#### DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 108 073

95

CG 009 892

بي

AUTHOR

Mezei. Louis L.

TITLE

The Development of Time Perspectives as Functions of

Race, Integrated School Attendance, and

Socio-Economic Class Between the Ages of 11 and 17.

Final Report.

INSTITUTION .

Detroit Univ., Mich.

SPONS AGENCY

National Inst. of Education (DHEW), Washington,

D.C.

BUREAU NO

BR-1-0630 \ Aug 73

PUB DATE GRANT

OEG-5-71-0064 (508)

NOTE

131p.

EDRS PRICE

MF-\$0.76 HC-\$6.97 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS Adolescents; \*Age Differences; Comparative Analysis;

Males: \*Racial Differences: Research Projects:

nates, "Maciai billetences, Mesealon Plojects,

\*School Integration; \*Socioeconomic Influences; \*Time

Perspective .

#### ABSTRACT

Thirteen groups of 11-19 year old boys, stratified by age, race, socio-economic class, and attendance of racially segregated or integrated schools served as subjects (N=185). Measures of the relative importance of the past, present, and future were derived from a story completion and story productions. Attitudes toward the three time divisions were measured by seven semantic differential scales, by the feeling tone of past and future events, and by time machine travel preferences. Measures of past and future extension were obtained by computing the time span of personal events. Only two developmental effects appeared. The personal past extended with increasing age, and a tendency to use all three time divisions on the importance measures appeared at or above the age of 14. All groups, except a black college age group, were optimistic me present and the future. There were no differences between the relative importance and extension measures, but blacks showed a tendency to evaluate the past as worse than whites. Comparisons of middle class whites with lower class blacks showed a greater use of past and future on the past by whites. Attendance of segregated or integrated schools did, not appear to influence the time perspective variables. (Author)

Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished
 material; not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort

\* material; not available from other sources. Exic makes every effort \* to obtain the best copy available. nevertheless, items of marginal

\* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality

\* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available \* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not

\* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions

ED108073

# **FINAL REPORT**

SCOPE OF INTEREST NOTICE

The ERIC Facility has assigned this document for processing

TM

In our judgement, this document is also of interest to the clearinghouses noted to the right. Indexing should reflect their special us

PROJECT No. 1-0630 GRANT No. OEG-5-71-0064 (508)

### LOUIS L. MEZEI

University of Detroit 4001 W. McNichols Road Detroit, Michigan 48221

August 1973

US DEPARTMENT OF MEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE DF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM.
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION DRIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR DPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

THE DEVELOPMENT OF TIME PERSPECTIVES AS FUNCTIONS OF RACE, INTEGRATED SCHOOL ATTENDANCE, AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC CLASS BETWEEN THE AGES OF 11 AND 17

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
Office of Education

National Institute of Education

7.686004 ER

#### ABSTRACT

Thirteen groups of 11-19 year old boys, stratified by age, race, socio-economic class, and attendance of racially segregated or integrated schools served as subjects (N=185). Measures of the relative importance of the past, present, and future were derived from a story completion and story productions. Attitudes toward the three time divisions were measured by seven semantic differential scales, by the feeling tone of past and future events, and by time machine travel preferences. Measures of past and future extension were obtained by computing the time span of personal events.

Only two developmental effects appeared. The personal past extended with increasing age, and a tendency to use all three time divisions on the importance measures appeared at or above the age of 14. All groups, except a black college age group, were optimistic about the present and the future. There were no differences between the relative importance and extension measures, but blacks showed a tendency to evaluate the past as worse than whites. Comparisons of middle class whites with lower class blacks showed a greater use of past and future on the importance measure, a larger personal extension of the past, and a greater liking of the past by whites. Attendance of segregated or integrated schools did not appear to influence the time perspective variables.



O

Final Report

Project No. 1-0630 Grant No. OEG-5-71-0064 (508)

THE DEVELOPMENT OF FIME PERSPECTIVES AS FUNCTIONS OF RACE, INTEGRATED SCHOOL ATTENDANCE, AND SOCIO-ECONOMIC CLASS BETWEEN THE AGES OF 11 AND 19.

Louis L. Mezei

University of Detroit 4001 W. McNichols Road

Detroit, Michigan 48221

August 1973

The research reported herein was performed pursuant to a grant with the Office of Education, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Contractors undertaking such projects under Government sponsorship are encouraged to express freely their professional judgment in the conduct of the project. Points of view or opinions stated do not, therefore, necessarily represent official Office of Education position or policy.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Office of Education National Institute of Education



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Concept of Time Perspectives	5 5 6 7 8 9
PROCEDURE  Instruments and Their Administration  Subject and School Characteristics  Analysis of the Data	10 10 11 13
RESULTS Relative Importance of Past, Present, and Future Semantic Differential Attitudes to Past, Present, and Future Affect Toward Personal Events and Time Machine Travel in the Past, Present, and Future Extension of Temporal Horizons	15 15 21 26 30
CONCLUSIONS	34
BIBLIOGRAPHY	35
APPENDIX A: Samples of Instruments Used	38
APPENDIX B: Raw Data	51
Story 2 Appendix Tables 14-26: Raw Data for Events Appendix Tables 27-39: Raw Data for Semantic Differential	52 65
Appendix Tables 27-39: Raw Data for Semantic Differential	78



# LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		
1	Demographic data of the school groups	12
2	Mean, s.d., and median usage of past, present, and future tense verbs on the TAT, and number and % of subjects using each time division	16
3	Mean, s.d., and median usage of past, present, and future tense verbs on story 1, and number and % of subjects using each time division	17
4	Mean, s.d., and median usage of past, present, and future tense verbs on story 2, and number and % of subjects using each time division	18
5	Significance levels of past-present, past-future, and present-future sign test comparisons for each group on TAT, and stories 1 and 2	20
. 6	Mean rankings and standard deviations of past, present, and future on evaluative semantic differential scales for each group	22
7	Mean rankings and standard deviations of past, present, and future on activity semantic differential scales for each group	23
8	Mean rankings and standard deviations of past, present, and future on potency semantic differential scales for each group	24
9 .	Number of subjects expressing negative, neutral, and pos- itive affect for past, present, and future events	27
10	Number and percentage of subjects' preference for past, present, and future time travel, and number of negative, neutral, and positive feelings towards time travel in each dimension	28
11	Number of subjects expressing negative, neutral, and positive affect on the TAT, story 1, and story 2	29
12	Mean extension ratings and standard deviations for the TAT and story completions 1 and 2 for each group	31
13	Mean, standard deviation, and median of past and future extension in years on the events questionnaire	32



#### The Concept of Time Perspectives

The concept of time perspectives was introduced by Frank (1939), in a speculative article, which emphasized that during socialization cultural time perspectives are imposed on the individual and in turn individual internalized time perspectives control behavior in later life. For Frank, time perspectives appear to involve individual, phenomenological, and constantly shifting relatedness of past events from a focal point in the present to future events. He feels that the greatest contribution of the scientific mode of thought is an emancipation from a fixed past through recognition of faulty knowledge of causal chains and a more predictable future resulting in a fuller, more meaningful present. Frank has also discussed in detail the possibility of the existence of a number of disparate time perspectives within the same individual, each relating to a different area of living.

Clyde Kluckhohn (1954) has discussed the available cross-cultural concepts of time and has suggested that it is unreasonable to assume that the time concepts and consequently, the time perspectives of different cultures, are the same. Florence Kluckhohn (1956) is using the question: "What is the significant time dimension?" as one of the major cultural value orientations for differentiating between different cultural groups. She refers to Frank as the only person who has treated all three temporal positions: the past, the present, and the future.

Time perspective was defined by Lewin (1951) as "the totality of the individual's views of his psychological future and his psychological past existing at a given time." In spite of the fact that it is an important concept in a psychological system which treats contemporaneous events only, Lewin has not advanced very far in differentiating the total field of time perspective. It is interesting to note that Lewin has dropped the plural "time perspectives" used in Frank's original discussion. However, the following statement by Lewin (1951) can be interpreted as indicating multidimensionality of time perspective:

"The behavior of the individual does not depend entirely on his present situation. His mood is deeply affected by his hopes and wishes and by his views of his own past. The morale and happiness of an individual seems to depend more on what he expects of the future than on the pleasantness or unpleasantness of the present situation."

Lewin has also discussed one aspect of future time perspective along a reality-irreality continuum, which distinguishes between future expectations linked to the present situation and wishful thinking.

One current systematic position in psychiatry, existential analysis, places relatedness to time and time perspectives into a central position. May (1958) writes, for example:

"This capacity to transcend the immediate boundaries of time, to see one's experience self-consciously in the light of the distant past and the future, to act and react in these dimensions, to learn from the past of a thousand years ago, and to mold the longtime future, is the unique characteristic of human existence."



It is interesting to note that Asch (1952) in an analysis of human motivation in the context of transformation of man in society comes to a similar conclusion:

"Because they (man) can look forward and backward and perceive causal relations, because they can anticipate the consequences of their actions in the future and view their relation to the past, their immediate needs exist in a field of other needs, past and future. Because they consciously relate the past with the future, they are capable of representing their goals to themselves, to aspire to fulfill them, to test them in imagination, and to plan their steps with a purpose."

The link between existential analysis and Asch's analysis of personality is that both approaches to the study of human behavior highlight the importance of conscious phenomenological determinants of behavior. In his phenomenological discussion of temporality Ellenberger (1958) characterizes psychological time as flowing with a certain speed, which is automatically structured in the irreversible sequence of past, present, and future. According to Ellenberger (1958), who follows Minkowski's earlier analysis, important personality distinctions can be made between the "prospective" and "retrospective" person, keeping in mind that the predominant concern with the past or the future can be "constructive," "catastrophic," "confused," "delusional," etc.

In an analysis of a "case of schizophrenic depression," which actually appears to be closer to a case of paranoid depression, Minkowski (1958) presented the interpretation that the cause of psychopathological symptoms is due to a loss of continuity in personal time characterized by day-to-day isolated experience, which results in the isolation of delusional content from ordinary experience. According to Minkowski, the delusions in the first place, resulted from an altered temporal experience and are attempts to account for the altered time relationships in living. For existential analysts, time perspective and temporal experiences are the bases of human experience. The more generally accepted view that time perspective distortions are symptoms of mental illness is replaced by the view that time perspective distortions are at the core of mental illness. It should be pointed out that time perspectives and temporal orientation is not necessarily related to the simple "time sense" studied by experimental psychologists. Schilder (1953) illustrates this point by pointing out that with people who exhibit the Korsakow syndrome, where temporal disorientation is very severe, there is no disturbance in the perception of immediate duration.

#### The Development of Time Perspectives

The developmental literature concentrates much more on the development of the time concept in young children than on tracing the development of time perspectives. Fraisse (1963) provides the following summary of the development of time concepts: Age

ary or the deveropment or time concepts.	٠,	age	
Recognize a special day of the week, such as Sunday	4 5	ears	
Tell whether it is morning or afternoon	5	11	
Use the words "yesterday" and "tomorrow" with			
their true meaning	5	11	
Indicate the day of the week	6	11	
Indicate the month	7	11	



Indicate the season Indicate the year		•	<b>7-</b> 8	years
Indicate the day of the month	•		8-9	11
Estimate the duration				
<ul><li>a) of a conversation</li></ul>			12	11
b) "since the holidays"			12	11
c) "until the holidays"	•	•	12	11

A number of studies illustrate the development of time concepts and the concurrent extension of temporal horizons in children. Lostia (1967) indicates that until the age of eight, spatial and temporal relations are not distinguished from each other by the young child. Up to the age of ten, the idea of temporal homogeneity is not used consistently.

Klineberg (1968) has demonstrated that with male children between 10.5 and 12.5 in age, the preference for a delayed larger reward over an immediate smaller one is related to the degree of reality of personal future events and to the degree of everyday preoccupation with the future rather than with present events. The data indicates that individual differences of time perspectives affect behavior at a fairly early age.

Rogers (1967), in a study with British children, indicates that the concept of time continues developing in early adolescence. Significant differences were found on improved historical concepts between bright children of age 12.6 and 13.6, and on scores of "integral time concept" between the ages of 13.6 and 14.6. The results demonstrate that mastery of historical time concepts does not necessarily involve mastery over integral concepts of time.

A study by Pistor (1940) indicates that for grade six children the study of history is not especially beneficial for increasing the development of time concepts, as both children exposed to history and control children, demonstrated equal gains in understanding time concepts over the academic year. Friedman (1944) has demonstrated that knowledge of clock time starts at around age seven and the adult time concepts are learned gradually and keep on developing until the ages of 16-17.

Eson (1951) has found that both children and adults have a larger future than past orientation. He has found that there is no great proportional difference in the emphasis of the future as related to the past for people between the ages of 15 and 65.

Lessing (1972) has found with girls in the age range of 9-15 that the length of cognitive future time perspective decreases from childhood to adolescence. Lessing explains the findings by referring to the greater degree of realism of the older subjects.

#### Behavioral Correlates of Time Perspectives

A number of investigations have shown that one or more quantifiable aspects of the cognitive temporal content of individuals successfully discriminates between groups of people, characterized by differential behavior. To illustrate the diversity of the significant relationships between arbitrarily defined time perspective variables



and behavior, the following listing of independent variables is presented:

Social class (findings in doubt) LeShan (1952) Institutionalization Fink (1953) Barndt and Johnson (1955) Juvenile delinquency Juvenile delinquency Davids, Kidder, and Reich (1962) Schizophrenia Wallace (1956) Academic achievement Teahan (1958) Open and closed mind Bonier (1960) Impulsivity Laffy (1963) Reading achievement Kahn (1965) Social class (negative results) Judson and Tuttle (1966) Death concern Dickstein and Blatt (1966) Juvenile delinguency Stein, Sarbin, and Kulith (1968) Suicide Greaves (1971)

Wallace and Rabin (1960) have summarized the present status of time perspectives as a set of personality variables:

"...in view of the relatively sizeable number of significant findings reported, it does seem appropriate to conclude that both the direst and indirect approaches to the problem of time perspective have been quite fruitful. Further systematic research developed from a consistent theoretical and methodological point of view appears necessary to provide a basis for obtaining additional definitive information about the nature of time perspective and its relation to phenomena in other areas."

#### Measurement of Time Perspectives

Measures of individual differences in extension quantify the degree of expansion into the past, and/or into the future of the individual's temporal horizon. The methods employed to date include completion of unfinished stories, elicitation of stories to TAT cards, asking about what subjects have thought about during the past week or about things which might happen in the future, and in all cases deriving estimates of the length of time covered with or without the subject's help. Direct questions about the extension of various time categories, such as near future, are also useful means of measuring the length of the temporal horizon.

Teahan (1958) has demonstrated that there is a low but significant (33) correlation between measures of <u>future extension</u> derived from story completion and TAT techniques. He has also shown that a measure of the <u>relative importance of the future</u> derived from the proportion of thoughts concerning the future in the total thoughts produced is <u>unrelated</u> to the two measures of <u>future extension</u> he employed. Using different procedures Rokeach and Bonier (1960) have also confirmed the independence of the relative importance and extension measures.

A relatively larger number of studies have focused on comparing groups differing in behavior on measures of <u>relative importance</u> of past, present, and future. Proportion of the different tense verbs in TAT stories; proportion of thoughts dealing with past, present, and

future events; and proportion of past, present, and future events in story completion have been the usual methods of measurement of the <u>relative</u> time division importance variable.

Fink (1953) has demonstrated that aged institutionalized individuals are more past oriented than their contemporaries living in private homes. He reported significant correlations between two measures of relative importance obtained by the reported thoughts and TAT procedures for past and future, but no correlation for the present. Rokeach and Bonier (1960) reported that using TAT stories, dogmatic persons are more future oriented on the importance measure than non-dogmatic persons are. Barndt and Johnson (1955) employing a story completion technique have found that juvenile delinquents are more present oriented than non-delinquents are.

Attitudes and feeling tone toward the personal past, present, and future have been only very serficially investigated. Teahan (1958) found that extension measures of future time perspective and optimism are positively correlated. Rokeach and Bonier (1960) found indications that an increase in importance of the future is a function of increased threat and anxiety about the future. Attitudes toward past and present have not been empirically investigated.

#### Research Questions

The present study was designed as an exploratory project to measure a large number of various time perspective variables in boys between the ages of 11 and 19 as functions of age, race, socio-economic class, and attendance of racially segrated and integrated schools. The major questions are:

- 1. What developmental sequences are observable in the relative importance of the various time divisions, in the extension of personal and less personal past and future, and in the feeling tone and other attitudes toward the past, present, and future?
- 2. How do the various measures of time perspectives differ in black and white boys?
- 3. What is the relationship between socio-economic class and temporal perspectives?
- 4. What is the relationship between time perspectives and attendance of racially segregated and integrated schools for black boys between the ages of 11 and 15?



**PROCEDURE** 

#### Instruments and Their Administration

Samples of the instruments used and instructions to the subjects are presented in Appendix A. Each volunteer subject was individually interviewed, either during regular school hours or during a spare period, in an available room in his school building. Race of the interviewer was matched with the race of the subject. All white subjects were interviewed by a white female graduate research assistant, and a black female research assistant interviewed all black subjects. The only other differential treatment of subjects by race was using a stimulus person of the same race as the subject on the Thematic Apperception Test Card one. The Thompson modification of the TAT was used for black subjects. It pictures a black boy with a violin instead of a white boy with a violin on the card.

The experimenters first presented a <u>story production</u> task to the picture of a boy with a violin (card one of the TAT). The usual instructions were modified to remove any reference to time. Subjects were asked to make up a story about the picture as outlined in Appendix A. The experimenter has recorded verbatim the story production. After the completion of the story the experimenter found out about the time span of the story, and about the feeling tone of the story.

A similar procedure was followed on two story completions. Story number one started with the stem: At the dinner table John and his sister... and Story number two started with the incomplete stem: In the locker room after gym class David... Because of shorter class periods in some schools, story completion one was omitted for a number of subjects.

One purpose of the production of stories was to measure the relative importance of past, present, and future. First, all stories were cored in terms of the number of past, present, and future tense verbs used by the subjects. The interscorer reliability, after training, was found to be above .90. From the number of past, present, and future tense verbs produced by each subject the proportion of past, present, and future tense verbs used were calculated in order to equate for variations in the total number of verbs used.

In addition, total extension of the time horizon was measured by obtaining from each subject his judgment of the time span of each story. The extension figure obtained was converted to a six point scale, using the following classification:

Score		Reported duration
1		Under one hour
2		One hour to under five hours
3		Five hours to under twelve hours
4		Twelve hours to under one week
5	*	One week to under three months
6		Three months or more

The story given to TAT Card one and the two story completions were also evaluated by the subject on the affective tone, whether



positive, negative, or neutral in character.

Next, the subject was asked by the experimenter to fill out a booklet, which contained semantic differential scales to judge the past, present, and future; and an inventory of personal events. In addition, the subject was asked to provide his home address in order to estimate his socio-economic standing.

Seven <u>semantic differential</u> scales were used to measure the attitude of each subject to past, present, and future. The scales consisted of the polar pairs of good-bad, soft-hard, fast-slow, ugly-beautiful, strong-weak, kind-cruel, and passive-active separated by a seven point scale. For presentation of the raw data and other scoring, some of the scales were reversed in order to obtain comparable scoring within similar scales. The reported direction of the scales on evaluation are: good-bad, beautiful-ugly, kind-cruel; on potency: hard-soft and strong-weak; and on activity: slow-fast and passive-active.

The events test asked the subjects to list events in their life from the far past, near past, present, near future, and far future on a separate sheet of paper for each time dimension. The experimenter, after individual completion of the test, asked how old the subject was or will be when each event occurred, and to judge whether the event was good or bad. The events approach is a personal test of temporal perspectives, since the subhect refers to definite events in his own life. The proportion of past, present, and future events listed was used as one measure of relative importance of the particular time dimension. However, since subjects tended to give an equal number of events for each time dimension listed, this was not found to be a useful measure. Extension of personal past and personal future, a more useful measure, was obtained by computing how far from the present are the most extreme event projections into the future and the past. In the few cases where the near past showed a listing of an event in the more distant past than the remote past, the near past event was used as the measure of past ex-

A new instrument, the <u>time machine test</u>, was administered by the experimenter to find out about the subjects' preference and willingness for time travel into the past, or the future, or for staying in the present. Subjects were also asked how far into the past and future they were willing to travel, if given the opportunity. The time machine extension data provides data on the development of historical time perspectives, since a number of subjects may indicate a desire to travel into the historical past.

# Subject and School Characteristics

ردُ

Male volunteers from public schools in two regions of a large public school system and from a private university served as subjects. The cooperating principals, or other school officials, selected the subjects on a more or less random basis. The principals were asked to exclude obviously disturbed children, and to avoid selection of subjects on the basis of their grades or ability. In some of the high schools, subjects were selected on the basis of their availability during spare periods. Table 1 provides information on the age, race, price of homes



TABLE 1

# DEMOGRAPHIC DATA OF THE SCHOOL GROUPS.

	, ,		BLACKS		WHITES		WHITES \
	X AGE MDN GRADE NO. OF SS X HOME VALUE	<u>1-S</u> *	11YRS 2MOS 5 15 \$11,500	·			. /
/	X AGE MDN GRADE NO OF Ss X HOME VALUE	<u>2-I</u>	11YRS 10NOS 6 14 \$11,200			<u>7~S</u>	11YRS 6MOS 5 15 \$i7,943
	X AGE MDN GRADE NO OF Ss X HOME VALUE	<u>3-s</u>	13YRS 4MOS 7 14 \$12,800		I	<u>8-S</u>	13YRS 8MOS 8 15 \$16,833
	X AGE MDN GRADE NO OF Ss X HOME VALUE	4-1	14YRS 8MOS 9 14 \$11,900	<u>4-I</u>	15YRS 1MO 9 15 \$10,636	<u>9-s</u>	15YRS 6MOS 10 14 \$16,500
	X AGE MDN GRADE NO OF SS X HOME VALUE	<u>5-I</u>	17YRS 6MOS 12 14 \$12,800	5-1	18YRS 3MOS 12 15 \$11,850	<u>9-5</u>	17YRS 7MOS 12 14 \$16,731
	X AGE MDN GRADE NO OF SS X HOME VALUE	6-/I	19YRS 4MOS 1 YR COLLGE 12 NA			<u>6-I</u>	19YRS 3MOS 1 YR COLLGE 14 NA

<sup>\*</sup> S=SEGREGATED; I=INTEGRATED.



on the block of residence, and the racial characteristics for the thirteen groups of boys studied. Instead of the planned socio-economic questionnaire, which the school system refused to allow us to use, socio-economic data was obtained on the basis of residential addresses, with the exception of the oldest, university attending, age group.

The major groupings of subjects consists of six age groups of black subjects classified as belonging to a lower socio-economic class, and five age groups of middle class status white subjects, whose residential area values are above the average for the city of Detroit. The socio-economic ratings obtained by the value of homes on the residential block were found to be consistent with ratings for each school obtained from median income data.

The results of the study are reported by school number, assigned in order to protect the schools used in the study. Schools 1 and 3 are almost 100% black inner city elementary and junior high schools respectively. Schools 2, 4, and 5 are racially integrated elementary, junior high, and high schools respectively. School 2 is a feeder school for school  $\underline{4}$ , whose students, in turn, enter school  $\underline{5}$ . Schools  $\underline{4}$  and 5 are located on adjacent properties, and provide for direct comparison of the temporal perspectives of white and black 15 and 18 year old groups, who attend the same low socio-economic area schools. Schools 7, 8, and 9 are elementary, junior high, and high schools in a predominantly white residential area. All three schools had a black enrollment of less than The three schools, which provided four white groups of students, are especially well suited for studying developmental trends since they are in close proximity to each other, and draw their student population from a relatively homogenous area. School  $\underline{6}$  is a large, private university. Socio-economic data sheets were filled out by the subjects here, before the use of the same questionnaire was denied in the public school system. From the data it appears that the black group of subjects belong to lower socio-economic class than the white students attending the university. The white students' parents are predominantly professional, management personnel, or business owners, while the majority of the black students' parents are semi-skilled or skilled workers.

#### Analysis of the Data

The tabular presentation of the data will follow the same outline as the format in Table 1. In column one, information is presented about the six lower socio-economic class black age groups; in column two, information is provided for the two lower socio-economic white age groups; and column three summarizes the data for the five middle class white groups. Data for the same age group subjects across racial and class differences are presented in the same horizontal groupings. Developmental analyses are appropriate for the five white age groups of the same socio-economic class, and the six black age groups whenever there are no differences between integrated and segregated schools. Comparison of data for black and white students of the same class level is feasible for the 15 and 18 year old age groups who attend the same school. For whites, comparisons of the 15 and 18 year old groups across schools can be used to test the effect of class differences. A

A confounded race and class comparison can be made between the black groups in schools 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 and comparable white age groups from schools 7, 8, 9, and 6. To compare the black integrated and segregated schools the data for schools 2 and 4 can be compared with the data for schools 1 and 3.

In the results section all important and significant comparisons will be pointed out, but many of the insignificant differences will not be discussed. Because of the nature of the data obtained, most of the statistical analyses are non-parametric, which are more appropriate for the non-normal distributions found than the usual parametric techniques.

#### Relative Importance of Past, Present, and Future

Tables 2, 3, and 4 present the mean percentage, the standard deviation of the mean percentage, and the median percentage of past, present, and future tense verbs used by each group in the production of TAT stories and story completions one and two respectively. In addition, Tables 2, 3, and 4 present the number of subjects in each age group who use at least one verb in the past, present, and future tense, and the percentage of subjects who use each of the verb tenses. Especially in groups where not all subjects use the three different verb tenses the median percentage is the most representative of the group behavior.

In the two story completions the most characteristic response is the use of the past tense. For story one, all 13 groups demonstrate a median past percentage of over 76, and 12 out of the 13 groups on story two show a median percentage of past usage above 75. In each of the story completions only one group out of the 13 gave future tense verbs above a zero median value. Interestingly, in one story the greatest future usage is by the black and in the other story by the white 19 year old university student group. The usage of present tense verbs is also very limited in the two story completions. Only five of the groups have a median value of above zero for the present on story one, and four groups on story two. In story two, four of the five groups which exhibit a median value for usage of present are 18 years old or older, and in story completion one, all five groups in which more than half the subjects use at least one present tense verb, are 14 years old or older. There is evidence for a developmental trend for using present tense verbs, in addition to the modal past usage, which starts to appear at age 14. Only the oldest age group of 19 starts to include the future in the story completion tasks.

It appears from the results that the technique of story completion directs each individual to complete the story using mainly past tense verbs, and therefore, is an inefficient tool for studying the relative importance of past, present, and future. No differences based on socio-economic class, or attendance of integrated as compared to segregated schools appear on the relative importance of time division measures, derived from the two story completion tasks. The major racial differences on comparing the five similar age black groups with the middle class white groups are in the proportionately greater number of whites who use at least one future tense verb. On story one, 8 out of 58 of the blacks and 27 out of 72 of the whites use a future tense verb.  $(X^2=8.01, p<.01)$ . The corresponding figures on story two are 10 out of 68 blacks and 23 out of 71 whites  $(X^2=5.07, p<.05)$ .

The production of TAT stories to the picture of a boy with a violin resulted in the production of present tense verbs to the greatest extent, followed by past tense verbs, and a much more limited amount of future tense verbs. The TAT stories appear to be more efficient for measuring relative importance of past and present than the story completions.

One tool for examining the significance of differences between the proportion of past, present, and future responses given within



TABLE 2

MEAN, S.D., AND MEDIAN USAGE OF PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE TENSE VERBS ON THE TAT, AND NUMBER AND % OF SUBJECTS USING EACH TIME DIVISION

AGE			ACKS I T PRES		SCHOOL	WII PAS	ITES I T PLES	C. FUT	SCHOOL	WHI PAST	TES M PRES	.C. FUT
11	MEAN % S.D. MDN. NO. % Ss	37. 42. <u>1</u> 16. 11		10.7 0.0 4			\	٠	,			.′
12	MDN. $\underline{2}$	14. <sup>2</sup> 0.0	7 6.2 0 89.2	12.1 0.0 4	1				<u>7</u>	42.9 25.0 10 66.7	54.1 41.9 75.0 11 73.3	6.1 0.0 10
14	S.D.		3,33.7	9.6 0.0 5		•			<u>8</u>	43.9 80.0 12	37.1 42.1 10.0 9 60.0	7.7 0.0 5
15	MEAN % S.D. MDN. 4 NO. % Ss	1,7.5 4 0.0 5	5 76.0 5 24.7 7 73.4 14 7 100	13.5 19.9 0.0 6 42.9	<u>4</u>	22.0 0.0 5	) ∻23.7 ) 86.7 15	7.1 7.9 6.7 8 53.3	<u>9</u>	32.8 15.8 10	57.1 33.1 61.9 12 85.7	15.2 12.7 9
18	MEAN % S.D. MDN. 5 NO. % Ss	8	T4	3.8 6.5 14.6 4 28.6		6	14	15.1 26.9 5.0 8 53.3		7	64.9 34.7 79.2 14 100	8
19	S.D.	16.3 8	27.7 64.6	13.6 14.6 9	•	or.	٠		<u>6</u>	23.9 13.4 12	65.6 27.8 74.1 14 100	11.6 10.8 9



TABLE 3

MEAN, S.D., AND MEDIAN USEAGE OF PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE TENSE VERBS ON STORY 1, AND NUMBER AND % OF SUBJECTS USING EACH TIME DIVISION

AGE		SCHOOL	BLACKS L.C. PAST PRES FUT	WHITES L.C. PAST PRES FUT	SCHOOL	WHITES M.C. PAST PRES FUT
11	MEAN % S.D. MDN. NO. %	<u>1</u>	88.0 11.8 0.1 19.8 19.7 0.5 100 0.0 0.0 15 6 1 100 40.0 6.7	• "		
12	MEAN % S.D. MDN. NO. % Ss		90.4 9.1 0.6 17.1 16.2 2.1 100 0.0 0.0 14 6 1 100 42.9 7.1		7	88.9 5.6 5.5 16.0 9.3 14.3 95.4 0.0 0.0 15 6 5 100 40.0 33.3
14	MEAN % S.D. MDN. NO. % Ss		72.9 27.1 0.0 35.1 35.1 0.0 83.5 33.0 0.0 13 8 0 92.9 57:1 0.0	•	· <u>8</u>	89.1 6.8 4.2 14.5 11.4 ±0.5 100 0.0 0.0 15 5 4 100 33.3 26.7
15	MEAN % S.D. MDN. NO. % Ss	<u>4</u>	93.3 4.5 2.2 12.8 7.5 6.9 100 0.0 0.0 10 3 1 100 30.0 10.0		, <u>9</u>	63.6 30.5 5.8 39.4 38.5 9.7 76.2 12.7 0.0 12 9 5 85.7 64.3 35.7
18	MEAN % S.D. MDN. NO. % Ss		85.5 11.7 2.9 12.1 10.7 5.4 86.3 13.3 0.0 8 4 2 100 50.0 25.0	92.5 5.7 1.8 9.7 9.8 4.7 5 100 0.0 0.0 7 2 1 100 28.6 14.3		84.6 10.6 4.8 24.5 19.1 7.6 92.6 0.0 0.0 14 6 5 100 42.9 35.7
. 19	MEAN % S.D. MDN. NO. % Ss		65.9 26.1 8.1 32.2 28.5 13.0 76.8 21.8 0.0 12 8 4 100 66.7 33.3		<u>6</u>	74.5 13.5 12.0 22.7 19.7 15.3 78.2 8.2 7.2 14 8 8 100 57.1 57.1

TABLE 4

MEAN, S.D., AND MEDIAN USCAGE OF PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE TENSE VERBS ON STORY 2, AND NUMBER AND % OF SUBJECTS USING EACH TIME DIVISION

AGE		SCHOOL	BLACK PAST P	S L. PRES	C. TUT	SCHOOL	WHIT PAST	res l Pres	.C. FUT	SCHOOL	WHI PAST	res m Pres	.C. FUT
11		1	11.4 100 15	9.6 0.0 6	7.0 0.0 3								•
12	MEAN % S.D. MDN. NO. % Ss	2	28.9 2 81.5 1 13	5.6 8.4 8	4.5 0.0 1					<u>7</u>	29.6 88.9	27.9 0.0 5	0.0 6
14	S.D. MDN. NO.	<u>3</u>	85.6 1 27.2 100 12 85.7 4	3.6 0.0 6	0.0 0.0 0					8	11.5 100	5.4 10.4 0.0 5 35.7	5.1 0.0 4
15	MEAN % S.D. MDN. NO. % Ss	<u>4</u>	90.5 19.3 1 100 14 100 2	9.1 8.7 0.0 3	0.5 1.8 0.0 1 7.1	<u>4</u>	86.2 26.4 100 14 93.3	11.6 25.8 0.0 6 40.0	2.3 6.5 0.0 3 20.0	9	81.6 30.4 98.6 13 92.9	16.6 31.1 0.0 6 42.9	1.8 5.1 0.0 2 14.3
18	MEAN % S.D. MDN. NO. % Ss		78.3 1 30.0 2 91.9 13 92.9 5	7	3		14	8	3	9	14	12.7 18.7 5.5 8 57.1	5
. 19			58.6 3 34.9 3 52.3 1 12 100 5	6.3 0.1 7	22.6 1.5 5	-		,			18.1 85.4 14	10.2 16.1 0.0 6 42.9	10.8 0.0 6



each group is to use the sign test, which compares the past-present, past-future, and present-future pairs of proportional use. The summary of two-tail probabilities is presented in Table 5 for the TAT, story one, and story two. The most striking observation is that in the white middle class group there are no significant differences for the past-present comparisons on the TAT stories, while for all black groups and one of the two white lower class groups the past-present differences are significant. The interpretation is that white middle class boys produce roughly equal numbers of past and present tense verbs, while the black groups and one white lower class group produce present references in greater numbers than the use of past. This pattern does not hold for the two story completions, where past tense verbs predominate for all On the past-future comparisons there are no significant differences on the TAT stories in 11 groups, indicating that both time divisions are used to a relatively small extent. Because the present tense use is the predominant usage on the TAT all present-future comparisons are significant in Table 5.

In story one all present-future differences are significant in the three youngest black groups and not significant in the three oldest groups. Examination of the data shows that 20 out of the 43 black children in the three youngest age groups use at least one present tense verb, while only 2 out of the 43 use future tense verbs, resulting in significant present-future differences. In contrast, in the three oldest black groups a total of 15 subjects used present tense verbs and 7 used future tense verbs, resulting in no significant differences between present and future tense verb usage.

Major differences between the black and white groups studied appear on the TAT verb usage. Comparison of the five black and white 12, 14, 15, 18, and 19 year old age groups, in which both race and class are confounded, shows a significantly greater use of the future by whites ( $X^2=15.5$ , p<.001). A similar comparison of the number of boys using at least one past tense also indicates that more whites use past tense than blacks ( $X^2=9.2$ , p<.01). Similar comparisons of whites and blacks of the same socio-economic class and who attend the same school shows no significant differences on the use of the past and the future on the TAT, nor does the comparison of whites who are of the same age, but differ in socio-economic class. One reason for the observed interaction in which both socio-economic differences and race differences jointly result in differential usage of past and future, comes from the observation that the major differences between the black and white roups are present in the three youngest but not the two oldest age groups. As presented above. the same racial differences, of more whites using future tense verbs, were also significant in stories one and two. Racial differences in the past usage were not significant in the two stories.

One set of intended measures of the relative importance of past, present, and future was computing the proportionate number of events in each time dimension from the number of events subjects listed that happened to them in the far past, near past, present, near future, and far future. All groups of subjects tended to give an equal number of events in each time dimension, indicating either equal importance of each dimension, or, more likely, an unintended set to list equal numbers of events. Appendix tables 14 to 27 present the raw data for the events



TABLE 5

SIGNIFICANCE LEVELS OF PAST-PRESENT, PAST-FUTURE, AND PRESENT-FUTURE SIGN TEST COMPARISONS FOR EACH GROUP ON TAT, AND STORIES 1 AND 2

AGE		OĽ	BLACKS L.C.				WHITES L.C.					oľ.	WHITES M.C.			
•			SCHOOL	PAST PRES	PAST FUT	PRES FUT		SCHOOL	PAST PRES	PAST FUT	PRES FUT		SCHOOL	PAST PRES	PAST FUT	PRES FUT
3.1	TAT STORY STORY	1 2	<u>1</u>	03 <u>6</u> 002 002	040 002 002	002 032 218		•	·							.``
12	TAT STORY STORY		2	002 002 006	637 008 002	002 002 008 .							<u>7</u>	608 002 012	660 002 002	006 688 623
14	TAT STORY STORY		<u>3</u>	012 058 002	500 002 002	002 032 032							<u>8</u> .	302 002 002	012 002 002	022 688 376
15	TAT STORY STORY		4	002 002 002	637 002 002	004 NS NS	•	<u>4</u>	002 226 002	500 012 002	002 454 454		<u>9</u>	058 058 002	613 006 002	006 110 124
18	TAT STORY STORY		<u>5</u>	012 004 002	290 004 002	002 124 070	•	<u>5</u>	058 016 002	754 016 002	002 500 016		9	266 002 002	500 002 002	006 290 124,
19	TAT STORY STORY	1 2	<u>6</u>	038 226 388	623 012 022	012 124 180						Ì	<u>6</u>	180 002 002	226 002 002	004 774 180

test, which will be used for measures of extension and affect, and not for the discussion of the relative importance of past, present, and future.

#### Semantic Differential Attitudes to Past, Present, and Future

Tables 6, 7, and 8 present the mean rankings and the standard deviations of evaluative, activity, and potency semantic differential ratings, respectively. Because collapsing of the individual attitude components on each type of scale did not result in further clarification of the results, the seven scales used in the study are presented separately.

The three evaluative scales of good-bad, kind-cruel, and beautiful-ugly have yielded similar findings in measuring the attitudes toward the past, the present, and the future. The most consistent finding is that in all groups studied the rank order of evaluation tends to rate the future as the best, followed by the present, and the evaluation of the past as the worst, being rated at around the mid-point of the seven point scale used. On the good-bad scale all 13 groups (p<.004) evaluated the future better than the present. The present was judged by 11 groups out of the 13 (p<.03, sign test) better than the past.

On the whole, there are no significant differences on the evaluation scales between the major groupings of black subjects, white middle class, and white lower class subjects. There is a tendency for the black 11 yearold group in the racially segregated school to judge the future as worse than the black 12 year old integrated group on the godd-bad scale (t=1.94, p<.06) and worse than the 15 year old integrated black group (t=1.990, p<.06). However, this tendency is not confirmed by the kind-cruel, and beautiful-ugly scales.

No regular developmental trends appear on the evaluative scales. However, the black 19 year old university group evaluated the present and the future significantly less optimistically than the other black groups on all three evaluative scales. The gcod-bad present comparison of the black 19 year old group against the black 15 year old group resulted in t=2.37, p<.05; with the black 12 year old group, in t=2.51, p<.02. The same comparisons on the future resulted in t=2.28, p<.05, and t=2.14, p<.05. On the kind-cruel scale, both present and future comparisons of the black 19 year old group with the black 15 and 18 year old groups yield significantly different t values (t =2.85, t =2.02 for the present and t =2.07, t =2.05 for the future). On the beautifulugly scale, the present for thr the black 19 year old group is significantly worse than the ratings of the 12 and 14 year old groups (t=2.24, p<.05, and t= 2.45, p<.05 respectively).

One class difference appears in the form of a worse evaluation of the past by the white lower class group than the white middle class group on the good-bad scale (t=3.11, p<.001) and the beautiful-ugly scale (t=2.55, p<.02). Interestingly, the black 18 year old group, which attends the same school as the white 18 year old lower class group, also evaluated the past in a similarly pessimistic fashion.

The data strongly indicate that the optimism for the future, a traditional American value judgment, is overwhelmingly stronger than



ر ادران TABLE 6

MEAN RANKINGS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE ON EVALUATIVE SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES FOR EACH GROUP.

AGE	SCALES	BLACKS L.C. WHITES L.C. PAST PRES FUT OHD ON OHD	WHITES M.C. PAST PRES FUT
11	GOOD- X BAD S KIND- X CRUEL S BEAUT- X UGLY S	1 2.93 2.47 2.79 2.02 2.10 2.06 2.87 2.33 2.60 1.81 1.76 1.84 2.20 2.20 2.20 1.42 1.52 1.26	•
12	GOOD- X BAD S KIND- X CRUEL S BEAUT- X UGLY S	2 3.29 1.64 1.57 2.40 1.28 1.16 3.64 2.64 2.29 2.24 2.17 1.94 3.79 2.07 2.07 2.33 1.27 1.49	7 3.53 2.87 2.60 1.81 1.81 2.06 3.47 3.07 3.53 2.13 1.67 2.07 3.53 3.40 3.27 1.19 1.64 1.83
14	GOOD- X BAD S KIND- X CRUEL S BEAUT- X UGLY S	3 3.79 2.29 1.93 2.08 1.90 1.73 3.57 2.71 2.21 2.31 1.68 1.80 2.86 2.00 2.29 2.12 1.18 1.77	8 3.00 2.20 1.73 1.69 1.21 1.03 2.40 2.60 2.20 1.06 1.24 1.01 3.07 3.07 2.20 1.16 1.33 1.52
15	GOOD- X BAD S KIND- X CRUEL S BEAUT- X UGLY S	4       3.00       1.79       1.57       4       3.07       2.64       2.43         2.18       1.61       0.78       2.02       1.65       1.50         3.00       1.71       1.43       2.93       2.93       2.07         2.35       0.99       1.68       1.98       1.68       1.21         2.42       2.43       2.00       2.93       2.71       2.79         1.60       1.34       1.41       1.64       1.34       1.80	1.61 1.89 0.95 3.00 3.29 2.29 1.71 2.23 1.44 3.07 3.07 2.14
	GOOD- X BAD S KIND- X CRUEL S BEAUT- X UGLY S	5       4.08 2.67 1.67 1.88 1.61 0.78 1.34 1.01 0.66 1.34 1.01 0.66 1.34 1.01 0.66 1.83 1.21 1.07 1.60 1.40 1.28 1.83 1.21 1.07 1.60 1.40 1.28 1.38 1.21 1.07 1.60 1.40 1.28 1.38 1.21 1.9 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19 1.19	1.40 1.74 1.38 2.64 2.50 1.86 1.28 1.09 1.10 3.14 3.43 2.57
19	GOOD- X BAD S KIND- X CRUEL S BEAUT- X UGLY S	6 2.92 2.92 2.58 2.11 1.31 1:24 3.17 3.17 2.67 1.95 1.59 1.30 3.00 3.25 2.50 1.65 1.42 1.17	6 2.64 2.43 1.86 1.34 1.28 0.95 2.79 2.36 2.43 1.05 0.84 1.02 3.21 3.14 2.29 1.19 0.95 0.91

TABLE 7

MEAN RANKINGS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE ON ACTIVITY SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES FOR EACH GROUP.

AGE	SCALES.	ECHOOL	BLACKS L.C. PAST PRES FUT	SCHOOL	WHITES L.C. PAST PRES FUT	SCHOOL	WHITES PAST PRES	
11	PASS- X ACTVE S SLOW- X FAST S	_			•		•	
12 >	PASS- X ACTVE S SLOW- X FAST S	_	5.93 6.71 5.64 2.02 0.61 2.20 5.14 4.79 4.93 2.63 2.79 2.73			<u>7</u>	5.20 5.27 1.94 2.12 4.47 4.47 1.85 1.81	1.98 4.40
14		_	4.93 6.29 6.50 2.43 1.27 0.86 3.93 5.07 4.86 2.20 2.16 2.60		· .	<u>8</u>	5.53 6.27 1.68 1.22 4.47 5.53 1.73 1.96	0.64 5.53
15	ACTVE S		4.79 6.21 6.14 2.46 1.48 1.51 4.50 4.14 3.14 2.65 2.44 2.44	-	5.79 6.21 5.86 1.42 1.12 1.17 4.14 5.71 3.64 1.83 1.14 1.82		2.01 1.46	0.65 4.71
18	ACTVE <u>S</u> SLOW- X		4.33 5.83 6.33 2.50 1.47 1.61 4.50 5.17 4.25 2.36 1.90 2.45		1.47 1.45 2.25		1.72 1.56	0.99 4.79
19	ACTVE S		5.58 3.83 5.25 1.93 2.04 1.96 5.17 5.00 4.83 1.99 2.04 1.80			<u>6</u>	4.50 5.71 1.79 1.44 4.64 6.00 1.74 0.96	1.62 5.57
GROU	P TOTALS: PASS- X ACTVE S SLOW- X FAST S		5.15 5.81 5.85 2.27 1.51 1.77 4.57 4.91 4.54 2.40 2.28 2.40				5.25 5.76 1.83 1.59 4.63 5.21 1.78 1.85	1.30 5.00

TABLE 8

MEAN RANKINGS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS OF PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE ON POTENCY SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES FOR EACH GROUP.

AGE	SCALES .	SCHOOL	BLACKS I PAST PRES	C. FUT	SCHOOL	WHITES L.C. PAST PRES FUT	SCHOOL	WHITES M.C. PAST PRES FUT
11	STRNG- X WEAK S HARD- X SOFT S	<u>1</u>	2.93 2.00 2.12 1.25 2.93 3.13 2.09 2.30	1.73 5 0.96 3 3.87 2.53	•		. •	
12	STRNG- X WEAK SHARD- X SOFT S	2	2.00 2.29 1.75 1.94 3.21 4.64 2.42 2.62	1.64 1.28 4.00 2.63				3.00 2.80 2.40 1.46 1.70 1.12 4.20 4.40 3.73 1.57 1.50 1.83
14	STRNG- X WEAK S HARD- X SOFT S		2.14 2.52 3.86 4.71	1.59 4.00			<u>8</u>	3.67 3.20 2.33 1.18 1.52 1.29 3.80 4.07 4.07 1.61 1.87 1.58
15	STRNG- X WEAK S HARD- X SOFT S	4	3.07 1.93 2.09 1.14 4.07 3.71 2.67 2.13	1.71 1.14 5.36 2.13	<u>4</u>	3.21 2.36 2.71 1.76 1.28 1.64 3.57 3.50 3.36 1.40 1.40 1.65	<u>9</u>	3.14 2.86 2.29 1.46 1.56 1.33 3.79 3.50 3.00 1.39 2.03 1.57
18	$\begin{array}{ccc} WEAK & \underline{S} \\ HARD- & \overline{X} \end{array}$		3.33 4.33	5.00		2.69 2.85 2.31 1.35 1.52 1.11 2.77 3.69 4.23 1.24 1.60 1.83		1.55 1.53 1.09 4.71 3.93 4.50
19	STRNG- X WEAK S HARD- X SOFT S	<u>6</u>	2.83 3.00 1.95 1.91 3.92 3.75 2.58 1.91	1.08 3.42		•	<u>6</u>	2.93 2.86 1.86 1.38 1.29 0.53 4.00 4.14 3.86 1.41 1.51 1.79
	STRNG- X WEAK S HARD- X SOFT S	•	3.00 2.62 1.96 1.75 3.54 4.03 2.33 2.20	1.18 4.27		2.96 2.60 2.52 1.58 1.40 1.41 3.18 3.59 3.78 1.33 1.50 1.74		3.28 2.97 2.29 1.41 1.53 1.11 4.10 4.01 3.83 1.48 1.79 1.72



any developmental, socio-economic, racial, or school influences are. The data also indicate that the past, present, and future time divisions are receiving different, and distinctive evaluations in the major groupings, showing that the time dimensions are differentiated by boys in the age range of 11 to 19.

On the passive-active scales, for which data are presented in Table 7, there are less clear-cut differentiations of past, present, and future than on the evaluative scales. The tendency in all groups is to judge all time dimensions as moderately or highly active (group means range from 5.15 to 6.11 on the 7 point scale). In the white middle class groups there is a tendency for evaluating the future as most active, followed by the present, and the past as the least active, however, the differences are small. The observed tendency is not confirmed by the slow-fast scale, which makes the generalization of the passive-active ranking for white middle class boys doubtful.

The 19 year old black university group is atypical in judging the present only very slightly active (X=3.83 against X=5.85 for the black group). All five t values computed for comparing the 11, 12, 14, 15, 18, and 19 year old black groups are significant at least on the .02 level (t=2.55, 5.04, 3.74, 3.43, and 2.75, respectively). he mean activity rating for the future is also the lowest for the unive sity black group (X=5.25), which is significantly different from the 14 year old black group (t=2.16, t=2.16).

A relatively low activity mean of 5.27 for the future is also found in the white 12 year old middle class group. The differences are significant on comparisons with the white middle class 14 and 15 year old groups (t=2.23, p<.05, and t=2.33, p<.05, respectively). A similar trend is noticeable in the youngest 11 year old black group which gives a low activity rating of 5.27 for the future. This mean is significantly different from the mean of 6.50 given by the other segregated school-attending black group (t=2.01, p<.06). It appears that the activity rating for the future is the lowest in the youngest age group, and develops to become more active, at least until the age of 18.

On the slow-fast scale the white 12 year old group judges the present as significantly slower than the corresponding 19 year old group (t=2.80, p<.001).

Table 8 presents the strong-weak, and the hard-soft potency evaluations of past, present, and future. On the strong-weak scale all 13 groups judged the future stronger than the past, and in 12 out of the 13 groups the future was judged to be stronger on the average than the present, On the hard-soft scale five of the six black groups judged the future to be softer than the past, but only two of the seven white groups showed the same relational pattern.

The 18 year old black group judged the past as very weak (X=4.50), which is a significantly different judgment from the 11, 12, 14, and 19 year old black groups (t=2.14, 3.80, 2.19, and 2.31 respectively, p<.05), and is also significantly weaker than the judgment of the corresponding 18 year old white group in the same school (t=3.10, p<.001). Another significant racial difference is apparent in the comparison of the future hard-soft ratings for the black 15 year old group with the white 15 year old group in the same schools. The mean rating for the black group was 5.36 and for the white group, 3.36 (t=2.78, p<.001), indicating that the black group judged the future to be softer than the white group.



# Affect Toward Personal Events and Time Machine Travel in the Past, Present, and Future

Table 9 presents the affect information toward personal events in the subjects' lives obtained from the events test. The negative (-), neutral (0), and positive (+) affect for past, present, and future events are derived from the mean ratings of all events, which belong to the particular time division.

The results confirm the findings obtained with the evaluative scales of the semantic differential. The future events are evaluated in a predominantly positive manner by all the groups studied, followed by a positive evaluation of the present by a large proportion of subjects. Only half of the subjects evaluated the present positively in the black 19 year old group from the university, again confirming the semantic differential findings of their dissatisfaction with the present. are no significant racial, developmental, or socio-economic class differences on the evaluation of the present and future events. Significant racial differences appear on comparing the evaluation of past personal events. Whites tend to evaluate the past more positively than blacks Comparison of the 15 and 18 year old white and black groups from the same schools on the positive evaluation of past events against the neutral and negative categories shows that 41% of the black subjects, and 71% of the white subjects evaluate the past positively. The differences are significant ( $X^2=4.09$ , p<.05). A similar comparison of the 12, 14, 15, 18, and 19 year old black lower class groups with white middle class subjects shows that '5% of the black subjects and 65% of the white subjects evaluate events in their personal past positively  $(X^2 =$ 5.10, p<.05). It is of interest to note that for the black subjects there is a felt disadvantage in the past, but not in the present or the future as compared to whites.

Table  $\underline{10}$  presents information about the number of subjects in each group, who stated a preference in a forced choice situation for time travel into the past, staying in the present, or going into the future. Other data in Table  $\underline{10}$  show the number of subjects in each group who expresses liking, neutrality, or disliking for time travel in the past, present, or future.

Less black subjects than white subjects prefer time travel into the past in the five race and class confounded same age groups, indicating that the findings of more negative affect for past personal events for the black groups generalize into greater rejection of the past as a time travel possibility. Eleven out of 65 black subjects and 22 out of 71 white subjects prefer the past time travel possibility. However, the differences are significant only at the .10 probability level, using chi square comparisons, and can be taken as indicative of a trend only.

The expression of positive, neutral, or negative feelings toward travel into the past and future, and toward staying in the present is difficult to interpret. It appears that the four youngest age group black subjects and the youngest age group white subjects appear to like all the three possibilities, while the older groups register more selective likes and dislikes.

Table  $\underline{11}$  is a summary of the feeling tone of the TAT stories and of the stories obtained from the two story completions. The major



26

TABLE 9

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS EXPRESSING NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE AFFECT FOR PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE EVENTS.

AGE	AFFECT	H	BL	-	L.C.	<u></u>	WF		L.C	•	Wł	IITES	м.с.
		SCHOOL	PAST	PRES.	FUT.	SCHOOL	DACT	PRES.	FUT.	ioonos	PACT.	PRES.	FUT.
11	NO NO. 0 NO. +	1	8 2 · 5	3 1 11.	0 2 13								
12	NO NO. 0 NO. +	2	4 2 8	0 2 11	0 0 14				,	<u>.</u>	2 2 3 10	1 3 4 9 9	2
1 <b>4</b>	NO NO. 0 NO. +	3	5 5 4	3 2 9	1 2 11	•				<u> </u>	3 5 0 10	) 3	0
15	NO NO. 0 NO. +	<u>4</u>	2 5 7	2 4 8	0 0 14	<u>4</u>	. 3	1 1 1 11	1 0 13	<u>9</u>	) 5 3	0 2 12	0 0 14
18	NO NO. 0 NO. +	<u>5</u>	8 1 4	0 3 9	1 0 12	<u>5</u>	2 0 12	2 0 12	0	<u>9</u>	2 3 2 9	2 0 12	0
19	NO NO. 0 NO. +	<u>6</u>	2 2 8	5 1 6	0 0 10			•		<u>.e</u>	1 1 12	3 2 9	1 0 13

TABLE 10

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF SUBJECTS' PREFERENCE FOR PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE TIME TRAVEL, AND NUMBER OF NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE FEELINGS TOWARDS TIME TRAVEL IN EACH DIMENSION.

. AGE		SCHOOL	BLACKS L.C. PAST PRES FUT	WHITES L.C. PAST PRES FUT	SCHOOL	WHITES M.C. PAST PRES FUT
. 11	NO. PREF % PREF NO - NO 0 NO +	1	3 4 8 20.0 26.7 53.3 2 6 3 0 0 4 12 8 7	-		
12	NO PREF % PREF NO - NO 0 NO +				7	7 4 4 46.7 26.7 26.7 1 2 2 5 4 0 9 9 13
14	NO PREF % PREF NO - NO O NO +	3	3 7 4 21.4 50.0 28.9 1 3 3 3 1 0 10 10 11	/ · · /	<u>8</u>	4 3 8 26.7 20.0 53.3 3 3 5 0 7 0 12 5 10
15	NO PREF % PREF NO - NO O NO +	<u>4</u>	3 7 4 21.4 50.0 28.9 1 2 1 3 2 3 10 10 10	2 7 5 14.3 50.0 35.7 4 6 3 5 4 7 1 4 4 8	9	4 6 3 28.9 42.9 21.4 4 7 9 3 3 0 7 4 5
18	NO PREF % PREF NO - NO O NO +	<u>5</u>	2 8 1 18.2 72.7 9.1 6 1 4 1 1 4 4 9 5	2 4 5 18.2 36.4 45.4 5 5 4 3 2 4 2 3 2 5	<u>9</u>	3 5 6 21.4 35.7 42.9 5 5 4 3 4 3 6 5 7
19	NO PREF % PREF NO - NO O NO +	<u>6</u>	1 6 5 8.3 50.0 41.7 4 3 5 3 4 1 5 5 6		<u>6</u>	4 5 5 28.9 35.7 35.7 3 6 7 2 3 0 9 5 7



TABLE 11

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS EXPRESSING NEGATIVE, NEUTRAL, AND POSITIVE AFFECT ON THE TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2.

AGE		Ĭ,	BLACI	KS L	c.	+		Ä	WHITE	ES I	c.			Į.	WHIT		M.C	
		SCHOOL	OF Ss	NO.	NO. C	NO.		SCHOOL	OF Ss	NO.	NO. C	NO. +		SCHOOL	OF Ss	NO	NO O	NO +
11	TAT STORY 1 STORY 2	<u>1</u>	15 15 15	3 3 2	4 1 7	8 11 6												
12	TAT STORY 1 STORY 2	2	14 14 14	2 1 1	4 4 3	8 9 10								7	15 15 15	7 0 2	2 7 4	6 8 9
14	TAT STORY 1 STORY 2	<u>3</u>	14 14 14	4 2 5	3 6 3	7 6 6						*		8	15 15 14	6 4 5	3 6 3	6 5 6
15	TAT STORY 1 STORY 2	4	14 10 14	6 2 2	1 `4 9	7 4 3	•	<u>4</u>	15 11 15	8 1 1	1 2 5	6 8 9		<u>9</u>	14 14 14	2 6 6	2 3 2	10 5 6
18	TAT STORY 1 STORY 2	<u>5</u>	14 8 14	2 2 1	3 1 4	9 5 9		<u>5</u>	15 7 15	2 2 5	5 4 6	8 1 4	le .	9	14 14 14	2 1 3	4 5 6	8 8 5
19	TAT . STORY 1 STORY 2	<u>6</u>	12 12 12	2 1 1	3 3 5	7 8 6					•			<u>6</u>	14 14 14	5 4 4	7 5 5	2 5 5



ERIC

tendency in all groups is to produce positively flavored stories.

One major exception is the university age white group, which tends to produce more neutral than positive stories. The data does not indicate the presence of real racial, socio-economic, or developmental differences in the degree of optimism and pessimism expressed in projection of feelings.

#### Extension of Temporal Horizons

Table 12 presents the means and standard deviations of the extension ratings of the TAT stories and story completions for each group. There are very few significant differences between the various groups on this extension measure due to large within group variations. Using Mann-Whitney U tests, significant group differences were found only between the 14 and 19 year old white middle class groups, and the 15 and 19 year old white middle class groups. In spite of the widc-spread use of this extension measure it was found that there is no developmental, racial, or social class difference noticeable in the data.

Table 13 presents the summary of the past and future extension data, derived from the personal events test. The mean extension figures in years are derived from finding the event named by the subjects, which is the longest time away in the past or the future from the present age of the subject. For the past extension the means are appropriate measures of the central tendency, however, for the future extension, the median is the most representative. The reason is that the distribution of the future extension scores is bimodal, with a few individuals in each age group projecting to the end of their life span, and the rest exhibiting a more limited future extension of below 30 years. The past time span expands with development, but the future extension appears to be related more to individual personality differences than to development by age.

Tests for significance confirmed the observation that the extension of the past temporal span increases with age. Using median tests the following age pairs were significantly different in the black groups: 11 vs. 18 ( $X^2=9.19$ , p<.01), 11 vs. 19 ( $X^2=9.6$ , p<.01), 12 vs. 18 ( $X^2=8.39$ , p<.01), 12 vs. 19 ( $X^2=12.4$ , p<.001), 14 vs. 18 ( $X^2=8.39$ , p<.01), 14 vs. 19 ( $X^2=8.81$ , p<.01), 15 vs. 18 ( $X^2=6.24$ , p<.02), 15 vs. 19 ( $X^2=7.58$ , p<.01), and 18 vs. 19 ( $X^2=4.81$ , p<.05). The corresponding significant tests for development of the extension of personal time in the past for the white middle class groups are: 12 vs./14 (U=52, p<.01), 12 vs. 15 ( $X^2=9.94$ , p<.01), 12 vs. 18 ( $X^2=11.19$ , p<.001), 12 vs. 19 ( $X^2=11.19$ , p<.001), 14 vs. 15 (U=66, p<.05), 14 vs. 1 (U=57.5, p<.025), and 14 vs. 19 ( $X^2=4.94$ , p<.05).

The major racial difference appears in the socio-economic class and race confounded comparison of the five groups of black and white subjects of equal age. The black groups tend to have a shorter past extension than the white groups (X2=8.74, p<.01). Comparison of black and whites of the same socio-economic class, attending the same schools, results in no significant difference on the past extension measure, indicating that the combination of race and socio-economic differences jointly determine the past extension.



TABLE 12

MEAN EXTENSION RATINGS AND STANDARD DEVIATIONS FOR THE TAT AND STORY COMPLETIONS 1 AND 2 FOR EACH GROUP.

AGE		Ä	BL	ACKS	L.C.	늰	WHITES L.C.  TAT STORY STORY  1 2				WHITES M.C.			
		SCHOO	TAT	STORY 1	STORY 2	SCHOO	TAT	STORY 1	STORY 2	SCHO	TAT	STORY 1	STORY 2	
11	MEAN S.D.	1	2.9	2.3	2.3				,					
12	MEAN S.D.	2	2.5 1.9	2.0	2.1					7	3.1 2.1	2.1 1.3	2.7	
14	MEAN S.D.	3	3.1 2.3	1.9	1.5					<u>8</u>	5.1 1.8	4.0 1.6	3.6	
15	MEAN S.D.	<u>4</u>	2.1	1.1	1.6 1.2	<u>4</u>	3.0 2.0	2.9 1.8	2.6 1.7	9	4.8	3.2 1.9	2.9	
18	MEAN S.D.	<u>5</u>	3.3 2.2	2.4	3.2	<u>5</u>	3.6 2.3	1.7 1.9	3.1 2.1	<u>9</u>	3.6 2.3	3.1 1.9	2.6 1.8	
<b>19</b> .	MEAN S.D.	<u>6</u>	3.6	3.6 2.4	3.4 2.1					<u>6</u>	2.4	2.6 2.0	2.6 1.3	







TABLE 13

MEAN, STANDARD DEVIATION, AND MEDIAN OF PAST AND FUTURE EXTENSION IN YEARS ON THE EVENTS QUESTIONNAIRE.

AGE	*	ر ا	BLACKS	L.C.	OL OI	WHITES	L.C.	č	ן ה	WHITES	M.C.
		SCHO(	BLACKS PAST	FUT.	SCHO	WHITES PAST	FUT.	i	SCHO	JHITES PAST	FUT.
			1	•							
11	MEAN S.D. MDN.	<u>1</u>	2.9	12.5 16.4 4.0				•			,
12	MEAN S.D. MDN.	2	5.1 3.4 6.0			•		<u>-</u>	7	6.5 3.1 8.0	25.1 25.9 17.0
14	MEAN S.D. MDN.	3	5.3 2.6 5.5						<u>8</u>	9.2 2.6 9.0	
15	MEAN S.D. MDN.	<u>4</u>	6.1 4.0 6.0	17.8 14.4 13.0	<u>4</u> .	4.4	14.4 13.4 10.0		<u>9</u>	10.9 2.0 11.0	
18	MEAN S.D. MDN.	<u>5</u>	10.6 3.5 10.0		<u>5</u>	4.7	19.1 23.5 7.5			11.1 2.6 12.0	
19	MEAN S.D. MDN.	<u>6</u>	14.6 5.0 16.0						<u>6</u>	13.5 3.7 14.0	20.6 19.7 9.5



No developmental trend appeared on the time machine past or future extension data. About one-third of the subjects in each/group have selected travel into the historical past, while about two-thirds selected to travel into the past in their own life time. None of the members of the black university 19 year old group have chosen to travel into the historical past. Even less subjects chose to travel into the future beyond their lifetime. Only about 10% picked a time range beyond the usual life-time.

The various temporal perspective measures, used in the study, showed a great degree of within group variability, indicating that many other factors in addition to age, race, socio-economic class, and attendance of racially integrated and segregated schools determine a person's temporal extension, attitude toward time, and the personal importance of the three time divisions. One major finding of the study is that regardless of race, class, age, or type of school attended the great American Dream, a better and stronger future, is present in the life space of all groups studied. The oldest black group shows the same trend, but is less optimistic toward the future than the younger groups.

Another major finding obtained from the relative importance, attitude, and extension measures is that the black lower class boys studied tend to de-emphasize the past, feel that it is not only worse and weaker than the present and future, but also should be avoided, and act out the avoidance by a shorter span of personal extension of events into the past. Chances are that some of the poorer evaluation of the past is due to socio-economic class differences, since the 18 year old white lower class group evaluated the past as much worse than the same age white middle class group. Since personal past events are evaluated significantly worse by black lower class subjects who attend the same integrated schools as white lower class subjects, race is also a major factor in the poorer evaluation of the past.

Two major developmental trends were found: 1.) The extension of personal past significantly expands with the development, while the personal future extension showed no developmental changes due to a bimodal distribution of life-time and shorter future time spans. Lewin's hypotheses of an increase of both past and future horizons with age was confirmed only for the past, but not for the future. No significant developmental changes were obtained on the total personal extension and on the total extension measures derived from the stories. 2.) On the relative importance measures the future tense for more than half of the subjects appeared only at age 19 on the story completions, and the use of both past and present started at age 14; the younger age groups used either the past or present only, depending on the particular task.

Attendance of racially segregated and integrated schools did not relate to the time perspective variables.

There were no indications that interest in the historical past is a function of the variables studied. With the exception of the black 19 year old university group in which no one showed interest in the historical past, from about 15% to 30% of the subjects in each group indicated they would like to go back to a historical past time zone.

One finding, confirmed by a number of measures, is that the oldest black university attending group was much less optimistic about the present and the future than the other black groups studied.



#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Asch, S. E. Social Psychology, New York, Prentice Hall, 1952.
- Barndt, R. J. and Johnson, D. M. Time orientation in delinquents.

  Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1955, 51,

  343-345.
- Davids, C. Kidder, and M. Reids Time orientation in male and female juvenile delinquents. <u>Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology</u>, 1962, 64, 239-240.
- Dickstein, L., and Blatt, S. J. Death concern, futurity and anticipation, <u>Journal of Consulting Psychology</u>, 1966, <u>30</u>, 11-17.
- Ellenberger, H. F. A clinical introduction to psychiatric phenomenology and existential analysis. In May R, Angel E., and Ellenberger, H. F. (eds.), <a href="Existence">Existence</a>, New York, Basic Books, 1958.
- Eson, M. F. An analysis of time perspectives at five age levels.
  Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Univ. of Chicago, 1951.
- Fink, H. H. The relationship of time perspective to age, institution-alization and activity. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Michigan State University, 1953.
- Fraisse, Paul The Psychology of Time, New York, Harper & Row, 1963.
- Frank, L. K. Time perspectives. <u>Journal of Social Philosophy</u>, 1939, 4, 293-312.
- Friedman K. C. Time concepts of junior and senior high school pupils and adults. <u>School Review</u>, 1944, <u>52</u>, 233-238.
- Greaves A. Temporal orientation in suicide patients, <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1971, <u>33</u>, 1020.
- Judson, A. J. and Tuttle, C. E. Time perspective and social class.

  Perceptual & Motor Skills, 1966, 23 (3 pt 2) 1074.
- Kahn, Paul Time orientation and reading achievement. <u>Perceptual and Motor Skills</u>, 1965, 21, 157-158.
- Klineberg S. L. Changes in outlook on the future between childhood and adolescence. <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, 1967, 7, 185-193.
- Kluckhohn, Clyde Culture and behavior, In Lindzey Gardner (ed.) <u>Hand-book of Social Psychology</u>, Val. 2, Reading, Mass., Addison Wesley, 1954.



- Kluckhohn, Florence Value orientations, In Grinker, R.R. (ed.) <u>Toward A Unified Theory of Human Behavior</u>, New York, Basic Books, 1956.
- Laffy J. J. Impulsivity and Temporal Experience in Prisoners. Michigan State University Doctoral Dissertation 1963.
- Leshan, L. L. Time orientation and social class. <u>Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology</u>. 1952, <u>47</u>, 589-592.
- Lessing, E. E. Extension of personal future time perspective, age, and life satisfaction of children and adolescents.

  <u>Developmental Psychology</u>. 1972, <u>6</u>, 457-468.
- Lewin, Kurt Field Theory and Social Science. New York, Harper Row, 1951.
- Lostia, M. La construzione delle nozioni temporali: <u>Contributo</u> <u>sperimentale, Revista di Psicologia Generale e del Luovo</u>, 1967, <u>34</u>, 229-250, from Psychological Abstracts, 1968, <u>42</u>. 1250.
- May, Rollo Contributions of existential psychotherapy, in May, Angel, Ellenberger (op. cit.).
- Minkowski, Eugene Findings in a case of schizophrenic depression. In May, Angel, Ellenberger (op. cit).
- Pistor, F. How time concepts are acquired by children. Educational Method, 1940, 20, 107-112.
- Rogers, K. W. Concept of time in secondary school children of above average I.Q. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 1967, 37, 99-109.
- Rokeach, M. and Bonier, R. Time perspective, dogmatism, and anxiety. In Rokeach, M., <u>The Open and Closed Mind</u>, New York, Basic Books, 1960.
- Schilder, P. <u>Medical Psychology</u>, New York, International Universities Press, 1953.
- Stein, K. B. and Sarbin, T., and Kulith, J.A. Future time perspective:

  Its relation to the socialization process and the delinquent role. <u>Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology</u>, 1968, 32, 237-264.
- Teahan, J. E. Future time perspective, optimism, and academic achievement. <u>Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology</u>, 1958, 57, 379-380.
- Wallace, M. Future time perspective in schizophrenia. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1956, 52, 240-245.

Wallace, M. and Rabin, A.I. - Temporal experience, <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, 1960, <u>57</u>, 213-236.



APPENDIX A SAMPLES OF INSTRUMENTS USED

### INTRODUCTORY REMARKS TO THE SUBJECT

The examiner will greet the subject and converse with him for a short period of time in order to get acquainted with him and to put him at ease in the test situation. The examine will want to know the subject's name and age and might want to ask him about the type of things he is interested in doing, for example, with his friends or after school, etc.

The examiner will want to share with the subject, something concerning the nature of her visit. She might say something like the following:

Many of us who work in research, are interested in learning about young people today; how they think and feel about themselves and the world in which they live. We feel that one of the best ways to find out about young people, is to ask the young people themselves.

I will be asking you to do some things for me in the hour to come. And I will ask you some questions. There is no mark or grade for your answers. This is not really a test you see. There are no righ: or wrong answers to these questions. The only answers are your own opinions; whatever you think and feel.

None of what you say today will be told to your teacher or your parents, or the principal in such a way that you can be picked out or identified. In other words, the information you give me will be used for scientific purposes only. So just relax, get comfortable, and answer the questions as best you can. Do you understand? Do you have any questions? If you do, I'll tell you whatever I can. (Examiner answers whatever questions of the subject she can answer, without revealing the exact nature of the experiment.) Are you ready now? Okay. Here we go.

The examiner proceeds with the first task.



#### THEMATIC APPERCEPTION TEST

Before Card 1 is presented to the subject, the examiner will say,

I am going to show you a picture. I want you to look at the picture I show you and make up a story about it. In other words, use your imagination. You may say anything that comes to your mind while you look at the picture, as long as you present it in story form.

Do you understand? Do you have any questions? (The examiner will answer the subject's questions concerning procedures.)

The examiner will then say, Are you ready? Alright. Here is the picture. Tell me a story about it.

The examiner will record, verbatim, whatever the subject tells in response to this picture.



4.

After the subject has completed the story, the examiner will ask questions in order to clarify precisely the subject's conceptualizations about time. For example: How much time did the story take? (from beginning to end) or: llow old was the boy when the story began (and ended)?

In addition, the examiner will try to ascertain the affective meaning the story holds for the youth. If the affective meaning is NOT OBVIOUS to the examiner, the examiner should inquire about it. For example: How do you feel about the way the story ended? Was it a good ending; a bad ending; or neither?



### STORY COMPLETION TEST

The subject will be asked to complete two stories. The examiner will say:

I am going to begin telling you a story. I would like for you to finish the story for me. You are to use your imagination, that is, to say anything that you would like to say. Do you understand? Do you have any questions? (Pause) Alright. Here is the first story.

Story #1 At the dinner table, John and his sister... #

Story #2 In the locker room after gym class, David...



The examiner will then ask questions in order to obtain a clear understanding about time extension. For example: How much time did the story take (from beginning to end)? If future is given, for example: When will the story start? When will it end?

Story # 1

Story # 2

In addition, the examiner will try to ascertain the affective meaning the story holds for the youth. If the affective meaning is NOT OBVIOUS to the examiner, the examiner should inquire about it. For example: How do you feel about the way the story ended? Was it a good ending; a bad ending; or neither?

Story # 1

Story # 2



The examiner will then give the subject the booklet containing the semantic differential, events inventory, and the general information tasks.

The subject will answer the questions on the semantic differential and the events inventory tasks.

Before proceeding on to another task, the examiner, <u>for each event</u> listed, will ask how old the subject was or will be at that time, and whether it is a good or a bad event.

The examiner will then administer the Time Machine test to the subject.



Here is how you are to use these scales:

If :	you fe	el	that	your	past	is <u>v</u>	ery	closely	related	to	one	end	οf	the	scale,
you	shoul	d n	iake j	your	check	mark	as	follows	:						,

If you feel that your <u>past</u> is <u>quite closely related</u> to one or the other end of the scale (but not extremely), you should place your checkmark as follows:

 safe
 :
 :
 :
 :
 unsafe

 OR
 :
 :
 :
 X
 :
 unsafe

If your past seems only slightly related to one side as opposed to the other side (but is not really neutral), then you should check as follows:

 safe
 :
 :
 :
 unsafe

 OR
 safe
 :
 :
 X
 :
 unsefe

The direction toward which you check, of course, depen upon which of the two ends of the scale seems most characteristic of your past.

If you consider your  $\underline{past}$  to be  $\underline{neutral}$  on the scale, both sides of the scale equally associated with the concept, then you should place the check mark in the middle space:

safe\_\_:\_\_:\_X:\_\_:\_unsafe

IMPORTANT: 1) Place your check marks in the <u>middle of spaces:</u>

THIS NOT THIS

\_\_\_\_:\_X:\_\_\_:\_\_X\_\_:\_\_\_

- Be sure you check every scale for every concept, do not omit any.
- 3) Never put more than one check mark on a single scale.
- 4) Make every judgment independently of previous judgments.



# MY PRESENT

Good	;	:	:	<b>:</b>	:_	:	Bad	
Soft	_:_	_:_	:	_:_	<b>:</b>	:	Hard	
Fast_	_:_	<b>:</b>	_:_	_:_	:	<b>:</b>	Slow	
Ugly	:	:	_:_	_:_	<b>:</b>		Beautifu	1
Strong	_:_	:	_:_	<b>:</b>	<b>:</b>	:_	Weak	
Kind	_:_	_:	_:	:	:	_:_	Cruel	
assive	:	:	:	•	•	:	Active	



# EVENTS INVENTORY

List	some	events	that	happened	to	you	in	the	far	past	(a	long	time	ago)
	_		_				_		_					
													_	
			-						-					
										-				
_	_							_						
									-				_	
													_	
				<u> </u>										
							_						<u> </u>	
<del></del>		<del></del>											_	
									•					
				_										
•	<u> </u>										_			
								_						
													_ <del>.</del>	
														<del></del>
			•											
		`												



### THE TIME MACHINE

The examiner will say to the subject: Now, for a few moments, I want you to imagine that you are in a Time Machine, which is able to take you back into the past, beyond into the future or keep you in the present time.

The examiner will then ask the subject: Being in this Time Machine, how would you feel about going back in time and seeing your past?

Then, the examiner will ask the subject: <u>How would you feel about going ahead in time and taking a look at your future?</u>



The examiner will then ask: How would you feel about staying in the present and look at what's happening to you now?

In order to determine the subject's preferred direction, the examiner will then ask: If you had a choice, would you prefer the Time Machine to take you into the past, keep you in the present or take you into the future? Why?

In order to determine the subject's preferred extension into the past and future, the examiner will then ask: How far back into YOUR past would you like to go?

Also, the examiner will say: How far ahead in YOUR future would you like to venture into?



APPENDIX B

RAW DATA

RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #1 - BLACK

SUBJ.	GRD.		GE .MO:	HOME VALUE				TAT EXT	rension	•			SI	ORY EXT	1 TENSION	ī	•		S
					NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NÓ. FUT.		•	AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.
1	6	11	3		7	0	0	6	HRS.	_	. 8	1	0	1	HR.	+	8	0	0
	5	11	3	11,300	13		0	3	DAYS	+	17	ī	Ö	5	: DAYS	_	7	1	1
2 3	4	11	2	9,800	1	5	4	ī	WK.	+	10	0	0	4	HRS.	+	3	0	1
4	4	11	2	12,200	3	13	1	4	YRS.	+	10	0	0	7	HRS.	+	7	1	0
5	4	11	3	10,500	5	0	0	18	YRS.	+	2	1	0	2	DAYS	_	2	0	0
6`	5	11	2	11,700	1	6	1	2	YRS.	+	11	0	0	12	HRS.	+	8	1	0
7	5	11	oʻ	11,300	5	2	0	30	SECS.	0	14	0	0	1	MIN.	+	6	0	0
8	4	11	10	11,300	2	0	0	30	MINS.	0	4	0	0	90	MINS.	0	3	0	G
9	4	11	0	9,800	0	7	0	15	DAYS	0	6	0	0	12	HRS.	+	7	0	0
10	4	11	8		1	5	0	15	MINS.	+	2	3	0	3	MINS.	+	5	1	0
11	5	10	6	16,600	1	7	1	5	MINS.	-	15	0	0	5	MINS.	_	6	3	0
12 、	5	11	0	12,300	0	3	0	30	SECS.	+	2	0	0	30	SECS.	+	2	0	่อ
13	5	11	2	11,700	0	6	0	. 5	MINS.	-	1	0	0	10	MINS.	+	1	0	0
14	′ 6	11	6	10,400	0	10	0	90	SECS.	0	1	1	0	15	MINS.	+	4	0	0
15	5	11	0	10,400	1	5	Ō	30	SECS.	+	34	12	1	`17	HRS.	+	41	5	4



RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #1 - BLACK

•		•																
HOME VALUE				TAT EXT	'Ens Lon				ST	ORY EXȚ	1 ENSION	,			SI	ORY	2 ENSION	I
	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	" NO. FUT.		-	\FFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT
,	7	0	0	6	HRS.	_	8	1	0	1	HR.	+	8	0	0	7 <b>5</b> .;	MINS.	+
<b>1</b> 1,300	13	0	0	3	DAYS	+	17	1	0	5	DAYS	-	7	1	1	3	DAYS	Ò
9,800	1	5	4	1	WK.	+	10	0	0	4	HRS.	+	٠ 3	0	1	7	HRS.	0
12,200	3	13	1	4	YRS.	+	10	0	0	7	HRS.	+	7	1	0	4	HRS.	-
10,500	5	0	0	18	YRS.	+	2	1	0	2	DAYS	-	2	0	0	2	DAYS	+
11,700	1	6	1	2	YRS.	+	11	0	0	12	HRS.	+	8	1	0	2	WKS.	+
11,300	5	2	0	30	SECS.	0	14	0	0	1	MIN.	+	6	0	0	1	MIN.	+
11,300	2	0	0	30	MINS.	0	4	0	0	90	MINS.	0	3	0	0	2 <sup>1</sup> 5		0
9,800	0	7	0	15	DAYS	0	6	0	0	12	HRS.	+	7	0	0	1	HR.	0
	1	5	0	15	MINS.	+	2	3	0	3	MINS.	+	5	1	0	10	MINS.	+
16,600	1	7	1	5	MINS.	-	15	0	0	5	MINS.	-	6	3	0	15	MINS.	0
12,300	0	3	0	30	SECS.	+	2	0	0	30	SECS.	+	2	0	0	2½	MINS.	0
11,700	0	6	0	5	MINS.	-	1	0	0	10	MINS.	+	1	0	0	1	WIA.	+
10,400	0	10	0	90	SECS.	0	1	1.	0	15	MINS.	+	4	0	0	35	MINS.	-
10,400	1	5	0	30	SECS.	+	34	12	1	1.7	HRS.	+	41	5	. 4	3	DAYS	0

# RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #2 - BLACK

SUBJ.	GRD.	AC YR.	GE MO	HOME VALUE				TAT EX	rension	1			SI	ORY EXT	1 ENSION	ī			s
					NO. PAST		NO. FUT.			AFFECT	N . PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.
16	5	10	4		1	. 6	0	1	MIN.	_	10	2	0	,	MINC	^	10	•	
17	5	11	9	13,500	2		0	2	YRS.	0	10	2	0	2	MINS.	0	12	3	0
18	5	12	5	10,700	0		0	1		U	5	0	0	1	WK.	+	0	5	1
19	6	11	2	10,700	0		1		HR.	-	2	0	0	15	MINS.		6	6	0
20	6	12	0	10,000	2			15	MINS.		10	1	0	5	MINS.	0	12	0	0
21	6	11	6	11,300			2	15	MINS.		6	1	0	1	HR.	+	11	0.	0
22			7		0		1	1	HR.	0	3	5	0	5	HRS.	-	5	3	0
23	6	11	•	10,000	0		0	1	HR.	+	4	0	0	91/2		+	5	1.	0
	6	11	6	11,400	0	_	0	5	MINS.		10	2	1	1	HR.	+	7	0	0
2.4	6	11	8	11,600	0		0	20	MINS.		5	0	0	2	DAYS	0	3	0	0
25	6	11	6	11,400	1	6	2	52	YRS.	+	10	0	0	12	MINS.	+	24	0	0
26	6	12	4		0		0	3	HRS.	0	3	.0	0	10	MINS.	+	1	1	0
27	6	12	7		0	2	1	1	MO.	+	5	0	0	15	HRS.	+	4	1	0
28	6	12	2	10,500	0	4	0	15	MINS.	+	2	0	0	5	MINS.	+	3	0	0
29	6	13	6	11,600	1	10	0	2	WKS.	+	10	1	0	30	MINS.	0	4	1	0

SUBJ. GRD. AGE

RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #2 - BLACK

				-	~.													
OME ALUE				TAT EXT	rension	i			ST	ORY EXT	1 ENSION	1	,		ST	ORY EXT	2 ENSION	Į
-	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT
	1	6	0	1	MIN.	-	10	2	0	. 2	MINS.	0	12	3	0	4	MINS.	+
,500	2	2	0	2	YRS.	0	5	0	0	1	WK.	+	0	5	1	1	DAY	+
,700	n	4	0	1	HR.	-	2	0	0	15	MINS.	+	6	6	ō	11/2		+
<b>,</b> O00	0	ა	1	15	MINS.	+	10	1	0	5	MINS.	0	12	0	0	1	DAY	+
	2	3	2	15	MINS.	+	6	1	0	1	HR.	+	11	0	0	5	MINS.	-
300	0	7	1	1	HE,	0	3	5	0	5	HRS.		5	3	C	1½		+
000	0	4	0	1	HR.	٦,	4	0	0	91/2		+	5	1	0	20	MINS.	÷
400	0	3	0	5	MINS.	+	10	2	3.	1	FR.	+	7	0	0	35	MINS.	+
600	0	4	0	20	MINS.	0	5	0	Э	2	DAYS	0	3	0	0	3	HRS.	+
400	1	6	2	52	YRG.	+	10	0	0	12	MINS.	+	24	0	0	3	WKS.	+
	0	3	0	3	HRS.	0	3	0	0	10	MINS.	+	1	1	0	3	MINS.	0
	0	2	ļ	1	MO.	÷	5	0	0	15	HRS.	+	4	1	0	1	HR	÷
500	0	4	0	15	MINS.	+	2	0 -	0	5	MINS.	+	3	0	0	30	MINS.	0
600	1	10	0	2	WKS.	+	10	1	0	30	MINS.	0	4	1	0	1	HR.	0



APPENDIX TABLE 3

# RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #3 - BLACK

		SUBJ. NO.	GRD.	AGE YR.MO.	HOME VALUE				TAT EXT	rension	Ŋ			S.	TORY 1 EXTENSIO	N			S
						NO. PAST		NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.		AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.
		30	7	12 7	10.000	,	•					_							
54	1	31	7	13 7 13 4	10,900	6		0	20	MINS.		6	1	0	2 DAYS	+	9	0	ັ0
		32	7	13 10	12,600	0		0	1	HR.	+	1	9	0	35 MINS		0	5	0
		33	7	13 10	13,300 13,500	0		0	5	MINS.		2	0	0	½ HR.	0	3	0	0
		34	7	13 10	15,200	0		0	2	YRS.	+	3	1	0	5 MINS.		2	0	0
		35	, 7	13 4	14,100	0 2	4 8	0 2	10 2	MINS.		1	0	0	15 MINS.		2	0	0
		36	7	13 5	12,200	0		0	2 اغ	DAYS	_	7	0	0	10 MINS.	. +	13	6	0
		37	7	13 0	12,400	0	4	0	- *2 7	HR. YRS.	0	0 2	8	0	1½ HR.	-	6	01	0
		38	7	13 4	13,500	0	5	0	3	YRS.	_	2 7	0 4	0	1 HR.	-	4	0	0
		39	7	12 10	12,400	17	2	1	_	WKS.	+	13	3	0	2 MINS. 20 YRS.		9	1.	0
		40	7	13 2	10,400	0	3	1	5	MINS.		2	0	0	20 YRS. 15 MINS.	+	32 2	3,	0
		41	7	13 3		0	2	Ō	5	MINS.		1	0	0	15 MINS.			0	0
		42	7	13 0	14,600	1	11	3	2	YRS.	+	2	5	0	1½ HR.	0	4 7	2	0
		43	7	13 10	12,400	0	4	1	1	DAY	+	10	3	0	2 HRS.	+	5	2	0

ERIC

# DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #3 - BLACK

			TAT EXT	ENSION	Í			SI	ORY EXT	1 ENSION	í			S?	ORY EXT	2 ENSION	Ī
NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT
6	0	0	20	MINS.		6	1	0	2	DAYS	+	9	0	0	2	D 4370	
0	3	0	1	HR.	+	í	9	0	35	MINS.		0	0 5	0	2 1	DAYS HR.	+
0	16	0	5	MINS,	_	2	Ó	Ö	1,	HR.	0	3	0	0	15	MINS.	0 +
0	3	0	2	YRS.	+	3	1	Ō	5	MINS.	0	2	0	0	10	MINS.	<u>.</u>
0	4	0	10	MINS.	+	1	0	0	15	MINS.	Ō	2	Ö	Ö	7	MINS.	_
2	8	2	2	DAYS	-	7	0	0	10	MINS.	+	13	6	0	15	MINS.	_
0	3	0	1/2	HR.	0	0	8	0	$1^{\frac{1}{2}}$	HR.		6	0	0	15	MINS.	+
0	4	0	7	YRS.	0	2	0	0	1	HR.		4	0	0	1/2		+
0	5	0	3	YRS.	_	7	4	0	2	MINS.	+	9	1	0	1	MIN.	+
17	2	1	41/2	WKS.	+	13	3	0	20	YRS.	+	32	3	0	2	DAYS	_
0	3	1	5	MINS.	0	2	0	0	15	MINS.	0	2	0	0	1/2	HR.	-
0	2	0	5	MINS.	+	1	0	0	15	MINS.	0	4	0	0	20	MINS.	+
1	11	3	2	YRS;	4	2	5	0	11/2	HR.	0	7	2	0	45	MINS.	0
0	4	1	1	DAY	+	10	3	0	2	HRS.	+	5	2	0	15	MINS.	0

APPENDIX TABLE 4

RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #4 - BLACK

STORY 1

	ио.		ïR.	MO.	VALUE				EXT	rension					EX	TENSION	1			•
						NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.		•	AFFECT	NO. PAŚT	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO FITT
55																				
<b>O</b> .	44	9B	14	5	13,300	0	5	0	1	HR.	-	4	0	0	10	MINS.	0	3	0	C
	45	9A	14	3	11,600	1	6	2	3	MINS.	+	10	0	0	5	MINS.	+	4	0	C
	46	9A	14	5		0	7	0	3	HRS.	_				-			5	0	(
	47	9B	14	7	11,100	0	2	1	30	MINS.	_				_			6	0	0
	48	8A	13	5	12,200	1	2	0	5	MINS.	_	1	0	0	15	MINS.	0	1	0	0
	49	8	14	3	14,300	0	4	0	10	MINS.	-	2	0	0	15	MINS.	0	2	0	0
	50	8B	13	7	12,200	8	4	2	1	DAY	+				-			25	0	0
	51	9A	15	0	13,300	0	4	0	20	MINS.	+				_			10	4	1
	52	9B	15	0	10,500	1	Ġ	0	15	MINS.	+	2	0	0	1	HR.	+	2	0	C
	53	9A	15	8	8,700	0	5	0	4	YRS.	+	1	0	ŋ	15	MINS.	_	1	0	0
	54	9A	14	5	12,100	0	7	0	10	HRS.	0	14	4	5	30	MINS.	+	13	0	0
	55	9A	14	3	10,900	2	7	1	15	SECS.	_	8	1	0	30	MINS.		5	0	0
•	56	9A	14	5	13,300	0	3	4	10	YRS.	+	5	1	0	30	MINS.		3	3	0
•	57	9B	14	7	11,600	0	2	2	1	MIN.	+	6	0	0	1	MIN.	+	5	5	0

TAT



SUBJ. GRD.

NO.

AGE

YR.MO.

HOME

 $6 \circ$ 



A FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #4 - BLACK

		TAT EXT	ENSION				SI	rory Ext	1 TENSION	ſ			SI	ORY EXT	2 ENSION	
NO. PAST NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT
0 5 1 6 0 7 0 2 1 2 0 4 8 4 0 4 1 <del>9</del> 0 5 0 7 2 7 0 3 0 2	0 2 0 1 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 2	1 3 3 30 5 10 1 20 15 4 10 15 10	HR. MINS. HRS. MINS. MINS. MINS. DAY MINS. YRS. HRS. SECS. YRS. MIN.	-+++	4 10  1 2  2 1 14 8 5	0 0  0 0  0 0 4 1 1	0 0  0 0 0  0 0 5 0	10 5 15 15 1 1 15 30 30 30 1	MINS. MINS. MINS. MINS. MINS. HR. MINS. MINS. MINS. MINS. MINS. MINS.	0 + 0 0 + - + 0 - +	3 4 5 6 1 2 25 10 2 1 13 5 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 3 40	MINS. HRS. MINS. MINS. MINS. DAY HRS. MINS. DAYS MINS. DAYS MINS. MIN.	-00+00+0-0+000

# RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #5 - BLACK

SUBJ. GRD	AGE YR.MO	HOME VALUE				TAT EXT	rension	1			SI	ORY EXT	1 ENSION	Ī			s
			NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.
58 12A 39 12B 60 12A 61 12A 62 12B 63 12B 64 11A 65 12A 66 12A 67 12A 68 12A 69 10B 70 12A 71 12A	19 0 17 4 18 0 17 4 17 7 16 8 17 0 17 6 17 5 17 9 16 6 18 0 17 8	12,300 16,400 11,100 14,300 13,000 12,500 13,300 11,300  11,800 10,000 14,300 14,800 11,400	0 11 1 0 1 0 8 0 1 0 0 1 4	8 7 7 5 8 5 4 4 9 6 5 <b>1</b> 9 7	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 2 0	1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> 15 2 1 5 1 30 15 5 15 15 14 8	HRS. YRS. HR. YRS. HR. MINS. YRS. MINS. YRS. MINS. HR. YRS.	+ + + 0 + - + + - 0 + + + + 0	4 19 10 13  9 15 2  3 	1 4 1 0  0 5 0  1	0 0 0 0  1 3 0	30 2 2 6 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> 8 1 8 	MINS. WKS. HRS. HRS. HRS. HRS. MRS.	+ 0 - + + - + +	5 24 15 16 9 6 9 1 10 14 2 0 15	1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 2 0 1 7	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

86

AW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #5 - BLACK

ME LUE				TAT EXT	ENS ION				SI	ORY EXT	1 ENSION				SI	ORY EXT	2 CENSION	
	PAST	PRES.	FUT.			ECT	PAST	PRES.	FUT.			CT	PAST	PRES.	FUT.		CT	
	NO.	NO.	NO.			AFFECT	NO.	NO.	NO.			AFFECT	NO.	NO.	NO.		AFFECT	
<b>3</b> 00	0	8	0	1⅓	HRS.	+ .	4	1	0	30	MINS.	+	5	1	0	10	MINS. +	
00	11	7	0	15	YRS.	+	19	4	0	2	WKS.	0	24	0	Ō	1	DAY 0	
100	1	7	0	2	HRS.	+	10	1	0	2	HRS.	_	15	1	0	30	DAYS +	
<b>3</b> 00	0	5	0	1	HR.	0	13	0	0	6½	HRS.	+	16	0	0	70	YRS. ÷	
00	1	8	1	5	YRS.	+							9	0	0	5	MINS. 0	
00	0	5	0	1	HR.	-	9	0	1	8	HRS.	+	6	0	1	15	MINS	
00	8	4	0	30	MINS.	+	15	5	3	1	HR.	-	9	0	0	8	DAYS +	
00	0	4	0	15	YRS.	+	2	0	0	8	HRS.	+	1	1	1	10	MINS. 0	
}-	1	9	1	5	MINS.	-							10	2	1	25	YRS. +	
00	0	6	0	15	YRS.	0							14	0	0	Ż	DAYS +	
00	ŋ	5	1	15	MINS.	+	3	1	0	25	MINS.	+	2	1	0	5	MINS. +	
100	1	1	0	1	HR.	+				<i>'</i>			0	2	0	10	MINS. +	
00	1	9	2	4	YRS.	+							15	1	0	10	YRS. +	
00	4	7	0	8	HRS.	0							10	7	Ō	4	HRS. 0	

RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #6 - BLACK

STORY 1

NO.	IN COL.	YR.MO.	VALUE				EXT	rension	i			51	EXT	TENSION	į			5
	ÇOD.			PAST	PRES.	FUT.			ECT	PAST	PRES.	FUT.			CT	PAST	PRES.	FUT.
•	•			NO.	NO.	NO.			AFFECT	NO.	NO.	NO.		•	AFFECT	NO.	ov.	NO.
72	1	<b>1</b> 7 4		4	5	0	20	MINS.	0	3	6	4	50	YRS.	+	5	10	^
73	2	18 9		21	0	1	20	YRS.	+	22	5	0	14	YRS.	0	19	10 3	0
74	1	19 8		3	8	8	16	YRS.	+	17	7	3	10	MINS.	-	41	3	2
75	1	20 1		1	4	1	2	MOS.	+	4	0	Õ	1	MO.	+	7	0	0
76	1	26 10		6	3	0	19	YRS.	+	1	7	Ŏ	3	YRS.	+	1	4	0
77	2	19 1		0	2	0	20	SECS.	+	3	0	Ö	1,2		0	3	0	0
78	1	18 8		3	12	2	1	HR.	_	3	1	Ö	30	MINS.	-	15	27	2
79	1	18 0		3	10	3	1	HR.	0	ĺ	1	1	4	WKS.	+	1	0	2
80	1	19 3		0	5	1	25	MINS.	_	4	ō	ō	9	MINS.		1	0	1
81	2	18 9		1	11	7	5	YRS.	0		12	0	4	MINS.		1	31	1
82 .	2	19 7		0	7	1	4	SECS.	+	11	ō	3	2	YRS.	+	14	0	0
83	1	21 11		0	7	1	14	YRS.	+	9	1	ő	26	HRS.	+	6	5	0

TAT



57

SUBJ. YR.

AGE

HOME

64 ...

60

# DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #6 - BLACK

			TAT EXI	ENSION	Ī			ST	ORY EXT	1 ENSION	i			SI	ORY EXT	2 ENSION	ſ
NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT .	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT
4	5	0	20	MINS.	0	3	6	4	50	YRS.	+	5	10	0	45	MINS.	+
21	0	1	20	YRS.	+	22	5	0	14	YRS.	0	19	3	0	5	WKS.	_
3	8	8	16	YRS.	+	17	7	3	1C	MINS.	-	41	3	2	10	MINS.	+
1 6	.4	1	2	MOS.	+	4	0	0	1	MO.	+	7	0	0	ļ	DAY	0
	3	0	19	YRS.	+	1	7	0	3	YRS.	+	1	4	0	11/2	MO.	0
0	2	0	20	SECS.	+	3	0	0	1/2	HR.	0	3	0	0	10	MINS.	0.
3	12 10	2	1	HR.	_	3	1	0	30	MINS.	+	15	27	2	4	HRS.	+
0	10 5	3 1	1	HR.	0	1	1	1	4	WKS.	+	1	0	2	6	MOS.	0
1	11	1 7	25 5	MINS.	_	4	0	0	9	MINS.	0	1	0	1	6	MINS.	+
0	7	1	4	YRS.	0	6	12	0	4	MINS.	+	1	31	1	28	HRS.	+
0	7	1	14	SECS. YRS.	+	11	0	3	2	YRS.	+	14	0	0	2	WKS.	+
U	,	т	14	1 1/2 •	+	9	1	0	26	HRS.	+	6	5	0	4	MOS.	0

## RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #4 - WHITE

STORY 1

4 0 0

½ DAY

EXTENSION

			,	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.
97	0.4	16 1	7 000	'_	_	•				_		_			ı			
84 85	9A	16 1	7,900	0	6	0	.1	HR.	+	3	0	0	7	MINS.	+	1		٠ <u>0</u>
85 86	9A	15 3	10,700	8	3	1	3	DAYS	+	6	0	0	2	DAYS	+	20	0	1
86	9A	16 2	8,100	0	4	1	3	YEARS		3	0	1	10	MINS.	0	3	0	0
87	-			0	6	0	45	MINS.	-				. –			9	3	0
88	8	15 10	9,300	0	4	1	3	MINS.	0	2	0	0	2	HRS.	0	1	0	0
89	9B	14 10	9,700	3	6	0	12	HR.	••				_			60		3
90	8	15 9	7,900	0	4	0	1	DAY	+	9	0	0	1,	HR.	+	12	0	ō
91	8A	14 6	9,700	1	13	1	2	HRS.	_	5	8	2		DAY	+	5	1	2
92	9 A	14 5	10,600	11	2	1	2	DAYS	+				_	 		9	1	0
93	9A	14 8	12,100	1	9	1	3	MOS.	_	3	4	0	-1,	DAY	+	2	ō	Ö
94	9B	14 10	15,500	0	6	1	10	MINS.	+	1	Ö	1	1	DAY	+	4	1	0
95	9A	15. 1	10,300	Ö	9	0	15	MINS.					<u>.</u> _		•	11	Ō	0
96	9A	14 5	11,400	ő	3	Ö	2	DAYS	_	7	0	0	15	MINS.	+	5		-
97	9A	15 1	10,600	0	4	Ö	2	HRS.	_	ó	7	1	3		7		0	0
2.0	· · ·		10,000	U	4	J	4	11179 •	_	U	/	Т	3	YRS.	-	0	6	0

11 YRS.

TAT

EXTENSION

58

60

15,100

7 1

15

SUBJ. GRD. AGE

YR.MO.

NO.

98

HOME

VALUE

6,

## FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #6 - WHITE

			TAT	ENSION	ı			ST	ORY	1 ENSION	,			ST	ORY		
NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.		DAIDION	AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.	EXI	eno tom	AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.	EXI	ENS ION	AFFECT
0	6	0	.1	HR.	÷	3	0	0	7	MINS.	+	1	0	· 0	5	MINS.	+
8	3	Ţ	3	DAYS	+	6	0	0	2	DAYS	+	20	0	1	2	DAYS	+
0	4	1	3	YEARS		3	0	1	10	MINS.	0	3	0	0	60	MINS.	0
0	6	0	45	MINS.								9	3	0	2	WKS.	_
0	4	1	3	MINS.	0	2	Q	0	2	HRS.	0	1	0	0	50	MINS.	0
3	6	0	1/2	HR.	_							60	4	3	1	WK.	+
0	4	0	1	DAY	+	9	0	0	12	HR.	4	12	0	0	1	DAY	0
1	13	1	2	HRS.	-	5	8	2	1/2	DAY	+	5	1	2	3	HRS.	0
1	2	1	2	DAYS	+							9	1	0	1/2	HR.	+
1	9	1	3	MOS.	-	3	4	Û	<u>1</u> 5	DAY	+	2	0	0	1	KR.	0
0	6	1	10	MINS.	+	1	0	1	1	DAY	+	4	1	0	4	MINS.	+
0	9	0	15	MINS.	-							11	0	0	20	MINS.	+
0	3	ΰ	2	DAYS	-	7	0	0	15	MINS.	+	5	0	0	1	DAY	+
0	4	0	2	HRS.	-	0	7	1	3	YRS.	-	0	6	0	5	MO.	+
n	7	1	11	YRS.	+	4	Λ	0	1	DAY	+	7	Ω	Λ	20	MTNS	÷



RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #5 - WHITE

SUBJ.	. GRD.	AC YR.	GE Mů.	HOME VALUE				TAT EXT	ENS 10	1			ST	ORY EXI	1 ENSTON	i			s
					NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NC. PRES.	NO. FUT.
99 100 101 102 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111	12A 12A 12A 12A 12A 12A 12A 12A 12A 12A	18 19 18 17	7 7 2 9 8 9 10 0 1 7 1	9,200 13,200 10,300 21,400 9,300 11,200 10,300 9,000 14,800 11,600 11,100 15,500	11 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 5 1 0	0 3 5 4 3 3 2 1 1 3 7 5 6 5	0 1 1 1 2 1 0 4 0 0 0 0 1 1	3 15 4 1 1 20 1 1 1 1	HR. HR. YRS. MIN. YR.	-00-00++0++++	1  -4 1 4 4 4 7	 0  1 0 1 0 0	0  0 0 0 0 0 0	3 15 10 15	MINS. MINS. MINS. MINS. HR. YRS.	0	15 2 0 14 2 5 1 5 2 6 4 36 2	2 0 9 0 0 0 1 0 3 2 7	1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	12A	18	9	8,700	0	5	0	14	YRS.	+				_			5	1	0

ERIC Full fext Provided by ERIC

 ${}^{()}$ 

FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #5 - WHITE

TAŢ . EXTENSION	STORY 1 EXTENSION	STORY 2 EXTENSION
NO. FUT. AFFECT	NO. PAST NO. PRES. NO. FUT.	NO. PRES. NO. FUT. AFFECT
1 0 0 3 YRS 0 3 1 15 MINS. 0 0 5 1 4 YRS. 0 0 4 1 1 MO 0 3 2 ½ HR. 0 0 3 1 ½ HR. 0 0 2 2 0 1 HR. + 0 1 4 20 YRS. + 0 1 0 1 MIN. 0 6 3 0 1 YR. + 1 7 0 ½ HR. + 0 5 0 1 HR. +	1 0 0 ½ HR. 0	15 2 1 6½ WKS 2 0 0 5 MINS. 0 0 9 2 3 MOS. + 14 0 0 2 DAYS + 2 0 0 20 MINS. 0 5 0 0 2 DAYS 0 1 1 0 10 MINS. 0 5 0 0 10 MINS. 0 2 1 0 2 MINS. 0 6 0 0 2½ HRS 6 3 0 3 DAYS - 4 2 1 6 YRS. + 36 7 0 3 MOS 2 0 0 5 MINS 5 1 0 1 DAY +
0		6.,

RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #7 - WHITE

SUBJ.	GRD.	AC YR.	GE .MO.	HOME VALUE				TAT EXT	ENS 10 N	i			ST	ORY EXT	1 ENSION				ST
					NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NÓ. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.
114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126	5 5 6 6 5 5 6 6 5 6 4	11 11 11 10 11 11 11 11 12 11 11	4 7 7 10 11 4 5 6 9 2 0 3 7 0	16,500 16,200 20,600 20,600 20,800 16,400 16,600 17,300 16,000 20,600 17,400 16,000 20,200	1 7 0 10 6 0 9 12 6 1 2 0	17 0 5 0 0 3 8 1 5 9 3 7 5 0	4 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 1 0 0 0	6 5 1 99 1 15 20 1 2 <sup>1</sup> ⁄ <sub>4</sub> 15 1	MINS. HR. HRS. HR.	0 - +	18 10 4 7 11 1 21 6 4 22 1 16 4 3	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 10 0 0 10 0 0	1 0 5 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0	<sup>1</sup> ⁄ <sub>2</sub> 3	MINS. HRS. HR. WK. DAYS HR. MINS. MINS. HR. HR. HR. HR. HR. HR.	+ 0 + + 0 +	28 16 7 9 11 1 5 7 1 37 2 16 1 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 5 3 0 0 2 1	1 0 1 1 0 0 0 13 0 0 3 0 2 0
128	4	11	6		Ò	4	0	′2	HR.	-	1	0	0	1	HR.	0	2	0	0

11

ERIC

60

## TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #7 - WHITE

	TAT EXT	ENSION				ST	ORY EXT	1 ENSION				ST	ORY 2	2 ENSION	
NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT
4	6	YRS.	+	18	1	1	110	MINS.	+	28	0	1	1½	HR.	0
1	5	MINS.	+	10	1	0	4	HRS.	+	16	0	0	8	HRS.	+
0	1	HR.	0	4	0	5	1	HR.	ò	7	Ō	1	2	HRS.	+
0	99	YRS.	_	7	Ō	Ō	1	WK.	+	9	0	1	3	HRS.	_
0	1	DAY	+	11	0	0	3	DAYS	+	11	0	0	2	WKS.	-
0	15	MINS.	0	1	0	0	1/2	HR.	0	1	1	0	5	MINS.	0
1	20	YRS.	+	21	0	1	25	MINS.	+	5	0	13	$1^{1}$	DAY	+
0	1	HR.	+	6.	1	1	10	MINS.	0	7	0	0	6	HRS.	+
2	1	$D\Lambda Y$	-	. 4	1	0	1/2	HR.	0	1	5	0	31/2	HRS.	+
1	2½	YRS.	+	22	10	0	1/2	HR.	+	37	3	3	4	YRS.	+
0	15	MINS.	-	1	0	0	1/2	HR.	0	2	0	0	15	MINS.	0
0	1	HR.	-	16	1	1	3	DAYS	+	16	0	2	$1^{\frac{1}{2}}$	WKS.	+
0	3	HRS.	-	4	0	0	$1^{!}_{2}$		0	1	2	0	25	MINS.	0
0	1	HR.	-	3	0	0	1	HR.	+	4	1	0	$1^{\iota_{\mathcal{I}}}$	HR.	+
0	· ½	HR.	-	1	0	0	1	HR.	0	2	0	0	10	MINS.	+

## RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #8 - WHITE

SUBJ NO		AGE YR.MO	HOME VALUE				AT EXTENSION				STO	RY 1 EXTE	NSION				STO
				PAST	PRES.	FUT.	•	scī	PAST	PRES.	FUT.			CT	PAST	PRES.	FUT.
				NO.	NO.	NO.		AFFECT	NO.	NO.	NO.			AFFECT	NO.	NO.	NO.
129	8	13 6	16,400	ď	6	1	3 MINS.		<b>,</b>	~T	 0	 3	MTNC		2	0	0
130	8	13 4	16,800	4	0	1	43 YRS.		10	0	0	70	MINS.	-	2	0	0
131	8A	14 0	17,300				I DAY-	0	2	0	0	1	YRS.	+	9	0	0
132	8A	13 10	16,400	5	-3- 0	0	7 YRS.	+	6.	3			DAY HR.	0	1 7	0	0
133	8A	13 9	15,700	18	1	0	7 1 KS. 3½ YRS.	0	14	0	0 1	1 2	WKS.	0			0
134	8A	13 11	19,500	8	0	0	2 YRS.	+	8	0	0	2 1½		-	6 5	2 0	0
135	8A	13 8	16,100	3	2	0	6 MOS.	0	4	0	0	1 2	DAY	- +		0	0
136	8A	13 9	16,500	1	3	1	64 YRS.	<u> </u>	7	1	1	2	DAYS	0	4 12	-	0
137	8	13 5	14,700	0	5	0	2 WKS.	_	2	0	0	10	MINS.	-	12	1	0
138	8	13 8	15,800	8	0	0	3 MOS.	_	10	4	0	10		0	31	16	2
139	8	13 7	17,400	8	ĺ	1	9 YRS.	_	6	0	4	1	WK.	+	37	0	8
140	8A	13 9	15,400	4	0	0	1 YRS.	+	13	0	0	5	MOS.	0	10	0	0
141	8A	13 9	15,600	15	0	0	1 1ks. 1½ MOS.	+	19	2	0	5 55	YPS.	<del>1</del> .	27	2	
142	84	13 9	18,400	1	3	1	12 HO3.  12 HR.	_	6	0	0	) 1	DAY	+·	11	0	1 0
143	8A	14 3	20,400		24	0	3 YRS.	+	16	4	1	3	DAY	0	31	1	3
_			,	~ \		•		•	<b>4</b> .7	-1	-	,	L-1 1 L	J	7.1.		٠,

ï



#### ATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #8 - WHITE

			AT EXTE	NSION				ST0		NSION				STO	ORY 2 EXTE	NSION	
NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT
	,		2	\ <i>4</i> 7.10		4	^	0	,	V7.10		0	•	0	•	\/ <b>T</b> \\70	^
0	6	1	3	MINS.	-	1	0	0	3	MINS.	-	2	0	0	5	MINS.	0
4	0	1	43	YRS.	+	10	0	0	70	YRS.	+	9	0	0	5	YRS.	+
0	3	0	1	DAY	0	2	0	0	1	DAY	_	1	0	0	30	MINS.	+
5	0	0	7	YRS.	+	6	3	0	1	HR.	0	7	0	0	1	WK.	-
18	1	0	3½	YRS.	0	14	0	1	2	WKS.	0	6	2	0	2	DAYS	+
8	0	0	2	YRS.	+	8	0	0	$1\frac{1}{2}$	DAY	-	5	0	0	1	MO.	+
3 1	2	0	6	MOS.	0	4	0	0	1	DAY	+	4	0	0	5	MINS.	-
	3	1	64	YRS.	-	7	1	1	2	DAYS	0	12	1	0	4	DAYS	-
0	5	0	2	WKS.	-	2	0	0	10	MINS.	-				-		
8	0	0	3	MOS.	-	10	4	0	12	DAY	0	31	16	2	1½	WK.	+
8	1	1	9	YRS.	_	6	0	4	1	WK.	+	37	0	8	3	DAYS	0
4	0	0	1	YRS.	+	13	0	0	5	MOS.	0	10	0	0	2	MOS.	+
15	0	0~	\ 112		+	19	2	0	55	YPS.	+	27	2	1	$1^{\frac{1}{2}}$	DAY	_
1	3	(1	) 1,	HR.	_	6	0	0	1	DAY	+	11	0	0	2	HRS.	0
1	24	0 1	3	YRS.	+	16	4	1	3	DAY	0	31	1	3	3	DAYS	-

# RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #9 - WHITE

SUBJ.	GRD.		GE .MO.	HOME VALUE				TAT EXT	ENSION	Ī			SI	ORY EXT	1, TENSION	N			S1
					NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.
					~	<b>Z</b> 1	74		,	₹ï	z	z	z		`	`A	z	z	Z
144	10A	15	7	16,600	0	7	2	12	YRS.	0	6	1	2	2	WKS.	+	12	2	1
145	10A	15	8	16,300	2	4	4	3	YRS.	_	0	1	0	45	MINS.		6	0	0
146	10A	15	11	15,600	0	9	0	2	WKS.	+	2	16	Ō	2'	YRS.	_	7	3	0
147	10A	15	6	15,800	6	0	1	2	YRS.	+	11	0	Ō	2	WKS.	_	3	0	0
148	10B	15	б	16,800	5	24	0	15	YRS.	+	23	0	2	12	HRS.	0	31	5	0
149	10A	15	7	16,500	1	6	2	2	MOS.	+	12	0	0	2	DAYS	_	9	0	0
150	10A	15	6	15,200	1	4	2	12	YRS.	+	6	1	0	1,		_	6	0	0
151	10A	15	9	19,900	2	8	6	$1^{!}_{2}$	MIN.	-	18	7	4	2	WKS.	_	33	1	0
152	10B	15	2	16,000	100	46	7	28	YRS.	+	8	4	1	2	MOS.	÷	0	17	0
153	10B	15	1	15,800	6	16	0	14	YRS.	+	11	1	0	3	DAYS	+	9	0	2
154	10A	15	4	16,300	17	0	0	3	WKS.	+	1	0	0	10	MINS.	+	2	0	0
155	10A		10	14,000	0	8	1	25	YRS.	+	2	0	0	1,	HR.	_	1	0	0
156	10B	15	4	16,600	0	4	0	10	MINS.	+	2	5	3	11/2		+	3	0	0
157	10A	15	8	19,600	1	1	1	3	MINS.	0	0	3	0	2	MINS.	0	4	10	0

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #9 - WHITE

			TAT EXT	ENSION	ı			SI	ORY EXT	1 ENSION	N			S	TORY EXT	2 ENSION	٧
NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.	-		AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.		•	AFFECT
0	7	2	12	YRS.	0	6	1	2	2	TWC		10	2	1	1	D 437	
2	4	4	3	YRS.	-	0	1 1	2 0	45	WKS. MINS.	+ 0	12 6	2 0	1 0	1 1	DAY WK.	+
ō	9	0	2	WKS.	+	2	16	0	2	YRS.		7	3	0	2	HRS.	<b>-</b>
6	ó	1	2	YRS.	+	11	0	0	2	WKS.	_	3	0	0	5	MINS.	+
5	24	0	15	YRS.	+	23	0	2	12	HRS.	0	31	5	0	15	MINS.	
	6	2	2	MOS.	+	12	0	0	2	DAYS	_	9	0	0	2	HRS.	+
1	4	2	12	YRS.	+	6	1	0	1,	HR.	_	6	0	Ō	1	WK.	_
2	8	6	1 <sup>1</sup> 2	MIN.	_	18	7	4	2	WKS.	_	33	1	0	12		_
0	46	7	28	YRS.	+	8	4	1	2	MOS.	+	0	17	0	3	YRS.	0
6	16	0	14	YRS.	+	11	1	0	3	DAYS	+	9	0	2	2	DAYS	_
7	0	0	3	WKS.	+	1	0	0	10	MINS.	+	2	0	0	2	MINS.	+
0	8	1	25	YRS.	+	2	0	0	1/2	HR.	-	1	0	О	15	MINS.	0
0	´ 4	0	10	MINS.	+	2	5	3	11/2	HR.	+	3	0	0	2	DAYS	-
1	1	1	3	MINS.	0	0	3	0	2	MINS.	0	4	10	0	2	DAYS	+



# RAW DATA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #9 - WHITE

SUBJ. GRD.	AGE YR.MO.	HOME VALUE		TAT EXTENSION	\$	STORY 1 EXTENSION	នា
			NO. PAST NO. PRES.		NO. PAST NO. PRES.	ti i	NO. PAST NO. PRES. NO. FUT.
158 11A 159 12A 160 12B 161 12A 162 11A 163 12A 164 12B 165 12A 166 12A 167 12A 168 12A 169 12A 170 12A 171 11A	17 4 17 6 16 11 17 4 17 10 17 6 17 2 17 5 17 8 18 4 17 7 17 11 17 7	16,400 16,900 12,300 22,700 17,600 16,400 18,000 18,300 16,600 15,400 15,500 15,400	0 4 0 7 5 0 0 4 0 9 1 1 1 1 0 0 11 0 0 8 1 1 4 4 0 10 2 0 -3 1 12 3 1 5 1 3 1 12 1 0 3 0	2 WKS. 10 MINS. 10 YRS. 45 MINS. 45 MINS. 2 MINS. 18 YRS. 1½ HR. 22 YRS.	3 0 0 0 13 1 3 1 0 0 0 12 1 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 1 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 1 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 4 2 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1	1 WK. + 1 WK. 0 2 WKS. + 1 DAY - 1 DAY - 20 MINS. 0 5 MOS. + 45 MINS. + 1 HR. + 50 MINS. + 1 DAY 0 1 DAY 0	1 C 0 9 2 0 3 3 0 11 3 1 5 0 0 19 1 0 2 0 0 9 0 1 12 1 1 11 2 1 27 0 0 13 0 0 3 4 0 15 1 1

ERIC

76

: 1

### A FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #9 - WHITE

			TAT EXT	ENSION	i			SI	ORY EXT	1 ENS ION	Ī			SI	ORY EXT	2 ENSION	I
NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT
0 7 0 9	4 5 4 1	0 0 0 1	1 <sub>2</sub> 2 10 10	HR. WKS. MINS. YRS.	0 - + 0	2 13 3 13	1 0 0 1	0 1 0 3	5 1 1 2	MINS. WK. WK.	0 + 0 +	1 9 3 11	0 2 3 3	0 0 0 1	1 10 1 <sub>2</sub> 3	MINS. MINS. DAY MINS.	0 - 0 -
1	1 11	0	45 45	MINS.	0 0	2	0	0	5	MINS.	+	5 19	0	0	10	MINS.	- +
0	8	1	2	MINS.	-	12 1	1 0	0	1 20	DAY MINS.	0	19	1 0	0	1 10	DAY MINS.	0
1	4	4	18	YRS.	+	1	6	2	5	MOS.	+	_ 9	0	1	46	YRS.	+
0	10	2	11/2	HR.	+	8	1	0	45	MINS.	+	12	1	1	2	HRS.	0
0	3	1	22	YRS.	+	1	0	0	1/2	HR.	+	11	2	1	2	HRS.	+
12	3	1	22	YRS.	+	11	4	2	50	MINS.	+	27	0	´0	1	DAY	+
5	1 12	3	2 3	DAYS DAYS	++	11 2	0	1 0	1 1	DAY	0	13 3	0 4	0	1 2	DAY WKS.	0
0	3	0	15	YRS.	+	9	0	0	1,2	DAY DAY	+	15	1	1	20	MINS.	0



RAW DATA FOR TAT, STCRY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #6 - WHITE

STORY 1

20 MINS. 0 3 MINS. +

3 1 0 31.1 0

NO.	IN COL	YR.MO.	VALUE				EXT	ENSION	Ī				EXT	CENSION	1			
	COL			NO. PAST	NO. PRES	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.
172	1	18 4		4	5	0	1,	HR.	0	5	0	0	12	YRS.	_	, 7	1	0
173	ī	18 11		4	2	1	1	YR.	_	7	1	2	5	MINS.	0	2	0	0
174	1	20 6		i	6	0	5	MINS.	0	4	ō	2	5	HRS.	Ő	4	ő	1
175	2	18 11		6	2	2	1	WK.	0.	_17	0	1	15	MINS.	_	19	2	2
176	1	18 2		14	2	4	21/2		_	13	1	2	48	HRS.	Ō	15	3	0
177	3	20 4		1	6	1	1	HR.	_	9	2	0	15	MINS.	+	11	0	0
178	1	18 4		2	8	1	9	YRS.	0	2	11	2	3	DAYS	+	5	0	3
179	1	<b>1</b> 8 7		1	12	2	20	MINS.	0	21	0	2	1	DAY ·	+	18	0	1
180	1	18 <b>9</b>		1	7	2	1	HR.	-	5	1	2	3	HRS.	_	5	4	1
181	1	18 10		1	13	0	2	HRS.	0	2	0	0	15	MINS.	+	4	0	0
182	4	23 0		2	8	0	15	MINS.	-	1	0	1	10	MINS.		3	0	0
183	2	18.0		1	14	1	6	HRS.	n	9	2	n	15	YRS.	_	16	3	2

10 10

2

MINS. + MINS. +

TAT



SUBJ. YR.

184

185

AGE

21 \-

#### APPENDIX TABLE 13 ·

TA FOR TAT, STORY 1, AND STORY 2 FOR SCHOOL #6 - WHITE

			TAT					SI	ORY					SI	ORY		
			EXT	ENSION	I				EXT	TENS ION					EXT	ENS I ON	
NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST		NO. FUT.			AFFECT	NO. PAST	NO. PRES.	NO. FUT.		•	AFFECT
4	5	0	12	HR.	0	5	0	-0	12	YRS.	_	7	1	0	1½	HR.	+
4	2	1	1	YR.	-	7	1	2	5	MINS.	0	2	0	0	10	MINS.	-
1	6	0	5	MINS.	0	4	0	2	5	HRS.	0	4	0	1	5	MINS.	+
6	2	2	1	WK.	0	17	0	1	15	MINS.	0	19	2	2	10	MINS.	+
14	2	4	21/2	HRS.		13	1	2	48	HRS.	0	15	3	0	14	SECS.	-
1	6	1	1	HR.	-	9	2	0	15	MINS.	+	11	0	0	5	MINS.	-
2	8	1	9	YRS.	0	2	11	2	3	DAYS	+	5	0	3	1	DAT	+
1	12	2	20	MINS.	0	21	0	2	1	DAY	+	18	Ú	1	30	MINS.	0
1	7	2	1	HR.	-	5	1	2	3	HRS.	-	5	4	1	1	МО.	-
1	13	0	2	HRS.	0	2	0	0	15	MINS.	+	4	0	0	20	MINS.	+
2	8	0	15	MINS.	-	1	0	1	10	MINS.	-	` 3	0	0	5	MINS.	-
1	14	1	6	HRS.	0	9	2	0	15	YRS.	-	16	3	2	5	MINS.	0
0	3	2	10	MI.S.	+	3	1	0	20	MINS.	0	9	0	0	5	MINS.	0
0	6	0	10	MINS.	+	3	1	0	3	MINS.	+	1	1	0	10	MINS.	+



### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #1 - BLACK

SUBJ. NO.	FAR PAST	NEAR PAST	EVENTS PRESENT	NEAR FUTURE	FAR
	NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERED PRES: FROM	NO. AFFĖCT
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	6 + 4 5 2 0 1 0 4 - 3 5 3 - 3 3 1 + 1 1 5 - 9 11 5 + 5 5 1 - 1 3 1 - 1 10 1 - 1 2 1 - 1 2 1 - 1 5 2 0 1 4 4 - 2 c 1 - 1 4	7 + 1 0 3 + 2 3 2 + 2 1 3 + 3 3 1 + 1 0 2 + 1 2 3 + 7 6 1 + 1 2 1 0 1 9 1 - 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 - 1 1 1 - 1 0 3 + 2 2 J + 1 1	7 + 1 0 4 + 2 0 1 + 1 0 1 + 1 0 1 + 1 0 4 - 2 0 2 + 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 + 1 0	$   \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7 + 5 + 3 + 1 + 3 + 1 + 0 / 1 + 1 - 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 +



`` L

APPENDIX TABLE 14

#### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #1 - BLACK

r	N	EAF	R PAS					ents es en 1		NE	AR	FUTU			F	' <b>A</b> R	FUTU	
DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PLES.	,	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	PRES: FROM		NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.
5 0 5 3 1 11 5 3 10 2 2	7 3 2 3 1 2 3 1 1 1	+ + + + + + + 0 - 0 -	1 2 2 3 1 1 7 1 1 1	0 3 1 3 0 2 6 2 9 1 1		7 4 1 1 1 4 2 1 1 1 1 2	+ + + + + - + 0 + + + -	1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 6 4 1 1 3 2 1 1 1 1 3	+ + + + + + + 0 0 + 0 +	12 9 8 1 1/ 4 1 1 1 1 2	10 19 19 19 19 11 5 0 14 1 3	<i>;</i>	7 5 3 1 1 3 1 0 1 1 1 1 1	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	11 3 2 1 1 1 1 0 1 1	17 19 6 1 11 1 0 1 49 1
4 8 4	1 3 1	- + +	1 2 1	0 2 1		1 3 1	- + +	1 1 1	0 0 0	1 3 1	++++	1 2 1	1 1 2	•	1 4 1	++++	1 8 1	2 12 4



SUBJ.	FAR PAST	NEAR PAST	EVENTS PRESENT	NEAR FUTURE	FAR
	NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT PEKIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT
.16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	3 0 2 1 1 + 1 1 5 - 6 8 5 - 6 7 9 + 9 9 2 + 1 3 2 - 1 1 7 + 5 7 2 0 1 1 2 + 1 7 1 - 1 11 1 - 1 2 2 - 3 8 4 - 3 5	2 + 1 0 1 ·+ 1 0 6 + 5 4 4 + 5 5 9 + 1 1 2 + 1 1 1 - 1 2 5 0 1 1 1 + 1 0 3 + 2 5 3 - 2 2 1 - 1 1 2 - 1 0 2 + 2 3	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 + 1 7 10 1 + 1 1 0 4 + 81 81 2 + 7 7 7 + 1 0 3 + 1 10 3 + 1 10 3 + 12 16 5 + 2 10 4 + 2 1 0 2 + 1 0 3 0 1 0	4 + + 2 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +



### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR ECHOOL #2 - BLACK

NEAR PA	ST ·			NTS SENT		j	NE	AR	FUTU	RE		F	AR	FUTU	RE
NO. ^ AFFECT PERIOD	DIST. FROM PRES.	, o.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	9	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.		NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.
2 , 1	0	1	+	1	0		4	+	7	10		4	+	11	16
2 + 1	0	1 1	+	1	)		1	+	1	0	•	2	+	6	7
1 + 1 6 + 5	0 4	4	0	1	0		1. (;	+	81	81		6	+	7	19
4 + 5		3	+	1	0		2	+	7	7		6	+	2	10
9 + 1	. 1	10	7	2	9		7	+	1	0		6	- <del> </del> -	38	38
2 + 1		6	0	1	0		3	+	1	1		4	+	1	2
1 - 1	2	1	+	1	0		3	+	2	2		1	+	1	4
5 0 1		5	+	1	0		3	+	1	10		4	+	1	14
$\frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1}$		٠.	+	1	0		3	+	12	16		3	+	1	42
3 + 2		4		1	0		5	+	2	10		1	+	60	60
3 - 2		2	4.	ī	0		4	+	2	1		4	+	17	18
1 - 1		2	+	1	0		0					3	+	8	13
2 - 1		0•					2	+	1	0		0			
2 + 2		1	+	í.	0		3	0	1	0		5	+	55	59



# RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #3 - BLACK

SUBJ.										EVI	ENTS							
XO.		FAI	R PAS	ST	N	IEAI	R PAS	ST			ESENT	Γ	NEA	AR I	FUTUI	RE	F	AR I
	ON.	AFFECT	PEP IOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST, FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT
30	5	+	2	2	6	+	2	1	4	+	1	0	2	+	1	1	3	+
31	3	÷	2	2	1	+	1	0	1	+	1	Ö	1	_	1	1	0	
32	1	+	3	2	2	÷	2	1	4	+	1	0	1	+	1	11	1	+ ]
33	2	-	4	6	1	_	1	0	1	0	1	Ő	1	+	1	1	2	+
34	5	0	4	11	8	0	3	3	5	+	2	Ö	4	+	5	5	2	+
35	2	-	1	7	1	_	1	0	1	_	1	Ü	2	+	7	11	Õ	•
36	1	-	1	4	4	0	3	4	3	+	1	0	3	+	1	0	4	+
37	1	-	1	7	1	+	1	1	1	+	1	0	1	0	1	ő	4	Ö
38	19	0	1	5	20	0	1	2.	10	_	1	0	12	+	9	8	11	ŏ
39	6	-	9	8	5	0	2	,]	4	0	1	0	7	+	2	1	5	+
40	1	-	1	5	2	0	1	1	2	_	1	0	1	0	88	87	2	Ó
41	3	+	7	6	2	+	1	0	3	+	1	0	1	+	i	0	3	+
42	7	0	7	6	9	-	1.	0	1	÷	ì	0	3	+	1	Ö	4	+
43	3	-	2.	3	2	+	1	0	1	+	1	0	3	+	2	1	2	+

### AW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #3 - BLACK

N	FΔR	R PAS	·r·			ENTS ESEN 1	•		<b>NT 17</b> A	. D. T		. r	•	40	~··~··	_
.,	LAIN	LIA			LVI	SENI			NEF	ı, n	TUTUE		r	AK .	FUTUF	E
NO.	AFFECT	PER LOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	.0N	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.		NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	IST. FROM
~	7	щ O	<u>ш</u>	/_	***	ш, О			Z	<	g, O	DН	Z	V	೩0	Ωd
6 1 2 1 8 1 4	+ + + - 0 - 0	2 1 2 1 3 1 3	1 0 1 0 3 0 4	4 1 4 1 5 1 3	+ + + 0 + - +	1 1 1 2 1	0 0 0 0 0	•	2 1 1 4 2 3	+ - + + + + +	1 1 1 5 7	1 11 1 5 11	3 0 1 2 2 0 4	+ - + +	1 1 4 21 	3 2 57 7 32 
1	+	1	1	1	+	ī	Ö		í	0	1	Ö	۲,	0	48	52
20	0	1	2	10	_	1	0		12	+	9	8	11	0	1	41
5	Ö	2	1	4	0	1	0		7	+	2	1	5	+	24	. 28
2	0	1	1	2	_	1	0		1	0	<u>2</u> 88	87	2	0	24	. 20 5
2	+	1	0	3	+	1	0		1	+	1	07 1)	3	+	3	<i>3</i> 7
9	_	1	Ö	1	+	1	0		3	+	1	0	3 4	+	3	4
2	+	1	0	1	+	1	υ υ		3	∓ ÷	2	1	2			
<b>1</b>	•	т.	Ų	<u>ئ</u> ـ	-F	Τ.	U		3	7	4	ŗ	2	+	1	6



# RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #4 - BLACK

SUBJ.										EV	ENTS			1				
NO.		FAF	R PAS	T	2	VEA	R PAS	T			ESEN?	r	N:	EAR	FUT	JRE		FAR
	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	. ON	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	, NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT
44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	4 1 2 1 1 3 4 3 7 4 3	+ - + 0 0 0 +	2 1 1 1 1;5 7 1 16 4 4	6 <sup>1</sup> ⁄ <sub>2</sub> 6 1 15 6 11	2 3 3 2 1 1 5 3 1 5 2 3	0 + + 0 + + + C O +	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 2	0 3 0 1 1 0 3 2 0 3 1 2	. 22 23 31 11 12 22 33 64 11	++000	1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 4 3 2 1 1 3 5 2 8 3 2	+++++++++++	2 7 2 2 1 1 10 2 3 11 2	1 6 2 3 0 1 15 3 3 11 1.	4 4 4 3 1 1 4 2 2 3 4 2	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
56 57	<b>2</b> 5	0	3	8 10	1 4	+ +	1 3	6 <b>2</b>	1	+	1	. 0	2	+	5	5 8	3	++

χ 2

ERIC Foulteent Provided by ERIC

#### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #4 - BLACK

N	IEAR	R PAS	T			ENTS ES ENT		NE	EAR	FUTU	JRE		I	FAR	FUTU	JRE
NO	AFFECT	PER IOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	Ç	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.
2 3 2 1 5 3 1 5 2	0 + + 0 + - + + + 0 0 +	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 2	0 3 0 1 1 0 3 2 0 3 1	2 2 3 1 1 1 2 2 3 6 1	+ - 0 0 + + 0 +	1 1 2 1 1 1 1 4 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 4 3 2 1 1 3 5 2 8 3 3	++/++++++++	2 7 2 2 1 10 2 3 11 2	1 6 2 3 0 1 15 3 11 1		444311422342	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	6 3 11 5 1 1 5 4 4 30 18	8 13 11 12 2 21 10 3 55 22
3 1 4	++++	1 1 3	2 6 2	1 1 4	+ + +	1 1 1	0 0 0	2 2 3	++++	1 5 5	0 5 8		2 3 3	+++	13 7 6	21 17 41

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

#### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #5 - BLACK

SUBJ. NO.		FAF	R PAS	ST		N	EAF	R PAS	5T			ENTS ESENT	Γ	N	IEAR	FUT	URE		FAR
	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.		NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	·	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT
58	6	_	6	10	,	6	_	3	4	2	````	1	^	,		2	•	^	
59	2	+	9	12		1	_	1	0	2 1	0	1	0	4		3	2	9	
60	2	_	3	16		2	+	2	1	2	+	1	0	2		_	0 27	1 2	+
61	2	0	1	10		1	_	1	1	1	+	1	0	1	, T	6	5	1	+
62	6	_	11	13		3	+	1	ō	0	·			7	+	Ô	0	4	-
63	2	0	5	12		5	+	2	2	2	+	1	0	í	+	1	1	3	+
64	3	-	4	10		1	_	3	3	5	+	1	Ō	2	_	$\overline{1}$	ō	3	+
65	4	+	5	9		2	0	2	3	1	+	1	0	3	0	1	0	1	+
66																			
67	13	+	15	17		9	+	2	1	7	+	1	0	11	+	38	33	1	0
68	4	+	2	5		5	+	1	0	5	+	3	0	5	+	2	1	5	+
69	5	-	5	5		1	-	1	0	. 4	0	1	0	2	+	9	9	3	
70	3	-	5	10		3		3	2	1	+	2	0	1	+	1	0	2	+
71	3	+	3	5		4	-	2	2	18	0	2	0	3	0	2	1	1	+

APPENDIX TABLE 18

#### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #5 - BLACK

NEAR PAST	EVENTS PRESENT	NEAR FUTURE	FAR FUTURE
NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.
6 - 3 4 1 - 1 0 2 + 2 1 1 - 1 1 3 + 1 0 5 + 2 2 1 - 3 3 2 0 2 3 9 + 2 1 5 + 1 0 1 - 1 0 3 - 3 2 4 - 2 2	2 0 1 0 1 + 1 0 2 + 1 0 1 + 1 0 0 2 + 1 0 5 + 1 0 1 + 1 0  7 + 1 ^ 5 + 3 4 0 1 0 1 + 2 0 18 0 2 0	4 + 3 2 2 + 1 0 2 + 18 27 1 + 6 5 7 + 0 0 1 + 1 1 2 - 1 0 3 0 1 0  11 + 38 33 5 + 2 1 2 + 9 9 1 + 1 0 3 0 2 1	9 + 14 13 1 + 1 46 2 + 5 52 1 + 1 12 4 + 14 14 3 + 8 8 3 + 4 4 1 + 1 13  1 0 1 43 5 + 4 9 3 - 5 11 2 + 4 12 1 + 2 5



### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #6 - BLACK

SUBJ.		EAT	R PAS	יתי	,	7 <b>7</b> 7 7					ENTS	_							
140.		r Mr	CFAS		ľ	NEA!	R PAS	T		PR.	ES EN T	ľ	NE	EAR	FUTU	IRE		FAR	
	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	
72 73 74 75 76 77, 78 79 80 81 82 83	5 6 6 3 2 1 10 3 8 3	+ + - + - 0 - + - + - +	13 10 4/ 3 19 5 1 10 16 3 19	17 18 15 10 20 14 13 18 19 5 19	7 3 5 7 5 9 3 21 3 4 10	+++-++++++	4 1 3 4 1. 5 2 5 2 8 1	3 1 3 4 2 4 1 5 1 3 11 1	2 4 2 3 3 2 2 5 2 1 8 1	0 + - + + + +	2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 5 8 3 4 4 1 3 0 6 4 4	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	0 7 11 1 2 1 1 8  0 5	17 7 11 0 1 0 0 8  0 6 6	3 4 3 4 3 1 5 0 0 3 1	++0+++++	
						¥										\			

3

70

 $g_{\mathbf{1}}$ 

Je

APPENDIX TABLE 19

# RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #6 - BLACK

NEAR PAS	т		ENTS ES EN T	r	NI	EAR	FUTU	IRE	1	FAR	FUTU	RE
NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERED	DIŠT. FROM PRES. NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.
7 + 4 3 + 1 5 + 3 7 - 4 5 + 1 9 + 5 3 - 2 21 + 5 3 + 2 4 - 2 10 + 8 1 + 1	3 2 1 4 3 2 4 3 2 3 4 2 1 2 5 5 1 2 3 1 11 8 1 1	+ + - +	2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 5 8 3 4 4 1 3 0 6 4 4	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	0 7 11 1 2 1 1 8  0 5 4	17 7 11 0 1 0 0 8  0 6	3 4 3 4 3 1 5 0 0 3 1	+ + 0 + + + + + 0	71 35 30 40 2 4 1 37  50	78 45 81 40 5 6 6 47  50 79

### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #4 - WHITE

SUBJ.	•	FAF	R PAS		ı	NEAI	R PAS	ST				NTS SENT	:	NI	EAR	FUTU	IRE		FAR
	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	Ç	•	AFFECT .	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	ON	AFFECT
84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95	5 4 6  1 1 2 2 2 3 2 2	- + + + + + + +	16  1 1 5 3 3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> 5 10 3 6	16  2 10 6 9	4 3 6  1 3 3 2 2 4 3 1	+++++	6 5 2  1 8 6 1 8 10 2	8 4 1  0 2 8 6 1 9 9		L 2 2 3 3	+++ ++++-++	2 1 2  1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 3 5  1 1 1 1 2 2 3 2 2	++++++++++	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 1½ 2  3 2 1 0 0 1 6 0 2	2 4 1 3 1 2 1 2 3 4 4	+ + + + + + +
97 98	6 2	0	10 1	12 1	3	++	3 1	3 0	. 1		+	1	0	5 1	+	2 1	1 2	4	+

#### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #4 - WHITE

N	EAR	PAS	T				NTS SENT		NE	AR	FUTU	RE`		FAR	FUTU	IRE
NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	Ç	ON	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.
4 3 6	+ + -	6 5 2	8 4 1		3 3 5	++++	1 2 	0 0 0	5 3 5	+++++	1 2 <sup>1</sup> 2 3	0 1½ 2	2 4 3	++++	8 28 4	10 35 9
1	+	1	0		2	+	1	0	1	+	1	3	1	+	1	12
1	-	1	2		1	+	1	0	1	_	1	2	3	+	5	8
3	+	8	8		1	+	1	0	1	+	1	1	1	+	1	6
3	+	6	6	•	2	+	1	0	1	+	1	0	2	+	18	21
2	+	1	1		2	_	1	0	2	+	1	0	1	+	2	5
2	+	8	9		3	+	1	0	2	+	2	1	2	+	7	14
4	+	10	9		3	+	1	0	3	+	6	6	3	4	16	51
3	_	2	1		2	+	2	0	2	+	1	0	4	+	8	10
1	-	1 *	Q		2	0	1	0	2	+	3	2	4	+	4	13
4	+	3	3	(	Э				5	+	2	1	4	+	3	5
3	+	1.	0		1.	+	1	J	1	_	1	· 2	1		1	2



#### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #5 - WHITE

SUBJ.		TAD		m	•		. D.	m			ENTS							
NO.		HAK	PAS		1	LA	PAS	Т		PR	ES EN I	ľ	N	EAR	FUTU	JRE		FAR
	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	ON	AFFECI	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT
9 <b>9</b>	6	+	5	13¹₅	11	+	4	3	6	_	1	0	7	+	3	2	0	
100	1	0	1	7	3	+	2	2	3	+	1	0	2	+	2	1	3	+
101	4	+	5	.18	8	+	2	1	6	+	2	0	5	+	1	0	5	+
102	4	+	9	15	4	+	5	5	4	+	1	0	5	+	2	1	1	0
103	4	0	7	19	9	+	8	8	3	+	1	0	2	+	2	1	2	+
104	2	-	3	14	3	+	1	0.	2	+	1	0	3	+	1	1	4	+
105	2	0	2	6	2	0	2	3	3	+	1	0	2	+	2	1	1	+
106	5	-	9	12	3	-	1	1	4	+	2	0	2	+	11	11	0	
107	1	+	2	11	1	0	1	1	2	+	1	0	1	+	1	0	2	+
108	1	-	1	3	0		0	0	1	+	1	0	1	+	1	0	1	+
109	2	+	3	12	3	-	8	10	2	-	1	0	3	+	1	0	1	+
110	3	0	6	7	5	+	2	2	5	+	1	0	3	+	6	6	4	+
111																,		•
112	2	+	3	16	1	-	1	1	1	+	1	0	1	+	1	1	1	+
113	2	-	4	12	9	+	2	3	3	+	2	0	3	+	5	5	2	+



#### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #5 - WHITE

N	IEAF	R PAS	T			ENTS ES ENT	:		NE	EAR	FUTU	IRE		FAR	FUTU	JRE
NO.	AFFECT	PERIJD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.		NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED .	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM. PRES.
															1	
11	+	4	3	6	-	1	0		7	+	\ 3	2	0		0	0
3	+	2	2	3	+	1	0		2	+	2	1	3	+	4	6
8	+	2	1	6	+	2	0		5	+	1	0	5	+	9	42
4	+	5	5	4	+	1	0		5	+	2	1	1	0	1	9
9	+	8	8	3	+	1	0		2	+	2	1	2	+	51	56
3	+	1	0	2	+	1	0		3	+	1	1	4	+	31	42
2	0	2	3	3	+	1	0		2	+	2	1	1	+	2	5
3 1	-	1	1	4	4-	2	0		2	÷	<b>1.1</b> \	11	0		0	0
	0	1	1	2	+	1	0		1	+	1.	0	2	+	1	4
ŋ		0	0	1	+	1	0		1	+	1 \	0	1	+	1	.0
3 5	_	8	10	2	-	1	0		3	+	1	0	1	+	1	8
5	+	2	2	5	+	1	0		3	+	6	6	4	+	72	72
			,					-	-							
1		1	1	1	+	1	0		1	+	1	1 5	1	+	3	3 7
9	+	2	3	3	+	2	0		3	+	5	1.5	2	+	1	/

 $y_{ij}$ 

#### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #7 - WHITE

SUBJ.	FAR PAST	NEAR PAST	EVENTS PRESENT	NEAR FUTURE	FAR
	NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERLE DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT "ERIOD" 'YERED' 'FRED'	NO. AFFECT PERIOD CCVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT
114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 + 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 3 + 1 0 5 0 1 0 6 + 1 0 3 + 1 0 3 0 1 0 5 + 1 0 1 0 1 0 3 + 1 0 4 + 1 0 1 - 1 0 2 + 1 0	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 + 1 + 3 + 5 + 4 3 + 4 4 + 4 1 + 4 3 + 4



#### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #7 - WHITE

PAS	T		N	EAR	PAS	T			ENTS ESENI	•	;	NE	AR	FUTU	IRE		FAR	FUTU	JRE
COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	/	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.		NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.
4 1 7 3 1 5 6 7	7 0 6½ 8 1 8 9		2 1 3 4 1 7 3 2	0 -+ 0 -+	2 1 1 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> 3 1 5 2	1 0 1 3 0 5 1	3 0 1 3 1 5 6 3	+ 0 + + 0 + +	1 0 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0		21221242	++++++	2 1 2 2 1 2 1	3 0 1 1 0 1	1 3 5 3 4	++++0++	1 1 4 30 21 15 87	5 0 9½ 27 30 17 89
7 4 6 3 4 5 1	9 9 7 4 9 3		2 3 6 3 2 5 1 1	-++++ -+	1 4 2 1 1 1	3 2 1 0 1	3 5 1 3 4 1 2	+ 0 + 0 + + - +	1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	,	2 4 8 1 1 4 1 1	÷ 0 + + - + + +	15 10 1 80 8 1	0 19 9 6 80 8 1	3 2 6 1 1 4 1 3	+ 0 + + + + +	7 6 14 1 10 16 30 5	6½ 24 14 7 10 25 28 15



### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #8 - WHITE

NO.		FAF	R PAS	ST ·	ì	(EAI	R PAS	ST			ENTS ESEN'	r		NI	EAR	FUTU	IRE		F	AR
	NO.	AFFECT .	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	,	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	,	NO.	AFFECT
129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141	4 7 1 7 8 2 2 2 4 4 10 4 2 1	++-+++++-+-+-+-++	3 5 1 8 8 2 3 4 6 7 5 3 2 2	4 12 9 10 13 9 5 8 11 13 9 8	3 4 2 6 6 6 2 2 3 4 1 10 6 3 2	++-++0++0	2 4 1 2 3 3 2 1 3 1 7 4 2 3	1 3 1 2 3 2 0 5 2 8 3 6	1 6 2 3 1 2 3 2 3 1 9 8 2 2	++++0++++0++0+	1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	,	2 5 3 4 5 2 2 9 4 2 5 5 2 5	+++++++++++	4 3 1 4 17 1 2 1 3 1 6 2 5	4 2 0 4 17 1 1 1 3 1 8 2 7		48333434335424	++++0++++++++
143	3	0	3	7 <sup>1</sup> 2	2	0	1	31/2	5	+	3	0		1	0	1	2		2	+



### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #8 - WHITE

	N	EAF	R PAS	ST			ents Esen:	r	NE	AR	FUTU	IRE		F	'AR	FUTU	JRF
DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	· PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.		NO.	AFFECT	<b>EEBERED</b>	DIST. FROM PRES.
4	3	+	2	1	1	+	1	0	2	+	4	4		<i>1</i> .	+	10	1.6
12	4	+	4	3	6	+	1	0	5	+	3	2		4 8	+	85	14 87
9	2	·	1	1	2	+	2	0	3	+	1	0		o 3	+	4	5
10	6	+	2	1	3	+	1	0	4	+	4	4		3	+	7	17
13	6	+	3	2	1	0	î	0	5	+	17	17		3	ò	11	62
9	2	_	3	3	2	+	$\tilde{1}$	0 -	2	+	1	1		4	+	13	17
5	2	0	2	2	3	+	1	Ō	2	+	1	1		3	+	7	8
8	3	+	1	0	2	+	].	0	9	+	2	1		4	+	9	13
11	' 4	+	3	5	3	+	1	0	4	+	1	1		3	+	4	13
13	1	0	1	2	1	0	1	0	2	+	3	3		3	+	9	14
9	10	+	7	8	9	+	4	0	5	+	1	1		5	+	7	9
8	6	+	4	3	8	+	1	0	5	+	6	8		4	+	2	11
10	3	+	· 2	3	2	0	1	0	2	+	2	2	:	2	+	6	10
10	2	0	3	6	2	+	3	0	5	+	5	7		4	+	41	57
71/2	2	0	1	31/2	5	+	3	0	1	0	1	2	:	2	+	4	7

# RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #9 - WHITE

SUBJ. NO.	FAR PAST	NEAR PAST	EVENTS PRESENT	NEAR FUTURE	FAR
	NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVENED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	AFFECT PERIOD COVERED DIST. FROM PRES.	NO. AFFECT
144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6 0 3 2 4 + 3 4 9 - 5 4 3 - 2 1 4 + 3 2 3 0 4 4 4 + 3 2 7 - 1 0 1 + 1 0 6 0 1 1 3 + 3 3 1 - 1 0 1 - 1 6 3 + 4	7 + 1 0 3 + 1 0 8 + 1 0 4 + 1 0 4 + 1 0 1 + 1 0 3 + 2 0 6 + 1 0 2 + 1 0 8 + 1 0 4 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 3 + 2 0	7 + 2 1 3 + 9 12 8 + 3 3 2 + 2 3 2 + 2 3 2 + 1 1 3 + 1 1 4 + 1 1 4 + 19 21 7 + 5 6 2 + 1 1 3 + 3 3 3 + 2 1 3 + 12 13	6 + 3 + 9 + + 1 0 + 1 + 7 + 10 - 4 + 1 + 1 +



RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #9 - WHITE

N	<b>IE</b> AR	R PAS	T .	. •		ents Esent	٠ ،	N	EAR	FUTU	JRE	1	FAR	FUTU	JRE
NO.	AFÉECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	, NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM
6 4 9 3 4 3 4 7 1 6 3 1 1 3	0 + + 0 +	3 3 5 2 3 4 3 1 1 1 3 1	2 4 4 1 2 4 2 0 0 1 3 0 6	7 3 8 4 4 1 3 6 2 8 4 4 4 4 3	+ + + + + + + + 0 + 0	1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 3 8 2 2 2 3 4 4 7 7 2 3 3 3	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	2 9 3 2 2 1 1 1, 19 5 1 3 2	1 12 3 3 1 1 1 21 6 1 3 1	6 3 9 4 1 4 7 7 4 10 4 4 1	++++0++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	9 31 45 10 1 13 1 6 1 70 3 6	10 75 48 15 65 15 66 7 26 86 5 15 3 18

#### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #9 - WHITE

SUBJ. NO.	]	FAR	PAS	T	1	NEAI	R PAS	T	,		ENTS ESEN			NE	EAR	FUTU	IRE	F	AR
- 9	.0N	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	ÖN	A GREEN	PERTOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	·	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT
160 161 1 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169	1 0 1 2 1 2 4 9 3 4 5 5 5 3	-+++++++	1 7 1 4 1 4 6 7 5 5 4 9 11 7	4 9 11 14 13 12 10 9 12 10 12 13 13 13	2 6 1 0 3 2 4 7 3 5 5 12 2 5 5	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 3 1 0 1 2 3 2 5 1 7 5 2 2	1 2 0 0 0 2 3 1 4 1 7 4 1	1 1 2 6 3 2 2 2 4 2 6 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 5 6 3 2 2 5 5 2 5 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 5 2 5 2 5 5 5 5 2 5	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2 2 2 5 1 3 4 6 4 5 4 5 2 5	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	2 2 1 1 2 9 1 11 2 5 2 8	2 1 0 1 3 9 0 10 1 5 1 7	1 1 2 9 0 0 0 4 3 3 1 4 2 0	++++

#### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #9 - WHITE

N	IEAR	R PAS	T			NTS SENT	•	NE	AR	FUTU	RE		F	'AR	FUTU	IRE
NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	9	SO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.
2	+	1	1	1	+	1	0	2	+	2	2		1	+	1	8
6	+	3	2	1	+	1	0	2	+	2	1		1	+	1	8
1	+	1	0	2	+	1	0	2	+	1	1		2	+	2	6
0		0	0	6	_	1	0	5	+	1	0		9	+	27	33
3	+	1	0	3	_	1	0	1	+	1	1		0		0	0
2	0	. 2	2	2	+	2	0	3	+	2	3		0		0	0
4	+	3	3	2	+	1	0	4	+	9	9		0		0	0
7	+	2	1	4	+	1	0	6	+	1	0		4	+	13	13
3	_	5	4	2	+	1	0	4	+	11	10		3	+	13	13
5	+	1	1	6	+	1	0	5	+	2	1		3	+	8	8
5	+	7	7	3	+	1	0	4	+	2	1		1	+	0	0
12	+	5	4	2	+	1	0	5	+	5	5		4	+	63	63
2	_	2	1	2	+	1	0	2	+	2	1		2	+	5	5
5	+	2	1	5	+	1	0	5	+	8	7		0		0	0

# RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #6 - WHITE

SUBJ. NO.		FAF	R PAS	ST	N	IEAI	R PAS	ST			/ENTS RESEN	T		NEA	R FUT	URE		FA	AR
	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	C	**************************************	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.		AFFECT
172	4	+	43	14 <sup>1</sup> 5	` 5	+	2	3	4.	+	1	0			+ 1	•	,		
173	3	+	2	8	3	+	4	3	2	+	1	0			+ 1 + 3	0 5	4		++
174	3	0	14	14	1	_	i	2	5	+	ì	0			F 7	6	2		+
175	13	+	13	14	8	+	3	2	3	0	ī	0			- 2	1	· 3		+
176	4	+	4	11	6	+	1	0 ~	4	_	ī	0		, 5.		ō	4		<u>.</u>
177	7	_	11	17	5	+	4	3	3	_	ī	Ö		<b>3</b> (		Ö	2		+
178	2	0	2	•9	3	+	2	1	3	+	1	0		4 -		i	2		+
179	12	_	11	14	9	+	5	4	9	_	1	0	1	5 -		4	4		+
180	6	+	8	15	9	+	4	3	6	+	1	0		; -		1	4		+
181	15	+	11	11	14	+	1	0	6	+	1	0		5 -		1	3		+-
182	2	0	3	٠9	4	+	1	0	3	+	1	0		+		1	3		+
183	8	+	18	18	7	+	3	2	3	0	3	/ 0	•	7 -		12	3	, ,	+
184	2	+	10	21	1	+	1	8	2	+	1 '	0	1	. ⊣	- 1	0	1		+
185	3	+	9	13	3	+	1	0	1	+	1	0	2	? (	2	1	1	i	+



### RAW DATA FOR EVENTS FOR SCHOOL #6 - WHITE

T	N	IEAI	R PAS		_			/ENTS RESEN	!T	NE	EAR	FUTU	JRE		F	'AR	FUTU	JRE
DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.		NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.	Ş	NO.	AFFECT	PERIOD COVERED	DIST. FROM PRES.
14 <sup>1</sup> ⁄ <sub>2</sub> 8 14 14 17 9 14 15 11	5 3 1 8 6 5 3 9 9 14 4	++-+++++++	2 4 1 3 1 4 2 5 4 1 1	3 3 2 2 0 3 1 4 3 0	<b>X</b>	4 2 5 3 4 3 3 9 6 6 3	+++0+-++	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 2 5 7 5 3 4 5 5 6 4	+++++++	1 3 7 2 1 1 2 5 2 2	0 5 6 1 0 0 1 4 1		4 3 2 3 4 2 4 4 3 3 3	++++-+++++	52 5 49 5 13 6 4 3 6 52 6	52 9 53 6 12 10 9 5 8 52 8
18 21 13	7 1 3	++++	3 1 1	2 8 0		3 2 1	0 + +	3 1 1	0 0 0	7 1 2	+ + 0	8 1 2	12 0 1		3 L	++++	6 20 1	42 20 3

RAW DATA FOR SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #1 - 1

SUBJ. NO.	PAST PRES. THUT.	PAST PRES. QV8 -Q005 FUT.	PAST -CANH PRES. FUT. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT. FUT.	PAST PRES. TOPA FUT. FUT.	PAST - PRES. SAT. YVAN. YVAN. YVAN.	PAST PRES. TOTAL FUT.	PREF.	TIME MAC EXT PAST
1	4 4 4	2 4 2	3 5 6	3 6 5	4 4 2	5 3 2	3 5 5	PAST	5 YRS
2	1 1 1	1 7 7	1 1 1	1 7 7	1 1 1	7 1 1	1 1 1	FUT.	5 YRS
3	3 2 3	2 1 2	5 7 4	6 3 4	3 1 3	4 2 3	5 7 5	FUT.	8 YRS
4	3 2 2	2 2 5	7 6 5	3 1 3	4 4 3	3 3 2	6 6 6	FUT.	2 YRS

1 1 1 - 12 YRS 111 1 1 1 FUT. 1 1 1 7 2 2 1 1 7 171 111 1 1 1 FUT. 5 YRS 7 4 4 4 2 4 3 2 4 4 4 5 5 4 4 4 3 3 FUT. 2373 YRS 8 4 3 4 4 1 2 2 1 4 7 1 7 2 1 3 3 2 2 5 6 PRES. 40 YRS 9 4 4 7 4 1 1 4 1 1 5 5 4 1 4 4 7 1 7 4 1 PRES. O YRS 7 7 10 7 7 1 4 1 1 1 7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 7 PRES. 1940 YRS 11 1 4 1 4 2 2 2 2 6 6 6 4 4 4 2 4 2 6 7 6 PRES. 2 YRS

12 4 2 4 4 2 2 3 2 1 5 4 7 4 1 3 3 4 3 6 6 4 FUT. 102 YRS 13 1 1 1 1 1 7 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 7 7 FUT. 3 YRS 1 1 1 14 2 2 1 1 4 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 PAST 5 YRS 15 111 1 1 1-1 1 7 7 7 7 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 7 7 PAST 87 YRS



100

10.

SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #1 - BLACK .

SEMANTIC   D- HARD- D SOFT	DIFFERENT SLOW- FAST	IAL SCAL BEAUT. -UGLY	ES STRNG. -WEAK	PASS ACTVE.	PREF.	TIME MACHI EXTEN PAST	
FUT. PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	,		
2 3 5 6 7 1 1 1 2 5 7 4 5 7 6 5 1 7 7 7 2 1 1 7 3 2 4 4 2 2 1 4 1 4 1 1 1 4 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 1 7 1 4 1 1 1 4 7 1 1 7	3 6 5 1 7 7 6 3 4 3 1 3 1 7 7 1 7 1 4 5 5 7 1 7 5 5 4 7 7 7 6 6 6 5 4 7 7 7 7	4 4 2 1 1 1 3 1 3 4 4 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 4 (2 1 3 1 1 1 4 4 4 4 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	5 3 2 7 1 1 1 4 2 3 3 3 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 4 3 2 2 7 1 1 1 1 1 2 4 2 3 4 3 1 1 1 1 1 1	3 5 5 1 1 1 5 7 5 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 3 3 3 6 5 6 7 4 1 7 7 7 6 7 6 6 6 6 4 7 7 7 7 7 7	PAST FUT. FUT. FUT. FUT. PRES. PRES. PRES. PRES. FUT. FUT. FUT.	5 YRS. 5 YRS. 8 YRS. 2 YRS. 12 YRS. 5 YRS. 2373 YRS. 40 YRS. 0 YRS. 1940 YRS. 2 YRS. 102 YRS. 3 YRS. 5 YRS.	10 YRS. 4 YRS. 17 YRS. 1 MO. 2 YRS. 10 YRS. 3000 YRS. 10 YRS. 10 YRS. 10 YRS. 10 YRS. 15 YRS. 4 YRS. 50 YRS.

100



RAW DATA FOR SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #2 - B

SUBJ.	KIND- CRUEL	S) GOOD- BAD	EMANTIC NARD- SOFT	DIFFEREN SLOW- FAST	FIAL SCAL BEAUTUGLY	STRNG. -WEAK	PASS ACTVE.	PREF.	TIME MACEX
•	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	6 2 4 7 7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 6 1 1 4 1 1 4 4 4 1 7 1 2 3 1 4 1 1	7 1 4 1 1 1 7 1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 3 4 1 1 1 1	2; 4 1; 7; 7; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1; 1;	6 7 4 1 1 7 7 1 1 7 4 1 7 1 1 2 7 7 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	6 2 4 1 1 1 7 1 4 4 1 1 2 2 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 4 1 1 1 7 3 1 4 4 1	2 2 4 1 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 1	2 6 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 5 7 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 6 7 7 7 7	PAST FUT. PRES. FUT. FUT. PRES. FUT. PRES. FUT. PRES. FUT. PRES.	5 YRS 9 YRS 118 YRS 100 YRS 1 YR. 0 1 YR. 6 YRS 10 YRS 11 YRS
27 28 29	1 1 1 3 3 4 4 4 4	4·4·4 1 1 1 4 4 3	4 4 4 1 1 1 4 5 4	1 7 7 7 7 7 5 3 5.	4 4 4 1 1 1 4 3 4	4 4 4 1 1 1 3 5 4	1·7 4 7 7 7 5 5 5	FUT. PRES. PAST	4 YRS ,17 YRS 5 YRS

111

.17 YRS.

5 YRS.

EMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #2 - BLACK

SE		DIFFERENT	TAL SCAL	ES		TIME MACHINE				
	HARD-	SLOW-	BEAUT.	STRNG.	PASS	PREF.		CNSION		
'	SOFT	FAST	-UGLY	-WEAK	ACTVE.		PAST	FUTURE		
EUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST . PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.					
٠.	Ļ	•	-							
4	2 7 4	6 7 4	6 2 4	2 2 4	2 6 5	PAST	5 YRS.	18 YRS.		
1	177	1 1 7	1 1 1	1 1 1	777	FUT.	9 YRS.	8 YRS.		
1 1 1 1	171	7 1 1	7 1 4	7 1 1	777	PRES.	118 YRS.	0		
1	4 1 7	7 4 1	4 1 1	1 1 1	777	FUT.	100 YRS.	18 YRS.		
1	111	7 111	2 2 1	1 1 1	777	PRES.	1 YR.	2 MOS.		
	161	277	7 1 1	3 7 1	5 7 1	FUT.	0	8 YRS.		
1	777	177	111	1 1 1	777	FUT.	1 YR.	1 YR.		
Ţ	4 4 4	777	444	1 1 1	771	PRES.	6 YRS.	2 YRS.		
1 ~	777	717	111	1 1 1	777	FUT.	7 YRS.	8 YRS.		
1	777	771	7 3 1	1 2 1	767	PRES.	10 YRS.	10 YRS.		
1	111	777	4 4 1	1 4 1	777	PRES.	11 YRS.	0		
4	444	177	4 4 4	4 4 4	174	FUT.	4 YRS.	3 YRS.		
1	1 1 1	777	1 1 1	1 1 1	777	PRES.	17 YRS.	0		
β	4 5 4	5 3 5	4 3 4	3 5 4	5 5 5 -	PAST	5 YRS.	4 YRS.		

RAW DATA FOR SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #3 - 1

TIME MAG		SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES					SUBJ.		
EXT PAST	PREF.	PASS ACTVE./	STRNG. -WEAK	BEAUT.	SLOW- FAST	HARD- SOFT	GOOD- BAD	KIND- CRUEL	XO.
		PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	
1 YR.	FUT.	177	111	111	117	771	111	111	30
2 YRS	PRES.	6 6 6	2 6 2	2 3 2	6 2 2	2 5 6	3 2 2	3.3 4	31
6 YRS	PRES.	6 6 6	2 4 2	1 1 1	5 6 7	666	4 1 1	1 1 1	32
12 YRS	PRES.	177	1 1 1	1 1 1	7 7 1	3 7 7	2 1 1	5 1 1	33
200 YRS	PAST	777	1 1 2	2 2 3	1 5 2	3 3 2	2 3 1	3 4 3	34
12 YRS	FUT.	4 4 4	4 1 1	7 4 1	477	1 1 7	7 1 1	4 4 4	35
125 YRS	PAST	776·	2 5 3	2 3 4	572	161	2 1 4	2 2 2	36
127 YRS	PRES.	2 7 7	4 5 2	4 1 3	267	5 2 2	4 5 1	721	37
4 YRS	PRES.	777	171	1 1 7	677	6 1 1	6 1 7	777	38
12 YRS	PRES.	777	6 1 1	4 2 1	767	5 4 1	471	1 4 1	39
9 YRS	PRES.	5 6 7	6 5 2	4 4 4	455	666	6 4 2	3 3 1	40
		·-				1 7 7	111	1 1 1	<i>l</i> . 1

1 7 7

2 2 1 7 7 3 7777

2 3 6

1 7 7

PAST

FUT.

FUT.

1 1 1 4 5 6

2 6 7

41

42

43

1 1 1

5 3 1

7 2 3

1 1 1

4 3 3

7 1 1

1 7 7

2 4 5

6 7 4

8 YRS

9 YRS

9 YRS

# SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #3 - BLACK

S	EMANTIC 1	DIFFERENT	TAL SCAL	ES			TIME	MACHI	NE	
DD-	HARD-	SLOW-	BEAUT.	STRNG.	PASS.~	PREF.		EXTEN		
VD	SOFT	FAST	-UGLY	-WEAK	ACTVE.		P	AST	FUT	URE
FUT.	PAST PRES. FUI.	PAST PRES, FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.					
i	771	1 1 7	1 1 1	<u> 1 1 1</u>	1 7 7	FUT.	1	YR.	3	YRS.
2	2 5 6	6 2 2	2 3 2	262	6 6 6	PRES.	2	YRS.	8	YRS.
1	6 6 6	5 6 7	1 1 1	2 4 2	6 5 6	PRES.	6	YRS.	0	
1	3 7 7	7 7 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	177	PRES.		YRS.	8	YRS.
1	3 3 2	1 5 2	2 2 3	1 1 2	777	PAST	200	YRS.	15	YRS.
1	1 1 7	477	7 4 1	4 1 1	444	FUT.	12	YRS.	15	YRS.
4	1 6 <b>1</b>	5 7 2	2 3 4	2 5 3	776	PAST	125	YRS.	18	YRS.
1	5 2 2	267	4 1 3	4 5 2	277	PRES.	127	YRS.	15	YRS.
7	6 1 <b>1</b>	677	117	171	777	PRES.	4	YRS.	41	YRS.
1	5 4 1	767	421	611	777	PRES.	12	YRS.		YRS.
2	666	4 5 5	444	652	567	PRES.	9	YRS.		YRS.
1	177	1 1 1	7 1 1	177	777	PAST	8	YRS.		YRS.
3	2 4 5	4 5 6	3 3 2	2 2 1	2 3 6	FUT.	9	YRS.		YRS.
1	674	2 6 7	1 1 1	7 7 3	1 7 7	FUT.	9	YRS.		YRS.





1 YR.

7 YRS

8 YRS

2 YRS

12 YRS

RAW DATA FOR SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #4 - H

•									
SUBJ.				DIFFEREN		LES	·		TIME MAC
NO.	KIND- CRUEL	GOOD- BAD	HARD- SOFT	SLOW- FAST	BEAUT. -UGLY	stang. -Weak	PASS ACTVE.	PREF.	EXT PAST
	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST . PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.		,
<b>44</b> 45 46	2 1.1 1 1 1 2 3 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 4 2 3	1 7 7 7 7 7 3 2 3	1 1 1 1 7 1 6 4 2	4 1 1 1 1 4 2 1	2 2 2 1 1 1 3 2 1	7 7 7 7 7 7 5 6 7	PRES. PRES. PRES.	4 YRS 5 YRS 5 YRS

1 1 7 7 3 7 47 7 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 1 4 1 1 4 4 4 FUT. 2 2 3 48 1 2 1 3 5 5 1 3 1 3 3 4 3 2 2 PAST 49 4 4 1 4 4 1 1 4 4 1 7 4 4 4 4 1 7 7 4 4 1 PRES. .50 3 3 3 3 4 3 7 4 3 2 4 3 4 4 3 1 3 3 7 7 6 FUT. 51 7 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 7 7 7 7 4 4 4 7 1 1 1 7 7 PRES. 52 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 7 7

7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 7 PAST 6 YRS 53 7 1 1 5 1 4 1 3 5 7 4 1 5 4 4 5 2 4 7 7 5 FUT. 10 YRS 54 3 2 1 3 2 1 2 6 1 5 4 7 1 2 1 2 4 1 6 6 7 PRES. 131 YRS 1 2 3 3 3 2 4 4 3 5 3 3 2 2 4 2 1 2 7 6 6 PRES.

55 13 YRS 56 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 4 1 7 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 1 4 7 7 FUT. 8 YRS 57 1 1 1 1 1 1 7 1 7 7 1 7 111 7 1 1 7 7 7 PAST INFINITY

# ANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #4 - BLACK

EMANTIC HARD- SOFT	DIFFERENT SLOW- FAST	FIAL SCAL BEAUT. -UGLY	ES STRNG. -WEAK	FASS ACTVE.	PREF.	TIME MACHINE EXTENS	
PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.			
1 7 7 7 7 3 2 3 7 1 1 4 4 7 4 3 1 1 7 7 7 7 1 2 5	1 1 1 1 7 1 6 4 2 7 1 1 3 5 5 1 7 4 2 4 3 7 7 7 7 7 7	4 1 1 1 1 1 4 2 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 4 4 4 4 4 3 4 4 4 1 1 1	2 2 2 1 1 1 3 2 1 4 1 1 3 3 4 4 4 1 1 3 3 7 1 1 1 1 1	7 7 7 7 7 7 5 6 7 4 4 4 4 3 2 2 1 7 7 7 7 6 1 7 7	PRES. PRES. FUT. PAST PRES. FUT. PRES. FUT.	4 YRS. 5 YRS. 5 YRS. 1 YR. 12 YRS. 7 YRS. 8 YRS. 2 YRS.	3 YRS. 11 YRS. 0 2 YRS. 12 YRS. 8 YRS. 27 YRS. 6 YRS.
1 3 5 5 4 7	7 4 1 2 6 1	5 4 4 1 2 1	5 2 4 2 4 1	7 7 5 6 6 7	FUT. PŔES.	10 YRS. 131 YRS.	10 YRS. 249 YRS.
4 4 3	5 3 3 7 1 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 1 2 1 1 1	7 6 6 4 7 7	PRES. FUT.	13 YRS. 8 YRS.	26 YRS.
7 1 7	7 1 7	1 1 1	7 1 1	777	PAST	INFINITY	10 YRS. 427 YRS.

APPENDIX TABLE 31

RAW DATA FOR SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #5 - B

SUBJ. NO.	KIND CRUEL	SEMANTIC GOOD- HARD- BAD SOFT	DIFFERENTIAL SCAL SLOW- BEAUT. FAST -UGLY	ES STRNG. PASS -WEAK ACTVE.	TIME MA PREF. EX PAST
	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT. PAST PRES.	PAST PRES. FUT. PAST PRES.	PAST PRES. FUT. PAST PRES.	
			١.		
58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66	4 4 1 4 4 3 2 3 4  3 1 1 5 3 1 1 1 1 4 1 2	5 3 3 4 4 5 4 3 2 1 2 5 3 3 2 7 4 5  7 1 1 4 6 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 7 2 3 1 4 4 7 4 7 2 3 4 4	5 3/3 5 4 2 6 1 5 6 3 3 4 4 4 1 1 4 4 1 4 1 1 5 5 1 3 3 1 6 5 1 2 2 1 7 7 7 5 2 2	5 3 2 5 6 4 4 2 3 5 6 7 4 3 3 7 4 7  4 4 3 1 5 7 3 5 1 5 3 7 5 5 1 7 7 7 4 3 2 7 7 7	PRES. 118 YR PRES. 10 YR PRES. 5 YR PRES. 0 PRES. 600 YR PAST 5 YR PAST 123 YR
67 68 69 70	2 2 1 1 1 1 5 2 3 7 1 1 5 1 1	3 2 1 6 6 7 1 1 1 1 7 1 6 2 2 2 2 2 2 7 1 1 1 7 6 4 3 1 4 3 7	7 6 7 2 1 1 1 7 1 1 1 1 7 6 3 6 4 4 1 7 5 7 1 1 4 7 7 4 1 1	2 2 1 7 7 7 7 1 1 1 7 7 6 2 2 2 4 2 7 1 1 1 7 7 3 3 1 4 7 7	PRES. 158 YR FUT. 12 YR PRES. 0 PRES. 2 YR

114



11.

EMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #5 - BLACK

SE	MANTIC	DIFFERENT	PTAL SCAT	FC			TIME MACHI	INE
-	HARD- SOFT	SLOW- FAST	BEAUT. -UGLY	STRNG. -WEAK	PASS ***	PREF.	EXTEN	SION
	SOFI	INGI	-UGL1	-WEAK	ACTVE.		PAST	FUTURE
FOT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT:	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.		•	
F	P.P.	PA PR	PA PR	P.P.F.	P.P.			`
3	4 4 5	5 3 3	5 4 2	5 3 2	564	PRES.	118 YRS.	527 YRS.
	1 2 5	6 1 5	6 3 3	4 2 3	5 6 7	PRES.	10 YRS.	18 YRS.
2	7 4 5	4 4 4	1 4 4	4 3 3	7 4 7	PRES.	5 YRS.	8 YRS.
-						PRES.	0	0
1	4 6 4	1 4 7	4 1 1	4 4 3	157	PRES.	600 YRS.	1000 YRS.
1 β	3 3 7	5 5 1	3 3 1	3 5 1	5 3 7	PAST	5 YRS.	8 YRS.
ļ	4 4 7	6 5 1	2 2 1	5 5 1	777	PAST	123 YRS.	100 YRS.
2	3 4 4	777	5 2 2	4 3 2	777			
ŀ								
1	6 6 7	767	2 1 1	2 2 1	777	PRES.	158 YRS.	10 YRS.
ļ	1 7 1	171	1 1 1	7 1 1	177	FUT.	12 YRS.	6 YRS.
2	2 2 2	7 6 3	6 4 4	6 2 2	2 4 2	PRES.	0	84 YRS.
ŀ	1 7 6	175	7 1 1	7 1 1	177	PRES.	2 YRS.	0
	4 3 7	477	<i>l</i> . 1 1	2 2 1	1. 7 7			

RAW DATA FOR SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #6 - I

SUBJ. NO.	KIND- CRUEL	SI GOOD- BAD	EMANTIC HARD- SOFT	DIFFERENT SLOW- FAST	FIAL SCAI BEAUT. -UGLY	LES STRNG. -WEAK	PASS ACTVE.	PREF.	TIME MAC EXT PAST
	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.		
72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83	6 3 4 4 3 4 2 5 1 3 2 4 7 6 2 4 4 3 2 2 2 4 5 4 1 1 4 1 3 1 3 3 1 1 1 2	5 4 4 2 4 3 6 5 1 2 3 2 1 1 1 4 4 2 7 4 2 1 3 4 1 2 4 3 2 1 2 2 4 1 1 3	7 3 1 4 3 4 1 3 6 5 4 2 1 1 1 2 2 2 6 6 6 1 3 3 7 7 4 7 7 7 5 3 2 1 3 3	4 1 7 6 3 4 1 7 1 6 6 4 7 6 6 4 4 6 6 7 5 4 6 6 4 7 7 7 6 2 3 2 6 6	4 4 4 3 4 3 3 6 2 2 2 3 1 3 2 4 3 2 7 4 3 2 4 4 2 1 4 3 3 1 4 4 1 1 1 1	2 3 2 4 4 3 6 6 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 3 3 2 6 3 1 2 6 4 1 1 4 1 1 1 5 5 2 1 1 1	7 5 6 6 5 6 7 1 2 5 4 5 7 4 7 5 2 6 7 2 6 7 7 4 1 1 1 3 4 6 7 7 7	PRES. PRES. PAST PRES. FUT. FUT. FUT. PRES. PRES. PRES. FUT.	17 YRS 11 YRS 0 7 YRS 8 YRS 13 YRS 1 YR. 16 YRS 19 YRS 12 YRS 1 YR.



11,

MANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #6 - BLACK

SEMANTIC						TIME MACHINE		
HARD-	SLOW-	BEAUT.	STRNG.	PASS	PREF.	EXTEN	SION	
SOFT	FAST	-UGLY	-WEAK	ACTVE.		PAST FUTUR		
				÷				
IS:	ម្តស	H S	H S	ΗŚ	0			
PAST PRES FUT.	PAST PRES FUT.	PAST PRES FUT.	PAST PRES FUT.	PAST PRES FUT.	O			
дда	щщщ	щщщ	щщ	дд				
731	4 1 7	4 4 4	2 3 2	7 5 6	PRES.	17 YRS.	78 YRS.	
434	6 3 4	3 4 3	4 4 3	6 5 6	PRES.	11 YRS.	4 YRS.	
136	171	3 6 2	6 6 2	7 1 2	PAST	0	50 TRS.	
542	664	2 2 3	2 2 2	5 4 5	PRES.	· 7 YRS.	5 YRS.	
111	766	1 3 2	1 1 1	7 4 7	FUT.	8 YRS.	6 YRS.	
2 2 2	4 4 6	4 3 2	3 3 2~	5:47	FUT.	13 YRS.	21 YRS.	
6 6 6	676	7 4 3	6 3 1	5 2 6	FUT.	1 YR.	15 YRS.	
1 3 3	7 5 4	2 4 4	2 6 4	7 2 6	FUT.	16 YRS.	22 YRS.	
774	664	2 1 4	1 1 4	7 7 4	PRES.	19 YRS.	5 YRS.	
777	777	3 3 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	PRES.	12 YRS.	3 YRS.	
5 3 2	6 2 3	4 4 1	5 5 2	3 4 6	FUT.	1 YR.	79 YRS.	
1 3 3	266	1 1 1	1 1 1	777	PRES.	n	0	

RAW DATA FOR SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #4 - W

SUBJ.	KIND-	G00D-	HARD-	DIFFEREN SLOW-	BEAUT.	LES STRNG.	PASS	PREF.	TIME MAC
	CRUEL	BAD	SOFT	FAST	-UGLY	-WEAK	ACTVE.		PAST
	PAST PRES. FUT.								
								. `	
84	1 4 1	111	4 4 1	774	1 4 1	4 1 1	777	PRES.	2 YRS
85	1 1 1	111	4 4 4	664	111	2 1 1	775	FUT.	14 YRS
86	7 7 3	721	1 2 2	1 3 2	6 2 2	3 1 1	777	FUT.	2 YRS
87		<del></del>							··· ·· ·· ··
88	1 1 1	1 1 4	4 4 4	4 4 1	1 1 4	1 1 4	474	PRES.	120 YRS
89	3 3 1	5 2 2	4 4 4	467	3 3 2.	2 2 1	677	PRES.	3 YRS
90	3 4 4	4 4 1	3 4 4	3 5 4	4 4 4	4 4 4	777	PRES.	INFINITY
9.1	3 3 1	3 3 3	4 3 4	465	1 2 1	4 4 4	666	PRES.	5 YRS
92	3 4 2	3 5 3	5 2 3	6 6 2	3 4 2-	2 3 3	676	PAST	10 YRS
93	1 1 2	2 1 1	6 7 5	7 7 3	2 2 3	1 2 2	667	FUT.	2 YRS
94	1 1 1	1 1 4	1 3 7	477	4 1 1	7 1 1	777	PAST	60 YRS
95 .	6 3 4	4 4 2	3 3 2	262	4 2 5	6 2 4	3 6 5	PRES.	10 YRS
96	4 2 4	462	3 1 1	262	4 3 4	3 3 2	444	FUT.	14 YRS
97	5 4 2	6 3 3	3 4 4	4 5 4	5 4 ?	4 4 4	4 5 5	FUT.	3 YRS
98	2 3 2	1 3 6	5 4 2	461	2 5 7	2 4 6	7 4 5	PRES.	71 YRS



SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #4 - WHITE

S: <b>D-</b> <b>D</b>	EMANTIC I HARD- SOFT	OIFFERENT SLOW- FAST	TIAL SCAI BEAUT. -UGLY	LES STRNG. -WEAK	PASS ACTVE.	PREF.	TIME MACH EXTE PAST	INE NSION FUTURE
FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.			
1 1 1 2 1 3 3 1 4 2 2 3 6	4 4 1 4 4 4 1 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 3 4 5 2 3 6 7 5 1 3 7 3 3 2 3 1 1 3 4 4 5 4 2	7 7 4 6 6 4 1 3 2 4 4 1 4 6 7 3 5 4 4 6 5 6 6 2 7 7 3 4 7 7 2 6 2 2 6 2 4 5 4 4 6 1	1 · 4 · 1 1 · 1 · 1 6 · 2 · 2  1 · 1 · 4 3 · 3 · 2 4 · 4 · 4 1 · 2 · 1 3 · 4 · 2 2 · 2 · 3 4 · 1 · 1 4 · 2 · 5 4 · 3 · 4 5 · 4 · 2 2 · 5 · 7	4 1 1 2 1 1 3 1 1  1 1 4 2 2 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 2 3 3 1 2 2 7 1 1 6 2 4 3 3 2 4 4 4 2 4 6	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	PRES. FUT. PRES. PRES. PRES. PRES. PAST FUT. PAST PAST PAST PAST PAST PAST PAST PAST	2 YRS. 14 YRS. 2 YRS 120 YRS. 3 YRS. INFINITY 5 YRS. 10 YRS. 2 YRS. 60 YRS. 10 YRS. 14 YRS. 3 YRS. 71 YRS.	1 YR. 15 YRS. 6 YRS. 1 WK. 1 YR. INFINITY 60 YRS. 21 YRS. 10 YRS. 28 YRS. 15 YRS. 61 YRS. 5 YRS.

APPENDIY TABLE 34

BEAUT. STRNG. PASS.-

RAW DATA FOR SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #5 - W

SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES

SLOW-

AST	· P		ACTVE.	-WEAK	-UGLY	FAST	SOFT	BAD	CRUEL	
			PAST PRES. FUT.							
	14	PRES.	6 6 7	3 2 2	5 2 1 6 2 1	6 7 7, 3 7 7	2 5 3 1 3 2	5 2 2 6 1 1	1 1 1 4 2 1	99 100
YRS		PAST FUT.	3 7 7 5 6 6	1 2 1 3 2 2	6 2 1 4 3 2	3 6 5	4 4 4	3 2 1	4 2 2	101
IAC		rui.	6 5 4	4 2 3	4 3 2	6 3 4	4 3 4	2 2 2	3 4 2	102
YRS	19	PRES.	677	2 2 1	3 2 1	4 6 4	4.4 6	3 2 1	2 2 1	103
DAY		FUT.	5 5 1	3 3 4	5 4 1	3 6 1	2 3 7	5 3 1	4 5 1	104
YRS		PRES.	674	2 1 2	4 2 3	476	2 4 4	4 1 1	4 4 4	105
YRS	14	FUT.	6 3 1	4 3 1	6 4 1	474	3 5 6	5 4 2	6 5 1	106
YRS	10	FUT.	266	3 2 3	4 3 4	666	3 6 4	5 2 2	4 2 4	107
YRS	6	PRES.	747	471	4 4 4	177	1 1 1	4 4 1	1 1 1	108
YRS	2	FUT.	3 7 7	1 4 4	441	156	5 1 7	6 1 1	144	109
			5 3 3	6 4 3	5 2 2	152	264	2 2 2	5 2 3	110
										111
YkS	30	PAST								112
			5 6 6	3 3 3	4 3 3	6 5 5	3 3 3	4 3 3	4 3 3	113
			•							



SUBJ.

NO.

KIND-

GOOD-

HARD-

1:00

TIME MAC

PREF.

# EMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #5 - WHITE

SEMANTIC	DIFFEREN	TIAL SCAI	LES			TIME MACI	HINE
HARD-	SLOW-	BEAUT.	STRNG.	PASS	PREF.	EXT	ENSION
SOFT	FAST	-UGLY	-WEAK	ACTVE.	•	PAST	FUTURE
PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.			
253	677	5 2 1	3 2 2	667	PRES.	14 YRS.	. 1028 YRS.
1 3 2	3 7 7	6 2 1	1 2 1	3 7 7	PAST	20 YRS	
444	3 6 5	4 3 2	3 2 2	5 6 6	FUT.	56 YRS	
434	6 3 4	4 3 2	4 2 3	6 5 4			***
4.46	464	3 2 1	2 2 1	677	PRES.	19 YRS.	10 YRS.
2 3 7	3 6 1	5 4 1	3 3 4	5 5 1	FUT.	1 DAY	1 YR.
2 4 4	476	4 2 3	2 1 2	674	PRES.	5 YRS.	5 YRS.
3 5 6	474	641	4 3 1	6 3 1	FUT.	14 YRS.	5 YRS.
3 6 4	666	4 3 4	3 2 3	266.	FUT.	10 YRS	. 20 YRS.
1 1 1	177	4 4 4	471	747	PRES.	6 YRS.	12 YRS.
5 1 7	156	4 4 1	1 4 4	3 7 7	FUT.	2 YRS.	63 YRS.
264	1 5 2	5 2 2	6 4 3	5 3 3			
					PAST	30 YRS.	. 10 YRS.
2 3 3	655	433	2 2 2	566			





RAW DATA FOR SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #7 - W

SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES

EXT PAST		PREF	PASS ACTVE.	STRNG. -WEAK	BEAUT. -UGLY	SLOW- FAST	HARD- SOFT	GOOD- BAD	KIND- CRUEL	NO.
/ ·			FAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	
3 YR	123	FUT.	667	111	2 2 1	776	6 6 7	1 2 1	2 1 2	114
	9	PAST	6 2 4	2 5 3	3 4 4	2 5 6	4 4 3	4 2 4	6 2 4	115
11	10	FUT.	6 6 6	2 2 2	3 4 4	4 5 6	6 6 5	3 2 2	2 🛴 3	116
		PRES	7 7 7	4 2 2	3 2 1	6 3 6	4 5 2	3 1 1	6 3 1	117
	11,	FUT.	1 1 4	4 1 1	621	6 1 1	4 3 6	6 1 1	5 4 1	118
_	60	FUT.	4 4 4	4 4 4	441	474	3 4 3	4 5 4	1 4 4	119
YR.	26000	PAST	677	4 3 3	3 2 4	6 5 6	5 3 3	4 5 3	111	120
	~ 3 <sup>1</sup> 4	PAST	777	111	111	5 4 4	2 3 2	1 2 1	3 3 4	121
	B.C.	PAST	774	3 2 4	5 2 7	3 3 7	4 4 1	5 3 7	627	122
		PAST	777	2 3 2	4 4 4	472	3 2 2	3 4 2	444	123
		PRES	676	4 3 3	4 3 4	3 4 2	464	2 1 2	3 4 4	124
_		PRES	467	1 1 3	4 4 4	744	177	5 7 7	777	125
								7 / 0		100

4 6 4

4 7 5

3 4 4

6 7 4

4 4 2

3 3 1

SUBJ.

126

127

128

PAST

PAST

PRES.

6 6 6

TIME MAD

YR

MO

YR

5 6 3

7 3 4

5 4 4

1 1 1

4 3 1

4 5 4

3 2 2

4 1 4

6 6 6

# TIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #7 - WHITE

ANTIC DIFF	ERENTIAL SCA	LES		_	TIME N	1ACH IN	ΙE	
HARD- SLO SOFT FA	DW- BEAUT. AST -UGLY	STRNG. -WEAK	PASS ACTVE.	PREF.	I PAS	EXTENS ST	ION FUTUI	RE
PRES. FUT. PAST PRES	FUT. PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.					
6 6 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	3 4 4 3 6 3 4 4 3 6 3 2 1 4 4 1 5 6 3 2 4 4 4 1 1 1 5 7 5 2 7 7 2 4 4 4 4 2 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 7 5	1 1 1 2 5 3 2 2 2 2 4 2 2 4 1 1 4 4 4 4 3 3 1 1 1 1 3 2 4 2 3 3 2 4 3 3 3 1 1 3 6 7 4 4 4 2 3 3 1	6 6 7 6 2 4 6 6 6 7 7 7 1 1 4 4 4 4 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 6 7 6 4 6 7 3 4 2 2 2 1 6 6 6	PAST	60 6000 3½ B.C. 8 MIL. 2 3	YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS.	9 1 14 100	YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS.

SUBJ.

AFFENDIX TABLE 36

## RAW DATA FOR SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #8 - W

SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES .

EXT: PAST	PREF.	PASS ACTVE.	STRNG. -WEAK	BEAUT.	SLOW- FAST	HARD- SOFT	GOOD- BAD	KIND- CRUEL	NO.
	,	PAST PRES. FUT.							
3 Yrs	FUT.	777	3 1 1	4 2 2	777	2 2 5	2 1 1	4 2 3	129
372 YRS		, , , 7 7 7	2 2 1	2 2 1	 7 7 7	2 6 2	2 1 1	1 1 1	130
9 YRS	FUT.	676	3 2 3	4 4 4	7 7 2	5 6 2	$2\ 1\ 1$	2 4 4	131
13 YRS.		676	2 2 2	2 4 3	5 6 6	4 2 3	2 3 3	4 3 2	132
172 YRS	FUT. 217	6 6 6	4 3 2	2 2 3	472	676	2 2 1	1 2 3	133
2 YRS	PRES.	3 3 6	3 2 1	4 6 1	2 1 4	116	3 2 1	111	134
972 YRS.	FUT. 97	6 6 7	3 2 3	3 3 4	566	4 4 4	2 3 2	2 2 2	135
8 YRS	PRES.	777 -	5 4 2	2 1 1	5 6 7	3 3 5	1 1 1	3 4 2	136
2 YRS	FUT.	677	4 3 1	3 3 1	2 1 6	4 2 4	3 2 1	3 3 2	137
972 YRS.	PAST 97	6 6 7	3 3 3	2 2 1	466	5 6 5	3 4 3	3 2 1	138
388 YRS	PAST 38	475	5 6 4	4 3 2	5 6 6	6 4 5	5 1 1	2 1 4	139
r vrs.	FUT.	777	3 3 2	1 2 1	3 7 7	6 5 3	1 2 2	2 4 2	140
13 .RS	FUT.	2 6 7	5 5 1	4 5 1	2 5 7	4 3 1	5 3 1	451	141
10 YRS	TAST 1	776	444	5 3 2	464	2 4 4	6 2 3	2 2 2	142
4 YRS	PRES.	3 4 6	6 6 5	4 4 6	5 5 6	3 6 6	6 5 4	2 3 3	143



12.

TIME MAC

### MANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #8 - WHITE

SEMANTIC HARD- SOFT	DIFFERENT SLOW- FAST	TIAL SCAL BEAUT. -UGLY	ES STRNG. -WEAK	PASS ACTVE.	PREF.			NE ISION FUTU	JRE
PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.					
2 2 5 2 2 5 2 5 6 2 3 6 7 6 4 4 4 5 4 5 6 5 5 6 4 5 3 1 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 6 4 5 6 6 5 3 1 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 2 5 6 6 4 7 2 2 1 4 5 6 6 5 6 7 2 1 6 4 6 6 6 3 7 7 2 5 <sup>3</sup> 7 4 6 4	4 2 2 2 2 1 4 4 4 2 4 3 2 2 3 4 6 1 3 3 4 2 1 1 3 3 1 2 2 1 4 3 2 1 2 1 4 5 1 5 3 2	3 1 1 2 2 1 3 2 3 2 2 2 4 3 2 3 2 1 3 2 3 5 4 2 4 3 1 3 3 3 5 6 4 3 3 2 5 5 1 4 4 4	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 6 7 6 6 7 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7	FUT. PAST FUT. FUT. PRES. FUT. PRES. FUT. PAST PAST FUT. FUT. FUT.	372 N 9 N 13 N 2172 N 972 N 8 N 2 N 972 N 388 N 12 N	IRS. IRS. IRS. IRS. IRS. IRS. IRS. IRS.	25 2 57 1000 27 19 0 5 1 10 37	YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS. YRS.
3 6 6	5 5 6	4 4 6	6 6 5	3 4 6	PRES.	4 3	YRS.	4	YRS.





# RAW DATA FOR SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #9 - W

SUBJ. NO.	KIND- CRUEL	SEMANTIC GOOD- HARD- BAD SOFT	SLOW- BEAUT,	LES STRNG. PAS -WEAK ACT		TIME MAC EXT PAST
	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT. PAST PRES.	PAST PRES. FUT. PAST PRES.	PAST PRES. FUT. PAST	FUT.	
144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157	5 6 1 3 2 5 2 1 1 4 4 1 1 1 1 4 4 4 3 7 4 7 2 1 2 1 1 1 7 3 4 5 4 2 1 2 3 2 2 1 3 2	4 6 1 3 1 4 2 4 3 3 3 1 • 2 1 1 4 6 4 5 3 1 4 3 4 3 1 1 3 6 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 4 2 2 6 1 1 7 4 3 2 6 5 2 3 1 4 3 4 6 7 2 7 1 2 4 2 2 2 3 4 6 7 2 7 1 2 4 2 2 2 3 4 2 1 4 7 5 2 2 2 4 4 4 4 3 1 2 4 3 2	4 4 2 2 2 2 7 7 3 1 1 1 5 6 7 4 4 1 7 1 7 2 1 1 4 4 5 4 4 4 1 7 6 2 2 3 7 7 3 6 2 1 4 5 4 2 4 2 4 1 6 1 7 2		7 PAST 7 PAST 7 PAST 6 FUT. 5 7 FUT. 6 FUT. 7 PRES. 7 PRES.	5 YRS 1 DAY 8 YRS 972 YRS 322 YRS 6 YRS 4 YRS 50 YRS 196 YRS 400 YRS 3 YRS 8 YRS 5 YRS

ERIC Full text Provided by ERIC

12.

# SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #9 - WHITE

)OD-	SEMANTIC HARD-				D. 00		TIME MACH	
AD	SOFT	SLOW- FAST	BEAUT.	STRNG. -WEAK	PASS ACTVE.	PREF.	EXTE PAST	NSION FUTURE
PRES.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.			
6 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 2 4 3 1 7 2 2 2	3 3 1 4 6 4 4 3 4 3 6 1 3 2 1 6 1 1 2 6 5 4 3 4 7 1 2	5 6 2 4 4 2 7 7 3 5 6 7 7 1 7 4 4 5 1 7 6 7 7 3 4 5 4 4 1 6 1 4 4	4 4 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 4 4 1 2 1 1 4 4 4 2 2 3 6 2 1 2 4 2 1 7 2 5 4 4	5 4 1 2 2 4 3 1 1 4 3 1 4 4 3 3 3 2 4 2 1 1 1 1 4 4 4 6 7 1 1 3 4	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 6 7 7 7 7 6 2 3 5 7 7 7 1 5 6 7 7 7	PRES. PRES. PRES. PAST PAST PAST FUT. FUT. FUT.	5 YRS. 1 DAY 8 YRS. 972 YRS. 322 YRS. 6 YRS. 4 YRS. 50 YRS. 196 YRS.	7 YRS. 1 DAY 14 YRS. 20 YRS. 25 YRS. 15 YRS. 7 YRS. 50 YRS. 5 YRS. 3 YRS.
14	475	6 1 7	4 2 4	2 2 4	7 7 7 6 4 7	PRES. PRES.	3 YRS. 8 YRS.	2 YRS. 50 YRS.
2 2 1 2		2 5 5 6 7 5	2 2 2 4 4 2	2 2 2 3	4 4 6 6 7 6	PAST PREŚ.	5 YRS. 5 YRS.	3 YRS. 11 YRS.

RAW DATA FOR SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #9 - W

SUBJ.		S	EMANTIC	DIFFERENT	TIAL SCAL	ES	-		_TIME MAC
NO.	KIND- CRUEL	GOOD- BAD	HARD- SOFT	SLOW- FAST	BEAUT.	STRNG. -WEAK	PASS ACTVE.	PREF.	EXT
	PAST PRES. FUT.								
158	1 2 1	1 1 2	6 4 4	4 6 5	2 2 2	1 2 2	7 6 5	PRES.	17 YRS
159	2 2 2	2 4 1	4 4 3	5 6 5	2 3 2	5 4 2	6 5 7	FUT.	3 YRS
160	3 1 2	2 1 1	474	5 7 7	1 2 1	3 1 1	677	PRES.	1975 YRS
161 .	3 4 2	4 5 2	3 5 6	7 2 6	4 6 4	2 5 2	3 2 5	PAST	1000 YRS
162	4 3 2	3 5 3	6 3 5	6 3 5	3 4 4	5 5 4	2 5 7	FUT.	1975 YRS
163	4 1 1	3 1 1	3 7 5	476	4 1 1	3 1 2	677	FUT.	10 YRS
164	1 2 5	3 1 6	6 1 6	272	5 5 5	6 3 4	676	FUT.	8 YRS
165	1 2 1	111	5 6 7	474	2 2 1	4 2 1	5 6 7	PAST	15000 YRS
166	2 2 1	3 1 1	5 4 6	6 6 4	4 4 3	4 4 3	567	PAST	INFINITY
167	2 2 1	1 2 1	3 2 2	777	2 2 2	2 2 2	777	FUT.	2 YRS
168	3 2 2	3 2 2	6 2 2	5 6 6	3 2 2	4 2 2	5 5 6	PRES.	12 YRS
169	2 4 2	2 5 2	3 5 2	5 6 5	4 5 3	2 5 3	666	PRES.	12 YRS
170	5 4 3	6 4 3	5 4 4	454	7 6 5	6 5 4	2 3 4	FUT.	0
171	441	141	717	711	141	444	747	PRES.	2 MTN

# SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #9 - WHITE

		DIFFERENT					TIME MACHI	NE
D-	HARD-	SLOW-	BEAUT.	STRNG.	PASS	PREF.	EXTEN	SION
D	SOFT	FAST	-UGLY	-WEAK	ACTVE.		PAST	FUTURE
FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.			
2 1 1 2	6 4 4	4 6 5	2 2 2	1 2 2	7 6 5	PRES.	17 YRS.	10 YRS.
1	4 4 3 4 7 4	5 6 5 5 7 7	2 3 2	5 4 2	657	FUT.	3 YRS.	9 YRS.
1 3			1 2 1	3 1 1	6 7 7	PRES.	1975 YRS.	2000 YRS.
12		7 2 6	464	2 5 2	3 2 5	PAST	1000 YRS.	25 YRS.
3\	6 3 5	6 3 5	3 4 4	5 5 4	2 5 7	FUT.	1975 YRS.	12 YRS.
1 '	3 7 5	476	4 1 1	3 1 2	677	FUT.	10 YRS.	65 YRS.
6	616	272	5 5 5	634	676	FUT.	8 YRS.	7 YRS.
1	5 6 7	474	2 2 1	4 2 1	567	PAST	15000 YRS.	13 YRS.
1	5 4 6.	6 6 4	4 4 3	4 4 3	5 6 7	PAST	INFINITY	65 YRS.
1	3 2 2	777	2 2 2	2 2 2	777	FUT.	2 YRS.	10 YRS.
2	6 2 2	566	3 2 2	4 2 2	5 5 6	PRES.	12 YRS.	13 YRS.
2	3 5 2	5 6 5	4 5 3	2 5 3	6 6 6	PRES.	12 YRS.	6 YRS.
3	5 4 4	4 5 4	7 6 5	6 5 4	2 3 4	FUT.	0	5 YRS.
1	7 1 7	711	1 4 1	4 4 4	7 4 7			
_	, , ,	<i>,</i> т т	тнт	4 4 4	/ 4 /	PRES.	2 MINS.	2 MINS.



## RAW DATA FOR SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #6 - W

SUBJ.		SI	EMANTIC	DIFFEREN'	TIAL SCAI	LES			TIME MAC
NO.	KIND- CRUEL	GOOD- BAD	HARD- SOFT	SLOW- FAST	BEAUT.	STRNG. -WEAK	PASS ACTVE.	PREF.	EXT PAST
	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.		
172 173 174 175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184	2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 1 2 2 2 3 3 3 4 2 2 1 3 3 3 5 2 2 4 3 1 3 3 3 4 4 4	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 5 2 3 2 2 1 1 3 1 2 6 1 2 1 1 3 2 3 2 2 2 5 2 2 4 3 2 2 2 1 4 4 4	6 6 6 4 4 3 5 5 4 3 2 3 4 6 7 6 6 3 2 2 7 4 3 3 4 4 3 5 5 3 3 5 5 1 2 2 4 3 1	6 5 5 6 7 6 6 4 5 7 7 6 3 7 7 4 7 6 7 7 7 5 6 5 4 5 5 2 6 6 3 6 7	2 2 1 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 2 4 4 1 5 5 3 2 2 1 3 3 3 2 3 3 5 3 2 4 3 3 2 4 2 4 4 4	1 1 1 2 2 2 4 3 2 2 1 2 3 5 2 5 5 2 2 2 1 3 3 2 1 4 2 3 3 2 5 4 2 2 2 2 5 2 1	4 6 7 6 7 7 3 5 6 7 7 7 3 7 7 5 4 5 1 6 7 6 5 6 7 7 6 4 6 7 5 6 5 5 7 7 2 2 1	PRES. PRES. FUT. PAST PAST FUT. PRES. PRES. PAST FUT. PAST FUT. PAST FUT.	18 YRS 4 YRS 10 YRS 3 YRS 30 YRS 16 YRS 1 YR. 4 YRS 102 YRS 152 YRS 4 YRS 10 YRS 4 YRS
185	3 2 2	2 1 1	5 5 4	4 4 5	4 3 3	3 3 3	5 5 6	PRES.	3 YRS



100

13.

## MANTIC DIFFERENTIAL SCALES AND TIME MACHINE FOR SCHOOL #6 - WHITE

EMANTIC HARD-	DIFFEREN SLOW-	TIAL SCAI BEAUT.	LES STRNG.	PASS	PREF.	TIME MACHI	
SOFT	FAST	-UGLY	-WEAK	ACTVE.	r RBr.	PAST	FUTURE
PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.	PAST PRES. FUT.			
6 6 6 4 4 ·3 5 5 4 3 2 3 4 6 7 6 6 3 2 2 7 4 3 3 4 4 3 5 5 3 3 5 5 1 2 2 4 3 1	6 5 5 6 7 6 6 4 5 7 7 6 3 7 7 4 7 6 7 7 7 5 4 5 5 2 6 6 5 2 6 6 7	2 2 1 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 2 4 4 1 5 5 3 2 2 1 3 3 3 2 3 3 5 3 2 4 3 3 2 4 2 4 4 4	1 1 1 2 2 2 4 3 2 2 1 2 3 5 2 5 5 2 2 2 1 3 3 2 1 4 2 3 3 2 5 4 2 2 2 2 5 2 1	4 6 7 6 7 7 3 5 6 7 7 7 3 7 7 5 4 5 1 6 7 6 5 6 7 7 6 4 6 7 5 6 5 5 7 7 2 2 1	PRES. PRES. FUT. PAST PAST FUT. PRES. PRES. PAST FUT. PAST FUT. PAST FUT.	18 YRS. 4 YRS. 10 YRS. 3 YRS. 30 YRS. 16 YRS. 1 YR. 4 YRS. 102 YRS. 152 YRS. 4 YRS. 10 YRS.	1 SEC. 10 YRS. 10 YRS. 4 YRS. 10 MINS. 5 YRS. 15 YRS. 1 MO. 24 YRS. 29 YRS. 1 YR. 12 YRS. 25 YRS.
5 5 4	4 4 5	4 3 3	3 3 3	5 5 6	PRES.	3 YRS.	3 YRS.

UU

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC