DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 100 746 SO 008 026

AUTHOR Fair, Jean: Chapin, June

TITLE Dissemination--Task 5. [And] Social Studies Educators

Rate the NAEP Social Studies Exercises -- Task 6. Final

Reports.

INSTITUTION National Council for the Social Studies, Washington,

D.C.

SPONS AGENCY Education Commission of the States, Denver, Colo.

National Assessment of Educational Progress.

PUB DATE [74]

NOTE 29p.; Related documents are SO 008 019-025

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.85 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS *Citizenship; *Educational Assessment; Educational

Objectives; Educational Research; *Evaluation;

Evaluation Criteria: *Information Dissemination: Item Analysis: Measurement Goals: Measurement Instruments:

*Social Studies: Test Validity

IDENTIFIERS *National Assessment

ABSTRACT

Tasks 5 and 6 describe the dissemination activities and a rating of the National Assessment for Educational Progress social studies exercises by members of the National Council for the Social Studies (NCSS). The dissemination activities, described in a one page report, include a special issue of the NCSS journal "Social Education," May 1974, and a special brochure. The rest of the document consists of the Task 6 report. Nine NCSS members rated the appropriateness of the social studies exercises for the 1970's. The panel rated the exercises according to the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines of knowledge, abilities, valuing, and social participation; the general desirability of the exercises based on the NCSS guidelines: and the realistic national level of performance that would satisfy the panel's professional judgement. The results for guidelines indicate a small percentage of exercises dealing with social participation, about one-fifth dealing with valuing, and a majority of 46 percent dealing with knowledge. In the general desirability dategory less than 10 percent of the exercises have a rating of little or some value, while two-thirds of the exercises fall in the area of general desirability. In the category of estimation on the level of performance nationally, the panel thought many of the exercises were too difficult for many students. (DE)

94703111

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION 4 WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO
DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM
THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGIN
ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINION
STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRE
SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

DISSEMINATION -- TASK V. [AND] SOCIAL STUDIES EDUCATORS
RATE THE NAEP SOCIAL STUDIES EXERCISES -- TASK VI.

FINAL REPORT

By Jean Fair and June Chapin



FINAL REPORT ON TASK V-DISSEMINATION

The National Council for the Social Studies has carried out a number of dissemination activities.

NCSS Project members have prepared two publications. 1) A special issue of the NCSS journal, <u>Social Education</u>, May, 1974, went to all subscribers, who include not only NCSS members, but school libraries and curriculum centers, and college and university libraries. 2) A Bulletin will be published by NAEP through the Government Printing Office. The two were developed with somewhat different emphases. The first focused on what could be learned from NAEP of direct relation to issues live in schools. The second emphasized reporting to the profession at large on matters basic to the National Assessment in Citizenship and Social Studies.

The NCSS Project has also reported on the Citizenship and Social Studies Assessments at NCSS annual meetings: in 1973 at three general sessions and one each before the Social Studies Supervisors Association, the State Social Studies Specialists, and the College and University Faculty Assembly, all sub-groups of NCSS; and in 1974 at a general session. Reporting and discussion sessions were also set up at the 1974 annual meetings of AERA and ASCD.

NCSS is also arranging to have Final Reports available through the NCSS central office and ERIC.

Shifts in funding and a consequent train of events in NAEP had to delay the availability of Social Studies Assessment data. Much of the analysis of the findings could not be made during the formal lifetime of the Project and could not be disseminated. Hopefully more can be done in the future.

Since NCSS interest in National Assessment will continue, some further dissemination activities will also continue.

NCSS has welcomed its opportunity to work with the National Assessment of Educational Progress. That the relationship has been a productive one is a mark of the professionalism of NAEP and NCSS.

Jean Fair, Chairman Steering Committee, NCSS Review Project

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



June Chapin College of Notre Dame Beimont, California

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Social Studies Educators Rate the NACE Social Studies Exercises

Purposes

How do social studies educators rate the National Assessment of Educational Frontess (NAEF) social studies test exercises? To help answer this and other questions, NAEF contracted with the National Council for the Social Studies (NCSS). Representing NCSS was a steering committee with Jean Fair as chairperson. Other members of the committee were June Gilliard, Dana Kurfman, James Shaver, and Ronald Smith.

In the spring of 1973, this steering committee of NCSS selected a panel of nine social studies educators. In addition, the author was designated as chairperson with major responsibilities in designing rating sheets and processing the data.

The main purpose of the panel, a group external to NAEP, was to rate each NAEP social studies exercise on the four age levels. They did this task by choosing the one best answer to each of the following question:

Guidelines do you think the exercise best fits?

Knowledge

Abilities

Valuing

Social Participation

1. Into which of the main components of the NCJ3



2.	Using	the 1	vess	<u>Guidelines</u>	as	cri	teria,	estima ¹	te
	the go	eneral	l des	irability	of	the	exercis	e• ""	

	Little
	Some
	Moderate
	High
	Very Great

3. Realistically, what level of performance <u>nationally</u> for the age group being considered would satisfy you for this exercise.

ai	Percent	age Expected	Correct	
\ 20	20-40	41-60	61-80	>80

In exercises in which there was more than one subpart, the panel rated each subpart separately.

Rationale

One concern of NAEP was the appropriateness of the NAEP test exercises for the 1970's. NAEP had started its initial formulation of social studies objectives in 1965. To guide their effort in the formulation of social studies objectives, NAEP involved social scientists, school people, and the community. The end result of NAEP efforts was the following five major objectives for the social studies:



- 1. Have curiosity about human affairs;
- 2. Use analytic-scientific procedures effectively;
- 3. Are sensitive to creative-intuitive methods of explaining the human condition;
- 4. Have knowledge relevant to the major issues and concerns of social scientists;
- 5. Have a reasoned commitment to the values that sustain a free society (1).

It appears that the NAEF social studies objectives defined the social studies as mainly a miniature version of the social sciences. Using these five social studies objectives, a contracting agency then designed test exercises representing samples of behavior from the universe of the stated objectives.

In 1971, however, the National Council for the Social Studies published its position paper entitled "Social Studies Curriculum Guidelines." (2) The committee which wrote the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines offered a somewhat different perspective of the social studies. The NCSS Curriculum Guidelines defined the social studies as essentially citizenship education with social problems emphasized as the major concern of the social studies curriculum. The NCSS position statement also advocated the importance of social participation in trying to resolve the problems confronting society. The committee which wrote the NCSS



position paper identified the following four components as essential to the social studies curriculum: (1) knowledge: (2) abilities: (3) valuing: and (4) social participation.

The NCSS Curriculum Guidelines was viewed as a stimulus and guide for schools to evaluate their existing social studies curriculum and to work for improvement. The committee members largely responsible for the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines were higher education faculty members, in their thirties, who had recently received their social studies doctorates. Compared to the NAEP objectives, the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines may reflect more of the spirit of the times and be more at the cutting edge of where social studies is in the 1970's. Considerable overlap, however, does exist between the two different sets of objectives of the NCSS and the NAEP.

To point out more precisely the relationship of the NAEP exercises to the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines, the first task of the panel members was to classify each exercise into one of the four main components stated in the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines. The panel's work on this task would give some indication of the balance of the various NAEP test exercises as compared to the NCSS framework. Schools and communities, for example,



would hardly be satisfied if almost all of the test exercises fell into just one NCSS category.

Secondly, again using the <u>MCSS Curriculum Guidelines</u> as criteria, the panel members were to "estimate the general desirability of the exercise." Why was this done? It would be possible for the NAEP test exercises to have a good balance of the four basic MCSS categories (knowledge, abilities, valuing, and social participation) and still be considered of little value or not in keeping with what the <u>MCSS Curriculum Guidelines</u> recommended. By asking the panel to rate the general desirability of the exercise into one of five categories (little, some, moderate, high, very great), it was hoped that social studies professionals could give their estimations of the general desirability of each NAEP test exercise.

Thirdly, the panel considered the question of "Realistically, what level of performance nationally for the age group being considered would satisfy you for this exercise?" Their professional judgment then could be used as a comparison with the actual levels of performance of students and adults. Unfortunately, due to delays in securing data from NAEP on actual student performance on the social studies test items, C. Benjamin Cox, the investigator assigned to this task, could not make the comparisons of the panel's ratings and the student performance.



Procedures

The nine social studies professionals and the chairperson met in the office of NAEP, April 12-14, 1973, Denver, Colorado. Their names and their professional status are listed below:

Higher Education Faculty Cembers

- 1. Y. Arturo Cabrera, Bi-Lingual Education, University of Colorado
- 2. Patricia Glasheen, Elementary Education Department, Rhode Island State College
- 3. Jan Tucker, Secondary Education Department, Florida International University

Public School Personnel

- 1. Charles Beaty, Social Studies Consultant, Shawnee Mission (Kansas) Public Schools
- 2. Lynda Falkenstein, Social Studies Consultant, Multonmah County, Portland, Oregon
- 3. Florence Jackson, Curriculum Supervisor, Bureau of Social Studies, New York City Public Schools
- 4. Roosevelt Ratliff, Curriculum Supervisor, Multi-Ethnic Studies, Tulsa Oklahoma Public Schools
- 5. Zada Koblas, Teacher, Mine apolis Public Schools

Doctoral Student

1. Anne Powers, full-time doctorate graduate student, University of North Carolina

What were the characteristics of the panel?

Besides being a very able group with a varied geographic background, they were a relatively young groups--seven



under 45 and three under 30 years of age. The malefemale ratio was about equal. In addition, one third
were members of minority groups. The representation
of minorities on the panel was especially important
in light of some of the criticism that NAEP test
exercises are not appropriate to minority groups.
Professionally, the panel was heavily represented
by social studies consultants and higher education
personnel, but underrepresented classroom teachers.

A training session was first held using the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines and the rating forms. To insure that the panel understood the key ideas contained in the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines, an outline worksheet with the important phrases of the four NCSS categories was distributed. For example, Social Participation was quoted on the worksheet as follows:

Social Participation

Extensive involvement by students ... in the activities of their community

...may take the form of political campaigning, community service or improvement, or even responsible demonstrations

... commitment in the social arena

Released NAEP citizenship test exercises were then used as sample exercises. Each member of the panel rated the sample question privately and then a discussion followed on the group's rating. An example of a sample



citizenship exercise is as follows:

A. Have you ever spoken out in a public meeting to defend someone or some idea? (Yes, No)

However, in working some sample exercises, individual members of the panel disagreed at times with the majority opinion. Jan Tucker, who had served as one of the four members of the NCSS position paper on Curriculum Guidelines and also was a member of the panel reviewing the NAEP social studies exercises, assisted in interpreting the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines. His comments were extremely helpful, but did not completely resolve all questions.

The differences in the panel members' ratings may be due to the ambiguity in meaning found in the NCSS

Curriculum Guidelines, different interpretations of meaning in the test exercises, and individual differences among the raters. For example, in the sample exercise just given, panel members may have different interpretations of what a public meeting is. Does a public meeting include student council meetings or do public meetings usually only include adults? The differing interpretations of the term may then influence the panel's responses, especially with regard to what level of performance would satisfy them at the different age levels.

After the training session, the raters proceeded at their own pace. The test exercises were only identified



by a code number and the panel did not know what objective the test exercise was originally designed to represent.

Results

The panel rated each test exercise for each of the three tasks. Each panel member literally made over 1,000 ratings since many test exercises had sub-parts. The data of the panel were processed. The computer print-out, totaling 2,992 pages, consisted of a histogram as well as the mean and standard deviation for each of the three tasks on every single test exercise.

In the classification of the test exercises using the four main components of the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines, the panel placed the NAEP social studies exercises in the following categories (Table 1).

what interpretation can be made of the panel's classification using the four categories of the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines? Striking is the very small percentage of test exercises that were placed into the "Social Participation" category. This may be partly explained by the fact that NAEP is also responsible for assessing the area of citizenship. If the panel had also rated the citizenship exercises, the category "Social Participation" may well have increased. An examination



Table 1. Summary of LAEP Social Studies Exercises Classified by NCSS Guidelines

NCSS Component	Fercentage	Number of Observations
Enowledge	46%	1,555
Abilities	31%	1,041
Valuing	19/	646
Social Participation	2%	53
No Response	2.//	71
Total	100%	3,366

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

of the released citizenship exercises would appear to support this claim. However, the panel's ratings probably do give some indication of HAEP's view of the social studies. "Social Farticipation" is not stressed in the HAEP social studies test exercises.

"Valuing" equaled about one-half of the social studies exercises with the "Knowledge" category receiving the other half. Dany may be very pleased that the NAEP social studies exercises, according to the panel, do devote about one-fifth of their total to "Valuing."

An important question is if the knowledge category is too high. In practice, the testing programs of most schools probably devote a much higher percentage of test exercises to the "Knowledge" category. Many teachers and the public may be very satisfied with the amount of attention devoted to the "Knowledge" category.

Using the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines as criteria in estimating general desirability of the exercises, the panel rated the exercises in the following categories (Table 2).



Table 2. Summary of NAEP Social Studies Exercises Classified by General Desirability

Degree of Desirability	Percentage	Number of Observations
Very Great	26%	879
High	40%	1,356
Moderate	21%	722
Some	6%	194
Little	3%	97
No Response	4:3	118
Total	100%	3,366

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

According to the panel, less than 10% of the social studies exercises have "Little" or "Some" value according to the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines. If one uses the first two categories ("High" and "Very Great") as the criteria for desirability, the panel judged about two-thirds of the NAEP exercises as falling in the area of general desirability. If one defines desirability as including the first three categories ("High," "Very Great," and ""oderate"), then approximately 87% of the test exercises, using the panel's interpretation of the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines, are desirable.

on the third task, an estimation on the level of performance nationally that the panel member would be satisfied with, the panel classified the social studies exercises as shown in Table 3. It would appear that the panel thought that many of the social studies exercises would be considered difficult for many students. In addition, the relationship of how the different age groups performed on the same or different test exercises merits important consideration, but was not part of the author's task.

Table 3. Summary of NAEP Exercises Classified by Level of Performance Nationally that Would Satisfy Panel

Satisfaction Level of Panel with Regard to	Percentage	Number of Observations
Percentage of Students Obtaining Correct Response		
Less than 20%	2%	79
Between 20 to 40%	3%	186
Between 41 to 60%	13%	673
Between 61 to 80%	34%	1,858
Greater than 80%	<u>48%</u>	2,611
Total	100%	5,407

Summary

It should be emphasized strongly that the data presented in Tables 1, 2, and 3 are summary data, and therefore hide and gloss over many fine points in the individual test exercises. For example, on a single test exercise, the panel may have judged the item to be of little value in terms of desirability, but expected a large percentage of students to be able to answer the item correctly. On the other hand, the panel may have judged the exercise to have high value in terms of desirability, but would be satisfied if only a small percentage of students would be able to get the correct reponse. To make the best interpretation, it would be necessary to examine each exercise and the panel's rating of it. Again, individual examination of each test exercise fits in with NAEP's philosophy of looking at each test exercise rather than concentrating on summary data.

The reliability of the panel was calculated for each test item. Sometimes the panel was unanimous in their classification of an exercise while at other times, they spread their responses across every available category. Even with a training session, it should be remembered that the nine panel members are human

beings with different frames of reference. But the fact that the panel differed on some test exercises should be an extremely fruitful area for further investigation. For example, it would be of interest to see how the minority members of the panel rated the exercises as compared to the rest of the panel. Unfortunately, sufficient computer funds were not available for the author to perform these operations.

with these limitations kept in mind, it appears from the panel's ratings that the NAEP test exercises are closely related to the philosophy of the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines (with the exception of the category, Social Participation), possess some obvious degree of desirability according to the NCSS Curriculum Guidelines, and professionals would be satisfied if more than 61% of the students were able to get the correct response on most NAEP social studies exercises. However, it would seem very important that NAEP look very carefully at exercises that the panel judged to be of little value in terms of desirability. The investigator regrets that there was no available budget to pursue this area of investigation.

References

- 1. National Assessment of Education Progress. Social Studies Objectives. Ann Arbor, Michigan; NAEP, 1970.
- 2. The NCSS Task Force on Curriculum Guidelines (Gary Manson, Gerald Tarker, Anna Ochoa, Jan Tucker).

 Social Studies Curriculum Guidelines. Social Education, 1971, 35, 853-969. Also reprinted as a separate booklet by the National Council for the Social Studies, 1971.

૭ 70 SB OOS

COMMINISON OF ACTUAL PERFORMANCE LEVELS WITH PAREL JUDGHENTS OF REALISTICALLY SATISFACTORY PINCHANNEE *

Realistically Satisfactory Adult Performance Level: Panel (Percent) Adult Nat'l Level of Acceptable Performance: Actual A2e 17 Age 13 (Percent) Exercise Description I. Skills Area Exercises Released Exercises Exercise #

A. Obtaining information

- Raises questions and seeks answers related to a variety of issues. ÷
- Shows interest in people with cultural differences.

101012 Man from Peru 101022AB Learn about people from other lands 101022CD Unreleased " 101022EF Unreleased "	69 83 77 74	84 71	1111		61-80 61-80 61-80 41-60 61-80 41-60 61-80		
Shows interest in areas of public interest.							·
101021 Questioning citizens about their work 101020 Evaluating politicians/Raising appropriate	73	67	66 75	62 76	61-80 > 80	61- 80	8 80
101002 Three problems of large cities, all parts	1	38	59	99	41-60	61-80	80
2. Identifies sources most suitable to solve a particular problem of find particular information							

İ		}	!	!	!		1)	1	1		1	1	! !	}
. 1		 	!		1	1	ł) 	1	1.					
	ļ		!			ł		i	·		, () 	28	× 80) (3 6) (8 6
									61-80						8 6		8 8
					-							٠.	-		•		
ľ		ļ		1		!	ł	ł	1	1	!	į	!	;	-		
1	ł	1) -		!	1	1	1	ł	1		!	1	ļ	ł		1
· •] 	, 	1	ļ		1	1			7	98	98	X O	0	ά
. 16	79	90	2 7	2 (S S	80	74	83	89	74	2 5	10	79	87	6	30	, c
	3 Unreleased	C Unreleased) History of Mexico/Encyclonedia) Early settlement of state/Unreleased						Event occurring in the last 24 hours/Newspap	Event occurring in the last 24 hours/Encyclo	Event occurring in the last 24 hours/TV]
203002A	Z03002B	203002C	203002D	2030304	3030303	2030308	2030300	203030D	203016	203040	203038A	2020202	2020302	2030380	203038D	203038E	203038F

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ERIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

Wealistically Suth natory	Performance Level: Annel (Percent)	Age Age Adult
		Adult
Nat'l Level of Acceptable	Performance: Actual (Percent)	Age 17
Level	rmance: ent)	Age Age
Nat 1	Performanc (Percent)	Age
Exercise Description		
xercise #		-

71	61-80 61-80	61-80	61 - 80
`	ì	!	ì
	6	69	79
i	56	62	77
)	88	42	65
	i	•	1
	204009 Immigration/Census	Evaluating politicians/Where to get information	Which smoking study read?
	204009	101020	102001

- Uses standard reference sources and aids to locate information 8
- Translates information found in table of contents.

	. 1.	j,
•	36	73
.		
	in city	
	eman	Horses
		$203036_{\rm D}$

-- Translates information found in book index,

		82	57
		i	1
,	•		
			arts
		•	three
		203005A Sabotage	203003A Index. all three parts
		2030054	203003A

19

12

1

ļ

78

1

- -- Translates information found on catatogue card.
- Lincoln book 203006
- B. Interpreting information.
- Uses basic problem solving techniques of the social sciences to interpret information of various kinds. H
- Recognize when generalizations lack supporting evidence

c enough to eat in India	
. 205002 Not	
	. 205002 Not enough to eat in India

AVAILABLE

61-80 ---

61-80

i 1

64 2 > 80 \$ 80

61-80 > 80 > 80

> ?9 61-80

96

8 67

93. 75

61-80

Recognize generalizations that go beyond the information Declaration of Independence/Unreleased Declaration of Independence/Unreleased given, 405012A 405012B

Declaration of Independence/Inglienable rights

405012C

2 BEST COPY 08 08 08 08 08 8 61-80 61 - 8061-80 61-80 61-80 61 - 80

1 1

	43
(3)	11
EDIO.	
FRIC	O
Full Text Provided by ERIC	2.0
Full lext Provided by ERIC	4)

		<u>.</u>					•		•
# Exercise Description	Nat'1	Level	of	Acceptable		Reulistically	ly Sat	••	
	Performan (Percent)	Pertormance: (Percent)	. Actual	1	Perri (Per	Performance (Percent)	Level:	(Anel	•
Distinguish relevant .from irrelevant information,	Age 9	Age 13	Age 17	Adult	Age 9	Аве 13	Age 17	Adult	
204020 Which sentence is true?	29	·	1	:	61-80	!		i	
Distinguish reliable from unreliable sources.	~•								
304003 Most precise statement in research study		!	31	39		i	61-80	61-80	
Distinguish between objective and personalized explanations of events.							: .		
304006 Which speaker?	1	78	91	89		61-80	08 <	> 80	
Identify unstated assumptions in an argument		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			٠.				
205010 Which is logical conclusion?	1	09	81	76	† .	61-80	> 80	> 80	
Identify and define problems and issues.							•		
201001 Speakers, war in Vietnam	!		75	. 62		1	61-80	61-80	
Aware that some things can be proved and some things cannot be proved,		. v			·				
Unr	76 53	96	98	95	61-80 61-80	> 80 < 61-80	8 8 ^ ^	08 ^ 80 80	
304005D Is one religion better? 304005E Unreleased	57 92	95	87	82 97	61-80 61-80	61 - 80 80		00 00 00 00 00	BES1
Reads graphs correctly.							-		COP
203029 Line graph/Highest average price of apples	ŀ	68	96.	16	1	61-80	08 <	> 80	Y AV
Reads and interprets graphs, maps and tables correctly.		<u>4</u> .			. * *				AILAE
Bar graph/Money Pictograph/Compa	1 1	44,	67	54 54	1 1		61-80 61-80	00 00 00	3LE
203025 Cutline map and table/Latitude and longitude	•	24	- 34	34	1		61-80	61-80	

RECT	000		•
nrol	COPY	AVAILABI	Ė

61-80

61-80

1

Realistically Sactornery	Performance Level: inel	(Percent)	Age Age Age Adult 9 13 17	
table			Adult	
Nat'l Level of Acceptable	Performence: Actual		Age 17	
Lilevel	rmence:	(Percent)	Age Age 9 13	
Ner '	Perfe	(Perc	Age 9	
Exercise Description				
# 58.5			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

13 3. Uses a variety of non-traditional sources of information,

88 43 6 8 Perceives social implications in pictorial art/ Political cartoon/Rich City Recording/Carefully Taught Recording/Walk a Mile Mother and Child 302019 302012 302014 302017

၁

61-80

61 - 80

41-60

80

16

သူ သူ လူ

80

61-80

1

39

II. Knowledge Area Exercises

A. Economics

nomic systems that are common to all industrial societies 1. Understands some of the basic characteristics of eco-

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	* 1	81 79	60 52	66 53	78 83	30 28	51 56	21 30	45 55	72 72		60 72	22 21	79 72		52 52	
1	•		57	99	41	29	20	i		22	54		35	34	54			
83	77	68	!	•	;	•	•		!	1	1		1	;	۱ '		!	
Recognition of sales tax	The relation of wages to prices	Understanding credit purchases	Difference between producer and consumer goods	Industrial society/Unreleased	Industrial society/Individual craftsmanship	Industrial society/ Rural to urban	Industrial society/Unreleased	The meaning of monopoly	The market system/Farm prices seek their own level	The purpose of the Common Market	US and USSR similarities and differences/Natural	resources	US and USSR similarities and differences/Unreleased	US and USSR similarities and differences/Unreleased	US and USSR similarities and differences/Government	ownership and control	Big business' effect on foreign nation	
403017	403007	403021	403010	405010a	405010B	405010C	405010D	403032	403031	403011	403001A		403001B	403001C	403001D		406001	

> 80 > 80 51-80

61-80

61 - 80

41-60 61 - 80

61-80

61 - 80

63-19

61-80 41-60

61-80

41-60 61 - 80

41-60

41-60

61-80

61-80 63-19 61-80

61 - 8061-80 61 - 80

61-80

41-60 41-60

B. Geography +

Has knowledge of worldwide spatial distributions and interrelationship of the major features of man's physical and cultural environment. -1

61 - 80

51-80

41-60

ŀ

61-80

61-80

61-80

61-80

41-60

*::	
- 4Û	
rci	
0	
ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC	
vali ext riorided by Eliio	

Exercise Description

Realistically Satisfactory

Nat'l Level of Acceptable

Performance: Actual (Percent)

Performance Level: Panel

(Percent)

٠			•	•		,												5		BEST	COPY	AVAILAB	LE
Admit	That	!	1		1 1	;	1	. !	¦	•	ŧ	- 1	61-80	1		i	61-80		08	61-80			6
App	17 17	!	ł	,	*	•	i	•	i	i	1	61-80	61-80	61-80		1	61-80		80	03-19		•	9
Ape	136	1	!	,		;	1	4	ł	!	61-80	41-60	41-60	41-60			41-60		61-80			٠. ٠	00 17
Ave	96	61-80	41-60	71-80	61-80	61-80	41-60	61-80	61-80	61-80	61 - 80	1	}			41-60	!		41-60				61.60
Adult) 		•		•	;	ļ		*	!	(22	34	72		!	47	77	85	37			7.7
Age	17	; 	!	1	;	•	. 1	:		;	1	34	34	72		!	09	71	82	ි ල			70
Age	13	!	!	!	•	•	Ì	!	i		71	8	24	54		!	23	09	75	1		•	79
Age	00	21	57	8	197	. 89	19	43	37	20	24	1	1			68	1 * ,	40	47	1			0 %
				†					•										way	envîron-		p	
		coation of Great Lakes	404018A Location of major river/Amazon	Location of	Location of	Location of m	4040181 Location of ajor river/Unreleased	State bordering Pacific Ocean/California	State bordering Atlantic Ocean/New York	Man from Peru	importance of water routes/Columbus	Latitude and Longitude/Climate	Latitude and longitude/Place location	"US and USSR similarities and differences/	rathing resources	reographic determinism/camel use on desert	lap of rainfall zones	Adaption to desert life	<pre>Lifects of environmental modification/ Highway construction</pre>	Interrelationship of cultural and physical environment/Tribalism and nationalism in Africa	7. 4.7. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1.2. 1	Understands some of the major developments in United	Greatest influence on HS/England

C. Ristory

1. Understands some of the major developments in United States history.

	405006	Greatest influence on US/England	70	29	62	11	41-60		
	405046	American Revolution/Independence from England	45	: 1	:	:	61-80	} 	•
٠	405011	American Revolution/One reason		50	99	67) - 		
	405012a	Declaration of Independence/Unreleased	A 4+2.	93	95	96	1		
	4050122	Declaration of Independence/Unreleased	1	69	67	9	i		
	. 4050120	Declaration of Independence/Inalienable rights	1	75	80	78	1	61-80	
	4050120	Duclaration of Independence/Right to govern, people	1	80	06	94	•		
	402041	Declaration of Independence/Main purpose	1	77	85	79	!	41-60	•
	* 405028	First president of the US	83	1	;	!	61-80) * * * 	
	402014	Direct cause of WWI/Pearl Harbor		1.	89	87		1	•

08 ^ |

61-80 > 80 > 80 > 80 > 80 > 80 61-60

80

61-80

	7
0	1
FRIC	1
Full Text Provided by ERIC	ř
	**

BEST COPY AVAILABLE	Realistically 5act	Performance Level: anel (Percent)	ult Age Age Ag. Adult 9 13 17	41-60 61-30 > 80	-80 61-80 61-8 ₀ 61-		-80 61-80 61-80 61-		-80 61-80	-80 61-80 × 80 × 80 × 80 × 80 × 80 × 80 × 80 ×	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	61-80	-80 61-80 380 >	1-60 61-80 61-80 >	1-80 61-80 > 80 >	√ 08 √ 08-	-80 / 980 /	-60 61-80 61-	-80 61-80 61-	41-60 41-60 41-60 41-60 61-80 61-80		-60 61-80 61-	60 61-50 61	-80 61-80 >		80 61-	-60 61-80 61-	-80 61-	61-80 61-80	
	of Acceptable	Actual	Age Adul:	59 66	35 41		7 20													30 28			22 21			92 89			52 52	
	Level o	formunce: rcent)	Age 13	38	18	∯ O	m	•	7.1	8 6	א ת שיני	% %	97	35	75	96	99	Ţ7 /	29	20 24 26	· .	32	34	54	7	1	22			
	Nat. 1	Per Per	A8e 9	1	9 (∞ ¢	H	.	24	58	بر ا	83	79	20	63	98	1	1	!	1 1		1		!	!	47	ŀ	1	1	
	# Exercise Description			•Three problems of large cities A. Minority roles in the history and culture of	America/American Indians, at least 2 names	_		Understands some of the major developments in world history	Importanc	Sense of historical	Sense of historical time/Unitalease	Sense of historical	Sense of historical	Sense of historical	Sense of historical	Sense of hi	Industrial society/Unreleased	Industrial	Industrial	<pre>1 Industrial society/Unreleased 2 US and USSR similarities and differences/Natural</pre>	resources	US and USSR similarities and	US and USSR similarities and		Ownership and control .		Purpose of the Common Market	Cultural effects on physical environment/Tribalism	and nationalism in Allica Big business'effect on foreign nation	
RIC	xercise			ABLE 101002			405045D	BEST 2. Unde	-	405005A	4030036	4050050	405048A	405048B	405048C	405048D	405010A	405010B	405010C	4020100		403001B	403001C	40300ID	7.05035	406009	403011	402046	- 406001	

ERIC N	
3	

Exercise Development

;	
Level	400
Aculisticula, Performance Id (Percent)	Age Age
Aralistica Performance (Percent)	Age
201cs	Adult
Nat'l Level of Acceptable Performance: Actual (Percent)	Age
Nat'l Level (Performance: (Percent)	Age
Nat'l Le Performa (Percent	Age

Political Science

1. Knows some of the individuals and groups responsible for making government decisions.

2. Understands some of the rights and responsibilities granted in the Constitution.

7

80 80 0 0 0 0

61-60 61-80

41-60 61-80 61-80 61-80

61 - 80

61-80

41-60

!

51-80 61-80 41-60

> 80 · 61-80

୍ଦି ପ୍ର ୯ ^ ^

78 52 62

49 71 71

61 - 80

61-80

61-80

> **80** 61-80

61-80 **61-**80

61-80

99

83 64 64

74

8 8 ~ ^

> 80 61-80

41-60

44 69

41 62

-1-

61-80

61-80

63	1	35	
1	1		
% Statement of civil rights/Constitution			unconstitutional
406006	7000+	40661	

Knows something about the election process and the role of political parties. ૡ૽

!			:	
406011 Elected and appointed officials/Senators	406012 The nomination of presidential candidates/	National Convention	406017A-E Using a simple ballot/All five parts	-101020 A Evaluating politicians/Where to get information

4. Understands some of the processes involved in political socialization.

	. 2 -
1	69
;	84
1	29
92	
Cooperation in social situations	Why society has rules and regulations
201008	.402006

s grory	adult.
Level	Age 17
Avullaticulu, ut. Performance Level: (Percent)	А 13 ве
Aculistic Performan (Percent)	A88.0
able.	Adult
Nat'l Level of Acceptable Performance: Actual (Percent)	Age 17
Nat'l Level o Performance: (Percent)	A8e 13
Nat'l Lev Performan (Percent)	4ge 9

.4.
764
ě
. ข.
es:
્યાં
EDIO.
EKIC
Full Text Provided by ERIC

Description	
Exercise	
- 12 - 9.5	

factory	Adult
y Satis. Level:	Age 17
lealistically erformance Le (Percent)	Age 13
Realisti Performa (Percent	Аgе 9
Acceptable tual	Adult
of Accep Actual	Age 17
Level rmance: ent)	A8e 13
Perfo (Perce	Age 9

III. Attitude Area Exercises

Rights of the First Amendment 4

1. Believes in the freedoms of the First Amendment and can

differences 73	Should young citizen write to a public official	troops abroad	lected officials	Newspaper's right to criticize public official	olic park	festival	e station
502005 Making fun of religious differences	Should young citizer	Public criticism of US troops abroad	Religious freedom for elected officials	Newspaper's right to	Right to assemble in public park	502016A Freedom to picket rock festival	502016B Freedom to picket police station
502005 Making fun of re	501009	502002 E	502012	502010	502007 F	502016A B	502016B E

B. The worth of the individual.

1. Believes people should be involved in decisions which affect their lives.

i		502014 Community control of neighborhood	100	ty contro	Communi	502014
į.		506013 Should teenagers decide on courses?	đec	teenagers	Should	506013
8		506017E Making decisions in school/Extra help	in	decisions	Making	506017E
78		506017D Making decisions in school/Project	in	decisions	Making	506017D
78	•	506017C Making decisions in school/Unreleased	in	decisions	Making	506017C
7	report	506017B Making decisions in school/Social Studies report	in	decisions	Making	506017B
∞		5060174 Making decisions in school/Unreleased	in	decisions	Making	506017A

2. Is sensitive to the needs and feelings of others.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

တ္ထ တ္ထ

88888

1 188

1 | 58 8

79

1	,		08 ^	08
1	ł	> 80	61-80	80
1	1	08 ^	61-80	61-80
61-80	^ 80	C3 ^	1	1
1	1		20	40
1	ľ	88	24	. 38
1	1	92	19	30
 86	72	<u>၀</u>		1
103002 Explaining games	505011 Necess space	507002 Lunguage difficulty	103001 Reasons for poverty	505009 Removing condigions of poverty
.O.	. 50.	20.	01	SO

| |

73 82 56 71 72 56

91 80 83 66 80 40

87 58 59 41 54

51-80 61-80 61-80 5 80 61-80

61 - 80

8

	:-							
ise # Exercise Description	Nat'1	Level	Nat'l Level of Acceptable	ptable	Realt	Realistically Satisfactory	Satis	factory
	Perfc	Performance:	Actual		Perfo	Performance Level: Panel	evel:	anel
	(Percent)	ent)			(Percent)	ert)		
	Age	Age	Age	Adult	Age	Age	Age	Adult
	თ	13	17		σ	13	17	
3. Respects the rights and yiews of others.							ě	•
501007 Religious observance	87	ļ	•		61-80	1	į	!
501017 Conformity to peer pressure	30	7.1	84	68	61 - 80	61-80	> 80	> 80
527006 Should race influence employment?	1	74	90	06	1	08 <	> 80	> 80
501011 Political obligation to minority groups		i	79	78	1		61-80	61-80
4. Believes in the "Rule of Law" and can justify that belief.	•		·					
503010 Vandalism: Crime or Prank?			70	16	ł		08 ^	> 80 > 80

*Panel judgment categories used here are mean ratings.

+A released exercise on"Population determinant/Low birth and death rates" is omitted from this listing.

9