sample of 78 sawmill operations based on the directory of the Ohio Forestry Association, Inc. was obtained. Data were collected utilizing an employer and employee questionnaire. Thirty-five questionnaires were returned of which 33 were usable. A compilation of basic sample background information is presented on size of sawmill operation, total work experience, employment at current job, and preparation as a sawmill worker. A compilation of duty areas of work performed and work essential for the occupation is given. Percentage performance by incumbent workers and the average level of importance of specific task statements are presented in tabular form. (Author/EC).

ED115808

DETERMINATION OF A COMMON CORE
OF BASIC SKILLS IN AGRIBUSINESS
AND NATURAL RESOURCES

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT
OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

**An Empirical Determination
Of Tasks Essential To
Successful Performance
As A
Sawmill Worker**

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL
EDUCATION

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210

CE005631

AN EMPIRICAL DETERMINATION OF TASKS ESSENTIAL
TO SUCCESSFUL PERFORMANCE AS A
SAWMILL WORKER

Paul H. Waddy

Edgar P. Yoder

J. David McCracken

Department of Agricultural Education
in cooperation with
The Ohio State University Research Foundation
The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio

1975

PREPARED AS APPENDIX XXV
Of A Final Report
On A Project Conducted Under
Project No. V0033VZ
Grant No: OEG-0-74-1716

This publication was prepared pursuant to a grant with the Office of Education, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare. Contractors undertaking such projects under government sponsorship are encouraged to express freely their judgment in professional and technical matters. Points of view or opinions do not, therefore, necessarily represent official U.S. Office of Education position or policy.

U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare
U.S. Office of Education

FOREWORD

The Department of Agricultural Education at The Ohio State University is involved in a major programmatic effort to improve the curricula in education programs in agriculture. One product in this effort is this report of the sawmill worker. The data reported were collected as part of a more comprehensive thrust designed to develop a common core of basic skills in agribusiness and natural resources.

It is hoped that the revised task inventory contained in this report will be useful to curriculum developers working for improved occupational relevance in schools. Twenty-seven additional inventories in other occupational areas are also reported from this project.

The profession owes its thanks to Paul H. Waddy, graduate research associate, for his work in preparing this report. Special appreciation is also expressed to Tom Higgins, Executive-Director, Ohio Forestry Association, Inc., for his input and help in securing the cooperation of those employed in this occupational area.

J. David McCracken
Project Director

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| FOREWORD. | iii |
| LIST OF TABLES. | v |
| INTRODUCTION. | 1 |
| Purpose and Objectives | 2 |
| Definition of the Occupational Area. | 2 |
| METHODOLOGY | 2 |
| Initial Task Inventory | 2 |
| Initial Inventory Validation | 3 |
| Worker Sample Selection. | 3 |
| Data Collection. | 3 |
| Data Analysis. | 4 |
| FINDINGS. | 4 |
| Description of the Sample. | 5 |
| Duty Areas of Work Performed by the Sawmill Worker. | 8 |
| Duty Areas of Work Essential for Successful Performance as a Sawmill Worker | 8 |
| Percentage Performance and Level of Importance Ratings of Specific Tasks | 9 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| I | Employee Response to the Questionnaire | 5 |
| II | Size of Sawmill Operation Where Currently Employed. | 6 |
| III | Total Amount of Work Experience in the Timber Industry. | 7 |
| IV | Length of Time at Present Job. | 7 |
| V | Source of Training Received as a Sawmill Worker. | 8 |
| VI | Percentage Performance and Average Rating of Importance of Specific Tasks | 10 |

INTRODUCTION

Occupational information is needed to develop and revise vocational and technical education curricula. Teachers and curriculum developers generally determine which skills might be taught in a program based upon teacher expertise, advisory committee input, informal and formal community surveys, and/or task inventories.

The Agricultural Education Department at The Ohio State University has utilized and revised a system for obtaining and using occupational information as an effective aid in planning, improving, and updating occupational education curricula. This report presents the results of a survey of the occupation, sawmill worker.. The information contained herein may be used by curriculum development specialists, teachers, local and state administrators, and others involved in planning and conducting vocational and technical programs in agriculture.

Purpose and Objectives

The major purpose of the occupational survey was to identify the skills which are performed and essential for success as a sawmill worker. The specific objectives of this survey were as follows:

1. Develop and validate an initial task inventory for the sawmill worker.
2. Identify the specific tasks performed by the sawmill worker.
3. Determine the relative importance of the specific tasks to successful employment as a sawmill worker.

Definition of the Occupational Area

The sawmill worker is employed in privately owned sawmills. The specific tasks and duties performed by the sawmill worker will depend on the size and type of business where employed. In general, the sawmill worker may cut and saw logs into the desired lumber sizes; stack and dry lumber; operate and maintain sawmill equipment; and sort and grade cut lumber. In some cases the sawmill worker may be called a lumberman, sawer, lumber grader, or dry-kiln operator.

METHODOLOGY

Objectives were accomplished by constructing an initial task inventory, validating the initial inventory, selecting a sample of workers, collecting data, and analyzing data.

Initial Task Inventory

Duty areas and task statements for the sawmill worker were identified by searching existing task lists, job descriptions, curriculum guides, and reference publications. Additionally, contacts with several industry personnel aided in clarifying the specific responsibilities of the sawmill worker. All the tasks that the project staff thought to be performed were assembled into one composite list.

The initial tasks were grouped into functional areas called "Duties".

After the task statements were grouped under the proper duty areas, each task statement was reviewed for brevity, clarity, and consistency. In all, 90 task statements were included in the initial task inventory.

Initial Inventory Validation

After the initial task inventory was constructed, it was reviewed by six consultants employed in sawmills. These consultants were either sawmill owners or managers.

The consultants were asked to respond to the initial task list inventory by performing the following activities:

1. Indicate whether any of the tasks listed were not appropriate.
2. Add any additional tasks they believed were performed by the sawmill worker.
3. Make changes in the wording of tasks to help add clarity to the statements.

The comments from the six consultants were pooled and needed revisions were made.

As a result of the initial task inventory review process, 84 tasks were identified.

Worker Sample Selection

Since the specific duties and tasks performed by the sawmill worker are related to the size and type of sawmill where employed, an attempt was made to survey sawmill workers employed in various sizes and types of sawmill operations. It was not possible to secure a list of the specific names and addresses of all incumbent workers in the state. Therefore, a sample of 78 sawmill operations was obtained from the directory of the Ohio Forestry Association, Inc. using a stratified random sampling approach. The strata used were size of operation, type of operation, and geographical location.

Data Collection

A packet of materials was sent to the owner or manager of the randomly selected sawmills. The packet of materials included:

1. A cover letter from the Ohio Forestry Association, Inc.

2. An employer questionnaire printed on blue.
3. An employee questionnaire printed on yellow.
4. A stamped and self-addressed return envelope.

The manager or owner was instructed to complete the employer questionnaire and to have a responsible sawmill worker complete the employee questionnaire. The manager or owner was instructed to collect the employee questionnaire and return both the employer and employee questionnaire in the stamped and self-addressed return envelope by the date specified in the cover letter.

A follow-up of non-respondents consisted of mailing a packet of materials two weeks after the initial mailing. The first follow-up consisted of a packet of materials identical to the initial packet except that a cover letter on Ohio State University stationery replaced the cover letter on Ohio Forestry Association, Inc. stationery.

Data Analysis

The 35 questionnaires which were returned were checked for completeness and accuracy by the project staff. Information from the 33 usable responses was coded on Fortran coding sheets for key punching. In addition to coding appropriate respondent background information, each specific task statement was coded as to whether it was performed (1 = Task performed by respondent; blank = Task not performed by respondent) and the level of importance of the task (3 = Essential; 2 = Useful; 1 = Not Important). The information was keypunched on IBM cards and verified by personnel at the Instruction and Research Computer Center at The Ohio State University.

The data was analyzed using the SOUPAC computer program and the facilities of the Instruction and Research Computer Center. Consultant assistance for analyzing the data was provided by personnel at The Center for Vocational Education. The SOUPAC computer analysis resulted in the computation of relative frequencies, means, and rankings for each task statement. The results of the computer analyses were printed in tabular form for ease of interpretation.

FINDINGS

Objectives of the study resulted in the compilation of basic sample background information, the determination of tasks performed by the sawmill worker, and the identification of tasks

essential to successful performance as a sawmill worker.

Description of the Sample

Information regarding the performance of tasks and the importance of the tasks to successful employment as a sawmill worker was obtained from sawmill workers in various sawmill operations across Ohio.

Response to the Survey

A total of 78 questionnaires were mailed and 35 replies were received. This represented a 44.8% rate of return. The response to the questionnaire is summarized in TABLE I.

TABLE I
EMPLOYEE RESPONSE TO THE QUESTIONNAIRE

| | N | Percent of All Employees In the Survey |
|---------------------|----|--|
| Employees in Survey | 78 | 100.0 |
| Total Returns | 35 | 44.8 |
| Usable Returns | 33 | 42.3 |
| Unusable Returns | 2 | 2.5 |
| Nonrespondents | 43 | 55.2 |

Size of Sawmill Operation

Sawmill workers from various size sawmill operations were included in the study. The number of full-time equivalent (two one-half time sawmill workers equal one full-time equivalent) sawmill workers employed in the firm was used as an index to assess the size of operation where the sawmill worker was employed. Of the 35 questionnaires received, 33 included information regarding the size of the sawmill operation. TABLE II summarizes the responses to the question, "How many full-time equivalent sawmill workers are employed in your operation?" Twelve sawmill workers or 36.4% were employed in firms employing six to ten full-time equivalent sawmill workers. Twelve sawmill workers or 36.4% were employed in firms employing 11 or more full-time equivalent sawmill workers. Nine sawmill workers or 27.2% were employed in firms employing one to five full-time

equivalent sawmill workers. The number of sawmill workers employed in the firm ranged from 3-50. The average number of full-time equivalent sawmill workers employed in the firms was 14.9.

TABLE II
SIZE OF SAWMILL OPERATION WHERE CURRENTLY EMPLOYED

| Number of Employees in Firm | N | Percent of Respondents |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| 1-5 | 9 | 27.2 |
| 6-10 | 12 | 36.4 |
| 11 or more | <u>12</u> | <u>36.4</u> |
| Total | 33 | 100.0 |

X number of sawmill workers in the firm = 14.9

Total Work Experience

Sawmill workers with varying amounts of work experience in the timber industry were included in the study. TABLE III summarizes the responses to the question, "How many total years have you worked in the timber industry?" Eleven sawmill workers or 34.3% had 23 or more total years of work experience in the timber industry. Five sawmill workers or 15.8% had from seven to ten total years of work experience in the timber industry. Four sawmill workers or 12.7% had from 19-22 total years of work experience in the timber industry. The total years of work experience in the timber industry ranged from 1-55 years. Sawmill workers had an average of 18.6 years of total work experience in the timber industry.

Employment at Current Job

Sawmill workers in the survey had spent varying amounts of time in their present job. TABLE IV summarizes the responses to the question, "How many years have you worked at your present job?" Nine sawmill workers or 28.1% had worked at their present job from one to three years. Five sawmill workers or 15.6% had worked at their present job from four to six years. Five sawmill workers or 15.6% had been employed at their present job 27 or more years. The years of work at their present job ranged from 1-55 years. Sawmill workers had been employed at their present job an average of 13.9 years.

TABLE III
TOTAL AMOUNT OF WORK EXPERIENCE IN THE TIMBER INDUSTRY

| Years | N | Percent of Respondents |
|------------|-----------|------------------------|
| 1-3 | 3 | 9.3 |
| 4-6 | 3 | 9.3 |
| 7-10 | 5 | 15.8 |
| 11-14 | 3 | 9.3 |
| 15-18 | 3 | 9.3 |
| 19-22 | 4 | 12.7 |
| 23 or more | <u>11</u> | <u>34.3</u> |
| Total | 32 | 100.0 |

\bar{X} years in the timber industry = 18.6

TABLE IV
LENGTH OF TIME AT PRESENT JOB

| Years | N | Percent of Respondents |
|------------|----------|------------------------|
| 1-3 | 9 | 28.1 |
| 4-6 | 5 | 15.6 |
| 7-10 | 4 | 12.5 |
| 11-14 | 2 | 6.2 |
| 15-18 | 1 | 3.2 |
| 19-22 | 3 | 9.4 |
| 23-26 | 3 | 9.4 |
| 27 or more | <u>5</u> | <u>15.6</u> |
| Total | 32 | 100.0 |

\bar{X} years at present job = 13.9

Preparation as a Sawmill Worker

Sawmill workers obtained training for their job from various sources. TABLE V summarizes their responses to the question, "Where did you receive your training as a sawmill worker?" Thirty-one sawmill workers or 93.9 indicated they received training on-the-job. Six sawmill workers or 18.1% indicated they received training as a sawmill worker from other sources. Two sawmill workers or 6% indicated they had received training as a sawmill worker by attending a technical school program.

TABLE V

SOURCE OF TRAINING RECEIVED AS A SAWMILL WORKER

| Source | N | Percent of All Employees In the Survey |
|--------------------------|----|--|
| On-The-Job | 31 | 93.9 |
| Technical School Program | 2 | 6.0 |
| Other | 6 | 18.1 |

Duty Areas of Work Performed by the Sawmill Worker

The 84 tasks were grouped under eight duty areas. Each respondent indicated whether he performed the specific task in his current position as a sawmill worker. The percentages of respondents performing each task were averaged for all tasks under each duty area. The mean percentage of incumbents who performed specific tasks in specified duty areas is presented in TABLE VI.

The duty area of work in which 50% or more of the incumbent workers performed the tasks was:

1. Following General Safety Precautions

Duty Areas of Work Essential for Successful Performance as a Sawmill Worker

A level of importance rating was obtained for each task. The respondent could rate the task as essential, useful, or not important for successful performance as a sawmill worker. A ranking of essential was assigned a numerical rating of "3", useful a numerical rating of "2", and not important a numerical

rating of "1". The level of importance ratings for each task were averaged for all tasks under each duty area. The average level of importance ratings for the specific tasks in the specified duty areas are presented in TABLE VI.

Duty areas of work which received a 2.0 or higher level of importance rating by incumbent workers were:

1. Following General Safety Precautions
2. Marketing Products
3. Maintaining Mill Equipment and Vehicles
4. Using and Maintaining Hand Tools
5. Operating Sawmill Equipment and Vehicles
6. Scaling and Grading Cut Logs and Cut Lumber

Percentage Performance and Level of Importance
Ratings of Specific Tasks

The percentage performance by incumbent workers and the level of importance for each specific task is also presented in TABLE VI.

It is recommended that the results for each specific task be examined by educators and others who are developing educational programs to determine curriculum content for preparing sawmill workers. Specific tasks with a high level of performance and a high level of importance rating should be given more emphasis in the educational program than specific tasks with a low level of performance and a low level of importance rating.

TABLE VI

PERCENTAGE PERFORMANCE AND AVERAGE RATING OF IMPORTANCE*
OF SPECIFIC TASKS

| TASK STATEMENTS | Percent Performing | Average Level of Importance |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Recording Information | | |
| Complete lumber tally card | 43 | 1.8 |
| Mean Rating | 43.0 | 1.8 |
| Following General Safety Precautions | | |
| Follow safe work habits | 78 | 2.8 |
| Identify potential safety hazards in the mill | 71 | 2.8 |
| Use fire extinguishers | 65 | 2.6 |
| Wear proper protective clothing | 65 | 2.6 |
| Ventilate work areas | 50 | 2.4 |
| Interpret information on labels and signs | 65 | 2.4 |
| Use proper lifting and carrying methods | 59 | 2.9 |
| Store inflammable materials | 50 | 2.6 |
| Wear proper work clothes | 68 | 2.6 |
| Adjust safety devices on mill equipment | 56 | 2.7 |
| Install safety devices on mill equipment | 46 | 2.6 |
| Correct potential sawmill safety hazards | 56 | 2.7 |
| Remove debris from work areas | 65 | 2.8 |
| Use electrical connectors and safety devices | 37 | 2.4 |
| Identify safety zones around mill equipment | 56 | 2.7 |
| Mean Rating | 56.6 | 2.4 |
| Marketing Products | | |
| Describe products to buyers | 43 | 2.1 |
| Determine when products need to be ready for customers | 43 | 2.3 |
| Greet buyers and customers | 40 | 2.0 |
| Price products for customers | 40 | 2.1 |
| Take customer orders by phone | 40 | 2.1 |
| Mean Rating | 41.2 | 2.1 |
| Maintaining Mill Equipment and Vehicles | | |
| Add oil to equipment | 65 | 2.6 |
| Adjust carburetors | 21 | 2.0 |

*Average rating of importance may range from 1-3 with 3 being the highest

PERCENTAGE PERFORMANCE AND AVERAGE RATING OF IMPORTANCE
OF SPECIFIC TASKS

| TASK STATEMENTS | Percent Performing | Average Level of Importance |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Bleed diesel fuel system | 21 | 2.0 |
| Change oil and oil filters | 40 | 2.4 |
| Clean debris from equipment | 46 | 2.5 |
| Grease equipment | 56 | 2.8 |
| Install and adjust belts | 53 | 2.5 |
| Install and adjust chains | 50 | 2.5 |
| Install and service battery | 34 | 2.1 |
| Interpret general maintenance instructions in operator's manuals | 37 | 2.3 |
| Repack bearings | 37 | 2.3 |
| Replace bearings and seals | 37 | 2.2 |
| Service air cleaners | 40 | 2.5 |
| Service fuel strainer, filter, and sediment bowl | 31 | 2.2 |
| Repair damaged electrical cords and wires | 31 | 2.2 |
| Lubricate and clean electric motors | 28 | 2.2 |
| Oil equipment | 56 | 2.8 |
| Replace pulleys and sprockets | 53 | 2.2 |
| Reset electric motors | 34 | 2.2 |
| Sharpen saw teeth | 43 | 2.4 |
| Replace saw bits and shanks | 43 | 2.4 |
| Sharpen dogs and headrig | 34 | 2.2 |
| Take up slack in networks of headrig | 37 | 2.2 |
| Take up end play on trucks | 28 | 2.1 |
| Align tracks on headrig | 28 | 2.3 |
| Maintain foundations of edger and trimmer | 28 | 2.2 |
| Adjust saw tension | 21 | 1.8 |
| Mean Rating | 38.2 | 2.3 |
| Using and Maintaining Hand Tools | | |
| Adjust tools | 43 | 2.3 |
| Clean tools | 46 | 2.6 |
| Identify tools | 46 | 2.4 |
| Interpret tool operation instructions | 43 | 2.3 |
| Recondition tools | 21 | 2.0 |
| Select tools for specific jobs | 46 | 2.5 |
| Sharpen tools | 37 | 2.3 |
| Store tools | 43 | 2.3 |

PERCENTAGE PERFORMANCE AND AVERAGE RATING OF IMPORTANCE
OF SPECIFIC TASKS

| TASK STATEMENTS | Percent Performing | Average Level of Importance |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Use hand tools safely | 56 | 2.8 |
| Mean Rating | 42.3 | 2.3 |
| Operating Sawmill Equipment and Vehicles | | |
| Interpret gauge readings on equipment | 46 | 2.6 |
| Operate vehicles on public highways | 28 | 2.1 |
| Adjust mill equipment safety shields | 50 | 2.7 |
| Connect hydraulic operated equipment | 37 | 2.3 |
| Correct potential equipment safety hazards | 50 | 2.6 |
| Hitch towed equipment | 25 | 2.0 |
| Identify mill equipment safety hazards | 50 | 2.6 |
| Install safety shields and safety devices | 43 | 2.5 |
| Interpret safety and operating instructions in operator's manuals | 43 | 2.6 |
| Interpret safety symbols on equipment | 53 | 2.6 |
| Operate mill equipment under work conditions | 56 | 2.6 |
| Refuel power units | 31 | 2.2 |
| Mean Rating | 42.6 | 2.4 |
| Scaling and Grading Cut Logs and Cut Lumber | | |
| Determine cubic foot content of logs | 37 | 1.9 |
| Estimate log yields | 31 | 1.8 |
| Scale cut logs | 40 | 2.1 |
| Grade hardwood and softwood logs | 34 | 2.1 |
| Determine specific lumber to be cut from logs | 40 | 2.2 |
| Grade cut lumber | 31 | 1.8 |
| Identify hardwood and softwood species | 59 | 2.4 |
| Identify lumber defects | 53 | 2.4 |
| Classify lumber | 40 | 2.1 |
| Mean Rating | 40.5 | 2.0 |
| Stacking and Drying Lumber | | |
| Identify lumber defects occurring during drying | 25 | 1.6 |
| Measure moisture in lumber | 18 | 1.6 |

PERCENTAGE PERFORMANCE AND AVERAGE RATING OF IMPORTANCE
OF SPECIFIC TASKS -

| TASK STATEMENTS | Percent Performing | Average Level of Importance |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Regulate dry kiln temperature | 6 | 1.2 |
| Sort lumber | 40 | 2.2 |
| Spray chemical on lumber | 6 | 1.5 |
| Stack lumber : | 43 | 2.5 |
| Mean Rating | 21.6 | 1.7 |