DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 113 116

RC 008 829

AUTHOR

Bachrach, Leona L.

TITLE.

Characteristics of Federally Funded Rural Community

Mental Health Centers in 1971. Statistical Note

INSTITUTION

National Inst. of Mental Health (DHEW) Rockville,

Md. Center for Studies of Child and Family Mental

REPORT NO . PUB DATE

DHEW-ADM-74-6: NIMH-SN-101

Mar 74

NOTE

36p.

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.95 Plus Postage

Employment Patterns: Expenditures: *Federal Programs:

Financial Support: Health /Facilities: Health :

Personnel: *Health Services: *Mental Health Clinics: *Rural Areas: Rural Urban Differences: Staff

Utilization: *Statistical Data

ABSTRACT

Characteristics of 295 federally funded rural community mental health centers (CMHC) in 1971 were documented by examining differentials relating to various utilization indices and funding, expenditure, and staffing patterns. The centers were classified according to: (1) rural--a center serving a catchment area consisting exclusively of rural counties; (2) nonrural--a center serving a catchment area containing no rural county; and (3) part rural--a center serving a mixed catchment area with one or more rural and one or more nonrural counties. The rurality classification of catchment areas, and consequently of the community mental health centers serving them, was made on the basis of metropolitan influence and population concentration (i.e., community size). There were distinct contrasts in utilization patterns at federally funded CMHC's in rural, part rural, and nonrural locations. Contrasts were especially marked as between rural and monrural facilities, with part rural facilities often falling between the two extremes and thus suggesting the possibility of a continuum in utilization of CMHC services according to rurality. Rural CMHC's received relatively more funding from governmental sources and less from receipts from services than did either part rural or nonrural centers; there were differénces in expenditure patterns as well. (Author/NQ)

Documents acquired by FRIC include many informal unpublished * materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort * to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal * reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality * of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available * via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not * responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions supplied by Englare the best that can be made from the original. ************************* Statistical Note | 0|

01131

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE
Alcohol, Drug Abuso, and Mental Health Administration
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF MENTAL HEALTH

Division of Biometry

Survey and Reports Branch

CHARACTERISTICS OF FEDERALLY FUNDED RURAL COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS IN 1971

Summary

According to the indices examined in this Note, there were distinct contrasts in utilization patterns at federally funded community mental health centers in rural, part tural, and non-rural locations. Contrasts were especially marked as between rural and non-rural facilities, with part rural facilities often falling between the two extremes and thus suggesting the possibility of a continuum in utilization of CMHC services according to rurality. Rural community mental health centers received relatively more funding from governmental sources and less from receipts from services than did either part rural or non-rural centers, and there were differences in expenditure patterns as well: In addition, there were rural/non-rural differences in employment and staffing patterns. Unlike the utilization indices, however, where there was a strong suggestion of a rurality continuum, differentials by rurality in funding, expenditures, and employment and staffing patterns did not appear to graduate with any regularity.

Introduction

Federally funded community mental health centers in the United States, irrespective of their specific locations or the catchment areas which they serve, share certain characteristics which render them unique among mental health service agencies. These characteristics, discussed in Statistical Note 87 in this series 1/2, may be summarized as: catchmenting; strong emphasis on primary care, comprehensive treatment and continuity of care; and recruitment of patients not ordinarily treated in other facilities. Reflecting the rationale behind the community mental health movement in the United States, these characteristics provide a common denominator which makes it feasible and valid to discuss the universe of 295 centers 2/as an entity and to compare them with other mental health facilities.

It would be a mistake, however, to conclude that, because of the commonly shared philosophy of the centers, they necessarily comprise a homogeneous aggregate. To the contrary, the various community mental health centers in the United States are properly described as heterogeneous in the extreme, not only in their administrative and organizational structuring but also in the characteristics of their patient populations. One of the factors associated with such variation among CMRC's is geographic location—whether a facility serves a rural or a non-rural catchment area.

It is the purpose of this Note to document some of the distinguishing characteristics of rural community mental health centers during 197 by examining differentials relating to a variety of utilization indices and funding, expenditure and staffing patterns. A companion Note, Statistical Note 102, explores characteristics of patients at rural centers during the same year. For both Notes, the 295 operating community mental health centers have been classified according to rurality 3/as follows: A rural center is one serving a catchment area which consists exclusively of rural counties. Rural counties are, by definition, those located outside Standard Metropolitan Statistical Areas and having more than half of their

Leons L. Bachrach, Ph.D.

March 1974 S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

108829

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPROACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
NOR ORGANIZATION ORIGINOINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
NOT NECESSARRLY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF

ATION POSITION OR POLICY

COM

populations living in communities of 2,500 or less. A <u>non-rural</u> center is one which serves a catchment area containing no rural county as defined above. A <u>part rural</u> center is one serving a mixed catchment area with one or more rural and one or more <u>non-rural</u> counties. In 1971, the breakdown of centers by rurality was:

· /	<u>Number</u>		<u>Percent</u>
All Centers	295		100.0%
Non-rural	175		59/13
Part rural	87	• .	29.5/
Rural	33	a .	11,2

Summary Table 1 at the conclusion of the text shows the distribution of these centers according to State and DHEW region.

Rural Mental Health Care: A Perspective

The geography, economics and sociology of rural life, and the #titudes of rural persons toward health care -- and specifically toward mental health care -- have historically been associated with more limited provision and utilization of mental health services in rural areas, a condition which may be described as a "health resource imbalance." 4/ Statistical Note 86 in this series has shown that the obverse of rurality -- urbanization of catchment areas served by individual centers -- is one of the principal characteristics differentiating patients served by CMHC's. Among a subset of 69 selected centers during 1971, the addition rate for facilities serving inner city catchment areas was nearly twice that for facilities serving rural catchment areas: there were 1,151 additions 5/ for every 100,000 persons at inner city centers as compared with 594 additions at rural centers.

Edgerton and Bentz have stated that the "delivery of mental health services to rural people continues to be a serious problem ... in vast areas of the country." 6/ The geographic isolation of many rural communities, refative to other communities in the Nation, is often cited as a problem of special magnitude in the provision of mental health services, particularly as it relates to distance. Cohen has observed, for example, that "in rural areas factors related to distance may serve to curtail the use of outpatient services" and that the "extent of the curtailment is an important concern for rural centers that serve large catchment areas, requiring some chents to travel many miles." 7/ Dolan has advocated the establishment of a maximum square mileage limit for catchment areas, to be used in conjunction with minimum population requirements, to ensure residents access to CMHC's in a travel time not exceeding two hours. 8/

Identification of Rural Community Mental Health Centers .

The identification of particular mental Health centers as rural or non-rural is not a simple task. There are, first of all, well-documented problems inherent in categorizing communities themselves as rural or nonrural 9/, and these problems, of course, extend to the classification of CMHC's by rurality. Difficulties in differentiating rural and non-rural communities result from a variety of factors, among them functional differences, regional differences and differences in the kinds and loci of residents' interests. That rural and non-rural communities are difficult to classify and describe does not, however, imply that the distinction between them is not a "real" one. Schnore acknowledges the classification problem by everring that the "distinction between 'rural' and 'urban' is a familiar one. It is commonly recognized in everyday language, though the criteria employed are hardly exact and certainly not scientifically precise." 10/ This author at the same time declares emphatically that "rural-urban differences in the United States, while clearly diminishing, are still crucial. They continue to be important at two levels of analysis -- that of the community and that of the individual." 11/ It is important, also, to note that many American communities today have populations which are "mixed" as to degree of rurality, irrespective of what

specific criteria might be used to distinguish rural from non-rural. Although a community may be essentially rural in character, a sizable portion of its population way potentially be non-rural in attitudes, activities and orientation.

In the present Note, the rurality classification of catchment areas, and consequently of the community mental health centers serving them, is being made on the basis of metropolitan influence and population concentration, i.e., community size. Although this is not an entirely satisfactory taxonomic device, it is not completely arbitrary. Given the fact that differentiating gradations of rurality is extremely complex, a number of demographic experts regard population concentration, with all its shortcomings, as a practicable -- and in some instances, the single most useful -- device for classification at the present time 12/.

TABLE A. SELECTED CATCHMENT AREA CHARACTERISTICS AND UTILIZATION INDICES BY DEGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1971

,		Degree of	Rurality	
Characteristics and Indices	All Centers	Non- Rural	Part Rural	Rural
Average Catchment Area Population'1/	_148,21,4	166,890	131,682	108,015
Average Percent of Patients Receiving Care at Center Who Were: 2/ Resident Within Catchment Area Resident Outside Catchment Area	88.87 11.2	85.03 15.0	~ 93.1%* 6.9	94.5% 5.5
Average Patient Addition Rate per 100,000 Population per center 3/	917.3	1015.4	775.4	773.3
Average Annual Caseload (Persons Receiving Care During Year) per Center 3/	2,229	2,769	1,698	1,530
Percent Distribution: Types of Patient Care Episodes: 4/ All Types of Care	100.0% 15.6 1.4	100.0% 16.9 1.8	100.0% 11.8 0.7	100.0% 14.9 0.1
Day Care Other Partial Care Outpatient Care	5.4 0.4 77.2	5.5 0.4 75.4	5.2 .0.1 82.2	5.6 0.4 79.0
Average Number of Patient Care Episodes per Center: 4/ All Types of Care	2,755 430 159 2,127	3,351 566 198 2,527	2,015 237 106 1,657	1,771 263 106 1,398
Average Days per Inpatient Care Episode per Center 5/	17.3	17.7	18.1	12.3
Average Number of Inpatient Days per 100,000 Catchment Area Population per Center 5/	4,605	5,371	3,285	3,038
Average Number of Inpatient Beds per 100,000 Catchment Area Population per Center 5/	24	- 23	23	36
Average Percent Inpatient Bed Occupancy per Center 5/ 8/	53%	65%	39%	23%
Average Days per Day Care Episode per Center 6/	30.3	28.7 .	33.1	38.6

TABLE A. SELECTED CATCHMENT AREA CHARACTERISTICS AND UTILIZATION INDICES
BY DEGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH
CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1971 (Continued)

	Degree of Rurality			
Characteristics and Padices	All Centers	Non- Rural	Part Rural	Rural
Average Number of Treatment Sessions per Outpatient Care Episode per				à
Center: 7/ Total Sessions	4.4	4.5	4.0	4.5
Individual Sessions	0.5 0.6	0.5 0.8	0.4 0.4	0.4 " 0.4
Group Sessions	5.5	5.8	4.7	5.3
Group Outpatient Sessions: Average per Center 7/	9.6	3.5	5.4	5.8

Numbers of facilities reporting individual items shown in this table are as follows:

- 1/ All. catchment areas 241 non-rural catchment areas 134; part rural catchment areas 76; rural catchment areas 31.
- 2/ All catchment areas 242; non-rural catchment areas 135; part rural catchment areas 79; rural catchment areas 28.
- 3/ All catchment areas 207; non-rural catchment areas 107; part rural catchment areas 72; rural catchment areas 28
- 4/ All catchment areas 203; non-rural catchment areas 117; part rural catchment areas 61; rural catchment areas 25.
- 5/ All catchment areas 171; non-rural catchment areas 97; part rural catchment areas 53; rural catchment areas 21.
- 6/ All catchment areas 229; non-rural catchment areas 132; part rural catchment areas 72; rural catchment areas 25.
- 7/ All catchment areas 207; non-rural catchment areas 120; part rural catchment areas - 66; rural catchment areas 21.
- 8/ The measure of bed occupancy at community mental health centers is different from that at other medical facilities. A large portion of CMHC beds is allocated to centers under priority arrangements with other inpatient facilities -- e.g., nearby general hospitals. Bed occupancy as shown here represents only the exclusive utilization by center patients. It does not represent the utilization experience of the same beds by other (non-center) patients.

There are special problems, beyond those of community classification, which apply specifically to the differentiation of community mental health centers on the basis of rurality. For example, one of the dimensions along which individual centers are known to exhibit considerable variability is in the proportion of patients enrolled who reside within the precise catchment areas served by those centers. Ease of access, availability of particular services or personnel categories, residential mobility of patients as well as staff, and personal preferences all contribute to this "cross ing over," which takes place at both rural and non-rural centers. In the classification of community mental health centers used here, then, there is necessarily some overlap of the population groups served. Although a center may be located in a rural catchment area, a sizable portion of its patient population may be drawn from other, possibly part rural or non-rural, catchment areas. Table A shows that this was indeed the case in 1971, but that the percentage of cross-over was considerably smaller for the aggregate of rural centers than for the aggregate of non-rural

centers: 6 percent of patients receiving care at all rural CMHC's in 1971 resided outside the catchment areas served by these centers, as contrasted with 15 percent of patients at non-rural centers.

Two circumstances which served to differentiate rural community mental health centers from those located in other areas in 1971 may be derived from summary Tables 2 and 3, at the conclusion of the text, which examine the distributions of centers by years of center operation 13/ and by economic status of catchment area 14/. Table 2 shows that rural centers were, as a group, somewhat younger than part rural centers and considerably younger than non-rural centers. Over half of the rural centers in 1971 had been in operation as community mental health centersfor less than 3 years, as contrasted with 39 percent of non-rural centers. The years of center operation differential was, however, influenced by economic status of catchment area to a degree where differences in age of center by rurality were of consequence only in poverty-designated catchment areas.

There was a heavy concentration of rural centers located in the poverty catchment areas. Over 90 percent of rural centers were so located, as contrasted with 76 percent of part rural and only 41 percent of non-rural centers (Table 3). This differential generally held for all years of center operation categories.

A word of caution should be interjected at this point to provide a framework for interpretation of the data analyses that follow. cussions of differential utilization of mental health facilities, statements are sometimes made that suggest "underutilization" by certain population subgroups -- e.g., blacks, the poor, the aged, the less educated or, in the present case, rural persons. These disadvantaged population subgroups are sometimes said to be "underserved" by mental health facilities or "underrepresented" on mental health facility rolls when compared with their proportionate representations in some standard population, such as the total population of the United States. In fact, relatively low or high utilization of community mental health centers by specific subgroups does not by itself necessarily imply that these groups are either "underserved" or "overutilizing." Different population subgroups have differential needs for mental health care. subgroups -- for example, the aged -- have historically been relatively low users of mental health services, even though their need may be considerable. The "underutilization" by some persons must also be understood t least in part, in terms of resistances to mental health care and not only in terms of accessibility of services. The converse should also be condidered: that certain population subgroups are proportionately more highly represented among CMHC additions need not necessarily imply "overutilization" but rather a greater need for services and perhaps, in some cases, legs resistance to mental health care.

Utilization Indices

According to Table A above, the non-rural catchment areas were populated, on the average, by 12 times as many people as were the rural catchment areas. The generally smaller populations of rural catchment areas, when coupled with their generally larger geographic size 15/, are known to influence the efficacy with which mental health services are delivered. During the year under study, there were 1,015 patient additions for every 100,000 persons resident in non-rural catchment areas, as contrasted with a rate of 773 in rural catchment areas. (In this index, part rural areas were very similar to rural areas, with an addition rate of 775.) The average annual caseload per center 16/ during the year was 2,769 at non-rural centers but only 1,530 at rural centers. Part rural centers fell between these two extremes with an average annual caseload of 1,698. Discrepancies between rural and non-rural service delivery were apparent also in the average numbers of patient care episodes per center 17/. Rural centers averaged 1,771 episodes of care, as a rasted with 2,015 at part rural centers and 3,351 at non-rural centers.

These differentials in service by rurality of center may be further explored by more detailed examination of specific inpatient and outpatient utilization indices 18/.

Inpatient care -- According to Table A, rural facilities averaged more episodes of inpatient care per center than did part rural centers but far fewer such episodes than did non-rural centers. Respective averages of inpatient care episodes were 566 bt non-rural centers but only 263 at rural centers and 237 at part rural centers. The average number of days of care per inpatient episode was strikingly low at rural centers relative to averages at centers in other residence locations. An average inpatient care episode lasted 12 days at rural centers, as contrasted with 18 days at part rural and non-rural centers. When inpatient days of care are expressed in relation to the population base, the rate was, once again, markedly low for rural areas: rural centers averaged 3,038 days of inpatient care per 100,000 population, as contrasted with 3,285 for part rural centers and 5,371 for non-rural centers. Inpatient bed occupancy was 2.8 times as high at non-rural centers as at rural centers.

Rural centers exhibited an average inpatient bed occupancy of 23 percent, as contrasted with 39 percent at part rural centers and 65 percent at non-rural centers. (It should be noted that the measure of bed occupancy at community mental health centers is different from that at other medical facilities. A large portion of CMHC beds is allocated to centers under priority arrangements with other impatient facilities -- e.g., nearby general hospitals. Bed occupancy as shown here represents only the exclusive utilization by center patients. It does not represent the utilization experience of the same beds by other -- i.e., non-center -- patients. With respect to the number of inpatient beds, rural centers averaged 36 beds per 100,000 population, while part rural and non-rural centers each averaged 23 beds per 100,000 population. Outpatient care -- The average number of outpatient care episodes at nonrural centers was 1.8 times as great as that at rural centers: while rural centers averaged 1,398 outpatient care episodes during the year understudy, non-rural centers averaged 2,527. Part rural centers fell between these extremes with an average of 1,657 outpatient care episodes. Although the total number of treatment sessions 19/ per outpatient care episode did not differ for non-rural and rural centers -- both averaged 4.5 treatment sessions per outpatient care episode -- the kind of treatment did vary considerably. Thus, rural and part rural centers relied relatively more heavily on individual treatment sessions for outpatients, while non-rural centers administered relatively more treatment to patients seen simultaneously. At rural centers, individual treatment sessions outnumbered group-plus-family sessions by a ratio of nearly 6 to 1, as compared with 5 to 1 at part rural centers but only 4 to 1 at non-rural centers.

Summary -- Judging from the utilization indices examined here, there were distinct contrasts in utilization of community mental health services as among rural, part rural and non-rural facilities. Contrasts were especially marked as between rural and non-rural facilities, with utilization patterns at part rural facilities often falling between the two extremes, thus suggesting the possibility of a continuum in the utilization of community mental health services according to rurality.

Funding and Expenditures

Tables B and C, respectively, show the distributions of funding sources and of total expenditures at community mental health centers according to rurality. Some marked differences are apparent, and it is possible to infer that, in terms of fiscal operations, rural community mental health centers operated very differently from those in other residence locations. Thus, 83 percent of funding at rural centers was from government sources, as compared with 71 percent at non-rural centers and 72 percent at part

rural centers. By contract, over one-quarter of non-rural center funding was derived from receipts from services, primarily insurance. Respective parallel percents for receipts from services were 22 percent at part rural centers but only 14 percent at rural centers. While 11 percent of funds at non-rural centers were from private and voluntary insurance receipts, this was true of only 3 percent of funds at rural centers (Table B).

TABLE B. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF RECEIPTS BY SOURCE OF FUNDS AND DEGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1971

		Degree of Rurality			
Sources of Funds	Centers	Non- Rural	Part Rural	Rural	
All Sources	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	. 100.0%	
Government Funds, Total	72.1	71.0	72.2	83.2	
Federal Funds, Total	34.1	33.0	35.9	41.8	
Scaffing Grants		26.5	30.9	30.1	
Construction Grants	3.3	2.9	3.9	5.7	
Research and Training Funds	.2.2	2.9	0.2	*	
Other	1.1	0.7	0.9	6.0	
State Funds	28.5	28.4	29.3	28.7	
Local Government Funds	8.5	8.6	6.5	11.1	
Other Government Funds	1.0	1.0	0.5	1.6	
Receipts from Services, Total	24.1	25.7	22.0	13.5	
Patient Fees	6.3	6.3	. 7.4	4.0	
Insurance (Private & Voluntary)	9.2	10.5 .	6.1	3.1	
Medicare	2:0	2.2	1.5	. 1.1	
Medicaid	/ S.1	5.6	4.1	, 3.1	
Other	1.5	1.1	2.9	2.2	
Fund Raising	2.4	2.2	3.8	1.0	
Other Receipts	1.4	1.1	2.0	2.3	
Number of Facilities Reporting	219	126	64	29	

^{*} Less than 0.1%

Differences by rurality were less striking with respect to expenditures (Table C). Irrespective of rurality status, over 60 percent of center expenditures went for salaries. It may be noted, however, that the percentage of expenditures devoted to psychiatrists' salaries was fully 6 percentage points higher at non-rural centers (13 %) than at rural centers (7%). Rural community mental health centers exceeded those in other locations in relative percentages of capital expenditures. Twelve percent of expenditures at rural centers were capital expenditures, as compared with 6 percent at part rural and only 3 percent at non-rural centers. It is interesting to note that in all three rurality categories operating expenditures comprised 28 percent of total expenditures.

TABLE C. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF ANNUAL EXPENDITURES BY TYPE OF EXPENDITURE AND DEGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1971

الله الله		Degree	of Rural	ity
Sources of Funds	·Alf Centers	Non- Rural	Part Rural	Rural
Total Expenditures	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Salaries, Total	66.6	67.6	65.6	60.3
Psychiatrists	11.7	13.0	8.5	6.9
Other Physicians	1.1	0.9	1.6	1.0
Psychologists	7,•5	7.4	8.4	
Social Workers	10.3	10.6	9.8	7.2 9.2
Registered Nurses Licensed Practical or Voca-	7.4	7.3	8.2	.6.7
tional Nurses	1.8	1.6	2.2	2.9
Professionals	5.7	5.7	5.8	6.2
Mental Health Workers	9.1	9.0	9.4	8.5
fessional (nonhealth) Staff.	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.1
All Other Staff	9.0	9.1	8.8	8.6
Operating Expenditures	28.0	28.1	27.8	27.9
Capital Expenditures	4.6	3.4	6.3	11.8
Other Expenditures	0.8	0.9	0.3	0.0
Number of Facilities Reporting	254	143	80	31

TABLE D. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF EMPLOYED AND STAFF HOURS WORKED BY EMPLOYMENT STATUS AND DEGREE OF RURALITY FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1972*

	9	**		
* **		Degree	of Rural	ity
Employment Status	All Centers	Non- Rural	Part Rural	Rural
		Staff Empl	oyed	#. P
Total Staff Employed	100.0%	. 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Full-Time (35 Hours or More)	61.7	63.9	64.4	¹ 41.1
Part-Time (Less Than 35 Hours)	21.8	17.7	19.8	54.1
Trainees, Residents and/or Interns	6.0	7.1	4.2	1.6
Regularly Scheduled Volunteers	10.5	11.3	11.6	3.2
· ·		Staff Hours	Worked	
Total Staff (Hours Worked)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Full-Time (35 Hours or More)	83.3	83.3	84.8	78.9
Part-Time (Less Than 35 Hours)	10.4	9.5	10.8	18.6
Trainees, Residents and/or Interns	4.3	5.1	2.2	1.5
Regularly Scheduled Volunteers	2.0	2.1 '	2.2	1.40
Number of Facilities Reporting	262	150	81	31

^{*} Percents shown are for a sample week during January 1972

Employment and Staffing Patterns 20/

Employment status and staff discipline -- Table D shows, for community mental health centers according to rurality status, percent distributions of both employed staff and staff hours worked by employees. It may be seen that, by both criteria, rural centers relied more heavily than did part rural and non-rural centers on other than full-time employees. Sixty-four percent of both non-rural and part rural center staff worked on a full-time basis, while the corresponding percentage for rural center staff was 41 percent. By contrast, 54 percent of rural center staff were part-time employees, as compared with 20 percent of part rural and 18 percent of non-rural center staff. Rural centers also had markedly low percentages of volunteer workers and of trainees, residents and interns. Respective percentages of volunteer workers were 11, 12 and 3 percent at non-rural, part rural and rural centers. And 7 percent of non-rural center staff but only 4 percent of part rural and 2 percent of rural staff were trainees, residents or interns.

Table D shows that variations by rurality also existed in the distribution of staff hours worked in the various employment status categories. For example, the proportion of all staff hours provided by part-time employees at rural centers (19%) was about twice that provided by their non-rural counterparts (10%). Summary Table 4 at the conclusion of the text sheds some light on the sources of this differential by showing distributions of baff hours by employment status for individual staff disciplines. One striking departure from the overall picture was in the distribution of staff hours worked by psychiatrists. Unlike the situation for most other disciplines, relatively more psychiatrists' hours at rural centers derived from full-time employment (65%) than was the case at non-rural centers

TABLE E. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED BY STAFF DISCIPLINE AND DEGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1972*-

A	-				
		`. Degree	Degree of Rurality		
Staff Discipline	All Centers	Non- Rural	Part Rural	Rural	
Ali Disciplines	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Psychiatrists	6.4	7.4	4.1	3.0	
Other\Physicians	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.7	
Psychologists	7.3	7.1	8.1	6.7	
Social\Workers	1/2.3	12.8	10.8	11.8	
Registered Nurses	11.0	10.9	12.0	9.7	
Other Health and Mental Health Professionals Licensed Fractical or Vocational	10.6	10.4	10.6	12.5	
Nurses	5.0	4.7	5.6	6.9	
Mental Health Workers (AA level and below)	. 22.7	22.0	24.2	26.7	
Administrative and Other Pro- fessional Staff	3.4	4 3.3	3 2 5	2.9	
All Other Staff	20.3	20.4	20.1	19.1	
Number of Facilities Reporting	262	150	81	31	

^{*} Percents shown are for a sample week during January 1972

Table E further examines distributions of staff hours worked by staff discipline categories according to degree of rurality. About 16 percent of staff hours at non-rural centers were contributed by physicians (both psychiatric and nonpsychiatric) and psychologists combined. Corresponding percentages at part rural and rural centers were, respectively, 13 and 10 percent. On the other hand, rural facilities exceeded non-rural and part rural facilities in staff hours worked by nonprofessional health personnel, including licensed practical and vocational nurses and mental health workers. Staff hours contributed by these nonprofessional medical and mental health employees accounted for 34 percent of all staff hours at rural centers, 30 percent at part rural centers and 27 percent at nonrural centers. Summary Table 5 further examines these variations by focussing on employment status. The relative absence of staff hours contributed by physician (both psychiatric and nonpsychiatric) and nurse trainees, residents and/or interns at rural centers was marked. By contrast, however, rural centers were characterized by a disproportionately high number of staff hours provided by social workers in the traines, residents and/or interns category. At rural centers, social workers contributed more than half of all hours in this latter employment status category as compared with 19 percent and 16 percent at non-rural and part rural centers, respectively.

TABLE F. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED BY STAFF ACTIVITY AND EGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1972*

•	•	Degr	ee of Rur	ality	
Staff Activity	All Centers	Non- Ruræl	Part Rural	Rural	1
All Activities	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	_
Direct Patient Services	76.0	. 74.9	80.1	- 78.∕5	
Inpatient Care	39.7	37.1	48.5	45.7	
Outpatient Care	21.0	22.1	17.1	20.1	٠,
Day Care	2.5	9.0	11.1	8.6	
Other Partial Care	2.1	2.4	0.8	1.6	
Emergency Care	3.9	4.3	2.6	2.5 .	٠
Other Activities:	24.0	25.1	19.9	21.5	
Consultation	4.0	3.9	4.4	4.2	
Public Information/Education	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.2	
In-Service Training	4.1	4.5	3.3	2.5	
Other Training & Education	2.1	2.1	1.6	1.7	
Community Planning & Development.	1.9	2.0	1.6.	1.3	
Research & Evaluation	2.6	3.1	1.0	1.3	
General Administration	7-9	8.0	6.8	9.3	
Number of Facilities Reporting	206	127	, 55	24	
. ` 1	*				

^{*} Percents shown are for a sample week during January 1972. This table excludes administrative, clerical, maintenance and other nonhealth staff.

Staff activity -- Still another dimension of staffing patterns exhibiting variations by rurality was the number of hours devoted by medical and other health staff to selected activities. Table F shows that relatively more staff hours were devoted to inpatient care at part rural and rural centers than at non-rural centers (respectively 49, 46 and 37 percent). More detailed variations in staff hours devoted to different activities may be seen in summary Table 6, which further breaks down the data by staff discipline. For example, psychiatrists at rural centers devoted 78 percent of their time to direct patient services, as contrasted with 64 percent at

non-rural centers and 70 percent at part rural centers. Conversely, of course, non-rural psychiatrists devoted proportionately more time to non-patient-directed activities such as consultation, education, training, etc. Similar differentials although not so marked, existed for nonpsychiatric physicians and for psychologists. Summary Table 7 shows the breakdown of staff hours by discipline within each staff activity category.

TABLE G. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS DEVOTED TO EDUCATIONAL AND CONSULTATION SERVICES BY TYPE OF SERVICE AND DEGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS UNITED STATES.

	0	Degree of Rurality			
Type of Service	All Centers	Non- Rural	Part Rural	Rural	
All Educational & Consultation	•	, *			
Services	100.0%	100 0%	100.0% '	100.0%	
Case-Oriented Consultation	33.4	31.4	38.4 *	37.9	
Program-Oriented Consultation	22.5	19.9	28.6	. 28.6	
Public Information/Education	17.9	19.2 '	13.9	17.4	
Training & Continuing Education	13.6	15.4	10.0	7.8	
Community Planning & Development	12.6	14.1	9.1	8.3	
Number of Facilities Reporting	245 ′	138	77	30	

^{*} Percents shown are for a sample week during January 1972. This table excludes administrative, clerical, maintenance and other nonhealth

Educational and consultation services.— Differentials in staff hours devoted to non-direct patient services may be considered to have special interest, for it is by means of these auxiliary services that some of the fundamental goals of federally funded community mental health centers—such as program development and promotion and primary prevention of mental illness—are executed. Table C examines distributions of staff hours devoted to educational and consultation services only, by specific type of service, for the various rurality categories. About two-thirds of such staff hours were devoted to consultation activities at part rural and rural centers alike, as compared with just over half at non-rural centers. By contrast, staff hours at non-rural centers were more heavily weighted with educational activities. Summary Table 8 at the conclusion of the text further explores rurality differentials in the distributions of staff hours devoted to various educational and consultation services according to specific recipients of these services.

Although most educational and consultation services were provided to school personnel — including both students and staff — at rural, part rural and non-rural centers alike, the percentages varied considerably. Thus, at rural centers, 43 percent of all educational and consultation service hours were provided to school personnel, as contrasted with 39 percent at part rural centers and only 31 percent at rural centers (Table H). Additional variations in distributions of recipients of educational and consultation services are shown in summary Table 9 at the conclusion of the text.

Ascording to the indicators of employment and staffing patterns analyzed here, there were a number of marked differences between community mental health centers serving rural areas and those serving other areas. Rural centers, in general, relied more on part-time personnel than did part rural and non-rural centers. Rural centers also had relatively less access to staff hours provided by volunteers and by residents, trainees and interns. In addition, there were relatively fewer staff hours

provided by psychiatric and nonpsychiatric physicians and by psychologists at rural centers. Unlike the utilization indices, where there was a strong suggestion of a rurality continuum -- differentials in employment and staffing patterns by rurality did not appear to graduate with any regularity. Indeed, some measures suggested somewhat more favorable conditions at part rural than at non-rural centers.

TABLE H. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS DEVOTED TO EDUCATIONAL AND CONSULTATION SERVICES BY RECIPIENT OF SERVICE AND DEGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1972*

		•	ø	*
		Degr	ee of Rura	ality
Recipient of Service	All Centers	Non- Rural	Part Rural	Rural
All Recipients	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
School Personnel (Students	& Staff) 33.4	30.7	38.6	42.6
Clergy	4.3	4.4	3.5	4.4
Police, Courts & Other Law : forcement Agencies	/ 6.9	6.9	7.2	6.4
Staff of Unaffiliated Menta	1		1	
Health Facilities	7.3	8.0	5.6	5.9
Staff of Medical Facilities	8.0 ₁	8.1	7.8	7.8
Social & Community Agencies	22.4	23.9	18.7	19.3
Private Practice Profession	als 3.0	3.0	2.5	4.3
General Public	8.5	9.3⊳	6.6	6.8
Other	6.2	5.7	9.5	2.5
Number of Facilities Report	ing 245	138	77	30

Percents shown are for a sample week during January 1972. This table excludes administrative, clerical, maintenance and other nonhealth staff,

Discussion

That rural persons exhibit different patterns, and often lower levels, of utilization of community mental health center services may be regarded by some as evidence for a widely held notion that rural persons enjoy "better" mental health -- and thus a lesser need for mental health services - than do persons residing in more urbanized places. It has long been believed that mental illness is at least partially "caused" by conditions of life associated with urban residence and that conditions of rural life are somehow more conductive to salubrious mental health. This belief is not, however, accepted without exception among mental health epidemiologists and other professionals. Some experts see even greater mental health hazards in rural life and view widespread poverty, low levels of reducation and, indeed, lack of awareness of existence of the problem as contributory factors 21/. Geographic isolation -- with the attendant conditions of low population density, limited tax base, sparseness and inaccessibility of facilities, manpower shortages and "negative attraction" for new manpower 22/ -- is partly responsible for deficits in rural mental health care and in turn contributes to the mental health hazards of rural living. Kraenzel and Macdonald discuss at length the "strain and stress situations" in the rural social milieu which contribute to mental illness in such sparsely populated places as rural eastern Montana 23/. Irrespective of which point of view regarding the relationship between place of residence and mental illness is the more valid (and both may be valid under differing circumstances), it may be concluded that, relative to community mental health centers in non-rural places, rural centers are less thoroughly utilized.

Staffing must be mentioned separately as a very special problem at rural community mental health centers. The suggestion is strong from the data presented in this Note that certain characteristics of rural living exert inhibiting influences in optimal staffing. Gurian stresses the "professional loneliness" of mental health practitioners in rural areas as being at the root of many of the difficulties in rural mental health care delivery 24/. It has been noted that not only is it difficult to recruit staff for isolated facilities, but, once recruited, problems sometimes arise in local acceptance of outsiders 25/. What is more, attitudes held by staff members themselves have a strong effect upon utilization patterns. A special study of 24 selected poverty CMHC's during 1972, 8 of which were rural, concluded that an important urban/non-urban difference among center staff was that

"... urban staff (particularly outreach workers) tended to reflect more sophistication in their views of the unique needs of the poor. In particular, they reflected more sensitivity to the barriers existing between the poor client and traditional mental health services. Also they tended to address themselves more to the need for community involvement in making outreach efforts effective." 26/

In view of the preponderance of poverty-designated rural community mental health centers, this influence on utilization may potentially be of considerable importance in the provision of mental health care.

The problems in utilization and staffing faced by rural CMHC's today are certainly not new problems for rural health agencies, either general medical facilities or those dealing exclusively with mental health. The underlying philosophy of the community mental health movement acknowledges these problems and aims toward solving them. There is, in fact, reason to believe that rural centers have more than merely begun to show the desired results. They have shown "a remarkable ability over the past few years to penetrate quite isolated rural areas" with an effect so positive and so noticeable that their very approach to comprehensive health care has "served as a model for community development of broader health care delivery systems." 27/

Methodological Addendum

Completeness of reporting -- The statistics presented in this Note have been derived from the annual Inventory of Community Mental Health Centers. The Inventory is conducted in January of each year by the Biometry Branch of the NIMH in cooperation with State mental health authorities. The validity of the statistics presented here rests in part on completeness of reporting in Inventory items. Actually, incomplete reporting may result from a variety of factors -- (i) failure of individual centers to return questionnaires; (ii) failure of responding centers to answer selected items on questionnaires; and (iii) failure of responding centers to provide adequate or usable responses for selected items on question naires.

As for the first source of incompleteness noted above, 270, or 92 percent, of the 295 centers returned Inventory questionnaires. This type of incompleteness was correlated with number of years of center operation, with the highest incidence of nonresponse occurring among centers in operation less than l_2^1 years. Incompleteness resulting from the second and third factors fixed above varied considerably for individual Inventory items. In general, among the data covered in this report, reporting was most complete for items dealing with staffing and least complete for funding and for some of the utilization indices. Each of the tables presented in this Note shows, either as the bottom-line entry or an accompanying footnote, the number of facilities reporting (i.e., providing usable responses) for questions relevant to the data contained therein. It is thus possible for the reader to assess completeness of reporting by computing the percentages for centers responding on a given question in relation to

· 13·-

all centers in that rurality category, as shown on page 2.9, Thus, if for a particular question, 140 non-rural and 25 rural centers responded, the percent completeness of reporting would be 80 percent for non-rural centers (140 out of 175) and 76 percent for rural centers (25 out of 33).

Comparability of tabular material with other Statistical Notes -- The reader will note that percent distributions and other statistical measures as presented in this report may differ somewhat from those presented in earlier Statistical Notes (and other unpublished materials) dealing with community mental health center data for 1971, Such discrepancies arise from differences in numbers of facilities reporting Inventory items. Each table in this Note contains data for a minimum of two variables, and the number of facilities reporting corresponds with the number providing usable responses for the least completely reported variable.

Footnotes and References

- 1/ Other Statistical Notes in this series dealing with community mental health centers in 1971 are:
 - 86 "Center and Catchment Area Variations in the Age, Color and Sex Distributions of Additions to 69 Selected Community Mental Health Centers, United States 1971," June 1973 (by Leona L. Bachrach)
 - 87 "General Characteristics of Additions to Federally Funded Community Mental Health Centers During 1971," July 1973 (by Leona L. Bachrach)
 - 88 "Additions to Federally Funded Community Medical Health Centers During 1971: Age, Sex and Diagnostic Differences by Service to Which First Admitted," July 1973 (by Leona L. Bachrach)
 - 89 "Referrals to and from Federally Funded Community Mental Health Centers, United States 1971," July 1973 (by Leona L. Bachrach)
 - 91 "Sources of Funds, Federally Funded Community Mental Health Centers 1971," August 1973 (by Rosalyn D. Bass)
 - 94 "Outpatient Treatment Services in Federally Funded Community Mental Health Centers, 1971," September 1973 (by Rosalyn D. Bass and Michael Witkin)
 - 95 "Inpatient Treatment in Federally Funded Community Mental Health Centers, 1971," September 1973 (by Michael Witkin and Rosalyn D. Bass)
 - 96 "Day Care Services in Federally Funded Community Mental Health Centers, 1971-72," October 1973 (by Carl A. Taube)
 - 102 "Patients at Federally Funded Rural Community Mental Health Centers in 1971," February 1973 (by Leona L. Bachrach)
- 2/ The terms community mental health center, CMRC and center are used interchangeably in this Note.
- 3/ Definitions of rural, part rural and non-rural as used in this Note of differ from residence location definitions utilized in earlier Statistical Notes, and the data are, therefore, not precisely comparable.

Although the term "rurality" has not had wide currency, it has appeared in the literature of the field of rural sociology with some frequency. Bealer and Ford, for example, both employed the term in their respective discussions of: Schnore, Leo F., "The Rural-Urban Variable: An Urbanite's Perspective," Rural Sociology 31, June 1966, pp. 131-143. See: Bealer, Robert C., "A Discussion of Leo F. Schnore, 'The Rural-Urban Variable: An Urbanite's Perspective,'" Rural Sociology 31, June 1966, pp. 144-148; and Ford, Thomas R., "Comment on Schnore's 'The Rural-Urban Variable: An Urbanite's Perspective,'" Rural Sociology 31, June 1966, pp. 149-151.

- 4/ Segat Julius (ed.), The Mental Health of Rural America: The Rural Programs of the National Institute of Mental Health, Rockville, Md.: NIMH, Office of Program Planning and Evaluation 1973.
- 5/ Additions represent an unduplicated count of persons admitted to care in community mental health centers. Additions are thus distinguished from admissions, which ordinarily represent duplicated counts and which are more often used in presentations of mental health facility statistics. The count of additions for 1971 includes readmissions "(i.e., persons previously treated in the center), so long as their earlier admissions occurred prior to 1971. However, a patient admitted more than once during the study year was counted as a single addition.
- 6/ Edgerton, J. Wilbert and W. Kenneth Bentz, "Attitudes and Opinions of Rural People About Mental Illness and Program Services," American Journal of Public Health 59, March 1969, pp. 470-477.
- 7/ Cohen, Julius, "The Effect of Distance on Use of Outpatient Services in a Rural Mental Health Center." Hospital and Community Psychiatry 23, March 1972, pp. 79-80. Also see: Cody, John, "Decentralization of Rural Mental Health Service Delivery," paper presented at Institute on Community Mental Health Service Delivery in Rural Areas, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, May 1973.
- 8/ Dolan, Dorothea L., "Geographic Size of Catchment Areas," NIMH, Mental Health Services Development, Branch, unpublished manuscript, July 1968.
 - / Excellent discussions of the conceptual difficulties in distinguishing "rural" and "urban" are numerous. See, for example: Bealer, Robert C., Willits, Fern K., and Kuvlesky, William P., "The Meaning of 'Rurality' in American Society: Some Implications of Alternative Definitions," Rural Society 30, September 1965, pp. 255-266; Napier, Ted L., "Rural-Urban Differences: Myth or Reality?", Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center Research Bulletin 1063, Wooster, Ohio, October 1973; Pryor, Robin J., "Defining the Rural-Urban Fringe," Social Forces 47, December 1968, pp. 202-215; Schnore, op. cit. and the discussions by Bealer and Ford which follow; Shryock, Henry S., Jacob S. Siegel and Associates, The Methods and Materials of Demography, Vol.al, Washington: United States Government Printing Office 1971, pp. 156 et seq.; and Willits; Fern K. and Bealer, Robert C., "An Evaluation of a Composite Definition of 'Rurality,'" Rural Sociology 32, June 1967, pp. 165-177.
- 10/ Schnore, op. cit.
- 11/ Ibid. Also see: Willits and Bealer, op. cit.
- 12/ Shryock and Siegel, op. cit
- Years of center operation categories were delineated as follows: all centers becoming operational (i.e., providing the five essential CMHC services) after June 1970 were included in the under 1½ year category. Those becoming operational between January 1969 and June 1970 were included in the 1½ to 3 years category; while those becoming operational between January 1968 and December 1968, and December 1967 or earlier were placed in the 3 to 4 years and 4 or more years categories, respectively. It should be pointed out that the years of center operation designation is not necessarily synonymous with the actual age of a given facility; since the former is counted only from the time that the facility received Federal funding.
 - Catchment areas were designated as to economic (poverty vs. nonpoverty) status pursuant to section 410 of the Community Mental Health Centers Act, and 42 CFR Part 54, Subpart B, of the implementing regulations.

- Although not specifically documented by the data analyzed in the present Note, rural catchment areas covering wide geographic expanses have been discussed by Dolan. Rural catchment areas range in size from 300 to 61,000 square miles. See: Dolan, Dorathea L., "Rural Mental Health Services," paper presented at Institute on Community Mental Health Service Delivery in Rural Areas, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Flowa, May 1973. Also see: Dolan, op. cit. 1
- Average annual caseload refers to the total number of persons receiving care -- i.e., patients on rolls at the beginning of the year prus additions during the year -- during the study period.

 This measure provides an unduplicated count of persons served in centers.
- 17/ A patient care episode refers to a period of treatment in any THC service. The episode may begin by either admission to the center or transfer from another service and may be terminated by either discharge from the center or transfer to another service. Episodes thus provide a duplicated count of persons served in any given service or center during the period under study.
- 18/ Because patient care episodes in all services other than the impatient and outpatient units amounted to only 7 percent of total episodes, the text discussion in this Note focuses exclusively on inpatient and outpatient care. Supplementary data for other services are shown in Table A.
- 19/ A treatment session is a unit of service received by a patient enrolled in the outputient unit. It may be provided on a one-to-one basis (individual session) with a staff member, or shared with other participating, unrelated individuals (group session) or with one or more family members living in the same household (family session).
- 20/ Data for employment and staffing patterns are based on statistics ocollected for a sample week during January 1972.
- 21/ See, for example: Srole, Leo, "Urbanization and Mental Health: Some Reformulations," <u>American Scientist</u> 60, September-October 1972; pp. 576-583 Also see: Segal, op. cit.
- 22/ Wilson, Vernon E., "Rural Health Care Systems," JAMA 216, June 7, 1971, pp. 1623-1626. Also see: Willie, Charles V., "Health Care Needs of the Disadvantaged in a Rural-Urban Area," HSMHA Health Reports 87, January 1972, pp. 81-86; and Cohen, op. cit.
- 23/ Kraenzel, Carl F. and Frances H. Macdonald, "Social Forces in Rural Communities of Sparsely Populated Areas," Montana Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin No. 647 Bozeman, Montana Montana State University, February 1971.
- 24/ Gurian Harvey, "A Decade in Rural Psychiatry," Hospital and Community Psychiatry 22, February 1971, pp.:56-58.
- 25/ Roy Little john Associates, The Impact of Preferential Funding on the Operations of Community Mental Health Centers, Rockville, Ma. NIMH, Office of Program Planning and Evaluation, 1973, p. 89.
- <u>26</u>/ <u>Ibid</u>., p. 107.
- 27/ Wilson, op. cit.

TABLE 1. FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS BY DHEW REGION AND STATE AND DEGREE OF RURALITY, UNITED STATES 1971.

•	4	Degre	e of Rur	ality
DHEW Region and State	All Centers	Non- Rural	Part Rural	Rural
Total United States	295	175	87	33
Region I	18 2 4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 - 2	3 ° · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Massachusetts	• 9 • 1 • 2	8	1 1 -	2
Vermont	24 3 13 8	16 3 12	6 ,	2
Region III.	33 2 3 3 21 2	26- 1 3 3, 3, 17, 2	5 1 - 3	2
Region IV. Alabama. Florida. Georgia. Kentucky. Mississippi. North Carolina. South Carolina. Tennessee.	68 4 11 6 21 5 10 4 7	31 3 8 5 4 1 5 3	21 2. 4 10 2 1 1	16 1 7 2 4
Region V	33 4 1 11 6 6 5	15 2 1 4 3 4 1	2 - 4 2 2 2	- 3 1 - 3
Region VI. Arkansas. Louisiana. New Mexico Oklahoma. Texas.	34 6 9 2 3 14	22 3 5 1 2	11 3 3 1 1 3	1 1 -

TABLE 1. FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS BY DHEW REGION AND STATE AND DEGREE OF RURALITY, UNITED STATES 1971 (Continued)

DHEW Region and State Centers Non- Rural Rural		Degre	lity		
Town	DHEW Region and State				Rural
Idaho 4. / 2	Region VII. /lowa. Kansas. Missouri Nebraska Region VIII. Colorado Montana North Dakota South Dakota Utah. Wyoming. Region IX Arizona California: Hawaii. Neyada Region X Alaska	Centers 14 3 4 5 2 19 8 2 5 - 3 1 41 4 34 2 1	Rufal 5 1 2 2 2 7 4 4 3 3 7 2 2 3 7 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Rural

TABLE 2. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS BY YEARS OF CENTER OPERATION, ECONOMIC STATUS AND DEGREE OF RURALITY, UNITED STATES 1971

71,			
•	Degre	e of Rural	ity
Years of Center Operation All and Economic Status Centers	Non- Rural	Part Rural	Rural
Poverty & Nonpoverty:	, ,	. ,	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Less Than l_2^1 Years		14.5 32.5	9.7 o 41.9
3-4 Years	24.8 36.6	16.9 36.1	16.1 32.3
Poverty Centers:	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•
Total	100.0%	100.0%	160.0%
Less Than 1 Years 11.0	9.5	12.7 34.9	10.7 42.9
1½-3 Years	25.4 28.6	15.9 •	17.8
4 of More Years 35.1	3 6.5	36.5	28,6
Nonpoverty Centers:		, _	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Less Than 1½ Years	10.0 31.1	20.0 25.0	33.3
3-4 Years	22.2	20.0 35.0	66.7
4 or More Years 37.2	36.7		• ,
Number of Facilities Reporting 267	153	83	31

TABLE 3. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS BY ECONOMIC STATUS, YEARS OF CENTER OFERATION AND DEGREE OF RURALITY, UNITED STATES 1971

•		Degr	ee of Rura	lity	
Economic Status and Years of Center Operation	All Centers .	Non- Rural	Part Rural	Rural	į
All Years of Operation:			- 1	al al	
Total	\ 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Poverty	57: 7	41.2	75.9	90.3	
Nonpoverty	42.3	58.8	24.1	9.7	
Less Than 12 Years:	.\	•		÷	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Poverty	56.7	40.0	· 66.7	100.0%	
Nonpoverty	43.3	60.0	33.3		
J.	. 1		7	1	
12 to 3 Years:	-		• .	4	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Poverty	59.5	36.4	81.5	92.3	
Nonpoverty	· 40 .5	63.6	18.5	7.7	
3 to 4 Years:	1.0		· · ·	* 5;	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Poverty	57.9	47.4	71.4	100.0%	
Nonpoverty	42.1	52.6	28.6	4	•
4 or More Years:		•	•	, ,	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	٠.
Poverty	56.3	41.1	76.7	, 80.0	
Nonpoverty	43.7	58.9	2,3.3	20.0	
Number of Facilities Reporting	à 267 ·	153	83 .	, 31	

AND				9		* . = . 	• •9		•		6.			•	٠.		•		•		
EMPLOYMENT STATUS	4.	Regularly Scheduled Volunteers		2.0	. 0.1	0.3	F. 0	2.2	0.2	15.9	0.7		2.1	0.2		0.3	2.13	0.1	7.2	0.7	0.8
HOURS WORKED FOR STAFF DISCIPLINE CATEGORIES BY EMPLOYMENT STATUS AND PRABED FORMATITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1972*	Employment Status	Residents and/or Interns	Centers	4.3	21.3	8.1	3.2	2.8	1.2	1.6	0.0	Centers		23.0		3.7	`		•	0.0	5 0.2
		Full- Part- Time Time	A11	83.3 34 10.4	53.9 24.7 33.9 26.7		85.2 11.5	85.1 9.9	89.0 9.6	82.3 9.6	91.2 8.1	S.	83.3 9.5		31.7 20.3 79.1 . 11.3	82.9 9.3 85.6 10.6		•		91.8 7.5	• \
F HOURS WORKED		All Employment Statuses		100.0%	100.0%	0.100.0%	100.0%	. 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	*0.001	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	,	70 001	100.0%	100.02	100.0%
TABLE 4. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR	DEGREE OF RUKALLII, FEDERALII	Staff Discipline	k	All Disciplines	Psychiatrists	Other Physicians	•. •	Other Health and Mental Health Professionals	Licensed Practical or Vocational	Mental Health Workers (AA level and below)	Administrative and Other Pro- fessional Staff	All Other Staff	All Disciplines	Psychiatrists	Other Physicians	Social Workers	Registered Nurses	Professionals	Mental Health Workers (AA level	Administrative and Other Pro-	All Other Staff

SITTATIS TIMENT CHARLES	, UNITED STATES, 1972*(Co	
ABLE 4. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR STAFF DISCIPLINE CATEGORY	MMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH GENTERS	
TABLE 4. P	A	
٠		

Staff Discipline Part	Simple Full Part Fample Fampl	J.							
Employment Full- Part Residents Statuses Tame Trainees Statuses Tame Tame Residents	Employment Full- Part- Residents	; ; ;			Emp	loyment Status		,	
Part Rural Centers 100.0% 84.8 10.8 2.2 2.2 100.0% 43.7 13.5 10.0% 43.7 13.5 10.0% 43.7 13.5 10.0% 43.7 13.5 10.0% 43.7 13.5 10.0% 43.7 13.5 10.0% 44.8 12.6 2.3 2.3 100.0% 84.6 10.6 2.2 2.3 2.3 2.4 2.2 2.3	Part Rural Centers 100.0% 84.8 10.8 2.2 2.2 100.0% 42.8 26.4 14.8 2.2 100.0% 42.8 26.4 14.8 100.0% 42.8 32.7 10.6 5.0 3.3 18.8 100.0% 84.8 12.6 2.3 3.3 18.8 100.0% 84.6 10.6 2.2 2.3 2.	Staff Discipline	All Employment, Statuses	Full- Tame	Part- Time	Trainees Residents and/or Interns	Regularly Scheduled Volunteers		٠
100.07 58.8 26.4 14.8 100.07 42.8 43.7 13.5 5.5 3.3 100.07 42.8 43.7 13.5 5.5 3.3 100.07 83.7 10.6 5.5 3.3 100.07 84.6 10.6 2.2 2.3 2.2 2.3 2.3 2.4 2.2 2.3	100.0% 58.8 2.6.4 14.8 100.0% 42.8 43.7 13.5 10.6 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 3.3 10.0 5.0 3.3 10.0 5.0 3.3 10.0 5.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 5.0 10.0 5.0	All Disciplines	100.02	a 78	Part R	ural Centers			
100.07	100.0% 20.0 14.8 14.8 100.0% 83.7 10.6 5.0 3.3 100.0% 83.7 10.6 5.0 3.3 100.0% 84.8 12.6 2.3 3.3 1.00.0% 84.8 12.6 2.2 2.3 3.3 1.00.0% 84.6 10.6 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.3 2	Pavchiatrists	100.00	0 0	0 T 7	7.7	2.2		_
100.0% 83.7 10.6 5.0 1 Health Vocational 100.0% 84.8 12.6 2.3 1 Health 100.0% 84.6 10.6 2.2 (AA level 100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 Rural Centers 100.0% 85.4 33.7 0.9 100.0% 85.0 8.3 100.0% 85.0 8.3 100.0% 85.0 8.3 100.0% 85.0 8.3 100.0% 85.0 8.3 11.1 100.0% 82.0 18.0 0.0 AA level 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 r Pfo- 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1	100.0% 83.7 10.6 5.0 100.0% 84.8 12.6 5.3 1 Health 100.0% 84.6 10.6 (AA level 100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 or a *sample week during January 1972 r 100.0% 83.5 13.8 100.0% 83.5 13.8 100.0% 83.5 13.8 100.0% 83.5 13.8 100.0% 83.5 13.8 100.0% 83.5 13.8 100.0% 83.5 13.8 100.0% 83.5 13.8 100.0% 90.4 9.6 0.0 or a *sample week during January 1972 r Pro- r Pro- 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0 AA level 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0 or a *sample week during January 1972 r Pro- r Pro- 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0 or a *sample week during January 1972 r Pro- r Pro- 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0 or a *sample week during January 1972 r Pro- r Pro- r Pro- 100.0% 100.0	Other Physicians	100.0%	.42.8 -42.8	7 63 7	14.8	0.0	•	
100,0% 91.1 5.5 3.3 1 1 1 1 1 5.5 1 1 1 1 1 5.5 1 1 1 1 1 5.5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100.07 91.1 5.5 3.3 1 Health 100.07 84.6 10.6 2.2 1 Health 100.07 84.6 10.6 2.2 1 Health 100.07 88.2 10.8 0.4 1 100.07 89.3 9.5 0.0 1 100.07 100.07 100.07 100.07 1 100.07 100.07 100.07 100.07 1 100.07 100.07 100.07 1 1 100.07 100.07 100.07 1 1 100.07 100.07 100.07 1 1 100.07 100.07 1 1 100.07 100.07 2 2 3.7 0.0 2 4 1 1 3 4 5 1 4 1 5 1 5 5 5 5 5 6 5 6 6 6 7 73.4 22.7 1.1 7 7 79.2 20.7 0.1 7 79.2 20.7 0.1 7 79.2 79.2 7 79.2 79.2 7 79.2 70.1 7 79.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.2 70.2 7 70.3 70.2 7 70.3 70.3 7 70.3 70.3 7 70.3 70.3 7 70.3 70.3 7 70.3 70.3 7 70.3 70.3 7 70.3 70.3 7 70.3 70.3 7 70.3 70.3	Psychologists	100.0%	83.7	10.6	7.4	0.0	•	٠
Health 100.0% 84.8 12.6 2.3 Vocational 100.0% 84.6 10.6 2.2 Vocational 100.0% 88.2 10.8 0.4 AA level 100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 I	Health 100.0% 84.8 12.6 2.3 Health 100.0% 84.6 10.6 2.2 Mocational 100.0% 88.2 10.8 0.4 AA level 100.0% 82.6 11.0 0.5 Er Pro-	Social Workers	100.0%	91,1	, r.				
Vocational 100.0% 84.6 10.6 2.2 Vocational 100.0% 88.2 10.8 0.4 (A4 level er Pro- 100.0% 82.6 11.0 0.5 er Pro- 100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 er Pro- 100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 er Pro- 100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 er Pro- 100.0% 78.9 18.6 1.15 er Pro- 100.0% 65.4 33.7 0.9 er Pro- 100.0% 83.2 13.9 2.9 er Health 100.0% 82.0 18.0 0.0 er Health 100.0% 83.5 13.8 1.1 er Pro- 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 er Pro- 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 er Pro- 100.0% 79.4 9.6 0.0 er Sample week during January 1977 0.1 0.1	Vocational 100.0% 84.6 10.6 2.2 Vocational 100.0% 88.2 10.8 0.4 (A4 level) er Pro- 100.0% 82.6 11.0 0.5 er Pro- 100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 er Pro- 100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 100.0% 78.9 18.6 1.5 100.0% 65.4 33.7 0.9 100.0% 83.2 13.9 2.9 100.0% 83.2 13.9 2.9 Health 100.0% 82.0 18.0 0.0 AA level 100.0% 83.5 13.8 1.1 ocational 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 r Péo- 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 r Péo- 100.0% 79.2 20.7 0.1 or a éample week during January 1972 0.1 0.0 0.0 r Péo- 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 <td>Registered Nurses</td> <td>. 100.0%</td> <td>84.8</td> <td>12.6</td> <td>2.3</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>•</td> <td>,</td>	Registered Nurses	. 100.0%	84.8	12.6	2.3	0.3	•	,
(AA level 100.0% 88.2 10.8 0.4 (AA level 100.0% 82.6 11.0 0.5 er Pro- 100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 0.0 100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	(AA level 100.0% 88.2 10.8 0.4 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Professionals	100.0%	84.6	10.6	2.2	2.6		•
per Pro- 100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 100.0% 90.3 8.0 0.0 100.0% 78.9 18.6 1.5 100.0% 65.4 33.7 0.9 100.0% 83.2 13.9 2.9 100.0% 85.0 8.3 6.6 Health 100.0% 83.5 13.8 1.1 ccetional 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 r Pto- 100.0% 90.4 9.6 0.0 or 4.8ample week during January 1972	per Pro- 100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 100.0% 90.3 8.0 0.0 Rural Centers 100.0% 32.3 58.6 9.1 100.0% 32.3 58.6 9.1 100.0% 82.0 18.0 0.9 100.0% 82.0 18.0 0.0 Health 100.0% 83.5 13.8 1.1 committed 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 r Pfo- 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 or **sample week during January 1972 reporting: all centers-262; non-rural centers-150; part rural centers-81	Nurses	100.0%	88.2	10.8	0.4	. 0.6	. ;	
100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	100.0% 89.3 9.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	Administrative and Other Pro-	100.0%	82.6	11.0	0.5	5.9		. ,
100.0% 90.3 8.0 0.0	100.0% 90.3 8.0 0.0	fessional Staff.	100.0%	89.3	ur o				
Rural Centers 100.0% 78.9 18.6 1.5 100.0% 65.4 33.7 0.9 100.0% 32.3 58.6 9.1 100.0% 83.2 13.9 2.9 100.0% 85.0 8.3 6.6 Health	Rural Centers 100.0%	All Other Staff	100.0%	90.3	0 8	0.0	1.7		
100.0% 78.9 18.6 .1.5 100.0% 65.4 33.7 0.9 100.0% 32.3 58-6 9.1 100.0% 83.2 13.9 2.9 100.0% 85.0 8.3 6.6 Health 100.0% 83.5 13.8 1.1 ccational 100.0% 78.6 21.4 0.0 AA level 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 r Pfo- 100.0% 90.4 9.6 0.0 100.0% 79.2 20.7 0.1	100.0% 78.9 18.6 .1.5 100.0% 65.4 33.7 0.9 100.0% 32.3 58.6 9.1 100.0% 83.2 13.9 2.9 100.0% 85.0 8.3 6.6 100.0% 82.0 18.0 0.0 Health ocational 100.0% 78.6 21.4 0.0 AA level 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 r Pfc- 100.0% 79.2 20.7 0.1 or 4.8ample week during January 1972 centers-150; part xural centers-8				Rura	1 Centers			
100.0% 65.4 33.7 0.9 100.0% 32.3 58.6 9.1 100.0% 83.2 13.9 2.9 100.0% 85.0 8.3 6.6 Health 100.0% 83.5 13.8 1.1 coational 100.0% 78.6 21.4 0.0 AA level 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 r Pfo- 100.0% 90.4 9.6 0.0 100.0% 79.2 20.7 0.1 or a %sample week during January 1972	100.0% 65.4 33.7 0.9 100.0% 32.3 58.6 9.1 100.0% 83.2 13.9 2.9 2.9 100.0% 85.0 8.3 6.6	All Disciplines	100.0%	78.9	18.6	1.5	-		
100.0% 32.3 58.6 9.1 100.0% 83.2 13.9 2.9 100.0% 85.0 8.3 6.6 100.0% 82.0 18.0 0.0 Health 100.0% 83.5 13.8 1.1 coational 100.0% 78.6 21.4 0.0 ir Pfo- 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 ir Pfo- 100.0% 79.2 20.7 0.1 cor 4.%simple week during January 1972	100.0% 32.3 58.6 9.1 100.0% 83.2 13.9 2.9 100.0% 85.0 8.3 6.6 100.0% 82.0 18.0 0.0 100.0% 83.5 13.8 1.1 100.0% 78.6 21.4 0.0 AA level	Psychiatrists	100.0%	65.4	33,7	6*0) · C		*
100.0% 83.2 13.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.0	100.0% 83.2 13.9 2.9 2.9 2.9 2.0	Orner rnysicians	100.0%	32,3	58-6	9.1	. 0.0		
100.0% 85.0 8.3 6.6 Health	100.07 85.0 8.3 6.6 Health	Fsychologists. Notes to the second of the se	100.0%	83.2	13.9	2.9	0.0		
Health 100.0% 83.5 13.8 1.1 1.	Health 100.0% 83.5 13.8 1.1 1.	Registered Nurses	100.0%	85.0 82.0	8 K	9.9	0.1		
100.07 83.5 13.8 1.1	100.07 83.5 13.8 1.1	Other Health and Mental Health		<u> </u>			0		
AA level 100.0% 78.6 21.4 0.0 In property 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 In Property 100.0% 90.4 9.6 0.0 In a sample week during January 1972	AA level 100.0% 78.6 21.4 0.0 The Proporting all centers-262; non-rural centers-150; part rural centers-8	Professionals. Licensed Practical or Vocational	100.0%	83.5	13.8	1.1	1.6	1	
rr Pfo- 100.07, 73.4, 22.7 1.11 100.07, 90.4, 9.6, 0.0 100.07, 79.2, 20.7, 0.1 or a *Sample week during January 1972	r Pfo- 100.0% 73.4 22.7 1.1 100.0% 90.4 9.6 0.0 100.0% 79.2 20.7 0.1 or a *sample week during January 1972 reporting: all centers-262; non-rural centers-150; part rural centers-8	Nurses Mental Health Workers (AA level	100.0%	78.6	21.4	0.0	0.0		
100.0% 90.4 9.6 0.0 100.0% 79.2 20.7 0.1 or a *Sample week during January 1972		and below)	100.0%	73.4	22.7	.1.1	2.8		٠.
Percents shown are for a Sample week during January 1972	Percents shown are for a sample week during January 1972 Number of facilities reporting: all centers-262; non-rural centers-150; part mural centers-8	fessional Staff	100.0% 100.0%	90.4	9.6	0.0	0.0		/•
ALCA FARLINGS DILLER STATE OF THE STATE OF T	Number of facilities reporting: all centers-262; non-rural centers-150; part rural centers-81; .		ek during Janua	ry 1972					•

÷.	e.	: . 4		•			2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			*	· L	 	. /	/•	e f Fi		•			
•	TAFF DISCIPLINE AN		Regularly Scheduled Volunteers	100.0%	0.0	0.8	0.7	11.4	6.0	.73.7	1.2	2.6	100.0%	.9.0	4.04	2,0	0.5	7.01		0.2
	US CATEGORIES BY SENTERS, UNITED STA	Employment Status	Trainees, Residents and/or Interns	nters 100.0%	32.0	13.7	8.2	0.7	4.4	8.7	0.0	6.0	Non-Rural Centers	33.4	6.0	12.9	6. 7	•		1.5
	EMPLOYMENT STATÍ MENTAL HEALTH CI	Emp	1- ' Part. e Time	A11 Centers 0% 100.0%	1 15.3	7	7	8 10.1	5.3 🧢 4.6	.5 %21.0		r	_		0.4 2.2		2./ 12.5		10.6	.I 3.6
	URS WORKED FOR NDED COMMUNITY	(5)	Employment Full- Statuses Time	70.001		i e	11.0 11.3	10.6 / 10.8	5.0 5.	22.5		20.3 22.4.		. 1	1.0		12.8 12.1		10.4	4.7 0 5
	5. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORIES BY STAFF DISCIPLINE AND DEGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY-MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1972*							Mental Health	l or Vocational	rkers (AA level	nd Other Pro-							Mental Health		Licensed Practical or Vocational
B	TABLE 5. PERCENT DEGREE OF		Staff Discipline		All Disciplines Psychiatrists	Other Physicians Psychologists	Social Workers	Other Health and Mental Health	Licensed Practical or Vocational	Mental Health Workers (AA level	and below)Administrative and Other Pro-	fessional Staff	,	All Disciplines.	Psychiatrists	Other Enysicians Psvchologists	Social Workers	Registered Nurses	Professionals.	Licensed Practic
		,	1,5							2.3	-						•			

Nurses

Mental Health Workers (AA level and below)

Administrative and Other Professional Staff,

TABLE 5. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR EMPLOYMENT STATUS CATEGORIES BY STAFF DISCIPLING AND DEGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY EUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS. INITED STATES	TOTAL STATE OF THE
STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR EMPLOYMENT STA RALLY EUNDED COMMUNTY MENTAL HEALTH	
TABLE 5. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR EMPI DEGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY EUNDED COMMUNTY MENT	

DEGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY EUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1972* (COLt.) Employment State All Full- Part Residents Contained Residents Residents Residents Residents	4

Regularly Scheduled	Volunteers	30	70.001	0.0	0.0%	0.3	9.0	1.6	12.5	••	1.5		6.50		16.1				ء م•0	0.0	0 0	7.7	o. 0	21.3	۶٠. ا	0.0		77.5		0.0	
1	and/or interns Vo	iters	*/0*/0*T	27.5	5.9	20.7	16.2	7.71	10.7	•	6.0	4.2.4	Y	0.0	0.0	,	.100.0%	•		<i>A</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	27.6	\ \ \ \ \	, To	9.2		0.0	· /	19.5		1.6	•
Part- Time		Part Rural Ger		6.6	, n	י קיי	0.01		10.4		9.0%	24.6		3.1	14.9	Rural Centere	100.0%	u	0.0	7.1	, ,	400		9.3	, ,	7.9	ŗ	77.1	7.5	21.2	
Employment Full-Statuses		100.0% 100.0%		7.4			12.0	1	10.6 10.6	, r.		24.2 23.5		3.5 3.7	20.1 21.5		70.001 70.02	3.0	٠.	6.7 7.1	٠	9.7 10.0		12.5 13.2		8.9 6.9	26.7		2.9 3.4	19.1 , 19.1	
Staff Discipline		All Disciplines	Psychiatrists	Other Physicians.	Psychologists	Social Workers	Registered Nurses	Other Health and Mental Health	Licensed Practical or Vocational	Nurses	Mental Health Workers (AA level	and below).	Administrative and Other Pro-		All Uther Start		All Disciplines	Psychiatrists	Other Physicians.	Psychologists	Social Workers	Registered Nurses	Other Health and Mental Health	Tionnod harming	Nurses Fractical or Vocational	Mental Health Workers (AA level "	and below)	Administrative and Other Pro-	fessional Staff.	All Other Staff	* Darcourt charmen

Percents shown are for a sample week during January 1972
Number of facilities reporting: all centers - 262; non-rural centers - 150; part rural centers - 31.

A T T A	175	j	
d d d d	FUEL	, j	
E	, T		
1	170		
	€.		
	E E		
•		•	
	S		•
	M		
	i S		
	EF.	:	
;	STA		
	S		
	ORTE	1	
	ATEC		
	Ę	3	-
	CT FO	1	
	TOOL	6	
. :	100	44	•
4	Ť	Š	
	5	Š	
	4	WORKED	1
3	-	HOURS	
	1	AFF	
		F.	
		Z	
		RUL	
		STR	
Ç.		E	
	THE CATEGORIES BY STAFF ACTIVITY AND DEGREE OF SUPERIORS.	ひになりに	1
		4.6	;
٠		TOAT	
		•	

CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1972"
Psychi- Other atrists Physicians
100.0%
•
7 31.9 25.1
34.7 22.6
6.2 4.5
1.1 0.7
3.7 2.5
6
3,1
11.6
70 00L
18.5
7.8
5573
4



All Beychi - Other Peychologologologologologologologologologolo		Mental Health Workero	(AA & Below)		17.8	2.4		1.8	4.1		1.3		2.0	1.8	4.4		70.001	***************************************	. 0.5	9.7	0.6	3,1	1.1	7.7	7.0	1.1		8.0	1.7		0.3	. 9.6	
All Psychi- Other Psycholo- Social Registered Other Health Disciplines atrists Physicians gists Non-Rural Centers Continued) 25.1 35.7 25.2 47.5 32.2 2.1 2.1 3.5 3.7 2.1 3.5 3.7 2.1 3.5 3.7 2.1 3.5 3.7 2.1 3.8 2.0 1.8 0.4 2.1 3.5 3.0 0.0 3.4 3.7 3.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0					-		٠.	0,1	1.4		0.4	,			-		1	•										•					
Staff Activity Discipline Paychi			IV										-				10		3, 6	δ'	- •	ι, ,		· ,	6 1)								
Staff Activity		Other Health & Men. Health	Professionals	_	23.1	3,5		2,1	3.7	,	0. B		0.7	6.1	8.1		100.0%		7.77	60.5	20.7		0 4	2	. 27.3	4.6	. 4	00 (2.7		5. 2	3, 3	2.3
Staff Activity All Psychi- Other Psychi- Staff Disciplines Other Activities 25.1 35.7 25.2 47.5 Mon-Rural Consultation Onesultation 1.5 1.1 0.8 2.0 1.8 Public Information/ 1.5 1.1 0.8 2.0 1.8 In-Service Training & Lother Trai	p1fne	Registered Nurses		enters (Contin	16.3	2.1	q.	7.0	3.4		۲•3		2 0	0 1	. /•/	inters	100.0%	85.2	11.0	, ,	6.9	0	0.0		7.67	7.7		5 C	7.0		۲•۲	0.3	7.0
Staff Activity	5. Staff Disci	Social Workers	- 1		32.2	5.7	•	χο (- ()	0.5	7 6	7.0	3.0			7.77		100.0%	52.4	13.9	36.1	5.5	7.0	3,5	27.6	0,10	0.3	c		4 .	0 6	:	737	::
Staff Activity				2 47	· ·	6		7 4	0	9.6	•	3.2	10.8	12.2	1		100.0%	56.2	10.0	37.1	5.7	0,3	3.1	8 67 .	2 .	7	0 0	2	•	2.8)	. 2:6	ָ קאָ
Staff Activity		Other Physicians		25.2		;	· α) i	0.3	1.6	0.7		1 20 001	70.001	87.8	51.4	27.4	2.3	9.0	6.1	. 12.2		•	7 70	2.6	i	0.7	•	. 0 0	7.0
Staff Activity Other Activities: Consultation. Descripting All Consultation. Education. In-Service Training. Education. Community Planning & 2.0 Research & Evæluction. General Administration Buy Care. Other Partial Care. Other Partial Care. Day Care. Consultation. All Activities. Day Care. Consultation. Consultation. Consultation. Emergency Care. Consultation. Emergency Care. Consultation. Education. Consultation. Education. In-Service Training. Education. Education. Community Planning & 1.6 Research & Evaluation. General Administration.		Psychi- atrists		35.7	6.9	•	1.1	8.9	•	3,8	: :27	2,1	3,5	12.1		,00 001	*****	69.5	27,3	33.8	4.1	7. 0	3.9	30.5	7.9		1,1	0.6		3,1		1.6	ς α
Staff Activity Other Activities: Consultation Public Information/ o Education Community Planning & Bevelopment Research & Evæluation General Administration All Activities Direct Ratient Services: Inpatient Care Day Care Duppatient Care Duppatient Care Outpatient Care Day Care Consultation Consultation Consultation Consultation Dubact Training & Education In-Service Training & Education Development Development Research & Evaluation Gemmunity Planning & Community Planning & Commu		All Disciplines		25.1	3,9		1,5	4.5	•	2,1	•	2.0	3.1	8.0		100.0%		80.1	5.84	17.1	11,1	D .	2.6	19.9	7.7		1,2	3,3	3	1.6	,	1.0	8
	•			Other Activities:	Consultation	Public Information/	•	In-Service Training	Other Training &	Education	Community Planning &	Development	Research & EVEluation.	General Administration		All Activities	0 4 - C 4 -	Jirect Fatient Services:	Aupterent Care	Don Garent Care	Other Bonting	CLUEL FAILLEL CATE	Emergency Care	Other Activities:	Consultation.	Public Information/	Education	In-Service Training	Other Training &	Education	Community Planning &	Research & Evaluation.	General Administration

			ı
Tankin a		•	
EDERALLY			
RALITY, P			
OF RU			
DEGREE			
S S			
CTIVITY			
STAFF A			
AH SZ		•	
T ACCA			
-	į		
Č	3	_	•
O CHAIRT TOTAL	TOCTETTINE OF	Continued)	(
O WAY TOTOGETH THE A	IAFF DISCIFILING W	072* (Continued)	(
O WAY TOTA OLD TOTAL	FOR STAFF DISCIFLAME OF	TTC 1072* (Continued)	/
O WILLIAM DE CONTROL CONTROL	RKED FOR STAFF DISCIFLAME OF	n convers 1072* (Continued)	COTATE OF TAKE
O WINTERTOOLS HELD SON THE	RS WORKED FOR STAFF DISCIFLAME OF	nation continued 1079* (Continued)	UNITED STRIES TOUT (SQUEETING)
O WINT TOTAL OF THE PART TOTAL TIME	TE HOURS WORKED FOR STAFF DISCIFLAME OF	man initian entries 1079* (Continued)	EKS. UNITED STRIES 17/2 (SCHOLLING)
O WITTOTO THE HELE SOL THE STATE OF	STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR STAFF DISCIFLANCE	myrmpn cmyrpe 1079* (Continued)	CENTERS, UNITED STATES 17/21 (COMCENSE)
O WITHTOOLE HELES AND THE STATE OF	ION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR STAFF DISCIFLANCE	mirror cuting 1079* (Continued)	HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 17/21 (COMPANIE)
O WINTERPORT CHIEF COL TIME	RIBITION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR STAFF DISCIFILING	man change 1072* (Continued)	NTAT HEALTH CENIERS. UNITED STATES 1712 (COMCESSION)
O WINT TOTAL TELEBRANE CONT.	DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR STAFF DISCIFLIME	Continued on the Continued	TO MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS. UNLIED STAIRS 17721 (COMMENT)
	BERCHNE DISTRIBITION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR STAFF DISCIELLING	Felician Statement warmen courted 1079* (Continued)	CONGRESS MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS. UNLIED STATES 17.1. (COMPANIES)
O TIXTITATION CO.	A THE PROPERTY DISCREPANCE OF STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR STAFF DISCLEDIAGE	b or remodel continued anymore services 1070* (Continued)	CONGRESS MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS. UNLIED STATES 17.21 (CONCENTED)

Staff Activity					Staff Discipline	(pline			
1		,					Othor Hosleh	LPN'8	Mental Health
	All . Disciplines	Psychi- atrists	Other Physicians	Psycholo- gists	Social Workers	Registered Nurses	& Men. Health Professionals	or LVN¹s	Workers (AA & Below)
					Rural Centers	nters			00.
	100.0%	70.001	. %0'001	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	7007
All Activities			0	č.	62.7	81.7	66.3	97.3	88.9
Direct Patient Services:	78.5	7.0	6,60	2	11.8	9,19	24.9	0.46	1.85
Inpatient Care		21.	10,1		7. 77	8.2	22.0	1.1	10.4
Outpatient Care	1	41.4	1.0	, «	2.8	0.9	15.5	1.5	13.9
Day Care	9.8	2.0	200	ה ה	0.3	1,1	2.7	0.1	2,8
Other Partial Care	1.6	50	0 0	i œ	3.4	1.8	1.2	9.0	3.7
Emergency Care	2.5	7.0	7	?			7 66	2.7	11.1
Cother Activities:	21.5	21.6	10.7	41.5	37.3	18.3 2.3	6.7	7.0	1.7
Consultation	4.2	5.4	2.0	11.0	:	} ·			
Public Information/	,		7.0	1.6	.1.7	0.7	3,2	0.2	9.0
Education	7.6	v Š	1.7	5.3	~ 5.0	2.3	3.1	0.0	
Orber Training &	•				. (°α.	9	0.1	0.5
Education	. 1.7	1.9	2.3	0.9	2.3	0.	•		٠,
Community Planning 6, ,		•	c	7.6	3,3	0.7	1.8	0.0	u 0
Development	en c	- a	0 0	9	1.3	0.3	2.5	0.0	» c
Research & Evaluation.	, o		0.4	9.7	16.5	.10.2	14.5	1.0	

Percents shown are for a sample week during January 1972. This table excludes administrative, clerical, maintenance and other nonhealth staff, Number of facilities reporting: all centers - 206; non-rural centers - 127; part rural centers - 55; rural centers - 24.

PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR STAFF ACTIVITY CATEGORIES BY STAFF DISCIPLINE AND DEGREE OF QURALITY, PEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTARMEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1972* TABLE 7.

1						,		٠,															7	1
	1th	(20								:				ىر	/				9	0	•			
	Mental·Health Workers	(AA & Below)	99.8	e e	39.6	21.1	34.1	36.3	2. D	9,5		32.0	24.0	:	26.1	15.3		28.8	31,6	37.3	22.1	31.0	29,9	
	LPN's or	LVN' B	6.8	8,4	14.4	8.0	e .	2.5	7.6	9.0	2); ','	. 1.2	:	7.0	1.9		6.2	7.9	13.9	6.0	2,0	2.8	
	Other Health & Men. Health Profess	BIRMOTRESTOTT	13.0	a 12.1	8.7	10.5	1,15	7 0	a 51	12.7		21.5	18,3	c r	18.3	14.5		12.7	12.1	6.6	6.6	30.0	7.9	
cipline	Registered Nurses	Centers		17.4	25.0		16.2	14.7	10.6	96.8 8		12.0	11.5	α <	, M	15.1	Centers	73.1	17.5	26.3	, o c	15.4	14.3	
Staff Discipline	Social Workers	All Cer	15.5	13.6	4 C		16.6	18.3	21.7	24.2		18.8	20.1	26.3	10.7	25.1	Non-Rural (14.8	4 E	13.1	18,3	18.4	
	Psycholo- gists		9.2	6.5	15.5	2,6	5,1	6,3	.17.8	23.2	13.1	14.9	16.2	14.8	31.8	13.9	1.6	. ,	. c	14.0	5.6	5.4	5.5	
	Other Physicians		E.1	۳, ۱ د	1.6	0.2	1.7	2.5	. 1.3	1.5	0.7	1.6	1.7	0.2	0.7	1.4	1.4	7 [7.	1.6	0.0	1.8	2.6	
	Psychi- atrists		0 0	4 e	13.0	4.1	0.9	16.7 4	12.4	,13.4	6.8	14.6	15.7	9.1	10.1	12.6	8.6	7	6 7	13.8	5.0	6.5	18.6	
	All Disciplines	100 0%	•		100.07	100.0%			100.0%	70 001	100.0%	100.02	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.02	100.0%	,0.00t	
	.	All Activities	Direct Patient Semicas:	Inpatient Care	Outpatient Care	Day care.	Whoten Partial Care	rate gancy care	Consultation	Public Information/	Education	Other Training &	Education	Development	General Administration,		All Activities	Direct Patient Services;	Inpatient Care	Outpatient Care	Day Care	Under Partial Care Emergency Care		
	,•								()	6)	q)	-	28	-		7	Н						ą
				•				1	4.)	₹.	• • •	,									,			

EDIC
EKIC

f TUNDED ATTIVE BY STAFF DISCIPLING AND DEGREE OF BURGALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED		- 1	Tayes ass	ř CTTVITY CATEG	ORIES BY ST	AFF DISCIPLIN	AND DEGREE OF	orurality, fe	DERALLY FUNDED	
TABLE 7. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR STAFF ACTIVITY OF TABLE 1972: (Continued)	UTION OF STA L HEALTH CEN	AFF HOURS WORD WIER:, UNITED	STATES 1972*	(Continued)						I
	7				Staff Discipline	pl fne				1
Staff Activity	A11	Psycn1-	Other	Psycholo-	Social	Registered	Other Health & Men. Health	Or Or	Mental Health Workers	٠.
	Disciplines	atrists,	Physicians	gists	l	Control	Professionals		(Aut of Delou)	İ
					급	Centers (Continued)	Inued)	1.3	20.5	
Orber Activities:	100.0%	13.9	1.4	17.1	20.9	10.2	11.3	6.0	17.4	
Consultation	100.0%	15.6	1.6	20.6	24.0	, 5				
Public Information/		,	ć	7 61	9.01	4.7	18.3	7.0	35.5	
Education	100.0%	5.7	0 «	13.8	18,3	11.8	10.5	2.0	, 26.8	•
In-Service Training	100.0%	13.0	2		. 1	٠.	•	•		٠
Other Training &		. 7 1.	, -	15.1	19.6	9.6	17.9	1.0	1/•/1	
Education	100.0%	11.4	•	}					ţ	
Community Planning &		9	,	14.4	24.1	5.0	16.2	5.0	73.4	
Development	100.0%	70.0	7.0	31.4	10.3	, 4.1	25.0	0.5	1,11	
Research & Evaluation.	100.0%	16.7	1.7	13.7	24.7	15,1	12.8	1.0.1	7.01	
General Administration	100.001				Part Rural	Centers				·
		u		10.0	12.8	16.7	13.5	7.6	33.0	
All Activities	100.0%	5. 0 3	2	1	o	17.7	12.2	0.6	38.4	
o Direct Patient Services:	100.0%	7.4	1,1	0.,	3.6	23.2	7.3	13.5	46.1	
' Inpatient Care	100.0%	3,1	1.1	2 14 16	2 66	7.5	11.2	0.8	17.3	
Outpatient Care	100.0%	10.7	1°,	. 0°17	7.67	, 7° 6	36.0	2.0	38.9	
Day Care	100.0%	0.7	7.0	1.6	5,5	17.7	13.3	11.7	. tt	
Other Partial Care	100.0%	,, o	4.0	11.9	17.0	19.0	8.0	5.7	6.12	
Emergency Care	100.0%	1.0			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10 3	18.6	2.3	11.6	
Other Activities:	100.0%	8.4	9.0	22.0	24.42	10.5	14.2	0.5	8.2	•
Consultation	100.0%	8.0	T.4	. 2.70						
Public Informetion/		1		3 91	• 21.3	5,5	30.3	0.4	20.6	£.
Education		5,1	o o	200	18.8	12.9	11.0	4.3	17.2	2
In-Service Training.	100.0%	14./							•	
Other Training &		4	7 0	16.9	22.7	21.5	20.9	2.0	2.5	
Education	100.0%	10.4	•			,	•			
. Community Planning &	100 0%	5.6	0.1	16.1	33.9	2.8	28.5	0.0	4.7	
Development.		4.6	0.2	38.9	13.9	F .	18.2		11,6	•
General Administration	•	7.0	0.3	17.1	20.9	13.4				
0					• •					

PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS WORKED FOR STAFF ACTIVITY CATEGORIES BY STAFF DISCIPLINE AND DEGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1972* (Continued) TABLE 7.

						Staff Discipline	lpline	-		8 2	1
0	Staff Activity	All Disciplines	Paychi- atrists	Other	Psycholo- gists	Social Workers	Registered Nurses	Other Health & Men. Health	LPN's	Mental Health Workers	F
			1					roression#18	LVN B	(AA & Below)	
•	All Activitios	100 001	•	•		Rural Centers	ıters	•			١.
	The state of the s		ه و . د و .	٥, ١	8.4	14.9	13,8	14.9	0.01	0 00	
	Direct Patient Services:	100.0%	0.4	1.1	7	0.11			7.01	32.0	
	Inpatient Care;	100.07	. 8	·		611	5 • • • •	12.5	12.7	37.1	
	Outpatient Care		i œ		7 • T	n (, 19,5	8.1	21.0	41.6	
_	Day Care				70.0	32.9	2.6	16.3	9.0	17.1	
`	Other Partial Care	100.0%		2 ,	O .	8.4	9.6	26.8	1.8	53.7	
· :		70000	7.7	1./	1.9	3.2	.6.	25.0	9	יי יי יי	
30		70.001	4.0	0.0	5.9	. 20.3	6.6	7.9			
	Other Activities:	100.07	·	, c	•	: :		1	7.	46./	
-	Consultation	****	÷ .	0.0	16.2	25.9	11.8	23,3		. 0 21	
	Public Information/	*0.001	7.6	0.5	23.1	25.8	7.6	23.7	6.0	13.2	
	Education	100		•	,		4		:		
	To Count on Profession	***	0 1	9.0	11.1	20.9	8.0	9.04	6	. 6 71	
	Other Training &	*0°00T	6.0	0.7	° 17.8	29.4	12.8	18.2	2,1	13.1	
	Education	100.07	7 7	-	6						
	Community Planning &		;		30.9	20.8	15.0	16.9	9.0	8.6	
	Development	100.07	3.4	0.0	17.5	200	ŗ				
	Research & Evaluation,	100.0%	2.5		5	1	? (21.0	0.0	12.5	
	General Administration	100.0%	3,6	7,0	7.00	14°0	n '	28.7	0.0	20.8	
					•		1.61	23.1	1.6	21.2	
	Donocarto character &							•			

Percents. shown are for a sample week during January 1972. This table excludes administrative, clerical, maintenance and other nonhealth staff. Number of facilities reporting: all centers - 206; non-rural centers - 127; part rural centers - 55; rural centers - 24.

	-	
TWITTIAG GOOD SHOPE	THE PROPERTY OF STAFF HOURS DEVOTED TO EDUCATIONAL AND CONSULTATION SERVICES THE MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF	LE 8. PERCENT MISSIED TO THE STRUTCE AND DECREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL
•	TO EDUCATIONAL AND	DEGREE OF RURALITY
	HOURS DEVOTED	OF SERVICE AND
•	TAPE OF	TO TABLE
	NOTHIRITATE TRANSPORT	PERCENT UISINIBOLION
		ò
	1	Ħ

	•						\$							·		,	
OR RECIPIENT	UNITY MENTAL	1		Community Planning & Development	12.6	7.1	14.3	17.0	25.6 16.9	0.0	14.1	8.6 18.1	16.5	18.8	26.7	0.0	0.0
N SERVICES F	FUNDED COM			Training & Continuing Education	. 13.6	15.2 22.1	10.6	17.1	11.7	0.0 16.4	15.4	. 17.6 21.9	. 11.6	17.5	12.9	12.8	23.4
OME TATE	r, federally		Service	Public Information/ Education	ers 17.9	8.1	6.6	9.0	12.8	100.00	Centers 19.2	9.0° 13.6°	8.7	9.1	13:2	19.9	13.7
TAN TANATURA OFF	EE OF BURALIT		Type of Se	Program- Oriented Consultation	.A11 Centers	26.1 21.5	23.3	25.4	22.6	39.0	Non-Ruraî 19.9	.23.6 22.2	24.9	23.1	22.6	15.0	15.2
THE CONSTITUTE OF SERVICES FOR RECIPIENT	HOURS DEVOTED TO EDUCATIONAL AND CONCURS. FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL. OF SERVICE AND DEGREE OF RURALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY MENTAL. 1972*		•	Case- Oriented Consultation	7. K	43.5 26.4	42.5	31.5	27.3	38.4 0.0 32.0	31.4	41.2	38.3	31.5	36.9	33.3	6.0
· , .	STAFF TYPE			All Educ. & Consultation	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	, 100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	TABLE 8. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF OF SERVICE CATEGORIES BY TYPE	HEALTH CENTERS, UNITE		Recipient of Service	All Recipients	School Personnel (Students & Staff)	Police, Courts & Other Law Reforcement Agencies	Staff of Unaffiliated Men- tal Health Facilitles	Staff of Medical Facilities Social & Community Fac	General Public	Other	School Personnel (Students & Staff)	Police, Courts & Other Law Enforcement Agencies	Staff of Unaffillated Men- tal Health Facilities	Staff of Medical Eacilities	Social & Community Fac Priv. Pract. Professionals.	General Public



PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS DEVOTED TO EDUCATIONAL AND CONSULTATION SERVICES FOR RECIPIENT OF SERVICE CATEGORIES BY TYPE OF SERVICE AND DEGREE OF RUBALITY. FEDERALLY FINDER CONSUMERS SERVICES FOR RECIPIENT TABLE 8.

•	nearlth Centers, UNITED STATES 1972: (Continued)	ED STATES 197	2: (Continued)	Continued) Type of Service	ervice		THINGS IT WON	,
	Recipient of Service	All Educ, & Consultation Services	Case- Oriented Consultation	Program- Oriented Constitation	Public Information/ Education	Training & Continuing Education	Community Planning & Development	•
	All RecipientsSchool Personnel (Students	100.0%	38.4	Part Rural Co	Centers 13.9	10.0	9.1	
•	& Staff). Clergy Police, Courts & Other Law	100.07	50.2 32.9	26.3	6.7	12.0	7.2	
,	Enforcement Agencies Staff of Unaffillated Men-	100.0%	51.5	18.5	10.0	9.5	10.5	
J	Staff of Medical Facilities	100.0%	31.5	30.8 17.3	9.60 0.10	15.1 12.6	12.7	•
	Priv. Pract. Professionals.	100.0%	34.4 52.4	23.6 12.6	10.2	8.8	23.0	
	Other	100.0%	0.0 2.3	0.0	100.0 2.3	0.0 2.7	2.0	
	All Recipients	100.0%	37.9	Rural Centers 28.6	ers 17.4	, «	, c	
6	School Personnel (Students & Staff)	100.0%	0.77	6 07				
	Clergy.	100.0%	32,5	18.6	17.6	16.5	3.7 14.8	
	Enforcement Agencies Staff of Unaffillated Men-	700.00	55.1	71.9	12.9	5.3	4.8	٠.
	tal Health Facilities Staff of Medical Facilities	100.07	31.2	38.2	6.7	16.3	7.6	
	Spcial & Community Rac Priv. Pract. Professionals.	100.0%	38.6 47.6	20.1	15.4	9.00	20.3	
•	General Public	100.0% 100.0%	. 0.0 10.3	0.0	100.0	, o .	0.0	
	* Perdents shown are for a samm	no lo moste due					70.7	

Perdents shown are for a sample week during January 1972. This table excludes administrative, clerical, maintenance and other nonhealth staff. Number of facilities reporting: all centers - 245; non-rural centers - 138; part rural centers - 77; rural centers - 30.

•
(3)
FRIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

TABLE 9. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS DEVOTED TO EDUCATIONAL AND CONSULTATION SERVICES FOR TYPE OF SERVICE CATEGORIES BY RECIPIENT OF SERVICE AND DEGREE OF KURALITY, FEDERALLY FUNDED COMMUNITY, MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1972* Type of Service	Prooran- Public Training & Community
ION SERVICES ALLY FUNDED C	Training &
OF KURALITY, FEDERA	Public
DUCATIONAL ANGEGREE OF KUR	Program-
DEVOTED TO E SERVICE AND D 1972*	-0000
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS DEVOTED TO SERVICE CATEGORIES BY RECIPIENT OF SERVICE AND MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS, UNITED STATES 1972*	
TRIBUTION OF EGORIES BY R.TH CENTERS,	
PERCENT DIS SERVICE CAT MENTAL HEAI	0
TABLE 9.	•••

Recipient of Service Gon All Recipients.	All Educ. & Consultation Services 100.0%	Case- Oriented Consultation 100.0%	Type of Serr Program- I Oriented Info Consultation Ed All Centers . 100.0%	Type of Service gram— Public ented Information/ litation Education All Centers .00.0% 100.0%	Training & Continuing Education 100.0% (37.4 6.9	Community Planning & Development 100.0% 18.8 5.4 5.4
Clergy. Police, Courts & Other Law Enforcement Agencies Staff of Unaffillated Men- tal Health Facilities Staff of Mental Facilities. Scoil & Community Agencies Priv. Pract. Physessionals. General Public	4.3 22.4 3.0 8.5	3.3 6.9 18.3 3.5 6.0	7.1 7.1 8.3 6.6 22.5 10.9		5.4 12.0 19.3 0.0 7.5	7.9 9.9 7.5 6.0 0.0
All Recipients	30.7	100.07. 40.1 3.4 8.4	Non-Rutel 100.00 36.4 5.0 8.6	Centers 100.0% 14.4 3.1	35.3 6.4 5.2	100.0% 18.6 5.7 8.1
Enforcement Agencies Staff of Unaffillated Men- tal Health Facilities Staff of Medical Facilities Social & Comfunity Agencies Priv. Pract. Professionals.	23.9 3.9	8 9 8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	27.7.9.0 0.1.9.0	. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.	.9.2 12.6 20.1	10.6 7.6 45.4 4.0 0.0

	A AMU CONSULTATION SERVICES FOR TY	RIRATITO DEPRENTE STATES	""""", FEDERALLI TUNDED COMMUNI	
LABLE 9. PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF STAFF HOURS DRUGTED TO TRIBETANTS	THE DV DESCRIPTION OF SELECTIONS OF SELECTIONS	S THE SERVICE AND DEGREE OF RIPATITY STREAM OF STREAM	MENIAL HEALTH CENTERS, INTERN CTATES 10725 (2)	Continued)
PERCENT DISTRIB	SERVICE CATEGOR	TOOH TOOK TOOK TOOK	MENTAL HEALTH C	TT 100 6
TABLE 9.		0		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		.]	Type or	Type of Service		
Recipient of Service	All Educ. & Consultation Services	Case- Oriented Consultation	Program- Oriented Consultation	Fublic Information/ Education	Training & Continuing	Community, Planning &
All Recipients	100.0%	100.0%	Part Rural Ce	Centers	3000	neveropment
School Personnel (Students				***************************************	700.00	100.0%
& Staff)	38.6	50.5	u u	G	(,
Clergy.	້. ປະເລີ	3.0	2,5	9.6	40.0	20.2
Tolice, courts & Orner Law	L				0.0	7.7
Staff of Unaffillated Men-	7.5	9.6	4.6	5.2	8.9	8.2
tal Health Facilities	יע	7 7	,			
Staff of Medical Facilities	2 0	, t	1.0	4.0	8.5	7.8
Social & Community Agencies	7 8 1	14.9	/•+	2.0	8.6	. 8.2
Priv. Pract. Professionals		7.07	15.4	13.7	16.5	47.1
General Public.	7 4	n. 0	1,1	3.8	9.0	3.6
Other	0 0	0.0	0.0	47.5	0.0	
••••••••••••••	9,5	9.0	30.2	1.6	2.6	2.4
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	•	Rural Centers	81.0		
All Neciplents	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100 001	200	
School Personnel (Students				*0.001	*0.001	100.0%
& Staff)	42.6	67.7	9 02	:		
Clergy	. 7. 7	ι α σ	0,0	6 - 5 T	43.6	18.8
Police, Courts & Other Law			6.7	4.5	e. 6	7.9
Enforcement Agencies	6.4					•
Staff of Unaffillated Men-		,	t.	, ,	4.3	3.7
tal Health Facilities	5,9	0 7				
Staff of Medical Facilities	7.8	•	7	2,3	12.3	5.4
Social & Community Agencies	10.0		0 1	4.1	8.7	5.7
Priv. Pract, Professionals		73.7	13.5	7.1	13,9	47.6
General Public	, a	.	1.6	8.8	, 4.1°	5.9
Other) i	. 0.0	0.0	39,3	0.0	
	7. 0	0.7	α -			3

DEPARTMENT OF
HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

ALCOHOL, DRUG ABUSE, AND
MENTAL HEALTH ADMINISTRATION
5600 FISHERS LANE
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20852

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
Penalty for private use, \$300



POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U.S. DEPARTMENT OF H.E.W. HEW 389

NOTICE	OF	MAILING	CHA	NCF

Ц	Check here if	f you wish	to di	scontinue	receiving	this typ	e of	publication.	

☐ Check here if your address has changed and you wish to continue receiving this type of publication. (Be sure to furnish your complete address including zip code.)

Tear off cover with address label still affixed and send to:

Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration Printing and Publications Management Section 5600 Fishers Lane (Rm. 6-105) Rockville, Maryland 20852

DHEW Publication No. (ADM) 74-6 Printed 1974

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

ALCOHOL, DRUG ABUSE, AND MENTAL HEALTH ADMINISTRATION 5600 Fishers Lane Rockville, Maryland 20852



GPO 873-459