

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 101 292

CS 001 581

AUTHOR DeVito, Pasquale J.
TITLE Predicting Reading Vocabulary from Selected Variables.
PUB DATE 74
NOTE 12p.; Study prepared in the Rhode Island Department of Education, Bureau of Grants and Regulations
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.58 PLUS POSTAGE
DESCRIPTORS Elementary Education; Reading; Reading Ability; Reading Achievement; *Reading Research; Reading Skills; *Self Concept; *Sex Differences; *Vocabulary

ABSTRACT

The relationship between two dummy variables representing school effect and five self-concept factors were evaluated to determine the effectiveness of these variables in predicting the reading vocabulary of 432 boys and 424 girls in grades three through six. Also, an effort was made to determine if the percentage of criterion variance accounted for was different for boys and girls. The data indicated that the school effect and the self-concept factors account for 19. percent of the criterion variance for boys and 13.9 percent for girls. The major predictive factor for both boys and girls was academic self-confidence.
(Author)

U S DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO-
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN-
ATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE-
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

CS
BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PREDICTING READING VOCABULARY FROM SELECTED VARIABLES

By: Pasquale J. DeVito
Department of Education
Bureau of Grants & Regulations
Roger Williams Bldg. Rm. 314
22 Hayes Street
Providence, RI 02903

ED101292

185 100

ABSTRACT

The relationship between two dummy variables representing school effect and five self-concept factors were evaluated to determine the effectiveness of these variables in predicting the reading vocabulary of 432 boys and 424 girls in grades three through six. Also, an effort was made to determine if the percentage of criterion variance accounted for was different for boys and girls. The data indicate that the school effect and the self-concept factors account for 19.9% of the criterion variance for boys and 13.9% for girls. The major predictive factor for both boys and girls was academic self-confidence.

PREDICTING READING VOCABULARY FROM SELECTED VARIABLES

Pasquale J. DeVito

For years researchers have been demonstrating a relationship between self-concept and academic achievement. One study (Brookover, 1967) is probably the most exhaustive and conclusive with regard to the existence of a relationship between self-concept of ability and actual school achievement. Other studies have shown relationships between specific aspects of self-concept and specific areas of academic achievement (Bodwin, 1959; Davidson, 1960; and Wattenberg, 1964).

Although the overwhelming body of contemporary research points to the relationship between self-concept and academic achievement, there is some evidence that this relationship is not universal (Purkey, 1970). Perhaps this is the result of problems of definition with regard to self-concept. The lack of certainty prompted this author to take advantage of an opportunity to examine the relationship between self-concept and reading achievement in a suburban community near Boston.

Problem

A local superintendent of schools theorized that students' self-concepts account for at least forty percent of the variance in reading vocabulary achievement. If his supposi-

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

tion was correct, he felt he would be justified in initiating formal teacher efforts to improve students' self-concepts.

Accordingly, the intent of this investigation was to determine the relationship between several aspects of self-concept, derived from the factor analysis of a self-concept inventory, and reading vocabulary. Since the factor analysis revealed different factors for boys and girls, determination of whether prediction efficiency, i.e., percentage of variance accounted for, of the self-concept factors was different for boys and for girls. Additional effort was made to ascertain whether the type of school a student attended had any predictive effect on reading vocabulary.

Method

Three schools from a suburban community near Boston took part in this investigation. Virtually all students in grades three through six took the appropriate level of the vocabulary subtest of the Gates-MacGinzie Reading Tests and completed a self-concept inventory (Gordon, 1968). Those students who were absent for the administration of either of the above instruments were not included in the study. A total of 856 students, 432 boys and 424 girls, were included.

The self-concept inventory was factor analyzed by the common factor analysis method, separately for boys and for

girls. Five factors, four of which were in common, emerged in each instance. Factors were named based on the content of the items and the size of their factor loadings. For boys the factors were labeled teacher-school, physical appearance, creativity, academic self-confidence, and attraction for the opposite sex.

Standardized factor scores were then computed for each individual. Dummy variable coding (Cohen, 1968) was used to indicate the school each student attended. Finally, a set of multiple regression equations was developed using the dummy variables and the self-concept factors for boys and girls. The contribution of each variable was determined by computing the vector of crossproducts of the form beta times the correlation of that predictor with the criterion. The contribution each variable made to the prediction equation was expressed as the percentage of variability in the criterion accounted for by each predictor. The correlations of each predictor variable with the criterion for both boys and girls are presented in Table 1. The multiple correlations, multiple correlation squared, and vectors of crossproducts for both boys and girls are summarized in Table 2.

Insert Table 1 about here

Insert Table 2 about here



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Results

There were two dummy variables used to represent the school effect. The correlations between these variables and reading vocabulary were .12 and $-.03$ for boys and .11 and $-.03$ for girls. Adding the first two elements of the crossproducts vector for boys and then for girls, the percent of variance the school effect is accounting for in the criterion was determined. For boys these elements were 2.1% and .4% for a total of 2.5% of the variance explained and for girls the elements were 1.8% and .4% for a total of 2.2% of the variance explained. While the school effect explains a part of the total variance its role in predicting reading vocabulary seems negligible.

The correlations between reading vocabulary and the five self-concept factors for boys were .06, .11, .00, .37, and $-.15$ with a resulting squared multiple correlation for all seven variables of .199 or 19.9% of the variance explained. This is far less than the 40% hypothesized by the superintendent about self-concept and vocabulary achievement. In fact, only one factor of self-concept, namely academic self-confidence, made a major contribution to prediction, accounting for 14.5% of the variance.

The correlations between reading vocabulary and the five self-concept factors for girls were .05, .06, .17, .32, and .10 with a resulting squared multiple correlation

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

for all variables of .139 or 13.9% of the variance explained. This again is far less than the superintendent's hypothesized level of 40%. Likewise, in this case, academic self-confidence, was the only major contributor to the prediction equation, accounting for 11.2% of the total variance.

Discussion

An aspect of this study which must be noted with care is that the experimenter is not dealing with a random sample in a controlled experiment. The groups of interest were the 432 boys and 424 girls in grades three to six in three schools in a Boston area suburban community. Generalizability is severely hampered since no random sampling techniques were employed as a part of the design of the investigation.

Another caution should be added. Many variables which seem to be strong predictors of reading vocabulary could not be included in this study. For instance, intelligence measures for the students in the sample were not available to the researcher. Nonetheless, the findings here indicate that self-concept does not seem to predict vocabulary achievement as a general predictor.

It is obvious that there is a discrepancy between the results of this study and the findings of experimenters in other studies concerned with self-concept and reading

achievement. This researcher found that all factors including school effect were largely negligible except for the academic self-confidence of the student. The feeling that he can do well in school on the part of the student is related to his reading vocabulary achievement.

REFERENCES

1. Bodwin, F.B., The relationships between immature self-concept and certain educational disabilities. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Michigan State University, 1957.
2. Brookover, W.B., LePere, J.M., Hamachek, D.E., Thomas, S. and Erikson, E. Self-concept of ability and school achievement, II. Final report of Cooperative Research Project #1636. U.S. Office of Education, East Lansing, Human Learning Research Institute, Michigan State University, 1967.
3. Cohen, J., Multiple regression as a general data-analytic system. Psychological Bulletin, 70:245-252, 1968.
4. Davidson, H.H., and Lang, G., Children's perceptions of their teacher's feelings toward them related to self-perception, school achievement and behavior. Journal of Experimental Education, 29:107-118, 1960.
5. Gordon, I.J., A Test manual for the How I See Myself Scale. The Florida Educational Research and Development Council, 1968.
6. Purkey, W.W., Self-concept and school achievement. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1970.
7. Wattenberg, W.W. and Clifford, C., Relation of self-concepts to beginning achievement in reading. Child Development, 35:461-467, 1964.

TABLE 1
The Correlations of Each Predictor Variable
With the Criterion Variable

Predictor	Boys	Girls
F1	.12	.11
F2	-.03	-.03
Teacher-School	.07	.06
Physical Appearance	.11	.05
Academic Self-Confidence	.37	.32
Attraction for the Opposite Sex	-.15	.09
Creativity	-.01	-
Emotional Adjustment	-	.17

TABLE 2

Summary of the Multiple R_s , Multiple R_s^2 , and Beta Times R Vectors for Equations In Predicting Reading Achievement

	Boys	Girls
Multiple R	.447	.373
Multiple R^2	.199	.139
<u>Beta * R Vector</u>		
F ₁	.02	.02
F ₂	.00	.00
Teacher-School	.00	-.01
Physical Appearance	.00	.00
Academic Self-Confidence	.15	.11
Attraction for Opposite Sex	.03	.00
Creativity	.00	-
Emotional Adjustment	-	.01