ED 110 047

IR 002 326

AUTHOR TITLE McManus, John F.

INSTITUTION

Functional Overview of SWRL/IMS Version 3. Southwest Regional Laboratory for Educational Research and Development, Los Alamitos, Calif.

SWRL TM-5-71-01_

REPORT NO PUB DATE NOTE

27 Jan 71

15p;

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS

MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 PLUS POSTAGE

*Computer Programs; Criterion Referenced Tests; Data Collection; *Data Processing; *Design; Electronic Data Processing; Flow Charts; Information Storage; *Management Information Systems; Management Systems;

Pilot Projects; Recordkeeping; *Student Records;

Systems Development; Tests

IDENTIFIERS

Computer Software Documentation; Design

Specifications; *Instructional Management System:

IMS -

ABSTRACT

Version 3 of the Southwest Regional Laboratory's (SWRL) Instructional Management System (IMS) is a fully automated system which accepts pupil criterion exercises from remote sites and returns various reports to the same location. This paper briefly describes the SWRL/IMS system design and functional characteristics. The instructional system requisites and longer-range development objectives related to IMS functions are summarized, and examples of criterion exercises, system reports, and input forms are provided. A flowchart of the data collection, concentration, and information generation process is also included. (Author/DGC)





SOUTHWEST REGIONAL LABORATORY TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 27, 1971

NO: TM 5-71-01

TITLE: FUNCTIONAL OVERVIEW OF SWRL/IMS VERSION 3

AUTHOR: John F. McManus

ABSTRACT

Version 3 of the SWRL Instructional Management System (IMS), is a fully automated system which accepts pupil criterion exercises from remote sites and returns reports to the same location. This paper briefly describes the SWRL/IMS Version 3 system design and functional operation.

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EQUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EOUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
OUCEO EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATEO DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EOUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

R 008 33

BACKGROUND

SWRL is developing an Instructional Management System to provide.

timely and convenient information to various users concerning the
instructional progress of individual students. SWRL/IMS Version 3
will be tested in schools during the 1971-72 school year. The test will
constitute a real-time tryout of a fully automated system from data
source to computer processing to remote output. It will also provide
a yehicle for the further development of the four functions delineated
below that constitute the longer-range IMS objectives.

This paper briefly describes the SWRL/IMS Version 3 system design and functional operation. To provide a background for this overview, the instructional system requisites and longer-range development objectives related to IMS functions are summarized.

Instructional System Requisite

IMS is being developed to operate in conjunction with instructional systems possessing a common subset of characteristics. The first is a set of pre-defined educational objectives stating operationally what proficiency the pupils should exhibit at measured intervals during instruction. The second is the instructional materials. These may take diverse forms, ranging from text books and flash cards to audio tapes. The third characteristic is instructional procedures. Specified procedures are organized to facilitate use by teachers consistent with operations shown to be effective. The fourth characteristic comprises assessment instruments to determine pupil proficiency. The responses to these machine scannable criterion exercises may be multiple-choice item answer

sheets (selected responses) or teacher-recorded verbal answers (constructed responses). The fifth is <u>performance decision rules</u> to determine reasonable instructional consequences. When pupil attainment is below a pre-specified level, <u>supplemental instruction</u>, the sixth characteristic, is in order.

possessing the above characteristics. The SWRL First Year Communication Skills Program (FYCSP) was designed specifically with these in mind. IMS may also be used with other existing systems which have been augmented by the addition of objectives, assessment materials and supplemental instruction.

The Learning Mastery System (LMS) has incorporated two California state-adopted teading texts and has made them usable with the Instructional Management System.

INSTRUCTIONAL MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FUNCTIONS

when completely developed SWRL/IMS will perform four specific functions: (1) scoring and reporting of student performance data;

(2) maintenance and updating of cumulative information across student, group, class or teaching unit; (3) diagnosis of learning proficiency and prescription of appropriate instructional sequences to those pupils who do not reach criterion; (4) computer generation of instructional materials to assist pupils in attaining criterion.

Function 1 - Scoring and Reporting Criterion Exercises are corrected and scored by computer. The following information can be returned to the coassroom:

pupil scores

group scores and averages

- class scores and averages
- . item analyses of the criterion exercises
- . other statistical analyses on exercise data

This is bread-and-butter instructional information. The power of IMS derives from making the information available in a timely and convenient form adapted to the requirements of various users.

Function 2 - File Maintenance and Updating. This function is a key

IMS component. Both researchers and school personnel need a record of

cumulative data to study overall performance and trends in student

learning, and to evaluate the instructional measuring instruments. To

accomplish this, an effective design of file structures, cross reference

tables, and pointers must be constructed.

Function 3 - Diagnosis and Prescription. Students who do not reach criterion on unit exercises are identified by Function 1. One method of assisting teachers to bring children to an acceptable level of performance is the use of diagnostic procedures to pinpoint student, group, or class problems. Here, the comprehensive data files of Function 2 are required to evaluate longitudinal data and prepare pupil performance profiles in an attempt to isolate specific learning difficulties. For those students whose achievement is deemed below criterion, remedial suggestions will be prescribed to the teacher.

Function 4 - Computer-Generation of Instructional Materials. The three preceding functions provide suggestions to the teacher as to which materials to use for specific problems. Function 4 will provide computer-generated prescriptive materials to overcome specific learning difficulties. To accomplish this instructional algorithms must be designed and tested.

Hardware Configuration

The SWRL/IMS Version 3 hardware configuration will fully automate the instructional management system from data source to computer processing to remote output.

Data source input: Criterion exercise sheets will be entered into low-speed, low-cost reliable optical scanners located in schools or district offices. This teletype compatible machine, developed by the Optical Scanning Corporation, will transmit data in a dial-up mode through a Bell 103A data set to the SWRL data concentrator.

Computer processing. The processing of the criterion exercise data will be carried out by a data concentrator on-line to a large control processor. The concentrator, located at SWRL, is of the following configuration: a Digital Equipment Corporation PDP-8/I computer with teletype, 8K of core, 64K of fixed disk, three PEC tape drives, a card reader, high-speed paper tape reader/punch, line printer, and CRT. The PDP-8 in turn will be connected over a 2000 BAUD line to a Univac 1108 computer located at the Jeta-Propulsion Laboratory in Pasadena, California. This machine operates under EXEC 8 and it is capable of handling remote job entry.

Data output. Reports from IMS will be transmitted directly to the school or district office by the data concentrator, which receives the reports from the 1108 and stores them on magnetic tape. The output devices will be either teletype or low-speed line printers. Once received, the reports are distributed to the appropriate teacher.

Software Design

Two separate but interrelated concepts are contained in the software design of a CMI system - the communications software and the resident IMS programs.

Communications software. SWRL/IMS Version 3 will use the SWRL executive system. Operating in a data break mode, the system can handle multiple interrupts from ten hardware ports. The communications programming is modular; the PDP-8 could communicate with any other computer if the code for that machine were known and if the hardware interface were available.

Resident IMS software. The programs to generate reports to teachers, administrators and researchers will reside on the 1108 computer. They will be written in FORTRAN IV to minimize the problems of reprogramming for another machine.

IMS OPERATIONAL SEQUENCE

The activities pertinent to SWRL/IMS Version 3 are carried on in three separate locations, each requisite for successful instructional management. These are the school, the data concentrator, and the central progessor. The following describes the operation in a typical school containing four kindergarten classes.

Schoo1

Pupils receive instruction in Unit One of the First Year Communications Skill Program. When the presentation of materials and practice exercises is completed, the pupils take a criterion referenced exercise for Unit One. It is administered orally by the teacher and the pupils respond by



filling in their choice of response position for each question. There are four 8½" X 11" answer sheets, each containing five items with three possible responses per item. Stimuli are presented for each item and there is space beneath each for student marking. A sample answer sheet appears in Appendix A.

When the tests have been completed, they are brought to the scanner where a clerk checks the answer sheets for stray marks and smudges.

The test sheets preceded by a control sheet identifying the district, school, class, session, subject, and test number are fed into the scanner, which is connected to the data concentrator by means of a telephone and data set. The scanner then transmits a pattern of information bits to the concentrator. When all the sheets have been read, the scanner is turned off and the phone returned to its cradle.

Data Concentrator Site

As soon as the scanner is connected over telephone lines to the data concentrator, all processing is stopped to receive the information. The source of transmission is identified and the first series of bits is stored in a buffer. Since a bit pattern image of the entire test page containing stimuli and responses is transmitted, the concentrator must strip the data and save only those bits contained in the response position areas. This information is placed in disc storage and then later stored on magnetic tape.

Central Computer

The main computer receives a call from the data concentrator to open files for the reception of data. These two machines are connected by high-speed direct dial telephone lines. Once the data have been

received by the large CPU, the concentrator will signal the activation of certain pre-stored programs to operate on the data. These programs correct the tests and generate scores for each outcome and total test for each pupil and store this information on a temporary output file. The same data is stored in the permanent Function 2 data base.

Data Concentrator

Upon call from the concentrator the scores are returned from the output file to a temporary input file at the smaller machine. The data concentrator then initiates a call to the school which has a teletype or line printer and a data set in the ready condition. Reports are output for use by school personnel. Sample output reports appear in Appendix B.

In summary, the critical tasks by location are as follows:

School - instruction, administration of criterion exercises,
transmission of exercise information, reception of
summary reports.

Data Concentrator - identification of transmission source, reception and
stripping of data, temporary storage of raw data,
transmission of data to large CPU, reception of summary
reports, transmission of reports to school site.

Central
Computer - reception of compacted raw data, scoring of exercises;

updating of files, transmission of summary reports to

data concentrator.

The complete process is depicted in Figure 1.

Figure 1 10

Criterion Exercise 14

py		hurt	hurry	,	dirty.
\ii		yo.:	turn		huri
			eck		iari .
* :: <u> </u>			ort		ole -
	**************************************	; ;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	port		SOTU

Appendix B

Class Performance Report - Criterion Test 4-1 (Sample)

District	Westport **	(1)
School	Eleventh Street School	(.1)
Teacher	Eve Campbell	·(1)
Class'	Morning	(1)

-				2	•	,
i	•	٠	• ,	Outcom	ne Score î	n Percentage
,		• .	Total,	Outcome		Outcome
ID No.	Student's Name	(Group)	Raw Store	1	·2	3. 1.
15 1.5		,		•		
1	John Phillips	1	24	. 100	, 100	100
25 ,	Martin Maloney	1 .	• 24	100	100	100
2	Alice Smith	1.	24	100	- 100	100 '
7	Dianne Albers	1	24 .	100 ·	100	100
13	Janet Gares .	1	23	. 100	100	88
8	Jose Delgado	1	23	100	100	88
.14	Mary Harris	. 1 .	'. 22 '	100	100	75*
19	Helen Teary	1	22	100	. 88	∕ 88 [*]
20	Arthur Olson '	1	21	100.	88	· 75*/
· •	. 1	,				•
-		Group	Averages			
-				100	. 97	. 90
	•			•	٠,	•
3 '	Pamelá Peters	. 2	, 22	100	1Ò0	, 75*
4	Fred Harper	' 2	21 -	100	100	. 63*
.0	Patty Kendall	2	21,	100	88	75 *
· 9 .	Bill Riley	2	21	.100	88	75* \ .
٠ 5 ،	Dave Nelson	. 2	20 .	100	88 ₁ •	63*
16	Kurt Rotter	2	u 20	88	88	75*
22 .	Jim Cook. 🤻 💃	ر 2،	20	88	₹ 88 ′	75*
21	Sally Tennon	2	20 .	88	88	⁻ 75*′
	,	•		,	•	
		Group	Averages	<u> </u>		
	_	•		96	91	72*
•	, j	`	1			
:4	Juan Marrinez	3	18	^ 100	·75* '	63*
. 23	Lillian Horn	, 3	18	88	75*	50%
18 .	Donald Gray	`3 <i>,</i>	ح 17	- 88	63*	50%
17	Sylvia Strickland	3	17	88	63*	50%
11	Maurice Richter	3.	15	75*	63*	38*
12.	Sibyl Betts '	[.] 3	15	· 75*	63 *	38*
ઇ ક્	Ben Kaufman	3	12	, *	50*	25*
5 `	Danny Robinson	. 3	10 ′	63*	37*	25*
• 11		ij			,	•
مريخ	•	Group	Averages '	1	*******	
j Š	•			82	61*	42*
•		•			• • • • •	
		<u> 61ass</u>	'Averages	93	. 83	68

Indicates that scores was below criterion

Appendix B (cont.)

Class No.			•	
Unit No.	4 .			•
	•	,	3	٠,
Group No.	_1			•



			•			Ot	tcomes	3				
<u>S</u>	tudent	No.	, ,	•	1.	2	3	4	Total	•		
	1	ŧ		•			4.		. 19	<		
-	2	:		,		, 3	3	2	. 13			
	·3				•	4	4	·	18	1		
ï	ercent	of Group	•		•	,		<i>9</i>	,	_		

Percent of Group	•	. • •	. D
Below 5 out of 5	, v.	0 · 67	-100 33
	· -		,

	up No. 2	, "	.,		. <u>1</u>	2	outcomes 3	4 ·	Total	•
. ,	4		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•			20	
7	5	•		<i>::</i>		1 4	,		' 19	
• ,,	6 .			•	•	×.	, ×.	٠.	20	
	7		`		4	. 4	. 4	4	16	
i'e g	cent of ow 5 out	Group of 5	•	(A.	25 .	50	25	25		
	· 2.	•		, •	· · ·	• ` `			•	,

Parcent of Class
Below Seout of 5 14 57 57 29

Unit No. 4

ïten N	. ` ` <u>'o</u> •	Percent	Giving Response	٠ -	Correct Response
1 .	•	1	2 3.		
1	٠	70 'CAT	20 CAR 10 CUP		70 CAT
2		40 DOG	0 DIG 60 DOT	‡ *	60 DOT
			•	•	
.•		-	- • •,	•	
\/• 20	• • •	30 _. s		-	50 C /

ITEM ANALYSIS REPORT BY OUTCOME CRITERION TEST 4-1 (Sample)

	s Morning one No.	Page' No.	(1) Row No.	Correct . Résponse	Response 0	Position 1	2	. 3
,	1	1 1 2 2 3 3 4	1 2 1 2 1 2* 1	2 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 3	0 0 0 0 0 1 0	1 25 24 1 0 2 0	24. 0 1 23 22 19 3 1	0 0 0 1 3 3 22 22
) ·	2	1 2 2 3 3	3 4 3* 4* *3* 4* . 3* 4*	3 2 1 3 1 3 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 20 5 21 6 18 2	0 22 0 2 2 0 2 0 2	25 2 5 18 2 19 5 7
	3	1 1. 2 3 3 4	5* 6* 5* 6* 5* 6* 5*	3 1 3 2 1 2 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 21 2 5 • 18 4 12 12	3 1 4 15 3 15 3 7	20 3 19 5 4 6 10 6

Figure 4