ED 110 384

so 008 513

AUTHOR TITLE PUB DATE Johnston, Denis F.

The Future of Work and Leisure.

Jun 7.5

NOTE .

15p: Paper presented at the General Assembly of the World Future Society (2nd, Washington, D.C., June 2-5, 1975)

2-5, 197.

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 PLUS POSTAGE
Economic Climate; *Futures (of Society); *Tabor
Market; *Leisure Time; *Life Style; Population
Trends; *Prediction; Social Change; Sociocultural
Patterns; Values; Work Attitudes; Working Women

ABSTRACT

Earlier projections of labor supp Ty and speculations about the impact on values and lifestyles on work leisure, and work-leisure relationships are reassessed in light of current events. Previous projections were the basis for three alternative scenarios of possible work-leisure relationships. The first examined some of the implications of arguments developed by Charles Reich in "The Greening of America." The second was developed as an antithesis to the first and traced the implications of a renewed commitment to full employment and the preservation of the traditional meaning of work. The third depicted a blending of the values and life styles of the first two. Upon examination after four years time, the elements which induced a preference for the third, alternative require modification based on the increasing economic activities of women, the aging of the baby-boom, and the potential resource scarcities and recession. The emerging trends appear to suggest a shift from the third scenario to the second. Projections over the next quarter century and their implications are discussed. Footnotes and tables are included. (Author/KSM)

Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort to obtain the best copy available. nevertheless, items of marginal reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not exponsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions are the best that can be made from the original.

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

THE FUTURE OF WORK AND LEISURE

by

Denis F. Johnston

00002

THE FUTURE OF WORK AND LEISURE'

Denis F. Johnston**

About four years ago, this writer was asked to prepare a set of very long range projections of labor supply (extending to the year X 2040), for the Commission on Population Growth and the American Future. Furthermore, he was asked to "interpret" these projections by including some speculations concerning the potential impact of our newly-emerging values and life-styles on work, leisure, and work-leisure relationships. all This paper is an effort to assess these earlier projections in the light of more recent developments.

The obvious but necessary caveats can be dispensed with quickly. The projections which have been prepared here (see tables 1 and 2) are purely illustrative. They utilize the writer's earlier projections of labor force participation rates, applied to the middle series of the recently issued population projections developed by the Bureau of the Census. Projections are not predictions; these projections in particular have no official status whatsoever, and have been developed merely to sketch the broad outlines of major demographic and related labor force changes which can be anticipated over the remaining years of this century. Finally, these projections are both conservative and "surprise-free."

^{1/} See end of paper for footnote references.

^{*} A paper presented at the Second General Assembly of the World Future Society, Washington, D.C., June 2-5, 1975.

^{**} Dr. Johnston is Director, Social/Indicators Project, Statistical Policy Division, Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President. The views expressed herein are solely the author's

They are conservative insofar as they assume a gradual reduction in the magnitude of changes in the economic activity rates of both men and women over time. In other words, increasing rates of activity (especially emong women) are assumed to increase more slowly in the future, while declining rates (especially among men) are also assumed to decline more slowly in future years. They are "surprise-free" simply because no projection can be otherwise.

Before turning to the illustrative projections, it may be useful to consider some of the implications of our current survival rates and longevity for the society of the year 2000. With average life expectancy now approaching 68 years for men and in excess of 75 years for women, about 95 percent of the population now under 30 years of age should still be alive and well by the year 2000. In addition, about two, thirds of those now between the ages of 30 and 60 may also be expected to witness the onset of the next century. Since people tend to retain a good many of their habits, outlooks, and values throughout their adult life, these survivat proportions argue strongly for the persistence of many of our current modes of thought and behavior. One of the primary areas of human activity in which this continuity of experience and outlook may be found is the world of work. Although the content and meaning of work may continue to change radically, the need for work and the basic motivations which impel-individuals toward its performance are unlikely to undergo drastic change in the foreseeable future. 2/

3

However, the proportion of one's lifetime that is actually spent "at wor." -- i.e., in the labor force and performing a job -- is much smaller than is commonly assumed. By way of illustration, let us consider a unisex individual now aged 20 and about to enter the labor force. His/her life expectancy (as of 1973) is 53.4 years. 3/ If we accept a number of assumptions concerning that individual's future activities, we arrive at the following distribution:

Type of Activity \ \ (· Hours (in thousands)	Percent Distribution
Total remaining lifetime Sleep Non-sleep Work a/ Non-work System maintenance b/ All other activities Social obligations c/ Leisure or discretionary time Pre-retirement Post-retirement	156 312 80 232 97 135 17 ne 118	100.0 33.3 66.7 17.1 49.6 20.7 28.9 3.6 25.3 15.8
•		

a/ Assuming employment for an average of 40 hours per week, 48 weeks per year, for a total of 41.5 years. The latter figure is the average expected working life for men aged 20 in 1968, from Howard N. Fullerton, "A Table of Expected Working Life for Men, 1968," Monthly Labor Review, 94:6 (June 1971) pp.49-55.

b/ Comprising personal hygiene, preparation for and travel to and from work, skopping, meal preparation and consumption and related maintenance chores of a personal or domestic nature. These diverse activities are assumed to absorb an average of 5 hours per day throughout the remaining years of life.

c/ Comprising religious and community activities and related services, assumed to absorb, on average 6 hours per week throughout the remaining years of life.

The picture which emerges from these arbitrary but fairly plausible assumptions is that today's young adult may be expected to devote only about one-sixth of his/her remaining lifetime to work as defined

in the economic sense), while about one-fourth of that remaining lifetime may be classified as "leisure." This holds even when the individual's formative years (under age 20) are ignored and when that individual is assumed to remain fully employed, year-round, full-time, from age 20 to the early 60's. 4/ These crude estimates certainly stand in need of considerable refinement, but the perspective they provide lends strong support to our emerging concern with both leisure and work and their interrelations.

In his earlier work on this subject, the writer attempted to describe three alternative "scenarios" of possible work-leisure relationships. The first of these, the "green" scenario, examined some of the implications of the then popular and controversial argument developed by Charles A. Reich in his The Greening of America (1970). The second, or "blue" scenario was developed as a deliberate antithesis to the first, and sought to trace the implications of a renewed committment to full employment and the preservation of the traditional meaning of work in our society. The third scenario, finally, depicted some blending of the values and life-styles of the first two, and was therefore labelled the "turquoise" scenario.

When these over-simplified constructs are re-examined four years later, the elements which induced a preference for the "turquoise" synthests seem to require some modification and supplementation.

The first of these elements is the increasing economic activity of women, together with growing concern for womens' rights and the

provision of facilities and services for child-care which would facilitate such economic participation. While these trends were clearly apparent in 1971, they have continued with increasing force since then. element is the aging of the "baby-boom" cohort. If we define this cohort-group as comprising the persons born between 1947 (the first year in which the number of births increased over the preceding years throughout the year) and 1961 (the year when the number of births reached a peak), we have approximately 60 million people born over a 15-year period, an increase of about 50 percent over the births of the preceding 15-year span. The survivors of this group are now between 14 and 28 years of age; most of them have already made their initial entry into the labor force. By 1980, when the same cohort group will be 19 to 33 years, old, nearly all of them will have entered the labor force, and most of them may be expected to have settled into some, fairly permanent kinds of work and living arrangements. It is at this stage of the life cycle that the viability of the deviant life-styles and "counter-cultural" manifestations of the late 60's wilk truly be tested. But the absorptive capacities of the Nation's economy will experience an equally severe test. The accelerated volume of new entrants to the labor force which began around the mid-1960's may be expected to reach a peak around 1978, or 1979, to be followed by a exadual diminution during the 1980 decade. This volume of new entrants, with their high levels of formal educational attainment and their correspondingly high levels of aspiration and career ambitions,

ر. م may be expected to generate continued competition for jobs and; as the cohort group ages, increasing demands for the kinds of advancement opportunities for which their education has prepared them. 5/

Both of the above elements were anticipated, at least approximately. The third element was not: the impact of potential resource scarcities and the current recession, which together have generated a strong upsurge among the Nation's youth in concern for the "basics" of human survival -- effective training in useful skills, technical competence, and, above all, a job.

Taken together, these emerging trends appear to suggest some shading of our "turquoise" scenario with more "blueing" and cless "greening." But the importance of "leisure" as a major component of time-use, and the growing demand for measures which are designed to promote a more socially and psychologically satisfying work experience suggest that the "turquoise" scenario still represents the most likely direction of change in the future of work and leisure. In particular, we may anticipate a continued blending of "work" and "leisure" pursuits, both at the "micro" or individual household level, with shared responsibilities for both economic activities and domestic duties, and at the "macro" level, with increasing concern for the creation of meaningful work, personal growth opportunities, continuing education, and related amenities within the work-place. 6/

7.

The salient features of the projections shown on the following tables may be summarized briefly: First, an assumed continuation of current fertility levels (as is implied by the "Series II" population projections shown here) entails a near-constant number of persons under age 16 over the next ten years, followed by a slow increase thereafter to the end of the century. 7/ Second, we may expect a dramatic turnaround in the number of young adults (16 to 24), from an average annual increase of nearly 900,000 during the past ten years to an average annual decline of over 100,000 during the next decade, followed by near-constant numbers to the end of the century. Third, a more rapid increase in the number of persons in the "central working ages" (25, to 514) is in prospect over the next decade, to be followed by more moderate but still substantial increases thereafter. Finally, the population aged 55 and over should continue to increase in number throughout the remainder of the century, but at a slowly diminishing pace. In summary, the prospects over the next quarter-century are for a slowly "aging" population, with a preponderance in the central working ages; the proportion of the population aged 25 to 54 increases from 36 percent now to 42 percent by the year 2000.

In general, the projected labor force is largely a function of the projected changes in the size and age-sex distribution of the population of working ages (16 and over). However, assumptions concerning future trends in economic activity rates (the percent of the population in the labor force) also play a significant, though minor role, particularly among women. A salient feature of these projections is the fact that the current estimated activity rates of

women 16 to 24 years old (57.4 percent in 1975) is already 3.6 percentagepoints higher than the rate of 53.8 percent previously projected for the
year 2000. Furthermore, the estimated participation rates for older
women in 1975 are already very close to those projected for the year
2000. Also noteworthy is the slow decline in the participation rates of
men in the central working ages, and the continuing rapid decline in
the participation rates of older men. The earlier projection, under
the general assumption of favorable demand conditions, assumed some
increase in the participation rates of men aged 25 to 54 and a slowdown
in the declines among older men.

A significant trend to be noted here is the decline in the "dependency ratio" (i.e., the ratio of non-workers to workers). In 1950; this ratio was 1.38; it has since declined to 1.25 (largely since 1960), and may be expected to decline further to 1.12 by the year 2000. This drop, together with the "aging" of the not-in-the-labor-force group, suggests a significant rise in discretionary income, other things equal. This, in turn, lends further support to the notion that individual households may, in the future, be able to exercise wider range of options in regard to the "work-leisure" trade-off, and may opt for increased investment in such "non-work" activities as continuing education, cultural activities, and leisure pursuits.

Turning, finally, to Table 2, the projected growth in the number of workers with 4 years or more of college over the next 15 years (to 1990) proceeds at a somewhat slower pace than during the past

16 years, but the proportion of college graduates in the labor force who may be expected to have completed at least one year of graduate education continues to rise, from 37.9 percent of the 6.0 million college graduates around 1958 to 40.6 percent of the 13.4 million college graduates in 1974, to 44.6 percent of the 24.0 million college graduates in 1990. According to these projections, the proportion of workers with at least one year of post-graduate college education reaches 9.7 percent by 1990 as compared with 3.4 percent in 1958 and 6.0 percent in 1974. Over the same period, the proportion of workers who have completed 4 years or more of college increases from 8.9 percent in 1958 to 14.7 percent in 1974, and to 21.7 percent by 1990. Even if the abatement in the rates of increase in the above proportions which has been projected turns out to be reasonably accurate, the continuing increase in these proportions poses a serious challenge to the capacity of the economy to generate a corresponding expansion in the kinds of jobs for which these workers are qualified.

Footnotes

- Denis F. Johnston. "Illustrative Projections of the Labor Force of the United States to 2040," in Commission on Population Growth and the American Future, Research Reports, Volume II, Economic Aspects of Population Change, edited by Elliott R. Morss and Ritchie H. Reed (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972) pp. 159-187. An abbreviated version, "The future of work: three possible alternatives," was published in the Monthly Labor Review. 95:5 (May 1972) pp. 3-11.
- 2/ These survival proportions are derived from the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, National Center for Health Statistics, Vital Statistics of the United States, 1973, Volume II-Section 5, Life Tables. On the more general issue of the "need" for work, and the challenging distinction between "work" and "a job," see Walter S. Neff, Work and Human Behavior (New York: Atherton Press, 1968) and Irving H. Slegel and A. Harvey Belitsky, "The Changing Form and Status of Labor," Journal of Economic Issues, March 1970, pp. 78-94.
- 3/ From United States Life Tables, 1973, Op. Cit.
- The implied distinction between "work" and "leisure" is of course problematic, as is the treatment of "leisure" as a residual category after allowance for a variety of other identifiable activities. On this issue, see Joffre Dunazedier, Toward a Society of Leisure (New York: The Free Press, 1967), translated from the Erench by Stewart E. McClure, and Robert Strom, "Education for a Leisure Society," The Futurist, 9:2 (April 1975) pp.93-97. Also pertinent here is Sonia S. Gold, "The professional commitment of educated women;" in Kurt Faier and Nicholas Rescher, eds., Values and the Future (New York: The Free Press, 1969) pp. 266-293.
- 5/ For further elaboration, see "Population Changes: A Challenge to Manpower Policy," in U.S. Department of Labor, 1973 Manpower Report of the President (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1974), chapter 3, pp. 59-62.
- (6) On this issue, see Stabley Parker, The Future of Work and Leisure (London: MacGibbon & Kee, Lt., and New York: Praeser, 1971). Some appreciation for the diversity of views concerning possible future forms of Work can be gained from Fred Rest (ed), The Future of Work (Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1973).
- 7/ In its most recent set of population projections, the Bureau of the Census has abandoned its former practice of issuing four alternative series and has decided instead to issue three. Series II has been adopted for the purpose of the illustrative projections shown here because it company to the factor result to the factor levels now in

.. evidence and to the earlier "Scries E" projections which were



G			•				٠-				94	į į	۰				3			(م	,		ŧ	, D	•	٠.		₽	,		ابنا	
. 1000		55	25	16	, 44, 44, 44, 44, 44, 44, 44, 44, 44, 4			ע' ץ י ע'ע'ני	25	16 and	TOTAL	RA!) 55:	25 Lo	un.		NO O	r 25	. 16	16 a	ACTT	22 S	<u>55</u> 91,	. 16 a		25	75 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	`, All	. TOTAL	Ąge	•	1 0	-
Sepondo	5. -	\sim		ا ا	Juder 16	TAN TAND		1 7 7 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 15 1	120	Distri L LABOR	F 1 2	and	2 2 1 1 1	der '1	(D	<u> </u>	֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝֝ ֓֓֓֞֞֞֞֓֞֞֞֞֓֞֞֞֓	1		ALLA	54 - 54	" I	μ.	and LAR	54	r de I	U.		e and		12	~ ,
a numb	- 1	over .	years	veurs	Veen	O. T.		ᇽ	years		Distributions, LABOR FORCE		over.	years vears)\years		COVER ROBOR	years	years	er	RATES	years	years		over		vears	•	POPULATION) Sex			TABLE
septimod			:		n :	#ONCE	_	:	-:	:	CH CH	: -	: :	* :		•	Z .	:	:	: [[] -	<u> </u>	:	:		<u> </u>		, to		Ŋ.	,	, ,		TE 1.
, Dec	٠ ا	17.1	,25.3	တင် တင်	8 84	0 00	, ,	7,50	19:5	0.00T	•	1.3	15,11	287.782 287.77	43,13	.88,41	£L.	*+- - 0	61.	58.	• •	10,742 57,742	12,440	63,85	25,79	63;12	43,131 20.222	152,27		Total		(Numbers	Total
, CQ.	, ,	-	e 0		,			. •			ع	38+		٠.			+	-,01	5	ر. ''				`	+		.,				,	ers in	ı
. .	ა . ,	4.9	N	ν. 1 1 1	α+ Σ+	<u>.</u> ဂ်	TC - 3	15.6	•	•	,	;	4,318	က် 0.054 0.75	21,956	30;403	0,.00	/3·+	79.7	84·3	70,50	29,148	8,0,75	947 , 54	12,571	31,22	956°01 956°17	75,849		1950=/ Male		1 0	~~
		سر	, #	/	·			•	و ر					•	À	-		• ,	•		ľ			,:	'	`~			•	দা -	`	ex, 1950 thousands	and
		S. S.			2 C		17	ນ [ດ ໝ	•	•	,	1	5,799	5,720 30,800 30,800	,175 L,175	3,o <u>i</u> o	TO. 3.	36	<u>4</u> 3.4	ω ω ω	5,4c)	11,594	4,395	8,412	3,222	1,902	10:123 27,175	6,422	,	Female		Actual	Total
		23	. 17	10.	2.02. 2.02.	 3 «	1	9.59	25	100.0	7.	L L	27,	÷ ÿ ĭ	57,	118,	-			<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	7 56	. ۲۰	<u></u> 94:	12	77.	7.57 2.57 7.57	213		Totál	•	, 1975	Labor
٠.		4		F- (Σ C	> '	ċ	9.00	0	0	,	.25	27,842	683	862	449	33.9	73.3	55.9	Ö`,	163	56,829	027	80	,099	480	36,000	,450	ŧ _	tál .			72
. 1	١	. 8.	∾ :	٠ د د	24	ວ້	. 9.	پېر	.14.	. 60.	•		694,69	ر 1. د 1. د	29,5	. 94	£	. 32.	_72	77	1,4	35,2	.13,477	. 57.	18,1	77°,	29,518 18,175	,401	مر	1975 - Male		Estimated,	of the
		· ·	ω (,) v	φì	-	7	n N	Ŋ	φ .(~	4.			•	٠.	α		 	÷	PTU,	2.68	÷77	75.3	1		• .	Ĺ	,	75 ²⁷ ale,		and •	le Uni
. '		15.5	15.1	ار درم)) ()))	2.7	22.7	3, or	, 39.1	\ .	1.	18,373	7,55	(28, 34)	72.25	22	54.	57.	کړ. ات	7,2,0	21,567	10,21	ر 17.	23,616	20 S	28 29 29 29 29	109,30	س	Female	•	2000 I	CO.
•			•			- 3	-		••	,	r		<u> </u>				10	<u>.</u>	<u>+</u>		+			<u> </u>	ļ:					-	_	Projected	States,
·		25.7	4.6	o t), r o	3	74.5	68 3	17.2	100.0	,	1.12	.35,666	13,256	62,78	38. <u>7</u> ój	1.33.1	•	61.	رن. ر	T('C'	84,557	21,36) 2 •	53,537	11 ss	; 62,78 67,78	262,49		Total	,	ted	, J
	-	V. 4	<u></u>		•	<u>,</u>	-	*		•	-	Ì		, >			-	φ.	7 '	<u>ی</u>	•			٠.							~;	4 : 6 :	
•		Ω Θ		2 0	•			い い い	•	•	, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	l I	12,198	5,372	881 (3) 183	707 207 207	₹.8	95.3	4.69	7860	0.755	52,255	2,184	(OF 27	22;953	1, 200 1, 200	32,183	27,521	<u> </u>	2000-3/ Male	.		
		; ,	· •	, v	ა ი	.`		ัรญา	:,	 Lu				-	٠,	•				• * • •			o f					ш		Fe			, , ,
	٠ ا	•	6	3 ,	ې <u>د</u>	·*	9		7.4	9.3	! !	, ' . 	73,463	7,884	602	374	. •	56.9	٠.		7,116	32,302	18 E. C		36.584	3 0	, 6 02		- •	ั้ง เอ	,	**************************************	į
		9		ş	.8	_	, ,	· · · · ·		٠			,		-	000	 0:1	13		. ~	 		5		-							,	•

TABLE 1 -- continued. Page 2 of 2

Sources: (Table 1)

the Bureau of the Census.

1/ 1950 population from Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 311; labor force from 1974 Manpower Report of the President, table A-2.

2/ 1975 population from Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 541 (Series II); labor force estimated by applying the average of the ratios of the annual average labor force (for the six age-sex groups shown) to the average seasonally adjusted labor force for January through April for the years 1970 through 1974 to the average seasonally adjusted labor force for January through April 1975.

3/ 2000 population from Bureau of the Census, <u>Thid.</u>; labor force obtained by applying the labor force participation rates projected to the year 2000 (in ten-year age groupings, by sex) to the above population projections. These participation rates are taken from the writer's "Illustrative Projections of the Labor Force of the United States to 2040;" Commission on Population Growth and the American Future, Research Reports, Volume II, Economic Aspects of Population Change, edited by Elliott R. Morss and Ritchie H. Reed (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1972), pp. 159-187.

4/ Percent of the total population in the total labor force; synonymous with "labor force participation rates."

5/ Ratio of "non-workers" (i.e., persons of all ages not in the labor force) to "workers" (i.e., persons in the total labor force.)

(Table 2)
6/ 1958 total labor force from 1974 Manpower Report of the President,
table A-2; 1974 total labor force from Manpower Report of the President
for 1975, table A-2; 1990 labor force from Bureau of Labor Statistics,
Special Labor Force Report No. 156. The latter figures were not
adjusted to reflect the recently issued population projections of

7/ Data on college graduates relate to the civilian labor force. 1958 is an average of Current Population Survey data for March 1957 and March 1959, published in Bureau of the Census, Current Population Reports, Series P-50, No. 78 and Bureau of Labor Statistics, Special-Labor Force Report No. 1, respectively. 1974 data from Beverly J. McKladdy, "Educational Attainment of Workers, March 1974," Monthly Labor Review, 98:2 (February 1975) pp.64-69 and unpublished tabulations from the same source. 1990 data from BLS., SFLR. No. 160.

NOTE: Average annual amounts of change (in thousands) are calculated from figures for the terminal years specified. Average annual rates of change (in percent) are calculated from figures for the terminal years specified, using natural logarithms (the exponential function of e^x).

TABLE 2. Average Annual Amounts and Rates of Change in Population and Labor Force of the United States, Selected Periods, 1950-2000 (Numbers in thousands, rates in percent)

. : ,.

-,		,		,		,	0	0(1.	5 ′	•			•	4	. `			
4.14	3 .90	1.33		19 74-90	.60		. 99	45	1.26	- 	(-1	1.17			. ~		1985-00	
7.14	ú.09	2.92	-	1958-74 1	2.15.	1.68	1.23	1.09	2.04	.73.	· ·	1.34	1 . QQ 1	.00	.92		•	1975-85	<u> </u>
4.25	. 3:50	1,49		1974-90	1.39	2.53 5.53	3.45	ښ ښ	33.	22 CS) 	1.81	1.18	9I	.92		,	1965-75-	RATTS
4.89	4.50	.76		1958-7 ¹ + 1	4.22	2.05	2.36	• 50	. 68	1.29.	,	2.06	. 58 50	2,57.	1.64	,	,	1950-65	• ,
8.7	244	- 522		FEMALES 4 1974-90	£\.	757 84 -	744	, 47	602	, シン のなり	\	360	1.200 	350	1,895	• • •	,	1985-00	
, 63	176	.823 <i>'</i>	۰	1958-7 ⁴	126	30 1 1 2 1 3 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	- 1-85	104	756	၂ ၁၉ ၂	·	603	1.808.1	- 33	2,062	,	•	1975 _{\$} 85	AMOUNTS
5, 145	418,	912	·	1974-90	68	⁴ 35	1.082	12	297	37%	,	698	\$ C	554	- 1,887	- ',		1965-75	ĀMO
135	286	. 387		-MALES . 1958-74 19′	. 243 - 743	200 100	. 521 	, 1+3	209	36¶	•	622	35.	1,351	2,821		•	1950-65	
more 11	more I	Total Labor Lorde, 16+	POLITICE CRAFT		55 and over	16 - 24 years	Total 16 and over	55 and over	25 - 54 years	MALES: Total, 16 and over	Ф	55 and over	24 years	76		MOTAL POPULATION (Both sexes)	ı	1tem	

Sources and footnotes: See page 2 of Table 1.