DOCUMENT RESUME

ED. 108 215	CS 202 100
AUTHOR	Legum, Stanley E.
TITLE	On the Facts of the Language in Question or a
\backslash	Conversation with W. Labov.
INSTITUTION	Southwest Regional Laboratory for Educational
	Research and Development, Los Alamitos, Calif.
SPONS AGENCY	Office of Education (DHEW), Washington, D.C.
REPORT NO	SWRL-TR-2-70-47
PUB DATE	Oct 70
NOTE	5p.
EDRS PRICE	MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 PLUS POSTAGE
DESCRIPTORS	*Grammar; *Language; Linguistics; *Linguistic Theory;
	*Theories
IDENTIFIERS	*Labov (William)

ABSTPACT

A conversation with William Labov in September 1970, is the basis of this paper. Labov, who is working on a new theory of language which essentially makes style the function of grammar, divides linguistic description into the following five interrelated segments: semantic network, oriented semantic network, lexicalization, grammaticalization, and phonologization. Descriptions of these terms and their functions are given. (TS)

*:	***************************************	**
*	Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished	*
*	materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort	*
*	to obtain the best copy available. nevertheless, items of marginal	*
*	reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality	*
*	of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions EPIC makes available	*
*	via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not	*
*.	responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions	*
*	supplied by EDFS are the best that can be made from the original.	*
*:	***************************************	**

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION THIS DOLUMENT HAS REEN REPRO DULED FXACTLY AS RECEIVED I ROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN ATING 1 POINTS OF VIEW OP OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY



SOUTHWEST REGIONAL LABORATORY TECHNICAL NOTE

DATE October 9, 1970

.

NO TN-2-70-47

ON THE FACTS OF THE LANGUAGE IN QUESTION OR A CONVERSATION WITH W. LABOV Stanley E. Legum

ABSTRACT

Labov is working on a new theory of language which essentially makes style the function of grammar. The following is based on a conversation with him during the first week in September, 1970.

001 202 200

0100215

111

Dus document is intended for internal staff distribution and use. Permission to reprint in quote transformation of the state of the sta

5

٤

ON THE FACTS OF THE LANGUAGE IN QUESTION OR A CONVERSATION WITH W. LABOV

Labov is working on a new theory of language which essentially makes style the function of grammar. Nothing is written down yet, but since Labov's motto is "If it's not published, it doesn't count," I have no doubt he'll get around to it.¹ The following is based on a conversation I had with him during the first week in September, 1970. In brief, he theorizes that one can (and in multilingual situations does) communicate by simply saying the content words. Labov feels that a theory of language must account for both the <u>systematic</u> and the <u>random</u> aspects of language. He divides linguistic description into five (inter-related) segments: Semantic Network, Oriented Semantic Network (I suppose there is an "orientation component"), Lexicalization, Grammaticalization, and Phonologization.

The function of the grammaticalization component is to throw in the function words and other niceties which make an utterance sound smooth. Thus a production model starts with a <u>semantic network</u> which is a connection of content words connected by lines. So far Labov only talks about two kinds of lines, "object relations" and others. For example the following sequence shows a verb-object relation between hurt and father:

boy-hurt-father

Co-reference problems are sidestepped by simply drawing more than one line from the appropriate noun.

By some mysterious means (perhaps Labov plans to work on this one), the semantic network is put in some linear order and becomes an oriented semantic network. As I understand him, Labov considers this to be a psychological matter more than a grammatical matter. He would allow any of the six possible orderings of the above semantic network. Thus the ordering:

boy father

is a legitimate oriented semantic network and would give rise to some such sentence as: The hurting was done by the boy to his father.

After (or maybe simultaneously) the orientation of the semantic network lexicalization takes place. Labov has not made this clear to me, but I assume he considers the semantic networks to be made up of features up until this point. After this the grammar or grammaticalization component takes over to supply the mysteriously appearing words in the

¹C-J. N. Bailey writes that a theoretical article by Labov will appear in the October issue of the University of Hawaii's *Working Papers* in Linguistics.

3

last example. Phonologization then takes place a la Sound Patterns. Grammaticalization also provides labeled bracketings so that the phonology will have something to work on. I believe that Labov wants to claim that there are no more instances of nouns crossing over co-referential nouns.

4

. 1

DISTRIBUTION -

2 - Baker

1 - Cronnell

1 - Hatch

2 - Legum

1 - Nicholas

1 - Pfaff

(...)

1 - Rudegeair

1 - Russell