DOCUMENT RESUME

BD 105 862

IR 001 894

AUTHOR

Jones, Frederick S.

TITLE

Classification and Budgetary Control of Serial Publications in Medium-Sized and Large Academic

Libraries and Large Public Libraries.

INSTITUTION

PUB DATE

Tufts Univ., Hedford, Hass. Univ. Library. 75

75 12p.

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MP-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 PLUS POSTAGE

Accounting; *Eudgeting; Classification; *Libraries; Library Collections: *Library Expenditures: Library

Surveys: *Library Technical Processes: Public Libraries: Questionnaires: Resource Allocations;

*Serials; Surveys; University Libraries

ABSTRAC'

Budgeting and accounting control of serials are examined based on a survey of 100 academic and public libraries. The questionnaire used in the survey stressed classification and encumbering of serials but was open-ended to encourage commentary. The lack of consensus among respondents regarding the budgetary control of serials precluded the development of a formal procedural model, but the methods used by a few of the libraries are presented as guide-posts. The results of the questionnaire are tabulated; the questionnaire is appended. (Author/KKC)



CLASSIFICATION AND BUDGETARY CONTROL OF SERIAL

PUBLICATIONS IN MEDIUM-SIZED AND LARGE ACADEMIC

LIBRARIES AND LARGE PUBLIC LIBRARIES 1

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE SENTOFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION DR POLICY

Frederick S. Jones Acquisitions Librarian Tufts University Medford, Massachusetts

IR

Budgetary and accounting control of serials are examined, based upon a survey of 100 academic and public libraries. The questionnaire stressed classification and encumbering but was spen-ended to encourage commentary. With libraries currently experiencing retrenchment, many respondents expressed concern about the perennial problems and difficulties encountered in managing serials. While the diversity of opinions and replies precluded the development of a formal procodural model, the methods used by a few of the libraries are presented as guideposts.

Retrenchment, stringency, budget squeeze, belt tightening - phrases that have become all too familiar. And it seems trite to add that these financial woes are further compounded by the erosion of perennial inflation and that librarians must plan expenditures prudently. Nevertheless, there is a dearth of information in the literature en financial matters -- especially with respect to the administration and control of the library materials' budget. The purpose of this article is to examine (1) some of the problem areas of the library materials' budget with particular emphasis upon serials; and (2) the methods emplayed in handling these ambiguous aspects, as reported in a survey of 100 medium-sized and large academic libraries and large public libraries.

Effective budgetary administration is predicated upon control which in

Throughout this article, sorials are defined as publications issued in successive parts usually at regular intervals exclusive of periodicals, but including continuations, provisional serials and standing orders. Libraries are arbitrarily classified according to size of collection as follows: medium-sized academic -- 250,000 - 1,000.000 velumes; large academic -- ever 1,000,000 velumes; large public -- ever 500,000 volumes.



turn depends upon <u>predictability</u> of expenditures — whether or not an encumbering system is used. At one end the library materials' spectrum are books. Except for occasional unknowns relative to postage and discounts, expenditures are quite predictable: orders are non-recurring and liquidated rapidly. If limited funds preclude the purchase of some titles, they can be deferred until the next budgetary pariod. At the other end of the spectrum are periodicals—continuing commitments which are subject to ever rising—"ces. Periodicals' expenditures are also relatively predictable, however. Based on past statis—tical comparisions, with reasonable accuracy one can project annual subscription rate increases. Furthermore, the options of multiple year subscriptions afford an added measure of budgetary flexibility.

Serials, on the other hand, can be the bane of a librarian's existence. For every annual review and almanac that arrive as anticipated, there will be five numbers of an irregular monograph series or an unexpected supplement of Beilstein's Handbuch. For budgetary purposes, how should serials be classified? with periodicals? with books? separately? Should all types of serials be treated similarly as a group or differently according to degree of regularity of publication? They seem to be neither fish nor fowl and constitute a gray area of budgetary uncertainty. With respect to serials' control, one can readily recognize the extremes in their treatment and the pitfalls to be encountered. For example, all serial publications, irregular or otherwise, could be considered as non-recurring vis-à-vis continuing commitments. Accordingly, items would be checked in and expensed without prior allowance in the budget. Although such a system would reduce record keeping and olerical costs, one might well be courting disaster when, in the process of paying for serials,



funds are depleted and the budget is everexpended. On the ether hand, at the outset of the new fiscal year one could diligently encumber serial funds title by title based upon prior years' expenditures. This conservative approach would not bear fruit unless there were accurate records of receivings and expenditures. Otherwise, those funds encumbered for items never published or received, e.g., irregular menographic series, standing orders, etc., would never be released for beek purchases. This could result in the ironic, embarrassing and equally disastrous possibility of underexpending the budget.

There are other matters which pose intriguing questions to the budgetminded. Periodical back files and replacements, as well as full-year microform periodical "subscriptions" of the year just past, are materials the
classification and treatment of which are not readily prescribed. One might
say that since they are all periodical-related, they should be charged thereto.
Yet it would seem equally tenable to treat them as books since they are nonrecurring. All or a pertion of "post year" microform periodicals could be
charged to binding, inasmuch as they are purchased substantially for the purpose of preservation and in lieu of binding.

The Survey: Introduction and Findings

Questiennaires were sent to 100 libraries -- 75 academic and 25 public.

While the sample was not strictly random, it could be considered representative.

With respect to academic libraries, a greater number of large than medium-sized were questiened. A total of 89 libraries replied, as follows:



	Number of Respondents
Academic	
Medium-sized	24
Large	44
Total	68
Public	21

The survey was brief but participants were encouraged to include their opinions and comments. Three questions pertained to the classification of periodical back files and replacements, full-year subscriptions on microfilm, and serials. Five queries examined in detail the extent to which serials were encumbered. The responses to these questions are tabulated below.

Table 1

CHARGING OF PERIODICAL BACK FILES, REPLACEMENTS AND FULL-YEAR SUBSCRIPTIONS ON MICROFILM TO PERIODICALS ACCOUNT

	Public	Medium	Large	Total	All Libraries
Periodical Back Files and Replacements	66.60/0	33.30/0	18.20/0	23.50/0	33.70/0
Replacements only	9.5	8.3	11.4	10.3	10.1
Fuil-year Subscriptions on Microfilm	61.9	66.7	81.8	76.4	73.0



Table 2

CLASSIFICATION OF SERIALS FOR BUDGETARY PURPOSES

	Public	Medium	Large	Total	All Libraries
Books	42.8o/o	41.60/0	13.60/0	23.50/0	28.00/0
Periodicals	23.8	33.3	56. 8	48.5	42.6
Books and Periodicals	0.0	16.8	0.0	5.9	4.7
Separately	33.4	8.3	29.6	22.1	24.7

Table 3

.ENCUMBERING OF SERIALS

	Public	Medium	Large	Total	All Libraries
In General	57.10/0	45.80/c	43.10/0	44.10/0	47.10/0
Almanacs, Annuals, Proceedings, Transactions, Yearbooks	57.1	41.6	43.1	42. 6	46.0
Regular Monographic Series	52.3	41.6	40.1	42.6	43.8
Irregular Monographic Series, Symposia	33.3	33.3	43.1	39.7	38.2
Continuations i.e., Non- Serial Sets, Provisional Serials	38.1	37.5	43.1	41.1	40.4



Libraries were asked if their fund accounting and bookkeeping operations were automated and if so whether this conditioned or modified their methods of handling serials. Twenty-eight (31.40/0) reported some degree of automation while 61 (68.60/0) replied in the negative. In the latter group, however, three libraries said that automated systems were being designed and would be operational in the near future; five other libraries expressed long-range intentions in this area. Only four of the 28 libraries reporting automated operations commented on any "conditioning" or "modifying" effects. One stated that paperwork only had been modified; two stressed beneficial aspects, e.g., central serials record, more accurate records, greater budgetary control, and relative facility in forecasting budgetary needs. One library, on the other hand, said that automation made it more difficult to keep track of changes in names and frequencies of titles.

About one-half of the respondents offered comments, many of which were quite informative. Some libraries spoke in detail about their budgetary control procedures. There was a definite agreement that serials pose a challenging problem, because they are the most difficult kinds of publications to work into a budgetary accounting system. Methods and opinions with respect to confronting and solving this problem were quite divergent, however. Most libraries, as indicated in Table 3, did not encumber serials. Some respondents at the opposite extreme encumbered title by title, estimating costs and adding price increases. A majority of the libraries that commented followed middle-of-the-road encumbering practices. While the need to anticipate serial costs was expressed, individual titles were not encumbered. In some cases regular (readily encumberable) items were differentiated from irregular (unpredictable). Still other libraries



established lump sum continuations funds against which bulk annual encumbrances were made.

Summary and Conclusion

A majority of the libraries participating in the survey did not charge periodical back files and replacements to the periodical account but did so with respect to full-year periodical subscriptions on microform. Most respondents classed serials with periodicals. Those participants classifying serials separately were mainly larger libraries which evidently received so many titles that individual treatment seemed warranted. A majority of the libraries did not encumber serials (an undetermined number probably did not encumber books either). However, most large public libraries questioned did use such a system which may indicate a stricter budgetary and accounting control required over public funds. With respect to the various categories of serials, the incidence of encumbering seemed to vary directly with the predictability or regularity of the materials, i.e., more libraries encumbered annuals and almanaes than irregular monographic series.

Respondents' comments relating to budgetary control of serials ranged from indifference to diligent cost estimation and title by title encumbering. Despite a sense of resignation and ambivalence towards encumbering. many libraries had devised a workable arrangement by charging serials to a bulk encumbrance or general continuations fund. This amount, set aside at the



Sample comments: "We would like to discontinue title encumbering but feel we do not yet have sufficient control otherwise to do so."

[&]quot;We stopped en umbering but may have to start again if financial situation worsens."

beginning of each year, was based upon historical cost coupled with an inflationary factor.

Is there one solution to the problem of effective budgetary control of serials? Considering the lack of consensus among the respondents it would be folly to develop a procedural model for all to adopt. However, with the pressing dollar squeeze a large majority would concur that, due to its growth and popularity as a publishing medium, serials' control from a budgetary and accounting standpoint is becoming necessary. In conclusion, the survey would seem to suggest the following guideposts to that end:

- 1. Examination of all serials as to cost predictability; distinguishing regular items (annuals, almanacs, proceedings, regular monographic series, etc.) from irregular items (irregular monographic series, standing orders, symposia, etc.).
- 2. Establis ment of general continuation or bulk encumbrance funds against which serials would be charged.
- 3. Consideration of computer application for title by title sorial encumbrancing.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to express his appreciation to all the respondents for their cooperation, thoroughness and informative comments. Grateful acknowledgment is likewise extended to Joseph S. Komidar, University Librarian of Tufts University for his counsel and suggesting the survey in the first place.



LIBRARIES RECEIVING THE QUESTIONNAIRE SURVEY

Amherst College University of Alabama University of Arizona University of Arkansas Augurn University Baylor University Boston University Bowdoin College Brown University Bryn Mawr College University of California Case Western Reserve University* Clemson University Columbia University University of Colorado University of Connecticut Cornell University Dartmouth College Denison University University of Denver* Duke University Emory University University c. Florida George Washington University Georgetown University Harvard University University of Illinois Indiana University University of Iowa Johns Hopkins University Kent State University Lehigh University* Loyola University University of Maine Marquette University University of Maryland* Massachusetts Institute of Technology University of Massachusetts Michigan State University* University of Minnesota University of New Hampshire City University of New York New York University State University of New York at Buffalo University of North Carolina Northwestern University Oberlin College Ohio State University University of Oklahoma Pennsylvania State University University of Pittsburgh

Princeton University Purdue University Rice University University of Rochester Rutgers University* San Diego State College San Jose State College University of Southern California Southern Illinois University Stanford University Syracuse University University of Texas, Austin Tulane University University of Virginia University of Washington Washington University Wayne State University Wellesley Callege Wesleyan University Western Connecticut State College Western Michigan University Williams College University of Wisconsin Yale University*

Atlanta Public Library Boston Public Library Buffalo & Erie County Public Library Public Library of Charlotte & Mechlenburg County Chicago Public Library Cleveland Public Library Columbus Public Library* Dallas County Public Library Denver Public Library Detroit Public Library Hartford Public Library Indianapolis-Marion County Public Library Los Angeles County Public Library System Memphis Public Library Miami Public Library Milwaukee Public Library Minneapolis Public Library New Orleans Public Library New York Public Library* Oklahoma County Libraries Omaha Public Library* Carnegie Library of Pittsburgh Sacramento City-County Library* St. Louis Public Library Seattle Public Library

^{*} An asterisk indicates non-response to the questionnaire.



SULVEY

CLASSIFICATION AND BUDGERRY AD TERROR OF THE LIVER OF TAXABILES

1.	Are periodical backfiles and replacements charged to current periodicals?								
	() yes () no								
2,	Are full-year periodical subscriptions on microform received after year-end charged to current periodicals?								
	() yes () no								
3.	For budgetary purposes are serials classed with								
	() books? () periodicals? () separately?								
4.	Is an encumbering system used for scrials?								
	() yes () no '								
5.	Are the following categories of serials encumbered at the outset of the fiscal year?								
	a) aluanacs, annuals, proceedings, transactions, yearbooks?								
	() yes () no								
	b) regular monographic series?								
	() yes · () no								
	c) irregular monographic series, symposia?								
	() yes () no								
	d) continuations i.e., non-serial sets, provisional serials?								
	() ye s () no								
	If the reply is "no" to any of the shows please indicate when oncurbaned								



6.	Are fund	accounti	ng and	bookeed	ing o	perati	ons au	ito sated	?			
	() ;e	s			Ç) no					
	If "yes",	has mui	s condi	zioned	లా అల	lified	jour	motrods	ರ್ ೆ-೧	ndling	; seri	2 15 î
7.	Comments											
THA:	EK YOU!											
	Please cl	neck if y	/ou are	intere	sted i	n rece	iving	a suma	ry of	the s	urvey	results

