DOCUMENT RESUME

BD 101 762 JC 750 115

TITLE Age Analysis of Regular Program Students Enrolled

Fall 1974 Semester.

INSTITUTION Mississippi Gulf Coast Junior Coll., Perkinston.

REPORT NO IRR-74-75-5

PUB DATE NOT 74 NOTE 8p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCTIPTORS Adult Students; Age; *Age Groups; *Enrollment Trends;

*Junior Colleges; *Junior College Students; Part Time

Students

IDENTIFIERS *Mississippi Gulf Coast Junior College

ABSTRACT

Of 3,393 students on the campuses of Mississippi Gulf Coast Junior Colleges and at George County Occupational Training Center in 1974, 82 percent were full-time, 18 percent were part-time, 68 percent were freshmen (many of whom were vocational students), and 32 percent were sophomores (few of whom were vocational students). Fifty-nine percent of the full-time freshmen and 49 percent of the part-time freshmen were 19 or under. A comparison of full-time freshmen in 1973 and 1974 shows that the average age has increased from 22 to 23.4. In 1974, the average age of freshmen vocational students was 29.7, whereas the average age of freshmen technical and academic students was 22.7 and 20.3 respectively. The average sophomore student was almost two years older than the average freshman student. The average age of sophomore vocational students Was 45, whereas the average age of sophomore technical and academic students was 25.3 and 22.2 respectively. Alternative recruitment methods to attract more vocational and technical students are recommended. (DC)



BEST COPY AVAILABLE



US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF

THIS DUS MENT HAS BEEN KEPRO DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR URGANIZATION ORIGIN ATING IT POSMISSIE VIEW OR OFFINION STATED DO NOT NECESSAR LY REPRE SENTOFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION POSITORION FOR

Title: _ Age Analysis of Regular Program Students
Enrolled Fall 1974 Semester

Institutional Research Report No. 74/75-5

November 12, 1974

- 1. PURPOSE. The purpose of this report is to provide information on the age of all students enrolled in regular programs on the campuses and at George County Occupational Training Center. The Keesler Operation is not included in this report. Where available Fall 1973 data are compared with Fall 1974.
- 2. DISCUSSION. Comments that follow are derived from Tables I, II and III, and Institutional Research Report No 73-2, Age Analysis of the Freshman 1973 Class, November 13, 1974. This study addresses ages and percentages by age groups for all students in regular programs enrolled in the Fall 1974. Charts that follow extract selected information from these sources. Persons interested in a more detailed analysis may use the Tables as basic reference documents for additional comments that may not be reflected in the following paragraphs. Charts are in the body of this study, included to highlight some of the most significent findings of the study.
- 3. Table I, Age Analysis of all Regular Program Students (to include all academic, technical and vocational; full and part-time; freshman and sophomore students) reveals the following interesting facts.
 - a. The 3393 students counted in the study are made up as follows:

Charc I A

	Fresh	men	Sopho	more	Total	
	No.	%	No.	. %	· No.	%
Full time	1942	83.7	828	77.1	2770	81.6
Part time	377	16.3	246	22.9	623	18.4
Total	2319		1074		3393	

NOTE:

81.6% are full time students

18.4% are part time students

68.3% are freshmen, many of whom are vocational students

31.7% are sophomores, few of whom are vocational.

b. 50.3% of the students are 19 or under; leaving 49.7% 20 or older.



JC 750 11

Chart I B Students 19 and Under

	Fresh	men	Sopho	more	Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Full time	1151	59.2	363	43.8	1478	53.3
Part time	185	49	9	36.6	194	31.1
Total	1336		372	•	1708	

NOTES: 59.2% of full time freshmen are 19 or under

49% of part time freshmen are 19 or under

43.8% of sophomores are 19 or under 36.6% of sophomores are 19 or under

78% of these students are freshmen

22% are sophemores.

c. The percentage of full time and part time freshmen and sophomores are as follows:

Chart I C

		J	C	J	D ·	P	ERK	G	EO CO.
	• .	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Fr	Full time	630	93.2	849	73.7	399	93.4	64	100
	Part time	46	6.8	303	26.3	28	6.6	0	
	Total	676		1152		.427		64	
Sop	Full time	203	55. 6 .	398	83.9	227	96.6	0	٠.
•	Part time	162	44.4	76	16.1	8	3.4	0	
	Total	365		474		235		3.	

NOTES: The largest number of students are at Jefferson Davis.

The largest percentage of part time freshman students is at Jefferson Davis (26.3%); the smallest percentage is at Perkinston (6.6%).

The largest percentage of part time sophomores is at Jackson County (44.4%); the smallest percentage at Perk (3.4%).

- 4. Table II Age Analysis Freshman Class Full-Time Students only compares with a comparable study done on the 1973 Freshman Class. A comparison of Table II and the study of the 1973 Freshman Class reveals the following facts.
- a. A slightly higher percentage of the freshmen are over 19 and the average age of all students increased from 22 to 23.4.



Institutional Research Report No. 74/75-4

Chart II A

	7:	3 Study			• •		74 S	tudy	
		•	•		% over				% over
•	Youngest.	Av. Age	Oldest		19	Youngest	Av. Age	Oldest	19
Academic	17	20	67		24.3	17	20.3	59	25.4
Technical	17	23	58	•	45.7	17	22.7	53	42.3
Vocational	17	29	61		73.5	17	<u> 29.7 </u>	62	74.7
Total		22			39,2		23.4		40.7

NOTES. As last year, the oldest students are in vocational programs; the youngest in academic programs.

b. Perkinston registered the youngest ages, as would be expected. George County registered the oldest average age of 30.6. Of the two Coast campuses, Jefferson Davis student body registered the oldest ages. There is no comparable information for the 1973 class.

Chart II B Average Age

	Jackson County	Jefferson Davis	. Perkinston	George County
Academic	. 20	21.8	18.7	
Technical	22. 8	23.4	19.5	•
Vocational	<u>29.6</u>	<u>30.5</u>	21.3	30.6
Campus Averag	ge 22. 9	24.4	18.9	30.6

c. A comparison of students under 19 and over 20 for 1973 and 1974 reveals the following:

Chart II C

			73	Study					•	74 S	tudy	
	•	19 & U	Inder	20 & 0	Older	Total		19 & U	nder	20 & C	lder	Total
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	•	No.	. %	No.	%	No.
JĊ	Α	[•] 231	76.2	72	· 23.8	. 303	• •	227	71.6	90	· 28.4 ·	317
•	T	69	62.7	41	37.3	110	•	94	55.3	76	44.7	170
	V	31	23.5	101	76.5	132		35	24.5	108	.75.5	143
	Total	331	60.7	214	39.3	545		356	56.5	274	43.5	630
JD	Α	213	64.7	116	35.3	329		263	63.7	150	36.3	413
•	T	90	43.1	119	56.9	209		126	55.7	100	44.3	22 6
	V	34	22.8	115	77.2	149		46	21.9	164	78.1	210
	Total	337	49,1	350	50.9	687		435	51.2	414	48,8	849
Perk	Α	227	70.7	94	29.3	321		293	89.6	34	10.4	327
	T	35	92.1	3	7.9	38	•	36	76.6	11	23.4	. 47
	У	· 8	40	12	60	20 .		<u>15</u>	60	10	40	25
	Total	320	89.4	109	10.6	379		344	86.2	55	13.7	399
Geo.	Co. V	18	41	25	59	43		16	25	48	75	64
Col.	Α	721	75.7	232	24.3	953		783	74.1	274 .	25.9	1057
	T	194	54.3	163	45.7	357		256	57.7	187	42.3	443
	V	91	26.5	253	73.5	344		112	25.3	330	74.7	442
	Total	1006	61.1	639	38.9	1645		1151	59.3	791	40.7	1942

Institutional Research Report No. 74/75-4

NOTES. Approximately 75% of our academic students are 19 or under. The number increased 62 over 1973.

Approximately 56% of our technical students are 19 and under. This number also increased 62 over 1973.

Approximately 25% of our vocational students are 19 and under. This number increased 21 over 1973.

These facts lead to the following conclusions; recruiting for academic students in high schools is most worthwhile; consideration might be given, however, to exploring alternate recruiting and advertising methods to "find" more technical and vocational students.

- 5. Table III Age Analysis Sophomore Students, Fall 1974, Full Time Students is provided as a baseline for future year analysis. An interesting consideration is raised, however on this table.
- a. The average age of the sophomore student is almost two years older than that of the freshman student, of 1973 or 1974.

			Chart III A	
		Fr. Class 1973	* Fr. Class 1974	Sop. Class 1974
Academic		20	. 20.3	22.2
Technical		23	22.7	25.3
Vocational		<u>29</u>	<u> 29.7</u>	<u>45</u>
College	•	22	· · 23.4	25.1

NOTES. This is a visible indication that many of our students who drop out after or during their freshman year do, in fact, return to continue their education in later years.

There is no comparable information for 1973.

6. In conducting this study it was revealed that several students gave invalid ages on their registration form. According to Computer Center personnel, most of the following students indicated their year of birth as 1974. Following are the numbers of students with invalid ages registered in the computer center.

				•	Chai	rt IV			•
•		Acad FT	lemic PT		Tech: FT	nical PT		Voca FT	tional PT
JD	Freshmen	6	•	•	9	1		2	5
	Sophomore	2	1		1	1		-	
JC	Freshmen	7	1				•	3	
	Sophomore	5			•				
Perk	Freshmen	6			2 ·	•			•
	Sophomore	3 .			•			•	

NOTE. Granted, this is a small number of students involved in the study, but perhaps persons in the registration process might want to give this a little more attention in future registrations.

7. Appreciation is expressed to the Computer Center, who provided statistical print-outs of students by age. These print-outs were consolidated into the tables and charts that appeared in this study.

Distribution:

Administrative Council

Directors of Student Services

Director, George County Occupational Training Center

Director, Data Processing

Director, Community Services

Director, Junior College Division, State Department of Education



TABLE 1

TITLE AGE ANALYSIS OF ALL REGULAR PROGRAM STUDENTS

(Academic, Technical, Vocational, Full Time and Part Time, Freshmen and Sophomores)

Percentages shown for full time represent percent of total full time at the bottom of the page; part time represent percentage of part time totals at the bottom of the page and total freshman, total sophomore and total freshman and sophomore represent percent of totals at the bottom of the page.

- 7	Freshmen	<u> </u>	 ا	5		Perk	_	Geo. Co.		Total Fr.	Sop. JC	ಲ್	S	~	Perk		Geo. Co.	Total Sop.	Total Fr. & Sop.
		Š.	%	No.	- %	No.	%	No. %		No. %	No.	%	No.	%	No. %			No. %	No. %
6	Full time	355	51.7	435	51.2	344	86.2	16 2	25 1	1151 59.2	187	42.8	111	27.8	165 72	72.6		363 43.8	1478 53.3
ŏ	Part time	9	13	177	56.1	2	.7			185 49	വ	3.1	က	3.9	1 12	12.5		9 36.6	194 31.1
under	Total	362	53.5	612	53.1	346	81	16 2	25 1	1336 57.6	92	25.2	114	24	166 70	70.6		372 34.7	1708 50.3
8	Fuil time	120	19	167	19.6	45	11.2	11 1	17.4	343 17.7	82	40.4	142	35.7	55 24.2	.2		279 33.7	622 22.5
!	Part time	13	23.2	46	15.2	7	25			66 17.5	13	8	27	35.5	2 25			42 17	108 17.4
22	Total	133	19.7	213	12.5	52	12.2	11 1	17.4	469 17.6	195	26	169	35.7	57 24.3	8.		321 30	730 21.5
26	Full time	50	7.9	7.5	8.8	2	.5	22 3	34.4	149 7.7	3	3.9	41	10.3	3 1	1.3	Z	52 6.3	201 7.3
. 1	Part time	5	10.8	12	3.9	-	3.5			18 4.7	6	5.6	15	19.7	1 12	12.5	0	25 10.2	43 6.9
02	Total	55	8.1	87	7.5	3	.7	22 3	34.4	167 7.2	17	4.6	56	11.8	4 1	1.7	Z	77 7.2	244 7.2
3	Fuil time	55	8.7	78	9.2	ဗ	.7	9 1	14	145 7.5	16	. 7.9	33	8.4	2	6.	ш	51 6.2	195 7
1	Part time	11	23.9	35	11.8	6	32.1			56 14.8	8	4.9	19	2.5	1 12	12.5		28 11.4	84 13.5
69	Total	69	9.7	114	9.9	12	2.8	9 1	14	201 8.7	124	6.6	52	10.9	3 1	.3		79 7.4	280 8.3
41	Full time	37	5.8	73	8.5	4	1	14 2	21.9	128 6.6	8	3.9	46	11.6	-	.4		55 6.6	183 6.6
ı	Part time	3	-6.2	13	5.9	9	21.4			27 7.2	2	3.1	8	10.5	1 12	12.5		14 5.7	41 6.6
20	· Total	40	5.9	91	7.9	10	2.3	14 2	21.9	155 6.6	13	3.6	54	11.3	2	8.		69 6.4	224 6.6
2	Fuli time	12	1.9	20	2.4	1	2	က	4.7	36 1.9	2	6.	25	6.3	. 1	À.		28 3.4	64 2.3
ı	Part time	9	13	11	3.6	7	7.1			19 5	-	9.	က	3.9	1 12	12.5		5 2	24 3.8
80	Tota!	13	2.7	31	2.6	3	7.	က	4.7	55 6.7	3	8.	18		2	8.		33 3	83 2.6
61	Full time			-						•			3	.7				3 .4	4 .1
and	Part time		2.1	.3	Đ.	+	3.6	·		5 1.3			2	2.6				2 2.	7 1.1
over	Total	-		4	ε;	-	7			6 .2			2	5.9				5 .4	11 .3
	Full time	630	93.2	849	73.7	339	93.4	64	-	1942 83.7	203	55.6	398	83.9	227 96	9.96		828 77.1	2770 81.6
Total	Part time	46	6.8	303	26.3	38	6.6			377 16.3	162	44.4	76	16.1	8	3.4		246 22.9	623 18.4
	Total	676		1152		427		64	, 7	2319	365		474		235			1074	3393



S

Percentages by age groups, represents per cent by age group to the total number of students in that age group for the campus or center For example at Jackson County 227 academic students represent 71.6% of the total 317 academic freshman students. AGE ANALYSIS – FRESHMAN CLASS 1974 FULL TIME STUDENTS ONLY

	i.	19 &	19 & Under	20.25	25	26-30	0	31.40	2	41-50	50	51-60	_	61-Over	Total	% Over 19	Avg.	Young	PIO
		No.	%	No.		No.	%	No.		No.	%	No.	%	No. %	No.				
	A	227	71.6	51	16	14	4.4	14	4.4	11	3.5				317	28.4	20	17	48
~	T	94	55.3	39	23	11	6.4	15	9.4	6	5.3	1	5.		170	44.7	22.8	17	53
C	Λ	35	24.5	30	20.9	25	17.5	25	17.5	17	11.9	11	7.7		143	75.5	29.6	17	8
	Tot.	355	59.5	120	19	20	7.9	22	8.7	37	5.9	12	1.9		630	43.5	22.3		
	A	253	53.7	80	19.4	56	6.3	22	5.3	18	4.4	4	6.		413	34.9	21.8	17	23
~	1	126	55.7	39	17.3	25	11	18	7.9	18	7.9				226	40.3	23.4	17	20
Ω	>	45	21.9	43	22.8	24	11.4	38	18	37	17.6	16	7.6	1 .4	210	77.2	30.5	17	62
	Tot	435	51.2	167	19.6	75	8.8	78	9.2	73	8.6	20	2.3	1	849	48.8	24.4		
ے	4	293	9.68	30	9.2			2	9.	1	.3	1	.3		327	10.4	18.7	17	55
ш	1-	36	76.6	8	17	2	4.3			1	2.1				47	23.4	19.5	17 .	4
Œ	>	15	60	7	23			-	4	2	8				25	40	21.3	17	22
×	Tot.	344	86.2	45	11.3	2	5	.8	7.	4	1	1	.2		339	13.8	12.9		
וטו	٧			,															
u 0	٦.			<u>-</u>															İ
U	>	16	25	11	17.2	11	17.2	6	14	14	21.9	3	4.6		.64	75	30.6	13	23
o l	Tot	-16	25	11	17.2	22	17.2	6	14	14	. 21.9	3	4.6		64	75	30.6		
ပ	٨	783	74.1	161	15.2	40	3.8	33	3.6	30	2.8	2	.4		1057	25.4	20.3	17	29
0 =	1	256	57.7	88	19.4	38	9.6	34	7.7	28	6.3	1	.2		443	42.3	22.7	17	53
	>	112	25,3	98	21.7	90	13.6	73	16.5	70	15.8	30	6.8	1 2	442	74.7	29.7	17	62
C D	Tot.	1151	59.3	343	17.7	138		14~	7.5	128	6.6	36	1.8	-	1942	40.7	23.4	,	1

TABLE III	AGE ANALYSIS – SOPHOMORE STUDENTS FALL 1974 FULL TIME STUDENTS ONLY	intages by age groups, represents per cent by age group to the total number of students in that age group for the campus or	r example at lackson County 6d academic sonhomore students represent 48 9% of the total 131 academic sonhomore stu
•		intage	r oxa

																	••			
P O	52	60	24.		62	50	69		60	40	30						62	. 09	69	
Young	18	18	19		18	18	18		18	18	19						18	18	19	
Avg. Age	22.3	22.2	20.7	22.2	24.3	28.5	49.5	29.4	19.8	20.7	22.8	20					22.2	25.3	45	25.1
% Over 19	51.1	9.69	33	57.2	63.7	78	33.5	72.9	24.6	30.5	77.6	27.3					47.1	69.3	93.4	56.6
Total No.	131	69	3	203	234	100	64 ·	398	195	23	6	227					560	192	76	828
Ver %					4.		3.1	8.								1			2.6	.3
8. 8.	Ŀ				1		2	3						_	_		=	_	2	3
51-60 No. %	1 .7	1 1.4		2 .9	10 4.2		15 23.4	25 6.3	1 .5		٠	1 .4					12 2.1	1 .5	15 19.7	28 3.4
	2.2	7.2		3.9	3.8	13	25	11.6	.5			.4		ni ni			2.3	9.4	31.6	6.6
41-50 No. %		2		8	6	13	24	46	1			-		NONE			13	18	24	55
9 %	83.9	7.2		7.9	5.5	14	9.4	8.3		8.7	٠	6.					4.3	10.9	7.9	6.2
31-40 No. %	=	ß		16	13	14	9	33	•	2	•	2					24	21	9	51
e %	5.3	1.4		3.9	8.1	14	12.5	10.3	Ç,		22.4	1.3					4.8	7.8	13.1	6.2
26-30 No. 3	7	1		8	19	14	8	41	1		2	3					22	15	10	52
25 %	34.4	52	33	40.4	41.4	37	12.5	35.7	23.1	21.7	55.6	24.2					33.4	40.6	18.4	33.7
20-25 No. %	45	36	1	82	97	37	8	142	45	2	2	55					187	8/	14	279
Inder %	48.9	30.4	66	42.8	36.3	22	1.5	27.1	. 75.4	69.5	22.4	72.7					52.9	30.7	6.6	43.4
19 & Under No. %	99	21	2	87	85	22	1	108	147	16	2	165					296	65	2	360
Sop.	A	Į-:	^	Tot.	٨	Ţ	>	Tot.	٨	۲	^	Tot.	٨	}	>	Tot.	٧	۲	>	Tot.
		7	ပ			7	Ω		۵.	w c	C X		יט	u O	Ų	0	 -	> F	4	_

UNIVERSITY OF CALIF. LOS ANGELES

MAR :: 1 1975

CLEARINGHOUSE FOR JUNIOR COLLEGE INFORMATION

