

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 103 595

CE 003 169

**AUTHOR** Bass, Bernard M.; Barrett, Gerald V.  
**TITLE** Increasing the Intrinsic Reward Value in Jobs and Careers. Final Report.  
**INSTITUTION** Rochester Univ., N. Y. Management Research Center.  
**SPONS AGENCY** Office of Naval Research, Washington, D.C. Personnel and Training Research Programs Office.  
**PUB DATE** 15 Sep 74  
**NOTE** 21p.  
**EDRS PRICE** MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 PLUS POSTAGE  
**DESCRIPTORS** Individual Differences; Job Development; \*Job Satisfaction; \*Motivation; Need Gratification; \*Research; Research Methodology; \*Rewards; \*Work Attitudes; Work Environment

**ABSTRACT**

The basic parameters of intrinsic motivation to work are explored. Principles are sought relevant to ways of redesigning jobs to increase their intrinsic motivation properties and to avoid task overload and boredom. Coordinated field and experimental laboratory studies are described. (Author)

ED103595

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,  
EDUCATION & WELFARE  
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF  
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

This research was sponsored by the Personnel and Training Research Programs, Psychological Sciences Division, Office of Naval Research, under Contract No. N00014-67-A-0398-0015, Contract Authority Identification Number, NR 151-351

FINAL REPORT

INCREASING THE INTRINSIC REWARD  
VALUE IN JOBS AND CAREERS

Principal Investigator  
Bernard M. Bass

Co-Principal Investigator  
Gerald V. Barrett

Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited.

September 15, 1974

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

CE 003 169



## ABSTRACT

The basic parameters of intrinsic motivation to work are explored. Principles are sought relevant to ways of redesigning jobs to increase their intrinsic motivating properties, to avoid task overload and boredom. Coordinated field and experimental laboratory studies are described.

## PURPOSE

To explore the basic parameters of intrinsic motivation to work, principles were sought relevant to ways of redesigning jobs to increase their intrinsic motivating properties, and to avoid task overload on the one hand, and boredom on the other. We concentrated on the fundamental question: What stimulus properties of a job itself will make it more attractive to a job holder? Two kinds of jobs were examined: maintenance and monitoring using two approaches: field study and laboratory experiment.

Properties investigated included:

1. The job requires abilities valued by the operator.
2. Self-control and autonomy are possible.
3. The job provides a sense of completion.
  - a. It is not such a small part of a whole task that it lacks meaningful completion in its own right.
  - b. It is not so long in time that it fails to provide a readily perceived termination.
4. The job provides feedback of performance.
5. The job creates a sense of progress or growth and "forward thrust."
6. The job involves the complete attention and absorption of the job holder.
7. The job requires some optimum variety of skills of the operator.
8. The job provides a pattern of stimulation which is optimal for the job incumbent.

## INVESTIGATIONS

### Literature Survey

Relevant literature was surveyed and reference lists compiled

with the aid of a computerized editing program, WYLBUR, in five areas: human factors, intrinsic-extrinsic motivation, task analysis, laboratory studies in maintenance and monitoring, and laboratory studies on the effects of goals and intentions in task behavior. Several hundred items led to a working draft: "Intrinsic-Extrinsic Motivation: Some Parameters for Job Design," summarizing the findings in the recent psychological, social-psychological, and industrial-social psychological areas relevant to job design and motivation.

### FIELD STUDIES

#### Interviews

To identify and define the dimensions on which jobs might be redesigned, following the literature survey, a number of on-site interviews about Naval monitoring and maintenance tasks were conducted to gather information on possible dimensions to include in succeeding phases of the study. Fifteen active duty men mostly in job ratings of the maintenance or monitoring categories were interviewed. The interviews were open-ended and lasted from one-half to one hour. The focus of the interviews was on what the men liked most and liked least about their jobs, the reason for their answers, and suggestions on how they felt the jobs could be redesigned. Similar interviews were conducted with twelve men from Army Reserve unit for a total of 27 interviews. Interviewing was terminated when additional information became redundant.

Each interview was recorded on tape, transcribed, and content analyzed. From the interviews and literature review, sixteen attributes were generated and defined together with specific items to measure the amount or frequency of each attribute.

### Reallocation Study

Each job element was named, defined in terms that blue collar workers could understand, and followed by seven statements expressing "how much" of that element is present. (Figure 1 is an example.) Two problems manifested themselves immediately. The first was a discrimination problem and the second was a scaling problem.

- 
1. Goal Clarity: the degree of clarity and specificity in the objectives of the job.
    1. People always explain what I'm to do in ways that I can understand.
    2. Nobody has to tell me what I'm supposed to get done each day.
    3. It is clear what someone in my job should accomplish.
    4. The direction I go in seems to change from one day to the next.
    5. Sometimes it is hard for me to understand what others want me to do.
    6. I have to be constantly asking people what to do on this job.
    7. By the time they get to me plans are usually distorted.

Figure 1. Job structural attribute named, defined, and followed by sample statements expressing "how much?"

---

Smith and Kendall's (1963) reallocation procedure was employed to test the conceptual discriminability of the elements. Several hundred judges, mainly from Army reserve units (non-college level) were instructed to assign each of the statements into the defined category that they believed best corresponded with the meaning of the statement.

The criteria for the retention of an item were that 70% of its allocations were to a single category and no more than 20% allocations to any second category.

Magnitude estimation was employed in which any value is assigned to a referent concept and then all other stimuli are judged in relation to the referent concept. For example, if an individual attaches the value 10 to the word "sometimes" he might assign zero to the word "never" and 100 to the word "always" if he felt that always expressed 10 times as much as the word sometimes. Of the twenty-five or so stimuli presented, the aim is to arrive at five or six that bear the approximate ratio 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0 to each other.

Subjects were drawn from several populations, namely, MBA students (most of whom are working), college students and high school students. Both males and females participated, and the total sample was 125 Ss. Results were reported in Bass, B. M., Cascio, W. F., & O'Connor, E. J. Magnitude estimations of frequency and amount, Journal of Applied Psychology, 1974, 59(3), 313-320.

#### Job Design Elements

The job design elements studied dealt with the work itself (Figure 2) and the work environment (figure 3).



Figure 2  
WORK ITSELF

1. Variety
2. Attention
3. Learning New Skills (Opportunity)
4. Learning New Skills (Necessity)
5. Closure
6. Task Interdependence (Forward Sequence)
7. Task Interdependence (Backward Sequence)
8. Internal Feedback
9. External Feedback (How Often)
10. External Feedback (How Much)
11. Independence (Pace)
12. Independence (Methods)
13. Independence (Sequence)
14. Responsibility (Culpability)
15. Responsibility (Material)
16. Responsibility (Monetary Loss)
17. Responsibility (Human Life)
18. Optional Interpersonal Interaction
19. Required Interpersonal Interaction
20. Order
21. Goal Clarity
22. Physical Effort
23. Job Difficulty
24. Job Complexity

Figure 3

## WORK ENVIRONMENT

1. Salary
2. Interpersonal Relations (Supervisor)
3. Interpersonal Relations (Subordinates)
4. Interpersonal Relations (Peers)
5. Technical Supervision
6. Company Policy and Administration
7. Working Conditions
8. Status A
9. Status B
10. Job Security
11. Availability of Resources
12. Work Scheduling
13. Job/Person Fit

### Importance of Individual Differences

Within our overall conceptual framework, individual differences played a crucial part. We conceptualized an overall "g" factor in the population which will influence a person's preference for job complexity. This is the brainpower factor, or as R. S. Woodworth stated in 1918, "capacity is its own motivation". "Big" people prefer "big" jobs, "little" people, "little" jobs. We also can conceive of "s" factors which represent the specific task-related aptitudes which a person brings to the performance of any given task. So far this theoretical position is consistent with Spearman's (1904) two-factor theory of intelligence. In addition we hypothesize that "p" factors are operating. These "p" factors represent the preferences and characteristics of an individual. These will include such factors as task orientation, self orientation, and job involvement. In terms of Bass' Orientation Inventory, we viewed people as varying in task-orientation, i.e. the extent to which they obtain satisfaction for persisting at tasks and completing them successfully. They also vary in self-orientation toward extrinsic rather than intrinsic rewards.

A technical report was completed by W. Cascio "Value Orientation, Organizational Rewards, and Job Satisfaction" dealing with one aspect of the individual difference issue.

The nation-wide sales force (N=540) of a large food and beverage firm responded to a survey designed to investigate the role of value orientation as a moderator of the relationship between organizational rewards and job satisfaction. From equifinality theory it was hypothesized that individuals who emphasize the intrinsic (extrinsic) aspects of work can achieve the same relative level of overall job satisfaction

if intrinsic (extrinsic) organizational rewards match their value orientation.

Results indicated that the normative (Survey of Work Values) instrument was most predictive of both intrinsic and extrinsic value orientation. The intrinsic subscale of this instrument correlated zero with the extrinsic subscale. The two scales representing intrinsic and extrinsic organizational rewards, however, correlated .41. These results are counter to those reported earlier by Lawler and Porter. A modification of the Lawler-Porter model relating performance to job satisfaction was suggested to account for the positive spillover effect due to the interaction of intrinsic and extrinsic organizational rewards.

A double cross-validation procedure was employed to arrive at the best estimate of the predictive ability of each of the four models of job satisfaction. In order of predictive ability they were: "Is Now" (.55), "Importance-Rewards" (.46), "Orientation-Rewards" (.40), and "Importance-Rewards" (.32). It was suggested that each model could have utility in a specific context and within a well-defined conceptual framework. An attempt was made to relate each model to those contexts in which its use would be most appropriate.

For those Ss high in intrinsic value orientation and low in extrinsic value orientation, satisfaction with the work itself was not the most significant determinant of overall satisfaction. However, satisfaction with work environment factors was the most significant determinant of overall satisfaction for those Ss high in extrinsic value orientation and low in intrinsic value orientation. Finally

the high intrinsic/low extrinsic group was significantly more satisfied in terms of overall as well as job facet satisfaction across all facets than the high extrinsic/low intrinsic group. These results were discussed in terms of an organizational climate variable.

We are now in the latter stages of the field study. The summary table indicates work performed and to be performed.

### LABORATORY INVESTIGATIONS

A laboratory for studying monitoring and maintenance was created at the University of Akron under subcontract.

#### The Monitoring Task

The basic monitoring task consists of identifying symbols projected on a screen in random locations. The stimuli are numerous geometric shapes projected on a 23" x 23" screen by Kodak Ektographic slide projectors (Model E-2). In order to minimize visual loading cues, slides are presented to each subject alternately from two projectors. McKinsey dissolve control units (Model AD-2) are adjusted so as to minimize changes in light intensity. The screens are divided into 6 areas.

There are four booths in which the subjects are seated in front of the screens. Two slide projectors service each booth. The rate of presentation of the slides is controlled for all four booths by an Optisonic's Sound-o-matic 1 cassette programmer-recorder. In this way all four subjects simultaneously receive identical stimuli. The subjects responses, both latency and errors, are recorded by a Lafayette Instrument Company recorder (Model 76103).

The experimental design involves both vertical and horizontal job enlargement. In addition, is simultaneous vertical and horizontal enlargement as part of the basic research design.

Summary Table for Field Study

<u>Stage</u>	<u>Objectives</u>	<u>Measures</u>	<u>Methods</u>	<u>Outcomes</u>
1	To arrive at a set of attributes that reflect intrinsic motivational properties of jobs		Literature review and synthesis of existing theory and data	Isolation of a minimum number of structural variables that describe intrinsic motivating properties of jobs
2	Investigate problem of job redefinition across several job levels-- superior, subordinate, job analysis and relate to g, s and p measures	g, s, and p measures; job descriptions (about 25), ratings on each attribute for each job description by every subject	Compare means and variances of attribute ratings across subject groups; relate ratings to g, s, and p measures within groups	Quantitative data that shows how jobs are redefined by different groups and how job perception relates to individual differences
3	To obtain importance weights for each job attribute, cross validate the weights, and relate to g, s, and p measures	Each subject in sample may give an overall rating or a preference judgment for each job in terms of his perceived satisfaction	Either regression analysis or Srinivasan's (1971) procedure to find weights	Importance weights for each job attribute for each individual; relate to g, s, p measures
4	To relate structural attributes of jobs to individual job outcomes	Either experimental manipulation of attributes in lab setting and/or selection of real jobs that vary on attributes	Compare subjects subjective perceptions of time, boredom, and satisfaction for different amounts of job attributes	Evidence to show the relevance of the job attributes for affecting workers intrinsic motivation

**BEST COPY AVAILABLE**

The first set of studies focused upon the decision-making and responsibility dimensions based on completed pilot studies. The physical characteristics of the display were systematically varied. Physical characteristics varied included: frequency of signal occurrence, signal-to-noise ratio, intersignal interval, display density, and knowledge of results.

Before beginning the test session on the monitoring task all subjects were given a battery of tests which are related to the basic objectives of the study. Upon completion of the monitoring task, subjects were given selected work-related measures.

#### The Maintenance Task

Based upon the results of the field studies of maintenance personnel, a laboratory maintenance task was constructed to deal with the independent variables of variety, independence, learning new skills, and closure. The maintenance task involved problem diagnosis where the independent elements were systematically varied within the general context of the research design. The job structure questionnaire used in the field studies was administered to the laboratory subjects. Technical reports are expected to be completed by the end of 1974 on this work which is continuing at the University of Akron.

DISTRIBUTION LIST

NAVY

- 4 Dr. Marshall J. Farr, Director  
Personnel and Training Research  
Programs  
Office of Naval Research (Code 458)  
Arlington, VA 22217
- 1 ONR Branch Office  
495 Summer Street  
Boston, MA 02210  
Attn: Research Psychologist
- 1 ONR Branch Office  
1030 East Green Street  
Pasadena, CA 91101  
Att: E. E. Gloye
- 1 ONR Branch Office  
536 South Clark Street  
Chicago, IL 60605  
Att: M. A. Bertin
- 1 Office of Naval Research  
Area Office  
207 West 24th Street  
New York, NY 10011
- 6 Director  
Naval Research Laboratory  
Code 2627  
Washington, D.C. 20390
- 12 Defense Documentation Center  
Cameron Station, Building 5  
5010 Duke Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314
- 1 Special Assistant for Manpower  
OASN (M&RA)  
Pentagon, Room 4E794  
Washington, D.C. 20350
- 1 LCDR Charles J. Theisen, Jr.  
MSC, USN  
4024  
Naval Air Development Center  
Warminster, PA 18974
- 1 Chief of Naval Reserve  
Code 3055  
New Orleans, LA 70146
- 1 Dr. Lee Miller  
Naval Air Systems Command  
AIR-413E  
Washington, DC 20361
- 1 CAPT John F. Riley, USN  
Commanding Officer  
U.S. Naval Amphibious School  
Coronado, CA 92155
- 1 Chief  
Bureau of Medicine & Surgery  
Research Division (Code 713)  
Washington, DC 20372
- 1 Chairman  
Behavioral Science Dept.  
Naval Command & Management Div.  
U.S. Naval Academy  
Luce Hall  
Annapolis, MD 21402
- 1 Chief of Naval Education & Training  
Naval Air Station  
Pensacola, FL 32508  
Att: Capt. Bruce Stone, USN
- 1 Mr. Arnold Rubinstein  
Naval Material Command  
(NAVMAT 03424)  
Room 820, Crystal Plaza #6  
Washington, DC 20360
- 1 Commanding Officer  
Naval Medical Neuropsychiatric  
Research Unit  
San Diego, CA 92152
- 1 Director, Navy Occupational Task  
Analysis Program (NOTAP)  
Navy Personnel Program Support  
Activity  
Building 1304, Bolling AFB  
Washington, DC 20336
- 1 Dr. Richard J. Niehaus  
Office of Civilian Manpower Mgmt.  
Code 06A  
Washington, DC 20390



1 Department of the Navy  
Office of Civilian Manpower Mgmt.  
Code 263  
Washington, DC 20390

1 Chief of Naval Operations (OP-987E)  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, DC 20350

1 Superintendent  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93940  
Attn: Library (Code 2124)

1 Commander, Navy Recruiting Command  
4015 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22203  
Attn: Code 015

1 Mr. George N. Graine  
Naval Ship Systems Command  
SHIPS 047C12  
Washington, DC 20362

1 Chief of Naval Technical Training  
Naval Air Station Memphis (75)  
Millington, TN 38054  
Attn: Dr. Norman J. Kerr

1 Dr. William L. Maloy  
Principal Civilian Advisor  
for Education & Training  
Naval Training Command, Code 01A  
Pensacola, FL 32508

1 Dr. Alfred F. Smode, Staff  
Consultant  
Training Analysis & Evaluation  
Group  
Naval Training Equipment Center  
Code N-00T  
Orlando, FA 32813

1 Dr. Hanns H. Wolff  
Technical Director (Code N-2)  
Naval Training Equipment Center  
Orlando, FA 32813

1 Chief of Naval Training Support  
Code N-21  
Building 45  
Naval Air Station  
Pensacola, FL 32508

1 Dr. Richard Sorenson  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152

5 Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152  
Attn: Code 10

#### Army

1 Headquarters  
U.S. Army Administration Center  
Personnel Administration Combat  
Development Activity  
ATCP-HRO  
Ft. Benjamin Harrison, IN 46249

1 Director of Research  
U.S. Army Armor Human Research Unit  
Building 2422 Morade Street  
Fort Knox, KY 40121  
Attn: Library

1 Commandant  
United States Army Infantry School  
Attn: ATSH-DET  
Fort Benning, GA 31905

1 Deputy Commander  
U.S. Army Institute of  
Administration  
Ft. Benjamin Harrison, IN 46216  
Attn: EA

1 Dr. Stanley L. Cohen  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 Dr. Ralph Dusek  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 Mr. Edmund F. Fuchs  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 Dr. J.E. Uhlaner, Technical Director  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 HQ USAREUR & 7th Army  
ODCSOPS  
USAREUR Director of GED  
APO New York 09403

## Air Force

- 1 Research Branch  
AF/DPMYAR  
Randolph AFB, TX 78148
- 1 AFHRL/DOJN  
Stop #63  
Lackland AFB, TX 78236
- 1 Dr. Martin Rockway (AFHRL/TT)  
Lowry AFB  
Colorado 80230
- 1 Major P.J. DeLeo  
Instructional Technology Branch  
AF Human Resources Laboratory  
Lowry AFB, CO 80230
- 1 AFOSR/NL  
1400 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209
- 1 Commandant  
USAF School of Aerospace Medicine  
Aeromedical Library (SUL-4)  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

## Marine Corps

- 1 Mr. E.A. Dover  
Manpower Measurement Unit  
(Code MPI)  
Arlington Annex, Room 2413  
Arlington, VA 20380
- 1 Commandant of the Marine Corps  
Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps  
Code MPI-20  
Washington, DC 20380
- 1 Director, Office of Manpower  
Utilization  
Headquarters, Marine Corps (Code MPU)  
MCB (Building 2009)  
Quantico, VA 22134
- 1 Dr. A.L. Slafkosky  
Scientific Advisor (Code RD-1)  
Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps  
Washington, DC 20380

## Coast Guard

- 1 Mr. Joseph J. Cowan, Chief  
Psychological Research Branch  
(G-P-1/62)  
U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters  
Washington, DC 20590

## Other DOD

- 1 Lt. Col. Henry L. Taylor, USAF  
Military Assistant for Human  
Resources  
OAD (E&LS) ODDR&E  
Pentagon, Room 3D129  
Washington, DC 20301

## Other Government

- 1 Dr. Lorraine D. Eyde  
Personnel Research & Development  
Center  
U.S. Civil Service Commission  
1900 E. Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20415
- 1 Dr. William Gorham, Director  
Personnel Research & Development  
Center  
U.S. Civil Service Commission  
1900 E. Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20415
- 1 Dr. Vern Urry  
Personnel Research & Development  
Center  
U.S. Civil Service Commission  
1900 E. Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20415

## Miscellaneous

- 1 Dr. Richard C. Atkinson  
Stanford University  
Department of Psychology  
Stanford, CA 94305
- 1 Dr. Gerald V. Barrett  
University of Akron  
Department of Psychology  
Akron, OH 44325
- 1 Mr. Kenneth M. Bromberg  
Manager - Washington Operations  
Information Concepts, Inc.  
1701 North Fort Myer Drive  
Arlington, VA 22209

- 1 Century Research Corporation  
4113 Lee Highway  
Arlington, VA 22207
- 1 Dr. Kenneth E. Clark  
University of Rochester  
College of Arts & Sciences  
River Campus Station  
Rochester, NY 14627
- 1 Dr. H. Peter Dachler  
University of Maryland  
Department of Psychology  
College Park, MD 20742
- 1 Dr. Rene' V. Dawis  
University of Minnesota  
Department of Psychology  
Minneapolis, MN 55455
- 1 Dr. Norman R. Dixon  
Room 170  
190 Lothrop Street  
Pittsburgh, PA 15260
- 1 Dr. Robert Dubin  
University of California  
Graduate School of Administration  
Irvine, CA 92664
- 1 Dr. Marvin D. Dunnette  
University of Minnesota  
Department of Psychology  
Minneapolis, MN 55455
- 1 ERIC  
Processing & Reference Facility  
4833 Rugby Avenue  
Bethesda, MD 20014
- 1 Dr. Victor Fields  
Montgomery College  
Department of Psychology  
Rockville, MD 20850
- 1 Dr. Edwin A. Fleishman  
American Institutes for Research  
Foxhall Square  
3301 New Mexico Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20016
- 1 Dr. M.D. Havron  
Human Sciences Research, Inc.  
7710 Old Spring House Road  
West Gate Industrial Park  
McLean, VA 22101
- 1 HumRRO  
Division No. 3  
P.O. Box 5787  
Presidio of Monterey, CA 93940
- 1 HumRRO  
Division No. 4, Infantry  
P.O. Box 2086  
Fort Benning, GA 31905
- 1 HumRRO  
Division No. 5, Air Defense  
P.O. Box 6057  
Fort Bliss, TX
- 1 HumRRO  
Division No. 6, Library  
P.O. Box 428  
Fort Rucker, IL 36360
- 1 Dr. Lawrence B. Johnson  
Lawrence Johnson & Associates, Inc.  
200 S. Street, N.W., Suite 502  
Washington, DC 20009
- 1 Dr. Ernest J. McCormick  
Purdue University  
Department of Psychological  
Sciences  
Lafayette, IN 47907
- 1 Dr. Robert R. Mackie  
Human Factors Research, Inc.  
6780 Cortona Drive  
Santa Barbara Research Park  
Goleta, CA 93017
- 1 Mr. Edmond Marks  
405 Old Main  
Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, PA 16802
- 1 Mr. Luigi Petruzzo  
2431 North Edgewood Street  
Arlington, VA 22207
- 1 Dr. Diane M. Ramsey-Klee  
R-K Research & System Design  
3947 Ridgemont Drive  
Malibu, CA 90265
- 1 Dr. Joseph W. Rigney  
University of Southern California  
Behavioral Technology Laboratories  
3717 South Grand  
Los Angeles, CA 90007

- 1 Dr. Leonard L. Rosenbaum, Chairman  
Montgomery College  
Department of Psychology  
Pockville, MD 20850
- 1 Dr. George E. Rowland  
Rowland and Company, Inc.  
P.O. Box 61  
Haddonfield, NJ 08033
- 1 Dr. Arthur I. Siegel  
Applied Psychological Services  
404 East Lancaster Avenue  
Wayne, PA 19087
- 1 Dr. C. Harold Stone  
1428 Virginia Avenue  
Glendale, CA 91202
- 1 Dr. David J. Weiss  
University of Minnesota  
Department of Psychology  
Minneapolis, MN 55455