DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 110 190   PS 007 986

AUTHOR   Petrie, Thomas A.; And Others
TITLE   Pre-Kindergarten Program Evaluation.
INSTITUTION   Hamburg Central School District, N.Y.
PUB DATE   Jun 74
NOTE   81p.; Appendix D, the Denver Developmental Screening
                Test, is copyrighted and therefore not available.
                These two pages are not included in the pagination

EDRS PRICE   MF-$0.76 HC-$4.43 PLUS POSTAGE
DESCRIPTORS   Cognitive Development; *Compensatory Education
                Programs; *Early Childhood Education; Language
                Development; Motor Development; Peer Relationship;
                *Preschool Children; *Program Descriptions; *Program
                Evaluation; Screening Tests; Social Development;
                Student Teacher Relationship; Tape Recordings;
                Teaching Techniques
IDENTIFIERS   Erikson; *New York (Hamburg); Piaget (Jean)

ABSTRACT
                This report contains a description and evaluation of
a program for prekindergarten children who are identified as needing
compensatory or corrective treatment. The program, in operation for
nearly 8 years, can accommodate 60 children. Description of the
instructional program was obtained through participant observation,
photography, and audio tape analyses of teaching skills which
facilitated children's social and cognitive development. Participant
observers concentrated on interaction patterns among children and
between children and teachers. The description reveals that the
predominant instructional skills were telling, explaining,
clarifying, and questioning (these terms are defined). Student
outcomes were assessed using the Denver Developmental Screening Test
and the Cognitive, Speech and Language sections of the Evanston
Screening Packet. Prekindergarten children did significantly better
on two of the 32 tasks of the DDST; while children without
prekindergarten did significantly better on four of them. It was felt
that the program successfully modified and reduced deficiencies that
may have existed in the experimental children. Recommendations are
made. Appendixes (nearly one-half of the report) include a rationale
for descriptions of the instructional program and copies of the
screening instruments used. (Authors/ED)

Hamburg Central School District
New York State Experimental Pre-Kindergarten Program
Early Childhood Center
Hamburg, New York

Dr. Howard E. Welker, Superintendent of Schools
Mr. George A. Kiener, Assistant Superintendent, Instruction
Mrs. Lillian Brand, Director, Early Childhood Center

Pre-Kindergarten Program
Evaluation
June 1974

by

Dr. Thomas A. Petrie
Mr. Jerry Holmes
Mrs. Lois Jones
Teacher Education Research Center
State University College at Fredonia

and

Mrs. Inez N. Petrie
Fredonia, New York

Staff

A.M. Sessions

Mrs. Lillian Brand   Teacher
Mrs. Barbara Hale   Aide

Mrs. Phyllis Klemp   Teacher
Mrs. Barbara Andersen   Aide

P.M. Sessions

Mrs. Martha Bellamy
Mrs. Hildegard Horn

Mrs. Beverly Hastings
Mrs. Sheila Burton

Mrs. Nancy Grieco   L.T.
Mrs. Linda VanValkenburgh   SNT
Mrs. Loreen Snyder   Secretary

2/3

ABSTRACT

        This evaluation of the Hamburg, New York State Experimental Pre-
Kindergarten Program is a descriptive report of the instructional program
as well as a comparison of selected student outcomes.

        Description of the instructional program was obtained through
participant observation, photography and audio tape analyses of teaching
skills according to the use of instruction facilitative of children's
social and cognitive development. The description reveals that the
predominate instructional skills were telling, explaining, clarifying
and questioning. Participant observers concentrated on interaction
patterns among children and between children and teachers. The data
revealed that children had a wide variety of choices. The photographic
data illustrated the richness of the environment. Rather infrequently
did teachers help students make choices, clarify feelings and extend
ideas into art, music, dance and drama.

        Student outcomes were assessed using the Denver Developmental
Screening Test and the Cognitive, Speech and Language sections of the
Evanston Screening Packet. The pre-kindergarten children did signi-
ficantly better on two of the thirty-two tasks of the DDST. Children
without pre-kindergarten did significantly better on four of the thirty-
two tasks. Those with pre-kindergarten were significantly better on
two fine motor tasks; those without pre-kindergarten were significantly
better on three gross motor tasks and one (defines words) language
task. There were no significant differences on the Evanston Screening
Packet.

        To the extent that the respective groups represent the children
with and children without pre-kindergarten experience, the program
successfully modified and reduced deficiencies that may have existed
and made it possible for the pre-kindergarten child to enter kindergarten
on an equal footing with his age mates.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

The Hamburg Central School District, New York State Experimental Pre-Kindergarten Program (Early Childhood Center) was founded in 1966. The Center is housed in the educational wing of Trinity Episcopal Church. The broad goals of the Early Childhood Center are simply to develop a quality program based upon the existing and emerging knowledge of child development. More specific goals pertain to identifying children with particular educational needs and modifying, if not reducing, deficiencies resulting from unfilled needs. This document is an evaluation of the Early Childhood Center toward the end of its eighth year of operation.

This document and its preparation was different from many conventional evaluation procedures. First, it was initiated by the Center staff in collaboration with the Hamburg School District administration. Second, it inquires into both children's learning and teachers' instruction. Third, it deeply involved the evaluation team in experiencing the program and interacting with children. The first difference undoubtedly speaks to the willingness and maturity of a staff that desires to know if they make a difference. The second suggests comprehension of the many facets of learning, teaching, and evaluation. The third permits evaluators to equally experience the program at some risk to objectivity. Risks and investments are often necessary to describe and share the perspectives of teachers, program directors, administrators, and evaluators. However, the rigorous test data will speak for itself. The observational and tape analyses were coded with high reliability. Without a doubt, the

evaluators shared the staff good will, commitment to children and search
for a "better way." This was an attractive role and not resisted by the
evaluation team. With this caution, the data will speak for itself and
the writers will endeavor to be as descriptive as their skills permit.

SECTION 1

Overview

Evaluation of the Early Childhood Center focuses upon five object-

ives:

1. To screen and identify pre-school children needing compensa-
   tory education on a multi-dimensional basis.

2. To create a classroom environment which encourages social-
   emotional and cognitive development.

3. To sustain an individualized learning program for each child.

4. To modify the educational deficiencies of children selected
   for pre-kindergarten.

5. To provide kindergarten teachers a comprehensive anecdotal
   record for each child.

A program based on the above objectives is also based upon several

assumptions and values: that social-emotional and cognitive growth are

developmental; that children's learning is unique with respect to their

own style, pace and capacity; that differences attributable to lack of

experience can be reduced or modified by an educational program. These

assumptions and the program objectives above provided the basis for the

evaluation of the Early Childhood Center. Together they direct attention

to the learner's experience, the instructional program and the learner's

growth and development.

In addition, the Center staff formulated several basic questions

that they considered important and answerable.

1. What are the goals of the program?

2. Are the goals broadly conceived, yet attainable?

3. Is the curriculum established for the program consistent with the stated goals?

4. Are the experiences provided challenging yet suitable for the age range of the children?

5. Is there sufficient variety in instructional method?

6. Do the methods of instruction allow for personal exploration and freedom?

7. Are the children free of undue pressure?

8. Are children treated with respect?

9. Is play used to support children's learning?

10. Is the program organized to allow for individual differences in pace, style, and capacity?

11. How effective is the program in achieving its total range of goals?

The primary objectives are based upon developmental psychology; developmental psychology adheres to an invariant sequence in stages of growth that are related to both genetic and experiential factors. Therefore, parents and teachers may facilitate development of a child's potentialities by providing quality experiences. What are these experiences? They may be logically derived from a description of the social and cognitive stages of growth.

Inasmuch as three objectives, numbers 2, 3, and 4, pertain to children's development, Erikson's description of social development and Piaget's descriptions of cognitive development were selected as a basis for this part of the evaluation. In order to collect information related to objective 2, each of the four classes were observed three times and a number of photographs were taken to both describe and show the activity patterns in the classroom and the choices available to children. Summary descriptions of observational and photographic data were compared to the

ideal classroom environment as logically derived from developmental stage literature (see Appendix A). A judgement was made regarding how well the classroom approximated this ideal type environment.

Individualization of the program (objective #3) was evaluated according to whether children individually interacted with teachers, made choices about their activities, and engaged in clarifying and thinking processes. These are fundamental processes which assure that children's learning style, pace and potentialities are accommodated in an instructional setting. The observational data and photographic material were studied for activity patterns and evidence of the choices available. Furthermore, audio-tape recordings of teaching were made and coded to assess whether the teaching skills exhibited were associated with the respective stages. Three tapes of each team were made, coded and quantified according to teaching behaviors (see Tables 1, 2, 3, and 5, 6, 7). The patterns of teacher-pupil communication, choice-making, use of clarifying responses and thinking questions provided the data base for judging individualization.

Evaluation of objective 4, to modify deficiencies in selected children's social-emotional and cognitive development, was achieved by using the Denver Developmental Screening Test and the cognitive section of the Evanston Screening Packet. The 1973-74 pre-kindergarten class and a random sample of non pre-kindergarten children, who are also entering kindergarten (present 5 year olds), were tested and compared for significant differences in social-emotional, motor and cognitive development. The entering 1973-74 pre-kindergarten class (present 4 year olds) were also tested. To the extent that these children represent the respective groups, significant differences would indicate whether the pre-school did

or did not modify or reduce deficiencies.

Objective 5, to provide kindergarten teachers with a comprehensive anecdotal record of each child, was evaluated by judging the completeness of the children's folders sent on to kindergarten teachers. Complete folders contained cognitive, social emotional, physical and historical data.

The objective to screen and identify pre-school children needing compensatory education on a multi-dimensional basis was evaluated by comparing the extent to which the screening process used by the Center takes into account cognitive and motor development, social emotional and socio-economic factors.

The eleven staff questions were answered by comparing the Center program to the ideal standards implied and looking at the total weight of the evidence for the answer. Question one asks what the goals of the Center program are. If the goals are clearly stated in Center documents and teachers know what they are, question one is answered. Boradly conceived goals means that these statements deal with social, emotional, physical and cognitive growth, not growth in cognition alone. Assuming that the curriculum can be observed and/or looked at in writing, and it was, it is possible to use the tape and observational data to determine consistency with stated goals. If children's experiences are challenging and suitable for their age range, the data will show that learning activities are completed; pictures of the classroom and notes by participant observers will not reveal random behavior or boredom. A wide variety of children's products in evidence, abundant equipment and supplies in the classroom as well as direct observation of teaching will suggest a variety of teaching methods. For instruction to be individualized (questions 6 and 10) it must be developmentally conceived and

implemented with an underlying order to it. Data from a variety of
sources is used to answer these questions. Whether children are free of
undue pressure and whether or not play is used to support learning can
be directly studied by using participant observer notes and looking at
classroom photos. Whether children are treated with respect can be
heard on the audio tapes collected during actual class sessions. The
final question requires an answer based on the total weight of all the
evidence collected. Answers to questions 1 - 10 will reveal how effective
the program is.

The preceeding paragraphs give some idea of how information was
collected and judgements made. The results of this effort will be con-
tained in detail in the following sections of this report which
include: Early Childhood Center Organization and Home School Program,
A Description of the Instructional Program, Pre-Kindergarten Student
Outcomes and Conclusions and Recommendations.

SECTION 2

Center Organization and Home School Program

The Early Childhood Center consists of four classes of approximately fifteen students each for a total of sixty students. All children going to kindergarten the following year are eligible for pre-school. However, the final selection of children is based upon results of the Denver Developmental Screening Test, socio-economic factors and home visitations. In particular, children who need compensatory or corrective treatment are selected. The Denver test measures the child's personal-social, language and motor development. Home visits are made to determine the interest and commitment of parents or guardians. Testing, home visits and the selection process are considered initial aspects of the program which continues as a comprehensive home, school and community endeavor.

Parents are asked to share the objectives of the Center staff. Concern for social-emotional and cognitive development is manifest during the home visits as well as during pre-school meetings. Regular parent meetings, held in the Center, deal with common problems of parents and teachers. Various local service agencies acquaint parents with resources available in the community. In the homes, staff visitors lend a sympathetic ear and assist the child and his family. Every effort is made to increase the sensitivity of the Center staff to the opportunities and problems within the child's home affecting his development. Every opportunity is extended to parents as they are helped to feel secure in

an equalitarian relationship.

A comprehensive diagnostic and intervention program for each child
is initiated and sustained during the school year, beginning with a com-
plete physical examination. Follow-up care is provided, if needed.
Screening tests are used to plan the child's individual program.
Anecdotal records are maintained and regularly reviewed to update the
child's program. Parents are invited to help in the Center in order to
see the procedures and, hopefully, use some of them at home.

Home and Center are not viewed independently. Rather, the parent
program is designed to share the mutual concerns and benefit of the
child's development. For the child's development is the commonly held
goal as well as the source of his competence and freedom. Thus, the
need for integrating home and Center program.

SECTION 3

Description of the Instructional Program

Three procedures were used to obtain a comprehensive description
of the instructional program. First, audio-tape recordings were made to
obtain and store a sample of verbal interactions. Second, observational
notes were made to record the ebb and flow of activity. Third, photo-
graphs were taken to record visual data. These three procedures were
selected so that the evaluation team could focus independently upon the
merits of the respective procedures. Together they provided a compre-
hensive source of data to describe the pre-kindergarten program.

As noted, the audio-tapes provided a sample of the verbal com-
munication between staff and children. Tapes were coded and analyzed
for the frequency with which certain skills facilitative of children's
social and cognitive growth were used. The analysis of verbal inter-
action was based upon certain assumptions regarding child growth and
development.

1. Growth is a function of inborn capacities and potentialities
in interaction with the learner's environment.

2. There is a mutually beneficial relationship between adult's
instruction and children's learning.

3. This mutually beneficial relationship pertains to the continued
growth and development of both teachers and learners. Specifics
may be derived from stages of social and cognitive development.

4. There is a developmental sequence of social (ego strengths) development and cognitive abilities that teachers must accommodate.

5. It is the role of the teacher to create an interactive environment in which the child may develop his capacities and potentialities to communicate, choose, initiate, do and value. Equal consideration must be given to cognitive growth.

6. Inasmuch as most children come to the school in the third and fourth stages of development, the teacher must reuse the complementary instructional interactions pertaining to every stage in order to assess and mutually relate to children of different capacities and potentialities.

7. If learning (growth) is a function of the individual's inborn capacities and potentialities in interaction with the environment, the teacher's primary responsibility is to increase the supportativeness of the environment and instruction at the child's functional level. A supportative school and home environment increases the probability that the child will develop normally.

The above assumptions have rather far-reaching implications for teachers and learners. It is sufficient here to state that specific instructional skills have been identified which facilitate continued growth and development among teachers and pupils.* The skills facilitative of social development deal with communicating, decision-making, reflecting and doing. Definitions of the specific skills follow.

---

*See Appendix A for a complete discussion of the categories.

Ways of Communicating

__Telling:__ Teacher talk providing information that throws light on activities, ideas, interests, attitudes and purposes.

__Explaining:__ Teacher talk that describes relationships between two or more factors that are involved in a situation.

__Showing how:__ Teacher talk and actions that simultaneously assist the child with the ways (procedures and processes) of task accomplishment.

__Demonstrating:__ Simultaneous teacher description of the ways (procedures and processes) of task accomplishment while manipulating relevant objects or materials.

__Praising:__ Positive verbal support by teachers to a pupil attribute or response.

__Enthusiasm:__ Verbal response by the teacher indicating an intense interest in child's action or presence.

Ways to Structure Decision-Making

__Referring to rules:__ Teacher description or instruction about expectations.

__Specifying activity:__ Teacher description of the alternatives available to a student.

__Providing alternatives:__ Instruction that culminates in logical extensions into two or more follow-up activities from which the student may choose.

__Articulating:__ Systematically relating a single activity that a child may choose to another activity or event.

__Using humanities:__ Extending activities or ideas into art, music, dance, or drama.

Ways to Encourage Reflection

<u>Examining roles</u>: Teacher talk directed to stimulate thinking about

relationships between self and others.

<u>Practicing</u>: Teacher talk directed to stimulate thinking about gaining

control or experience with a skill.

<u>Perfecting</u>: Teacher talk directed to stimulate thinking about gaining

excellence with a skill.

<u>Clarifying</u>: Teacher talk directed to stimulate controlled thinking

about relationships between ideas and events.

<u>Leading</u>: Teacher talk about initiating activities and consumating

activities into task accomplishment.

<u>Social Development and Pre-Kindergarten</u>
<u>Instructional Frequencies</u>

Analysis of instructional skills according to the categories out-

lined in the preceeding section was obtained from twelve audio-tapes of

class sessions which contained the instructional dialogue of the Center

staff. Three tapes were made by each of the four teams. One tape was

too distorted for analysis and therefore was not used.

After training in the use of the coding system, two transcribed

tapes were independently classified with discrepancies on 11 per cent of

the items. These discrepancies were resolved and on a subsequent tape

analysis, a reliability of .93 was achieved in coding. The remaining

tapes were coded independently.

The tapes revealed that when teachers talk with children, 46.8%

of their dialogue is telling and 31% is clarifying (see Table 1). Both of these instructional skills are valuable tools in assisting social development. Instruction also incorporated explaining, praising, and reflecting upon roles and leadership acts. The mixture between telling and clarifying is interesting and typically consisted of actions and statements designed to create shared understandings interspersed with questions that required reflection and clarification. The following example illustrates.

> Let's see if these boys know what they're doing.
> I'd like to see you put the rings on each end and see if you can make it teeter totter.
> What teeter totter means is up and down, up and down.
> Do that with your hands—up and down, up and down.
> OK, hold it.
> Paul's making it go down. What do you have to do, Rick?
> Is it going to go down?
> Yes.
> Now what. Wait! Rick, Wait!
> What did Rick do that made it move?
> He put extras and it went up.
> How many extra did he put on?
> Paul had 3.
> How many did Rick put on there?
> OK, Paul, let's see you make it balance.
> What does balance mean?

The above dialogue began as Paul and Rick were placing washers on the pins of a balance. Telling provided definition of the relationship between up and down and teeter totter. Questions caused the child to reflect (think) about his actions and the event of placing weights. Furthermore, experience with the balance activity included simulating movement with their body and hands.

TABLE 1

USE OF INSTRUCTIONAL SKILLS FACILITATING SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Communicating		
Telling	1106	46.8
Explaining	124	5.2
Showing How	10	.4
Demonstrating	11	.4
Praising	105	4.4
Enthusiasm	29	1.2
Decision-Making		
Specifying Activity	22	.9
Referring to Rules	21	.9
Providing Alternatives	17	.8
Articulating	3	.1
Using Humanities	17	.8
Reflecting		
Examining Roles	91	3.8
Practicing	20	.9
Perfecting	5	.2
Clarifying	735	31.0
Leading	51	2.2
	2367	100.00%

The next example includes praise and reinforcement.

Sharon, you come on over here and sit down. This is the woods
  over here.
Come on, Papa Bear.
(Children did their own dialogue without help after the porridge
 • part.)
I think we should clap for them, don't you, Scott?
They did a good job.
Hollywood is calling us.
That was beautiful.
Wasn't that great?
I'll say!

The next example is typical of the many faceted conversations

which teachers have while working with a group. This conversation

illustrates the alternatives or choices that students have, reflecting

upon tasks or products and enthusiastic reinforcement of outcomes.

> Why don't you draw a brand new picture?
> I'm not sure whose it is. Probably the teacher's. OK. I guess
>   you can put it here. Thank you, Mark.
> You sure? UMM--you're right! (enthusiastic)
> Did you ever make one with . . . (inaudible)?
> Did you have the crayon?
> Do I have the crayon? I'll give you a new box, OK?
> Patricia, do you want another paper?
> Uh huh.
> Pick out the kind you want.
> How does your friend feel?
> What happened to all the drops you put on the paper the first
>   time?
> Hi, Stephanie, you want to try what we're doing?
> Pick out a piece of paper. Is that the shiny kind or the other
>   kind?

Several features are revealed by the transcript. Exchanges are brief and direct. Replies of the children are simple words or brief sentences. The dialogue, while concentrating on activity, directs the child to reflect upon his activities and products. Finally, opportunities to ask questions and explore meaning were continually available.

Another pattern included questioning and telling designed to develop clarification and sequential use of thinking and speech by reflecting upon what others would say or do.

> Well, what happened then?
> huff and puff.
> Oh that wolf got angry and, do you think he would try again when
>   he got angry?
> Yep, and did it blow in?
> Well, tell me--what happened then?
> What did he do?
> Climbed on the roof.
> Went down the chimney.
> Did he go down the chimney before he climbed on the roof or after
>   he climbed on the roof?
> After he had climbed on.
> And what happened when he went down the chimney?
> Fell into hot water.
> What do you think he said?
> Owl
> I think I would say that, too.

The following example includes a variety of teacher and pupil responses. The student was given an option to participate. He was also given a choice of what he could make. The instruction then switched to another student who was asked to count his tulips and name their colors. The responses were praised and his competence was affirmed.

> You can make other kinds of flowers, if you want to.
> If you want to make flowers, or something else, it's OK.
> How many tulips do you have?
> 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 tulips.
> What color?
> Very good.
> I think you can do OK.

The different instructional dialogues were classified developmentally. For example, all teacher behavior communicates something to children. Telling and explaining communicates information and relationships among information. Telling and explaining alternatives were classified as decision-making since these required the child to make a choice. Asking a child how he feels or thinks about something was classified as reflection because the student is required to process information, clarify his preference among alternatives and clarify his feelings or understandings.

The sequencing of instruction and learning has a cumulative effect. The prerequisite strength in communicating is necessary in order to make choices. Strength in communicating and choosing are necessary to reflect and respond with preference. And so it continues—stage by stage, skill by skill, the child builds upon previous skill and learning. The teacher recapitulates the processes of all stages to insure that all children have sufficient opportunity to share and experience the basic processes and experiences appropriate for cumulative growth.

In the pre-kindergarten, the transcripts revealed that communicating and clarifying were the predominant methods of instruction. Some choices were evident.

Cognitive Development and Pre-Kindergarten Instructional Frequencies

Cognitive development parallels social development in many ways, and the assumptions about developmental stages apply equally to the stages of cognitive development. Such factors as the invariant sequence of development, cumulative effect and interactions of inborn capacities with the environment all apply. However, knowledge of one set of stages is insufficient for understanding all aspects of growth. While stages may overlap, knowledge of the stages of cognitive development is equally helpful for understanding children and planning instruction. Therefore, to gain a more complete understanding of verbal instruction in the Hamburg pre-kindergarten the transcripts were also analyzed according to the use of instructional skills facilitative of children's cognitive development. Audio-tapes were coded the second time for use of the following categories.*

Ways of Symbolically Representing Action

Telling: Teacher talk providing information that throws light on activities, ideas, interests, attitudes and purposes.

Explaining: Teacher talk that describes relationships between two or more factors that are involved in a situation.

Role-Playing: Enacting a set of actions that requires assuming the role or perspective of another.

---

*See Appendix A for a more complete discussion of the categories.

Involving: Instruction eliciting the interest and active participation

of another person.

Extending concepts into the humanities: Creating alternative ways of

experiencing a concept through art, music, dance, and drama.

Elemental Centering: Specifying the salient characteristic of an object,

person, or event.

Describing: Specifying the essential characteristics of an object,

person, or event in order to share or approximate the same

perceptions.

Ways of Knowing

Using two level language: Describing an object, idea or event in

language with an increasing or decreasing degree of abstraction,

generalization or specificity.

Showing How: Teacher talk and actions that simultaneously assist the

child with the ways (procedures and processes) of task

accomplishment.

Using models and manipulatives: Demonstrations in which the instructions

refer to the use and presence of objects to carry out the specific

actions of the object or relationships between objects.

Comprehending Sequence: Eliciting the description of events or actions

in their logical or chronological order.

Questioning:

Recall: Instruction eliciting the reporting of knowledge.

Thinking: Instruction eliciting the reporting of knowledge and the

comprehension of the relationships between ideas and events.

Analysis of the tapes according to cognitive categories (see

Table 2) revealed patterns of telling (45.8%), explaining (6.3%), and

questioning (24.2% and 8%) similar to that obtained when examining the data according to categories of social development. Verbal interaction was direct and relevant to activity. The following example illustrates telling, questioning for recall and thinking while the student was engaged in self selected activity.

What do you call the little things that move?
I don't know.
They're called teeth.
Teeth?
Teeth.
Do they look like your teeth?
Not quite.
None of the rest turn. Why is that?
You took them both out. Now when you turn this one, which one
    turns? Here, this little one.
I wonder if we could put something on this face if it would turn?
Oops, I dropped it accidentally.
Yes, we had an accident.
Hey, what part moved?
What's different about this one?
If I turn this one which ones are going to move?
That, that, that, and that.
Will these move if I turn this one?
No.
Why not?

During instruction the pre-kindergarten teacher is alert to varied activities in the room. The following dialogue illustrates the "quick switch" occurring when teaching children pursuing a variety of interests.

Let's see if Paul can find where that key goes.
Oops, Paul found it.
What color is that, Paul?
White.
White. Does it work in the lock?
It does.
Very good.
Pull it up.
Turn the key.
Lisa, will you show me how the teeter totter works?
We know how to do it.
What do they do?
They make one side go higher and higher.
Right.
What's happening now?
How many rings have you got there?

TABLE 2

USE OF INSTRUCTIONAL PROCESSES FACILITATING COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT

Category	Frequency	Percentage
Symbolic-Representational Action		
Using student ideas	70	3.3
Telling	967	45.8
Explaining	131	6.3
Role-Playing	9	.4
Involving	7	.3
Extending concepts into humanities	32	1.6
Elemental centering	7	.3
Describing	17	.8
Knowing Systems		
Two level language	2	.0
Showing how	33	1.5
Models and manipulatives	3	.2
Comprehending sequence	146	7.0
Questioning		
Recall	511	24.2
Thinking	168	8.0
	2114	100.00%

Acting out stories that were read permitted representational

action and extending and reinforcing ideas with drama:

    Teacher tells story and lets children fill in dialogue with help
      as needed.
    It was just right, so Baby Bear ate it all.
    No!
    Wait a minute. He didn't either. It was too hot, too.
    Oh, good night, it was really too hot so they all left for a
      little walk.

    Here, knock here.

    He getting a little more porridge because his was all gone. He
      really enjoys that porridge. He was hungry.
    It's all gone now, Baby Bear. You go into the living room and
      look at your chair. Look what happened to your chair here.
    How did you like that?
    Was it pretty good?

T. He climbed out the window.
C. No, out the door.
T: Out the door. I'm glad you are helping me 'cause sometimes
    I forget.
    Well, he went . . . climbed out the door.
    He heard a loud noise. It was the footsteps of the giant.
    And the giant said, I'm going to eat you.
C. No! He said, I'm going to capture you.
T. Is that what he said? O.K., he said, I'm going to capture
    you.

This sequence of story line was shared and reviewed to reinforce
comprehension and linear thinking. It may also be observed that mis-
takes could occur with safety and without fear of being wrong.

I'll bet you were surprised when you saw it.
How many flowers do you think you need?
You know what?
Look at your finger.
On the other hand.
What stuck to it?
Do you want to make something?
You would?
I'm glad.
What kind of paper would you like to use?

This sequence, while it deals with several children, is represent-
ative of the brief cognitive dialogues that continually engage the
children in thinking about themselves and their work.

Todd, here's your paper.
You can bring it over here. Or you can take your paper over there.
Oh, I forgot to tell you this.
Is the sticky . . . look at. . . well this side will stick on.
Do you know what?
You might have to make it a bit smaller.
Hanzel and Gretel.
And they went to the witch's house?
And then what did they do?
A candy house . . . Are you going to make a candy house?
It looks delicious enough to eat.

The above dialogue involves the teacher and Todd in extending
ideas into arts and crafts. The characteristics of the materials were
discussed and the action portrayed in this child's picture was discussed.
The instruction switches to another student and the relationship between

the story and the student's picture was established by questioning. The

positive observation that it "looked delicious enough to eat" confirmed

the meaning to both the teacher and child.

Tape analysis reveals that most verbal instruction is appropriate

for children at the stage two and/or three levels of development. From

the social perspective language is communication which involves the

child in making decisions (stage two) and it provides the means for

sharing experiences and reflecting upon the worth of the activity (stage

three). From the cognitive perspective language is symbolic representa-

tion through which the child's mental ideas about self and others are

validated or accommodated (stage two), and it permits representational

action by which the child's ideas about concrete operations are affirmed

or denied (stage three). Over 95% of the staff's verbal instruction can

be identified as relevant to stage two and three processes where the

pre-kindergarten child is functioning.

Categorizing the audio-tape transcripts according to instructional

skills derived from knowledge of both cognitive and social stage develop-

ment revealed teaching which facilitated both phases of growth. The high

frequency of clearly and mutually understood communications, choice-

making among alternatives and reflection upon the outcome of effort are

intended at the Center. Teachers plan that children should take in,

choose and think about what they are doing. And implicitly, if not ex-

plicitly, children's feelings about their work are accommodated (however,

not always indulged). The following dialogue illustrates this process

of telling, praising and questioning while engaged in a learning activi-

ty which enables the child to discuss his work, reflect upon what he

does and how he feels about it within a supportive environment. Both

cognitive thinking and ego development processes are functioning
simultaneously.

> OK, I'll try.
> Here we go, Amy.
> Honey, those are items.
> Kelly has it.
> Boy, that is great!
> Thank you very much.
> Amy, see. You knew what you were doing.
> All right.
> You can finish these at home, too.
> What color is it?
> Light pink.
> What does it look like?
> I've never seen one.
> What does it look like; Christy?
> You don't know how to draw it?
> Oh, Judy, you can just lick it and it will stick on.
> Wow!
> It's OK, we can clean it.

Participant and Photographic Observation: Participant observa-
tion enabled the evaluation staff to record notes and experience the
ebb and flow of Center activities. The photographs provide a permanent
slice of reality for future reference. Two observers participated in
activities of both morning and afternoon sessions. Their unstructured
observational notes were combined and are summarized below as a de-
scription of events.

During the hour before the children's arrival, the staff prepara-
tion consists of "setting up" and planning for the day's activities.
The water table is filled, finger paint supplies laid out, craft materials
arranged; large blocks placed outdoors, story books placed on the
teacher's shelf, snack provisions arranged, and road signs are placed
with the blocks and cars. Any resources related to the day's activities
are prepared and arranged before the children arrive. Certain items such
as the water table, dress-ups, finger paints, blocks, kitchen, books,
and manipulatives are always prepared and available. Specific records,

games, manipulatives, and planning materials for particular instructional activities of the day are arranged for ready access. Then preparation is complete. It is apparent that planned activities are thought through and resources are obtained before children arrive.

When the children bound from school busses, they are greeted by name, comments are exchanged about the day and/or appearance, and children immediately begin the day's activities. Wraps are taken to an individual "cubby" (open wall locker).

The first hour is a mixture of activities: blocks, water table, home corner and manipulatives where the child can work independently, along side or with others. Teachers, aides or interns may hold a special interest activity of planting, cutting, pasting, or a game at a table. Often a small group is spontaneously attracted to an activity or the teacher may encourage participation. Discussion about school work, as characterized in the preceeding section, goes on. During the first hour it is evident that the child selects from a wide range of activities available.

Toward the end of the first hour, as the children's attention appears to diminish, the staff is alert for indicators of inattention: random play, frustration, tiredness, and aggressiveness. As they appear, the children are instructed to clean up and assemble for group experiences in dance, story telling, or drama. During this, other staff members prepare the food and table for snack time, a nutritious and social interlude. The children pour the beverage, pass the snack and clean up. This time is relaxed and rich in the use of language.

After snack time, either outdoor play or a nature walk is typical, but poor weather means a variety of smaller group activities indoors.

It is evident that there is a fluid structure assisting children's development. The staff provides consistency and continuity so that children see Center staff as safe, predictable teachers who are interested in their welfare. It is evident that the staff is prepared to give children their undivided attention. No "what am I to do next and where are my materials." Their plan permits them to "follow the children," containing sufficient alternatives and flexibility so that it is appropriate to children's basic developmental needs, and can be adapted to a particular child's needs. It is also clear that teachers can recapitulate basic stage one experiences if necessary (see Tables 1 and 4).

Clearly, observational data complemented the audio-tape data. Whereas the audio-tapes described group instruction, observational data described activities and individual interaction (of course, not often amenable to taping), tending to be more personal and anecdotal.

> Richard, you get down and pick up every one (raisins).
> (Inaudible comment by Richard.)
> Yes you did. I saw you drop them.
> Get them under the table and under the chair.
> Now take your napkin and cup . . .

> Denise fell off the "high board" as she jumped. She cried.
> Her mother went over and helped her up. Denise went to the
> teacher and sat on her lap to watch for a while. When she
> went over to the board again, the teacher said, "I'll watch
> you, Denise. Later, Denise tipped over on the She
> called for help without crying. Staff helped her get un-
> tangled and she ran off to play somewhere else.

> During snack . . .
> What was your mother doing, Lisa?
> Pulling my brother in the wagon.
> What was she riding?
> Her bicycle.
> I rode with side wheels first.
> I got my bicycle last summer.
> My brother is a year and a half old.

> Girl on bars started to cry. Teacher called to her.
> What happened?
> Child cries harder and teacher went and got her and carried her
> back to a seat to look at her.

That metal thing has got to go.
Let me see.
You'll be all right. Crying stops soon.
I need a Kleenex.
You need a barrette, too.
Teacher fixed her hair and child stayed on her lap for a while.
Teacher stood her on her feet and she ran off to play.

These anecdotes from observations complemented the audio data in
that they revealed the completeness and richness of the environment.
They revealed that alternatives were available and the child was free
to select many of his own activities. Thorough planning and freely
available resources enabled teachers to give their undivided attention
to planned instruction and emergent needs of children.

The photographic data shows in detail the equipment, supplies and
resources available as well as the generally intense delight with which
children pursue their activities. Pictures suggest that the activities
and children's products do not remain static. Recorded day-by-day, the
mixture of activities and special events are seen in a manner which
surpasses written observation, communicating to parents and teachers the
desire and willingness of children to engage deeply in tasks such as
housekeeping, finger painting, spontaneous experimenting with water,
singing, rhythmic moving, pounding nails, cooking and dressing up. Many
of these activities are considered messy or more appropriate for one sex
than the other. But the interest of both boys and girls is revealed.
Furthermore, the photographs suggest that the mess is educational in
itself, and part of the day's work.

When used as feedback to the children, photographs provided them
with rich insights about themselves and their peers. Day-by-day acti-
vities, holiday events and birthdays can be revisited and shared again
and again through photography. Slides brought positive comments about

past events and many unanticipated thoughts and related events were re-

called. In summary, the photographic data provided opportunity for

reflecting upon the program and feedback to the children and teachers.

Photographs are amenable to comparative research. As a record

of day-by-day activities, resources and products, they could be quanti-

tied and compared with pictures of other early childhood programs

throughout the state.

SECTION 4

Student Outcomes

Results of the pre-school Center program were assessed using two different instruments, the Denver Developmental Screening Test (DDST) (see Appendix D) and the Evanston Screening Packet, speech and language section and cognitive section (see Appendix B and C).

The DDST was administered by the Hamburg school district testing department to all children entering kindergarten in September 1974; this included children completing the 1973-74 pre-kindergarten program (group one) and children without pre-kindergarten (control group). Also, the children selected for the 1974-75 pre-kindergarten program were tested on the same instruments by the pre-school staff (group three). Scores of a random sample of the pre-kindergarten students were compared with scores of children entering kindergarten without the pre-kinder-garten experience. This data is presented in Tables 3 through 7.

Comparison of personal-social scores on the DDST reveals that there were no significant differences between entering kindergarten children who completed the pre-kindergarten program and children enter-ing kindergarten without pre-kindergarten experience.

It may be noted, but cautiously, that significant differences exist between the entering pre-kindergarten children (four-year-olds) and entering kindergarten children (five-year-olds). Whether this is due to pre-kindergarten experiences or maturation will be assessed next June, 1975.

TABLE 3

COMPARISON OF PERSONAL-SOCIAL SCORES ON THE DDST

	Per Cent of Children Who Passed		
	1974-75 Kindergarten Children		1974-75
Test Items	With Pre-K N=52	Without Pre-K (Control) N=60	Pre-K Children N=60
Puts on clothing	100 %	100 %	96.7%
Buttons up	94.2	90.1	53.5*
Separates from Mother	100	93.4	83.3*
Plays interactive games	100	98.3	93.4
Dresses without supervision	88.5	96.7	58.5*

Davies test of significant difference between percentages   p > .02

With respect to fine motor skills there were three significant

differences between the kindergarten children with pre-kindergarten ex-

perience and those without it (see Table 4). Two of these differences

TABLE 4

COMPARISON OF FINE MOTOR SCORES ON THE DDST

	Per Cent of Children Who Passed		
	1974-75 Kindergarten Children		1974-75
Test Items	With Pre-K N=52	Without Pre-K (Control) N=60	Pre-K Children N=60
Copies +	93.3	98.3	60.2**
Copies 0	97.8	98.3	81.7*
Copies //	66.7	63.5	70.3*
Imitates bridge	100	96.7	91.7
Imitates demonstrator	80.0	85.1	32.4*
Imitates vertical line	97.8	96.7	85.1*
Draws 3 part man	90.4	91.7	71.8*
Dumps raisins B.S.	97.8	88.4*	93.6
Draws 6 part man	71.2	88.4**	38.6*
Picks longer line	92.3	78.4**	68.7*

Davies test of significant difference between percentages   p > .05*   p > .02**

favor the children with pre-kindergarten experience in that more students dumped raisins and picked the longer line. On one item the children without pre-kindergarten experience performed better, drawing the six part man.

The language section of the DDST revealed one significant difference between the kindergarten children with pre-kindergarten experience and those without it (see Table 5). Those without pre-kindergarten were better able to define words. However, the 1974-75 pre-kindergarten children had significantly lower scores on seven of the eight items. Again, later study should reveal whether this difference is a function of the pre-kindergarten experience or maturation.

TABLE 5

COMPARISON OF LANGUAGE SCORES ON DDST

	Per Cent of Children Who Passed		
	1974-75 Kindergarten Children		1974-75
Test Items	With Pre-K N=52	Without Pre-K (Control) N=60	Pre-K Children N=60
Comprehends cold, etc.	93.4	100	80.0*
Comprehends prepositions	90.4	91.6	75.0*
Recognizes colors	94.2	95.0	76.8*
Opposite analogies	95.6	91.6	60.1*
Uses plurals	98.1	98.3	93.1
Defines words	48.0	65.1**	6.9**
Gives first and last name	95.6	100	75.0*
Composition	25.0	25.0	10.0*

Davies test of significant difference between percentages   p > .02*   p > .01**

With respect to gross motor development, there were no significant differences on seven of the nine tasks. The children with pre-kindergarten experience did significantly poorer on two of the nine items,

hops on one foot and catches bounced ball. The entering 1974-75 class

of pre-kindergarten children scored significantly lower on seven of the

nine tasks.

TABLE 6

COMPARISON OF GROSS MOTOR SCORES ON THE DDST

	Per Cent of Children Who Passed		
	1974-75 Kindergarten Children		1974-75
Test Items	With Pre-K N=52 .	Without Pre-K (Control) N=60	Pre-K Children N=60
Balances one foot 10 sec.	53.8	65.	25. **
Throws ball overhand	100	100	93.1
Hops on one foot	73.1	91.6**	66.7*
Catches bounced ball	42.3	55.1*	33.3
Heel toe walking	73.1	65.1	43.4*
Tricycle riding	100	100	90.
Backward heel toe	44.2	41.2	20. *
Broad jump	97.8	98.3	83.3*
Balances one foot 5 sec.	82.2	90	61.8*

Davies test of significant difference between percentages p > .02* p > .01**

Taken as a whole the children with pre-kindergarten experience did

significantly better than those without on two of the thirty-two tasks

of the DDST. Children without pre-kindergarten performed significantly

better than those with pre-kindergarten on four of the thirty-two DDST

items. Five of these six significant differences pertained to motor

skills. There were no significant differences in social tasks. There

was one significant difference in language skill (defines words). The

data is sufficient to conclude that the pre-kindergarten program has

modified differences which may have existed between the two groups during

the previous year.

Cognition was further. measured by using the cognitive section of the Evanston Screening Packet (see Appendix B). The cognitive tasks used in the screening were selected according to the following criteria: (a) Content Sampling--measures of several types of cognitive skill were included, namely, classification, symbol discrimination, rote memory, and several.types of learning tasks (uncued recall, serial learning, and paired associate learning); (b) Predictive Validity--the cognitive skills tested were some of those on which school-type tasks are theoretically based; (c) Basic Ability Versus Experience--a combination of tasks was selected that would differentiate between levels of "basic ability" and "applied ability." Tasks measuring basic ability had relatively low dependence on particular experiences or training, while those measuring applied ability had relatively greater dependence on relevant training or experience; and (d) Materials--concrete objects and pictures of familiar things were used wherever possible to keep the materials relatively experience-free.

The Center staff tested the 1973-74 pre-kindergarten children, the children accepted for the 1974-75 pre-kindergarten and a random sample of 1974-75 children without pre-kindergarten experience in basic, applied or total cognitive abilities. There were significant differences between the children entering the pre-kindergarten in September 1974 and those entering kindergarten (see Table 7). The extent to which this is a function of maturation or pre-kindergarten experience will be assessed and analyzed next June, 1975.

It is apparent that any differences which may have existed at the time children were selected for pre-kindergarten last year have been modified. The mean scores of each group are reported on Table 7. There

were no significant differences between children entering kindergarten
without pre-kindergarten and those entering with pre-kindergarten.

TABLE 7

COMPARISON OF COGNITIVE SCORES ON THE
EVANSTON SCREENING PACKET

Scores	1974 Kindergarten Children		1974-75 Pre-K Children N=57
	With Pre-K N=55	Without Pre-K (Control) N=30	
Basic	19.94	19.20	13.14**
Applied	14.01	12.83	8.36**
Total	33.95	32.03	21.50* t=2.49*

p > .02*   p > .01**   Fisher's t test on small uncorrelated samples

The purpose of the speech and language test was to determine
whether or not children were communicating adequately. If a child can
communicate his thoughts intelligibly to a listener and can understand
the communication of others, then it can be said that his speech and
language performance is within normal limits. A child's communication
skills were determined briefly by having him/her spontaneously discuss
particular pictures or answer a set of questions with a trained listener.
The same pictures and questions were used for all children. Spontaneous
speech was most important in that it allows the tester to hear the
child's normal communicative abilities and to simultaneously listen to
and informally evaluate the child's articulation, language, and voice.
Following the spontaneous or conversational speech, a set of pictures
was presented for naming which allows the tester to evaluate specific
sounds. When sounds are misarticulated by the child, the speech
clinician stimulates for these sounds--first in isolation and then in

words. The clinician models the correct production of the sound, which the child is instructed to imitate.

The screening procedure took approximately three to five minutes; however, on particular borderline children, more time was needed and used.

The children were assessed according to the following categories: (1) No errors during the screening procedures; (2) Errors during screening procedures sufficient to warrant the administration of further tasks, but no problems were diagnosed; (3) Errors during screening procedures were sufficient to warrant administration of further tasks, remedial speech and language problems were confirmed or further diagnosed.

There were no significant differences between children with pre-kindergarten experience and children without the pre-kindergarten experience. There were significant differences between children entering the pre-kindergarten and children entering kindergarten (see Table 8).

TABLE 8

SPEECH AND LANGUAGE SCREENING

Group	1974-75 Kindergarten With Pre-K N=59	1974-75 Kindergarten Without Pre-K N=30	1974-75 Pre-K N=57
No errors during screening	64.4 %	66.7 %	40.0 %*
Screening errors sufficient to warrant further screening; no problems diagnosed	27.1 %	26.7 %	35.0 %
Screening errors sufficient to warrant further screening; problems diagnosed or confirmed	8.5 %	6.7 %	20.0 %*

*Davies test of significant differences between percentages p > .02

SECTION 5

Conclusions and Recommendations.

Conclusions

Objectives:

Number 1   To screen and identify pre-school children on a multi-
dimensional basis.

This objective was achieved. The data reveal that all pre-
kindergarten children were screened on socio-economic, motor,
cognitive, language, physical and social emotional
characteristics.

Number 2   To create a classroom environment which encourages social-
emotional and cognitive development.

This objective was achieved. The data reveal that the pre-
school program was comprehensive; that teaching is consist-
ent with what is known about social-emotional and cognitive
development. More specifically, communications were clear
and mutually understood, choice existed among activities,
reflection upon the worth of activities and clarification of
thinking were encouraged.

Number 3   To sustain an individualized learning program for each child.
This objective was achieved. The data reveal that: (1)
diagnostic procedures permitted the staff to know the status
and potentialities of each child, (2) the home visitation
program elicited the cooperation of the parents or guardians,
(3) children were encouraged to make choices according to

00042

their learning style, pace and potentialities from a variety
of available material, and (4) staff was alert to frustra-
tion, aggression or withdrawal so that the child could rest
or engage again in productive activity.

Number 4 To modify the educational deficiencies of children selected
for the pre-kindergarten.

.This objective was achieved. The DDST data revealed (Tables
1. through 4, Section 4) that differences which may have
existed when the pre-kindergarten children were selected,
were reduced. There were no significant differences on the
cognitive section of the Evanston Screening Packet between
those children with and those without pre-kindergarten
experience.

Number 5 To provide kindergarten teachers a comprehensive anecdotal
record of each child.

This objective was achieved. A comprehensive anecdotal re-
cord for each child was forwarded to the kindergarten
teacher.

Staff Questions:

1 What are the goals of the program?

The goals are both explicit and implicit. The program ob-
jectives evaluated in this report are explicit goals of the
program. Various documents given to parents, the State
Education Department and visitors reinforce the goals of
individual development and the potential of home and school
cooperation to sustain compensatory educational opportuni-
ties.

2   Are the goals broadly conceived, yet attainable?

A program based upon the child's cognitive and social
emotional development is broadly based. The DDST scores
and cognitive scores on the Evanston packet indicate that
the goals are attainable.

3   Is the curriculum established for the program consistent
with stated goals?

Yes. It is abundantly clear that the staff at Hamburg has a
firm understanding of child development. Basic instruction-
al skills specified in Tables 1 and 2 can be readily
observed. Verbal interactions characterize the skills of
stages two and three. Together, teaching skills and verbal
patterns express caring facilitative of the individual
child's development.

4   Are the experiences provided challenging yet suitable for
the age range of the children?

Yes. The data, especially observational and photo materials,
reveal that children actively engage in learning experiences.

5   Is there variety in instructional method?

Tape analyses reveal a concentration of verbal instruction
on telling, explaining, clarification and questioning. Ob-
servational data reveal use of additional methods.

6   Do the methods of instruction allow for personal exploration
and freedom?

The weight of the data reveal that personal exploration and
freedom are encouraged.

7   Are the children free from undue pressure?

Yes. The participant observations and photographic data
reveal that children are happily busy.

8 Are children treated with respect?

The audio-tapes and observational data reveal that instruc-
tion begins with care, and preparation, punctuality, person-
al greetings and inquiries continue to characterize it.
Inevitable incidences of frustration, withdrawal or
aggression were generally channeled into productive alter-
natives.

9 Is play used to support children's learning?

Yes. The weight of the evidence collected shows that play
contributes to children's learning.

10 Is the program organized to allow for individual differences
in pace, style and capacity?

Audio-tapes analyses and observational data reveal that
planning, organization and instructional competence were
sufficient, allowing staff to relate to each child indivi-
dually, encourage him to make his own decisions and
concentrate on his unique talents. The weight of the
evidence is favorable.

11 How effective is the program in achieving its total range of
goals?

Data pertaining to the five objectives reveal that the total
range of goals was effectively achieved.

Discussion and Recommendations

Children develop at different rates. They are born with different
capacities and they come to school with different cumulative experiences.
Providing the environment in which development may prosper is complex but
it is more complex to provide the benefits necessary for the individual
development of many children. Such was the task. Moreover, the

children in pre-kindergarten had been selected because, for some reason, they had not yet capitalized upon their inborn capacities. Without pre-kindergarten experience to enrich their development, the chance for failure in the normal course of schooling would be higher.

Modifying whatever deficiencies existed was an objective of the program described in this report. Happily, at the end of the program, the data reveals that pre-kindergarten children were able to engage in schooling on an equal footing with their age mates. Furthermore, specific program objectives were achieved and the questions asked by the staff were affirmed.

However, the pre-kindergarten staff has taken on a far greater responsibility than simply to achieve their objectives and answer their questions satisfactorily. They have also asked about improvement. Up to this point, judgements have been made by comparing the performance of pre-kindergarten children with their age mates entering kindergarten in September 1974. If other judgements are asked, it requires additional reflection upon the data. Data reveal that although the instructional skills logically facilitative of children's learning were, indeed, present the balance among instructional frequencies for optimal development may be worth considering. Tables 1 and 2 reveal that the predominant instructional frequencies were telling, explaining, clarifying, and questioning. Rather infrequently did the teachers (1) help children make choices, (2) help clarify feelings, and (3) extend experiences into art, music, dance, and drama.

To the extent that social development is facilitated by inter-action between adults and children, then helping children make choices and reflect upon their feelings is a critical need. To the extent that

cognition is an active experience, then extending concepts and ideas

into art, music, dance and drama not only enhances integrative thinking,

but also provides a variety of ways to perceive and express an idea(s).

Another consideration is that some children did not complete the

program on an equal footing with their age mates. There were those for

whom the program was unsuccessful (or the evaluation design was insuf-

ficient to make judgements). Staff concern for individualized

instruction obligates them to continue the search for ways to reach these

children.

Recommendation #1: It is recommended that the staff incorporate more
student goal setting and choice-making in instruction.

Goal Setting. Goal setting as an instructional experience can be

accomplished as follows. Each morning a staff member may enter the names

of three or four students on a goal setting card. When these children

arrive, he/she is asked, "What would you like to do today?" or "What

are you planning to do today?" The child is encouraged to briefly talk

about the activity he/she would like to do. If the activity is not

feasible because of other plans, resources, or whatever, the child is

told this and encouraged to select an alternative. If help is needed

to carry out the activity, agreements are made about who is going to

assist him/her. At the end of the day, the child is asked, "Did you get

to do what you intended?" "How did it go?" The child's responses are

recorded on the same card. At the end of the year an analysis of the

cards should reveal some interesting information about children's

choices and the progression or differentiation of their decision-making.

Choice-making among activities. During the day, children exhibit-

ing withdrawing, aggressive or random behavior may be asked to join an

activity with the teacher, aide or mother. Crafts, blocks, games, and

dance provide opportunity for sharing a few thoughts and ideas. Upon completion of the required activity, choices among subsequent activities may be explored according to the developmental level of the child. Children with a short attention span and low frustration level may need a calm and comforting experience. So asking them, "Would you prefer to join me (or another adult) and read a story or would you rather listen to a record?," structures and yet facilitates choice-making. A child with a longer attention span may wish to explore the different ways an ideas that was talked about can be drawn, modeled with clay, or dramatized.

Recommendation #2: It is recommended that the staff increase use of the clarifying response in their teaching.

The clarifying response is a statement or question designed so that the hearer must sort out his own role, personal feelings and thoughts about what he is doing and saying. These questions or statements, as exemplified below, are the content for a brief teaching encounter of thirty to sixty seconds. They stimulate conscious reflection about how the child or others feel about something. They are neutral questions that have no right answer, for however the child responds, it is accepted. A repertoire of such questions and statements and their use by teachers provides the child an opportunity to verbalize how he/she feels and how he thinks other feel, thus assisting him to clarify his purposes and goals.

> I find that interesting.
> That seems like a different approach (idea, way, thought).
> How did you feel when that happened?
> Did you consider anything else?
> Was that something you choose by yourself?
> Would you really do that or were you just talking?
> Are you saying that . . . (repeat)?
> Have you thought much about that idea (or behavior)?
> (For other responses, see L. Raths, Teaching for Values.)

Recommendation #3: It is recommended that the staff make and use a monthly check list dealing with the emotional needs of children.

Recorded on this checklist would be the frequency and intensity of certain behaviors such as withdrawal, aggression, submission, frustration, and illness. High frequency or intensity would indicate the need for special attention.

BEHAVIORAL CHECKLIST

Name	Frustration	Withdrawing	Aggression	Submission	Ill

Use key and definition of Dr. L. Raths, Meeting the Needs of Children.

Three or more students needing particular help could be selected (with the assistance of the aide, student intern, or mother) and an individual plan could be outlined and implemented. The form on page 25, Meeting the Needs of Children, may be helpful in this regard.

Recommendation #4: It is recommended that activities requiring the use of gross motor skills be increased.

The data reveal three deficiencies in gross motor skills among the pre-kindergarten children. While space is a serious handicap, activities like rolling, tumbling, falling, bouncing balls and wrestling would increase coordination.

APPENDIX A

RATIONALE FOR DESCRIPTIONS OF THE SOCIAL
AND COGNITIVE INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAM

RATIONALE FOR DESCRIPTIONS OF THE SOCIAL
AND COGNITIVE INSTRUCTIONAL PROGRAM

Three procedures were used to obtain a description of the
instructional program. First, audio-tape recordings were made to
obtain and store a sample of verbal interactions. Second, observational
notes were made to record the ebb and flow of activity. Third, photo-
graphs were taken to record visual data. These three procedures were
selected so that the evaluation team could focus independently upon the
merits of the respective procedures. Together they provided a compre-
hensive way of describing the pre-school program.

Social Stages and Pre-School Instruction: Erikson's outline of
the stages of social growth is the most complete and systematic
description of development available. The first two stages he describes
are called "Trust-Mistrust" and "Autonomy-Shame." Passage through the
trust-mistrust stage, generally assigned to the first 18 months of life,
occurs during infancy. The infant child interacts first with parents
and later with brothers and sisters acquiring his first views of himself
and his world according to the care he receives from others. The second
stage (autonomy-shame) builds upon learnings in the previous stage and
ends when the child is approximately three years old. During this
period he is (or is not) accustomed to increasing freedom and opportuni-
ties for learning. Of particular importance are the cumulative effects
of the processes of communicating and choosing, both basic processes of

11

Stages One and Two. They are the processes which progressively affect the development of hope and will in the child (see Tables 1 and 2). To know whether or not these processes have resulted in hopefulness and willpower, one must look at the child's behavior. His actions will indicate whether the desired strengths of hopefulness and willpower are developing. Indeed, taken together these actions may even be called behavioral indicators, and there are a number of them. A hopeful child is alert, rests easily, and vigorously engages objects within his grasp. An autonomous child is an intense explorer, controls his movements, and talks a lot. Nor is the child's behavior only indicative of developing ego strength; it is also indicative of a predominate learning preference or response modality. That is, the child may prefer visual rather than audio learning stimuli and respond in verbal artistry or respond mechanically to others. To state it somewhat differently--during the trust-mistrust stage, the child depends upon his sense perceptions to receive communications from his environment. From these communicated sense perceptions, the child organizes his own responses; i.e., a predominate pattern of actions that may be characterized as pleasant, engaging, zestful or avoiding, withdrawing, and turning inward.

Or consider the second stage when processes of decision-making or exercising choice lead to willpower (Table 2). Children's behavioral patterns related to self-expression, experimentation, locomotion, holding on and letting go indicate the strength of the developing willpower. More specific indicators of autonomous development are consummating an initiated activity and persistence with an interest. Parents influence these patterns by providing culturally relevant resources and

iii

personal experiences about which children may make choices. Toys may be
provided so that decisions about their use ensue. Tools enrich the
child's opportunity to decide about imitating brother, sister, or parent
models. Books, records, and paper encourage choices through which the
child may experience activities in reading, music, and art. Above all,
in this stage, the communicative structures of the previous stage are
supplemented by choosing objects and/or activities and symbolically at-
taching meaning and language.

TABLE 1

TRUST-MISTRUST
(Age 0-18 Months)

Process	Developmental Response Modalities	Parent-Family Influences	Instructional Skill	Ego Strength
Communica- tions (sense percep- tions)	Getting Focusing Taking Appropri- ating Receiving	Consistency Continuity Preparedness Punctuality Eye Contact Telling Touching Praise- Smiling	Preparing Punctuality Eye Contact Telling Comforting Showing how Praising Explaining Consistency Continuity	Hope

iv

TABLE 2

AUTONOMY-SHAME
(Age 18-36 Months)

Process	Developmental Response Modalities	Parent (Peer) Influences	Instructional Skill	Ego Strength
Decision-Making (Choice)	Holding on Letting go Locomotion Self-expression Experimentation Consummating Persisting Attending	Organizing Time Space Resources Technology Tools Humanities Dance Art Music Rules	Organizing Time Space Resources Activity Dance Art Music Drama Rules Creating choices Using children's ideas	Will

Thus, for each stage of ego development we may describe a primary process that leads to development of the strength particular to that stage; specific behaviors, which indicate the process; and children's learning preferences or modalities which facilitate acquiring the desired strength. Logically, such an outline suggests the need, as a next step, for expanding family and environmental influences into instructional skills that sustain student learning preferences or modalities for developing the strengths of each stage. The teaching transcripts were coded according to the use of such instructional skills associated with stage development. Frequency of use of these skills provided a partial description of the instructional program.

Instructional skills and patterns that will facilitate acquiring

v

the strengths of the various stages are identified in Column 4 (see
Tables 1, 2, and 3). Consider again the first two stages and their
respective processes. For example, trust-mistrust is resolved construc-
tively by consistency, continuity, preparedness, and punctuality. At
the infant level these terms pertain to washing, feeding, clothing,
singing, and other stimulating actions to regularly meet the child's
basic needs. As stated, the child's sense perceptions of seeing,
smelling, hearing, and feeling organize and interpret the above actions
into a predisposition that the world is or is not a hopeful place. Hope
enables the child to use his psychic energy or attention on further
learning rather than simple survival needs. Serious discontinuity in
the above patterns makes it difficult, if not impossible for the child
to organize his perception in a hopeful manner, and his view of the
world is mistrustful or hopeless. The point of all of this is that
teachers must be skillful in the actions that communicate trust and hope
in the classroom. Why? Because those who come to school with a high
degree of hope are ready for the consistency and continuity in action
needed for school tasks. But those who have a low degree of hope or are
mistrustful must have a primary relationship with adults that includes
touching, lap sitting, story telling, listening, role-playing and as-
sociated activities of stage one before they can view school as a hope-
ful place and can use their psychic energy for further learning.
Instructional skill is required not only to sustain school tasks per-
taining to the industry stage (four- to six-year-olds), but also to
recapitulate the primary processes of all past stages in a school setting.
To achieve this, basic communication skills are required; i.e.,

TABLE 3

DEVELOPMENTAL SOCIAL STAGES

Stage	Processes	Developmental Response Modalities	Parent --Family Influences	Instructional Skill	Ego Strengths
3					
Initiative Guilt 3-6 years	Reflecting	Planning Attacking Enterprising Intruding Including	Roles (Expectations) Activity Authority Affect Deference Praise Variety Specific	Role-Playing Teaching roles Practicing Perfecting Appreciating Clarifying ideas feelings Ordering Redirecting Praising Specifying	Purpose
4					
Industry Inferiority 4-12 years	Making Knowing Implicit Explicit Systematic	Technical	Occupation Role-set Community Involving Valuing	Subject Matter Procedures Processing Diagnosing Questioning Classifying Generalizing Craftmanship Mixing thinking and feeling	Competence
5					
Identity Role Confusion 11-17 years	Internalizing	Sameness Idiology Work	Pluralism Commitment Discipleship Courage	Abstracting Conceptualizing Inquiring Verifying Evaluating	Fidelity

communications must be shared. Each must understand what the other has said and meant. Moreover, it is the teacher's responsibility to be prepared, punctual, consistent, and provide continuity in direction and purpose. If the teacher's instruction includes telling, praising, explaining, smiling, and showing how, all with enthusiasm, the child may organize his view of school in a hopeful manner. Without instruction that includes these patterns and the actions above, the child who has not successfully mastered the trust-mistrust stage, is still at sea.

. Familiarity with and use of rather specific processes related to each stage are necessary to create an instructional program. Emphasis was just placed upon communication skills as the basic process of Stage One resulting in hope. The basic process for Stage Two is decision-making leading to willpower. This means teachers must develop skill in providing learning alternatives so children may make choices. Choice in learning activities, length of time to complete a task, tools, place to work and the rules for work can be planned to accommodate differences in children's learning rates, preferences, and capacities. In the pre-school communications include complete sentences, choosing among activities, reflecting upon the feelings students' experienced, and relating outcomes to subject matter. In the later years these processes continue; communication remains necessary to share teacher-pupil frames of reference; choice among activities continues and generally pertains to subject-matter content.

As schooling continues, development builds upon the differentiated and increasingly complex processes of each stage. Communication of the trust-mistrust stage begins with sense perceptions and proceeds

to an elaborate code of shared verbal and non-verbal messages. Decision-making of the autonomy-shame stage begins when physical development permits some freedom and varied activity is possible. It finally includes exercising the extensive freedom available to adults. Reflection of the initiative stage is the process of accommodating wants and consequences. Reflection, or the capacity to share feelings, is foundational to a life of purpose and direction. In the industry stage, making includes the process of imitating family and peer activities. Later, it may include control of subject matter, disciplines or technologies. Each process builds upon and adds to processes of the previous stages. For example, reflecting includes communicating the worth or consequences of acts and decisions. The industry process of making includes deciding to initiate and reflecting upon the degree of competence achieved. In this manner each stage is cumulative. Neglect of any process renders the associated strength incomplete. Incomplete strengths are accentuated with age as differentiated capacities are needed. If the strengths relevant to each process are not developed, a considerable proportion of future development also may be arrested. The teachers willingness to conceptualize and sequence each process is necessary so that a child develops the strength in his own time.

Cognitive Stages and Pre-School Instruction

Erikson's concept of social development in children is complemented by Piaget's view of cognitive development. Whereas one theorist has concerned himself with interpersonal processes, the relating of self to self and others, the other has explored the emergence of mental structures for impersonal verification or denial.

As noted, knowledge of the developmental stages of social growth is helpful for sequencing instructional interactions, allowing teachers to predict the ego building effect of communications, choice making, reflecting and doing. Teachers may plan instruction with due regard for the child's social development and his particular learning modalities. However, while social stage analysis brings considerable order to the interpersonal aspects of instruction, it lacks clarity and power for conceptualizing and sequencing cognitive processes.

Piaget conceptualizes four stages in cognitive development and the sequence in which they occur. Like Erikson, he builds upon a genetic-culture principle--the developing individual proceeds through stages in an invariant order. Individuals, in interaction with their environment, develop mental schema through assimilation, accommodation, and equilibration, actions which occur in interaction and organize sense perceptions into structures of mental schema. More simply put, through active experience, mental structures of reality are created and called upon to deal with similar events. These differentiated structures pertain to causality, symbolism, knowing systems, and ideological systems. In order words, the stages of cognition may be viewed as a sequence in which the egocentric child acquires and verifies the order in the universe with respect to:

1. self as object and causality (sensorimotor stage).

2. self as person in a symbolic social system (preoperational stage)

3. self as perceiver of knowing systems (concrete operational stage)

4. self as conceptualizer in a world of pluralistic ideologies
   (formal operational stage)

The mental schemas of structure which Piaget postulates are de-
veloped through continuous interaction between the child and his
environment (object, parent, others). The child actively and selectively
assimilates mental structures of reality. These mental structures (as-
similations) are the result of encountering the environment. The nursing
infant may exhibit a simplistic "sucking" schema while the older child
may grasp the bottle, focus his eyes on it and move his mouth vigorously,
providing evidence of assimilation. In the attempt to assimilate or act
upon the environment, changes in the assimilating structures are forced.
The child encounters objects or forces that are too big, don't fit, make
noise, respond differently, don't respond, and create exceptions to his
earlier learned schemas. In these instances the realities of the en-
vironment force accommodation. Experience or existing schemas are denied
by reality, as, for example, when a gas filled balloon doesn't fall to
the floor when released. Such an experience must be thought through
(accommodated) and thus it contributes toward new and more elaborate
schemas or structures for dealing with the world.

The first stage is the sensorimotor stage common to the first two
years of life. Activity is the process that characterizes the sensori-
motor stage. Beginning with reflexes to touch, sound or temperature,
the infant encounters a variety of stimuli from objects or others which
intrude upon his vision, grasp or person. The child's responses become
more elaborate as he learns that objects can be viewed, handled or mani-
pulated. Awareness grows that certain objects do rather specific things.

xi

Some objects move, others feed me and another may be soft and warm. Response to these objects includes basic attentiveness and grasping. Adaption is a more differentiated response involving eye and hand coordination. It is basic to intentional responses requiring coordination and control. Rattles can be shook or thrown; mobiles can be watched or hit; both actions display intentionality.

Transactional responses are evident when a child is aware that objects cause events. Mother picks me up, blankets are comforting and the playpen or fence rstrains me. The transactional response also includes object constancy, that is, objects out of sight still exist. The most differentiated response of the sensorimotor stage is representational. Objects can represent other objects or persons. Representational responses signal the beginnings of symbolic relationships.

The aforementioned sensorimotor activities characterize cognitive schema or mental structures of reality that continue to be assimilated and verified. If aspects of reality don't fit; or match with present schema, accommodation occurs.

During the sensorimotor stage, the primary influences on the child are parents and family, just as they are in the development of hopefulness. But it was suggested in the earlier discussion, and can be reemphasized here, that teachers must be familiar with the processes leading to the desired outcome of each stage. For if a child's development at one of the early stages is incomplete, the teacher must, in effect, back up and use the teaching skills derived from the processes of the incomplete stage in order that the stage strength or goal may be reached.

In school, teachers may promote development by providing

TABLE 4

SENSORIMOTOR STAGE
(Age 0-2 Years)

Process	Developmental Actions	Parental --Family Influences	Instructional Skill	Cognitive Strength
Activity	Reflexes responsive-ness to touch Sense-Perceptions responsive-ness to	Orchestrated-Care Handling Feeding Changing Clothing Rest	Orchestration	Causality Self-Objects
	sight sound taste smell Anticipation attention interest	Patterned-Intervention food bath clothing handling	Repetition regularities patterned	
	Adaptation space eye-hand Intention coordination control	Freedom security movement safety	Variation differenti-ation Thematic	
	Transactions other causes object con-stancy Representation Intervention Alternatives	Responsiveness of environ-ment Space-movement Variety of cultural objects	Causality-Questioning Roles	

experiences that are patterned, orchestrated, repetitious, thematic, and

sufficiently differentiated to accommodate individual potentialities (see

column 4, Table 4). Patterned action provides a way for children to make

predictions which is necessary to understand causality. Orchestration co-

ordinates the capacities of individuals while creating unity and relevance

among activities. Repetition creates habitual action. Themes enable the child to perceive and experience continuity and variation. Finally, differentiation allows for individual differences in rate and capacity. If teachers use these skills and/or learning experiences with these qualities, children will develop a firmer understanding of cause and effect relationships.

The second stage is the preoperational stage when emergence and use of language permits the potentialities of symbolic representation. Sensorimotor actions can be represented by language now and verbal symbols are attached permanently to objects and ideas. In this stage accommodation and assimilation take on new characteristics. Assimilation incorporates symbolic play, creative imagination and constructional games. Words are sufficient to label objects and events. Accommodation involves more representation imitation and reproductive imagination. Symbolic schema, such as "doggie," are often attached to similar animals such as cats or goats. Later, the child reorders or accommodates into new schema, objects or information that is not affirmed. Accommodating actions reveal imitation as the child "acts out" things such as a falling tower when he collapses to the floor. Copying activity previously seen or described displays accommodation.

So it is during this stage that action and language are merged. Language is used to label features (classification); sequence operations (ordering); and internalize rules and behavior (socialization). Developmentally the child profits from a responsive environment that engages his interiorized intelligence of accumulating schema, as well as one that supplies events amenable to imitation. Objects that encourage

assimilation may be familiar: toys, blocks, strucks, sand tables, water.
Creative use of these materials is infinite. Dress-ups, painting, tele-
phones, towers, kitchens and workshops enable the child to imitate, re-
produce and cultivate his imagination.

TABLE 5

PREOPERATIONAL STAGE
(Age 18-36 Months)

Process	Developmental Actions	Parental --Family Influences	Instructional Skill	Cognitive Structures
Symbolic Language	Language Egocentric Socialized	Role Activity Reading Telling Listening Play	Organizational Using student ideas	Self--others Socialization
	Representation-al play	Toys	Telling	
		Cultural Objects & Ideas as means of expression	Explaining	
			Varying con-cept	
	Socialization	records drawing materials	level down	
		singing	Involving	
	Classifica-tion Attributes	dancing tools	Redirection	
			Extending concepts in humanities drama art music dance	
	Centraliza-tion elemental	Organization of time space resources		
	Serial order-ing			
	Rules Logical		Observing	
			Elemental cen-tering Describing	
	Conservation number			

xv

The instructional skills associated with the preoperational stage elicit and sustain language development. It is necessary to organize the room to facilitate and encourage creativeness and imitation in play and language use. Using student ideas, telling, explaining, varying concepts and extending concepts via art, music, dance and drama are basic for creating complete programs of language development. Such an approach enables the teacher to assess and provide a variety of learning activities that children can participate in according to their respective rate and capacity.

Cognitive stages, like social stages, are subject to the infinite permutations of experience (see Tables 4 and 5). The interworkings of maturation, physical experience and social interactions are endless. Yet the processes of cognitive stages are also invariant, and the right class of experience at the right time determines the outcome of a stage. Furthermore, emergence of the next stage opens up new potentialities, which, ready or not, ensue. If the child has had sufficiently differentiated and integrated experiences, he finds an equilibrium in which his potentialities are realized, and he moves to the next stage with zest, enthusiasm, and preparedness. If not, he proceeds with incomplete structures.

TABLE 6

CONCRETE OPERATIONAL STAGE
(Age 6-12)

Processes	Developmental Actions	Parental --Family Influence	Instructional Skill	Cognitive Structures
Knowing	Decentering	Rules or Expectations about:	Two level language	Knowing systems (Disciplines)
	Transformations States changes	tools water objects dressing	Varying Concept level up	
	Coordination of variables	toys	Demonstrating	
		Interactions about:	Showing How	
	Reversibility	play		
		language	Using manipulatives	
	Conservation mass area	pets	Models	
	weight volume	Practice	Project-goal setting	
		Perfecting		
	Concrete Prob. classification ordering logical oper.	Thinking-Actions Patterns	Conceptually comprehend the sequence of a. internalized action	
		Questions	b. reversibility	
	Generalizations from concrete	Openness	c. conservation & invariance	
		Closedness	d. relatedness to a system of know.	
	Social interaction			
	Verification or denial		Consolidate	
	Inductive			

APPENDIX B

EVANSTON COGNITIVE SCREENING PACKET

DIRECTIONS FOR ADMINISTRATION

TASK	DIRECTIONS FOR ADMINISTRATION
1). **Serial Learning:**	Say "I'm going to show you how to play a game of hide and seek." Show animal picture cards. "Here are pictures of four animals." Point to each and name them: "a fish," "a monkey," "a squirrel," and "a bird." Open the folder. "Now they are all hiding." Expose each picture for 4 seconds in left to right order, including time to say: "Here's the ___. Now, let's see if you can point to the bird" (position 3). Repeat for squirrel (position 2); fish (position 4); and monkey (position 1). Each time if correct, say good, and expose the picture. If incorrect say, "No, but how about the ___ (next), where's he?"
2). **Rote Counting:**	Say "(name), now I'd like you to count for me. You know, 1, 2, . . ." If response is less than 11, probe further saying "good, you have the idea. Try it a- gain, and count carefully: 1, 2, . . . "
3). **Recall of Objects:** child will demonstrate unused recall for previously presented objects.	Say "(name), now I'm going to show you some things." Present Recall Box. "Look very carefully at each one, and try to remember them. Repeat after me . . ." Name objects in given order: sponge, etc., at a 4 second rate. Cover the box. "Now tell me all the things you remember in the box." Probe further if necessary.
4). **Recall of Words:** child will demonstrate uncued recall for previously presented words.	Say "good. Now we're going to play the same game a- gain but this time I won't show you anything; I'll just say some words. You listen very carefully to each one and try to remember them, o.k.? Repeat af- ter me . . ." Say words in a given order at a 4 second rate: "Milk, nickel, happy, shoe, red, house." Next say, "Now tell me all the words you remember." Probe, if necessary, saying "what else?" "Anything else?" "Good. Let's try more next time. Try to

DIRECTIONS FOR ADMINISTRATION

TASK	DIRECTIONS FOR ADMINISTRATION

remember the words.. Repeat after me ..." Say words in new, given order. Then ask child "o.k. Tell me all the words you remember." Then probe.

5). **Symbol Discrimination:** child will demonstrate ability to discriminate between identical versus trans-formed two dimensional symbols.

Say "(name), now I'm going to show you some funny looking pictures. Some will look exactly the same, and some will look a little bit different." Present training cards, one at a time. "Look at these pictures next to each other." Point to 0 and 0. "Do they look exactly the same?" Encourage affirmative re-sponse. .Point to X and Z. "Do they look just the same?" If correct, say "good." If incorrect, say "Do you think so? Look again. They're different be-cause one goes like this," (trace X), "and one goes like this", (Z). Present test cards in given order, unpaced, asking each time if they look the same or different. Do not correct mistakes for the test cards.

6). **Paired-Associate Learning:**

Say "o.k. (name), now I'm going to show you a gate where some things go together, like special friends. You watch very carefully, and try to remember what things go together. For example ("present associa-tion box) these two things go together because they are special friends."

"Some of these friends have gotten lost and we must put them back together again." Present Association Test Box "Now let's see how well you remember. What was this one's special friend?" If correct, say "good." If incorrect, say "No, it's not that one. How about this (next) one?"

7). **Classification:**

Say "O.K. (name), here's our last game.". Present training card with pictures of iron, and toaster. "I'm going to show you some pictures. Two of them are alike in some way. Point to the two pictures that go together best. O.K. They go together best because they're both ...." If child incorrectly

DIRECTIONS FOR ADMINISTRATION

TASK

groups or verbalizes, point to the two appliances, and say, "These two go together best because they're both ___."

Present the four test cards in given order, unpaired.
Each time say "Point to the two that go together best because they're both ___."
*Don't make corrections on test items.

SCORING PROCEDURE | BASIS FOR DIAGNOSTIC FOLLOW-UP

Each child is alloted three scores according to his performance on the cognitive tasks: a "Basic Ability Score" or B Score, an applied Ability Score" or A Score and a Total Score. The B Score measures a more basic level of ability as it is more culture-free relative to tasks (paired assoc. learning) and task materials (serial learning, recall), previous training and experience with related tasks (serial learning, recall, discrimination, classification), or the child's willingness to verbalize (serial learning, paired associate learning, classification). By contrast, the A Score is more dependent on previously acquired skills. The A Score also includes entering-developing stages of Discrimination and classification skills. The cognitive tasks contributing to the two subscores are summarized below.

B SCORE (BASIC ABILITY)   26 Points

Task #	Skill	Points
1	Serial Learning	4
3	Recall of Objects	6
5	Symbol Discrimination (same)	2
	Break/closure transfer	4
6	Paired-Assoc. Learning	6
7	Classification - Grouping	4

A SCORE (APPLIED ABILITY)   24 Points

2	Serial learning/Rate ctg.	4
4	Recall words	12
5	Symbol Discrimination reverse/not tsf.	4
7	Classification - verbalizing	4

1). Check if correct response

2). Circle highest Counted:

3). Write order in which objects were remembered. Write in any added names of objects.

BASIS FOR DIAGNOSTIC FOLLOW-UP

The following criteria are used in considering diagnostic follow-up among pre-school children being screened

1. <u>Low</u> Total Score: total score less than 25

2. Discrepancy between B Score and A Score with B higher than A. Average <u>B Score</u> (15 or more) and low <u>A Score</u> (less than 10).

3. Average Total Score with Low Performance in a given cognitive area.

SCORING PROCEDURE

4). Write the order in which child remembers the words.

5). Circle the child's response

6). Check the pairs the child initially picks correctly.

7). Check if correct choice and check if correct classification.

	R	S
me		

Total Correct:	Total;	B Basic;	A Applied
___ B Serial Learning	Discrim.		
___ A Rote Counting	___ B Same	___ B Assoc. Lrng.	
___ B Recall-objects	___ B BC	___ B Cl.--Choice	
/ A Recall-words	] A RR	___ A Cl.--Verbal.	

Serial Learning (check if correct)

___ 3-bird
___ 2-squirrel
___ 4-fish
___ 1-monkey

Rote Counting (circle highest cqt.)

0--1 2--3 4 5--6 7 8 9 10--10+
0   1   2   3   4 points

Free Recall for Objects
(write order in which remembered)

___ sponge   Intrusions:
___ gum
___ car
___ pencil
___ comb
___ ball

Free Recall for Words
(write order in which remembered)

___ milk   Trial 1 Intrusions:
___ nickel
___ happy
___ shoe
___ red
___ house

___ red   Trial 2 Intrusions:
___ happy
___ milk
___ shoe
___ house
___ nickel

5. Symbol Discrimination (circle response; correct one is underlined)

symbol	response		discrim. type
	1. S	_D_	RR
	2. S	_D_	BC
	3. S	_D_	BC
	4. _S_	D	Same
	5. S	_D_	RR
	6. S	_D_	BC
	7. _S_	D	Same
	8. _S_	D	RR
	9. S	_D_	RR
	10. S	_D_	BC

6. Association Learning (check correct pairs)

	S	R
___	mirror	toothbrush
___	clock	balloon
___	scissors	ring
___	matches	key
___	button	spoon
___	bandaid	bow

7. Classification Skill
(check if cqt. choice; check if cqt. classif.)

choice	classif.	
___	___	clothing
___	___	food (fruit)
___	___	transportation
___	___	furniture

omments:

___ Frustration   1-low   2-mild   3-high
___ Distractability1-low   2-mild   3-high
___ Task attention 3-high   2-mild   1-low
___ Task termination, tasks: _____

___ Speech/language
Explain:

___ Non-English, used translator
___ Non-English, no translator

APPENDIX C

EVANSTON SPEECH AND LANGUAGE SCREENING PACKET

SPEECH AND LANGUAGE STATION

TASK	DIRECTIONS FOR ADMINISTRATION
1). Spontaneous Speech: observation of child's normal communicative abilities.	Show child one of the pictures or a series of pictures saying: "Tell me a story about this picture." Often more prompting is required so that a good sample of spontaneous speech is obtained.
2). Articulation Sample: determine if child's articulation is adequate for communication.	As each picture is individually presented to the child, ask "what is this?"
	Stimulate for misarticulated sounds.
	If certain sound is misarticulated, say the sound correctly, try to have child copy the correct production, first in isolation then in a word. For example, if the child says balentine for Valentine note this and return to this sound following the last picture (flag). Try to elicit the V Sound from the child, saying "Now listen carefully and watch my mouth -- V, V, V; now you say it." Note if child says sound correctly. Now say, "Now listen carefully and watch my mouth--valentine, valentine, valentine. Now you say it." Record the child's response.
	Continue for the other misarticulated sounds.
	Throughout this portion of the screening, questions are asked about the pictures, or conversation is made by the tester about varous topics, as a means of sampling the child's understanding of speech and language.
*** If no errors have been made up to this point, the Speech and Language Screening is completed. If, however, the child has made some errors, go through the rest of the test.	

xxvi

TASK	DIRECTIONS FOR ADMINISTRATION

3). **Auditory Discrimination:** to determine if child can hear the difference between two similar sounding words, testing the ability to differentiate cognates.

Depending on the child's performance, all of the auditory discrimination pictures may be presented, or just the ones corresponding to the sounds misarticu-lated in the articulation naming sample. Show one card at a time to the child, saying "Point to the picture that I name. Ready? Show me wing." Proceed through the cards, having the child point to the picture named. It does not matter which picture is named by the tester.

4). **Auditory Memory:**

Digits:

Say "I will say some numbers, then I want you to say them back to me. Ready? 6-4-1," etc.

Sentences:

Say "Now I will say some words, then I want you to say them back to me. Ready? We are going to buy some candy," etc.

5). **Following Directions:**

Say "I am going to tell you some things to do. Listen carefully and do what I say when I finish talking. Ready? Stand-up, clap you hands, point to your shoes," etc.

Next, show the child the box and the block, saying "This is a block and this is a box. Now put the block in the box; now put the block on the box; now put the block under the box; now put the block in front of the box; now put the block in back of the box."

6). **Physiologic Support for Speech:** adequate oral structures are necessary to correct speech production.

Look in child's mouth, examining the oral structures and functions, including velopharungeal closure and diadochokinetic rate.

DIRECTIONS FOR ADMINISTRATION

TASK	
7). Voice and Fluency:	Throughout screening, observe the voice quality and fluency of child's speech.
8). Frustration Level:	Observe throughout screening.

xxviii

SCORING PROCEDURES	BASIS FOR DIAGNOSTIC FOLLOW-UP
1). Note any language, voice, articulation, or fluency errors, according to the rating scale that follows this section.	The child's skills are compared to the normative data and his performance is rated. All receiving a rating of 4 or 5 should be seen for further evaluation. (Laura Lee's Developmental Sentence Types are used as one criterion for evaluation.)
Also decide if child's story was interesting, creative, and related to picture.	
2). Note articulation errors on the line; a check ( ) indicates correct articulation.	The child's articulation is more specifically evaluated as he names the preselected pictures. His articulation performance is compared to the normative data (Templin-Darley) and is rated 1 - 5. All 4's and 5's should be further evaluated. Generally articulation errors of omission are most severe, followed by substitution and then distortion errors. If following stimulation, the child can correctly produce the sounds he originally misarticulated, this may be predictive of possible self-improvement. For example, if a child says "wabbit/ rabbit," but on stimulation he produces the r sound in isolation and then says rabbit in direct imitation of the clinician, the research shows that this child's chances of self-correction are better than the child who could not be stimulated for the correct production. The status of the latter child would be more severe than the former's; this would be further indication of necessary follow-up.
Record All responses to the stimulation of the sound in isolation and in word.	
3). Note the child's response as + for correct, and - for incorrect.	The child who cannot discriminate auditorally between two sounds (as the W and R - wabbit and rabbit) has a more severe problem than the child who can make the differentiation. Failure to discriminate auditorally would be a further indication for follow-up.

SCORING PROCEDURES	BASIS FOR DIAGNOSTIC FOLLOW-UP
4). Record the numbers that the child says.	A four to five year old child should be able to repeat 4 digits and the longest sentence presented in the screening. Failure to do so would be further indication for follow-up.
Record child's response.	
5). Record child's response.	A four to five year old child should be able to follow all of the directions as listed. Failure to do so would be further indication for follow-up.
Record child's responses after each instruction.	
6). Note irregularities.	Any physiological irregularities affecting the child's ability to communicate intelligibly should be further evaluated in depth.
7). Write comments on Scoring Sheet when indicated.	All voice or fluency problems given the rating of 4 or 5 would require further diagnostic evaluation.
8). Note frustration level as compared to other testees.	

xxx

Speech Rating Scale

Language Problems:

#5 Language is severely atypical for child's mental age and demonstrates a combination of differences in semantic and syntactic development.

#4 Problem is quantitatively and qualitatively less severe than #5, but still demonstrates more than one type of language problem.

#3 Less severe language difference but should be rechecked.

#2 Developmental and environmental language difference that is not hampering communication.

#1 No problem.

Articulation Problems:

#5 Multiple, severe articulation problems with intelligibility greatly impaired.

#4 Severe distortions and substitutions resulting in reduced intelligibility.

#3 Sufficient articulation difference to enroll if time is available, but intelligibility unaffected.

#2 Slight difference judged to be developmental or environmental. Intelligibility unaffected.

#1 No problem.

Voice Problems:

#5 The quality, resonance or pitch is deviant enough to call attention to itself.

#4 Some people may be aware of voice problem, but not all with whom client comes in contact are aware of the problem.

#3 Person suffers from some hoarseness, but others are not aware of problem.

#2 Suffers from occasional or slight hoarseness.

#1 No problem.

Fluency Problems:

#5 Person exhibits hard blocks in stuttering and struggling behavior in speech.

xxxi

Fluency Problems: (continued)

#4 Indirect therapy indicated because child is unaware of his lack of fluency. Therapist will deal with parents and teachers.

#3 Demonstrates easy repetitions. May have been previously enrolled and is being rechecked periodically.

#2 Speech is easy flowing most of the time. Teacher and/or parent may be advised to keep speaking situations relaxed for this child.

#1 No problem.

ARTICULATION AND LANGUAGE:

1. Spontaneous Speech _____

_____

_____

2. ARTICULATION SAMPLE:

Candle _____   Elephant _____   Thumb _____   Sandwich _____

Feather _____   Rabbit _____   Scissors _____   Zipper _____

Valentine _____   Bridge _____   Squirrel _____   Shovel _____

Flag _____

STIMULATABILITY:

Isolation   Word

3. AUDITORY DISCRIMINATION:

wing-ring _____   coat-goat _____   dime-time _____   bear-pear _____

tree-three _____   sheep-jeep _____   light-white _____   nail-mail _____

4. AUDITORY MEMORY:

Digits   Sentences

6-4-1 _____   4-7-2-9 _____   We are going to buy some candy.

3-5-2 _____   3-8-5-2 _____   Jack likes to feed the little puppies.

8-3-7 _____   7-2-6-1 _____   Jane wants to build a big castle in her
                                          playhouse.

5. FOLLOWING DIRECTIONS:

Stand up, clap your hands, point to your shoes _____. Put the block in the
box _____, on the box _____, under the box _____, in front of the box
_____, in back of the box _____, beside the box _____.

PHYSIOLOGIC SUPPORT FOR SPEECH:

Structure   Function

Lips _____   Lips _____
Teeth _____   Tongue _____
Tongue _____
Hard Palate _____   VPII Closure _____
Soft Palate _____   Diado Rate _____
Tonsils _____

VOICE

1. Pitch   3. Resonance   5. Other

2. Loudness   4. Quality

FLUENCY: COMMENTS

FRUSTRATION LEVEL: 1   2   3
                        Low   Medium   High

APPENDIX D

DENVER DEVELOPMENTAL SCREENING TEST

The following 2 pages are copyrighted and
are not available for reproduction.
They included the Denver Developmental
Screening Test, copyrighted 1969, William K.
Frankenburg, M.D. and Josiah B. Dodds, Ph.D.,
University of Colorado Medical Center

14083