

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 113 034

PS 008 062

AUTHOR Kalyan-Masih, Violet; Adams, Janis  
 TITLE Imaginary Play Companion: Annotated Abstract Bibliography. Project No. 93-12.  
 INSTITUTION Nebraska Univ., Lincoln. Agricultural Experiment Station.  
 PUB DATE 75  
 NOTE 52p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$3.32 Plus Postage  
 DESCRIPTORS Abstracts; \*Annotated Bibliographies; Bibliographies; Child Development; \*Childhood Needs; Creativity; \*Imagination; \*Play; \*Preschool Children; Psychological Needs  
 IDENTIFIERS \*Imaginary Companion

ABSTRACT

This bibliography offers an historical perspective on imaginary play companions with 48 entries dating from 1891 to 1975. Entries, which include journal articles, monographs, and books, draw heavily from child development literature. A list of 10 titles from general literature related to the subject of imaginary companions is also included. The abstracts vary widely in length, ranging from 50 to 500 words. (JMB)

\*\*\*\*\*  
 \* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished \*  
 \* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort \*  
 \* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal \*  
 \* reproducibility are often encountered, and this affects the quality \*  
 \* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available \*  
 \* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not \*  
 \* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions \*  
 \* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. \*  
 \*\*\*\*\*

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,  
EDUCATION & WELFARE  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION  
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO  
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM  
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN  
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS  
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT  
OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

ED113034

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

IMAGINARY PLAY COMPANION:  
ANNOTATED ABSTRACT BIBLIOGRAPHY

by

Violet Kalyan-Masih, Ph.D.

Assoc. Prof., Human Development and Family

U. of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska

and

Janis Adams, M.S.

Child Development Consultant, LOMR

Lincoln, Nebraska

University of Nebraska Agricultural Experiment Station

Project #03-12

PS 003062

IMAGINARY PLAY COMPANIONS:

## ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY (1890-1975)

Ames, L. B. and J. Learned. 1946. Imaginary companions and related phenomena. Journal of Genetic Psychology 69: 147-167.

This paper presents data with regard to imaginary companions and other imaginative phenomena observed in 210 children of nursery school age who attended the Guidance Nursery at the Yale Clinic of Child Development, or who were examined as part of the guidance service. Thirty children, or about 14 percent, had imaginary companions (human). Nineteen girls and 11 boys exhibited this phenomenon. The strongest period for this to occur is from 36-42 months, peaking at 42 months. The playmate usually drops out, rather gradually, around 54 months, if not before. The majority of children had playmates of both sexes (does not agree with earlier findings that the playmate is same sex as the child). The largest number (16) have same-age imaginary companions; 10 have companions older than themselves. Ames and Learned say that a clear developmental trend suggests itself in this respect. "It appears that the very youngest children to have imaginary human companions have companions older than themselves. Later they have contemporaries. Still later they have babies or children younger than themselves" (p. 155).

1 The average age for each of these groups was 30-33 months,  
2 42 months, and 42-48 months, respectively. The largest  
3 number of children (11) had one companion only. The maj-  
4 ority of companions appeared spontaneously, while most of  
5 the others came from real people. Most of the imaginary  
6 companions had ordinary names. In the vast majority of  
7 cases, the companion served the purpose of general compan-  
8 ionship and play, although many other purposes are also  
9 cited. The researchers found a marked variety from child  
10 to child as to the characteristics of companions, and the  
11 duration of the companions was also variable. They also  
12 found "that not only are children who are highly intelli-  
13 gent, highly verbal, and of a generally 'imaginative'  
14 nature, most likely to experience imaginary playmates...  
15 but that the specific personality type of the child seems  
16 to determine which particular kind of imaginative phenome-  
17 na he will experience" (p. 161). "We do not find that  
18 there is one general type which experiences all these  
19 phenomena. ...We definitely do not find imaginary compan-  
20 ions only in timid or lonely children or in those exhibi-  
21 ting personality difficulties. ...thus the imaginary com-  
22 panion...is here considered as merely one part of a total  
23 'imagination gradient', any or all parts of which may  
24 quite normally occur in any one child. ...the appearance  
25 of imaginary companions and related phenomena occurs in

11111

1 many...as a natural developmental phenomenon, character-  
2 istic of the age period from 2½ to 4½ years, and perhaps  
3 persisting secretly considerably past that age" (p. 166).

4 Arlitt, A. H. 1928. Psychology of infancy and early  
5 childhood. New York: McGraw-Hill.

6 "Children often play with imaginary playmates. With  
7 these they seem to be able to play dramatic games and to  
8 enjoy other activities. Such...playmates may be played  
9 with for a period of a year or more. They appear to drop  
10 out of the child's play life as he matures" (p. 254).

11 Bach, S. 1971. Notes on some imaginary companions. The  
12 Psychoanalytic Study of the Child 26: 159-171.

13 In this paper, Bach reports three case studies of  
14 imaginary companions. The first, Doodoo, was invented by  
15 two toddlers, briefly flourished, and eventually was com-  
16 pletely forgotten. He says, "The subsequent development  
17 of the children suggested that this had been a normal  
18 developmental fantasy used to cope with certain difficult-  
19 ies of the anal stage, and that it had some special  
20 relationship to the formation of gender identity" (p. 159).  
21 The two other case studies, however, involve two adult  
22 female patients who retained vivid memories of their child-  
23 hood imaginary companions. Of these, Bach relates, "where  
24 a distinctly deviant development had brought the women to  
25 analysis, the companion had been neither forgotten nor

11-05

1 internalized, and it proved to be the focal point of  
2 problems with accepting the feminine identity" (p. 159-  
3 160). Bach presents these two clinical studies as 'Robin:  
4 An Imaginary Alter Ego,' and as 'Crumber: An Imaginary  
5 Male-Twin.' He states: "In both normal and pathological  
6 development the fantasy companion appeared as an element  
7 in the displacement series of nipple-feces-penis-child,  
8 and its survival or disappearance seemed related to how  
9 successfully this series was integrated. ...thus, in the  
10 two analyzed cases, one of the major problems for these  
11 women was how to be actively feminine. Because unresolved  
12 conflicts with the preoedipal mother had been displaced  
13 onto the father, they experienced unusual difficulties in  
14 solving the oedipus complex with the father and in accept-  
15 ing the feminine identity. These issues presented them-  
16 selves on one level as a conflict around the fantasy of  
17 introjecting the paternal phallus. In both cases the  
18 imaginary companion came to represent an envied and ideal-  
19 ized phallus, and was used defensively to perpetuate a  
20 regressive, narcissistic solution of the oedipus conflict"  
21 (p. 160). Bach goes on to suggest that in the case of  
22 Doodoo, the developmental purpose of the fantasy was ful-  
23 filled, and therefore the companion was forgotten. But in  
24 the cases of Robin and Crumber the process was not as  
25 successful, "and consequently the existence of the

1 companion can be taken as an indication of maturational  
2 lag. ...in each instance the companion appears in response  
3 to a narcissistic blow, the main ingredient of which is a  
4 loss of omnipotent control over reality... . In fact, one  
5 of the most striking similarities of these three companions  
6 is that for each child they represented some vital aspect  
7 of mastery or competence, a core element of the active,  
8 spontaneous self" (p. 169). Referring to Doodoo, the  
9 imaginary companion of the 2 and 3 year, 4 month pre-  
10 schoolers, Bach adds that this type of imaginary companion  
11 may be considered "a kind of transitional phenomenon, for  
12 it becomes implicated in the displacement series of nipple-  
13 feces-penis-child, and should tend to disappear with the  
14 successful integration of this series through the internal-  
15 ization of the superego and the sexual role." He further  
16 states that as the imaginary companion becomes a perfect  
17 ego ideal, it is at this point "that the need for him dis-  
18 appears: he becomes structuralized, as it were, and is  
19 covered by the infantile amnesia" (p. 170). In his article,  
20 Bach cites the work of Nagera and Sperling, among others.  
21 Bender, L. and B. F. Vogel. 1941. Imaginary companions of  
22 children. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry  
23 11: 56-65.  
24 These researchers present in some detail 14 cases of  
25 imaginary companions among non-psychotic children aged 6½

19407

1 to 10 years of age, on the Children's Ward of Bellevue  
2 Psychiatric Hospital (New York). They comment that these  
3 cases do not resemble each other but that each is as dif-  
4 ferent as the personality of the child in question. They  
5 consider that the creation of companions in fantasy is a  
6 positive and helpful mechanism used during a time of need  
7 but immediately given up when the need no longer exists.  
8 They add that "this fantasy represents the child's normal  
9 effort to compensate for a weak and inadequate reality to  
10 round out his incomplete life experiences and to help  
11 create a more integrated personality to deal with the  
12 conflicts of his individual life." (p. 64).

13 Benson, R. M. and D. B. Pryor. 1973. When friends fall  
14 out: Developmental interference with the functions  
15 of some imaginary companions. American Psychoanalytic  
16 Association Journal 21: 457-473.

17 The authors raise the question of why two children  
18 suddenly abandoned their imaginary companions and replaced  
19 them with other companions of the same sort. The first  
20 child, Lynn, who was oldest in a family of three girls,  
21 invented her companion, "Nosey," between her 36th and 40th  
22 month when her mother was pregnant with the third child.  
23 "Nosey" was a male dog about five or six feet tall who  
24 always wore skirts. He was Lynn's constant companion for  
25 about a year but was suddenly abandoned when Lynn's grand-

10008



1 father asked her to have "Nosey" close a garage door while  
2 he at the same time closed the door with a remote-control  
3 device. After abandoning "Nosey," Lynn made a stuffed dog  
4 the object of her attention and affection.

5 Simon's imaginary companion, an extraterrestrial  
6 creature named "Ponzar," communicated telepathically with  
7 him from about age seven to fourteen. A psychiatrist  
8 suggested that "Ronzar" was only imaginary and that Simon  
9 needed him to relate to real people. After about a month  
10 of treatment, Simon suddenly announced that "Ronzar" had  
11 been killed in a meteorite shower. Simon soon invented a  
12 new companion, "Courco," also extraterrestrial, but a fe-  
13 male who loved and took care of Simon as would a mother.

14 The authors point out that an imaginary companion can  
15 play any of several roles in normal development: as  
16 auxiliary to the superego, as scapegoat, to prolong feel-  
17 ings of omnipotence, as the impersonation of ego ideals,  
18 and as soother for feelings of loneliness. In regard to  
19 prolonging feelings of omnipotence, the imaginary companion  
20 functions as a narcissistic self-object--something which  
21 the child can control, but which also reflects approval of  
22 the child. Reference is made to Kohut's theory (p. 465)  
23 which holds that narcissism develops through stages of  
24 successive self-objects necessary to the maintenance of a  
25 cohesive self. At different stages the self-object may

1 take the form of a transitional object, an imaginary  
2 companion, the latent peer group, adolescent gang, adult  
3 fantasy, and adult work.

4       Imaginary companions fulfilled the role of self-object  
5 for both Lynn and Simon. In Lynn's case it served the  
6 purpose of protecting her self-esteem which was being  
7 threatened by her age-appropriate phallic conflicts.  
8 Simon's imaginary companions served the loving caretaking  
9 functions of an idealized mother after his real mother was  
10 killed in a car accident. Both children suddenly abandon-  
11 ed their imaginary companions when adults took the compan-  
12 ions out of the imaginary realm, and thus out of the  
13 children's control, by giving them existences in the real  
14 world of real objects and real people. The authors con-  
15 clude that the developmental purpose of each child's first  
16 companion was not fulfilled before its departure, there-  
17 fore, a second companion was invented to fill the void.

18 Breckenridge, H. E. and E. L. Vincent. 1965. Child  
19 development. 5th ed. Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders Co

20       "Preschool children deprived of satisfying companion-  
21 ship with other children of their own age group are likely  
22 to substitute for this a child or children who live in the  
23 imagination. Even in nursery schools, however, ...imag-  
24 inary companions are common, several studies showing as  
25 high as one-third of such children having imaginary

1 companions (p. 301). The researchers note that children  
2 of both sexes have them, and that extroverted children as  
3 well as "keenly intelligent" children have imaginary  
4 companions. They warn that adults should not add to the  
5 child's confusion in differentiating between real things  
6 and imagined things by treating imagined things as if they  
7 were real. They also state that the habit of projection  
8 of blame or negligence on someone or something else should  
9 not be encouraged. On the other hand, Breckenridge and  
10 Vincent note: "It is not wise to treat these imaginary  
11 companions as a ridiculous fancy or to punish children for  
12 them, since this only drives the companions under cover  
13 where they are likely to do real damage. They should  
14 always be kept in the open...in order to know how impor-  
15 tant to the child they are and how much of the child's  
16 time and attention they occupy" (pp. 301-302).  
17 Brittain, H. L. 1907. A study in imagination.

18 Pedagogical Seminary 14: 137-206, esp. 166, 170.

19 The subjects of this study were 19 boys and 21 girls,  
20 ranging in age from 13-20. All were from sibling families  
21 All undertook a series of tests in eight areas. Of these,  
22 the "tests of interests and preferences by means of  
23 questions addressed to the subjects individually" (p. 165)  
24 included the following series of questions: "Did you ever  
25 play with an imaginary companion? If so, at what age?"

1 Can you remember anything about these imaginary compan-  
2 ions" (p. 166)? Brittain found that "five of the boys and  
3 six of the girls remembered having imaginary companions  
4 ..... The results as to age are unsatisfactory" (p. 170).  
5 One of the boys remembered having the same companion for  
6 several years, while another imagined a different one for  
7 each day. Brittain reports that "there seems to be a  
8 general correspondence between the results of these  
9 questions and of the story test, in that on the whole  
10 those who have had imaginary companions have been above  
11 the average in the imaginative quality of their stories"  
12 (p. 170). However, he notes "the most highly imagina-  
13 tive...does not remember having had an imaginary compan-  
14 ion" (p. 170)..

15 Burlingham, D. 1945. The fantasy of having a twin. The  
16 Psychoanalytic Study of the Child 1: 205-210.

17 Burlingham refers to the fantasy of having a twin,  
18 which is occasionally "built up in the latency period as  
19 the result of disappointment by the parents in the oedipus  
20 situation, in the child's search for a partner who will  
21 give him all the attention, love and companionship he  
22 desires and who will provide an escape from loneliness and  
23 solitude" (p. 205).

24 Burnham, W. H. 1892. Individual differences in the  
25 imagination of children. Pedagogical Seminary 2:

1 204-225, esp. 212.

2 Burnham says: "The imaginative child often seems to  
3 live half his life in a world of poetry and make-believe."  
4 Such imaginative children not only have imaginary play-  
5 mates and personate animals and men...but they almost  
6 reconstruct ideally the world in which they live. Beasts,  
7 birds, and their food and furniture talk with them...  
8 They have play-brothers and sisters, and dear friends with  
9 whom they talk... Much of this imagining centers about  
10 their own personalities, and consists in ideals for the  
11 future" (pp. 212-213).

12 Burnham, W. H. 1891. The observation of children at the  
13 Worcester Normal School. Pedagogical Seminary 1: 219-  
14 224.

15 In this paper, Burnham relates several essays written  
16 by students at the Worcester (Mass.) Normal School who  
17 made direct observations of children as a part of their  
18 psychology course work. Of interest is an essay on  
19 "Children's Make-Believes," which reports the "Fairyland"  
20 created by two children, eight and four years old, who  
21 populated this world with many imaginary people. "These  
22 people were very distinct... It is interesting to know  
23 that a large part of these people were taken from their  
24 reading" (p. 222). Several elaborate and imaginative names  
25 were chosen for these playmates.

1 Church, J. and L. J. Stone. 1968. Childhood and  
2 adolescence, 2nd ed. New York: Random House.  
3 "It is during the preschool years, especially at ages  
4 four and five, that imaginary companions most often appear.  
5 (We use the term...to include not only imaginary playmates,  
6 animal or human, but also imaginary realms, identities, and  
7 playthings,...)" (p. 294). In this respect, they note  
8 that Ames and Learned indicate that some 20 percent of  
9 children may have imaginary companions of one sort or  
10 another, although their own investigations among female  
11 college students, suggest that the incidence may go as  
12 high as 50 percent. Church and Stone state that "most  
13 imaginary companions seem to have vanished by age ten,  
14 although it is not unheard of for adults to have imaginary  
15 companions..." (p. 295). They further state that:  
16 "Imaginary companions are often experienced with all the  
17 vividness and solidity of real material objects, and  
18 children's families may find themselves making extravagant  
19 adjustments to the invisible [to them] visitor, taking  
20 care not to kick him or sit on him, and setting a place  
21 for him at table. Imaginary companions are sometimes born  
22 of a special need in a child's life for a friend, a scape-  
23 goat, an extra conscience, a model, or an escape from  
24 either a stressful or a too dull reality" (p. 295).  
25 Church and Stone relate an account of an imaginary friend,

1111

1 Wrinkel, whose behavior expressed the resentments and frus-  
2 trations of a well-behaved hard-of-hearing girl who was  
3 afraid to acknowledge her handicap and therefore could not  
4 ask people to speak louder. However, sometimes, say the  
5 authors, imaginary companions are "distinctly unwelcome;  
6 ...and seem to be the incarnation of some deep-rooted dread  
7 or guilt. For instance, while in some children a companion  
8 who acts as a conscience may be gently reproachful, in  
9 others such a companion can castigate the child into a  
10 frenzy of terror. On the other hand, imaginary companions  
11 need not serve any apparent motivation or embody a special  
12 problem. They simply appear on the scene, do what they do,  
13 and depart..." (p. 296).

14 Cooley, C. H. 1922. Human nature and the social order.  
15 New York: Scribner's Sons.

16 Cooley says: "When left to themselves children continue  
17 the joys of sociability by means of an imaginary play-  
18 mate... . It is not an occasional practice, but, rather,  
19 a necessary form of thought, flowing from a life in which  
20 personal communication is the chief interest and social  
21 feeling the stream in which...most other feelings float"  
22 (p. 88). Cooley goes on to say that "after a child learns  
23 to talk and the social world in all its wonder and pro-  
24 vocation opens on his mind, it floods his imagination so  
25 that all his thoughts are conversations. He is never

1 alone. Sometimes the inaudible interlocutor is recogniz-  
2 able as the image of a tangible playmate, sometimes he  
3 appears to be purely imaginary... . The main point to  
4 note here is that these conversations are not occasional  
5 and temporary effusions of the imagination, but are the  
6 naive expression of a socialization of the mind that is to  
7 be permanent and to underlie all later thinking" (p. 89).  
8 Crow, L. D. and A. Crow. 1962. Child development and  
9 adjustment. New York: The Macmillan Co.  
10 "The child talks to and about this companion. He  
11 often endows the imaginary child or animal with many  
12 virtues which are absent in his real associates. It has  
13 been a common belief that it is the lonely child who is  
14 most likely to create an imaginary companion for himself.  
15 This is not altogether true. A bright child is more  
16 likely than a slow child to engage in this form of make-  
17 believe, in spite of the fact that he may have same-age  
18 associates with whom he plays freely. It is a different  
19 kind of relationship, however. The child's imaginary  
20 companion is his own; there need not be any separation  
21 between the two; the child received an emotional satisfac-  
22 tion from this association with a kind of alter ego... .  
23 Adults are often bothered by a child's imaginary companion  
24 They may believe that its 'existence' is a sign of some-  
25 thing wrong with the child... . Perhaps the best approach

18616



1 ...is to accept the imaginary companion and talk about it  
2 seriously with the child, with the assurance that, with  
3 increasing maturity and involvement in many real activi-  
4 ties, this form of make-believe...gradually will be for-  
5 gotten" (p. 216). The authors also warn that the adult  
6 must not overplay the existence of the companion, or  
7 ridicule or punish the child for imagining him, as "This  
8 adult attitude may cause the young person to become even  
9 more involved, using his relationship with the other as a  
10 means of comfort in an unpleasant experience" (p. 216).

11 Fraiberg, S. H. 1959. The magic years. New York:

12 Charles Scribner's Sons.

13 Selma Fraiberg describes the imaginary companion  
14 called "Laughing Tiger," of Jannie, aged 2 years, 8 months  
15 It appeared when Jannie had been very frightened by  
16 animals who could bite. Her capacity to use imagination  
17 and fantasy and further development in other areas enabled  
18 her to master her conflicts and anxiety. By means of  
19 fantasy Jannie changed the ferocious beast into a friendly  
20 tiger, who showed his teeth not in anger but in laughing.  
21 This laughing tiger was afraid of children, particularly  
22 of his mistress. It obeyed her absolutely. This allowed  
23 Jannie's ego to operate freely, without having to resort  
24 to the mechanisms of avoidance and phobic symptoms.  
25 Fraiberg further states: "If we watch closely, we will,

1 see how the imaginary companions and enemies fade away at  
2 about the same time that the fear dissolves..."  
3 (pp. 19-20). In the young child, the imaginary companion  
4 seems to represent a prestage or precursor of externali-  
5 zing onto a real subject. This process is probably favor-  
6 ed by the very young child's belief in magic, omnipotence,  
7 and his animistic conception of the world. Fraiberg des-  
8 cribes this use of the imaginary companion very vividly.  
9 She says that the child "acquires a number of companions,  
10 imaginary ones, who personify his Vices like characters in  
11 a morality play. (The Virtues he keeps to himself.  
12 Charity, Good Works, Truth, Altruism, all dwell in harmony  
13 within him.) Hate, Selfishness, Uncleanliness, Envy and a  
14 host of other evils are cast out like devils and forced to  
15 obtain other hosts... . When Daddy's pipes are broken, no  
16 one is more indignant than the 2-year-old son who is under  
17 suspicion. 'Gerald, (imaginary companion) did you break  
18 daddy's pipes?' he demands to know" (p. 141). As Fraiberg  
19 points out, although the child knows that Gerald is an  
20 invention of his, he achieves a number of gains in this  
21 way. First, he tries to avoid criticism from the parents  
22 for his misdeeds and unacceptable impulses. Second, he  
23 can maintain his self-love. Third, though he cannot yet  
24 control his impulses, he addresses the imaginary companion  
25 as a naughty boy is addressed by his parents. He shows in

10018

1 this roundabout way the emergence of a self-critical,  
2 attitude, which eventually will enable him to control his  
3 impulses.

4 Gesell, A. and F. L. Ilg. 1946. The child from five to  
5 ten. New York: Harper Brothers.

6 Gesell and Ilg say that growth processes determine in  
7 a broad way what and when a child will fear, and that they  
8 thus determine the what and when of his imaginary compan-  
9 ions, among other things. Later, in speaking of play,  
10 they note: "Even in the play of phantasy, the child  
11 projects his private mental images in a practical spirit.  
12 He manipulates them in order to organize his concepts of  
13 reality, and not to deepen his self-illusion. Even his  
14 imaginary companions are amazingly serviceable devices,  
15 and so he uses them pragmatically,--until he is old enough  
16 to dispense with them" (p. 365).

17 Green, G. H. 1922. Psychoanalysis in the classroom.

18 New York: G. P. Putnam's Sons.

19 Green discusses imaginary companions together with  
20 day-dreams and finds that the fantasy disappears when the  
21 child is at school. He believes that the imaginary com-  
22 panion phenomenon to be part of an unsatisfied instinct of  
23 gregariousness that is fulfilled by the friendships  
24 established at school. He feels that the "imaginary  
25 companion indicates a desire for self-assertion and

1 display" (p. 11).

2 Griffiths, R. 1934. Imagination in early childhood.

3 London: Paul Kegan.

4 Griffiths implies that the presence of imaginary play  
5 mates is at the same time a symptom of childhood problems  
6 and a means of making satisfactory adjustments to these  
7 problems. After making a study of 5-year-old children,  
8 30 Londoners and 20 Australians, she writes: "Phantasy  
9 supplies an outlet for emotions, and has a value for the  
10 individual of a compensatory nature, affording a channel  
11 for the flow of energy where contact with reality is  
12 obstructed, and is therefore difficult or unpleasant...  
13 Imagination is, in fact, the child's method not so much of  
14 avoiding the problems presented by environment, but of  
15 overcoming these difficulties in a piecemeal and indirect  
16 fashion, returning again and again in imagination to the  
17 problem, and gradually developing a socialized attitude  
18 which finally finds expression at the level of overt  
19 action and adapted behavior" (pp. 353-354).

20 Hall, G. S. and others. 1907. Aspects of Child Life and  
21 Education, edited by T. L. Smith. Boston: Ginn and  
22 Company.

23 Smith as quoted in Hall says that the imaginary  
24 companion shows "the impulse of a lonely child to find an  
25 ideal world with the sympathy and companionship which was

1 lacking in the outward life" (p. 66-67).

2 Hammerman, S. 1965. Conceptions of superego development.

3 Journal of the American Psychiatric Association

4 13: 320-355.

5 Hammerman describes the phenomenon of children who  
6 "consult" their imaginary companions, who in turn instruct  
7 them to control their behavior in general or certain  
8 impulses in particular. "Obedience and self-control,  
9 however, are not yet the same as self-criticism derived  
10 from moral judgment... . It seems reasonable that initial-  
11 ly the developing superego organization works only under  
12 the actual supervision of external objects. In the well-  
13 known imaginary companions of children, we note the pro-  
14 jection of prestiges of the superego. Even though  
15 imaginary, the need for an actual external object is still  
16 great" (p. 327).

17 Harriman, P. L. 1937. Some imaginary companions of older

18 subjects. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry

19 7: 368-370.

20 Harriman believes that about one-third of all children  
21 between the ages of three and nine have imaginary compan-  
22 ions. He feels that they exemplify the creative impulse  
23 and that formal education may repress the tendency.  
24 According to him, the imaginary companion is an illustra-  
25 tion of wishful thinking compensatory for a real or fancied

1 deprivation of completely satisfying human companions. He  
2 cites several cases where imaginary companions persisted  
3 through adolescence into adult life.

4 Harvey, N. A. 1919. Imaginary playmates and other mental  
5 phenomena of children. Ypsilanti, Mich.: State  
6 Normal College.

7 Harvey states that children have playmates "which are  
8 wholly imaginary, but which are as vivid and real to them  
9 as living playmates would be. These playmates are not  
10 merely vivid ideas, or imaginings, but actual visual and  
11 auditory projections. They can be seen and heard as  
12 vividly as if they were living children...although the  
13 child recognized that they differ..." (p. 124). Harvey  
14 goes so far as to state that "no stupid child ever had an  
15 imaginary companion," in relating the type of child who  
16 experiences the imaginary companion (p. 124).

17 Hurlock, E. B. 1972. Child development. 5th ed.  
18 St. Louis: McGraw-Hill.

19 Hurlock discusses imaginary companions in general,  
20 their prevalence, and an evaluation of their role. She  
21 defines such a companion as "a person, an animal, or a  
22 thing which the child creates in fantasy to play the role  
23 of a companion... . If a child is timid or has had  
24 unpleasant early social experiences, he may prefer an  
25 imaginary playmate to a real one... . Most imaginary

1 companions are people--mainly children of the child's own  
2 sex and age. They have names chosen by the child because  
3 he likes them, and physical and personality characteris-  
4 tics the child likes--often those he himself would like to  
5 have. Imaginary companions can and will do anything the  
6 child wants them to do... . The child does not always tell  
7 others about him... . Indications are that imaginary  
8 companions are more common among girls than among boys,  
9 that they are more realistic to girls, and that they  
10 persist longer among girls... . Imaginary companions are  
11 more prevalent among children of superior intelligence than  
12 among those of average intelligence... . Children who  
13 have a frictional relationship with their siblings and  
14 parents are far more likely to have imaginary companions  
15 than those whose family relationships are harmonious... .  
16 No one personality type predisposes children to have imagi-  
17 nary companions... . An imaginary companion is by no  
18 means a satisfactory solution to the lonely child  
19 problem (p. 329)...it does not help him make good personal  
20 or social adjustments" (p. 330). Hurlock goes on to cite  
21 several ways in which having an imaginary companion affects  
22 the child's personal and social adjustment, and seems to  
23 feel that the "damaging effects of having an imaginary  
24 companion will probably be temporary" (p. 330) if the  
25 child has a strong motivation to play with real children

1 and if parents and other adults can give him help and  
2 guidance in playing in socially acceptable ways.

3 Hurlock, E. B. and M. Burstein. 1932. The imaginary play  
4 mate: A questionnaire study. Journal of Genetic  
5 Psychology 41: 380-392.

6 A 20-question questionnaire was answered by 701 high  
7 school and college students, 393 women and 308 men. The  
8 median age of the respondents was between 18-19 years. In  
9 the study, Hurlock and Burstein attempted to discover the  
10 prevalence of the phenomenon of imaginary companions, facts  
11 concerning the playmates, and the backgrounds of the  
12 children who report having had imaginary playmates. Their  
13 findings showed that 31 percent of the women and 23 percent  
14 of the men distinctly remembered having had an imaginary  
15 playmate. They also found that the creation of the  
16 imaginary companion seemed to occur more often among girls  
17 than among boys. However, according to this investigation  
18 the background of the child who has had an imaginary com-  
19 panion does not differ materially from that of the child  
20 who has not had these friends. The age at which the  
21 imaginary companion made its first appearance was consid-  
22 erably older than previous literature had suggested--  
23 between five and seven in girls, after ten in boys. In  
24 general, "the companion was very much treasured by the  
25 child," (p. 388) and was not likely to have been shared or



1 discussed. Girls, found Hurlock and Burstein, were more  
2 apt to attach names to their imaginary companions than  
3 were boys, although the last appearance of the companion  
4 occurred much later among the boys. It appeared that  
5 there was no definite tendency for the child to create a  
6 companion of the same age or sex, although the researchers  
7 found that the child did not like to have companions  
8 younger than himself. Hurlock and Burstein provide a  
9 brief review of the literature in the study.

10 Ilg, F., J. Learned, A. Lockwood, and L. Ames. 1949. The  
11 three-and-a-half-year old. Journal of Genetic  
12 Psychology 75: 21-31.

13 In discussing the outstanding personality traits of  
14 the 3½ year-old, the researchers note the child's intense  
15 imagination. Because of this, say Ilg et al., it is at  
16 this age which is the high point for imaginary companions.  
17 They continue: "The amount of this play varies from child  
18 to child. Some have only one such companion...while one  
19 child may...have several imaginary animal companions, and  
20 several different human companions and may also play...the  
21 role of an animal and/or of another person..." (p. 16).

22 Jersild, A. 1968. Child psychology. 6th ed.

23 Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, Inc.

24 Jersild notes that the imaginary companion is "an  
25 especially interesting form of imagery in childhood," and

10 125

1 is likely to occur sometime between the ages of three and  
2 ten (p. 392). He states that "the difficulty of defining  
3 and describing this phenomenon is increased by the fact  
4 that it typically occurs during a period when children are  
5 not very articulate or precise in expressing what goes on  
6 in their minds" (p. 392). Nevertheless, "the label  
7 imaginary companion is commonly applied to an imagined  
8 creature...or thing that is unusually vivid...; it is  
9 quite stable in its characteristics...; it appears for  
10 varying lengths of time during childhood and then 'dis-  
11 appears,' in the sense that it loses its vitality, although  
12 it may remain as a memory" (p. 392). Jersild describes  
13 some of the varied characteristics of imaginary playmates,  
14 suggesting that "one way of visualizing some imaginary  
15 companions is to regard the child who maintains them as a  
16 host and the companions as a viable and more or less  
17 tractable guest, with an independent reality of their own.  
18 The imagined characters are more likely to be persons than  
19 animals... (p. 392). Imaginary companions are (to their  
20 hosts) far more 'real' than the characters in a typical  
21 daydream, but children vary in the degree to which they  
22 regard their companions as solid substances" (p. 393).  
23 Jersild suggests that imaginary companions serve a variety  
24 of the child's needs, including companionship, self-  
25 aggrandizement, collaboration in practice, and offering

11 228

1 release for forbidden impulses. Jersild discussed in some  
2 length the coming and going of imaginary companions,  
3 noting though, that "their disappearance seems usually to  
4 be as unaccountable as their coming" (p. 394). He  
5 supports the literature indicating that imaginary compan-  
6 ions appear in children with a wide range of personality  
7 traits. And, he feels that the literature showing girls  
8 having imaginary companions more often than boys may  
9 simply reflect "a cultural rather than a genuine develop-  
10 mental difference" (p. 396). In fact, he states that boys  
11 have as much need for make-believe outlets as girls, but  
12 that boys are not so openly encouraged to reveal their  
13 fantasies. Jersild is of the opinion that "imaginary  
14 playmates...are likely to be prophetic of the child's  
15 later way of life" (p. 397).

16 Jersild, A. T., F. V. Markey, and C. L. Jersild. 1933.  
17 Children's fears, dreams, wishes, daydreams, likes,  
18 dislikes, pleasant and unpleasant memories. Child  
19 Development Monographs, No. 12: 103-107.

20 Jersild-Markey-Jersild, in their study of 143 child-  
21 ren who reported imaginary companions, found that 79 per-  
22 cent of these were either human beings, story characters  
23 or, in five cases, elves and fairies. The remaining 21  
24 percent were anthropomorphized animals, dolls and special  
25 objects. While some children described their imaginary

10327

1 companions as endowed with a peculiar apparent reality and  
2 marked vividness, the majority described characters which  
3 were less permanent and vivid, and which apparently could  
4 be revived or changed entirely at the caprice of the child.  
5 Some children mentioned characters who were shared by other  
6 actual playmates. These imagined characters often  
7 appeared to be somewhat troublesome creatures. A greater  
8 number of girls were able to give definite descriptions of  
9 imagined playmates and more frequently had make-believe  
10 companions of the opposite sex than did boys. Children  
11 able to describe definite make-believe playmates had a  
12 higher IQ than those who did not.

13 Jersild, A. T., C. W. Telford and J. M. Sawrey. 1975.

14 Child psychology. 7th ed. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.:  
15 Prentice-Hall, Inc.

16 Jersild points out that the exact proportion of  
17 children having imaginary play companions is difficult to  
18 determine because children may not reveal their companions  
19 and because some children mention fleeting or intermittent  
20 imagined characters which may or may not qualify as imagi-  
21 nary play companions. In response to the literature show-  
22 ing a relationship between incidence of imaginary play  
23 companions and a child's position in the family, Jersild  
24 says that such factors "may be conducive to the appearance  
25 of imaginary companions but such companionships may occur

11028

1 regardless of a child's birth-order or his age in relation  
2 to other 'siblings" (p. 382).

3 Kirkpatrick, E. A. 1929. Fundamentals of child study.

4 New York: Macmillan.

5 Kirkpatrick believes that not only do a few lonely  
6 and highly imaginative children have imaginary companions,  
7 but that nearly all children have them in some form for a  
8 greater or lesser period of time and with greater or  
9 lesser intensity. Sometimes the imaginary companion is an  
10 ideal self, sometimes a scapegoat, and at other times is  
11 not the self at all but a distinct personality (p. 138-  
12 139, 261).

13 Langford, L. M. 1960. Guidance of the young child.

14 New York: The Macmillan Co.

15 Langford states that "children who do not have  
16 siblings or companions near their own ages are more likely  
17 to create imaginary playmates" (p. 236). From the stand-  
18 point of child guidance, "the important facts are: (1)  
19 since so many children of preschool age have imaginary  
20 companions, the practice should be considered completely  
21 normal, (2) by the time children reach elementary school  
22 age, they usually discard their imaginary friends,  
23 although sometimes they may reappear when children are  
24 lonely, and (3) children who appear to be perfectly well-  
25 adjusted are just as likely to have these make-believe

1 playmates as timid, shy, or lonely children" (p. 236-237).

2 Manosevitz, M., N. M. Prentice and F. Wilson. 1973.

3 Individual and family correlates of imaginary  
4 companions in preschool children. Developmental  
5 Psychology 8: 72-79.

6 Factors associated with the presence or absence of  
7 imaginary companions in 222 preschool children were  
8 investigated using a self-administered questionnaire  
9 completed by their parents. Data on family structure,  
10 play activities, and personality characteristics of the  
11 children were presented. A small percentage of the 63  
12 children with imaginary companions and of those without  
13 imaginary companions (17.5 percent and 14.5 percent  
14 respectively) came from disrupted nuclear families. It  
15 did not appear that nuclear family disruption was a factor  
16 in contributing to the presence of imaginary companions.  
17 Analysis of data concerning frequency of only children,  
18 other household members, number, age and sex of playmates,  
19 number of hours spent with playmates, and number of pets  
20 in the household revealed no significant differences be-  
21 tween those children having and not having an imaginary  
22 companion. "Quiet" play was engaged in by 18 percent of  
23 the children with imaginary companions and by 34 percent  
24 of the children without imaginary companions. Home play  
25 was self-initiated by 97 percent of the children who had

00030

1 imaginary companions and by 86 percent of children without  
2 imaginary companions.

3       Data on the characteristics of the imaginary com-  
4 panions showed that females had significantly more  
5 imaginary companions than males, that males were more  
6 likely to have a male imaginary companion, and that  
7 females only showed a slight tendency to have same-sex  
8 companions. Imaginary companions appeared in a majority  
9 of cases (61 percent) at a time when the children had no  
10 siblings. In 89 percent of the cases the imaginary  
11 companion was a person; in 59 percent of the cases the  
12 imaginary companion was male. Approximately 57 percent  
13 of the children had only one imaginary companion, and 23  
14 percent had two imaginary companions. About half of the  
15 imaginary companions had common names. Most (93 percent)  
16 of the children preferred not to interact with their  
17 imaginary companion when other children came to play.

18       The study indicates that reducing loneliness is one  
19 of the multiple functions served by imaginary companions.  
20 It partially alleviates the loneliness of the child who  
21 has no siblings and generally lives in an adult-oriented  
22 social setting during a crucial period of childhood  
23 socialization and language development.

24 Munroe, J. P. 1894. Notes, Pedagogical Seminary  
25       3: 182-184.

1 In relating a case of self-projection in Katharine,  
2 age 3, Munroe states: "The imaginary companion appears  
3 to play a double role, that of playmate, and also a sort  
4 of self-projection or idealization of the child who  
5 created him. It represents, I think, the free will of the  
6 child aroused by the mother's admonition" (p. 182).

7 Murphy, L. B., et al. 1962. The widening world of  
8 children. New York: Basic Books.

9 This example shows that an imaginary companion appears  
10 in situations of special stress or of a traumatic charac-  
11 ter. Murphy describes the stress situation during which  
12 Sam, 3 years and 3 months, created his imaginary companion  
13 "Woody." When at the doctor's office for removal of  
14 finger stitches, Sam was forcibly taken away from his  
15 mother, and, says Murphy, "as an outgrowth of this separa-  
16 tion situation a little elf named 'Woody' appeared in  
17 Sam's fantasy... . Woody turned up in many different  
18 situations and served many different purposes--sometimes a  
19 companion, sometimes a helper, sometimes a scapegoat... .  
20 The creation of such a satisfying externalized image to  
21 stay with him at the time his mother was forced to leave  
22 suggests both the importance of the strong support from  
23 mother, and the strength in his own struggle to maintain  
24 the feeling of support during her absence. Later he said  
25 to his mother one day, 'You know Mommy, Woody was really



1 you'" (p. 124-125). Some time later when Sam entered  
2 nursery school, Woody would once in a while show up. Says  
3 Murphy of this: "He used his mother as an anchor to  
4 familiarity, for help, as a playmate, and as a love-object  
5 during the early period of getting acquainted in the new  
6 situation. His imaginary companion 'Woody'...also helped  
7 him" (p. 66).

8 Nagera, H. 1969. The imaginary companion. The Psycho-  
9 analytic Study of the Child 24: 165-196.

10 In his recent review of the phenomenon of the  
11 imaginary companion, Nagera observes that it plays a  
12 relatively small role in the analysis of children and is  
13 often not recovered in the analysis of adults. He says:  
14 "Perhaps the answer lies (in the case of the very young  
15 child) in the fact that what is important is not the  
16 content of the fantasy associated with the imaginary  
17 companion but the developmental purpose it is designed to  
18 fulfill. In this sense it has to be considered part of a  
19 developmental process and that is not the type of thing  
20 ~~that is recovered by the lifting of the infantile amnesia.~~  
21 Furthermore, what cannot be recovered has to be recon-  
22 structed, and there are obvious difficulties in recon-  
23 structing the early existence of an imaginary companion.  
24 Another possible reason is that in the analyses of adults  
25 we do not pay as much attention to this phenomenon as we

1 should" (footnote 2, p. 166). Nagera observes that  
2 imaginary companions are seen most frequently in children  
3 between 2½-3 and 9½-10 years, with the majority found in  
4 the younger age range. He explains the discrepancy be-  
5 tween these recent findings and those of Hurlock and  
6 Burstein who found that "one-third of the group of people  
7 studied fixed the age of first appearance of the imaginary  
8 playmate at the stage between 7-9 years of age" (p. 385).  
9 Nagera believes that Hurlock and Burstein were not aware  
10 of the fact that infantile amnesia usually covers the  
11 earlier years, and that even if a person remembers the  
12 imaginary companion, he will tend to place it outside the  
13 period covered by infantile amnesia. He also notes in his  
14 review of the literature, that there are no uniform  
15 criteria used to define an imaginary companion, and that a  
16 variety of fantasy manifestations in children are included  
17 by some authors and excluded by others. He continues:  
18 "Although the significance of the imaginary companion is  
19 usually determined by a variety of factors, it seems to  
20 play a special role in the development of the child at the  
21 age of 2½-3 years" (p. 174). For this reason he singles  
22 out this group from the older, latency group in which "the  
23 phenomenon serves different functions" (p. 174). Nagera  
24 devotes many pages to the discussion of numerous case  
25 studies, each revealing a different function of the imag-

11131

1 inary companion involved. He supplements this material  
2 with comprehensive comparisons to the many other earlier  
3 studies in this area. He believes that the imaginary  
4 companion phenomenon "is a special type of fantasy that  
5 has all the characteristics of daydreams. Like ordinary  
6 daydreams, the imaginary companion fantasy is an attempt  
7 at wish fulfillment of one sort or another, is ruled by  
8 the pleasure principle, can ignore the reality principle,  
9 and need not be reality adapted, yet the fantasizing person  
10 remains fully aware of the unreality of the fantasies that  
11 are being indulged in. In other words, reality testing  
12 remains unimpaired" (p. 194). Nevertheless, he continues,  
13 there are some significant features which are not neces-  
14 sarily characteristic of other forms of fantasy; notably  
15 the type and quality of the wishes involved, the seeming  
16 occupation of a physical space in the actual world of the  
17 child, and the quick return to reality and the object  
18 world after the initial withdrawal. Says Nagera: "Having  
19 found a new solution, the child brings his imaginary com-  
20 panion back into his real life and tries to have it inte-  
21 grated with and accepted by his object world" (p. 195).

22 He concludes by quoting from Selma Fraiberg (1959):

23           ...we must not confuse the neurotic uses  
24           of imagination with the healthy, and the child  
25           who employs his imagination and the people of

1 his imagination to solve his problems is a child  
2 who is working for his own mental health. He  
3 can maintain his human ties and his good contact  
4 with reality while he maintains his imaginary  
5 world. Moreover, it can be demonstrated that  
6 the child's contact with the real world is  
7 strengthened by his periodic excursions into  
8 fantasy. It becomes easier to tolerate the  
9 frustrations of the real world and to accede to  
10 the demands of reality if one can restore himself  
11 at intervals in a world where the deepest wishes  
12 can achieve imaginary gratification. (p. 22f)

13 Nice, H. M. 1919. A child's imagination. Pedagogical  
14 Seminary 26: 173-201, esp. 176-179.

15 Nice gives a detailed early report of the course of  
16 the imaginative life of an individual child, following  
17 its development from about age three to age nine. This  
18 case is interesting as an illustration of the close  
19 sequential relationship between imaginary play, imaginary  
20 playmates, and imaginary stories. Nice notes that this  
21 child's creative moods were at times apparently stopped by  
22 an increase in the interest of real life, although at  
23 other times they became less and less absorbing even though  
24 no special change in environment was responsible.

25 Horsworthy, N. and M. Whitley. 1923. The psychology of

1 childhood. / New York: The Macmillan Co.

2 The authors write: "Images of children tend to be  
3 more vivid, more intense than those of adults. This  
4 opinion is based on the fact that it is sometimes dif-  
5 ficult to get little children to distinguish between  
6 memory images and images of the imagination. In some  
7 children the confusion goes further. They cannot dis-  
8 tinguish between percepts and images" (p. 159). The  
9 creation of imaginary companions is a result of this  
10 confusion. "The presence in a child's life of imaginary  
11 companions is very much more than has been supposed"  
12 (p. 163). They note: "Very few children retain these  
13 after eight or nine years of age, as they gradually fade  
14 away under the influence of more vital companionship with  
15 other children. ...in general the tendency to indulge in  
16 the playfellows is harmless" (p. 164).

17 Piaget, J. 1962. Play, dreams and imitation in  
18 childhood. New York: Norton.

19 "In the story of the "aseau" there is maximal trans-  
20 position, but each attribute of the "aseau" is imitated  
21 from the real world, only the completed picture being  
22 imaginary" (p. 130). The child reproduces or continues  
23 his present life far more than pre-exercising future  
24 activities. "Just as practice play reproduces through  
25 functional assimilation each new acquisition of the child,

• 11037

1 so "imaginative" play reproduces what he has lived through  
2 but by means of symbolic representation. In both cases  
3 the reproduction is primarily self assertion for the  
4 pleasure of exercising his powers and recapturing fleeting  
5 experience" (p. 131). The imaginary companions "provide  
6 a sympathetic audience or a mirror for the ego. ...  
7 acquire some of the moral authority of the parents, ...  
8 The character "Aseau" (obs. 83) who goes so far as to  
9 scold, ...recalls the examples given by Wulf, Ferenczi and  
10 Freud of what they call "infantile totemism" or invention  
11 of animals which dispense justice" (p. 131).

12 "At 3;11 (20) she invented a creature which she  
13 called the "aseau," and which she deliberately distinguish-  
14 ed from "oiseau" (bird) which she pronounced correctly at  
15 this age. ... "It's a kind of dog"... "like a bird." Its  
16 form varied from day to day: it had wings, legs, it was  
17 "huge," it had long hair..." (p. 129-130). For about two  
18 months "aseau" was helpful "in all that she learned or  
19 desired, gave her moral encouragement in obeying orders,  
20 and consoled her when she was unhappy. Then it dis-  
21 appeared" (p. 130). "Aseau was replaced by a girl who was  
22 a dwarf... , then by a negress to whom she gave the name  
23 "Cadile." Cadile turned into "Marécage," a symbolic com-  
24 panion, ..." (p. 130).

25 "After 3;7 her pillow "Ali" became the essential

111139

1 character who was the center of everything... . At 4; 2  
2 (22) Ali appeared again, as "Ali-Baudi, a shepherd at  
3 Pive" (L's imaginary village)" (p. 129).

4 Rowen, B. 1973. The children we see. Chicago: Holt,  
5 Rinehart and Winston, Inc.

6 Rowen believes that perhaps the anxiety produced in  
7 the socialization process of the 3-year-old is dealt with  
8 "effectively through the invention of an imaginary play-  
9 mate... . If conflict between impulse and conscience has  
10 produced anxiety, which might lead to fear, an imaginary  
11 monster is easier to deal with than the conflict itself.  
12 This is a creative way of coping with a problem" (p. 149).  
13 She continues: "these seem to be evident even when 3-year-  
14 olds play together in groups. They can be heard convers-  
15 ing with imaginary playmates while actual playmates are  
16 sitting right next to them... . Soliloquies serve the  
17 purpose of helping to clarify ideas and perfecting speech  
18 patterns" (p. 152).

19 Schaefer, C. E. 1969. Imaginary companions and creative  
20 adolescents. Developmental Psychology 1: 747-749.

21 The relationship between reported incidence of child-  
22 hood imaginary companions and adolescent creativity was  
23 investigated in this study. The sample included 800 high  
24 school students, subdivided according to sex, creativity,  
25 and specialty. The relationship between childhood imag-

1 inary companions and adolescent creativity received  
2 partial support in this study. Creative adolescents in  
3 the literary field reported this significantly more often  
4 than their matched controls. The incidence of imaginary  
5 companions across all groups was 12 ~~or~~ 31 percent.  
6 Schaefer concludes: "Perhaps the main implication of this  
7 study is that parents and educators should not become  
8 unduly concerned when children report the existence of  
9 imaginary companions since this phenomenon appears to favor  
10 brighter children and, more specifically, those bright  
11 children who have leanings toward literary creativity".  
12 (p. 748).

13 Smart, M. S. and R. C. Smart. 1972. Children: Develop-  
14 ment and relationships. 2nd ed. New York: The  
15 Macmillan Co.

16 In their summary paragraph on imaginary playmates,  
17 the authors conclude by noting a study of college students  
18 who had had imaginary companions in childhood. It showed  
19 them to have tendencies toward higher-than-average grades  
20 and toward cooperation, friendships and the experiencing  
21 of strong feelings and emotions (Duckworth, L. H. "The  
22 relationship of childhood imaginary playmates to some  
23 factors of creativity among college freshmen." Un-  
24 published Master's thesis, University of Alabama, 1962).  
25 The authors note that imaginary playmates "can be human or

11040



1 animal, fleeting or long-enduring, single or multiple,  
2 ideals or scapegoats," (p. 287) and add that "Studies  
3 report a quarter to a third of children as having imaginary  
4 companions" (p. 287).

5 Smith, T. L. 1904. The psychology of daydreams.

6 American Journal of Psychology 15: 465-488.

7 Smith stresses the fact that imaginary companions  
8 appear very early, usually at the time when the child is  
9 beginning to remember things, and usually disappear when  
10 the child goes to school and becomes absorbed in outside  
11 things or other playmates. The author believes that it is  
12 the lonely and imaginative child who creates companions as  
13 his playmates. Smith suggests that "closely akin to the  
14 story form of day-dreams is the imaginary conversation  
15 which is sometimes carried on with actual friends and  
16 acquaintances, sometimes with strangers casually seen, or  
17 with children in history or books, or in some cases with  
18 purely imaginary characters" (p. 475).

19 Sperling, O. E. 1954. An imaginary playmate representing

20 a pre-stage of the super-ego. The Psychoanalytic  
21 Study of the Child 9: 252-258.

22 Sperling here discussed the case of Rudy, age three,  
23 and his imaginary companion 'Rudyman'. He believes the  
24 companion to be an indirect form of identification with  
25 Rudy's father, and notes that because all aspects of this

1 imaginary companion were masculine, it demonstrates that  
2 a boy can have a male superego, even though Sperling says  
3 it is the mother, mostly, who gives commands and implants  
4 values. In imaginary playmates, he says, are found nar-  
5 cissistic exaggerations typical of children in the late  
6 anal stage. He continues: "In the normal development of  
7 the child, imaginary playmates have the function of a  
8 training in controlled illusions" (p. 257). Sperling  
9 concludes: "The phenomenon of Rudyman demonstrates how  
10 the ego-ideal formation is used as an ego defense. By  
11 creating the illusion of Rudyman, Rudy preserves the pride  
12 in his omnipotence, while at the same time yielding to the  
13 demands of the outside world. This prestage of his super-  
14 ego...made it possible for him to bear disapproval and  
15 ridicule without losing his self-esteem and without  
16 becoming dependent on other people's opinions... . In  
17 this form the superego and the forerunners of the superego  
18 provided the stability of character and the illusion of  
19 freedom, which are so essential in mental health"  
20 (p. 258).

21 Svendsen, M., 1934. Children's imaginary companions.

22 Archives of Neurology and Psychiatry 32: 985-999.

23 Svendsen, in a study of 40 cases, observed that vivid  
24 and sustained imaginary companions were encountered three  
25 times as often among girls as boys. This phenomenon was

1 not limited to children of superior intelligence, though  
2 apparently more prevalent among them. Personality dif-  
3 ficulties of a mild nature in 35 of the 40 cases were  
4 found, with timidity leading. Seven of the children, how-  
5 ever, were described as leaders. At the time of creation  
6 of the companions, 55 percent were "only" children.  
7 Activities shared with imaginary companions were usually  
8 those which were highly charged emotionally by virtue of  
9 being novel and pleasurable, or humiliating and conse-  
10 quently painful. The play tended to reflect parental  
11 attitudes, particularly disciplinary attitudes and the  
12 child's reactions to them. There was clear indication  
13 that the experiences were accompanied by visual imagery.  
14 She found an incidence of 13.4 percent among children  
15 observed.

16 Swee, H. P. 1910. Her little girl. Pedagogical Seminary  
17 17: 104-110.

18 Swett reports the imaginary companion, "Little Girl"  
19 of one child, whom she refers to as C. This case  
20 emphasizes the "moral" aspect frequently observed in con-  
21 nection with imaginary companions, in that "Little Girl"  
22 punished C severely whenever C was bad.

23 Tanner, A. E. 1904. The child. Chicago: Rand, McNally  
24 and Co.

25 Tanner wrote: "Loneliness, distance, and mystery

10013

1 are great stimulants to a child's fancy. Probably most  
2 children have fictitious characters with whom they play  
3 at times, but the imaginary playmate reaches its fullest  
4 development in the child who plays alone... (p. 126). It  
5 is frequently the case that the tendency to create such  
6 companions is hereditary" (p. 127).

7 Terman, L. M. 1926. Genetic studies of genius. Vol. 1.  
8 Palo Alto, California: Stanford University Press.

9 Terman notes that many gifted children have had  
10 imaginary playmates or imaginary countries, although com-  
11 parative data for control children are not available. He  
12 also observes that there is some indication that they  
13 occur more frequently in cases where the child has no real  
14 playmate (p. 435-439).

15 Vostrovsky, C. 1895. A study of imaginary companions.  
16 Education 15: 393-398.

17 In 1895, Vostrovsky was the first to suggest that  
18 general temperamental differences, other than the posses-  
19 sion of a marked amount of imagination, may be responsible  
20 for the appearance of imaginary companions. She also  
21 suggests reasons for these phenomena: "Of the 46 papers  
22 (reviewed) 40 describe people and but five animals, and  
23 one both animals and people. Children of the same sex  
24 seem to be preferred... (p. 395). Turning to what con-  
25 cerns more nearly the child having the companions, we find

11644

1 a child of a nervous temperament, who is thrown largely  
2 on his own resources, most susceptible to them. Three  
3 things play a prominent part in causing these playmates:  
4 desire for self aggrandizement, desire for company,  
5 sympathy and desire to help others" (p. 396). The  
6 material used by Vostrovsky was derived from 27 persons  
7 who had imaginary playmates, ten who had observed children  
8 playing with these created-beings, and five who had heard  
9 about such children. She points out that most children  
10 attach specific names to these companions, and found that  
11 the first appearance of the playmate varied from age 1 to  
12 13.

13 Wickes, F. G. 1927. The inner world of childhood.

14 New York: D. Appleton and Co.

15 Wickes cites in detail many cases of imaginary com-  
16 panions. He finds that the imaginary playmate is used to  
17 integrate "warring" elements, eliminate the undesirable,  
18 furnish a pattern, compensate for a failure and as an  
19 excuse for retreat (pp. 162-217).

20 Wingfield, R. C. 1948. Bernreuter personality ratings  
21 of college students who recall having had imaginary  
22 playmates during childhood. Journal of Child  
23 Psychiatry 1: 190-194.

24 This study seems to indicate that, according to the  
25 Bernreuter scales (personality inventory), college women

1 who recall having had imaginary childhood playmates have  
2 the following personality traits: "they are less  
3 neurotic; they lack self-sufficiency, that is, they dis-  
4 like solitude and seek encouragement and advice to a  
5 greater degree than the average college woman; they are  
6 less introverted; in face-to-face situations they are more  
7 dominant; they possess self-confidence to a greater  
8 degree; and they are more sociable than the average col-  
9 lege woman" (p. 193).

10

11 The theme of imaginary companions in general litera-  
12 ture occurs frequently. The following is a partial list  
13 from general literature:

14 Albee, E. 1962. Who's afraid of Virginia Wolf.

15 New York: Atheneum.

16 Allen, H. 1933. Anthony Adverse. New York: Farrar

17 Rinehart.

18 Chase, H. 1969. Harvey. In C. Barnes, ed. Fifty best

19 plays of the American theatre. Vol. III.

20 New York: Crown.

21 deMusset, A. 1866. Oeuvres Complets. Vol. 1.

22 Paris: Charpentier,

23 Dunsay, Lord, 1926. Charwoman's Shadow.

24 London: Putman.

25 Hunt, U. 1914. Una Mary. New York: Scribner's.

10016

- 1 Lamb, C. 1926. The Essays of Elia. London: Dent.
- 2 Milne, A. 1954. Winnie-the-Pooh, and When We Were Very  
3 Young. New York: Dutton.
- 4 Poe, E. 1881. The Works of... Vol. 4. New York:  
5 Middleton.
- 6 Stevenson, R. 1923. The Complete Poems of... New York:  
7 Scribners.
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

19947

1                                    IMAGINARY PLAY COMPANIONS:

2                                    ANNOTATED BIBLIOGRAPHY (1890-1973)

- 3 Ames, L. B. and J. Learned. 1946. Imaginary companions  
4                                    and related phenomena. Journal of Genetic Psychology  
5                                    69: 147-167.
- 6 Arlitt, A. H. 1928. Psychology of infancy and early  
7                                    childhood. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- 8 Bach, S. 1971. Notes on some imaginary companions.  
9                                    The Psychoanalytic Study of the Child 26: 159-171.
- 10 Bender, L. and B. F. Vogel. 1941. Imaginary companions  
11                                    of children. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry  
12                                    11: 56-65.
- 13 Benson, R. M. and D. B. Pryor. 1973. When friends fall  
14                                    out: Developmental interference with the function of  
15                                    some imaginary companions. American Psychoanalytic  
16                                    Association Journal 21: 457-473.
- 17 Breckenridge, M. E. and E. L. Vincent. 1965. Child  
18                                    development. 5th ed. Philadelphia: W. B. Saunders  
19                                    Co.
- 20 Brittain, H. L. 1907. A study in imagination.  
21                                    Pedagogical Seminary 14: 137-206, esp. 166, 170.
- 22 Burlingham, D. 1945. The fantasy of having a twin.  
23                                    The Psychoanalytic Study of the Child 1: 205-210.
- 24 Burnham, W. H. 1892. Individual differences in the  
25                                    imagination of children. Pedagogical Seminary

: 0 0 . 1 Q



- 1 2: 204-225, esp. 212.
- 2 Burnham, W. H. 1891. The observation of children at the  
3 Worcester Normal School. Pedagogical Seminary  
4 1: 219-224.
- 5 Church, J. and L. J. Stone. 1968. Childhood and adol-  
6 escence. 2nd ed. New York: Random House.
- 7 Cooley, C. H. 1922. Human nature and the social order.  
8 New York: Scribner's Sons.
- 9 Crow, L. D. and A. Crow. 1962. Child development and  
10 adjustment. New York: The Macmillan Co.
- 11 Fraiberg, S. H. 1959. The magic years. New York: Charles  
12 Scribner's Sons.
- 13 Gesell, A. and F. L. Ilg. 1946. The child from five to  
14 ten. New York: Harper Brothers.
- 15 Green, G. H. 1922. Psychoanalysis in the classroom.  
16 New York: G. P. Putnam's Sons.
- 17 Griffiths, R. 1934. Imagination in early childhood.  
18 London: Paul Kegan.
- 19 Hall, G. S. and others. 1907. Aspects of child life and  
20 education, ed. by T. L. Smith. Boston: Ginn and  
21 Company 66-67.
- 22 Hammerman, S. 1965. Conceptions of superego development.  
23 Journal of the American Psychiatric Association  
24 13: 320-355.
- 25 Harriman, P. L. 1937. Some imaginary companions of

11449

- 1 older subjects. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry  
2 7: 368-370.
- 3 Harvey, N. A. 1919. Imaginary playmates and other mental  
4 phenomena of children. Ypsilanti, Mich.: State  
5 Normal College.
- 6 Hurlock, E. B. 1972. Child development. 5th ed. St. Louis:  
7 McGraw-Hill.
- 8 Hurlock, E. B. and M. Burstein. 1932. The imaginary  
9 playmate: A questionnaire study. Journal of Genetic  
10 Psychology 41: 380-392.
- 11 Ilg, F., J. Learned, A. Lockwood, and L. Ames. 1949. The  
12 three-and-a-half-year old. Journal of Genetic  
13 Psychology 75: 21-31.
- 14 Jersild, A. 1968. Child psychology. 6th ed. Englewood  
15 Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- 16 Jersild, A. T., F. V. Markey, and C. L. Jersild. 1933.  
17 Children's fears, dreams, wishes, daydreams, likes,  
18 dislikes, pleasant and unpleasant memories. Child  
19 Development Monographs, No. 12: 103-107.
- 20 Jersild, A. T., C. W. Telford, and J. M. Sawrey. 1975.  
21 Child psychology. 7th ed. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.:  
22 Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- 23 Kirkpatrick, E. A. 1929. Fundamentals of child study.  
24 New York: Macmillan.
- 25 Langford, L. M. 1960. Guidance of the young child.

10050

- 1 New York: The Macmillan Co.
- 2 Manosevitz, M., N. M. Prentice, and F. Wilson. 1973.  
3 Individual and family correlates of imaginary  
4 companions in preschool children. Developmental  
5 Psychology 8: 72-79.
- 6 Munroe, J. P. 1894. Notes, Pedagogical Seminary 3: 182-184.
- 7 Murphy, L. B., et al. 1962. The widening world of  
8 children. New York: Basic Books.
- 9 Nagera, H. 1969. The imaginary companion. The Psycho-  
10 analytic Study of the Child 24: 165-196.
- 11 Nice, M. M. 1919. A child's imagination, Pedagogical  
12 Seminary 26: 173-291, esp. 176-179.
- 13 Norsworthy, W. and M. Whitley. 1923. The psychology of  
14 childhood. New York: The Macmillan Co.
- 15 Piaget, J. 1962. Play, dreams and imitation in childhood.  
16 New York: Norton.
- 17 Rowen, B. 1973. The children we see. Chicago: Holt,  
18 Rinehart and Winston, Inc.
- 19 Schaefer, C. E. 1969. Imaginary companions and creative  
20 adolescents. Developmental Psychology 1: 747-749.
- 21 Smart, M. S. and R. C. Smart. 1972. Children: Development  
22 and relationships. 2nd ed. New York: The Macmillan  
23 Co.
- 24 Smith, T. L. 1904. The psychology of daydreams. American  
25 Journal of Psychology 15: 465-488.

- 1 Sperling, O. E. 1954. An imaginary playmate representing  
2 a pre-stage of the super-ego. The Psychoanalytic  
3 Study of the Child 9: 252-258.
- 4 Svendsen, M. 1934. Children's imaginary companions.  
5 Archives of Neurology and Psychiatry 32: 985-999.
- 6 Swett, H. P. 1910. Her little girl. Pedagogical Seminary  
7 17: 104-110.
- 8 Tanner, A. E. 1904. The child. Chicago: Rand, McNally and  
9 Company.
- 10 Terman, L. M. 1926. Genetic studies of genius. Vol. 1.  
11 Palo Alto, California: Stanford University Press.
- 12 Vostrovsky, C. 1895. A study of imaginary companions.  
13 Education 15: 393-398.
- 14 Wickes, F. G. 1927. The inner world of childhood.  
15 New York: D. Appleton and Company.
- 16 Wingfield, R. C. 1948. Bernreuter personality ratings of  
17 college students who recall having had imaginary  
18 playmates during childhood. Journal of Child  
19 Psychiatry 1: 190-194.
- 20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25