

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 111 896

32

UD 015 429

AUTHOR Shore, Robert E.
 TITLE Title I, ESEA, Evaluation Report, FY74 [Bethel Primary School, Bethel, Alaska].
 INSTITUTION Alaska State-Operated Schools, Anchorage.
 SPONS AGENCY Bureau of Elementary and Secondary Education (DHEW/OE), Washington, D.C. Div. of Compensatory Education.
 RUB DATE 1 Jul 74
 NOTE 72p.; Parts of this document may not be clearly legible due to the print quality of the original document

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 Plus Postage. HC Not Available from EDRS.
 DESCRIPTORS Academic Achievement; Community Involvement; *Compensatory Education Programs; Disadvantaged Youth; Family Involvement; *Federal Programs; Grade 1; Grade 2; Grade 3; Kindergarten; *Program Evaluation; Reading Achievement; Reading Programs; School Involvement

IDENTIFIERS Alaska (Bethel); Bethel Diagnostic Prescriptive Reading Program; Elementary Secondary Education Act Title I; ESEA Title I; Project Staff Development

ABSTRACT

This evaluation report for the Diagnostic and Prescriptive Reading Program provides program description and statistics for fiscal year 1974. Several sections listed as follows, constitute the report: project statistics, dissemination of project information and data, major problem areas, interrelationship of Title I with other federal and state programs, public school participation, non-public school participation, general evaluation of the project, state department of education, project staff development, pre- and in-service training, evaluation of objectives and narrative evaluation, summary, and conclusions. This last section covers topics such as attendance, class participation, behavior, educational and reading achievement, changes in administrative structure, curriculum, and teaching methods, community and parent involvement, recommendations concerning future of Title I projects, and evaluation of Title I in bringing compensatory education to non-public school children. In the appendices are included definitions for Bethel Title I, suggested standards for student aides, and a Bethel Primary Evaluation Chart. (AM)

 * Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
 * materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
 * to obtain the best copy available. nevertheless, items of marginal *
 * reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
 * of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
 * via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
 * responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
 * supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *



ED111896

HAD

SECRET COPY

TITLE I, ESEA, EVALUATION REPORT

FY 74

Bethel Primary School

Bethel, Alaska

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT
OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

Robert E. Shore, Ed.D.

July 1, 1974

UD 015429

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Project Statistics.....	1
II. Dissemination of Project Information and Data.....	1
III. Major Problem Areas.....	2
IV. Interrelationship of Title I With Other Federal and State Programs.....	3
V. Public School Participation.....	4
VI. Non-Public School Participation.....	4
VII. General Evaluation of the Project.....	4
VIII. State Department of Education.....	4
IX. Project Staff Development--Pre-Service and In-Service Training.....	5
X. Evaluation of Objectives.....	6
XI. Narrative Evaluation Summary and Conclusions.....	8
a. Attendance, Class Participation, Behavior.....	8
b. Educational achievement of educationally deprived children (Slingerland tests).....	17
c. Reading achievement levels of educationally deprived children (Scott-Foresman levels tests)...	20
d. Changes in administrative structure, curriculum, teaching methods, etc.....	23
Release Time.....	27
Anticipated Observable and Measurable Promising Classroom Practices.....	36
Instructional Programs Conflict Chart.....	38
Resource Teacher's November Notes.....	39
Teacher Interview--Evaluator's Notes and Comments...	42
Comments By Resource Teachers.....	44
Comments By Reading Coordinator.....	45
Comments By Some Remarkable Tutors and Aides.....	46
e. Community and Parent Involvement.....	47
Parent Advisory Council.....	48
Observations and Reports of Home Visits Recorded by Mrs. Lucy Crow, Community Liaison Worker.....	50
Excerpts from Lucy Crow's School-Community Communications Log.....	51
Record of Teachers' Home Visits.....	52
Correspondence--Day Care Center, Etc.....	56
f. Recommendation concerning future of Title I Project in other schools and school systems.....	61
g. Evaluation of success of Title I in bringing compensatory education to non-public school children.....	61

APPENDICES

- A. Definitions - Bethel Title I
- B. Aide Training Workshop - Agenda
- C. Suggested Standards for Student Aides
- D. Memo--Request for specific job description, Title I Com. Liaison
- E. Typical Announcement about Community Liaison Worker's Availability
- F. Bethel Primary Evaluation Chart

TITLE I, FSEA, EVALUATION REPORT, FY 74

District Alaska State Operated Schools Date 7/1/74

Completing Report Robert E. Shore, Ed.D.

PROJECT STATISTICS

- a. Project flo. in FY 74 Not available Project Title Bethel Elementary Title I Diagnostic and Prescriptive Reading Program
- b. Type of project: Regular term Summer term Both
- c. Average number of hours per week 40 Number of weeks per project 36
- d. Grade levels included in project K-3
- e. Total amount of Title I funds allocated \$ 65,300.00 (as of 1/25/74)
- f. Total amount of Title I funds obligated \$ Not available
- g. Total number of pupils participating in this project 65
- h. Cost per pupil (Item f divided by item g) Not available

DISSEMINATION OF PROJECT INFORMATION AND DATA

Indicate the frequency (use numbers) each of the applicable techniques listed below was used to disseminate information to your community concerning your Title I activities. Enclose sample pictures, news articles, newsletters or publications with this report.

- a. 1 News releases and feature stories in the press
- b. 2 Presentation of information and data via radio
- c. 4 Special radic coverage of the project
- d. Presentation of information and data via television
- e. Special television coverage of the project
- f. Newsletters to staff members
- g. Wkly Presentation of information and data to staff members
- h. 4 PTA meetings
- i. Presentations in public meetings and community groups
- j. Brochures and/or pamphlets
- k. 2 Conducted tours
- l. 1 Open house
- m. Publications in professional journals
- n. Publications for local community groups
- o. Descriptive reports to other schools
- p. Descriptive reports to State Department of Education
- q. 4 In-service training (workshops, seminars, etc.) for Title I staff & non-Title I staff
- r. Other (specify)



MAJOR PROBLEM AREAS

A. If you encountered any problems in initiating and implementing the Title I Project, check as many items below as apply

- Limitations imposed by federal and state regulations and guidelines
- Identification of pupil needs
- Designing of project(s) to meet pupil needs
- Inadequate planning time
- Cooperation with non-public schools
- Excessive paper work
- Inability to obtain qualified staff*
- Pre-service and/or in-service training of staff
- Shortage of administrative staff to plan and supervise the project
- Lack of school facilities or space for carrying out the project
- Inability to secure equipment, material and supplies in time
- Delay between submission and approval of project
- Delay in financial payments
- Inadequate Title I funds
- Lack of appropriate evaluation devices
- Other (specify)

*If you were unable to obtain qualified staff, indicate the number and type(s) of personnel unobtainable

B. List suggestions or recommendations that may alleviate any or all of the major problems checked (use back of page if needed).

INTERRELATIONSHIP OF TITLE I WITH OTHER FEDERAL AND STATE PROGRAMS

A. If funds or services from other ESEA Titles or from other local, state or federal programs or agencies were used in cooperation with the Title I funds, check as many of the sources of supplementary assistance to this Title I project as apply. 3

- ESEA Title II
- ESEA Title III
- ESEA Title V
- ESEA Title VI-A
- Education Professional Development Act V B-2
- Career Opportunities Program
- U. S. Department of Agriculture Food Program
- Follow Through
- Head Start - OEO - Community Action Agency
- Neighborhood Youth Corps - OEO - CAA
- NDEA Title III
- NDEA Title V-a
- Vocational Education Act of 1963
- George Barden Act
- Smith Hughes Act
- Teacher Corps
- Job Corps
- Johnson O'Malley Funds (JOM)
- State Social & Welfare Agencies
- Federal Social & Welfare Agencies
- Medical Aid to Indigent Families
- Other (specify) Public Law 89313 Indian Education Act Funds.

B. For each item checked above, describe briefly how Title I activities were being supplemented by the program or agency. (Insert additional page if needed or use other side.)

COP and Teacher Corps participants assisted in the primary program.

Services of Mr. Bob Graham were used under Public Law 89313.

PUBLIC SCHOOL PARTICIPATION

Indicate the number of public school students that actually participated in approved projects. (Each child should be counted only once even though he participated in more than one activity or service.)

- A. Not enrolled in any school (Pre-K, K, dropouts, etc.) -0-
- B. Enrolled in public school (K-~~12~~³) 65
- C. Total of A and B 65

NON-PUBLIC SCHOOL PARTICIPATION

- A. How many non-public schools operate in your school district? -0-
What grades are included? _____
Total enrollment in non-public schools _____
- B. Did non-public schools participate in Title I? Yes _____ No _____
- C. If non-public school children participated in your Title I project give the number of participants. _____

GENERAL EVALUATION OF THE PROJECT

Check the one statement that most appropriately describes the overall evaluation of the impact of this project.

- The project activities and services were designed to meet the educational needs of educationally deprived children, and were successful.
- The project was successful, but the limited Title I funds did not adequately fund the project.
- The project had very little impact in raising the level of educational attainment of educationally deprived children participating in the program.
- The project activities and services were not appropriate and are in need of revisions.
- The project activities and services helped all the children rather than focusing on educationally deprived children.

STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

- A. Number of visits by State Department of Education personnel to Title I projects 1.
- B. Indicate the purpose and the number of visits: planning _____, program development _____, program operation 1, evaluation _____, other (specify) _____

- A. Approximate amount of Title I funds used for pre-service and/or in-service training \$ 2,900.00
- B. Approximate amount of local funds used for pre-service and/or in-service training \$ -0-
- C. Approximate number of hours spent on pre-service and/or in-service training 80
- D. If consultants were used for either pre-service or in-service training indicate the numbers following the appropriate item.

Member university or college staff	
Specialists from school staff	2
State Department of Education personnel	1
Principals	
Administrators	
Supervisors	
Other (specify) Private educational consultant	2

- E. Give the number receiving training during summer and/or school year.
1. Teachers 18
2. Aides 15 (tutors)
3. Other -0-

F. Briefly describe the pre-service/in-service program:

August pre-service conducted by Title I staff. Followed elements of promising practices checklist and specific instructional components of conflict chart. See pp. 36-38.

November 26-30. Robert E. Shore followed elements of promising practices checklist and conflict chart. See pp. 36-38.

January 29-31. Aide Training Workshop. See Appendix B.

February 1-4. Rosemary Peterson, Anchorage consultant. No statement of purpose or feedback available.

Extensive inservice throughout year by Title I staff. See teacher training section of this report, pp. 23-46.



X. EVALUATION OF OBJECTIVES

To what extent did the project show progress in achieving its objectives?. List the major specific objectives. Check one description for each objective.

	<u>Substantial Progress</u>	<u>Some Progress</u>	<u>Little or No Progress</u>
1. To modify the behavior of 100% of at least eight target teachers in meeting students' individual needs as measured by questionnaires, observations, reports, and other data.	X		
2. To improve the attitudes of at least 75% of 70 target students towards school as measured by attendance, class participation, and behavior in school.	X		
3. To coordinate and or help coordinate the efforts of all target teachers with the school nurses, Dr. Hurwitz from Bethel P.H.S., at least 15 community tutors, Special Services, and school community facilities, as measured by schedules, observations, reports, memos and other data.	X		
4. To coordinate the visiting of 100% of target students' homes and establishing or reinforcing of communication between home and school as measured by observations, reports, questionnaires, letters, and other data.	X		

7. EVALUATION OF OBJECTIVES (contd.)

	<u>Substantial Progress</u>	<u>Progress</u>	<u>Little or No Progress</u>
5. To improve the scores for at least 75% of nonreading target students in all deficient categories as measured by the Slingerland tests.		X	
6. To improve the reading skills for 50% of the target pupils by at least one year during the project period (Sept.-May) as measured by the Scott-Foresman level tests.	X		

For each objective listed above explain briefly any substantial evidence showing how you arrived at your conclusion.

EXAMPLE: Percentages, teacher ratings, test results, etc.

1. The data contained in section d. are offered as good evidence of the achievement of this objective.
2. The records of attendance, class participation, and behavior contained in section a. support an affirmative view relative to the achievement of this objective.
3. The record of community and parent involvement as contained in section e. (i.e., record of numerous meetings, Parent Advisory Council notes, Lucy Crow log, etc.) is evidence of attainment of this objective.
4. The record of teacher home visits and documentation of home visits in the Lucy Crow log support the conclusion that this objective was attained. Much improvement over previous year.
5. Summary data in Table IV indicate that although the 18 Grade One target students in the Slingerland group did not improve in all deficient categories as measured by the Slingerland tests, 100% of the students did improve in at least four of the seven Slingerland categories.
6. Summary data in Table V indicate that the Bethel Primary staff achieved a higher percentage (61%) of target students who advanced one year or more in the new Scott-Foresman levels program than was anticipated originally.

NARRATIVE EVALUATION SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- a. Describe briefly any significant changes in the pupils participating in the project such as achievements, attendance, participation in school activities, behavior, attitude, etc. Include solid data with this report.

Goal II for Bethel Primary Title I states: To improve the attitudes of at least 75% of 70 target students towards school as measured by attendance, class participation, and behavior in school.

Attendance

The 1973-74 school year at Bethel Primary School was a good year. This is reflected in the low absentee rate for Title I target students. See Table I. As might be expected, absenteeism for first graders tended to be the highest of the primary grades. The evaluator is interpreting a primary school total target group absentee percentage range of 1.2 to 13.1 as low for two reasons:

1. The various group absentee rates were pushed upward dramatically by a few individuals.
2. The home situations of many target students presented many obstacles so that regular school attendance was continually threatened. Target students overcame great odds to attend school regularly.

In spite of home and general environmental handicaps, target students had a low absentee rate. The evaluator believes that the success-oriented Bethel Primary Title I Program generated good school attendance for the majority of students.

Class Participation

Class participation for approximately 38% of the first grade target students was in the direction of inactivity for both fall and spring. See Table II. Lethargic indifference and disruptive behavior were the two main descriptions given by teachers to portray inactive children. The evaluator believes that the two extremes of lethargic indifference and student disruption resulting in inactivity at the first grade level were a function of teacher personality and classroom management. Approximately 29% of the first grade target students were listed as interested but had difficulty learning.

Approximately 67% of the first grade target students started the year on the inactive side of the scale. Approximately 62% finished the year on the active side of the scale.

At the second grade level class participation for approximately 63% of the target students was nil in the fall; only one student was considered disruptive. See Table II. By spring 75% of the target students were

rated as active and involved. Of the inactive group only 12.5% of the students (2) were considered indifferent and none was considered disruptive. It is interesting to note that 37.5% (6) of the target students started the year on the active side of the scale. In the spring 50% of the target second graders were considered to be interested but had difficulty learning.

In the fall approximately 67% of the third grade target students were described as inactive; the majority of the inactive students were considered naturally quiet and unproductive.

By spring 72% of the third grade target students were listed on the active side of the class participation scale. In the spring ratings only two students were considered indifferent and two were considered naturally quiet and unproductive. None was considered disruptive in fall or spring.

Behavior

The Bethel Primary Behavior Record is positive. Even though fall ratings are lacking for one first grade group and for one third grade group, the evaluator believes that the spring ratings are a good index of student and teacher gains relative to behavior. On site observations of classrooms by the evaluator confirm this belief. Study of the Grade One Behavior Record and the Grade One Class Participation Record leads the evaluator to believe that teacher attitudes toward students were the least positive at the first grade level. Study of both records at the third grade level lead one to believe that attitudes toward students were the most positive at this level. (See Table III for behavior record.

Summary

It is important to note that while each index of class behavior and class participation is a result of student functioning, each index is also a function of teacher bias and management.

In conclusion, the attitudes of at least 75% of the target students towards school were improved as measured by attendance, class participation, and behavior in school

TABLE I
BETHEL PRIMARY ATTENDANCE RECORD

<u>Grade</u>	<u>Target Enrollment</u>	<u>Total Days Absent</u>	<u>Percent of Absenteeism*</u>
1	5	93	10.9
1	8	178	13.1
1	8	167	12.3
2	16	289	10.6
2	2	28	8.2
2	1	12	7.1
3	13	234	10.6
3	3	6	1.2

*Based on a 170-day school year

Reasons for absences (typical comments):

Unexplained
Illness
Family chores
Skipping
Home problems
Trip to another village
Babysitting

BETHEL PRIMARY CLASS PARTICIPATION RECORD



I N A C T I V E

Lethargic Indifferent Unproductive Disruptive Naturally quiet Unproductive Other Productive Naturally quiet Productive Interested but has difficult time Verbal Productive Leader

A C T I V E

Target Students Grade 1

1								0	1			
2	x	o										
3												
4	x											
5			o									

Grade 1

1	x											
2												
3												
4		o										
5												
6												
7												
8												

Grade 14

1												
2												
3	x	o										
4												
5												
6												
7												
8	x	o										

Fall represented by x; spring represented by o.

- 1) Verbally productive, disruptive
- 2) Active, interested, productive, sometimes disruptive

- 3) Immature, just not ready for 1st grade
- 4) School was a joke first semester; now working very well, productive and quieted down

Target Students Grade 2	I N A C T I V E			A C T I V E				
	Lethargic Indifferent Unproductive	Disruptive	Naturally quiet Unproductive	Other	Naturally quiet Productive	Interested but has difficult time	Verbal Productive	A Leader
1	x							
2								
3			x					
4			x					
5			x	2				
6	x							
7								
8			x					
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
Grade 2								
1								
2								
Grade 2								
1								

Fall represented by x; spring represented by o.

- 1) Some, but very little progress
- 2) Did make progress

BETHEL PRIMARY CLASS PARTICIPATION RECORD (contd.)

Target Students Grade 3.	I N A C T I V E			A C T I V E		
	Lethargic Indifferent Unproductive	Naturally quiet Unproductive	Naturally quiet Productive	Interested but has difficult time	Verbal Productive	Leader
1				X	O	
2				X	O	
3		X				
4	X					
5		X				
6		X				
7			X			
8		X				O
9		X				
10			X			
11	X					
12						
13		X				
14		X				
15		X				
Grade 3						
1		X				
2						
3						

Fall represented by x; spring represented by o.

TABLE III
BETHEL PRIMARY CLASS BEHAVIOR RECORD

Target Students

Grade	Behavior Ratings			Comments
	Poor	Fair	Good	
1	x	o		Disruptive, can't sit still, no concentration No interest
2	x	o		
3			x o	
4		o	x	
5	x		o	

Grade 1

Grade	Behavior Ratings			Comments
	Poor	Fair	Good	
1		x o		Students 1, 3, 4, and 5 are not disruptive but rarely participate in group activities Students 1, 3, and 4 are off in their own world most of the time unless they are working with an adult with two or three other children.
2			x o	
3		x		
4		o	x	
5		o	x	
6			x o	
7			o o	
8	x		o	

Grade 1

Grade	Behavior Ratings			Comments
	Poor	Fair	Good	
1				Students 1, 3, 4, and 5 are not disruptive but rarely participate in group activities Students 1, 3, and 4 are off in their own world most of the time unless they are working with an adult with two or three other children.
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				

Grade 1

Grade	Behavior Ratings			Comments
	Poor	Fair	Good	
1				Daydreams a lot.
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				

Fall represented by x; spring represented by o.

BETHEL PRIMARY CLASS BEHAVIOR RECORD (cont'd).

Target Students	Behavior Ratings			Comments
	Poor	Fair	Good	
Grade 2				
1		x	o	
2		x	o	
3		x	o	
4		x	o	
5		x	o	
6		x	o	
7		x	o	
8		x	o	
9		x	o	
10		x	o	
11		x	o	
12		x	o	
13		x	o	
14		x	o	
15		x	o	
16		x	o	

Generally the children are very well behaved. Few are disruptive.

Grade 2	Fair	Good	Excellent
1			o
2	o	to	o
Grade 2			o
1			o

18

Fall represented by x; spring represented by o.

BETHEL PRIMARY CLASS BEHAVIOR RECORD (cont'd)

Target Students	Behavior Ratings			Comments
	Poor	Fair	Good	
Grade 3				
1		o		
2		o	to	After a parent conference this student has improved
3			o	
4			o	
5			o	Very non-committal
6			o	
7			o	
8			o	
9			o	
10			o	
11		o	to	After parent conference has improved
12			o	
13			o	
14			o	
15			o	
Grade 3				
1	x			
2	x	o		
3	x		o	Sometimes excellent

19

Fall represented by x; spring represented by o.

- b. Discuss the effect Title I has had upon the educational achievement of educationally deprived children in your school. Include or attach available data supporting the effects. Show evidence, even though there is no gain.

Goal V. for Bethel Primary Title I states: To improve the scores for at least 75% of nonreading target students in all deficient categories as measured by the Slingerland tests.

Only 11% (2) of the students mentioned above improved in all deficient categories on the Slingerland tests. Eighty-nine percent (89%) of the students in this target group of eighteen failed to show improvement in one of two sub-tests--Copying or Visual Perception-Memory. The majority in this percentage did poorly on both Copying and Visual Perception-Memory.

Although the eighteen Grade One target students in the Slingerland group did not improve in all deficient categories as measured by the Slingerland tests, 100% of the students did improve in at least four of the seven Slingerland categories.

In conclusion, the effect of Title I upon the educational achievement of the educationally deprived children in Bethel Primary School in the Slingerland test group (N=18) has been very small. However, the effect of Title I upon the educational achievement of the educationally deprived children in Bethel Primary School in the Scott-Foresman levels test group seems to be great (see Table V). For further documentation of student achievement in the new Scott-Foresman levels tests see Comments By Reading Coordinator (p. 45).

PRE-TEST AND POST TEST RESULTS FOR TARGET STUDENTS IN GRADE 1
SLINGERLAND PRE-READING SCREENING PROCEDURES
TO IDENTIFY FIRST GRADE ACADEMIC NEEDS

Target Students	Visual Discrim. of Letters	Visual Discrim. of Word Forms	Visual Percep. - Memory	Copying	Visual Percep. - Memory	Auditory Discrim.	Alphabet
Porte							
1 (pre)	L	M	M-	L	L	M-	L
1 (post)	M	M-	M+	L	L	M	M-
2	H	M	L	L	L	M	L
	H	M+	H	L	M+	H	H
3	M-	L	M-	L	L	M	L
	M+	M-	M-		M-	M-	M+
4	M+	M	M	L	M-	M-	M-
	M	M	M	L	L	M-	H
5	M+	M+	M	L	L	M+	M-
	H	M+	H	L	L	M	M+
6	H	M	M	L	M	H	M-
	H	M+	M+	M	M-	H	H
Dearmon							
7	H	H	H	L	L	M	M
	H	H	H	M-	M	M+	H
8	M	M-	H	L	L	M+	M-
	M-	L	M-	L	L	H	H
9	M+	M+	L	L	L	M+	L
10	H	M+	M-	L	L	M	L
	H	H	M-	L	M-	L	M
11	M+	M	M	L	L	M+	M
	H	M	H	L			
12	M+	M-	L	L	L	M-	L
	H	M+	M	L			
13	M+	M	M				
	H	M+	M+	M-	M-	M	H

Pre-Test and Post Test Results For Target Students In Grade 1
 Fingertland Pre-Reading Screening Procedures To Identify First
 Grade Academic Needs (contd.)

Students	Visual Discrim. of Letters	Visual Discrim. of Word Forms	Visual Percep. - Memory	Copying	Visual Percep. - Memory	Auditory Discrim.	Alphabet
(pre) M-		L	M	L	L	M	M+
(post) H		H	H	L	L	H	H
M+		M	M	L	L	M	L
H		H	H	L	L	H	H
M-		M-	M	L	L	M	M+
H		M+	M+	L	L	M+	H
M+		M	M-	L	L	M	M-
M+		M+	H	L	L	M+	H
M+		L	M	L	L	M-	L
H		H	H	M+	M	H	H

Fingertland Rating

h

- c. Give objective evidence of the impact of Title I on the reading achievement levels of educationally deprived children. Include data with this report.

Goal VI for Bethel Primary Title I states: To improve reading skills for 50% of the target pupils by at least one year during the project period (Sept.-May) as measured by the Scott-Foresman levels tests.

The impact of the Title I program on the reading achievement levels of the educationally deprived children at Bethel Primary School is great. The September, February, and May achievement levels for the target students are given in Table V. Sixty-one percent (24), or 38 out of 62 students, moved ahead at least one year in the new Scott-Foresman levels program. Some target students advanced more than one year. Tests for level advancement were administered by the Reading Coordinator. Students had to get 75% to 80% of the test items right before being passed for advancement. (See Comments by Reading Coordinator, p. 45.) One year of improvement is defined as follows: A student must move at least three steps from an initial point. Examples of one year of growth are movement from: Level 1 to 4, Level 2 to 5, Level 3 to 6, etc.

It is concluded that the Bethel Primary staff achieved a higher percentage of target students who advanced one year or more in the new Scott-Foresman levels program than was anticipated originally. Table V shows the movement of target students through the reading levels following the passing of the new Scott-Foresman levels tests in the 75% to 80% accuracy range. Although Grade One target students did not meet the one year growth criterion, their growth can be considered to be very good in a rigorous program.

TABLE V

RECORD OF PROGRESSION OF STUDENTS THROUGH
THE NEW SCOTT-FORESMAN PRIMARY READING LEVELS
IN APPROPRIATE PLACEMENT CONTINUOUS PROGRESS PROGRAM

Target Students	Teacher	Grade	Reading Levels (Grade 1: 1-4; Grade 2: 5-8; Grade 3: 9-12)		
			September	February	May
1	Brown	1	0	2	2
2			0	2	2
3			0	2	2
4			0	2	2
5			0	2	2
6	LaPorte	1	0	2	2
7			0	2	2
8			0	2	3
9			0	2	3
10			0	2	2
11			0	2	3
12			0	3	3
13			0	3	3
14	McDearmon	1	0	2	
15			0	2	
16			0	2	2
17			0	3	3
18			0	3	3
19			0	2	2
20			0	2	2
21			0	2	2
22	Haddix	2	1	3	4
23			1	4	5
24			1	3	5
25			1	4	5
26			1	4	5
27			1	3	4
28			1	3	4
29			1	5	6
30			1	5	7
31			1	7	7
32			1	6	7
33			1	7	8
34			1	3	4
35			1	5	7
36			1	5	7
37			1	5	6
38			1	4	withdrew
39			1	4	5
40			1	5	7
41	1	5	6		

Record of Progression of Students Through
 New Scott-Foresman Primary Reading Levels
 Appropriate Placement Continuous Progress Program (contd.)

Reading Levels
 (Grade 1: 1-4; Grade 2: 5-8;
 Grade 3: 9-12)

Student	Teacher	Grade	Reading Levels		
			September	February	May
42	Lundy	2	3		withdrew
43			3		5
44			3		4
45			3		4
46	Kashatok	3	3	6	6
47			3	7	8
48			3	7	7
49			3	7	8
50			3		7
51			3	6	7
52			3		7
53			3		7
54			2	6	8
55			2		7
56	2		7		
57	2		7		
58			3	7	
59	Winters	3	5		8
60			5		8
61			5		8
62			5		withdrew
63			2		5
64			3		7
65					2

- d. What changes have been made, or are you planning in this Title I project (i.e., administrative structure, curriculum, teaching methods, non-public schools, services to the pupils and/or community, etc.)?

Goal I for Bethel Primary Title I states: To modify the behavior of 100% of at least eight target teachers in meeting students' individual needs as measured by questionnaires, observations, reports, and other data.

The Bethel Primary School staff professional development program is summarized in the checklist entitled "Anticipated Observable and Measurable Promising Classroom Practices." Feedback from teachers relative to the checklist criteria is found in the section entitled "Teacher Interview: Evaluator's Notes and Comments." Teacher match with the criteria was fair to excellent. These data are documentation of educational change at Bethel Primary School.

The Instructional Programs Conflict Chart illustrates the conflict Bethel Primary teachers experienced in developing classroom management systems to individualize instruction. The Bethel teachers did better than most educators in resolving the instructional approach conflict.

Changes in teaching methodology, curriculum, and educational point of view are documented by the following interview data:

- Comments By Resource Teachers
- Comments By Some Remarkable Tutors and Aides
- Comments By Reading Coordinator

On the basis of interview data, evaluator observations of checklist criteria implementation, and student achievement it is concluded that Goal I for Bethel Primary Title I Project was achieved.

BETHEL TITLE I PROGRAM

Bethel Title I Program is based upon needs as articulated by the community: self and cultural awareness, and basic communication skills in Yupik and English. The program draws its philosophical roots from the ideas of Piaget, Skinner, Rogers, Dewey, Neill, Liberman, and the Plowden Report relating to open classroom operations in England. Its definition of education is one that involves a process of communication wherein individual needs are met. The resultant meld of ideas has formed the conceptual framework for a program trying to balance the best of many philosophies:

cognitive development within the context of personal-emotional development;

the use of specific behavioral objectives;

the provision of many options for the student;

freedom of choice--adult direction;

teacher directed lessons--independent student problem-solving;

learning by doing--learning by abstracting;

use of manipulatives--use of pencil and paper;

involvement of community and parents stemming from the belief that most learning takes place outside of school;

development of an innovative and dynamic school program in the belief that school can make a difference;

emphasis on the process of learning while attempting to develop relevant content;

working with students within the context of modifying teacher-school behavior.

We hope to attain classrooms in which the teacher acts as a resource person and consultant to the students, who will take more and more of the responsibility for their education. Within this framework, materials in the classroom will be used to help each student with his particular learning needs at a particular time.

The attached program objectives should be viewed as foci to evaluate some parts of the program. These objectives will only be valid if the people working with the program feel successful in the attempts to create a happy, learning child.

Maile Curda, Title I Coordinator
 at Eisenbart, Reading Teacher
 Carol Hooker, Reading Teacher
 Andy Dobnik, Secretary-IMC Clerk

Lucy Crow, Community Liaison
 Lela Sentis, Math Teacher
 Gayle Meade, Reading Teacher
 Hetty Barthel, Reading Teacher

ORGANIZATIONAL OBJECTIVES

BETHEL TITLE I FY 74

- I. To act as a change agent for the schools while trying to integrate with on-going school programs.
- II. To coordinate and/or help coordinate community and school relations.
- III. To draw upon state and national resources while publicizing local school programs.

cc: Title I Elementary Staff
J. Zuelow
V. Etter
L. Winters
Title I High School Staff

GOALS FOR BETHEL PRIMARY TITLE I

K-3 1973-74

- I. To modify the behavior of 100% of at least eight target teachers in meeting students' individual needs as measured by questionnaires, observations, reports, and other data.
- II. To improve the attitudes of at least 75% of 70 target students towards school as measured by attendance, class participation, and behavior in school.
- III. To coordinate and or help coordinate the efforts of all target teachers with the school nurses, Dr. Hurwitz from Bethel P.H.S., at least 15 community tutors, Special Services, and school-community facilities as measured by schedules, observations, reports, memos and other data.
- IV. To coordinate the visiting of 100% of target students' homes and establishing or reinforcing of communication between home and school as measured by observations, reports, questionnaires, letters, and other data.
- V. To improve the scores for at least 75% of nonreading target students in all deficient categories as measured by the Slingerland tests.
- VI. To improve the reading skills for 50% of the target pupils by at least one year during the project period (Sept.-May) as measured by the Scott-Foresman level tests.

MEMORANDUM

27

ASXA

Water Wood
Alaska State Operated Schools
53 International Airport Road
Anchorage, Alaska 99502

DATE November 16, 1973

Pat Eisenbart
Title I
Bethel Primary School
Bethel, Alaska 99559

SUBJECT Release Time

attached is rationale for changing to half days (for use of release time), objectives we will meet, and a schedule for meeting the objectives. If anything is not in order, please let me know!

We feel this will really work here because of the people on the staff. Dale Curda, our Title I coordinator, spent the summer taking a course from Dr. Shore and is really up on what's happening along the individualized program line. We have had course work in diagnosis and prescription. We think we can put it all together to develop a really individualized program at Bethel. The teachers are really up for it. Hope it goes.

The Middle School teachers will send their proposal as soon as possible. We would like ours to be processed immediately since Dr. Shore will be in Bethel the last week in November.

Thanks for your help.

Pat

c. James Zuelow
LaVerne Etter
D. A. Weinberg
Dale Curda
Susan Murphy
Robert Shore

USE OF RELEASE TIME

Schools which do not produce self-directed citizens have failed everyone--the student, the profession, and the society they are assigned to serve. We live in a world in which there is no longer a common body of information which everyone must have. The information explosion has blasted the notion that we can feed all students the same diet. The production of students with increasing uniqueness cannot be achieved in an autocratic atmosphere where all decisions are made by the teachers and administration while students are reduced to passive followers of the established patterns. Authoritarian schools are as out of date as the world we live in as the horse and buggy."

The above statement by Arthur Combs of the University of Florida is but one argument for the use of a learning center approach to education in the Bethel Elementary School. From Dr. Robert Shore I gleaned the following:

"Instructional programs in reading and language arts should be based upon a specific sequence of instruction."

A diagnostic scheme should be used in an individualized reading and language arts program.

Learning activities in the classroom should be used as interventions for the learner.

Student growth should be measured and recorded daily in an organized manner for easy effective planning.

Students should be organized in learning stations with a flexible schedule.

The structures and processes of language arts and reading should be presented to children in a prepared saturated environment.

Students should find many game strategies available in reading and language arts skills mastery.

Interventions should be concrete, multi-sensory and manipulative."

Marshall McLuhan said, "The medium is the message." John Dewey said, "We learn what we do." The theoretical bases and research-tested bases of Dr. Shore's thesis are as follows:

1. "Learning and development are not the same; they are not synonymous. Children learn because of developmental stages. Children learn as a result of growth through a hierarchy."

"Piaget maintains that children seven to eleven years of age are in the stage of 'concrete operations'; therefore, these children learn best through concrete operations. They need to use all the senses; they need to manipulate. They learn what they bump into."

2. "Children learn best when they are self-regulated. They must make choices about what they are to learn. Children should initiate learning, make plans after study initiation, and complete work in a self-paced manner."
3. "Children learn more when they build upon language experiences. Many children cannot 'hook up' with commercial materials."

The changing role of the teacher to resource and consultant makes it mandatory that a solution to the problem of classroom diagnosis be found. The modern school with its philosophy of "appropriate placement, continuous progress" requires classroom management which results in the collection of data about individuals during the school day, not after the school day. The approach to diagnosis should be one of creating diagnostic experiences for individuals in a saturated environment emphasizing PROCESS. The teacher should function as a facilitator of learning while developing a diagnostic style. Strategies used by a teacher "with a diagnostic style involve and/or include TRUST, REWARDS, MULTI-SENSORY ACTIVITY, MANIPULATION, RELEVANCE, and PROCESS. Assumption: Teachers who in any way identify and address specific strengths and weaknesses will create student gains otherwise not possible."

A curriculum based on the above cannot be created overnight. Time must be allowed for teachers to plan and implement the approach educators are advocating.

We have also learned that a responsive environment is necessary for independent learning. The teacher must respond but also the classroom must have many materials and activities available to the child when he needs them. Individualized learning is not possible if children must wait to receive direction and guidance from the teacher for all learning experiences. A change must necessarily occur in the physical layout of the room. Individual needs can't be met in a room where children are desk-bound most of the day.

"The basic responsibility of the teacher includes creation of an environment that is responsive to the needs and interests of the child."

Based upon the above information and assumptions, we feel that it would be wise for the teachers of the Bethel Elementary School to use their release time at the rate of one half day every two weeks for a total of 6 days for the purpose of planning an individualized program and designing and creating a learning center situation in the classroom. This approach to inservice training provides a gradual and integrated method for change. Teachers can work with the students using new techniques and will have a chance for continuous feedback from students and other members of the staff.

Concept: 1. The Reading and Language Arts Instructional Program should be based upon a specific sequence of instruction.

Behavioral Objective: Given copies of the adopted State and Local sequences of instruction in reading and language arts, the teacher will change the format of the package so it reflects behavioral objectives and will implement the use of the sequence in his (her) classroom before the next inservice period. Accomplishment of this objective will be noted when the teacher is visibly following the sequence of instruction.

Concept: 2. A diagnostic approach is excellent for individualized reading and language arts program.

Behavioral Objective: After implementation of a sequence of instruction in reading and language arts, the teacher will use criterion reference tests (prepared by Title I) as diagnostic instruments and prescribe work for students for a two-week period based on the results of the CRT. Accomplishment will be noted when students are following a prescribed schedule fitting their needs.

Concept: 3. Learning activities are used as interventions for the learner.

Behavioral Objective: Given examples of learning stations, each teacher will prepare and implement the use of at least one learning station before the third inservice period. Accomplishment will be noted when students are using the center.

Concept: 4. Some organizational scheme for measuring and recording student growth helps effective planning.

Behavioral Objective: Given examples of recording techniques, the teacher will choose the one that he can function with and keep a visible record of student progress. Accomplishment will be noted when teacher can show each student's progress when asked.

Concept: 5. Flexible scheduling allows for efficient use of learning stations.

Behavioral Objective: Given examples of scheduling techniques, the teacher will choose or adapt the one that he can function with, and implement the method in his classroom. Accomplishment will be noted when students are using the schedule at least one day a week.

Concept: 6. Presenting the structures and processes of language arts and reading is an efficient way to teach in our world of expanding knowledge.

Behavioral Objective: Given a philosophy, the teacher will express in writing that teaching the structures and processes does not mean "teaching the test." Full realization of the above will be noted when the teacher begins teaching the processes.

Concept: 7. Concrete, multi-sensory manipulative techniques are valuable in teaching children 7 to 11 years old.

Behavioral Objective: Given material to work with the teacher will prepare three different manipulatives for her learning stations. Accomplishment will be noted when the manipulatives are being used by students.

Concept: 8. The teacher will become more of a resource and consultant to each student.

Behavioral Objective: The teacher will become more of a resource and consultant to each student. Accomplishment will be noted when the teacher spends at least one half day per week listening rather than directing.

SCHEDULE FOR USE OF RELEASE TIME

November 29	Objective 1 Dr. Shore will meet with new staff to give overview of his philosophy and methods.
December 13	Continue and try to complete Objective 1
January 9	Begin Objective 2 Objective 3 Theory behind Objective 6
January 23	Complete Objective 2 Complete Objective 6 Introduce Objective 4
February 5	Complete Objective 4
February 19	Objective 3 Theory and displays Objective 5
March 15	Complete Objective 5
March 29	Continued work on Objective 3 with use of Objective 7
April 8	Continued work on Objective 3 with use of Objective 7
April 22	Ways to implement Objective 8
May 2	Implementation Objective 8
May 16	Evaluation by staff of program Evaluation by Dr. Shore

November 21, 1973

Mr. Vern Williams, Assistant Director
Division of Instructional Services
Department of Education
Pouch F
Juneau, Alaska 99801

Dear Vern:

Attached is an amendment to the Bethel Release Time Proposal I am sending as seed in the interest of time. You will note the revised objectives and revised list of requested half days from November 29, 1973 through May 16, 1974 for a total of twelve half days. You will also note that the format does not include the activity statement or the evaluation statement. However, the objectives seem extremely well written and the activity self-evident. If we can verbally agree with Bethel on the evaluation criteria, I recommend your approval of the November 29th half day request in order to allow them to take advantage of Dr. Shere's visit. Subsequently, I am sure Bethel will work out whatever other information is needed for complete approval.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

Baxter Wood
Director
Learning Support Services

BW/ujw

cc: Al Wienburg
Pat Eisenbart



PLEASE RETURN TO PRIMARY PRINCIPAL

NAME _____

GRADE OR POSITION _____

DATE _____

In-Service Evaluation

The Alaska Department of Education has requested that we evaluate the effectiveness of our half-day In-Service work sessions.

Please respond to the following:

- 1) Briefly explain how you used the release time this week:

- 2) What difference did the fact that this release-time enabled you to work individually on the objectives stated in your schedule have on your subsequent instructional program?

- 3) In general, did the work you accomplished warrant the dismissal of the children at 1:00 PM so you could accomplish this work?

4)

(Criteria for Bethel Model)

ANTICIPATED OBSERVABLE AND MEASURABLE
 PROMISING CLASSROOM PRACTICES*
 (Classroom Management)

Teacher:

1. Organizes the school day so that students help prepare the systems to be used in the classroom (games, etc.).
2. Functions with a diagnostic style; e.g., collects bits and pieces of information about a few learners and takes action the same day or within a short period of time (prescription). Is not frustrated with long lists of "cannot's" but uses a "can do" approach with children.
3. Functions with a simple record keeping system of can do's (objectives). Records are completed "on the run."
4. Uses a scheduling device for modular scheduling part of the day. Sets certain things in motion during specific periods of time.
5. Pre-packages instruction. The pre-package is the modern lesson plan. Organizes the world for children in small steps.
6. Attempts to provide six ways to learn the same skill. Places emphasis upon multi-sensory and concrete experiences.
7. Provides self-checking devices.
8. Provides for home involvement; e.g., merit program to extend oral reading at home.
9. Saturates the environment. Students see system and order. Mastery and maintenance of skills are emphasized.
10. Uses short rapid drills daily.
11. Communicates with learners with follow-direction process words (task cards in a 1, 2, 3 approach).
12. Groups learners for specific purposes.
13. Organizes learning stations which are used daily by groups and individuals.

Students:

1. Use manipulative devices for learning.
2. Use games for learning.

* (1) Already in use; (2) To be extended; (3) Not in use--to be initiated

3. Have a regular schedule of learning events (formal lessons, seatwork, learning stations activities).
4. Have a certain number of options.
5. Help prepare the system they are to use.
6. Set goals for themselves (contracts).
7. Work with partners.
8. Work in teams.
9. Work alone.
10. Seek assistance in a number of places (task cards, model, another student, etc.) before asking the teacher.
11. Develop and maintain a number of My Own's (My Own Dictionary, etc.).
12. Observe time limits part of the day.
13. Participate in a home practice program.
14. Build I Can Do boards.
15. Participate in large group short rapid drills daily.
16. Make use of teacher-prepared task cards.
17. Can master a set of objectives in reading or math by a certain date.
18. Can reach the 70th percentile or above on a standardized reading or math test after _____ weeks of input. Note: This "can do" is a terminal objective which short term interim efforts indicate are forthcoming.
19. Can read at least an easy at-level reader independently after six weeks on input.
20. Can do the basic math at grade level (apart from story problems) in a given unit after six weeks of input.

Also, see "Minimal Requirements for All Students" (Shore syllabus Appendix A).

Robert E. Shore, Ed.D.
1/7/74

INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAMS CONFLICT CHART

Bethel Primary
Title I approach

Activity-Centered Instruction vs. Specific Skills Instruction

Topical	Behavioral
Subject	System
Page	Process
Job: complete assignment	Task: achieve objective
Purpose often vague	Purpose clearly stated
Enriching	Edifying and enriching
Diagnosis difficult	Diagnostic
Target teaching difficult	Prescriptive
Measurement difficult	Measurement easy
Record keeping difficult	Record keeping easy
Complex	Simple
()	Makes use of principles of learning
Easy to assign 1 to 35	Difficult to assign 1 to 35
Emphasizes means	Emphasizes end
Irregular	Regular - drill

*Compares and contrasts the two major instructional approaches. The emphasis in American education for many years has been upon the activity-centered approach. Both approaches are useful but in the classroom management system of the modern era, efforts to individualize, even during part of the day, are hindered by an activity-centered prototype.

Copyright Mar. 1974
Robert E. Shore, Ed.D.

RESOURCE TEACHER'S NOVEMBER NOTES

	<u>Visitation to other teachers rooms</u>	<u>Observation by Shore</u>	<u>Individual Conference</u>	<u>Demonstration by Shore</u>
9:00- 9:30				
9:30-10:00	Brown, Kashatok, Zuelow, Pat			McDearmon
10:00-10:30	Winters, Haddix Hooker, Pat			
10:30-11:00	Lundy, McDearmon, Brown, Hooker, Curda	Evans		Carlisle
11:00-11:30				Brown
11:30-12:00				
12:30- 1:00			Zuelow	
1:00 -- 1:30				

We had really good participation on Friday. A very short meeting with the whole school at 1:30, talking about objectives, can do's, smart charts. Breaking to two groups, mostly primary teachers together for discussion of evaluation procedures, with Dr. Shore; middle school teachers viewed slides with Dale. Then good attendance at demonstration of how to build a writing center.

The tutors met with Dr. Shore Thursday morning, Nov. 29, for slides and wanted to see him again Friday. They discussed manipulatives and then all went to McDearmon's room to work one-to-one with kids. Pat (McDearmon) was great - floating along with 20 extra people. The kids loved it.

On to Carlisle's room where I (Eisenbart) worked with kids and Curda, Zuelow, Brown, Hass, McDearmon watched Shore and listened while he talked about a scheduling board.

Then Brown's room with Curda, me (Eisenbart), Carol taping, McDearmon, Zuelow and Hass, helping kids cut up sentences, rearrange them, and read them. Great.

Then the afternoon meeting described above.

2/7/73

Jim-

I am currently spending my time like this--as closely as possible:

Each day
9:15 - 9:30 Mrs. Brown
9:30 -10:00 Mrs. Lundy
10:00 -10:30 Mrs. Kashatok
10:30 -11:00 Mrs. Haddix
11:00 -11:30 Mrs. Brown
Except
Thursday 9-10 tutor meeting

Afternoons are spent making things for Kashatok, LaPorte, and Haddix. Usually once weekly I talk with Mariann Stillner, particularly about (student). I spend a few minutes every day (maybe 10-15) with Ray Gaither (or every other day). We're working with (student) together now. Thursday afternoon Curda comes in and we "confer."

That's the true scoop for now.

Pat

2/2

Pat-

Just wanted to let you know how pleased I am with "Big" Sarah. I had her read aloud poems Friday - just threw it at her cold - and she did it like a pro. She reads well, too. She's always on time, too, which I really appreciate.

Leta

TEACHER INTERVIEW: EVALUATOR'S NOTES AND COMMENTS
May 1974

Kindergarten

Although no "official" target students were listed for the kindergartens (one kindergarten contained a special group of bilingual Eskimo students in an outstanding program) both kindergarten teachers participated directly and indirectly in the Title I effort. Their influence and good results were observed by all. Both teachers met the criteria on the promising practices checklist. Out of a total of 58 students all but 2 passed the Level 1 Scott-Foresman reading test. Five passed Level 2 into 3. One passed Level 3 into 4. The kindergartens were exemplary by any Title I or other high standards. It should be noted that one of the kindergarten teachers is an Eskimo who began her career in the Arctic group (The Alaska Rural Teachers Corps). The evaluator believes the kindergarten teachers contributed to the success of the Bethel Primary Title I Project.

Grade 1 - Teacher A

Options always on the board
Students wrote in journals
"Title I got me there; I wasn't trained to do these things."
Math objectives in roll (grade) book; excellent system
Some phonics objectives spelled out
Use of objectives: definite guiding source but not step by step
"I would saturate more."
Engineered the environment; used packing cases
Very diagnostic in math but less so in reading
Punch card record system for individuals not too good
No post office

Grade 1 - Teacher B

Developed a saturated environment
Taped activities for Open House record
Improved record keeping
Made better use of aides
Solved scheduling problems
"Before I made it too complicated."

Grade 2 - Teacher A

Excellent saturated environment
Guided by the Scott-Foresman levels
Offered free day every week
Strong directed teaching input
Continued to use resources to maintain what was learned
"They knew schedule each day" - 20-25 minute modules
Much pre-packaging
Not much self checking
Tried to teach the same thing in different ways
Grouped sets of sight words

Grade 2 - Teacher B

- "I want more learning centers in my classroom."
- "Target group needs to be guided; they don't work well on their own."
- "The slow don't finish a lot."
- "We met in small groups for basics at a different time from centers."
- "Special resources were used after regular work was finished and during free time."
- "Fast students always eager to do more."
- Scheduling is a problem
- Keeping up resources is a problem. "Students do the new things and tire quickly."
- "We used English dittoes, much phonics activity, and a spelling workbook."

Grade 2 - Teacher C

- Taught four or 5 basal reading groups
- Accepted assistance in pre-post testing
- Used many sources for basic reading instruction: Scott -Foresman, Alaskan Reader, old readers
- At first students worked every workbook page, but not now. "I remember what they need to work on."
- Math book is a guide; students work at own pace. "I had to do some grouping because they got ahead of me and themselves."
- Teacher directions are on the chalk board
- Excellent dictionary skills center
- Some good task cards observed
- Students wore out 2 sets of memory cards with pictures and words.

Grade 3 - Teacher A

- Excellent saturated environment
- Used math checklist and CRT book
- Excellent punch card for scheduling and record keeping
- Most of the criteria in the promising practices checklist were met on a very high level

Grade 3 - Teacher B

- "Multi-age levels need to accomplish specific work lists and can do's by a certain date."
- "Minimal requirements need to be set"
- "We need more depth"
- Stories around the world were excellent
- Well-traveled parent resource added greatly to this program. Concepts were developed.
- Used stations at times
- Strong directed teaching input
- Excellent presentation of art. Participants felt good about themselves.

COMMENTS BY RESOURCE TEACHERS

Regarding being effective under new conditions of accountability in a new educational era: "We have not made a giant leap but have made a first step."

"...haven't put it all together."

Pleased to know: "no negative comments for Title I" (this year).

There have been some instances of:

"lack of cooperation; locked door policy."

"referral of target students but no releasing."

"lack of use of certain recommended materials."

"some refusal to use tutors." (The exception not the rule.)

We recommend:

"a problem solving approach (centering on specific children) with specific examples and small steps."

"increase the training of students to use the resources."

"emphasize a follow directions system for K-3."

"keep the high expectation level for all."

"emphasize trusting children. (We make them too dependent.)"

"People are beginning to think about what they are doing.

A philosophy of education is developing." (Open classroom vs. an academy.) "Can talk about it."

"We have had tremendous faculty meetings and committees."

"Need more drill."

We have:

"increased sight word load."

"increased manipulatives and games."

"had more requests for manipulatives and games to be made; the tutors have helped here."

"increased classroom saturation of concrete experiences."

"improved record keeping."

"had a strong directed teaching segment."

"Everyone is beginning to look at objectives." (Effort in the math area was more organized than in the reading area.)

Learning stations "aren't strong" ... "are too typical, interest-centered, and irregular."

"There has definitely been a CRT approach at Bethel Elementary; we developed a Fountain Valley cross reference."

COMMENTS BY READING COORDINATOR

"Target teachers sent students when they were ready for a level test."

"Preparation for the levels tests has improved this year."

"Tutoring by high school students and paid aides paid off."

"The tutors were all very faithful."

"The quality of the reading program has improved this year."

"The tests are hard. Students get 75% to 80% right. The top students do better."

"For the first time all kindergarten children in two classes (N=58) passed the Level 1 Scott-Foresman reading test; only two fell below the 80% level." Note: Special bilingual students were not in these groups.

Reading Coordinator recommendations:

1. Need additional materials for variety and added input.
2. Need an index of skills and sources.
3. Need dictionary skills beyond Level 7.

COMMENTS BY SOME REMARKABLE TUTORS* AND AIDES*

"Some target students have a tough time socially."

"Many do a lot on their own. Even though they are in the target group many are independent and good workers."

"Some get discouraged when they get behind."

"Students race and make errors; most weren't ready to work independently. They need to be trained."

"When I went here (Bethel Elementary) we sat in rows and all read the same book. (But) "we did more."

"More room to goof now."

"High school gave me all this freedom and I didn't do anything."

"Later on it has to be independent."

"The math period is too long."

Teacher A: "If it's sinking (the class) she stops."

Teacher B: "She just keeps working."

"We should follow the kid's energy."

"Seems like we do the same thing every day; need to choose more."

"Can't choose much with a boss in the room."

"When a student has enthusiasm for something we should do it."

"His thing doesn't always fit in with what we are doing; need to follow up."

"We need to turn kids on. They like things they can work with their hands. Examples: folding, making hats, tying, making a pig's face. It's better than reading the whole book. (Make supplementary items to enrich the story.)"

"Students try to go too fast; they compete."

"Hard to slow 'em down."

"Yes, they understand what they read."

"We have a big spread."

*Knew target students as well as the teachers.

Note: Some tutors and aides felt that they could predict who would succeed. All tutors and aides learned the language of teaching.

- e. Describe the nature and extent of community and parent involvement in this Title I project. Include a description of the activities of your Parent Advisory Council.

Goal III for Bethel Primary Title I states: To coordinate and/or help coordinate the efforts of all target teachers with the school nurse, Dr. Hurwitz from Bethel P.H.S., at least 15 community tutors, Special Services, and school-community facilities as measured by schedules, observations, reports, memos, and other data.

Administrators, coordinators and resource persons, teachers, special services staff, and the community liaison worker attended the following meetings:

1. Numerous Title I and Special Services meetings at the school
2. Meetings with the Medical Health Nurse (YKHC)
3. Meetings of the Parent Advisory Council
4. Meetings with interagencies
5. Meetings with Dr. Smith of P.H.S.
6. Meetings with Legal Services, Hospital Social Services, Day Care Center, and parents

Documentation of these sessions was found in the detailed log maintained by Mrs. Lucy Crow, Community Liaison Worker. In addition, the Bethel Primary Evaluation Chart maintained by the Title I Coordinator also contains a record of these sessions (see Appendix F).

It is to be noted that there are numerous agencies in Bethel, "but find out what they do." The record states: "The people can't make use of the services." One family was observed to be on welfare for twelve years, but they were not visited once; the children are abused. The Community Liaison Worker had difficulty getting the cooperation of the State Welfare Officer.

The community tutoring program was a major strength of the 1973-74 Bethel Primary Title I Program. A sample of tutor involvement is contained in the Target Teacher section of this report (Goal I). (See Comments by Some Remarkable Tutors and Aides.) Teacher Form A contains part of the tutor/aide involvement documentation. (See School-Community section, p. 50. The evaluator observed the high level of tutor/aide performance many times. Administrators and teachers commented frequently to the evaluator about the excellent work of the tutors and aides. Bethel Regional High School volunteers were outstanding.

In conclusion the nature and extent of community and parent involvement in this Title I project were exemplary.

Parent Advisory Council

Julia Beaver - Kasigluk

Martina Angaiak - Tununak

Tom McIntyre - Euk

Katie Kernak - Napakiak

James Peter, Sr. - Akiachuk

Chrissie Shantz - Bethel

Oct. 11 Dale, Lucy, Orie, Pat will meet Thurs. at 2:00 in Rm. 34.

Mrs. Shantz wants to get into baking. Can we get a portable oven or small stove?

Parent Advisory Council. Can they come for a meeting to let them know what's going on in elementary school. Next week at this time. One or two at a time.

Parents want to come into high school. Lucy has received a call.

Dale in elementary Tues. and Thurs. Fri. is for administration.

Coordinator's meeting starting next Thurs. at 3:30-4:00.

Amendments to project on Sandy's desk.

Lucy should get on radio - Yupik - things that are happening at school.

Dec. meeting - PA Council

Mrs. Brenneman found out that school board meetings were open to parents and community. Discussed video taping in elementary school. Talked about problems in Middle School.

March meeting - PA Council

Plans for next year. Mrs. Shantz thinks we need to go into vocational education. Most parents wanted more aides, particularly parents, in the classroom. We went over the possible proposal for next year.

Note: 12/3//73

Pat McDearmon just told me she thought the inservice was excellent. The first time she ever felt the time was worthwhile. With the demonstrations in the morning and then time to work on the ideas in the afternoon, she felt a lot had been accomplished.

Other PAC meeting dates: Oct. 18, Oct. 25, Nov. 8, Dec. 20.

Reported by Pat Eisenbart

Goal IV for Bethel Primary Title I states: To coordinate the visiting of 100% of target students' homes and establishing or reinforcing of communication between home and school as measured by observations, reports, questionnaires, letters, and other data.

OBSERVATIONS AND REPORTS OF HOME VISITS
RECORDED BY MRS. LUCY CROW, * COMMUNITY LIAISON WORKER

Note: The volume of field notes and data gathered in home visits by Mrs. Lucy Crow, the teaching staff, and administrators is so great that only a sample can be recorded here. Lucy Crow's efforts as a community liaison worker were exemplary in every way. This evaluator has never seen such extensive home contacting in other educational systems.

Lucy Crow visited in the community all hours, all days, all places. Commented Lucy, "When you walk you have lots of contacts. When it is real cold I take a cab."

Lucy observed happy homes and homes with severe problems. In a visit to an adult education class to tell participants about their children who never came to school, Lucy stressed that it was the parents' responsibility to get children to school. Most of the parents blamed older children for not bringing the little ones.

The numerous meetings attended by the Community Liaison Worker are described under Goal III, p. 47.

The Community Liaison Worker facilitated the following:

- Free meal applications
- Family services "hook up" with numerous State and Federal agencies. Many families cannot avail themselves of family services without special assistance.
- Preparation of health forms
- Communications between grandparents and parents regarding children
- Contacts for employment for parents as tutors, food service helpers, etc.
- Problem solving for specific children
 - Example: "Met few minutes with (principal) about _____'s daughter who keeps losing things and blaming other girls. Decided to get a box with her stuff and place it in (principal's) office closet."
- Escorting of parents to school to see teachers and other personnel

*A very articulate Eskimo woman with an intimate knowledge of the entire region and its problems.

EXCERPTS FROM LUCY CROW'S SCHOOL-COMMUNITY
COMMUNICATIONS LOG

"Nice home."

Appeared before the Magistrate (special welfare case).

"Father mentioned he never knew before how their kids are doing in school. Now he is being notified about Brian where both parents are able to encourage him. Agree to visit again Jan. 21."

"Drinking mother sent by father to village to alleviate drinking problem. He noticed the kids are settling down."

"Brian missed his older brother that died one year ago and has been acting childish since."

"Welcomed Mrs. _____ bringing (her child) to school who missed three years of school. Told her to be sure and bring him in again tomorrow."

"Lacked clothing.

Had to stay with friends and relatives because father went to the crab cannery. Left without oil -- everything frozen at home."

"Willie _____, age 6, has been in Bethel since November and has been in five homes...waiting to hear word from Social Service (hospital) where to put him. Anchorage?"

"Showers at school."

"Observed: no smile, quiet child."

"Observations of home behavior of adults which was detrimental to the progress of children:

Excessive use of alcoholic beverages

Seemingly improper use of welfare funds which are not directed toward the maintenance of the children

Some parents did not want anybody "rattling the cage"

Some parents (4 families in one report) do not send their children to school. Parents who have this behavior are numerous;

The number might reach 20%, however, this percentage has not been documented."

Reasons for lack of attendance:

Illness

No interest in school

Visit to village or Anchorage due to holidays or family problems

Separation of parents

"Gone fishing"

Sum: Most parents responded positively. Lucy Crow, Community Liaison Worker, made a major contribution to the success of the 1973-74 Bethel Primary Title I Program.

title I target teachers:

In order to facilitate our end of the year reports, we are putting together a check sheet, listing some of the objectives of the program. Most of the objectives will be checked by us, but some can only be done by you. Therefore, the attached sheet. If you could put this in a prominent place and note when you do one of the objectives it will save us all a lot of work in April. Some of the items may be used continuously and you may note that. The item we are really concerned about is home visits. If you could write the date next to the name each time you make a visit it will really help.

Thank you,

Carol & Pat

November 7, 1973

TEACHER FORM A

Name _____

Grade _____

Home visits:

Use of audio tapes:

Use of programmed texts:

Use of local people on a 1-to-1 basis:

(This form was made up by the resource teachers.)

FEEDBACK FOR
TEACHER FORM A

Teacher: LaPorte

Grade 1

Number of students: 6

Number of home visits: 7

Comments: Mother and father (of one student) work; not interested in home visit when contacted

Numerous encounters at school and on the street.

(Reference to parents of another student.)

Use of audio tapes: Once a week

Use of programmed texts: Daily beginning Jan 2 for each of above children.

Use of local people on a 1-to-1 basis: Matthew, Charley and Exenia have contact with at least three local people a day outside of classroom teacher where they receive some individual attention. Dorothy, Garrett and Peter also have contact with three people a day outside of the classroom teacher.

Teacher: Duffey.

Grade 2

Number of students: 19

Number of home visits: 6

Comments: Mother at school sees me frequently (reference to one student).

Father drops in frequently to discuss papers and problems he's having with Jason.

Mother drops in frequently. (Mother of Sara.)

Mother is available at school and helps. (Kenneth's mother.)

Use of audio tapes: Almost daily

Use of programmed texts: Two or three times per week

Use of local people on a 1-to-1 basis: Sarah, high school tutors, middle school tutors

Teacher: Kashatok

Grade 3

Number of students: 12

Number of home visits: 9

Use of audio tapes: Used all the time

Use of local people on a 1-to-1 basis: Used daily.

Teacher: Winters

Grade 3

Number of students: 3

Number of home visits: 1

Use of audio tapes: None, in home room; some with Orié, I think.

Use of programmed texts: None

Use of local people on a 1-to-1 basis: 3 different people

Teacher: Brown
Grade 1
Number of students: 5
Number of home visits: 5
Use of audio tapes: Daily
Use of programmed texts: Daily
Use of local people on a 1-to-1 basis: Mrs. Stillner

Teacher: McDearmon
Grade 1
Number of students: 8
Number of home visits: 3 (two others attempted)
Use of audio tapes: Almost daily
Use of programmed texts: Ginn Word Enrichment Program
Use of local people on a 1-to-1 basis:

December 3, 1973

TO: Jim Zuelow and Lucy Crow
SOS, Primary School

FROM: Sue Taylor
Bethel Day Care Center

SUBJ: Walter Heckman

Dear Jim and Lucy,

As you know, I have been trying to get Walter to attend the Day Care Center since last August. I talked with Dr. Stillner in September and have been waiting for him to inform me of the latest developments.

In the meantime, I have discovered that Walter is in school, but because I told Stillner I would continue to carry Walter on the books, I have been doing such. I still have received no "official" word from Dr. Stillner.

Because I am tired of waiting, and because you two have genuine concern about Walter, I would like to begin working together on this problem. On Friday, Nov. 30, I saw Walter standing outside the school, looking bewildered. I had just piled the afternoon kids in the truck and asked Walter what he was up to. I tried to find both of you but guess you were tied up somewhere. As it turned out, I gave Walter a ride home, and the other kids were talking with him. It was rather a nice trip.

When I got to his house, I talked with both George and Theresa Heckman. They said they would be glad if Walter attended Day Care Center when not in school. The first step, then, is now complete. Our problem now is transportation and timing. I'm sure we can work these out. I would prefer that YKHC pick Walter up anytime in the morning, but I have doubts that YK would be so cooperative. We can try and work with them and see if they would like to be a service organization or continue being one of the most self-servicing agencies in town. If they won't help, you can depend on the Marina boys or myself.

Let me know what you think. By the way, Molly Patton now attends here in the mornings. Thanks for your cooperation.

cc. Dr. Stillner, YKHC
George and Theresa Heckman,

BETHEL SOCIAL SERVICES, INC.

57

A NON PROFIT COMMUNITY SERVICE ORGANIZATION
P.O. BOX 271 543-2145
BETHEL, ALASKA 99559

December 9, 1973

Alvin Ivanoff, Deputy Director
Y.K.H.C.
Bethel, Alaska 99559

Dear Alvin:

I am writing in regards to Walter MCKINIAN, son of George and Theresa Heckman, who is presently enrolled in Kindergarten. At the present time, Y.K. is assisting this family by picking up the kids for school, because the older boy, Willie, has a broken leg. I understand this assistance will soon cease. The schedule has not worked too well for Walter who stands around the school or waits outside until 3:00p.m., waiting for his brother and for the Cold Weather bus. During the last week, I have brought Walter down to the Day Care Center after lunch and have used my own car to take him home.

After talking with his parents and with school personnel, we have all decided that it would be best for Walter to attend the Center for a half day. I cannot take Walter in the afternoon because I would be unable to get him home and also, my enrollment is already too high. If Walter could attend the Center in the morning, I could take him to school at 11:45, make sure he gets lunch and attends school, and then he could go home with his brother on the bus at 3:00p.m. The school would be able to change his enrollment to afternoon Kindergarten.

There is only one problem with this grand plan: transportation. Jerry or I already spend much of our time and use our vehicle in the morning to pick up eight children in Housing and one on the Highway to attend the Center. Thus, I would like to know if Y.K. could pick Walter up in the morning, at your convenience, and bring him to the Day Care Center. I spoke to Daisy about this on Friday, but she, of course, could make no commitments. I believe it is a justified use of your vehicle and driver. I assume that some other people on your staff, who are involved with this family, would have to agree.

I hope you will consider my request and let me know your decision as soon as possible. Thanks for your time.

Sincerely,

Susan Taylor, Director
Children's Services

60

cc. Dr. Stillner, Y.K.H.C.
Jim Zwalow, S.O.S.
Lucy Crow, S.O.S.
Elvina Turner, Y.K.H.C.
Daisy Lanont, Y.K.H.C.

cc. George & Theresa Heckman

12/15/73

To: Mr. Jim Zeulow
Mr. Laverne Etter

Dear Jim and Verne:

I would like to compliment you both and your staff especially Lucy Crow and Ray Gaither on organizing and perpetuating a multi disciplinary cooperative effort regarding Bethel families that display educational and socio-medical-psychological problems.

Your Wednesday evening meetings offer a good forum for a concrete multi level cooperative effort between us all (BIA, DC & FS, PHN, PHS, BIA, YKHC, LEGAL SERVICES, DAY CARE CENTER, and THE PRIMARY & MIDDLE SCHOOLS).

I have found our effort challenging and rewarding and look forward to a good New Year that will further our combined efforts on tangible issues.

Sincerely yours,

Verner Stillner, M.D.

cc: Mr. Al Weinberg
Ms. Lucy Crow
Mr. Ray Gaither

SAMPLE OF TYPICAL INTRA-SCHOOL COMMUNICATION
FOR PRIMARY SCHOOL AND FOR MIDDLE SCHOOL.

The following students were absent from school at least 1/4 of the time second quarter. Some of the absences are due to Slaviq and to sickness but most are simple cases of chronic absenteeism.

We rely heavily on teachers to counsel students and to work with them in any way possible. Please establish a rapport with several of these students if you can. Your contact with them on a daily basis may be enough to encourage them to come to school. You may also be able to help them solve their problems with getting to school on time (cold weather, bus, etc.).

The second quarter had a total of 40 days in it.

Name	Grade	Times Absent	Notes
------	-------	--------------	-------

(Listing)



MEMORANDUM

State of Alaska

60

TO: Mr. B. A. Weinberg, Regional Superintendent
ASOSS, Bethel

DATE : November 18, 1973

FROM: Jim Zuslow, Principal
Bethel Primary School

SUBJECT: First Quarter Attendance Report &
P.L. 874 Survey

Enclosed are subject items. The P.L. 874 survey cards are in the same order as the names appear on the quarterly report to expedite your checking. Since the Primary School does not have adequate secretarial support, many corrections on the quarterly report were simply inked-in and errors in alphabetizing of names left as is. The corrections and errors are not numerous, however.

I would like to call your attention to the attendance data shown on the quarterly report. Our absences rate during the first quarter this year was just over 6%. This compares with a rate of just over 8% on last year's first quarter report. Incidentally, the Middle School rate this year is also just over 6% (I could not locate the report for the same period last year).

The improvement in attendance is due in large part to the efforts of the Primary School's Title I program and in particular to the efforts of Mrs. Lucy Crow, Community Liaison for Title I. Additionally, Mr. Ray Gaither, Primary & Middle School Counselor, has been very helpful in our campaign to reduce absenteeism.

I would also like to call your attention to the decline in enrollment in the kindergarten classes. Our current enrollment of 57 compares with 66 for the same period a year ago. If this decline is maintained, we will, with proper planning, be able to concentrate our teaching staff resources towards a more individualized approach to education. Rather than simply do nothing and loose staff positions, our efforts should be aimed at developing an individualized instructional program that will permit us to take advantage of the lower pupil-teacher ratios allowed by regulations governing special education programs in Alaska. This will require a change, however, in the way in which the present Special Services program is operated. The present program was not designed to fit the realities of program financing or local instructional needs. As such, I suggest we begin now to work for the development of an educational program that will permit enrollment declines to help us concentrate our educational resources without the need for staff above what we currently have.

Finally, a large amount of work went into the P.L. 874 survey. Our copy machines will not reproduce the various ink colors found on the cards and as such we would like to copy the cards on your machine. Please advise when a member of your staff could do this. The data shown on the cards is especially important if serious thought is to be given to a locally-controlled school system.

cc: S. Murphy
L. Crow
R. Gaither

Curda ✓

63



DEFINITIONS - BETHEL TITLE I

K-3 1973-74

Target teachers: Teachers who have shown greatest interest and initiative towards the Title I Program.

School personnel: Anyone involved with the school. May include students.

Target students: Those students identified as needing supplemental help through one, all, or a combination of:

- 1) FY 73's target population
- 2) Deficiency by 75% or more in at least one category of the Slingerland tests
- 3) Functioning at least 3 levels below average in the Scott-Foresman reading program
- 4) School personnel referrals

Community tutors: People solicited from the community, either paid or volunteer, who work with the teacher to meet individual student needs.

Community-school facilities: Examples: Radio, TV, newspaper, Instructional Materials Center (Room 11 Primary School)

Perceptual test: Test which deals with each individual's visual auditory perception and is not based upon standardized norms.

Scott-Foresman level tests: Standardized tests to determine which level the student should be in the Scott-Foresman Reading Program. Leveling is as follows:

- K - Beginning
- Grade 1 - Level 2 (pre-primer)
 Level 3 (primer)
 Level 4 (book)
- Grade 2 - Levels 5 and 6
 Levels 7 and 8
- Grade 3 - Levels 9 and 10
 Levels 11 and 12

ALASKA STATE-OPERATED SCHOOL SYSTEM
AIDE TRAINING WORKSHOP

Date: January 29-31, 1974
Place: Bethel
Time: 9:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m. Daily; 7:30 Evening Sessions

AGENDA

FIRST DAY - WHO AM I? WHAT AM I EXPECTED TO DO?

9:00 Opening Session

Welcome
Introduction
First Day Agenda and Objectives
Organize Small Groups (Participants to be appointed as
leaders)

10:30 Break

11:00 What Is An Aide?

Small Group Activity Followed By General Feedback Session.

12:00 Lunch

1:00 How Does It Feel To Be The Child Who Has A Hard Time Learning?

Small Group Learning Station Activity

2:30 Break

2:45 Who Is The Target Child?

Small Group Discussion Of How It Feels To Have A Hard Time Learning and Doing. (Each participant to relate observations to a child he works with.)

3:30 Feedback and Evaluation

4:00 Adjournment

7:30 Is There A Better Way?

"This Land, Our People" (Film)

Discussion

AGENDA

SECOND DAY - WHAT AM I EXPECTED TO DO? HOW DO I DO IT?

- 9:00 Opening Session
Review First Day Activities and Objectives
Introduce Second Day Agenda and Objectives
- 9:15 How Do Children Learn?
(The case for individualized instruction)
Whole Group Presentation Using Demonstration Activities
Wherever Possible
- 9:45 How To Turn Plans Into Action . . . Methods
- 10:15 Break
- 10:30 How To Turn Plans Into Action . . . More Methods
- 11:30 "How To's" For Working With The Child Who Has A Hard
Time Learning
Whole Group Session
Pre-Test/Post-Test On Third Day
- 12:00 Lunch
- 1:00 How To Turn Plans Into Action . . . Materials
- 2:15 Break
- 2:30 How To Turn Plans Into Action . . . More Materials
- 3:30 Feedback and Evaluation
- 4:00 Adjournment
- 7:30 Games From IMC

AGENDA

THIRD DAY - HOW DO I DO WHAT I'M EXPECTED TO DO? HOW DO I
KNOW I'VE DONE IT?

9:00 Opening Session

Review Second Day Activities and Objectives
Introduce Third Day Activities and Objectives
"Sometimes Even The Best Plans Don't Work!"

9:15 Help! I Don't Know What To Do!!

Discussion of Common Problems

10:15 Break

10:30 Evaluation . . . What, Why, How?

11:00 Workshop Evaluation

Small group learning stations

Rating Scale - Seat-Of-The-Pants Evaluation
Pre-Test/Post Test (Give post test for characteristics
of target children)

Questionnaire Suggestions

12:00 Lunch

1:00 Review

What Is An Aide? - List of Duties, Plan For New Booklet
What Is A Target Child? - Annæ's Masterpiece
How To's For Working With A Target Child

2:00 Break

2:15 When I Go Home

Group According To Project To Discuss

How To Share Workshop With Teacher
Suggestions For Additional Training

2:45 How Do We Work Together?

Whole Group - Rap Up Session

3:00 That's It!! Adjour

SUGGESTED STANDARDS FOR STUDENT AIDES

For grade of C:

1. Regular attendance
2. Cooperative attitude
3. Gets along with children
4. Completes tasks as required
5. Can locate materials and equipment in room

In addition, for grade of B:

1. Can operate equipment without help
2. Undertakes routine tasks without being asked
3. Knows when to help children and when to leave them alone
4. Is able to keep busy all period
5. Can locate material and equipment in library and storage areas
6. Is liked by children

In addition, for grade of A:

1. Is automatically helpful when new or different situations arise
2. Makes helpful suggestions to teacher
3. Can take responsibility for small groups of children for specific purposes
4. Can make simple teaching aids for classroom use
5. Gives evidence of really caring about children

To: B. A. Weinberg
Regional Superintendent
Bethel Schools

Date: 10/25/73

Subject: Request for specific
job description,
Title I Community
Liaison

From: Dale Curda
Title I Coordinator

Initial job description in submitted Bethel Title I Proposal.

"(Half-time Elementary; half B.R.H.S.) Must be native of Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, bilingual in Yupik and English. Should be familiar with the region and its villages. Experience in community relations activities desirable. This is not a certificated position."

"The Community Liaison Worker will do a great deal of field work. She will counsel students with specific problems, talk with parents and interested people, and interpret the program to the community."

Addendum:

The Liaison's specific responsibilities will include:

Visiting the homes of Target students at least once during the school year.

Disseminating information about Title I:

- Everyday, through Bethel home visits.
- Weekly, through radio-T.V. announcements, bulletins.
- Every village visit through conversation, letters, slides and/or video tape. (B.R.H.S.)

Helping to organize and coordinate the Parent Advisory Council.

Coordinating the visits of village parents to Bethel. (B.R.H.S.)

Receiving and transmitting feedback from the village(s) to the Title I staff regarding program operation.

cc: S. Murphy
L. Winters
J. Zuelow
L. Crow

L. Middleton
R. Shore
Title I Staff Elementary
Title I Staff High School

TYPICAL ANNOUNCEMENT
ABOUT COMMUNITY LIAISON WORKER'S
AVAILABILITY

Lucy Crow will be in Elementary School at 1:30 p.m. each day for one (1) hour.

Will be on call for emergencies all day at 3-2440.

Will make home visits each afternoon starting at 4:00.

(Observed by evaluator during May visit)

(Note: Mrs. Crow used her own Citizen's Band Radio to reach all villages around Bethel and to reach parents within Bethel.)

BETHEL PRIMARY EVALUATION CHART

APPENDIX F

MEETING WITH PARENTS IN SCHOOL

PARENT ADVISORY MEETINGS

HOME VISITS

SCHOOL BOARD MEETINGS

TITLE I STAFF MEETINGS

FORMAL MEETINGS WITH TEACHERS TUES - STAFF MEETINGS

COMMUNITY TUTORS, CONSULTANTS

IN-SERVICE

1. Please PM 15 min - 1 minute

ANNIE LUCY DALE PAT
 NARRISON
 11/21/73
 ANNIE BOENNINGER
 12/24/73
 MARRIN
 2/25/74
 C. SHAWNTZ
 MCKENIE
 R. EVAN
 C. ANNIL
 T.S. P.E.
 C.A. D.C.

not all - unspecified

EEI D.O.C. P.E.
 NOV 70 DEC 70
 D.O.C. MEETING P.E.
 JAN 71 P.E.
 FEB 71 P.E.
 MAR 71 P.E.
 APR 71 P.E.
 MAY 71 P.E.
 JUN 71 P.E.
 JUL 71 P.E.
 AUG 71 P.E.
 SEP 71 P.E.
 OCT 71 P.E.
 NOV 71 P.E.
 DEC 71 P.E.

not all - unspecified

11/10/73
 10/20/73
 11/24/73
 12/5/73
 12/23/73
 1/24/74
 3/6/74

EEI TURNS
 DEC 73
 JAN 74
 FEB 74
 MAR 74
 APR 74
 MAY 74
 JUN 74
 JUL 74
 AUG 74
 SEP 74
 OCT 74
 NOV 74
 DEC 74

JAN 74
 FEB 74
 MAR 74
 APR 74
 MAY 74
 JUN 74
 JUL 74
 AUG 74
 SEP 74
 OCT 74
 NOV 74
 DEC 74
 JAN 75
 FEB 75
 MAR 75
 APR 75
 MAY 75
 JUN 75
 JUL 75
 AUG 75
 SEP 75
 OCT 75
 NOV 75
 DEC 75
 JAN 76
 FEB 76
 MAR 76
 APR 76
 MAY 76
 JUN 76
 JUL 76
 AUG 76
 SEP 76
 OCT 76
 NOV 76
 DEC 76
 JAN 77
 FEB 77
 MAR 77
 APR 77
 MAY 77
 JUN 77
 JUL 77
 AUG 77
 SEP 77
 OCT 77
 NOV 77
 DEC 77
 JAN 78
 FEB 78
 MAR 78
 APR 78
 MAY 78
 JUN 78
 JUL 78
 AUG 78
 SEP 78
 OCT 78
 NOV 78
 DEC 78
 JAN 79
 FEB 79
 MAR 79
 APR 79
 MAY 79
 JUN 79
 JUL 79
 AUG 79
 SEP 79
 OCT 79
 NOV 79
 DEC 79
 JAN 80
 FEB 80
 MAR 80
 APR 80
 MAY 80
 JUN 80
 JUL 80
 AUG 80
 SEP 80
 OCT 80
 NOV 80
 DEC 80
 JAN 81
 FEB 81
 MAR 81
 APR 81
 MAY 81
 JUN 81
 JUL 81
 AUG 81
 SEP 81
 OCT 81
 NOV 81
 DEC 81
 JAN 82
 FEB 82
 MAR 82
 APR 82
 MAY 82
 JUN 82
 JUL 82
 AUG 82
 SEP 82
 OCT 82
 NOV 82
 DEC 82
 JAN 83
 FEB 83
 MAR 83
 APR 83
 MAY 83
 JUN 83
 JUL 83
 AUG 83
 SEP 83
 OCT 83
 NOV 83
 DEC 83
 JAN 84
 FEB 84
 MAR 84
 APR 84
 MAY 84
 JUN 84
 JUL 84
 AUG 84
 SEP 84
 OCT 84
 NOV 84
 DEC 84
 JAN 85
 FEB 85
 MAR 85
 APR 85
 MAY 85
 JUN 85
 JUL 85
 AUG 85
 SEP 85
 OCT 85
 NOV 85
 DEC 85
 JAN 86
 FEB 86
 MAR 86
 APR 86
 MAY 86
 JUN 86
 JUL 86
 AUG 86
 SEP 86
 OCT 86
 NOV 86
 DEC 86
 JAN 87
 FEB 87
 MAR 87
 APR 87
 MAY 87
 JUN 87
 JUL 87
 AUG 87
 SEP 87
 OCT 87
 NOV 87
 DEC 87
 JAN 88
 FEB 88
 MAR 88
 APR 88
 MAY 88
 JUN 88
 JUL 88
 AUG 88
 SEP 88
 OCT 88
 NOV 88
 DEC 88
 JAN 89
 FEB 89
 MAR 89
 APR 89
 MAY 89
 JUN 89
 JUL 89
 AUG 89
 SEP 89
 OCT 89
 NOV 89
 DEC 89
 JAN 90
 FEB 90
 MAR 90
 APR 90
 MAY 90
 JUN 90
 JUL 90
 AUG 90
 SEP 90
 OCT 90
 NOV 90
 DEC 90
 JAN 91
 FEB 91
 MAR 91
 APR 91
 MAY 91
 JUN 91
 JUL 91
 AUG 91
 SEP 91
 OCT 91
 NOV 91
 DEC 91
 JAN 92
 FEB 92
 MAR 92
 APR 92
 MAY 92
 JUN 92
 JUL 92
 AUG 92
 SEP 92
 OCT 92
 NOV 92
 DEC 92
 JAN 93
 FEB 93
 MAR 93
 APR 93
 MAY 93
 JUN 93
 JUL 93
 AUG 93
 SEP 93
 OCT 93
 NOV 93
 DEC 93
 JAN 94
 FEB 94
 MAR 94
 APR 94
 MAY 94
 JUN 94
 JUL 94
 AUG 94
 SEP 94
 OCT 94
 NOV 94
 DEC 94
 JAN 95
 FEB 95
 MAR 95
 APR 95
 MAY 95
 JUN 95
 JUL 95
 AUG 95
 SEP 95
 OCT 95
 NOV 95
 DEC 95
 JAN 96
 FEB 96
 MAR 96
 APR 96
 MAY 96
 JUN 96
 JUL 96
 AUG 96
 SEP 96
 OCT 96
 NOV 96
 DEC 96
 JAN 97
 FEB 97
 MAR 97
 APR 97
 MAY 97
 JUN 97
 JUL 97
 AUG 97
 SEP 97
 OCT 97
 NOV 97
 DEC 97
 JAN 98
 FEB 98
 MAR 98
 APR 98
 MAY 98
 JUN 98
 JUL 98
 AUG 98
 SEP 98
 OCT 98
 NOV 98
 DEC 98
 JAN 99
 FEB 99
 MAR 99
 APR 99
 MAY 99
 JUN 99
 JUL 99
 AUG 99
 SEP 99
 OCT 99
 NOV 99
 DEC 99
 JAN 00
 FEB 00
 MAR 00
 APR 00
 MAY 00
 JUN 00
 JUL 00
 AUG 00
 SEP 00
 OCT 00
 NOV 00
 DEC 00
 JAN 01
 FEB 01
 MAR 01
 APR 01
 MAY 01
 JUN 01
 JUL 01
 AUG 01
 SEP 01
 OCT 01
 NOV 01
 DEC 01
 JAN 02
 FEB 02
 MAR 02
 APR 02
 MAY 02
 JUN 02
 JUL 02
 AUG 02
 SEP 02
 OCT 02
 NOV 02
 DEC 02
 JAN 03
 FEB 03
 MAR 03
 APR 03
 MAY 03
 JUN 03
 JUL 03
 AUG 03
 SEP 03
 OCT 03
 NOV 03
 DEC 03
 JAN 04
 FEB 04
 MAR 04
 APR 04
 MAY 04
 JUN 04
 JUL 04
 AUG 04
 SEP 04
 OCT 04
 NOV 04
 DEC 04
 JAN 05
 FEB 05
 MAR 05
 APR 05
 MAY 05
 JUN 05
 JUL 05
 AUG 05
 SEP 05
 OCT 05
 NOV 05
 DEC 05
 JAN 06
 FEB 06
 MAR 06
 APR 06
 MAY 06
 JUN 06
 JUL 06
 AUG 06
 SEP 06
 OCT 06
 NOV 06
 DEC 06
 JAN 07
 FEB 07
 MAR 07
 APR 07
 MAY 07
 JUN 07
 JUL 07
 AUG 07
 SEP 07
 OCT 07
 NOV 07
 DEC 07
 JAN 08
 FEB 08
 MAR 08
 APR 08
 MAY 08
 JUN 08
 JUL 08
 AUG 08
 SEP 08
 OCT 08
 NOV 08
 DEC 08
 JAN 09
 FEB 09
 MAR 09
 APR 09
 MAY 09
 JUN 09
 JUL 09
 AUG 09
 SEP 09
 OCT 09
 NOV 09
 DEC 09
 JAN 10
 FEB 10
 MAR 10
 APR 10
 MAY 10
 JUN 10
 JUL 10
 AUG 10
 SEP 10
 OCT 10
 NOV 10
 DEC 10
 JAN 11
 FEB 11
 MAR 11
 APR 11
 MAY 11
 JUN 11
 JUL 11
 AUG 11
 SEP 11
 OCT 11
 NOV 11
 DEC 11
 JAN 12
 FEB 12
 MAR 12
 APR 12
 MAY 12
 JUN 12
 JUL 12
 AUG 12
 SEP 12
 OCT 12
 NOV 12
 DEC 12
 JAN 13
 FEB 13
 MAR 13
 APR 13
 MAY 13
 JUN 13
 JUL 13
 AUG 13
 SEP 13
 OCT 13
 NOV 13
 DEC 13
 JAN 14
 FEB 14
 MAR 14
 APR 14
 MAY 14
 JUN 14
 JUL 14
 AUG 14
 SEP 14
 OCT 14
 NOV 14
 DEC 14
 JAN 15
 FEB 15
 MAR 15
 APR 15
 MAY 15
 JUN 15
 JUL 15
 AUG 15
 SEP 15
 OCT 15
 NOV 15
 DEC 15
 JAN 16
 FEB 16
 MAR 16
 APR 16
 MAY 16
 JUN 16
 JUL 16
 AUG 16
 SEP 16
 OCT 16
 NOV 16
 DEC 16
 JAN 17
 FEB 17
 MAR 17
 APR 17
 MAY 17
 JUN 17
 JUL 17
 AUG 17
 SEP 17
 OCT 17
 NOV 17
 DEC 17
 JAN 18
 FEB 18
 MAR 18
 APR 18
 MAY 18
 JUN 18
 JUL 18
 AUG 18
 SEP 18
 OCT 18
 NOV 18
 DEC 18
 JAN 19
 FEB 19
 MAR 19
 APR 19
 MAY 19
 JUN 19
 JUL 19
 AUG 19
 SEP 19
 OCT 19
 NOV 19
 DEC 19
 JAN 20
 FEB 20
 MAR 20
 APR 20
 MAY 20
 JUN 20
 JUL 20
 AUG 20
 SEP 20
 OCT 20
 NOV 20
 DEC 20
 JAN 21
 FEB 21
 MAR 21
 APR 21
 MAY 21
 JUN 21
 JUL 21
 AUG 21
 SEP 21
 OCT 21
 NOV 21
 DEC 21
 JAN 22
 FEB 22
 MAR 22
 APR 22
 MAY 22
 JUN 22
 JUL 22
 AUG 22
 SEP 22
 OCT 22
 NOV 22
 DEC 22
 JAN 23
 FEB 23
 MAR 23
 APR 23
 MAY 23
 JUN 23
 JUL 23
 AUG 23
 SEP 23
 OCT 23
 NOV 23
 DEC 23
 JAN 24
 FEB 24
 MAR 24
 APR 24
 MAY 24
 JUN 24
 JUL 24
 AUG 24
 SEP 24
 OCT 24
 NOV 24
 DEC 24
 JAN 25
 FEB 25
 MAR 25
 APR 25
 MAY 25
 JUN 25
 JUL 25
 AUG 25
 SEP 25
 OCT 25
 NOV 25
 DEC 25
 JAN 26
 FEB 26
 MAR 26
 APR 26
 MAY 26
 JUN 26
 JUL 26
 AUG 26
 SEP 26
 OCT 26
 NOV 26
 DEC 26
 JAN 27
 FEB 27
 MAR 27
 APR 27
 MAY 27
 JUN 27
 JUL 27
 AUG 27
 SEP 27
 OCT 27
 NOV 27
 DEC 27
 JAN 28
 FEB 28
 MAR 28
 APR 28
 MAY 28
 JUN 28
 JUL 28
 AUG 28
 SEP 28
 OCT 28
 NOV 28
 DEC 28
 JAN 29
 FEB 29
 MAR 29
 APR 29
 MAY 29
 JUN 29
 JUL 29
 AUG 29
 SEP 29
 OCT 29
 NOV 29
 DEC 29
 JAN 30
 FEB 30
 MAR 30
 APR 30
 MAY 30
 JUN 30
 JUL 30
 AUG 30
 SEP 30
 OCT 30
 NOV 30
 DEC 30
 JAN 31
 FEB 31
 MAR 31
 APR 31
 MAY 31
 JUN 31
 JUL 31
 AUG 31
 SEP 31
 OCT 31
 NOV 31
 DEC 31

not all - unspecified

DATES FROM 1977

13. INFORMATION PRESENT

ADMINISTRATIVE
MEETINGS

THURS - 10 AM - 12:30
FRIED - 10 AM - 1:00

COMMUNITY - STATE
RESOURCES

DISSEMINATION

REGULAR SCHOOL
PROGRAM

72

CONFERENCES,
WORK SHOPS,
VISITATIONS,

DOCS.

SCOTT-ROSENMAN

TRINGER AND

ATTITUDES

WAGE
MATERIALS
in 17-18-19-20

COOP
MTRG 1/22/74 2/5/74
111 1/23/74 J.E.
L.W.
1/24/74 J.E.

not all specified

BOIC, DEC
INTERVIEW W/TA
BY J.M.T. BY TITLE
OCT. ADMS PROGRAM
(Publicity)
JAN 18
REMET
SCH 80
MEET
OCT 23
OCT 23
OCT 23

SEE 'IN-SERVICE
CASE CONFERENCES

STOCK NO. 7525

1/24/74 2/19/74
2 PAPER. JAN 80
2 PAPER. MAR 80
2 PAPER. U.S.
2 PAPER. PC
2 PAPER. CM
2 PAPER. DC

MAY
MAY
MAY

NOV 1973 JAN 20-4 DEC
LEAD-IN VISITATION DEC
MUCH. PAPERWORK DEC
DMLC PAPERS TI
MAY CHURCH W/CD
GENERAL

JAN 20- FEB 1
DEC WASHDC
75 PRESENTATION
WASHDC - DC
3/4-5/74
NEA - C. HANER

NOV 23
MAY 21-20
L. MIDDLETEN
QUART REPORT

2/19-2/27/74
FED PROJ
WASHDC - DC

MAY
MAY
MAY

PAGE TEST
REPROOT

POST
MAY