## DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 099 743 CG 009 401

AUTHOR Kapel, David F.

TITLE Counselors and the Counseling Needs of

Environmentally Mandicapped Students in Higher

Education.

PUB DATE [74] NOTE 13p.

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.50 PLUS POSTAGE

DESCRIPTORS \*Career Opportunities; College Environment; College

Students: \*Counseling Effectiveness: \*Disadvantaged Youth: Pducational Change: \*Environmental Influences:

1

State of the Art Reviews: \*Student Needs

## ABSTRACT

This paper discusses in depth the counseling needs of environmentally handicapped college students, who are generally found in special programs or are admitted under special admissions, and are considered "high risk" by the institutions. No matter how desirable it is to get students through programs quickly, it is also important that students with environmental handicaps cobe able to compete successfully when they are ready, not when the institution is ready. It is the goal of the counselor to help such students, cognitively and psychologically, to meet such challenges. The author discusses the social, cultural, and intellectual gaps which exist and cause problems between professors and counselors and the environmentally handicapped students. The couselors need to help these students identify goals, make decisions based on those goals, and evaluate realistically the options of movement available to them. Finally, the counselor has an obligation to work for institutional, programmatic, and value changes within the educational system. (Author/PC)

## US DEPARTMENT OF MEALTN EDUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION OF THE STATE OF THE

THE THE STATE OF T

Counselers and the Counseling Needs of Environmentally Handicapped Students in Higher Education

> David E. Kapel Temple University

For the rany who felt that college was unobtainable, career ladder programs have opened a new avenue for acquiring a college education. This is particularly true for students from the inner-cities of our country. Career ladder-type programs have also meant readjustments and revisions for institutions of higher learning in many areas. For example, some changes have occurred in admissions, program designs, student and institutional expectations, grading, probation, and working with people (community, professionals) cutside their structure. The general broadening of the social and racial base of the student body has also been a by-product of career ladder-type programs.

Several such programs are administered by legal educational authorities (school districts) outside of higher education institutions. All Career Opportunity Programs funded by the United States Office of Education and some Veterans in Public Service Programs follow the career ladder model and are part of a public school district administrative structure. Although they deal with one or more institutions of higher learning, their staffing and funding are independent of the colleges or universities involved. Staff members in such programs are directly responsible to the school system. Consequently, counselors in these programs are in a rather unusual situation with regard to the higher education institutions and students. The deal with unique students who, if they successfully



complete the total program, might attend more than one institution of higher learning (community-junior college than a four year college or university). Thus, these counselors must be able to work with students, faculty from one or more institutions outside their structure, and their own program personnel. They must be aware of and work with the many constraints imposed upon them by their own program and employer as well as those from other institutions cooperating with them. No matter how much articiulation occurs among the elements within a program, there are bound to be conflicts, misunderstandings, and changes that will cause problems for students and for counselors. Conversely, personnel from other institutions involved in career ladder programs must beaware of the problems and pressures faced by these counselors and give as much assistance to them as possible. However, all those involved must always be cognizant of the student who is directly affected by all elements in such programs; the point of origin is not as significant to the students as is the problem. There is much commonality of needs and characteristics among students in higher education who come from depressed areas. The recognition and knowledge of these needs are important in counseling, regardless of the nature and design of special or unique programs. The student must be the center of the program, and student needs, not institutional or program needs, must form the basis for decision-making.

The uniqueness of the student body in many career ladder programs is generated by their background and locality of origin (namely the inner-city). Ordinarily one might use the terms "educationally disadvanted," "socially disadvantaged;" or "culturally disadvantaged" to describe students who come from the inner-city with less than tradi-

١



tionally acceptable academic background and skills. Such terms are neither fully descriptive nor accurate. "Tkhucationally disadvantaged" tends to focus only on the effects that educational institutions (schools, churches, etc.) have had on students - this is too limiting. "Socially disadvantaged" is a little better, for the term generally includes the interaction of social class with economics (if one were to include Social Economic Status), but it too is still very restrictive. "Culturally disadvantaged" is the least acceptable - there is a definite implication of a comparison with another "superior" culture in such a term. Who is in a position to evaluate one culture against another, the life-styles of individuals, their value structures, and the consistent strains that are by-products of a group's historical and social development?

Environment can be defined as the aggregate of surrounding things, conditions, or influences. Thus the counselor is faced with the concept of a collective mass; the student is a by-product of and a reaction to all the particular factors that make up his life. Handicap is any encumbrance or disadvantage that makes success more difficult. Handicap describes the end effects particular factors have on the probable success or lack of success a student will have in competing with others not from his environment in a higher educational setting. Environmentally handicapped defines the parameter and the effects of the elements within such a parameter on people. (It should be noted that not all elements within an environment have negative effects. There are many that strengthen an individual and prepare him or her for a successful and happy life.) Such a definition includes all that is implied in the terms educationally and socially disadvantaged, without the negative connotations surrounding the term "culturally disadvantaged." Environmentally handicapped includes: health, economics, lack of political power, busic psychological factors, cognitive problems, poverty, crime,



weak family structure, ecology, low levels of aspirations, identity, and ineffectual education as they in varying degrees affect an individual and generate his handicap in an academic setting. Some elements might be generating a negative effect in one setting and yet in another setting the individual might find the effect working to his advantage. The effect is a function of the need of the setting as well. An example might be the difference between competing in a college English literature course in a general education program and working in a Hield experience course with children in an inner-city classroom as pare of a teacher preparation program; both are legitimate credit producing covises. One's experience in his environment might not have given him the skills to read and write successfully in the literature course, yet the same experiences might enable him to relate to inner-city children and be more successful than his middle-class counterpart or even the regular classroom teacher. In one instance, the environment is a handicap, in another it is an asset. The counselor must be aware of these differences, and he must help the student handle the differences.

The environmentally handicapped student in many higher educational institutions are generally found in special programs or have come in under special admissions. They are considered "high risk" by the institutions. High risk indicates that these students do not have the same traditional academic background as the majority of the students attending the school. This also implies that although committed, the program is not institutionalized. This can be an advantage in that the program is not tied

It should be noted that the enrollment in some 100 colleges is composed almost entirely of environmentally handicapped students.



to the traditional constraints operating on other programs at the institution. This gives directors and counselors more flexibility. If a high risk and regular program are mergal, significant cognitive and psychological dissonance could be generated for the students involved unless the merging is well planned. With the tight money market in higher education, high risk programs become vulnerable to budget cuts. It is quite possible that amalgamation with regular programs will start before students or programs are prepared. This will put an added burden on the counselors in such situations. No matter how desirable it is to quickly institutionalize flexible admission policies, providing adequate counseling and academic support, make college programs more relevant, and expand the cultural and social base of the student body; it is also important that individual students with environmental handicaps be able to compete successfully when he or she is ready, not just when the institution is ready. It is the goal of the counselor to help such students cognitively and psychologically meet such challenges. It should be remembered that almost all of the 2500+ institutions of higher learning in the United States (and their staffs) are basically white and middle-class oriented. Unless a major social, political, and economic revolution occurs in this country, the institutions and staffs will probably stay that way. No value judgments are intended, but that is a fact of life. Therefore, the counselor will have to adjust to this or pay the consequence (more than likely, it will be the student who will suffer). Some institutions and individual professors are changing - unfortunately, they are few in number; it is the philosophy and goals of higher education that must change. The next quistion is obvious: who is to determine the change and in what direction?



Changes is not being realistic. He must work within the constraints of the educational system for the good of the students while he simultaneously works for change. He must know the expectations and goals of the particular higher education unit. He must be realistic, for knowledge of the "real world" (in this case the higher education institution) will enhance the probability of academic success. It is also imperative that the institution be made aware of the "real world" in order to enhance the probability of success for its graduates.

Counselors have the major problem of trying to work with students who are worlds apart from those generally found in higher education. The description of children in the public schools from low socio-economic groups and living in high population density areas (generally called inner-city) is not too different from those now counseled in special college programs. The higher education students differ from inner-city school children in at least these five areas:

- They are older and more mature, consequently they have more extensive experiences;
  - They have at least stated goals;
  - 3. They have options of more novement;
  - 4. They can make more independent decisions;
- 5. They have more obligations (children, homes, family, finances) and personal problems.

These five characteristics are significant and must be understood by counselors.

Being older and more mature can have a positive effect. Having gone through the service, or living in depressed areas can help students see their goals more clearly. It could also reinforce their feelings of all nation, lack of control over their lives, feelings of inadequacy,



hatred for the present system, etc. These feelings are real and must be dealt with by students and counselors. Often, professors are not aware of such feelings. Problems occur because of the social, cultural, and intellectual gap existing between the professor and the environmentally handicapped student.

Stated goals and real goals can be two different entities. Unless the stated and real are identical, the student will have cognitive and psychological conflicts. The motivation hoped for and generated by goals will not exist. The counselor must be perceptive enough to be able to get a student to identify his real goals and then have him make decisions based on them. A student who wants to be in the College of Business Administration rather than enrolled in the College of Education might be reasonably successful in a teacher preparation program, but how much more success would be neet if he were in the curriculum that met his real needs (given that all other things being equal)? It is also important that counselors help students determine how realistic their real goals are in relationship to probability of success; they must help him make decisions as to courses of action. It will do no one any good if a student does not have the ability and motivation to succeed in a particular program. A student who does not have the innate ability to do college level work, and would not be able to do so regardless of all the support supplied, should be counseled into another area. At no tire should the counselor make the decision, but he should provide the student with as much objective input as possible for the student to make the final decision. The input should be the product of the objective assessment of an individual's natural potential and ability, not on the



traditional culturally biased information supplied most students while in school. This assessment should be a joint affair that actively includes the student, for the student is as much a part of the evaluation as is his evaluator.

Unlike public school students who must wait until a certain age to drop-out, the student in higher education can drop-out or in some cases change programs almost at will. This creates problems for counseling since the realities of our society might greatly restrict the movement these students believe they have. Even within institutions, the ease of movement is greatly reduced with different program requirements, loss of credit, admission requirements, etc. Admission into an institution does not imply admission into all programs within the institutions. Both the counselors and students must be aware of such constraints. When a student elects to drop-out of school altogether, does the counselor have any further obligations to that student? Within economic and time feasibility, the adjustment out of the program should be of concern to the counselor. He is still dealing with an individual. Separation (before successful completion) programs could also aid in recruitment. If individuals realize that a program is interested in the total person, before and after, it is telling them something about the worth of people held by the program. However, the first obligation of counselors is to those in the program. The counselor's duty is to help students evaluate the options of movement available to him realistically; make him aware of the consequences that could occur when selecting one option over another.



Another aspect of movement occurs when students begin to exercise the sundry selections available to them within a program and/or an institution. Course loads and toacher selection in many programs can make or break a student. This becomes crucial when programs are not self-contained or highly structured. The over-loading (in terms of semester hours) of students when they are not ready can cause considerable damage. The improper mix of courses (e.g., too many reading-type courses) can also create problems. Faculty selection implies faculty evaluation. A counselor has an abligation to evaluate faculty in terms of work loads for students, objectives of the course, and sensitivity to individual students. The counselor is not evaluating a faculty member in terms of good or bad, but in terms of students' needs, aspirations, and abilities. How the counselor makes his evaluations is vital - it should be more than student perceptions. Classroom observations, follow-up of students in terms of how well thry are doing in advanced related courses, conversations with the facult remoer, looking at old tests, printed course requirements of the profeser, are all possible ways of evaluation. One should keep in mind that a consitive but hard marker is better than an insensitive easy grader. The objective of taking a course is personal growth and knowledge (cognitive, affect: o, psychomotor) not just the grade. It is foolish to assume that a grad point average is not important, however, it is the extreme professor, the unitalistic professor, or the insensitive professor who is to be culled out of t student's program.

As a mature student, who in most cases has been out in the world, the need to make independent decisions is great. Coupled with this is the fact that to I have had eapy riches in ruling decisions on their own. They don't



want to be told what to do and how to do it. Decision making involves the total spectrum of control over one's present and future, as well as individual and group identity (e.g., Black Pride, male or female self-esteem, etc.). Values and life styles are also involved. Rejection of traditional institutional requirements (course requirements, dorm life) is the stance now being taken by middle-class college students, students who are environmentally handicapped are equally vocal in their rejection of such requirements. In many cases, these students are more sensitive to patronizing situations than their more affluent classmates. The counselor must be accepting of these and other personal views and values. (He need not philosophically agree with them.) When an impasse occurs for the student in this academic environment, the counselor must help mediate differences. If a solution cannot be worked out, he must be honest with the student. Ultimately, the student has the option to move on. The counselor also has an obligation to work for institutional, program, and value changes within the system. For, if the counselor moves out of the system, then the positive consequences and ultimate influence for change might be drastically decreased. This is also true for the student.

Many children from the inner-city come from families that are headed by parents who are similar to the students in high risk college programs. The counselor must be aware of the personal problems that can and do interfere with academic success. Because a person is enrolled in a college program does not make him a middle-class individual, with middle-class values, and middle-class experiences. The handling of money, the relationships among husband-wife and children, dress, network of friends, value of the country grad s, heatility towards authority and regulations, welfare



funding, housing, allocation of time, space, and money for the academic program, time and physical space for studying, respect for others, turning in assignments on time, accepting regulations set by the institution or professor, etc. are just a few of the areas that could cause students trouble as they move through the program. There is no period of adjustment. For the student from the hardicapped environment, his total program is a period of adjustment and mediation between his past and present experiences. As he moves along, hopefully he will find it easier to adjust his value system and life-style to enable him to compete in the academic environment. Students who are seniors will still need help - hopefully not as much as the freshman. The counselor must beaware that the purpose of the academic experience is not to make these students "middle-class," but to develop them to their fullest potential. Thus the middle-class value system held by many counselors is not really compatible with those held by students in the program. A recognition that this might or does exist will help the counselor work with the student as he moves through the program.

The specific academic deprivations such as: reading, mathematics, and studying skills are not being discussed. It is assumed that the development of such skills, and others that are needed to meet success in the academic setting, are part of the program available to the student. If they are not, then one must question the commitment of the program to the students, for the probability of success will be quite low. No counselor should work under such conditions, and no such program is defensible.

There exist many college level programs (e.g., teacher preparation, social welfare, health care, police science) in thich students from en-



virenmentally handicapped situations are not disadvantaged. Because the foci of such programs are on producing educated and trained individuals to work with the people affected by the social, economic, and educational blights that exist in the United States. Hopefully such programs will also produce committed and sensitive problem solvers who will work on answers to the pressing issues that are effecting our national stability and progress. In many such programs the teacher, the university professor and the counselor are the ones who are environmentally handicapped.

