DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 109 706

95

CS 202 199

AUTHOR

Schwab, Donna R.

TITLE

An Analysis of Spelling Errors in the 1971 Tryout of

a First Grade Spelling Component.

INSTITUTION

Southwest Regional Laboratory for Educational Fesearch and Development, Los Alamitos, Calif.

SPONS AGENCY

Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C.

PEPORT NO

SWRL-TN-2-71-21

PUB DATE

71 41₀.

FDFS PRICE DFSCRIPTORS

MP-\$0.76 HC-\$1.95 PLUS POSTAGE

Grade 1; Language Research; Language Skills;

*Language Tests; *Phoneme Grapheme Correspondence;

Primary Education; *Skill Analysis; *Spelling

IDENTIFIERS

*First Grade Spelling Component

ABSTRACT

Error rates for individual words tested and for sound-to-spelling correspondences occurring within those words are reported for 18 tests given during a tryout of the Southwest Regional Laboratory (SWRL) First Grade Spelling Component. Several types of spelling errors are discussed, and suggestions are provided for reducing each type of error. Commonly occurring word element substitutions are listed. Whether or not other elements would be spelled correctly more often if contrasted with elements previously learned cannot be substantiated by analysis of the tryout results. (The results of the study are presented in both narrative and table form.) (Author/RB)

<sup>***********************

*</sup> Documents acquired by EPIC include many informal unpublished

* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort

* to obtain the best copy available. nevertheless, items of marginal

* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality

* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions EPIC makes available

* via the EPIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not

* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions

* supplied by EDPS are the best that can be made from the original.



The Court Spring of the Prince of the Court Spring of the Court Sp



SOUTHWEST REGIONAL LABORATORY TECHNICAL NOTE

\(\cdot\) TN-2-71-21

AN ANALYSIS OF SPELLING ERRORS IN THE 1971 TRYOUT OF A FIRST GRADE SPELLING COMPONENT

Donna R. Schwab

ABSTRACT

Error rates for individual words tested and for sound-to-spelling correspondences occurring within those words are reported for 18 tests given during a tryout of a First Grade Spelling Component.

Several types of spelling errors are discussed with suggestions for reducing each type of error. Commonly occurring word element substitutions are listed.



AN ANALYSIS OF SPELLING ERRORS IN THE 1971 TRYOUT OF A FIRST GRADE SPELLING COMPONENT

SWRL's First Grade Spelling Component (Butler, 1971) was the vehicle to provide data for an analysis of spelling errors. Over a 21 week period, 18 spelling lists were presented and weekly tests were taken by children in four participating classrooms. The total number of words tested was 178. This included 59 sight words and 34 transfer words. All other words were learned as initial consonants plus VC(C) word elements (e.g., d + en spells "den"). Although each weekly test began with one or two items testing initial consonants or word elements, only whole word spelling items are treated in this paper.

Table I indicates the number of students making no errors or no responses at all on tests given during each of three testing periods which correspond roughly to periods after which review tests were given (weeks 1-4, weeks 6-10, and weeks 12-21). It shows that tests given during weeks 1-4 were not difficult for many students. As more correspondences and rules were taught, perfect spelling papers were not as common but, by weeks 6-10, all except one student was able to produce reasonable answers for most items tested.

3



Because of time constraints at the close of the school year, only three classes took the weekly test during week 18, two classes during week 19, and one class during weeks 20 and 21.

TABLE I

OVERALL INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE ON WEEKLY TESTS

Testing Period	Students Making No Errors on Tests Taken	Students Producing No Answers on Tests Taken ²	Students Making Spelling Errors	Total
Weeks 1-4	52	8	61 ³	121
Weeks 6-10	15	1	1053	121
Weeks 12-21	10	0	109	119

Of the possible responses from students present for testing over the 21 week period, 81/ were correct responses, 5/ were whole word omissions' and 14% were misspellings. Table II shows corresponding data broken down into the three separate weekly test period.

There was a steady increase in spelling errors as a greater number of more complicated correspondences and rules were introduced.

The number of omissions remained fairly constant.



This includes unreadable answers and answers which had no relation to dictated spelling words such as "tap" spelled bahbr.

^{&#}x27;A few of these made letter reversals only (e.g., \underline{p} spelled \underline{q}).

TABLE I!

OVERALL CORRECT AND INCORRECT RESPONSES BY TESTING PERIOD

Testing Period	Correct Responses	Whole Word Omissions	Misspellings
- Weeks 1-4	` 84%	5%	11%
, Weeks 6-10	82%	5 %	13%
Weeks 12-21	78 %	~ 68	16%

From 1 to 2% of the misspellings were accounted for by letter reversals alone. The reversals <u>d</u> written <u>b</u> and <u>b</u> written <u>d</u> were consistently the most frequent sources of word errors caused by letter reversals alone. Other letter reversals made rather frequently were <u>p</u> written <u>q</u> and <u>s</u> written <u>z</u> or vice versa. Letter reversals resulting in non-letters (e.g., <u>f</u> written $\underline{\mathbf{1}}$) were made, but very infrequently.

Performance on Individual Tests and Words

Appendix A summarizes student performance on each of the spelling tests and on individual words on each test. The percentage of correct responses per test ranged from 70 to 91. Figure I plots student performance on each test. It can be seen that earlier tests were somewhat easier and that later tests were more difficult with the exceptions of tests 20 and 21 which were taken at the end of the year by a single class. Tests given during weeks 12 and 18 were notably more difficult for students than the other tests. This may have been



FIGURE

because the -ack/-ake distinction appeared on test 12 and the suffixes, -ing, -er, and -ed were tested on 18. Both were particulary difficult spelling problems for the children, the latter perhaps only because suffixes formed long words. Otherwise, tests were of approximately equal difficulty. Although students did well in general, they did not average over 90% correct on any of the tests, with the exception of test 21 which was taken by only one class.

It can be seen in Appendix A that length was one important determiner of word difficulty. Longer words were harder to spell.

Transfer words (words which were not taught as wholes but for which initial consonants and elements were taught separately) were considerably more difficult than words taught and practiced during the week. The mean percent correct for transfer words was 70% while, the mean percent correct for other words was 84%. (Sight words and words learned as initial consonant plus word element had approximately the same mean percent correct.) Therefore, students were perhaps not learning initial consonants and elements as well as they should have been for the purposes of transfer.

Errors Made on Individual Correspondences and Word Elements

Of the individual sound-to-spelling correspondence occurrences. 87. were correctly spelled. During weeks 1-4, 92 were correctly spelled, during weeks 6-10, 89 were correctly spelled, and during weeks 12-21, only 83 were correctly spelled. This is understandable as many ususual vowel correspondences (e.g., $[a] \rightarrow \underline{o}$ as in "from") had been introduced by the final section of the spelling program.



Appendix B lists correspondences and error rates by testing period and by position. Those correspondences found only in sight words are not listed as it was assumed that children did not learn them as sound-to-spelling rules.

Appendix B shows that (excluding correspondences which occurred in tests 20-21 only) initial consonants were the easiest to spell. Final consonants (including final consonants in clusters) were more difficult than initial or medial consonants. Vowels appear more of a spelling problem than consonants and, within the former group, short vowels were easier to spell than long vowels. All, however, were easier to spell than elements, which had error rates up to 54%.

It is difficult to interpret the fact that children made more errors on word elements than on the single sound-spelling correspondences concerned. To some degree, this would be expected. Certainly there is a higher probability of error when two or three letters must be written correctly and in the right order. However, since children had supposedly learned the elements as "sounds" or units, the error rate seems rather high. This may indicate that they were not learning the elements as units, but this needs further investigation. It could be that the restriction to teaching by elements is not an efficient policy (cf, Cronnell, 1971b).

Recurring Errors, Error Types and Suggestions for Error Reduction

A tabulation of recurring correspondence substitution errors



[&]quot;Medial," in this paper is defined as a post consonantal consonant (e.g., \underline{r} as in "bride") or the first member of a final cluster (e.g., \underline{n} as in "land").

(including single letter omissions) is made in Appendix C. An error is listed there only if four or more students made it within one or more testing periods. Errors which did not occur in an isolated item and which accounted for at least 5% of the total spellings of a certain sound and for at least 20% of the total incorrect spellings of that sound are listed in Table III. The testing period in which the common error occurred is also indicated.

TABLE III

COMMON CORRESPONDENCE ERRORS

		
Correspondence	Error	Test Period
Consonants		,
[ʊ] → dfinal cluster (e.g., ''land'')	μ 5	weeks 1-4; weeks 12-21
[k] → kinitial (e.g., ''kill'')	С	weeks 6-10
[] → kfinal (e.g., ''take'')	ck	weeks 12-21
[k	weeks 12-21
[r] → nmedial (e.g., ''land'')	Ŋ	weeks 1–4; weeks 6–10; weeks 12–21
[s]-+ smedial (e.g., "vest")	Ų	weeks 6- 10
[5] *.shinitial (e.g., ''shop'')	5	weeks 1-4
[tw] • wh (e.g., "where")	VI	weeks 12-21

[&]quot;p" refers to a letter omission.



		- 0
Correspondence	Error	Test Period
Vovels		
[æ] → a (e.g., "rack")	ae	weeks 12-21
[\cdot] → e (e.g., "beg")	a	weeks 6-10; weeks 12-21
。 [ɪ] → i (e.g., "tin")	е	weeks 6-10
[] → ee (e.g., ''need'')	е	weėks 12-21
[ay] → ıe (e.g., "bride")	i	weeks 12-21
[c] -* oe (e.g., "woke")	0	weeks 12-21
[(y)u] → ue (e.g., ''cute'')	u	veeks 12-21
[ər] → ur . (e.g., ''burn'')	o or	weeks 12-21
Suffixes -0J (e.g., "needed")	S	weeks 12-21
e.g., "bringing")	þ	weeks 2-21

There are several categories of errors which children were making on tests in the First-Year Spelling Tryout. The several categories appear to stem from various causes and may require unique handling to reduce error rates.

Some of the spelling problems may stem from difficulty in inscriminating sounds and thus in writing the correct correspondences.



Early in the program, especially, some children confused similar sounding consonants (at word ends even when they had little trouble with the same consonants at the beginnings of words). Confusions often occurred between letter correspondences of two sounds that were voiced-voiceless pairs (e.g., 'ol-[t], 'f]-[t], ['i-[t]]) or within pairs which were phonetically similar in other ways (e.g., [p] and ', are both voiceless and heavily aspiriated, [i] and [t] both have a low fricative quality and [m] and [t] are both masals). [i] and 'i] are so similar acoustically, in fact, that minimal pairs distinguished by those sounds only are probably best not tested in transfer words. Only previous familarity with word pairs such as "fin" and "thin" would adequately determine that coildren would spell them correctly. Minimal pair listening, production and spelling drills could help to point up the contrasts between such pairs as "ball-"bat," "cub"-"cup," "fat"-"vat."

The vowel distinction [] - [+] appears to be a particularly difficult one for children. They often spelled [] as a. It may be that such following consonants as []] or [+] would pull the vowel sound back in their mouths and make it difficult to distinguish from [] but this explanation is not adequate, as the confusion occurred in other environments as well. It is doubtful that the vowels are not distinguished at all in the children's speech, therefore, minimal pair contrasts (e.g., "pen"-"pan" or "beg"-"bag") might help them to notice the difference and relate each sound to its own common letter

correspondence. The same may not be true of a vowel confusion such as [.] - [I]. It is highly likely that the children's local regional dialect obliterates that vowel distinction before nasals (Metcalf, forthcoming). Therefore, the spelling error rates on such word pairs as "tim"-"tem" would probably not be reduced by listening drills. They would have to be learned in lists or as sight words. The same is true for words the spellings of which are determined by the [h.] - [.] pronunciation distinction (e.g., "when" or "went"). The high sound does not often occur in the speech of young Southern Californians (Metcalf, Jorthcoming).

The [a] = [b] distinction, on the other hand, may cause spelling problems for children who have it in their speech. In Southern California, many children can be validly taught that $[a] \rightarrow \underline{o}$ in all environments, even before \underline{g} . Those children whose dialects cause them to pronounce \underline{og} as [j], however, may become confused about the spelling of such words as "fog" or "log" especially if they are given as transfer words. Teachers should (at least be made aware of the possible effects of these local dialect influences upon spelling output.

Letter omissions may also result from a problem in discrimination or production for many children. Omissions of final consonants (e...g, n, p, or sn) occurred ocasionally as did omissions of the second member of final consonant clusters, (t and d). Post consonantal rada also omitted in several cases (e.g., "bride" spelled bide).

Medial consonants (r and n) which are the first members of final clusters were often omitted from the spellings (e.g., "land" spelled "lad"). Perhaps oral-awal discrimination and spelling drills on

such pairs as "sad"/"sand" or "pat"/"past" would reduce spelling errors.

In many cases, especially in earlier tests, children omitted word endings. This may be an indication that they give up on words they find difficult, however, rather than that they do nendings. The omission of suffixes was a serious specifing problem and more emphasis should be put on listening for the endings and understanding their meanings.

Some spelling errors probably occurred because children had not learned the correspondence rules well enough. Long-short vowel errors, for example, were probably not made because of inability to distinguish sounds. After long vowels were introduced, considerable confusion occurred as to whether to add a final silent \underline{e} or not. In general, errors were made by omitting the final silent e but, in some cases (notably when both the long and short vowel occurred in the same environment in the same lesson, mid-hide, for example) a short vowel via viritten with a final silent e. The ' $\{i\}$ - $\{i\}$ contrast (as in "rack" - "rake") caused great confusion but it was probably not as much an inability to hear the difference as it was to remember which was spelled ack and which was spelled ake. It appears that much contrast work (both aural and written) is needed before children relate the long-short vowel pairs to their correct spellings. Whether the distinctions are better learned together or apart is not clear but seers to be an important question to consider.

As Read (1974) reported in a study of pre-school children's spellings, there is some tendency for children to spell vovel sounds as the, correspond to letter names. For example, the sound '.; is



heard at the beginning of the letter name \underline{e} . Many errors on the correspondence $[c] \rightarrow \underline{i}$ were because children spelled it with \underline{e} . Similarly, the sound [-] is heard at the beginning of the letter name \underline{a} . Many errors on the correspondence $[c] \rightarrow \underline{e}$ are accounted for by the spelling \underline{a} . [ay] was sometimes spelled $\underline{i}\underline{e}$, a perfect letter name substitution (although it may be a letter position reversal in a word such as \underline{ripe}). Although not listed in Appendix C because it occurred only in a sight word, the [e] of "they" was commonly spelled \underline{ay} or \underline{ae} , again, letter name influences. These could perhaps be reduced by the teachers' referring to letters in terms of their sounds rather than in terms of their letter names.

Other recurring spelling errors appear to be unrelated to questions of sound perception or production.

Sounds which had two possible spellings were confusing (e.g., [1] \rightarrow 1 or 11, [o] \rightarrow 0, oe, ow, or o...e, [w] \rightarrow w or wh, [ər] \rightarrow er, ir, or ur, th] \rightarrow c, k, or ck). Some of this confusion could be alleviated by emphasis on environmental constraints (e.g., [+] \rightarrow c before a, u, and o, k before i and e, and ck after short vowels at the end of a word). Where no environmental constraints exist and dialect does not differentiate the sounds (e.g., [w] \rightarrow wh or w), memorizing words in lists or as sight words would seem to be the only answer.

Digraphs (e.g., <u>sh</u>, <u>ch</u>, <u>ng</u>, <u>ck</u>) were more difficult to spell than the single letters making them up and the most frequent errors were omission of one letter or the other of the pair (e.g., [\S] spelled \S or \S , [\S] spelled \S or \S , [\S] spelled \S or \S , [\S] spelled \S or \S .

þ

Sometimes <u>sh</u> and <u>ch</u> were confused, which is understandable considering their phonetic similarity. Visual memory should probably be stressed to alleviate digraph misspellings.

Letter position reversals were common when \underline{r} was involved (e.g., "from" spelled \underline{form}). Perhaps children hear an intrusive vowel between consonants and [r]. Reversals were also common when rare vowel correspondences occurred (e.g., "does" spelled \underline{dose} or "said" spelled \underline{siad}). This may indicate a need for more visual emphasis.

Uncommon vowel correspondences were often spelled wrong as children wrote the letter they had first learned to correspond to the sound. They were transferring sound-spelling rules already learned (e.g., [a] spelled <u>u</u> in the word "was" or [a] spelled <u>o</u> in the word "want") without remembering that certain spelling items were "sight words." Such overgeneralizing could be curbed by stressing the "trregular" nature of words with such uncommon vowel correspondences. Words might be presented in some distinct manner that would make it clear that they were to be learned by sight rather than by sound (c), Cronnell, 1971a).

Although the spelling errors made by participants in the First-Year Spelling Program tryout showed that elements were difficult for children to spell, there was not much consistency in the errors that were made on word elements taught and tested. There were only a few elements which were tested by at least 100 spelling responses and which had a single substitution occurring for 5 or more of those responses.

These elements and their commonly occurring substitutions appear in Table IV.

. .)



TABLE !V

COMMON ELEMENT SUBSTITUTIONS

Element	Common Substitution	Percentage of Responses Accounted for by that Error
ide	id	√ 15%
^ eg	ag	1117
ack	ake	9%
ack	ak	8 %
in	en (77/ on "tin")	8/
ake	ack (all on "take")	8 %
ipe	ip	84
ed	ad	. 8 %
et	at	7:/
en	an	77
eed	ed	7%
ine	in	6 %
oke	ok	5%
111	ell	5?
	Just below 5/ are the fo	ollowing substututions
ake	acke / (all on "take")	4.7%
, ube	ub	4.6%
ong	og (92∕ on "lunger")	4.4%

'It should be noted that the element errors shown in Table IV are really only single letter errors which may indicate again that children learned to spell by single correspondences rather than by whole elements. The errors may well be reduced by aural and written contrast exercises (e.g., "beg" - "bag"). Whether or not other elements would be more often spelled correctly if contrasted with elements previously learned cannot be substantiated by analysis of the tryout results.



, APPENDIX A

PERFORMANCE OF 121 STUDENTS ON WEEKLY SPELLING TESTS

	Word	Correct Responses	Omissions and Unrelated Responses ¹	Other Errors?
Test 1(taken by 107 students)	at bat am** sad hat bad sat had	93% 91% 91% 89% 89% 86% 86% 83%	3% 3% 7% 3% 5% 4% 7% <u>7%</u> 5%	3% 7% 2% 8% 7% 9% 7% <u>9%</u> 6%
Test II(taken by II2 students)	man rag tag tan: ran tap map hag'	93% 88% 86% 83% 81% 79% 79% 77%	42 72 73 103 84 74 82 162	4% 5% 7% 7% 112 13% 13% 7%
Test 111 (taken by 107 students)	fat and past last fast land sand pad' band pan'	91 / 88 / 85 / 83 / 82 / 82 / 79 / 79 / 75 / 75 / 82 /	5 7/ 1/ 7/ 9/ 7/ 7/ 1/ 1/ 1/ 7/	54 67 87 107 87 114 154 127 194 184

All responses unrelated to the stimulus word are counted as omissions.

This word appears particularly difficult as it was dictated between "band" and "land". Many students spelled it pand.



All letter reversals are counted as errors.

Transfer words.

^{&#}x27;Sight words.

1	Word	Correct Responses	Omissions and Unrelated Responses	Other Errors
Test IV(taken by 110 students)	do/* to/ top rot' pat dor shot tot* fog shop	89% 88% 88% 86% 85% 85% 85% 80% 77%	5% 2% 3% 6% 4% 5% 5% 5% 5% 5%	6% 10% 9% 7% 11% 9% 10% 12% 15% 18%
Test VI(taken by ICE students)	red not eat∵ bed got log net get beg	93% 88% 86% 86% 84% 82% 82% 80% 76% 66% 50%	2 / 4 / 4 / 5 % 3 % 7 % 4 / 6 / 7 / 5 / 8 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 6 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7 % 5 / 7	5% 8% 9% 11% 9% 14% 12% 13% 19% 26% 35%
Test VII(taken by 105 students)	then \	93 4 90 4 89 7 85 8 80 4 74 7 73 7 69 7 62 7	4/, 5/ 6/ 5/ 4/ 10/ 7/ 5/, 10/ 8/	3% 6% 6% 10% 16% 15% 20% 22% 22% 30%

.)



	Word	Correct Responses	Omissions and Unrelated Responses	Other Errors
Test VIII(taken by 106 students)	run cat bun' us'* up ' but jug just'' cast* Test VIII totals	96% 95% 92% 92% 92% 91% 86% 81% 75%	3% 4% 5% 5% 4% 6% 5% <u>7%</u>	1% 1% 4% 3% 4% 6% 8% 14% 19%
Test 1x(taken by 104 students)	in sit kit him did thin vest rid vet tin	91% 88% 88% 88% 85% 81% 80% 79% 70%	3% 3% 5% 7% 7% 9% 5% 7% 6% <u>5%</u>	64 94 84 54 97 113 152 134 152 254
1	Test 1x totals	83/	5/	12%
Test Ar- (taken by 111 students)	went	96/ 91/ 87/ 86/ 86/ 78/ 77/ 73/ 66/ 64/3	2/ 5/ 5/ 4/ 5/ 7% 5/ 6% 5% <u>8/</u>	34 42 87 117 102 147 213 293 281



	Word	Correct Responses	Omissions and Unrelated Responses	Other Errors
Test < (taker) by 107 student();	you** my** name happy** which** back take rack/ shack*	93% 92% 79% 78% 76% 66% 58% 56% 50%	- 6% 4% 8% 8% 7% 8% 7% 9% 12% 8%	24 53 127 144 178 257 357 387 20%
Test Alli(taken by 08 students)	is** his** has** was ** wipe pine does** hide hid shine ripe* Test XIII totals	96% 89% 85% 81% 78% 75% 73% 72% 71% 68% 67%	14 5% 7% 8% 6% 6% 6% 3% 7% 6%	34 64 74 11% 17% 19% 25% 21% 26% 27%
Test XIV(taken by iC8 students)	need sheet themA* feelA* are' hereA' beet' help * deed' they said' Test y v totals	91% 88% 88% 87% 85% 85% 79% 78% 71% 70%	67 44 67 57 58 67 67 104 67	4% 8% 6% 10% 10% 14% 16% 19% 24%

	Word	Correct Responses	Omission and Unrelated Responses	Other Errors
Test λV(.aken by 110 students)	voke so'/ hole out// cute// cute// pole/ use house// sole/ cube// Test XV totals	87% 85% 85% 84% 79% 78% 77% 72% 71% 65% 78%	5% 10% 7% 6% 6% 12% 11% 53 12% 13% 9%	8% 5% 8% 10% 15% 10% 12% 24% 17% 22%
Test XVII(taken by 109 students)	long wish** brick song with'* chop thing sick' bride*	89% 87% 87% 85% 84% 83% 63% 83%	4% 2% 3% 5% 7% 7% 5% 6%	7% 9% 11% 10% 10% 8% 0% 12% 31%
Test >VIII(taken by 79 students)	1011901	85% 80% 80% 78% 76% 71% 65% 53% 42%	8% 6% 6% 6% 6% 8% 5% 9% 13%	8% 14% 16% 15% 18% 23% 22% 30% - 38% 46% 23%



		Correct	Omission and	Out a Canoni
	Word	Responses	Unrelated Responses	Uther Errors
	one//	90:	6 ′	4%
!	car	90:	4 ;	16%
	have	884	47,	8/
· c	wan t	84/	4%	1.2%
/ (taken students)	part	82%	43	14%
ta en	dark	80%	4%	16%
, D	party?'	80/ 4	4%	16 ′
X1,'	arm	80%	4.,	16/
× t 50	chart,	70 %	10%	204
	from A	70%	47	26 %
Test by	shark	68/	44	<u>28%</u>
	Test /// totals	80/	5%	15/
	bird	100%	0/	04.
	some/#	100/	0%	0/
	come	100%	0/	0.4
1	'girl	95	0/	5 ′
- C (S	say :	95′	0/	5.
A (taken Students)	she'	95/	5%	0.4
	first	91/	0/	9
177 5tu	birthday.	86 /	0,1	14%
I	dirty	86/	0 ′	14
C1	shirt	8 2 -	07	187
Test by 2	birch	<u>68</u>	0,	32.
1	Test // totals	91 ′	0,	9,
-	ที่บัน	100 ′	07	0,
	good	100	0 ′	0/
c .~ t	for'	95	0 ′	5:
(taken Jents)	hou	90	5,	5/
رد و ا	stop	90	ó	10 ′
(tak tudent	short	86	0 ′	14,
- 5	mueh	81	0 /	19
: _	hurt	67.	C	33
ا ا	choke	57 :	0 ′	43 /
Test 55	burn'	52	<u>0´</u>	48
	Test <+ total,	82,	0	18



APPENDIX B

ERRERS MADE ON INDIVIDUAL SOUND-TC-SFELLING CORRESPONDENCES AND WORD ELEMENTS

Position Weeks 1-4 Number of Error Number Test Words Rate Test Words Initial initial 3 7 5 5 initial 2 8' 2 final 4 10.5 5		Num	Number of Test Vords and Error Rate	irds and Erro	or Rate	
initial 3 7 initial 3 7 initial 3 7 final 2 8' final 2 8' final 4 10.5	Meeks 1-4		Weeks 6-10	9-10	Weeks 12-21	12-21
initial 3 7 initial 7 final 6 final 7 final 7 final 7 final 7 final 8 final 4 10.7	of ords	rror ate	Number of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Error Rate
initial final final final final final final final	ε.	7	5	5>1,	10	7, '
final final final final final final final			2	37.	Ϋ́	102
final final final final final					3	152%
final 2 8 final 4 10.					8	16/*
initial 2 8. final 4 10.					5	29%
final 4 10.	2	80	2	88%	5	79%
		10. >	5	112%	σ	4211
_	4	147.5	3	102>	-	27%

Excluding "zebra" in which bis a syllable initial but not a word initial. Error rate for that [b] is 132. Three of these words begin with [tr]. The average error rate for [t] in that position is somewhat lower--4?. This refers to the second member of a final donsonant cluster (e.g., d as in "land"). Includes at least one transfer word.



	•		The same of the sa				•
Currespondence	Position	4-1 - Yeek	Ī	Weeks 6-10	6-10	Weeks 12-21	ا2-21 من
		Number of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Error Pate
+		3	<u></u>			7	5/
., , <u></u>	+			* -	7.7	2	<u> </u>
	final	4	. 91	2	*/6		
1	initial	3	12		97.	-	7'.
	ınitial			2	87		
<u> </u>	initia}		ı	2	1724		
	† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †					7	21./
	101111111111111111111111111111111111111					2	142*
,		2	000	2	;/9	2	47
1						7	152*
	final cluster		-				ò
				5	118		
1		3	(9		7	. 2	152
	final	-	7 }		10%		82

"After a long vowel sound, as in "woke."

This is not really a reliable error rate as vords containing the correspondence occurred only in Tests 20 and 21, taken by only one, superior class.



			N.	Number of Test Words and Error Rate	ords and Err	rur Rate		
Cerrespondence	Position	, Weeks 1-4	1-4	Weeks	Weeks 6-10.	Weeks 12-21	12-21	1
		Number of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Frror Rate	Number of Test Words	Error Rate	
1	initial			. 2	67	4	%/8	
-	medial	7	71	4	13%	2	187#	
	fina	7	15 🐎	6	5.76	7	1425	` <u> </u>
	final cluster						10%	
†	final		-			5	132	
_	++	7		2	137%	7	82*	
a † 	# in 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	77	141		/9	7	72*	-25-
		~	7 ;	3	47.4	2	10%	1
- -	Lei bea				17.7	-1-	10%	
	final s					9	701	
† '- '0	initial	, 3	19	2	55	8	75.4	
	medial	3	14	47	11.2%	, 2	96	 1

This refers to the first member of a final comsonant clustervas <u>r</u> in "land."

The item is "zebra." This is a post consonantal rather than nedial as previously defined.

This is a combination of four post consonantal [r]'s (1)' error) and seven pre-consonantal [r]'s in timal clusters (10 error).

÷

Ç

;

	•		Nun	Number of Test Words and Error Rate	ords and Err	or Rate	
Correspondence	Position	Weeks 1-4	1-4	Weeks 6-10	6-10	Weeks 12-2	12-21
		Number of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Error Rate
[s] + sh	initial	2	.11		17%	7	132%
	initial	9	7 >	3	58%	2	8.7
,	final ,	10	6	6	77	5	107.
	final cluster	. 3	, 11	5	\$1.5°	10	87.
*[ʊ] → th	initíal			-	192	,	13%
[ك] → th	initial			4	12%	3	11.2
>\ 	le: ini			2	98		
A + 7 * :	initiał			. 2	67	9	7.9
[., or L.] - wh	initial		•			5	/ 25/
ر → [۲]	initial			. 2	5./		•
[z] s-	final					9	`=

All these exemplars were sight words but the correspondence was included because of the high trequency of those sight words (e.g., "what").

45

[·] Again, all exemplars are sight words but of high frequency (e.g., ''was'').

		Nu	Number of Test Words and Error Rate	ords and Erro	or Rate	
. eauapundsaling	Weeks 1-4	1-1	Neeks	Weeks 6-10	Weeks 12-21	12-21
Vowels and Suffixes	Number of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Error Rate
т Т	7	. 01	3	14.7	5	20%
, -			20	1783	5	20%
1	-	,	13	14713	10	127
0 1		01	2	12%	2	8.7
1	1)	7	728		19%
		-			2	792
1)	14%
					9	25%
					5 ,	1978
(√) E ↑ E · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					۶	227
0 † n	_	12.	-	15%	3	87
					6	149%
1	ſ			}	7	92128
ין ה						

. . }

: Although in most cases, initial vowel error rates and medial vowel error rates were much the same, in this case, initial [1] had only an a error rate and medial [1] had a 15′ error rate. However, single initial [1] was tested only in the relatively simple word "in," this is understandable.

This is not really a reliable error rate as words containing the correspondence occurred only in Tusts 20 and 21, taken by only one, superior class.

þ

. چارهار

Humber of Error Number of Error Test Words Rate Test Words Pate Test Words Rate Test Words Pate Test Words Rate Test Words Rate			002	Mumber of Test Words and Error Pate	ords and Erro	or Pate	
Number of Error Number of Error Test Words Rate Test Words Rate 4 11/** 4 162* 4 162* 1 232							
#umber of Error Number of Error Test Words Rate ur ants 4 117:: 3 162: 4 162: 3 162: 4 16: 3 16: 4 16: 3 16: 4 16: 1 232	abuarundsauun	syaam	1-4	Weeks	9-10	Weeks 12-21	2-21
ants 4 11/* 4 11/* 4 162*		Number of Test Words	Error Pate	Numb e r of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Error Rate
ents 4 11/** 3 162* 4 162*	J.O. 4					2	11/1- 1, 1,
ants 4 11/* 3 162* 4 164*						2	70,73
ants 4 11/* 3 16%*	.					2	24%
ants 4 11/# 3 16%* 4 16/*	1					-	197
3 162°-	1					2	167
## 11/# *** 3 16%** e	3						
3 162°. 1 4 1 11.8	Elements						
3 16%	-ad -ack	7	**/-			3	379*
1 16.	oe i	3	%91	·			
1 167	-ake					-	42%
1 162*	-ane					-	21%
	-an	7	./91		23%		

..3

· In medial position, this correspondence was much easier than in final position. In medial position there were 5' errors and in final position in the sight word, "for," the error rate was 14.

ERIC TENTE OF THE PROVIDED BY ERIC

13

		Nu	Number of Test Words and Error Rate	ords and Erro	or Rate	
Correspondence	Weeks 1-4	ħ-1	Weeks 6-10	9-10	Weeks 12-21	12-21
	Number of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Error Pate	Number of Test Words	Error Rate
-and	7	. 81				
0.5-	2	17				
7.0						8
					2	20,77
-art					. 2	212
-ast	8	15		21%		
ا ش ر	9	. 6	-	/ 4		•
ta -			2	15/* .		
pad					3	*>61
1 d					2	122
-eq			2	28.7		
-e]]			2	142		
-en			3	23%:		
-end			3	17%*	-	547%
-ent						



		Na	Number of Test Words	ords and Error Rate	or Rate	
Correspondence	Weeks 1-4	1-4	Weeks 6-10	9-10	Weeks 12-21	12-21
	Number of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Error Rate
15a-	(- 2	20%	~	22%
-et			3	19%		
- i.c.k					2	1354
p!-			2	15%	-	29 /
-ide					2	31%
gi -			-	148		
[- -			3	148*		
<u> </u>			3	17%.:		
- ine					2	242*
(in monomor-					2	152
Į.					2	25%
-irch					-	27%*, 10
-ird						0212
-:٢]					-	0912
-irst					-	991



		N	Number of Test Words		and Error Rate	
Correspondence	4-1 Syaam	ħ!	Weeks 6-10	01-9	Weeks 12-21	12-21
	Number of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Error Rate	Number of Test Words	Frror Rate
±		,			_	185** 15
1			2	. 01		
	_	18	-	18 ′		
-oke					2	18%
<u>a</u> [0]					3	21%
Duo-					3	16%
	2	15 ′				12%
100						10912
-ot	7	: '81	2	13%		
57 -		•		12%		
רח-			2	*/9		
n.n.					_	489*, 12
-urt					-	33%12
esn-						23%
-ut			-	87		





AFPENDIX C

AUGURRING CORRESPONDENCE SUBSTITUTION ERRORS

				Common Error(s)	
Correspondence	Position	Error	Weeks 1-4	Weeks 6-10	Weeks 12-21
Consonants			of Total of Total Occurrences Errors	/ of Total, / of Total Occurrences Errors	% of Total // of Total Occurrences Errors
Q + [;]		Д	2 24,	15.1	
	initial	, ,		12 155.	
† ;	initial	J			37, 22%
	tinal	sh			37 172
, ;;; †		٠	27 16.		17 62
	final	د	2% 21	2% 23%	22 227
v. 3	final	-	2 ; 13/	12, 12%	
	cluster	د ا		28 . 218	12% 44%
† 		٠	27.	2% 23%	
	final	د	22 112	23. 172	
		q	,	36 31	
	initial	5		38 392	
) †	initial	X		32 372	2. 18%

Crossed out sections indicate that the correspondence did not occur during that testing period. Blank sections indicate Note: Errors are listed in this table only if they were made by 4 or more students during any one testing period. that that particular error was not made on the correspondence during that testing period.

tall accounted for by the word "beg." "Peg" was on the same test.

[.] All accounted for by the word "zebra" where it] is not the first sound of the word.

		<u> </u>				
				CCMMON ETTOT(S)		
Correspondence	Position	Error	Weeks 1-4	Weeks 6-10	Weeks 12-21	
			<pre> cof Total</pre>	<pre> c of Total</pre>	/ of Total 2 of Total Occurrences Errors	
4 †	initial	C		9% 53%		
		ck			7% 33%	
	final	J			32 128	\exists
		Á			2> 82	
	final	ck ,			47 298	
-J.		ن ر			12% 42%	
	final	2			3% 8%	-33-
5 1	• • • •				18 5%	
-		γ γ			18 52	
1		12			38 318	
	final	-			2% 25%	
1 1 ← [1]		-		2% 20%		$\backslash $
	final	בי		22 14%		
u ← [L]		בב	5% 37%	54 418	5% 26%	
	medial	E	14 74			



all accounted for by the vord "pan" dictated between "band" and "land."

'Nearly all accounted for by the word ''longer.''

Nearly all accounted for by the word "shop." "Shot" was on the same test.

									35-								
	Weeks 12-21	4 of Total % of Total Occurrences Errors	3% 35%	27 237	2% 18/		32 26%	16%	18 98	318	12.8		1.9 8%	2% 20%		<i>J</i>	57 312
Common Error(s)	Weeks 6-10	% of Total % of Total Occurrences Errors			52 26%	5? 38&	32 26%	22 22%			; 3% 30%	12 52		36 248	127	86. 40%	
	Weeks 1-4	/ of Total % of Total Occurrences Errors				3? 29.	54. 294	45 , 24/			/91 /1			3% 27%	.77 .71		
	Error		şī	2	<u></u>	g	S	t	ch	2	73	۵	٦	۔	d or da	Ŧ	B
	Position		medial	final	post consonanta	leipau		initial		final		finai		final	cluster	initial	final
	Correspondence		, - , -	-	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	E 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		. ,	30	† ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;					ت ب ب	

Thearly all accounted for by the word "fron."

All accounted for by the word "zebra."

Accounted for by the word-"thin."

"Accounted for by the word-"with."

									- 36-	· 					—т				
	Weeks 12-21	of Yotal of Total Occurrences Errors				1, 13/	5/ 34′	2, 14/			45 21	1/ 5		5 1 22		27 112	\$ 5? 25.	, 1/ 4/	١٠. لا
Common Error(s)	Weeks 6-10	cof Total cof Total Occurrences Errors									3% 21/	3%, 23%	27 128		42 33%	2, 9/	7. 38%	2. 10.	
30	Weeks 1-4	of Total (of Total (o	3: 25/	1, 10	2 21				^		2 16.								
	Error	0	ţ	٠.	-	wh	3	: :				ນ		ae	, a) ta	e	,	 a
-	Position			initial	initial	Intial	4.01	final				medial			[e:+:0:		medial		
	Correspondence			,	> † , - > > >		1	:		Voweis	त्व † , - स				1				

ERIC.

- All accounted for by the -ack/-ake contrast.

									37			— т		Т	- ,			1
	Weeks 12-21	of Total of Total Occurrences Errors		1,7	\	3, 5, 14,	17 4%							, 2% 7%	115 417	22 7%	17. 8.	5 39.
. (8) 16.	Weeks 6-10	of Total Errors	50	8%	387	10,		21/	2971		19,	124	12,		\ .	•		
Cermon Error (s)	Meek	of Total Occurrences	4	Ĺ	63	2,		3.6	2%		3,′	Ž	71					
	Weeks 1-4	ocorrences Errors							2.5 23.	2% 19				•				
	Erroi		ນ	۵	ນ	22	<u> </u>	Ð	Ö	<u>a</u>	2	O	a	``		o o	75	υ
	Position		initial		media)				medial		,	medial			[ejpan))		nedial
,	anuapundsaluoj		- 1					1 (7)	ı	18	1 (S)		1 1	, ,	٠,	Q ·	1

•									- 38 -		т	·				
	Weeks 12-21	of Total of Total Occurrences Errors	2 9	12 48	. 1	5	5 27.	9	18 8/	5′ 20′ .	6. 26?	24 11.		F	7 12 21.	
Common Erroi (s)	Weeks 6-10	of Total of Total Occurrences Errors											72 27	7.24		
	Weens 1-4	of Total— of Total											ь з1.	,		
	Fron					v 3		2	ao .		^ + ^	ם (0	ס	· 0	• -
	Position				1000				rıedial	initial		medial			ב הפין	
-	en respondence						,	ນ ::ວ †		ν··n ← [], / .	,	yp [77]()				i i i

ERIC **
*Full first Provided by ERIC

"hecourted for by one word only-"use."

		1		Contion Error(s)			· · ·
Correspondence	ce Position	Error.	. weeks 1-1	Weers 6-10	Weeks 12-21	2-21	
		3	Occurrences Erros	of Total of Total Occurrences Errors	of Total Occurrences	of Total Errors	
, 16.		ت.			3	19	1
		၁			2.	13	<u> </u>
		70			2	15.	1
, ,	_ r:	er			~	36	Т
					. 10	24	
5	nedial	0			10	24%	$-\frac{1}{1}$
1		3		٠	10.	397	39- T
.a	· vutily	p!		-	37	135	
<u>ت</u> 1	-suffix	۲.			6	47%	
[] - 1	suffix	۳.	•	, \	57	31.	

ERIC

References

- Latter, P. Content and specifications for the Mod I spelling program, Technical Note No. TN-3-71-02, February 17, 1971, Southwest Regional Laboratory, Inglewood, California.
- Cronnell, B. An analysis of letter combination vs. grapheme units as elements in communication skills instruction. Technical Note No. TN-2-71-12, July 21, 1971, Southwest Regional Laboratory, Inglewood, California. (a)
- Cronnell, B. Annotated spelling-to-sound correspondence rules. Technical Report No. 32, 1971, Southwest Regional Laboratory, Inglewood, California.
- Metcalf, A. Sere Top Jon. University of California at Riverside, forthcoming.
- Read, C. Pre-school children's knowledge of English phonology. A desired services, 1971, 41(1), 1-34.

