DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 104 967 TH 004 556

TITLE Technical Report: The Fifth Report of the 1972-73

Michigan Educational Assessment Program.

INSTITUTION Educational Testing Service, Princeton, N.J.

SPONS AGENCY Michigan State Dept. of Education, Lansing. Research,

Evaluation, and Assessment Services.

PUB DATE Mar 74

NOTE 82p.; For related documents, see TM 004 339-342 and

555

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$4.43 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS *Achievement Tests; Basic Skills; Cognitive

Development; *Educational Assessment; Elementary School Students; *School Statistics; Scores; *State Programs; Statistical Analysis; Test Construction;

*Testing; Test Reliability; Test Validity

IDENTIFIERS *Michigan Educational Assessment Program

ABSTRACT

The 1972-73 Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP) provided information on 22 measures of students and schools. While some of the data were gathered in routine Michigan Department of Education reports, student performance data were gathered using the Michigan Assessment of Basic Skills battery, Form VMT during January 1973. The battery consisted of four tests: Word Relationships, Reading, Mechanics of Written English, and Mathematics. For each of the four tests at each grade level, the raw score distribution was transformed into an equated standard score corresponding to 1969-70 assessment tests. While some of the reliability estimates of the brief subtests are not high enough for the assessment of individual students, the estimates for the four tests and the composite scores are sufficiently high for that purpose. None of the tests was unduly speeded. A majority of the fourth grade achievement tests were somewhat difficult for students at grade 4 and a majority of the seventh grade tests were of somewhat less than average difficulty for seventh graders. The tests contain items that possess acceptable to excellent ability to discriminate between high- and low-scoring students. (Author/DEP)

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

EDUCATION

THIS DOLUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGAN ZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR UPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSAP LY HEPRE
SENT OF RICHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY


"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS COPY-RIGHTED MATERIAL HAS EEEN GRANTED BY

DOROTHY WEBAN

TO ERIC AND ORGANIZATIONS OPERATING UNDER AGREEMENTS WITH THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION FURTHER REPRODUCTION OUTSIDE THE ERIC SYSTEM REQUIRES PERMISSION OF THE COPYRIGHT OWNER.

Technical Report

THE FIFTH REPORT OF THE 1972-73 MICHIGAN EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM



TECHNICAL REPORT OF THE

1972-73 MICHIGAN EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT BATTERY

Copyright 1974

Educational Testing Service



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
The 1972-73 Michigan Educational Assessment Program	2
Tests of Basic Skills	4
Development and Review of Items	4
Description of the Tests of Basic Skills	6
Scale and Reported 3core Development	9
Psychometric Properties of the Tests of Basic Skills	10
Validity	12
Reliability	16
Speededness	17
Difficulty	17
Discrimination	19
Correlations	20
Summary	23
Appendix A	A-1
Appendix B	B-1
Appendix C	C-1
Appendix D	D-1



INTRODUCTION

The Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP) is designed to assess educational needs in the state. MEAP provides basic information about the educational attainments of fourth and seventh graders and the allocation of resources for all Michigan school districts. A complete description of the Michigan Educational Assessment Program may be found in the publication entitled Objectives and Procedures 1, which was the first report of the 1972-73 MEAP.

The primary function of this report is to provide the technical information needed to evaluate the instruments and techniques used in the 1972-73 educational assessment program. The report is intended primarily for people with some expertise in psychometrics, such as directors of research, research consultants, and school counselors.

Objectives and Procedures of the Michigan Educational Assessment Program, 1972-73. 1972-73 Assessment Report No. 1, 1972. Lansing, Michigan: Michigan Department of Education.



THE 1972-73 MICHIGAN EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

The 1972-73 Michigan Educational Assessment Program provided information on twenty-two measures of students and schools. A list of these measures is presented in Table 1. They can be classified into four major categories: school resources; student background; school and student performance; and, school or school district size. A complete definition of each measure is given in the Local District and School Report:

Explanatory Materials 1. The report that follows provides technical information about the development and reporting of school and student performance measures. Included for the first time this year are correlations computed at the district and school levels.

In January, 1973, all students who were receiving regular classroom instruction in the content areas of reading, English, and mathematics at the fourth and seventh grade levels in the public schools of Michigan participated in the educational assessment program. Table 2 shows the number of districts, schools, and students from or about which information was obtained for the 1972-73 assessment program. The information in this table represents the maximum number of units from which the data were obtained. The numbers reported in Table 2 may vary from the numbers of schools and districts reported elsewhere. These differences arise because data for some schools and districts were not available for every variable and because schools and districts which tested fewer than five students, as well as all non K-12 districts, were not included in normative distributions nor in the computation of correlations.

Local District & School Report: Explanatory Materials. The Third Report of the 1972-73 Michigan Education Program. Lansing, Michigan Michigan Department of Education, April, 1973.



TABLE 1.

$\stackrel{\cdot}{\text{measures and sourcfs of basic data}} ^1$

		MEASURES	District	SOURCE School	Student
I.	Scho	ol Resources			
	A.	Human Resources			
		 Profissional Instructional Staff per 1,000 pupils Teachers per 1,000 pupils Average Years of Teaching Experience Percent of Teachers with Masters Degree Average Contracted Salary of Teachers 		X X X X	
	В.	District Financial Resources (1971-72)			
		(6) State Equalized Valuation per Resident Member (7) Local Revenue per Pupil (8) State School Aid per Pupil (9) K-12 Instructional Expense per Pupil (10) Elementary Instructional Expense per Pupil (11) Total Current Operating Expense per Pupil (12) Total Operating Millage	x x x x x		
II.	Stu	lent Background			
		(13) Percent of Racial-Ethnic Minority		X	
III.	Sch	ool/Student Performance			
	A.	Developed Verbal Ability			
		(14) Word Relationships			X
	В.	Basic Skills Measures			
		(15) Reading(16) Mechanics of Written English(17) Mathematics(18) Basic Skills Composite Achievement			X X X X
	C.	Dropout Rate			
		(19) School Dropout Rate (1971-72)	X		
IV.	Sch	ool or District Size			
		 (20) Total Membership² (21) Grade 4 Membership² (22) Grade 7 Membership² 		X X X	

 $^{^{1}}$ Unless otherwise indicated, data for these measures were collected in 1972-73.

 $^{^{3}\}mathrm{New}$ since the 1971-72 MEAP program.



 $^{^2}$ Substantially changed since the 1971-72 MEAP program.

NUMBERS OF DISTRICTS, SCHOOLS, AND STUDENTS PARTICIPATING IN THE 1971-72 ASSESSMENT PROGRAM

Grade	No. of Districts	No. of Schools	No. of Students
4	593	2,462	160,615
7	570	878	163,925

TESTS OF BASIC SKILLS

The 1972-73 tests of basic skills consisted of four tests: Word Relationships, and achievement tests in Reading, Mechanics of Written English, and Mathematics. Like its predecessors, specifications for the battery were developed cooperatively by staff from Educational Testing Service, committees of Michigan teachers and other educators, and the Michigan Department of Education.

Test items for the tests were reviewed and final tests constructed by six different test committees with the aid of Educational Testing

Service developmental specialists. The following is a description of the process used to develop the specifications, select the items and construct the final tests.

Development and Review of Items

In February, 1971, members of the Michigan Department of Education began to explore ways of getting Michigan teachers and administrators more involved in the test development process of the Michigan Educational Assessment Program. They were particularly interested in the formation of committees which would enable them to bring the ideas, knowledge, and experience of Michigan educators to bear on the problem of making the



Michigan Assessment of Basic Skills a more appropriate test for Michigan students.

In June the Department solicited nominations for committee membership by contacting appropriate Michigan education associations. From the nominees, the Department formed six test committees — one for each of three subject matter areas (English, reading, and mathematics) at each of two grade levels (fourth and seventh). In general, each committee was composed of an administrator, subject matter specialists, and classroom teachers with a strong background in the subject matter.

The committees formed in 1971 continued their work in preparing the tests for this 1972-73 administration. They participated in workshops that included representatives from the Department and test specialists from Educational Testing Service. (Committee members attending the 1972 meetings are listed in Appendix C.) In the course of the workshops held August 28 and 29, 1972, content specifications from previous years were reviewed and revised. Equating items were selected from form UMT (administered January, 1972) to be used in form VMT (administered January, 1973). The number of items in the Word Relationship test for seventh grade was reduced from 50 to 38. The sequence of tests was altered so that the Reading test would preceed the Word Relationship test for both grade 4 and 7. The number of items in the Reading test for grade 7 was increased from 50 to 60 items and a separately timed section containing synonyms was added. A separately timed section containing 15 grammar and usage items was added to the seventh grade Mechanics of Written English test. To accommodate this addition the number of effectiveness of written expression items was



reduced from 18 to 15, the number of capitalization and punctuation items was reduced from 20 to 14, and the length of the test was allowed to increase from 60 to 64 items.

The test development specialists from Educational Testing Service provided information about item statistics and guidance in the techniques of reviewing and revising test items. The committee members spent considerable time reviewing and discussing new materials which had been pretested in the 1972 administration and, where appropriate, these were drawn upon for the 1972-73 assessment program.

Description of the Tests of Basic Skills

The achievement portion of the assessment battery contained individually timed tests in Word Relationships, Reading, Mechanics of Written English, and Mathematics. Different forms of the tests were administered at the two grade levels, but they all consisted of four-option multiple choice questions. Table 3 shows the number of items and the time limits for each section and sub-section of the tests for each grade level. Content specifications and item classifications for each test will be found in Appendix B.

The Word Relationships test was designed to measure the student's knowledge of the meaning of words and the relationships between words and concepts.

The Reading test assessed paragraph comprehension, ability to understand words from the context in which they are encountered, and ability to identify the correct synonym for a word.



-6-

The Mechanics of Written English test consisted of four parts:

part A, spelling, asked students to identify misspelled words; part Bl,

effectiveness of written expression, required students to select the best

way of expressing a thought; part B2, grammar and usage, asked students

to recognize grammatical errors; and part C, punctuation and capitalization,

asked students to recognize errors of punctuation and capitalization.

The Mathematics test involved mathematical reasoning and problem solving. In addition, problems in the seventh grade test involved algebraic and geometric concepts.

-7-

NUMBERS OF QUESTIONS AND TIME LIMITS FOR EACH SEPARATELY-TIMES PART OF THE ASSESSMENT BATTERY (BY GRADE)

TABLE 3.

		Grade	4	<u>Grade</u>	2 7
		Question	s <u>Time</u>	Question	s Time
Word Rel	lationships	45	20	38	15
Reading					
A. B.	Synonyms Reading Comprehension	50	35	15 45	5 35
Mechanic	s of Written English				
A. Bl.	Spelling Effectiveness of Written	15	5	20	6
	Expression	14	9	15	9
	Grammar and Usage Punctuation and Capitalization	14	8	15	8
	(Part C for Grade 7)	12	8	14	7
(Total M Engl	echanics o. Written ish)	(55)	(30)	(64)	(30)
Mathemat	ics	40	30	46	30



Scale and Reported Score Development

The raw score on each of the tests of basic skills is the number of questions the student answered correctly. For each of the four tests at each grade level, the raw score distribution was tra into standard score distribution equated to corresponding 1969-70 assessment tests.

Equating was performed to associate a single scale with each of the four years' test forms. Separate equatings were necessary for each of the four tests (Word Relationships, Reading, Mechanics of Written English and Mathematics) for each year. First, the January 1970 scores were scaled with a mean of 50 and a standard deviation of 10. Then, the 1971 tests were equated to their 1970 counterparts. Next, the 1972 tests were equated through the 1971 scores to the 1970 scale. Then, the 1973 tests were equated to 1972 scores to the 1970 scale. Thus, all four years' test forms have common scales for each test—those of the 1970 test form.

To implement the equating it was necessary to have a minimum of approximately twenty items per test in common from one year to the next. These common items provided "anchor" tests through which the equating was performed. The Levine method of equating was utilized throughout.



Levine, R.S., Equating the Score Scales of Alternate Forms Administered to Samples of Different Ability. Princeton, N.J.: Educational Testing Service, 1955. (Research Bulletin 55-23)

After these transformations, a Composite Achievement score was computed for each student. It consisted of the average of his or her standard scores on Reading, Mechanics of Written English, and Mathematics. Appendix A presents the raw and equated standard score distributions, the conversion parameters, and the percentile ranks for each test at each grade level. It also gives the equated standard score distribution and the percentile ranks for the Composite Achievement scores at each grade level.

Five equated standard scores were reported for each student tested in 1972-73: Word Relationships, Reading, Mechanic: of Written English, Mathematics, and Composite Achievement.

Psychometric Properties of the Tests of Basic Skills

At each of the grade levels, 4 and 7, a spaced sample of approximately 1,000 answer sheets was drawn from the answer sheets of all the students who participated in the program. These answer sheets provided the data for determining the psychometric properties of the four achievement tests: Word Relationships, Reading, Mechanics of Written English, and Mathematics, and the Composite Achievement score. Table 4 shows the summary statistics for these tests for the samples and the total groups. Inspection of that table indicates that the fourth grade sample is slightly less able than the fourth grade total group, all the sample means being a little lower than the total group mean. Inasmuch as no difference is as large as one raw score point, the standard deviations are in close agreement, and there are no statistically significant



-10-

differences, the differences are judged to be of little practical significance to the analyses. It is assumed, therefore, that the statistics that follow which are based on the sample will also describe the total group.

For the seventh grade the sample is more able and slightly less variable, on the average, than the total group. The numerical differences between the means, however, are small; hence, the sample is considered quite satisfactory for analysis purposes.

TAPLE 4.

SUMMARY STATISTICS^a ON ACHIEVEMENT TESTS FOR SAMPLE AND TOTAL POPULATIONS

		Grad	e 4		Grade 7			
	Samp	le	Tot	al	Samp	1e	Tot	al
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Word Relationships	24.91	9.17	25.43	9.25	22.62	6.85	22.01	6.98
Reading	30.75	10.44	31.16	10.32	41.26	11,30	.40.52	11.62
Mechanics of Written English	34.03	10.50	34.36	10.61	43,20	10.74	42.45	10.97
Mathematics	24.46	7,71	24.76	7.77	24.48	7.82	24.08	7.84



^aIn raw score units

Tables 5 and 6 present the intercorrelations among the tests at grades 4 and 7 respectively, and Table 7 presents data on reliability, speededness, difficulty, and discrimination.

<u>Validity</u>: The content validity of the achievement rests, which is of utmost importance, can be judged by reviewing the procedures used in their development and by inspecting the classification of the test questions into content categories. The development of these tests has been described in an earlier section of this report, and test specifications and classification of test items are presented in APPENDIX B. Examination of these sources of information indicates that the tests have high content validity.

The extent to which the tests measure different achievement may be judged by the size of the correlation coefficients reported in Tables 5, 6, and 7. In those tables the intercorrelations among the separately-timed tests and the reliabilities of the tests at the fourth grade level and at the seventh grade level are shown. The correlations of the scores on the separately-timed sections of the Mechanics of Written English test with the total Mechanics of Written English score and the Reading subtests scores at grade 7 with the total Reading score are not reported since these correlations are spuriously high due to the contribution each subtest makes to the total score.

The extent to which each subtest makes a unique contribution to the achievements being measured may be judged by considering the differences between the correlation of the subtest with other subtests and the reliability of both subtests. If the correlation is lower than either reliability



-12-

TABLE 5.

INTERCORRELATIONS AMONG ACHIEVEMENT TESTS - GRADE 4

		MECHANICS OF WRITTEN ENGLISH					
	READ- ING	TOTAL	A	В1	В2	С	MATH
Word Relationships	.80	.78	.66	.68	.69	.63	.75
Reading		.82	.68	.73	.72	.61	.75
English: Total							.78
Section A: Spelling				.60	.60	•55	•62
Section Bl: Effective Expression					.69	.57	.65
Section B2: Usage						.60	.70
Section C: Punctuation, Capitalization							.66



TABLE 6.

INTERCORRELATIONS AMONG ACHIEVEMENT TESTS - GRADE 7

	RE	ADING		MECHANICS	OF W	RITTE	n eng	LISH	
	TOTAL	A	В	TOTAL.	A	B1	В2	С	MATH
Word Relationships	.81	.71	.79	•74	.60	.62	.64	.60	.77
Reading: Total A B			.73	.80 .68 .79	.65 .58 .63	.70 .57 .70	.70 .56 .69	.63 .53 .62	.78 .65 .77
English: Total					-				.73
Section A: Spelling						.61	•55	•57	•56
Section B1: Effective Expression B2 Usage							.67	.58 .57	.64 .62
Section C: Punctuation, Capitaliza- tion									.64



18

TABLE 7. STATISTICAL PROPERTIES OF ACHIEVEMENT TESTS BY GRADE

	Reliability ^l	lityl	Standard ² Error of Measurement	ard ² of		SseupepeedS	dness		Difficulty ³	ulty ³	Discrim	Discrimination ⁴
	Gr. 4	Gr. 7	Gr. 4	Gr. 7	G)	Gr. 4	Ö	Gr. 7	Gr. 4	Gr. 7	Gr. 4	Gr. 7
					% com- pleting	% reaching 3/4 point	% com- pleting	% reaching 3/4 point	%	%		
Word Relationships	06.	98•	2.9	2.6	83.3	93.6	92.4	98.1	55.4	59.5	.55	.52
Reading	.92	.93	2,9	3.0	82.1	94.1	NA	NA	61.5	68.8	.57	.57
A. B.	* * * *	.76	K *	1.6 2.6	* * * *	< * < *	92.3 91.8	9.86	< *	70.4	* *	285
Written English	.91	.91	3.1	3.2	NA	NA	NA	NA	61.9	67.5	.53	.51
A Spelling	.74	.82	1.6	1.8	93.3	97.2	7.46	98.2	0.09	64.5	•50	•54
Bl Effective Expression	.79	.80	1.6	1.4	6*68	96.1	96.1	98.7	61.1	76.1	.55	•59
B2 Usage	.79	89•	1.5	1.5	7*96	98.8	98.3	8.86	69.2	74.5	09.	.45
C Punctuation, Capitalization	.70	.67	1.5	1.7	9*76	98.5	6*56	98.7	56.5	55.1	.48	.43
Mathematics	68.	- 88	2.6	2.6	9.68	97.0	94.5	98.6	61.2	61.2	•56	.57
Composite Achievement	76.	*96*	1.7	1.7	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

¹ Kudar-Richardson (20), *See text



In standard score units

 $^{^3}$ Mean as a percentage of number of items

^{4&}lt;sub>Mean item-total r_{bis}</sub>

^{**}These statistics were not computed since scores on the subtests were not reported.

then each subtest is contributing independently to the measurement. If the correlation is equal to or greater than either reliability, then little or no unique measurement is being contributed by using both measures. For example, Table 5 shows the correlation between part A and part Bl of the 4th grade Mechanics of Written English test to be .60. The reliabilities of these two parts are .74 and .79 respectively. Since the correlation of .60 is considerably below either reliability, .74 and .79, it is clear that each subtest is making a unique contribution to the total score.

Reliability: The reliability estimates reported in Table 7 are measures of internal consistency based on a single administration. As such, they indicate the extent co which the items that make up the test measure the same thing.

The reliability estimates for the separately-timed parts were computed using the Kuder Richardson formula 20; those for the Mechanics of Written English total score and for the Composite Achievement score in both batteries as well as the Reading total score in grade 7 were computed using this formula:

Reliability = 1 -
$$\frac{\sum w^2 SE_{meas}^2}{\sigma t^2}$$

For the Mechanics of Written English and Reading total scores, the weights, \underline{w} were each unity; the standard errors of measurement were those of the subsections; and σt^2 was the variance of the total score. For the Composite Achievement score, the weights were the appropriate A values in the conversion equations (see <u>Conversion Data</u>, APPENDIX A), the standard errors of measurement were those for the three tests (Reading, Mechanics of Written English, and Mathematics), and σt^2 was the composite score variance.



-16-

As can be seen from Table 7, the reliability estimates reported for the subtests of the Mechanics of Written English Test range from .67 to .82 indicating that these brief subtests are not reliable enough for the assessment of individual students. The estimates reported for the four achievement tests and the composite score, however, range from .86 and .97 indicating that these tests and the composite score are sufficiently reliable for that purpose.

The standard errors of measurement, which are indices of the reliability of individual test scores, reported in Table 7, are sufficiently low to justify the use of these four tests and of the Composite Achievement score in the assessment of individual students.

Speededness: A test is usually judged to be unspeeded if virtually all of the students reach a point three quarters of the way through the test and eighty percent of the students reach the last question. Inspection of the speededness data in Table 7 indicates that the tests at both grade levels were not unduly speeded. While not everyone reached the three quarter point in any test, in each instance more than eighty percent reached the last question.

<u>Difficulty</u>: The data reported for this property of the tests is the avera number of items answered correctly by the sample, expressed as a percentage of the number of items in the test. A test of middle average difficulty is preferable for maximum reliability. In a test consisting of questions with four alternative answers, middle or average difficulty would



Remmers, H. H. and Gage, N. L. <u>Educational Measurement and Evaluation</u>, Revised Edition 1955, Harper and Brothers, New York, pp. 140-141.

be represented by 62.5%. This figure is based on the assumption that "average" students would know the answers to half of the questions and get a quarter of the remaining questions right by guessing.

Inspection of the percentage indicators of difficulty in Table 7 reveals that the majority of the achievement tests including the subtests of Mechanics of Written English, were somewhat difficult for the students at grade 4. Only the English Usage subtest could be judged as being easier than middle difficulty. The grade 7 data show that a majority of the tests including subtests in Reading and Mechanics of Written English were easier than middle difficulty. Only the Mathematics and Word Relationships tests and the capitalization-punctuation subtests could be judged as being rather difficult.

Additional information about the difficulty of the fourth grade tests is summarized on page A-11 in APPENDIX A. At the top of this page are frequency distributions of the difficulty index, delta. A four-choice item that is known by one-half of the group and answered at random by the remainder would be expected to yield a delta of about 11.71. For example, the observed mean for Word Relationships exceeds this middle-difficulty reference value by more than one half a delta point.

More important, perhaps, than the average difficulty is the spread of difficulty among the items. When the group to be tested represents a broad range of ability and when discrimination at both extremes of the ability range is required, then it is necessary that the items cover a very wide difficulty range. Under those circumstances items of middle difficulty for the group as a whole may be altogether too easy for one extreme group and

A fuller description of the difficulty index, delta, may be found on page 140 of Thorndike, Robert L. (ed.), Educational Measurement Second Edition:
Washington: American Council on Education, 1971.



appears to be well represented, but there are few items with deltas in the range of 6.0 to 9.0 in most of the tests. The inclusion of even a few items within this range in each test would materially reduce the proportions of scores that fall in the chance area. It should perhaps be noted here that, since the sample is a little less able than the total group, the deltas are slight overestimates, but no mean discrepancy is likely to exceed 0.2 delta point.

Item statistics for the seventh grade tests are summarized on page A-12 of APPENDIX A. At the top of the page are frequency distributions of the difficulty index, delta. The observed means for the four seventh grade tests lie within 10.7 and 11.9, and three of the four are somewhat higher than the middle-difficulty reference value. Here, the upper portion of the item difficulty range appears to be fairly represented, but a few additional items with deltas in the range of 6.0 to 9.0 in those parts with high proportions of scores in the chance area would result in fewer such scores.

<u>Discrimination</u>: An indication of the extent to which each test or subtest is internally consistent, i.e., consists of items that discriminate between high- and low-scoring students, can be found in the last two columns of Table 7. In each instance the index of discrimination reported there is the mean biserial correlation between scores on the entire test and dichotomized (right vs. wrong) item responses. The mean correlation range, which extends from .43 for the punctuation and capitalization subtest at the seventh grade to .60 for the grammar and usage subtest at grade four, indicates that the tests and subtests are composed of items that possess acceptable to excellent ability to discriminate between high- and low-scoring students.



-19-

At the bottom of page A-11 are distributions of the biserial correlations between item scores and criterion scores for the fourth grade tests. The criterion for the items in the Mechanics of Written English part scores is the 55 item total score. Otherwise, the criterion for each set is the score on the test noted at the top of the same column. Mean values of $r_{\rm bis}$ range from .48 for the Mechanics of Written English punctuation and capitalization subtest to .60 for the Mechanics of Written English grammar and usage subtest.

At the bottom of page A-12 are distributions of the biserial correlations between item scores and criterion scores for the seventh grade tests. The criterion score for the items in the subtests of the Mechanics of Written English test is the 64-item total score; similarly, the total score on the Reading test is the criterion score for the items that comprise the Reading subtests. Otherwise, the criterion for each set is the score on the test noted at the top of the same column. Mean values range from .43 for the punctuation and capitalization subtest to .59 for the effectiveness of written expression subtest.

CORRELATIONS

Product moment correlation coefficients were computed to investigate the relationships between pairs of means of student and school measures at the district level and at the school level. These correlation coefficients were computed using:

$$r = \frac{\Sigma xy}{N\sigma x\sigma y}$$

where x is the deviation of each mean, X, from the average of the means, Mx; y is the deviation of each mean, Y, from the average of the means, My; N is the number of units (districts or schools) involved in the calculations; and the two sigmas, σx and σy are the standard deviations of their respective distributions.



-20-

The six intercorrelation matrices that resulted from the application of this formula to various sets of data from the 1972-73 Assessment Program will be found in APPENDIX D. The coefficients presented in these matrices are an estimate of the relationships between pairs of: district means (27 measures), weighted district means (24 measures), school means at Grade 4 (13 measures), weighted school means at Grade 4 (11 measures), school means at Grade 7 (13 measures), and weighted school means at Grade 7 (11 measures). District mean and school mean correlation coefficients are an estimate of the relationship between two measures when each district or school, regardless of size, is given a unit weight in the computations. In these computations, the per pupil contribution to each relationship varies inversely with the size of the district or school membership. The weighted district mean and school mean correlation coefficients are an estimate of the relationship between two measures when each student is given equal weight in the computations regardless of the size of the district or school in which he is enrolled. District means were weighted by multiplying the mean of each district by the total membership of that district; school means for grade 4 and grade 7 were weighted by multiplying each school mean by the appropriate school membership.

Many of the correlation coefficients reported in APPENDIX D are spuriously high because one measure is an integral part of another. For example, Professional Instruction Staff per 1,000 pupils, the first measure, includes Teachers per 1,000 pupils, the second measure. Similarly, the Composite Achievement Scores at both grades are an average of the scores obtained in each of the basic skill areas. The interpretation of the coefficients purporting to estimate the relationship between such measures should be undertaken with caution.



At the district level, the correlation coefficients between pairs of means range from .0009 for the relationship between K-12 Instructional Expense per Pupil and seventh grade Reading scores to .9654 for the relationship between seventh grade Reading scores and seventh grade Composite Achievement scores. The latter coefficient is spuriously high, however. Correlation coefficients for weighted district means range for .0010 for the relationship between the Percent of Teachers with Master's Degrees and fourth grade English scores to .9909 for the relationship between seventh grade Composite Achievement scores and seventh grade Reading scores. Again, the latter coefficient is spuriously high.

At the fourth grade level, the correlation coefficients between school means range from .0042 for the relationship between Professional Instruction Staff per 1,000 Pupils and Average Contracted Salary of Teachers to .9799 for the relationship between Composite Achievement scores and English scores. The coefficients for the weighted school means range from .0017 for the relationship between Percent of Racial-ethnic Minority Students and Average Years Teaching Experience to .9847 for the relationship between Composite Achievement scores and English scores. In these instances, also, the relationship between Composite Achievement scores and English scores is spuriously high.

At the seventh grade level, the lowest correlations for school means are .0032 for the relationship between Total Membership and Average Teacher Experience and .0033 for the relationship between Word Relationships and Average Contracted Salary of Teachers. The highest coefficient, again a spurious one, is .9831 for the relationship between Composite Achievement scores and Reading scores.



-22-

SUMMARY

The 1972-73 Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP) provided information on twenty-two measures of students and schools. While some of the data were gathered in routine Michigan Department of Education reports, student performance data were gathered using the Michigan Assessment of Basic Skills battery, Form VMT during January 1973.

The battery consisted of four tests: Word Relationships, Reading, Mechanics of Written English, and Mathematics. For each of the four tests at each grade level, the raw score distribution was transformed into an equated standard score corresponding to 1969-70 assessment tests.

Committees of Michigan educators assisted in the development and review of the items. In so doing and because of the representativeness of the content domain, the tests can be judged as having high content valuity. By comparing correlations among the separately-timed tests and the test reliabilities it can be determined that the tests measure different achievement areas.

While some of the reliability estimates of the brief subtests are not high enough for the assessment of individual students, the estimates for the four tests and the composite scores are sufficiently high for that purpose. None of the tests was unduly speeded.

A majority of the fourth grade achievement tests were somewhat difficult for students at grade 4 and a majority of the seventh grade tests were of somewhat less than average difficulty for seventh graders. The tests contain items that possess acceptable to excellent ability to discriminate between high- and low-scoring students.



-23-

APPENDIX A

Tests of Basic Skills

Raw and Standard Score Distributions,

Conversion Parameters, and Percentile Ranks



Test Analysis of Form VMT Grade 4 Word Relationships

Raw Score X	Standard Score Y	f	Percentile Rank of Lower Limit of Interval
45	72	254	99.8
42-44	69-71	4412	97.1
39-41	65–67	9943	90.8
36-38	61–64	12846	82.7
33-35	58-60	13853	73.9
30-32	54-57	14763	64.6
27-29	51-53	15886	54.6
24-26	47-49	17213	43.7
21-23	43–46	17154	32.9
18-20	40-42	16118	22.7
15-17	36-38	14108	13.8
12-14	32-35	11521	6.6
9-11	29-31	7212	2.0
6-8	25-28	2575	0.4
3-5	22-24	533	0.1
0-2	18-20	86	0.0
		158477	

M _x = raw score mean	$M_{X} = \underline{25.43}$
$\sigma_{\mathbf{X}}$ = standard raw score	$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}} = 9.25$
M_y = standard score mean	$M_y = 48.6$
σ_y = standard deviation of standard scores	$\sigma_y = 11.1$
$Md_{\mathbf{X}}$ = median of raw scores,	$Md_{x} = \frac{25.21}{(45 \text{ items})}$

Conversion Data

Reprint of Form UMT. Scale established for Form SMT with mean of 50 and standard deviation of 10.

Y = 1.2025 X + 18.0572



Test Analysis of Form VMT

Grade 4

Reading

Raw Score X	Standard Score Y	f	Percentile Rank of Lower Limit of Interval
48-50	6668	3210	98.0
45–47	63–65	9 977	91.7
42-44	60-62	15411	82.0
39-41	5 7– 59	17418	71.0
36-38	55-56	17447	60.0
33-35	52-54	16142	49.8
30-32	49-51	14423	40.7
27-29	46-48	12651	32.7
24-26	43-45	11425	25.5
21-23	40-42	10435	18.9
18-20	37–39	9595	12.9
15–17	34–36	8787	7.3
12-14	3133	6769	3.1
9–11	28-30	3484	0.9
6–8	25-27	1088	0.2
3–5	23-24*	260	0.02
0-2	20-22	37	0.00
		158559	

$M_{X} = 31.16$	Conversion Data
$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}} = \underline{10.32}$	Converted to Michigan
$M_y = 49.8$	scale through scores on 45 word relationships items.
$\sigma_y = 9.6$	"" I DIGETONO ILPO ICCINO
$Md_{x} = 32.56$	A B
	Y = 0.9708 X + 19.5878
(50 irems)	



Test Analysis of Form VMT

Grade 4

Mechanics of Written English

Raw Score X	Standard Score Y	f	Percentile Rank of Lower Limit of Interval
54-55	68-69	741	99.5
51-53	65–67	5095	96.3
48-50	62-64	10539	89.7
45–47	60-61	14458	80.5
42-44	57-59	16212	70.3
39-41	54-56	16381	60.0
36-38	51-53	15851	50.0
33-35	48-50	14423	40.8
30-32	45-47	13506	32.3
27-29	43-44	12027	24.7
24-26	40-42	10489	18.1
21-23	3739	8996	12.4
18-20	34-36	7586	7.6
15-17	31-33	5951	3.9
12-14	28-30	3828	1.5
9-11	26-27	1750	. 0.4
6-8	22-24	444	0.1
3-5	19-21	105	0.01
0-2	17-18	21	0.00
		158403	

$M_{X} = 34.36$	Conversion Data
$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}} = \underline{10.61}$	Converted to Michigan
$M_y = 49.5$	scale through scores on 25 items in common with Form
$\sigma_y = 10.0$	UMT.
$Md_{x} = 35.51$	A B
(55 items)	Y = 0.9436 X + 17.0786

Test Analysis Form VMT
Grade 4
Mathematics

		 	
Raw Score X	Standard Score Y	f	Percentile Rank of Lower Limit of Interval
40	70	514	99.7
38-39	67-68	4069	97.1
36-37	65-66	7697	92.2
34-35	62-64	10661	85.5
32-33	60-61	12766	77.4
30-31	57-59	13738	68.8
28-29	55-56	14132	59.8
26-27	52-54	14095	50.9
24-25	50-51	13684	42.3
22-23	47–49	12898	34.1
20-21	45-46	11756	26.7
18-19	43-44	10393	20.1
16-17	40-41	9110	14.4
14-15	38-39	7918	9.4
12-13	35-36	6502	5.3
10-11	33–34	4508	2.4
8-9	30–31	2462	0.9
6–7	28-29	980	0.2
4-5	25-27	293	0.1
2-3	23-24	68	0.01
0-1	20-22	14	0.00
		158258	

 $M_X = 24.76$

 $\sigma_{\mathbf{X}} = \underline{7.77}$

 $M_y = 50.9$

 $\sigma_y = 9.6$

 $Md_{x} = \underline{25.29}$

(40 items)

Conversion Data

Converted to Michigan scale through scores on 21 items in common with Form UMT.

$$A B Y = 1.2330 X + 20.3475$$

~~4 32



Test Analysis of Form VMT Grade 4 Composite Achievement

Standard Score Y	f	Percentile Rank of Lower Limit of Interval
69	14	99.99
66-68	1929	98.8
63-65	8970	93.1
60–62	15819	83.1
57-59	19219	70.9
54-56	19047	58.9
51-53	17775	47.7
48–50	15864	37.6
45–47	14404	28.5
42-44	12814	20.4
39-41	10789	13.6
26-38	9284	7.7
33–35	7386	3.0
30-32	3962	0.5
27-29	744	0.1
24-26	97	
21-23	19	
	158136	
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

 $M_y = 50.1$ $\sigma_y = 9.1$

 $Md_{x} = 51.1$

Conversion Data

Average of Reading, Mechanics of Written · English, and Mathematics scaled scores.

Possible score: 19-69.



Test Analysis of Form VMT

Grade 7

Word Relationships

·			 _
Raw Score X	Standard Score Y	f	Percentile Rank of Lower Limit of Interval
38	72	86	99.9
36-37	69–71	1223	99.2
34-35	66-68	4522	96.4
32–33	64-65	8505	91.1
30-31	61-62	12143	83.6
28-29	58-59	14174	74.8
26-27	55–56	15241	65.4
24-25	52–53	15600	55.7
22-23	49–50	15267	46.2
20-21	46-47	14567	37.2
18-19	43-44	13550	28.8
16-17	40-41	13048	20.7
14-15	37–38	12165	13.2
12-13	34-36	9761	7.2
10-11	31-33	6335	3.2
8–9	28-30	3327	1.2
6–7	25-27	1359	0.3
4-5	2224	426	0.1
2-3	19-21	102	0.01
0-1	16-18	14	0.00
		161409	

 $M_{x} = 22.01$

 $\sigma_{\mathbf{x}} = \underline{6.98}$

 $M_y = 48.8$

 $\sigma_y = 10.3$

 $Md_{X} = \underline{22.32}$

(38 items)

Conversion Data

Converted to Michigan scale through scores on 38 items in common with Form UMT.

A B Y = 1.4732 X + 16.3636

A-6

34

13

Test Analysis of Form VMT

Grade 7

Reading

Raw Score X	Standard Score Y	f	Percentile Rank of Lower Limit of Interval
60	65	807	99.5
57-59	63-64	8248	94.4
54-56	60–62	13635	86.0
51-53	58-60	15154	76.6
48-50	56-57	15597	66.9
45-47	53–55	15118	57.6
42-44	51-52	14610	48. 5
39-41	48–50	1 3399	40.3
36-38	46–48	12476	32.5
33-35	43–45	11300	25.5
30-32	41–43	10114	19.3
27-29	39-40	8545	14.0
24-26	36-38	7058	9.6
21-23	34-35	5655	6.1
18-20	31-33	4276	3 . 5
15-17	29-31	2984	1.6
12-14	26-28	1708	0.6
9-11	24-25	684	0.2
6-8	21-23	197	0.04
3-5	19-20	49	0.01
0-2	16–18	15	0.00
		161629	

 $M_{x} = 40.52$ $\sigma_{x} = 11.62$ $M_{y} = 49.6$ $\sigma_{y} = 9.4$ $M_{d_{x}} = 41.99$

(60 items)

Conversion Data

Converted to Michigan scale through scores on 38 word relationships items.

A BY = 0.8069 X + 16.8707

A-7

Test Analysis of Form VMT

Grade 7

Mechanics of Written English

Raw Score X	Standard Score Y	f	Percentile Rank of Lower Limit of Inverval
63-64	68-69	469	99.7
60-62	65-67	3630	97.5
57-59	62-64	8420	92.2
54-56	60-61	13128	84.1
51-53	57 - 59	16602	73.8
48-50	54 – 56	17764	62.8
45-47	51-53	17731	51.8
42-44	49-51	16508	41.6
39-41	46-48	14493	32.6
36-38	43-45	12139	25.1
33-35	41-42	9930	19.0
30-32	38-40	8133	13.9
27-29	35-37	6562	9.9
24-26	33-34	5240	6.6
21-23	30-32	4240	4.0
18-20	27-29	2989	2.1
15-17	24-26	1955	0.9
12-14	22-24	949	0.3
9-11	20-21	343	.0.1
6-8	17-19	127	0.1
3-5	14-16	68	0.01
0-2	11-13	24	0.00
		161444	

 $M_{X} = \frac{42.45}{10.97}$ $\sigma_{X} = \frac{10.97}{10.97}$

 $M_y = 49.2$

 $\sigma_y = \underline{9.9}$ $Md_x = \underline{43.98}$

(64 items)

Conversion Data

Converted to Michigan scale through scores on 35 items in common with Form UMT.

A B Y = 0.8992 X + 11.0004



Test Analysis of Form VMT Grade 7

Mathematics

Raw Score X	Standard Score Y	f	Percentile Rank of Lower Limit of Interval
40	69	843	99.5
38-39	67–68	4413	96.7
36-37	65–66	7357	92.2
34-35	62-63	9534	86.3
32-33	60–61	11003	79.4
30-31	57–59	12049	72.0
28-29	55–56	12751	64.0
26-27	53-54	13174	55.9
24-25	50-51	13378	47.6
22-23	48-49	13447	39.2
20-21	45-4;	13240	31.0
18-19	43-44	12540	23.2
16-17	41-42	11605	16.0
14-15	38-39	9839	9.9
12-13	36–37	7642	5.2
10-11	33-35	4812	2.2
8–9	31-32	2417	0.7
6-7	29-30	848	0.2
4-5	26-27	205	0.03
2-3	23-25	47	0.003
0-1	21-22	5	0.000
		161149	

 $M_X = \underline{24.08}$

 $\sigma_{\rm x} = 7.84$

 $M_y = 50.3$

 $\sigma_y = 9.4$

 $Md_{x} = \underline{24.09}$

(40 items)

Conversion Data

Converted to Michigan scale through scores on 22 items in common with Form UMT.

Y = 1.1964 X + 21.4910



Test Analysis of Form VMT Grade 7 Composite Achievement

Standard Score Y	£	Percentile Rank of Lower Limit of Interval
66-68	1029	99.4
63-65	7319	94.8
60-62	14393	85.8
57-59	18007	74.6
54-56	19729	62.3
51-53	19952	49.9
48-50	18604	38.3
45–47	16332	28.1
42-44	13867	19.4
39-41	11390	12.3
36-38	8428	7.1
33-35	6178	3.2
30-32	3688	0.9
27-29	1304	0.1
24-26	173	0.01
21-23	15	0.001
18-20	1 160409	0.000

 $M_y = 49.7$

Conversion Data

 $\sigma_y = 8.7$

Average of Reading,

 $Md_y = 50.5$

Mechanics of Written English and Mathematics scaled scores.

Possible Range: 16-68



Form VMT Grade 4 Frequency Distributions, by Sections of Original Deltas and Biserial Correlations

			Mechanics of Written English					
Delta	Word Relationships	Reading	A	B1	В2	С	Total	Math
16.0-16.9	1							1
15.0-15.9		1				1	1	3
14.0-14.9	7	6	3				3	3
13.0-13.9	11	9	2	2	2	4	10	5
12.0-12.9	8	5	2	6	4	2	14	8
11.0-11.9	10	12	3	3		2	8	3
10.0-10.9	2	7	2	1	2	3	8	7
9.0-9.9	2	5	1	2	3		6	6
8.0-8.9	3	3	2		3		5	2
7.0-7.9	1	1						1
6.0-6.9		1						1
Total items	45	50	15	14	14	12	55	40
Mean delta	12.3	11.6	11.8	11.7	10.8	12.3	11.6	11.7
σ	1.9	2.0	1.9	1.2	1.8	1.5	1.7	2.3
r _{bis}								
.7079	1	8			4		4	4
.6069	14	17	2	4	3	1	10	14
.5059	18	11	6	7	3	4	20	10
.4049	9	8	6	2	4	5	17	9
.3039	3	5		1		2	3	2
.2029		1	1				1	
Total items	45	50	15	14	14	12	55	39
Not complete								1
Mean delta	.55	.57	•50	.55	.60	•48		.56
σ	.10	.12	.10	.08	.11	.08	.11	.10



A-11

Form VMT Grade 7

Frequency Distributions, by Sections of Original Deltas and Biserial Correlations

	Reading			Mechanics of Written English						
Delta	Word	A	В	Total	A	B1	В2	С	Total	Math
16.0-16.9	1	-								1
15.0-15.9	3		1	1				1	1	1
14.0-14.9	4	1	-	1	3		1	2	6	3
13.0-13.9	5	4	3	7	2	1	-	_	3	8
12.0-12.9	6	3	7	10	3	1	3	5	12	4
11.0-11.9	5	2	9	11	4	1	1	5	11	9
10.0-10.9	5	-	8	8	3	4	2	_	9	6
9.0-9.9	3	1	9	10	2	5	2	1	10	3
8.0-8.9	4	2	3	5	2	1	3		6	_
7.0-7.9	1	1	2	3	1	2	1		4	5
6.0-6.9	1	1	3	4			2		2	
Total items	38	15	45	60	20	15	15	14	64	40
Mean delta	11.9	11.3	10.6	10.7	11.3	9.9	10.0	12.5	10.9	11.6
σ	2.4	2.5	1.9	2.1	2.0	1.7	2.2	1.6	2.1	2.2

				-						
r _{bis}										
.7079	2	1	7	8		2			2	
.6069	9	2	12	14	7	5		-	12	17
.5059	14	7	17	24	7	7	7	4	25	14
.4049	7	3	8	11	4	-	3	7	14	7
.3039	6	1	1	2	2	1	4	2	9	2
.2029							1	-	1	
.1019								1	1	
Total items	38	14	45	59	20	15	15	14	64	40
Not complete		1		1						
Mean delta	•52	•55	.58	•57	.54	.59	•45	.43	.51	.57
σ	•11	09،	.10	.09	.09	.09	.10	.10	.11	.09



A-12

APPENDIX 3

Basic Skills Test Question Classifications and Content Specifications



Word Relationships - Form VMT

Grade 4

Subject Relationship Geography . . . Characteristic of 2. Household Associated with Aesthetic 3. Cause-Effect 4. Sports. Part-Whole 5. Aesthetic Object-Action 6. Abstract. Antonyms -7 ---Geography .-. . - .-. . Associated-with- --8. Animals Characteristic of 9. Tools Associated with 10. Sports. Associated with 11. Abstract. Antonyms 12. Animals Part-Whole 13. Tools Object-Action 14. Part-Whoie 15. Animals Part-Whole 16. Human Relationships . . . Expression of 17. Abstract. Antonyms 18. Part-Whole 19. General Characteristic of 20. Abstract. Antonyms 21. Associated with 22. Object-Action 23. Human Relationships Object-Action 24. Human Relationships Cause-Effect 25. Characteristic of 26. Characteristic of 27. Aesthetic Associated with 28. Human Relationships Part-Whole 29. Cause-Effect 30. General Antonyms 31. Animals Characteristic of 32. Abstract. Antonyms 33. Human Relationships . . . Related to Household 34. Class-Subclass 35. Household Associated with 36. Weather Associated with 37. Household Class-Subclass 38. Aesthetic Object-Action 39. Animals . . . Associated with Part-Whole 40. Geography 41. Associated with 42. Associated with 43. Household Part-Whole 44. Human Relationships Expression of 45. Part-Whole



Word Relationships - Form VMT Grade 7

Subject Relationship . . Part-Whole Human Relations Characteristic of . . . Effect-cause Aesthetic Related to 4. Transportation. . . 5. Animals Characteristic of 6. Sports. Associated with _ .7. . Subclass-class General Related to 9. Household Class-subclass Associated with Transportation. 10. Human Relations 11. Antonyms 12. Subclass-class Transportation. 13. Household Place-action 14. . . Antonyms Associated with 15. Animals Class-subclass 16. 17. Aesthetic Associated with 18. Household Related to 19. Geography Characteristic of 20. Antonyms Abstract. 21. General Associated with . . Characteristic of 22. Abstract. . . . 23. . . Antonyms General Tools Associated with 24. Sports. Associated with 25. . Associated with 26. Human Relations 27. General Related to 28. Weather Characteristic of Geography Place-object 29. Household Associated with 30. . Related to 31. 32. Tools Related to 33. Human Relations Antonyms Abstract. Synonyms 34. 35. . Part-Whole Household Part-part 36. General Associated with 37. Household Related to 38.



Reading - Form VMT

Grade 4

- 1. Words-synonym
- 2. Words-synonym
- 3. Words-illustrative
- 4. Words-analysis
- 5. Words-illustrative
- 6. Words-synonym
- 7. Words-illustrative
- 8. Words-synonym
- 9. Words-illustrative
- 10. Words-synonym
- 11. Words-analysis
- 12. Words-synonym
- 13. Words-synonym
- 14. Words-synonym
- 15. Words-synonym
- 16. Sentences-inference
- 17. Sentences-straightforward comprehension
- 18. Sentences-inference
- 19. Sentences-straightforward comprehension
- 20. Sentences-inference
- 21. Sentences-inference
- 22. Sentences-straightforward comprehension
- 23. Sentences-straightforward comprehension
- 24. Sentences-inference
- 25. Sentences-straightforward comprehension
- 26. Sentences-inference



- 27. Sentences-straightforward comprehension
- 28. Sentences-inference
- 29. Sentences-straightforward comprehension
- 30. Sentences-inference
- 31. Passage-narrative
 Item classification-fact
- 32. Passage-narrative Item classification-fact
- 33. Passage-narrative Item classification-inference
- 34. Passage-narrative
 Item classification-application of ideas
- 35. Passage-narrative
 Item classification-main idea
- 36. Passage-narrative Item classification-inference
- 37. Passage-science Item classification-fact
- 38. Passage-science
 Item classification-fact
- 39. Passage-science Item classification-application of ideas
- 40. Passage-narrative
 Item classification-main idea
- 41. Passage-narrative
 Item classification-inference
- 42. Passage-narrative
 Item classification-inference
- 43. Passage-narrative Item classification-inference
- 44. Fassage-narrative
 Item classification-main idea
- 45. Passage-narrative Item classification-inference



- 46. Passage-narrative
 Item classification-inference
- 47. Passage-social studies
 Item classification-main idea
- 48. Passage-social studies
 Item classification-inference
- 49. Passage-social studies
 Item classification-inference
- 59. Passage-social-studies - - Item classification-inference



B-5

Reading - Form VMT Grade 7

1-15	Synonyms
16-25	Sentences-words in context
26.	Reading Comprehension-fact/opinion
27.	Reading Comprehension-inference
28.	Reading Comprehension-sequence of events
29.	Reading Comprehension-fact/opinion
30.	Reading Comprehension-inference
31.	Reading Comprehension-fact/opinion
32.	Reading Comprehension-sequence of events
33.	Passage-science Item classification-fact
`4.	Passage-science Item classification-fact
35.	Passage-science Item classification-fact
36.	Passage-science Item classification-fact
37.	Passage-instructional Item classification-main idea
38.	Passage-instructional Item classification-sequence of events
39.	Passage-social studies Item classification-fact

Passage-social studies Item classification-inference

40.



- 41. Passage-social studies
 Item classification-inference
- 42. Passage-social studies
 Item classification-main idea
- 43. Passage-science
 Item classification-inference
- 44. Passage-science
 Item classification-fact
- 45. Passage-science
 Item classification-main idea
- 46. Passage-narrative
 Item classification-fact
- 47. Passage-narrative
 Item classification-inference
- 48. Passage-narrative
 Item classification-inference
- 49. Passage-narrative
 Item classification-sequence of events
- 50. Passage-science
 Item classification- fact
- 51. Passage-science
 Item classification-inference
- 52. Passage-science
 Item classification-inference
- 53. Passage-science
 Item classification-inference
- 54. Passage-social studies
 Item classification-inference
- 55. Passage-social studies
 Item classification-face
- 56. Passage-social studies
 Item classification-fact
- 57. Passage-science
 Item classification-fact
- 58. Passage-science
 Item classification-fact



- 59. Passage-science Item classification-main idea
- 60. Passage-science Item classification-inference



B-8

Reading Test Specifications

Form VMT

			Grade 4	Grade 7
I.	Wor	ds	15 items	15 items
	۸.	Synonymous (BEGIN: start)	9	15
•	В.	Illustrative (RELUCTANT: "I'd really rather not.")	. 4 .	0 .
	C.	Analytic (IMPRACTICAL: not practical)	2	0
II.	Sen	tences	15 items	10 items
	Α.	Inference-test word not in sentence but inferred from sentence	8	0
	В.	Straightforward comprehension- tests word in sentence, underlined	7	0
	C.	Words in Context	0	10
III.	Rea	ding Comprehension		
	A.	Items	20 items	35 items
		1. Fact	4	12
		2. Inference	10	12
		3. Main idea	4	4
		4. Application of ideas	2	0
		5. Sequence of events	0	4
		6. Fact/Opinion	0	3
	В.	Passage Content	6 passages	8 passages
		1. Narrative	4	1
		2. Social Studies	1	2
		3. Science	1	4
		4. Instructional	0	1



Mechanics of Written English - Form VMT Spelling - Grade 4

- 1. Spelling by sound alone
- 2. Misunderstanding of rules for word formation
- 3. No error
- 4. Spelling by sound alone
- 5. Misunderstanding of rules for word transformation
- 6. No error
- 7. Reversing of letters
- 8. Spelling by sound alone
- 9. Misunderstanding of rules for word formation
- 10. No error
- 11. Spelling by sound alone
- 12. No error
- 13. Misunderstanding of rules for word transformation
- 14. No error
- 15. Misunderstanding of rules for word formation

Effectiveness of Expression - Grade 4

16.-43. Questions testing effectiveness of expression ask the student to demonstrate his sensitivity to language by selecting the wording which, in addition to being grammatically correct, is best in sentence structure and word order and is most precise and appropriate in idiom and diction.



Punctuation and Capitalization - Grade 4

- 44. Capital letter first word of sentence, proper noun; period at end of sentence
- 45. Apostrophe possessive, contraction
- 46. Capital letter first word of sentence, first person "I"; comma in date
- 47. Capital letter first word of sentence; question mark
- 48. Comma direct address; capital letter proper noun
- 49. Capital letter proper noun
- 50. Apostrophe contraction
- 51. Period abbreviation; comma address
- 52. Capital letter proper noun
- 53. Capital letter first word of sentence, proper noun
- 54. Comma direct address (letter greeting)
- 55. Comma in quotation; quotation marks direct quote



Mechanics of Written English - Form VMT

Spelling - Grade 7

- 1. Common mispronunciation
- 2. Spelling by sound alone
- 3. No error
- 4. Misunderstanding of rules for word formation
- 5. Misunderstanding of rules for word formation
- 6. No error
- 7. Misunderstanding of rules for word transformation
- 8. No error
- 9. Misunderstanding of rules for word formation
- 10. Misunderstanding of rules for word formation
- 11. No error
- 12. Misunderstanding of rules for word formation
- 13. No error
- 14. Misunderstanding of rules for word transformation
- 15. Spelling by sound alone
- 16. No error
- 17. -Common mispronunciation
- 18. Misunderstanding of rules for word transformation
- 19. No error
- 20. Misunderstanding of rules for word formation

Effectiveness of Expression - Grade 7

21.-50. Questions testing effectiveness of expression ask the student to demonstrate his or her sensitivity to language by selecting the wording which, in addition to being grammatically correct, is best in sentence structure and word order and is most precise and appropriate in Idiom and diction.



Punctuation and Capitalization - Grade 7

- 51. Capital letter personal title, common/proper noun
- 52. Capital letter common/proper noun; unnecessary comma
- 53. Comma in series; capital letter proper name
- 54. Comma appositively; unnecessary comma
- . 55. Quotation marks title; comma appositively; _ unnecessary comma; capital letter common/proper
- 56. Hyphen compound adjective; quotation marks direct quote; capital letter direct quote; end punctuation
- 57. Apostrophe in plural form; capital letter proper name; comma with conjunction; period end of sentence
- 58. Apostrophe in possessive; capital letter in personal title; period in abbreviation
- 59. Capital letter common/proper noun; comma after introductory phrase; apostrophe in contraction
- 60. Apostrophe in possessive; question mark
- 61. Capital letter common/proper noun; unnecessary semicolon; capital letter proper name
- 62. Comma in date; capital letter common/proper noun
- 63. Comma after introductory clause; capital letter proper noun; colon/comma confusion
- 64. Capital letter proper name; comma apposition; period end of sentence



Mechanics of Written English Test Content Specifications Form ${\tt VMT}$

			Grade 4	Grade 7
τ.	• Spelling		15 items	20 items
	Α.	Misunderstanding of rules for word formation	3	6
	В.	Misunderstand' f rules for word transformation	2	3
	C.	Reversing of letters	1	0
	D.	Common mispronunciation	0	2
	E.	Spelling by sour' alore	4	2
	F.	No error	5	7

30 items

28 items

Questions testing effectiveness of expression ask the student to demonstrate his sensitivity to language by selecting the wording which, in addition to being grammatically correct, is best in sentence structure and word order, and is most precise

and appropriate in idiom and diction.

II. Effectiveness of Expression

III.	Punc	tuation and Capitalization	12 sentences	14 sentences
•	Α,	Capital letters		
		1. First word of sentence	4	0
		2. Proper names	5	11
		<pre>3. First person "I"</pre>	1	0
		4. In personal titles	0	2
		5. In quotations	0	1
	В.	Period		
		1. End of sentence	1	2
		2. Abbreviation	1	1
	C.	Question mark	1	1
	D.	Other end punctuation	0	1



III. Punctuation and Capitalization (Cont'd.)

			Grade 4	<u>Grade 7</u>
E.	Con	nma		
	1.	Address, date	2	1
	2.	Direct address	2	0
	3.	Series	0	1
	4.	With quotations	1	0
	5.	Apposition	0	3
	6.	Unnecessary	0	3
F.	Quo	otation marks		
	1.	Title of short publication	0	1
	2.	Direct address, direct quote	1	1
G.	Apo	strophe		
	1.	Possessive	1	2
	2.	Contraction	2	1
н.	Co1	on	0	1
I.	Semicolon		0	1



Mathe . TMI

Grade →

- 1. Number and Operations operations with integers
- 2. Number and Operations operations with integers
- 3. Number and Operations place value
- 4. Applications word problems
- 5. Geometry and Measurement properties of polygons and the circle
- 6. Mathematical Sentences equations
- 7. Number and Operations place value
- 8. Applications word problems
- 9. Number and Operations operations wi : Integers
- 10. Geometry and Measurement units of because
- 11. Geometry and Measurement perimeters and areas of simple polygons
- 12. Number and Operations operations with integers
- 13. Number and Operations operations with integers
- 14. Number and Operations estimation
- 15. Relations, Functions, Graphs reading and interpreting graphs
- 16. Applications word problems
- 17. Applications word problems
- 18. Machematical Sentences inequalities
- 19. Relations, Functions, Graphs use of mathematical formula
- 20. Number and Operations place value
- 21. Geometry and Measurement scale drawings and maps
- 22. Number and Operations properties of opera ions
- 23. Number and Operations operations with integers
- 24. Number and Operations special properties of zero and one
- 25. Logical Thinking intuitive ideas
- 26. Geometry and Measurement angles and intuitive ideas of geometry
- 27. Number and Operations properties of operations
- 28. Applications word problems
- 29. Number and Operations operations and integers
- 30. Number and Operations place value
- 31. Number and Operations special properties of zero and one
- 32. Number and Operations proper fractions
- 33. Mathematical Sentences evaluations
- 34. Number and Operations proper fractions
- 35. Number and Operations proper fractions
- 36. Number and Operations properties of integers
- 37. Geometry and Measurement units of measure
- 38. Applications word problems
- 39. Applications word problems
- 40. Applications word problems



Mathematics - Form VMT

Grade 7

- 1. Number and Operations operations with intergers
- 2. Number and Operations special properties of zero and one
- 3. Mathematical Sentences -inequalities
- 4. Number and Operations special properties of zero and one
- 5. Number and Operations properties of operations
- 6. Number and Operations operations with integers
- 7. Number and Operations proper fractions
- 8. Number and Operations properties of integers, divisibility
- 9. Applications word problems
- 10. Geometry and Measurement perimeters and areas of simple polygons
- 11. Relations, Functions, Graphs use of mathematical formula
- 12. Number and Operations place value
- 13. Geometry and Measurement units of measure
- 14. Number and Operations properties of operations
- 15. Mathematical Sentences inequalities
- 16. Geometry and Measurement non-metric geometry
- 17. Number and Operations operations with integers
- 18. Application translation of phrases
- 19. Number and Operations decimals and per cents
- 20. Geometry and Measurement perimeters and areas of simple polygons
- 21. Geometry and Measurement scale drawings and maps
- 22. Logical Thinking intuitive ideas
- 23. Geometry and Measurement non-metric geometry
- 24. Applications word problems
- 25. Relations, Functions, Graphs reading and interpreting graphs
- 26. Mathematical Sentences equations
- 27. Relations, Functions, Graphs reading and interpreting graphs
- 28. Geometry and Measurements perimeters and areas of simple polygons
- 29. Geometry and Measurement units of measure
- 30. Number and Operations average
- 31. Relations, Functions, Graphs reading and interpreting graphs
- 32. Applications word problems
- 33. Applications word problems
- 34. Geometry and Measurement units of measure
- 35. Applications word problems
- 36. Number and Operations proper fractions
- 37. Geometry and Measurement units of measure
- 38. Number and Operations estimation
- 39. Number and Operations properties of integers, divisibility
- 40. Number and Operations proper fractions



Mathematics Test Content Specifications

FORM VMT

		Grade 4	Grade 7
ı.	NUMBER AND OPERATIONS	20 Items	16 Items
	A. Operations with integers	7	3
	B. Place value	4	1
	C. Properties of integers, divisibility	1	2
	D. Proper fractions	3	3
	E. Decimals and percents	0	1
	F. Properties of operations (commutative, associative, distributive, closure)	2	2
	G. Estimation	1	1
	H. Special properties of zero and one	2	2
	I. Average	0	1
	I. Average	•	-
II.	GEOMETRY AND MEASUREMENT	6 Items	10 Items
	A. Units of measure: length, weight, time temperature, money	2	4
	B. Perimeters and areas of simple polygons	1	3
	C. Scale drawings and maps	1	1
	D. Properties of polygons and the circle	ī	ō
	E. Angles and intuitive ideas of geometry	1	Ö
	·	0	2
	F. Non-metric geometry	U	2
III.	RELATIONS, FUNCTIONS, GRAPHS	2 Items	4 Items
	A. Use of mathematical formula	1	1
	B. Reading and interpreting graphs	ī	3
	b. Reading and interpreting graphs	-	J
IV.	LOGICAL THINKING	1 Item	1 Item
	A. Intuitive ideas: Counterexample reasoning	1	1
v.	MATHEMATICAL SENTENCES	3 Items	3 Items
	A. Equations	2	1
	B. Inequalities	ī	2
	b. Inequalities	•	_
VI.	APPLICATIONS	8 Items	6 Items
	A. Translation of phrases	0	1
	B. Word problems (other than those	8	5
		Ū	-
	already listed in one of the		
	categories above)		
Note:			
	could be classified as applications.		

B-18



APPENDIX C

Participants on the Icem Development Committees

ERIC TFUILTERS PROVIDED BY ERIC

60

Fourth Grade

READING

Dr. Doris Kilanski Department of Educational Leadership Eastern Michigan University Ypsilanti, Michigan

Mr. Robert Rodgers Consultant Oakland Schools Pontiac, Michican

Mrs. Beverly Chamberlain President Michigan Reading Association Ann Arbor, Michigan

Mr. Kenneth Cogswell Regional Coordinator, Language Arts and Social Studies Livonia, Michigan

Mr. Victor K. Peterson Superintendent Shiawassee Intermediate School District Corruna, Michigan

Seventh Grade

Mr. John K. Arbour Principal Wylie Middle School Detter, Michigan

Mr. Walter Scbczak Reading Consultant Southfield Public Schools Southfield, Michigan

Dr. Sylvia Kinnunen Professor of Education Northern Michigan University Marquette, Michigan

ENGLISH

Mrs. Bette Powers Teacher Dearborn City Schools Dearborn, Michigan

Mr. William W. Powell Principal Fowlerville Community Fowlerville, Michigan

Mrs. Dorothy Yancey Special Teacher Pontiac City Schools Pontiac, Michigan

Mrs. Alma Petrini Specialist Detroit Publis Schools Detroit, Michigan

Mr. Ronald Cruickshank Teacher Switzer Elementary School Utica, Michigan Mrs. Maxine Brule Principal Washington Elementary School Watervliet, Michigan

Mrs. Delores Minor Supervisor, Senior High English Detroit Public Schools Detroit, Michigan

Mr. George Weber Department Chairman Barnum Junior High School Birmingham, Michigan

Mrs. Pauline Sampson Department Chairman Anderson Junior High School Berkley, Michigan

Mrs. Joanne Gilbert Teacher Henry Ford Middle School Highland Park, Michigan





Fourth Grade

Seventh Grade

MATHEMATICS

Mr. Russel J. Huber Principal Avalon Elementary School St. Clair Shores, Michigan

Mr. Arthur Behrmann Principal Hartland Consolidated Schools Hartland, Michigan

Mrs. Annette Vogelsang 5th Grade Teacher Forest Hills Board of Education Grand Rapids, Michigan

Mr. Thomas McMillan Research Center Teacher George Roberts Elementary School Sterling Heights, Michigan

Mrs. Mary Jane Franklin Elementary Teacher (all subjects) Wyoming Board of Education Wyoming, Michigan Mr. Terrence Coburn Math Consultant Oakland Schools K-12 Pontiac, Michigan

Miss Dorothy McAnulty Teacher Mary Lyon Junior High Beulah, Michigan

Mr. Richard Knobloch Teacher Eisenhower High School Romeo, Michigan

Mr. Dave Thomas Mathematics Department Chairman Brownell Middle School Grosse Points Woods, Michigan

Mr. William Schewe Department Chairman MacDonald Middle School Lansing, Michigan



APPENDIX D

Correlation Tables

MICHIGAN EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM 1972-73 CORRELATION TABLES*

DISTRICT MEAN CORRELATIONS

Unweighted, Grades 4 and 7 Weighted, Grades 4 and 7

SCHOOL MEAN CORRELATIONS

Unweighted, Grade 4 Unweighted, Grade 7 Weighted, Grade 4 Weighted, Grade 7

*See Local District and School Report: Explanatory Materials for definition of variables.



CORRELATIONS AMONG DISTRICT MEANS BASED UPON DATA FROM THE 1972-73 MICHIGAN EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM, UNWEIGHTED

INTERPRETATION OF SYMBOLS USED IN CORRELATION TABLES

SYMBOL	INTERPRETATION
PIS/K	Professional instruction staff per 1,000 pupils
T/K	Teachers per 1,000 pupils
AVG EXP	Average years teaching experience
% MAST	Percent of teachers with master's degree
AVG SAL	Average contracted salary of teachers
SEV/P	State equalized valuation per resident member (1971-72)
LR/P	Local revenue per pupil (1971-72)
SSA/P	State school aid per pupil (1971-72)
IE/P	K-12 instructional expense per pupil (1971-72)
EIE/P TCOE/P % MNRTY	Elementary instructional expense per pupil (1971-72) Total current operating expense per pupil (1971-72) Percent of racial-ethnic minority students
MIL D O RAT 4 WRD R	Total operating millage (1971-72) School dropout rate (1971-72) Word relationships, Grade 4
4 READ 4 ENG 4 MATH	Reading, Grade 4 Mechanics of Written English, Grade 4 Mathematics, Grade 4
4 COMP	Basic skills composite achievement, Grade 4
7 WRD R	Word relationships, Grade 7
7 READ	Reading, Grade 7
7 ENG	Mechanics of Written English, Grade 7
7 MATH	Mathematics, Grade 7
7 COMP	Basic skills composite achievement, Grade 7
4 MEM	Grade 4 membership
7 MEM	Grade 7 membership
TOT MEM	Total membership





HICHIGAN ASSESSMENT N-12 DISTRICT CORRELATIONS 73 UNWEIGHTED

	SIGNA(N-1)	515	507	145.2	12-110	9.92	411-674		74.47	131	61-15	24.35	1.275		, 5	163	.46	4	7	3	.5	2	7	3	2.32	7.99	0.931	.43)))
	SIGNACES	514	ž	2.346	18.04	19.42	127-206	00.643	19.329	49.16	190.1	24.231	1.264	40004	25.	250	7		7	407	. 31.	. 283	36.	7	32	7.05	0-01)	9	
	# E A W	7	717	179	1.113	404.733	19.370	810°644	13.213	157	098.80	94.417	5.160	~	906	244.0	0.723	1110	1.741	116.0	.332	114.0	116.6	1.192	0.517	09.521	10.07	09.19	
15 528.	SUNS OF SQUARES	199	477737.87	1124-609	1142-143	4 0 7 2 6 7 7 6 9 4	.20156940 1	.1293790b o	.45068950	347730 0	14211270 0	34137040	81061.390	283050-5340	6220-840	294975-212	1689-134	343114-169	418929-774	374926-616	288334-896	344889.003	314677-424	15171.500	350031.687	55444400	0.04440088	4644444	
OB SER VATIONS	SURS	9924.596	554. 796	4978-419	1191.197	256755-006	11587.629	37502-476	02378-876	379,116	68674.555	19452-517	2124.899		2590-449	6107-296	6741.997	4597.496	7340.396	4913-754	216	6620-496	4354-196	7008-696	6470-34	900-1472	700 4000	1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	
THE NUMBER OF	VARIABLE	P15/K		AVG EXP	1	À	SEVIP	1×76	4/472	3/3/	9/214	1/05/0	XXX X		2 E EAT	ני ני	2443			A COMP	7 KBD R	READ			5			717	

66



HICHIGAN ASSESSMENT K-12 DISTRICT CCHRELATIONS 73

CORKELATION MATRIX

£16/P	0.3157	587.	.342	. 505	.584	999	.551	9001	70	000	.719	.351	.351	• 033	160.	945	3	.662	.050	.056	170.	170.	0.022	0.03	.215	.223	.222	
16/0		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0.0678		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
184/1	-6-2511	4.21	4.33	0.34	7	0.43	7.1.0	3	77.0	7	0.51	9.65	20.0	0.0351	6.14	11.1	4.13	17.0	9.19	Z	6770	9-14	4	0.13	20	0.03	2	
LR/P	.4265	.338	. 341	. 504	797.	111.	900.	. 474	. 131	188.	. 014	.193	.362	1940-0-	.143	141	.117	101	. 191	.171	. 101	- 110	114	. 121	696	109	104	· }
SEV/P	.313	.291	PE E.	. 301	.266	000	.161	.933	.510	1399	11.6.	.192	-016	-0.0329	.03	663	644	97	-06	25	100	688	9	120-	043	980	0 30	
AV C SAL	9	-	-	7	٦,	7	7	7	7	~~	7	7	7	0.0972							•							
W MAST	~	•		-	_	4.4	0.5047	77	•	- 51	•	u	•	911000				, ,,	_			_	0.1636	_			0.2127	•
AVG EXP	.127	128	909	.376	.376	939	.366	.317	.376	. M	.374	-215	-072	-0.00%	9	650	106	117	1047	9	010	113	1	560		q	•	
1/K	4 9 2 8	000	120	010	. 198	.291	. 338	.218	295	1.49	+34.	-056	110	-0.2294	699	4 50	112	784	129	110	100	17	137	124	78.8	12		
P15/K	000	928	127	1115	4042	.313	.420	. 251	456	315	-934	.113	147	-0-1870	970	420	010	130	103	120	9		450	042	199		100	
-	P 1/5/K	7 //x	AVG EXP	TANK M	A YIL SAL	SEVIP	LRIP	SSA/#	14/4	EIE/P	1 COE /P	ALXEL X	Ξ		, -	. 4	383.4	4	GWOJ.	A 045.	0	7 FNG	7 . MA TE	A COMP	# 5 # 7	M 3 M , &	TOT MEN	



MICHIGAN ASSESSMENT K-12 DISTRICT COARELATIONS 73

7 MAD A	0.1206	11.	9	.25	.14	0	, v	***	67.	7	S.	S	75.	E 7 •	74.	•62	.62	79.	79.	19.	8	75.	-47		60	5	50.	~	
4 COMP	0.1031	129	100	176	133	Ş		101	2	22	02 02	Ş	421	77	3	Š	972	126	937	8	77	642	29	3	3	121	112	119	
4 MATH	E	571	11.	20	E	<	>	7	4	7	3	77.	Ě	77.	ž	4.4.06	5		Š	5	3	3	ž	3	3	7	17.	=	
4 ENG	9	129	106		7		3	77	134	440.	100	160.	, 355	933	319	0.4114	. 3	900	6684		579.	77	77	77	<u> </u>		. 19	===	
4 READ	.074	1097	0.00	100		7 7	7	141	141	990	240	673	431	132	361	0.9123	90.	.936	969.	.972	•629	77.	3	77	.451	111	91.	1110	;))
4 480 8			Ġ													1.0003													
D C RAT	7	~	9				.032	140.	.059	1061	033	021	34.	030	000	-0.3605	36.	37	34	36	77.	,43(411		145	.22	. 22(ā	
714	-	9	6		40,7	701	910	.382	080	545	351	\$08	000	000	030	0-1347	132	095	161	136	138	250	-012	.031	491	127	136	132	
A REEL	-		,	4 7	3	7	57.	51.	9	36	2	*	9	0	7	-0.4005	4	3.9	0.39	0.42	0.51	0.59	0.43	3	51	36	7	u	
TCOE /P	42.4	1	7	֓֞֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֜֜֜֜֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֓֜֜֜֓֓֓֡֓֡֓֡֓֡֓֡֓֡֓֡֓֡֓֡֡֡֡֓֡֓֡֡֡֓֡֡֡֡֡֓֡֡֡֡	2	777	.517	910	934	190	71.	000		405	028	0-0766	0.73	0.56	111	9	660	007	032	037	031	193	200	200	
	37.4	2 :	د د	: ج	ハ く ズ	٥	EV/	4/8	6/475	2	11:10 11:10		1000 F	K	1		DEAC	in the state of th		TOKO Y		18 F A L	N L	, ×		i i	1		-

68

MICHIGAN ASSESSMENT K-12 DISTRICT CORRELATIONS 73

CURRELATION MATRIX

	7 READ	7 ERG	MAK	7 COMP	X WX	A MEN	TOT MEN
P15/x	3	643	0.0968	260.	0.0	9	.061
1/8	X	130	1	.128	. 13	~	1000
AVC EXP	Ó	113	110	.055	80	9	0.80
X HAST	e	152	7	.173	.20	7	. 232
AVG SAL	ð	.029	60	040	570	7	. 267
SEV/P	ð	.065	3	.077	6	7	.080
LR/P	0-1002	0.1100	0-1198	0.1210	9960.0	0.1092	0.1041
SEA/P	-	141	410	.136	101	8	.051
11/4	ō	.014	10.	-015	2	7	. 245
EIc/P	•	.014	.02	.023	.23	7	. 223
TCDE /P	_	.032	-63	.031		7	. 200
M TEXT	-	.492	.50	.521	96.	7	.391
# 12 k	_	-082	.63	140.	. 12	7	. 532
O C RAT	77	114.	14.	.438	.22	~	. 121
4 PRO R	•	.540	957	179.	20.0	9	.064
4 KEAD	•	179-	3	1691	0.13	7	0.112
4 ENG	•••	-629	.62	.450	0.13	6. 7	0-111
4 HATH	•	.517	19.	.627	0.13	٠, م	0. 111
◆ COMP	0-6426	.427	Ž	.662	77.	7	-113
2 KRC R	**	. 172	25.	.110	9.0	9.0	0.079
7 READ	•	. 914	5	.965	7.0	70	0.137
7 ENG	_	000.	-	404.	0.12	70	0.115
7 MATH		. 110	8	.155	2.0	~	0.122
7 COMP	•	196.	0.4553	900	0.13	7	0.124
4 FF.F	Ä	-122	.32	.133	9	₹,	***
7 RER	_	.133	77.	.123	66.	ç	***.
TUT MEM	-0.1376	511.	0.12	.126		•	000.



CORRELATIONS AMONG DISTRICT MEANS BASED UPON DATA FROM THE 1972-73 MICHIGAN EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM, WEIGHTED ACCORDING TO TOTAL DISTRICT MEMBERSHIP

INTERPRETATION OF SYMBOLS USED IN CORRELATION TABLES

SYMBOL	INTERPRETATION
PIS/K	Professional instructional staff per 1,000 pupils
T/K	Teachers per 1,000 pupils
AVG EXP	Average years teaching experience
% MAST	Percent of teachers with master's degree
AVG SAL	Average contracted salary of teachers in thousands
SEV/P	State equalized valuation per resident member (1971-72)
LR/P	Local revenue per pupil (1971-72)
SSA/P	State school aid per pupil (1971-72)
IE/P	K-12 instructional expense per pupil (1971-72)
EIE/P	Elementary instructional expense per pupil (1971-72)
TCOE/P	Total current operating expense per pupil (1971-72)
% MNRTY	Percent of racial-ethnic minority students
MIL	Total operating millage
D O RAT	School dropout rate (1971-72)
4 WRD R	Word relationships, Grade 4
4 READ 4 ENG 4 MATH	Reading, Grade 4 Mechanics of Written English, Grade 4 Mathematics, Grade 4
4 COMP	Basic skills composite achievement, Grade 4
7 WRD R	Word relationships, Grade 7
7 READ	Reading, Grade 7
7 ENG 7 MATH 7 COMP	Mechanics of Written English, Grade 7 Mathematics, Grade 7 Basic skills composite achievement, Grade 7

MICHIGAN ASSESSMENT K-12 DISTRICT CORRELATIONS 73

WEIGHTED BY DISTRICT ENROLLMENT

THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS IS 2114743.

	SIGNA(N-1)	555	∞ .	0.	90.	1.3	79.877	16.01	03.22	N	16.459	7.0	4	α	894	354	3.1771	.034	191	106	4	.296	0.21		***	3.1470	
	SIGMAIN	4.5997	3.8808	2-0140	12.0634	1.3434	79.	.91	03	113,8527	16.459	45.074	•	680		.354	177	3.0349	191	106	3.4217	296	100	7	444.	3-1470	
	MEAN	46.9417	40.4928	.133	9	2.004	5.668	51	361.4884	656.7789	710.67	~	15.7426	49.	6.6826	+19.	9	9.504	16.0	0.110	8.721	קי	****	9.028	7	49.5954	!
2717777 77	SUMS OF SQUARES	10066300 1	34993200 1	0 0520078	6107400 1	1085801D 0) IS	55895240 1	29887400 1	93962460 1	73767220 1	16499770 1	17555850 1	13344650 1	1265F86D (50341310 1	52790050	52020970	55026070	53305930	50424265		01407616	51077960	53451560 1	52225840	
THE NORDER OF DESCRIPTIONS	SUMS	06266670	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	02015050	05551551	063137604	0.35748010 11	39956601	76445500	13689150	12244720	18436391)	33251600	52116650	14121610	0252571	10542480	37007701	01699101	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	07016601	07510501	10422461	.10374600	1060687D	10688150	011005010
HE NOTOER	VARIABLE	21678	113/1	47.5	AVE CAT	AV 0.44	d/RSS	9/0/	0/15	11/10	215/0	TCOF/P	X MARTA	N C	140				7147			X 0 X E	REAU	7 ENG	7 MATH	7 COMD	





MICHIGAN ASSESSMENT K-12 DISTRICT CORRELATIONS 73

CURREL AT ION MATRIX

WEIGHTED BY DISTRICT ENROLLMENT

E1E /P	6961-0	-0.0344	0.4849	C. 5933	0.6572	0.4809	0.5174	-0.3439	0-8319	1-0000	0.8029	0.6193	0.2249	0.4494	-0-3061	-0.3439	-0.3465	-0-3296	-0.3438	- 0- 31 05	-0.3929	-0-3539	-0.3579	-0.3670	
16 /9	374	101	614	737	727	612	144	522	000	831	6.9739	475	461	319	175	209	225	192	209	156	242	222	207	220	
SSAIP					Ö						-0.5696							-0.2620						-0.2500	
LR/P	4	***	ניו	٦	27	4	9	¥	7	•	0.8009	٧.	7	7	``	``	7	`•	7	, ,		7		``	
S EV/P	32	-21	4	.51	.46	0	.88	6	:61	4 8	0.6752	1	30.	9	90.	0.	9	30.	0.	Š.	9	Ö	9	ŏ	
ANG SAL	-0.0450	3	0.5251								0.0883		•		•	•			•	•	•		•		
# MAST	0.1634	-0.0259	0.5640	1.0000	0.7984	0.5137	0-6332	-0.4884	0.7373	0.5933	0.7172	0.2146	0.4320	0.1321	0.0298	0.0097	-0.0010	0.0258	0.0127	0.0947	0.0184	0.0420		0.0429	
A VG E XP	0.0127	-0-0444	1.0000	0.5640	0.5251	0.4008	G-3673	-0.3233	\$74.0	0.4649	0.4693	6-2578	6.0415	0.2306	-0.1532	- 1,1545	-0.1439	-0.1249	-0.1426	-0.1226	-0-1481	5	093	- 6.1112	
1/K	•	•	-0.0444			0.2179		•	•	-0.0344	0.1617	•	•		•		•	5.4253	•	•	.38	.36	.38	C.3817	
P1S/K	•	•	•	0.1634			0.4858	•	•	•	0.4052	•	•	•	•	•	•	0.3433	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	N/510	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	AVC EXD	ָ ב ני	140 044	AV 6 3AF	25477	0/4/0	33,40	25/1	TCAFID	A MADTY	-	A D RAT	- 12	OFA		7 M A TH			¥ 4.	, u	T MATH	. ž	•



MICHIGAN ASSESSMENT K-12 DISTRICT CORRELATIONS 13

CORRELATION MATRIX WEIGHTED BY DISTRICT ENROLLMENT

	TCUE/P	* MNR TY	MIL	U C RAT	4 WKD R	4 REAC	4 ENG	4 MATH	4 COMP	7 WRU R
P 15/K	605		0-3480	-6-3591	•	•	• 29		0.3195	316
.	191	o	0.2245	7		•	0.3876	•	0.4064	371
AVG EXP	595		0.0415	0.2306	•	-0.1545	-0-1439	•	- C. 1426	-0.1226
T MAST	0.7172	0.2146	0.4320	0.1321	0.0298	1600.0	-0 000 10	0.0258	0.C127	0.6947
AVG SAL	-68E		C.3779	0.2760	•	-0.1122	-0.1159	•	-0.1136	-6. 66 82
SEV/P	0.6752		0.0844	0.0191		•	0.0512	•	0.0704	0. GBB5
LR/P	00R		0.4545	-0.1080		•	0.1872	•	C.2158	0.2445
SSAVP	- 569		-0.2025	0.1309	- 0	•	-0.2295	•	-0.2515	-0.2812
16/6	573		0.4610	0.3193		•	-0.2251	•	-0.2053	-0.1561
E1E/P	802		6.2249	0.4404	•	•	-0.3465	•	-0.3438	-0.3109
T CGE/P	000	0.4467	0.4493	0.2831	•	•	-0.1937	•	- 6.1771	-0.1352
* KNRTY	C.446		-0.1632	1618 0			-0.7892	•	- 6.8081	-0.8160
HIL	0.449	-0.1632	1.0000	-0.2141	•		0.2420	•	C.2596	0.2566
E U RAT	.283		-0.2141	1.0000	•	•	-0.7862	•	- 0.7553	-0.7536
4 HRD R	.150		0.2634	-0.7682	•		0.9680	•	C. 9761	0.9073
4 READ	.177	-0.8005	0.2485	-0.7917	0.9709	1.0000	9086.0	•	C-9922	9605 0
S ENG	£67.		0.2420	-0.7862	•	•	1.0000	•	9156.0	0.8576
4 MATH	.161	-	0.2709	-0.7946	•	•	0.9664	•	0.9882	0.8583
4 COMP	-0.1771	_	0.2596	-0. 7993	•	•	9166.0	•	1.0000	c. 91 Co
7 MRD R	-0.1392	-0.8166	0.2966	2	•	•	.897	•	6.91 66	1.0000
7 READ	217	_	0.2433	81	•	•	•90	•	0.9174	C. 9755
7 ENG	. 203		0.2222	5	•	•	006.	•	0.9054	0.9525
7 MATH	-0-1757	-	3,2356	812	•	•	868	•	6.9115	0.5575
7 COMP	196	-0.6379	0.2376	8	•	•	016	•	0.9213	0.5766
	; ;									

MICHIGAN ASSESSMENT K-12 DISTRICT CURRELATIONS 73

CORREL AT ION MATR	N MATRIX	WE I GHTED	BY DISTRIC	DI STRICT ENROLLMENT
	7 READ	7 ENG	7 MA TH	7 CCMP
P.IS/K	• 30	.276	0.3033	0.2577
1/K	4	.362	0.3892	0.3817
AVG EXP	.148	-0-1017	-C. 0930	111
⋖	018	0	0.9491	0.0429
AVG SAL	7	•	-0.1247	-0.1253
SEVIP	.047	0	0.0779	0.0642
LR/P	.186	-	0.2136	0.2019
SSAP	.239	7	- G.2585	-0.2500
IE/P	.242	7	-0.2075	-0.2206
EIE/P	N	-0.3539	-0.3579	-0.3670
TCGE/P	12	N	-0.1797	-0-1967
& MNRTY	.859	-0.8089	-0.8275	-0.8379
MIL	.243	7	0.2356	0.2376
	51	-0.7931	-0.8128	-0.8145
4 KRD R	305.	(F)	0.8586	0,9099
æ	215°	5	5 +05 0	0.9175
	904	5	0.8984	8016.0
X	406	8	0.9058	0.9100
4 CONP	0.9374	C.9054	0.9115	0.9213
3	.975	5	0.9579	0.9766
æ	000	\$	0.9663	6066*0
ENG	.975	1.0000	0096.0	988
MAT	•	6	1.0000	
0	5065°0	C. 9883	0, 5872	1.0000
;	,)	1)



CORRELATIONS AMONG SCHOOL MEANS BASED UPON DATA FROM THE 1972-73 MICHIGAN EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM, UNWEIGHTED

INTERPRETATION OF SYMBOLS USED IN CORRELATION TABLES

SYMBOL	INTERPRETATION
PIS/K	Professional instruction staff per 1,000 pupils
T/K	Teachers per 1,000 pupils
AVG EXP	Average years teaching experience
% MAST	Percent of teachers with master's degree
AVG SAL	Average contracted salary of teachers
% MNRTY	Percent of racial-ethnic minority students
WRD REL	Word relationships
READING	Reading
ENGLISH	Mechanics of written English
жатн	Mathematics
COMP	Basic skills composite achievement
GRD MEM	Grade membership (4 or 7)
TOT MEM	Total membership



FICHIGAN ASSESSMENT CURRELATIONS, GRADE 4 SCHOOLS

UNWEIGHTED

THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS IS 2389.

SIGMA(N-1)	7 1310		2016-6	30405	17.2560	1704-1090	26-3175	1000	CC 67.4	3.9745	3.97.03	10 10 C	7747.00	3.8458	14.2567	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	720-0900
SIGMAIN	7.1303	5.2001	100 mm	*****	P7C7-17	1703.7523	26.3120	4. 2024	07/701	3.9737	3 9095	0440		3-8450	36.3471	220 0440	2440.027
MEAN	45.7890	39.7651	40 E8 - 6	001007	0/06-17	0602-11747	13.0475	7210-69		7.5.7 T • O.C.	49.8018	51,2693	2007	7664-NC	9466-19	428.7752	111
SUMS OF SQUARES	5130320.5258	3644973.0093	265245-0311	2200253 JOERA		77 06766660	Z00001 - 1233	5764682.1920	A05222 2450	K9479 67700	1462.2011026	6316805-2191	2711736 GB74	0) 05 0631 7793	0-1426111D C8	0.55488710 69)
SUMS	109389.9855	94998.7861	23437.5982	59646.0913	0-27578C60	21170 6060	606C of 137C	11/102-5411	119879-8334		77421000000	122482-4637	120484_5972	2000 0000	00000 854707	1024344.0000	
VARI ABLE	P15/K	1	AVG EXP	TSE T	AVG SAL	A MAID TV		MAD AND	READING	HOL LONG	בוופרדטע	E Q E	O WOO	MON COS		E 1. 10	





MICHIGAN ASSESSMENT CORRELATIONS, GRADE 4 SCHOOLS

COMRELATION MATRIX

	PIS/K	1/K	AVG EXP	# MAST	AVG SAL	* MARTY	HRC REL	READING	ENGLISH	MATH
27010	00000	0.7388	-0.0491	0.1075		0.0450	0-0417	0.0285	0.0087	0.0419
? *	0.1388	1.0000	-0.0722	-0.0340	-0.1499	-0.6254	v.0622		0.0531	0.0799
AVC FXD	-0.0491	-C.C722	1.0000	0.3170		C* 0065	C-1 037	•	0.1236	16713
F 1404	3.1075	-0-034C	0.3170	1.0000	•	0.1146	C-0611	•	0.0391	0.0493
1045 4	7400-0	0571-0-	3.4995	0-6245		0-1570	0.0601	•	0.0481	0.0553
1 MAD 4	0.00	-0-6254	0.0065	0-1146		1.0000	-0.5825	•	-0.5960	-0.6238
יונס יייי	50.40 6.40	0.040.0	0-1037	0.0611		-0.5825	1. 6000	0.9332	0.9310	0.9124
100 AEL	1000		700 1 0	0-0450		-0-6166	C. 9332	1.0000	69460	0.9145
SEAUL NG	0.000		0.124	0.0391	,	0965-0-	0.9310	0.9469	1.0000	0.938
ENGLISH 10 TI	200000	10000	7561-0	0.0493	, ,	-0-6238	0.9124	0.9145	0.9238	1.0000
C .	6750		755	7440	, ,	-0-6269	0.9482	0.9773	0.9799	~
. :	0.0283	0.0031	100 au 01			0-2445	-0-1770	-0-1841	-0-1764	
E,	CC17.0-	77470				24 00	7016 7	1057-0-	-0.2195	-0.2479
TOT MER	-0.2267	-0.2634	-0.0379		77.	7	1017-1-	5		}
m										
קריק	CCMP	GRE MEN	TOT MEN							
PI S/K		-0.2135	-0.2267							
1/x	0.0631	-0.2425	-0.2634							
AVG EXP		Ö	-0.0379							
TAM K		ċ	0.1652						•	•
AVG SAL	0.0533	ö	0.2248							
A MNR IV	·	Ö	0.3188							
ARD REL		ġ	-0.2187							
READING		ġ	-0.2301							
ENG. ISH		ġ	-0.2195							
MAN		ģ	-0.2479							
CCAP		0	-0.2380							
GRO NEM	ĭ	<u></u>	0.8279							
101.	Y	ó	1.6000							



MICHIGAN ASSESSMENT CORRELATIONS, GRADE 7 SCHOOLS

UNWEIGHTED

THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS IS 820.

	VARIABLE	SCHS	SUMS OF SQUARES	NEAN	SIGNA(N)	SIGNA(N-I)
	DIC/#	0404-1040	207 3925-1 726	49,3872	9.4912	9.4970
	4777	000000000000000000000000000000000000000		2001 67	2015	8.3285
	1/x	35415.6050	1200329-0813	# F D T • C T	77700	
	AVG EXP	6878.5995	67081 -1294	8.3885	3,3821	7486°6
	* MACT	25248-1962	990433 8264	30.7905	16-1181	16-1279
	AV. CAL	9421416-0000	0.11073230 12	11489-5317	1740-7133	1741-7757
	ALGRA.	0661-866	626443-3526	12-1137	24.8438	24.8590
	110 001	C094-8004	1471146-8895	48.9008	3.5424	3-5446
	DEADING	40.851-6143	2044687 9969	49-8109	3.4037	3.4058
	CACT IN	40564-8647	2015195_1760	49.4693	3.2154	3.2174
٠,	MATH	では、一般のでは、	2105667-4247	50.5465	3.5969	3.5991
7		40975-4963	2056520-6966	49.9701	3.3075	3*3095
	CDD MFK	167444-0000	0-49161470 08	204-2244	135.0756	135-1580
-	TOT NEA	551402-0000	0.46288280 09	672.4415	335 -1324	335,3369

78

,}

CURRELATION MATRIX

	P [5 / K	1/K	AVG EXP	# MAST	AVG SAL	4 MNRTY	WRD REL	READING	ENGLISH	MATH
	•					77100	£000			0.1036
D 1 C / K	1 -0000					2070-0-				
6 20 1						-0-1238	004100			
×						0.0339	C-1233			
AVG EXP						0.0466	0-1416			
T S AM S							8000			
ANC SAL						77.0	0000	-0.7656	-0.6965	
360 004						つかつつ・ブ	0471.07.			
A HAKE A						-0.7240	1 • 0000			
HRD REL						-0-7656	0.9597			
READING						-0.4965	0.9314			
FMGL ISH							0 0241			
KATK						5021	7777			
2 4 5 5	0.6765					-0. (513	00000			
	1152					0.1984	10.000			
SKC ARRA	-0.2577	0-2940	0.0032	0.2210	0.3102	0.1885	-6-0180			

TOT MEN	-0.252	-0.294	0.003	0.221	0.316	0.168	-0.078	-0-148	-0-166	-0.157	-0-157	0.756	1.000	
GRO NEN	-0-1152	-0.18GB	-0-1178	0.2236	0.2637	0.1984	-0.6750	-0.1635	-0.1521	-0.1536	-0-1692	1.0000	0.7569	
COMP	0.0766	0.1534	0.1251	0.1053	-0.0542	-0.7513	0.5596	0.5831	0.9776	0.9736	1.0000	-0.1692	-0.1578	
79	B1 5 / K	1/k	AVG FXP	TAM S.	A VI. SA!	S REGIVE	FRO K	READING	HAL DAY	KATH	0 X C C	MIN CAS	IGT MEN	

CORRELATIONS AMONG SCHOOL MEANS BASED UPON DATA FROM THE 1972-73 MICHIGAN EDUCATIONAL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM, WEIGHTED ACCORDING TO TOTAL SCHOOL MEMBERSHIP

INTERPRETATION OF SYMBOLS USED IN CORRELATION TABLES

SYMBOL	INTERPRETATION
PIS/K	Professional instructional staff per 1,000 pupils
I/K	Teachers per 1,000 pupils
AVG EXP	Average years teaching experience
% MAST	Percent of teachers with master's degree
AVG SAL	Average contracted salary of teachers in thousands
% marty	Percent of racial-ethnic minority students
WRD REL	Word relationships
READING	Reading
ENGLISH	Mechanics of written English
MATH	Mathematics
FOME	Basic skills composite achievement



HIGAN ASSESSMENT CURRELATIONS. GRADE 4 SCHOOLS GHTED BY SCHOOL ENROLLMENT

1027131.	
~	
OB SER VATIONS	
0.F	
NUMBER	
THE	

SIGMA(N-1)	6.7273 5.0628 3.6667 16.1329 1686.0904 31.2400 4.4912 4.1279 4.1925
SIGMA(N)	6.7273 5.0628 3.6667 16.1329 1686.0896 31.2400 4.2267 4.1279 4.1925
MEAN	44.9706 39.0610 9.7256 26.4031 11904.8266 17.3776 49.7042 49.3529 50.7607
SUMS OF SOUARES	0.2123705D 10 0.1593487D 10 0.1109636D 09 0.9833662D 69 0.1312589D 10 0.2439437D 10 0.2555881D 10 0.2564614D 10 0.2564614D 10
SUMS	0.46190670 08 0.40120800 08 9989496.0883 0.27119390 08 0.12227820 11 0.17849070 08 0.49843170 08 0.51052690 08 0.50691920 08 0.50691920 08
VARIABLE	PIS/K T/K AVG EXP R MAST AVG SAL R MNRTY WRO RFL READING FNGLISH COM3

CARRELATION MATRIX WEIGHTED BY SCHOOL ENROLLMENT

MATH	0.1020 0.1297 0.1116 0.0313 0.0288 0.9336 0.9362 0.9362 1.0000
ENGLI SH	0.0639 0.0957 0.1103 0.0282 0.0304 0.9467 0.9597 1.0000 0.9422
READING	0.0830 0.1002 0.0950 0.0270 0.957 1.0000 0.9597 0.9362
WRD REL	0.1015 0.1130 0.0946 0.0337 -0.6734 1.0000 0.9467 0.9336 0.9336
# HNRTY	-0.0595 -0.1046 0.0017 0.1614 1.0000 -0.6734 -0.7010 -0.6809
AVG SAL	-0.0629 -0.1810 0.5190 0.6416 1.0000 0.1614 0.0337 0.0237 0.0288
T MAST	0.0469 -0.0774 0.3622 1.0000 0.6416 0.1057 0.0219 0.0282 0.0313
AVG EXP	-0.0859 -0.0917 1.0000 0.3622 0.5190 0.0117 0.0950 0.1103 0.1116
1/K	0,7581 1,0000 -0,0917 -0,0774 -0,1810 -0,130 0,130 0,1297 0,1297
PIS/K	1.0000 0.7581 -0.0859 0.0659 -0.0595 0.1015 0.0639 0.1020
parter /	CD 1S/K AVG EXP X HAST AVG SAL X NNRTY WRD REL READING ENGL [SH

COMP

	0.0854	0.1110	0.1075	0.0305	0.0287	-0.7114	0.9599	0.9831	0.9847	0.9771	1.0000
•	PIS/K	1/K	AVG EXP	# HAST	AVG SAL	2 MURTY	#RO REL	READING	ENG. ISH	HATH	COMP

HIGAN ASSESSMENT CORRELATIONS. GRADE 7 SCHOOLS SHTED BY SCHOOL ENROLLHENT

THE NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS IS 557233.

S#35 .	SUMS OF SQUAKES	MEAN	SICHACK	SIGNACALL
0.26859150 C8	0.13270526 10	48.2009	7.6319	7.6319
0.23393160 08	0-10052190 16	6086*15	6.4458	6-4458
4691244-4166	0.45143750 06	8.4188	3.1840	3.1640
6-18119370 C8	6-71576490 09	32.5167	15.3662	15.3082
0.65523030 10	C. 78526340 14	11758-6407	1629-8102	1629-8116
7969478-5922	C.5402498D 09	14.3378	27.6397	27.6397
0.27163180 08		46.1465	3.7681	3.7681
0.276103ED 0c		1649.64	3.6583	3.6583
0.27405770 68	6-13543396 10	49-1819	3.4677	3.4077
0.28003080 08		50.2538	3.1765	3.7765
	C.138297CE 1C	6669.64	3.5174	3.5174

CORRELATION MATRIX BEIGHTED BY SCHOOL ENHULLMENT

	P15/K	1/x	AVG EXP	T MAST	AVG SAL	M MARTY	MRE REL	READING	ENGL I SH	MATH
8.5/K			-0-1045	0.1314	-0.0716	-0.0632	0-1484	0-1191	6.0899	0.1533
X			-0.0461	0.0796	-0.1244	-0.1939	C-2312	C.2263	0.1935	C-2498
AVG EXP		-C. 6881	1.0000	0.4327	0.5524	-0.0044	C-1080	0.0902	0.1565	0-1074
TANK N			0.4327	7.0000	0.6396	0.0140	6-1654	0-1176	0.1410	9:5T°0
AVG SAL	•		0.5524	6.6396	1.0000	0-1795	0.0128	-0.0463	-0.0088	-0.0315
S MARTY	•		-0.0044	0.0140	0.1795	1.0000	-0.1658	-0.7971	-0.7352	-0.7839
ESD REL			0.1080	0.1654	0.0128	-0.7658	1.0000	0.5685	0.9455	0.9439
READING			C. 0902	0.1170	-0.0463	-0.7971	C. 5685	1.0000	009626	0.9470
ENG! ISH			c.1505	0.1410	8870°5-	-6.7352	6.9459	6.5656	1.7 .00	6.9327
THE			0.1074	0.1454	-0.0315	-0.7839	6.9439	0245-0	0.5227	1.9000
COMP			0.1168	0.1397	-0.0284	-0.7848	0.9702	6.9874	0.9814	0.9783
	COMP	3.		•						

6-1261	C. 1166	-C.C.84	\$2 5.0	4185°D	1.0000
P15/k 1/K	ANG EXP	AVG SAL	WRU REL	ENGLISH SATH	G#5)