#### DOCUMENT RESUME

BD 102 100 SP 008 878

AUTHOR Kohut, Sylvester, Jr.

TITLE Curriculum, Instruction, and Communication in the

Middle School.

INSTITUTION Dickinson Coll., Carlisle, Pa.

PUB DATE 74 NOTE 14p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS Inservice Programs; Inservice Teacher Education;

\*Middle Schools; Performance Based Teacher Education;

\*Teacher Workshops

#### ABSTRACT

Realizing the need for in-service workshops and graduate-level courses for middle-school practitioners, the College of Education Continuing Education Program of the University of Pennsylvania conducted a series of three-credit, graduate-level workshops throughout southeastern Pennsylvania during the summer of 1974. This is an ongoing workshop series, and it will be continued during 1975. The workshop series was a competency-based, in-service teacher education program designed for middle-school teachers with actual middle-school teaching experience. The course was basically an investigation of the innovations, curricular and instructional dimensions, and the dynamics of communication processes in the emerging middle-school movement. Focus was on the relationships of theory to practice. (This document contains a description of the course, a copy of the workshop planning questionnaire, and a chart of the workshop objectives and procedures.) (PB)



# US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH. EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON ON ORGANIZATION ORIGIN ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

## DICKINSON COLLEGE Carlisle. Pennsylvania

Curriculum, Instruction, and Communication in the Middle School COURSE TITLE:

ABSTRACT:

An investigation of the innovations, curricular and instructional dimensions, and the dynamics of communication processes in the emerging middle school movement. Focus will be on the relationship of theory to practice.

RATIONALE:

While the middle school movement represents a viable alternative to public school adolescent education in the United States, many zealous educators have established middle school programs with almost complete disregard for preservice and inservice training for faculty and staff. Inservice workshops and graduate level courses for middle school practitioners are of paramount importance in maintaining progressive and effective middle school programs throughout the Commonwealth.

This three-credit graduate level course offering will be conducted as a workshop during the summer of 1974. Superintendents, middle school principals and middle school teachers in selected Chester County public school districts were interviewed during November 1973, and it was determined that there was a definite need for a graduate level course focusing on the middle school. A questionnaire was administered to approximately 75 potential graduate enrollees during January 1974 to identify specific topics and activities for consideration in the course.

The workshop is designed for middle school teachers with actual middle school teaching experience. The workshop is not a survey or orientation program but rather a program for middle school practitioners. Provisions will be made for graduate students with limited middle school teaching experience or formal course work.

TEXT:

ED102100

The workshop will reflect a multimedia approach. Action group sessions, seminars, and small group discussions will highlight the program. All reading materials, exercise worksheets and audiovisual equipment will be provided. No text will be required for the participants will actually assist in the preparation of a middle school guide book during the workshop.

**EVALUATION:** 

In addition to evaluation procedures included in the course proposal, objective and subjective evaluation instruments will be administered to all graduate students at the completion of the course. A follow-up questionnaire will be administered to all participants six months after the completion of the course to determine the long-range effect of the workshop in influencing change and positive programs in the target school districts represented in the workshop.

SUBJECT:

Middle School Action Workshop Schedule

TITLE:

Curriculum, Instruction, and Communication in the Middle School

<u>Session</u>	Time	Topics
1	8 - 12	Introduction to the Middle School - Innovations, Staffing, and Organizational Patterns - Responsibilities of Administrators, Teachers, Paraprofessionals, Parents, and Students.
	1 - 3	Exemplary Programs in the Commonwealth and Throughout the Nation - Junior High Versus the Middle School.
	3 - 4	Instructor-Student Conferences.
2	8 - 12	Individualizing Instruction - The Cognitive, Affective, and Psychomotor Learning Domains - Writing Specific Learning Outcomes.
	1 = 4	Constructing Learning Packets.
	4 - 5	Instructor-Student Conference.
. 3	8 - 12	Media and Educational Technology - Audiovisual and Multimedia Programs in the Middle School.
	1 - 4	Media Preparation for Learning Stations, Resource Centers, and Learning Laboratories.
	4 - 5	Instructor-Student Conferences.
4	8 - 12	Communications Processes - Systematic Classroom Observation.
•	1 - 3	Classroom Climate and Classroom Management.
	3 - 5	Psychology of the Adolescent Learner.
5	3 - 12	Value Clarification - Value Education.
	1 - 4	Role Playing and Psychodrama Techniques for Improving Professional Staff Communication and Cooperation.
	4 - 5	Instructor-Student Conferences.



<u>Session</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Topics</u>		
6	8 - 12	Certification Practices for Middle School Educators.		
	1 - 3	Teaching Competencies for Middle School Educators.		
	3 - 4	Summary - What's It All About?		

Coordinator: Dr. Sylvester kohut, Jr.
Assistart Professor of Education
Coordinator of Teacher Education
Dickinson College
Carlisle PA



# MIDDLE SCHOOL ACTION WORKSHOP PRELIMINARY PLANNING QUESTIONNAIRE

Dickinson College Years of Professional Teaching Experience Years of Middle School Teaching Experience Total Credit of Graduate Level Course Work in the Middle School The generic statements refer to curricular and instructional concerns, educational community cooperation, communication dynamics, and psychological aspects of middle school teaching. DIRECTIONS: Select and rank in order of your professional priority the topics which should be included in an action workshop for middle school practitioners. Rank the topics from 1 through 18 by placing theaappropriate number in the space provided. Therefore, the most important topic should be ranked 1 and the least important 18. Identification, construction Writing research grants for implementation, and evaluation middle school teacher-oriented of individualized learning programs. materials and activities. Junior high school - friend Open Education. or foe. Interdisciplinary planning Trends and exemplary programs and cooperation. in the middle school movement. Organization of a nongraded, Community participation -- a continuous progress program. Dublic relations task. Curricular innovations in the Introduction to the middle school separate subjects. theory and practice. Interaction analysis and Psychology of the adolescent systematic observation -learner improving classroom climate. Teacher as the counselor. Behavioral objectives and competency based instruction. Physical and emotional growth of the adolescent learner. Responsibilities of the administrator, teacher, paraprofes-Certification requirements for sional, student, and parent. the middle school teacher -elementary or secondary Staffing patterns and orientation. organizational grouping of

Identify other major topics and activities which should be included in a middle school action workshop from your professional viewpoint. Use back of form.



students and teachers.

Dr. Sylvester Kohut, Jr.

			COMPETENCY-BASED MIDE	LE S	CHOOL WORKSHOP
	CONTENT		OBJECTIVES		INSTRUCT : MAL MODE: OF
Int	roduction and Orientation	The	Educator Will		
1.	Innovations, staffing, and organizational patterns in the middle school.	1.	Describe innovations, staffing, and organizational patterns associated with the emerging] middle school.	1.	Lecture-recine readings, and cussion.
2.	Responsibilities of the admini- strator, teacher, paraprofessional, parent, and student.	2.	Compare and contrast the roles and responsibilities of the chief administrator, teachers, paraprofessionals, parents, and students.	2.	Lecture-recitreadings, and cussions.
3.	Exemplary programs in the Common-wealth and throughout the Nation.	3.	Read and review selected literature concerning model middle school programs and projects throughout the Commonwealth and the Nation.	3.	Review of se research rep middle schoo
4.	Junior high versus the middle school.	4a.	Recall the historical develop- ment of both the junior high and the emerging middle school.		Required readiscussion.
		4b.	Identify the differences between the traditional junior high and the emerging middle school.		
	•	4c.	Formulate a model for implementing middle school approaches and programs in a traditional or contemporary junior high.	4c.	Small action late a model middle schoo practices in junior high.



IVES		INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES OR MODES OF INSTRUCTION	EVALUATION PROCEDURES		
, • • •					
vations, staffing, ional patterns th the emerging]	1.	Lecture-recitation, required readings, and small group discussion.	1.	Using a survey instrument, the educator will describe and appraise his middle school in terms of curricular programs.	
intrast the roles ilities of the trator, teachers, nals, parents, and	2.	Lecture-recitation, required readings, and small group discussions.	2.	The educator will prepare a written report contrasting the responsibilities and duties of the administrator, teacher, paraprofessional, parent, and student in his middle school with recommended descriptive guide.	
ew selected litera- ig model middle ms and projects Commonwealth and	3.	Review of selected literature, research reports, abstracts, and middle school curriculum guides.	3.	None.	
storical develop- the junior high and middle school.		Required readings and small group discussion.	4a.	None	
differences between al junior high and middle school.			4b. 4c.	Cooperation in small action groups the educator will design a model for implementing middle school	
del for implementing approaches and pro- ditional or contem- high.	4c.	Small action groups will formu- late a model for implementing middle school approaches and practices in a traditional junior high.		approaches and programs in a tra- ditional junior high. Models will be evaluated in open sessions in accordance with recommended guidelines.	



******		<del></del>			
*~ <b>!!</b>	CONTENT		OBJECTIVES	1	INSTRUCTIONAL A MODES OF INC
In	dividualizing Instruction	The	Educator Will		
5.	Learning Domains - Cognitive, Affective, and Psychomotor.	5a.	Recall the appropriate levels of the cognitive, affective, and psychomotor learning domains.	5a.	Lecture-recit filmstrips, a exercises.
		5b.	Write specific learning outcomes in the cognitive, affective, and psychomotor learning domains.	5b.	Small action late objective and affective
6.	Construction of student selinstructional learning packet.	6a.	Construct a student self- instructional learning packet.	6.	Lecture-recitor, presentation, discussion.
Med	iia and Educational Technology				
7.	Audiovisual and multimedia programs in a student-centered middle school curriculum.	7.	Assess the existing media facilities and media programs in a selected middle school.	7.	Demonstration action group
8.	Media preparation for use in learning stations, learning laboratories, and resource centers.	8.	Construct and evaluate materials for use in a learning station or learning laboratory.	8.	Small action ;
Com	munication Processes				
9.	Systematic classroom observations and interaction analysis.	9.	Employ selected instruments for the systematic classification of verbal and nonverbal behaviors in the classroom.	9.	Demonstration tapes and requ



INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES OR **EVALUATION PROCEDURES** MODES OF INSTRUCTION riate levels of 5a. Lecture-recitation, readings, 5a. The educator will complete a fective, and filmstrips, and written self-instructional booklet. ing domains. exercises. **Irning** outcomes 5b. Small action groups will formu-5b. In a written exercise, the educaaffective, and late objectives in the cognitive, tor will formulate objectives in ing domains. and affective learning domains. the cognitive and affective learning domains. it self-6. Lecture-recitation readings, slide 6. The educator will construct a rning packet. presentation, and small group student self-instructional discussion. learning packet in accord with recommended guidelines. g media 7. Demonstration and small clinical 7. Using a survey guide, the educadia programs action group sessions. tor will participate on a middle "le school. school media inspection and evaluation team evaluating the facilities and media programs of a selected middle school. luate materials 8. Small action group sessions. In cooperation with an action ing station or group the educator will plan. design, and construct a learning у. station in accord with recommended guidelines. struments for Demonstration, review of video-The educator will analyze using ssification of tapes and required readings. an interaction analysis instrual behaviors ment and actual transcript of classroom dialogue with 70% accuracy. . 14

	COMPETENCY-BASED MIDDLE SCHOOL WORKSHO				
CONTENT	OBJECTIVES	INSTRUCTIONAL MODES OF			
Communication Processes	The Educator Will				
10. Classroom climate in a student- centered curriculum.	10. Demonstrate correct classroom management procedures in establishing a student-centered atmosphere which facilitates student involvement.	O. Role playing group discus			
Psychology of the Adolescent Learner					
11. Physical, emotional, and social needs of the adolescent learner.	11. Recognize the physical, emotional, 1 and social needs of the adolescent learner.	1. Lecture-reci			
12. Value clarification and value education.	12. Demonstrate value clarification lessons and techniques.	2. Value clarif stration and			
13. Role playing and encounter group techniques for improving communication among middle school educators and staff members.	13. Integrate role playing and selected encounter group techniques in inservice programs for the improvement of professional staff communication and cooperation.	3. Lecture-reci stration and			



VES	INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES OR MODES OF INSTRUCTION	EVALUATION PROCEDURES		
• •				
rect classroom dures in tudent-centered facilitates ent.	10. Role playing episides and small group discussion.	10. Following the videotaping of a microteaching episode, the educator will critically analyze the lesson using an appropriate instrument. The educator will counsel the teacher using the results of this systematic observation technique.		
ysical, emotional, of the adoles-	11. Lecture-recitation, small group discussion, and selected readings.	11. Written exercise.		
clarification niques.	12. Value clarification lesson demon- stration and required readings.	12. The educator will design a value clarification exercise which will be evaluated in accord with established criteria. Selected exercises will be demonstrated.		
laying and er group techni- programs for of professional ion and coopera-	13. Lecture-recitation and demon- stration and required readings.	13. Following a role playing and psychodrama session, the educator will specify at least three additional problems or issues which would be explored using similar techniques to improve staff cooperation and understanding.		



CONTENT	OBJECTIVES	INSTRUCTIONAL MODES OF I	
Middle School Certification and Middle School Teaching Competencies	The Educator Will		
14. Certification practices for middle school educators.	14. Discuss current practices in certifying middle school teachers in selected states.	14. Required read discussion.	
15. Teaching competencies for middle school educators and teaching candidates.	15. Propose specific teaching competencies as basic prerequisites for middle school faculty	15. Review of Peni Project Repor	



# INSTRUCTIONAL ACTIVITIES OR EVALUATION PROCEDURES MODES OF INSTRUCTION

actices in school ed states.

leaching compeprerequisites faculty nure.

- 14. Required readings and small group discussion.
- 15. Review of <u>Pennsylvania CBTE</u>
  <u>Project Report</u> (June 1973).
- 14. Cooperating in action groups, the 15. educator will propose specific
- 15. educator will propose specific teaching competencies as basic prerequisites for middle school faculty appointments.



### MIDDLE SCHOOL WORKSHOP: AN OVERVIEW

Sylvester Kohut, Jr., Ph.D.
Dickinson College
Carlisle, Pennsylvania

While the middle school movement represents a viable alternative to public school adolescent education, many zealous educators have established middle school programs with almost complete disregard for inservice training for faculty and staff. Inservice workshops and graduate level courses for middle school practitioners are of paramount importance in maintaining progressive and effective middle school programs throughout the United States.

Realizing this need, the College of Education Continuing Education Program of The Pennsylvania State University conducted a series of three-credit graduate level workshops throughout Southeastern Pennsylvania during the summer 1974. This is an ongoing workshop series and it will be continued during 1975.

The middle school workshop series was a competency-based inservice teacher education program as reflected in the competency-based course outline. The workshop was designed for middle school teachers with actual middle school teaching experience. The workshop was not a survey or orientation program but rather a program for middle school practitioners. Provisions were made for graduate students with limited middle school teaching experience or formal course work.

The workshop participants were directly responsible for determining the content and many of the specific learning activities included in the workshop which was titled "Curriculum, Instruction and Communication in the Middle School." A definite need for the workshop was established after meeting with superintendents, middle school principals and middle school teachers in selected county school districts. Next a "Middle School Action Workshop Preliminary Planning Questionnaire" was administered to approximately 75 potential graduate enrollees. Based on the results of the questionnaire and subsequent discussions, the competency-based inservice program was finalized.

The course was basically an investigation of the innovations, curricular and instructional dimensions, and the dynamics of communication processes in the emerging middle school movement. Focus was on the relationship of theory to practice. Teachers, paraprofessionals, administrators, parents and middle school pupils participated in the program.

The workshop reflected a multimedia approach. Action group sessions and small group discussions highlighted the program. No text was required for the participants for they actually assisted in the preparation of a middle school guidebook during the workshop.

