#### DOCUMENT RESUME

CB 002 567 ED 099 490

Student Survey; Vocational-Technical Centers. Staff TITLE

Report No. 7.

Montana Commission on Post-Secondary Education, INSTITUTION

Helena.

May 74 PUB DATE HOTE 14p.

MP-\$0.75 HC-\$1.50 PLUS POSTAGE EDRS PRICE

Educational Facilities; Educational Policy; DESCRIPTORS

Educational Programs; Instructional Staff; Post Secondary Education: \*School Surveys; \*Student Attitudes: \*Student Opinion: Student Reaction;

Technical Education: Vocational Education; \*Vocational Schools; \*Vocational Training Centers

\*Montana IDENTIFIERS

#### ABSTRACT

A total of 170 students were sampled in five vocational-technical centers in Montana in a survey to assess the student's perception of his school, its programs and policies, and the degree of student satisfaction with his progress, the staff, and facilities at the center. The questionnaire was divided into two parts: Goals of the Institution, which asked students to indicate if their institution "Does" or "Does Not," and "Should" or "Should Not" adhere to each of 33 presumptive goals listed for Montana's vocational-technical centers; part 2 asked questions concerning the student's view of his education. Responses to the Vocational-Technical Student Questionnaire are tabulated. An appendix lists members of the Vocational-Technical Sub-Committee of the Technical Group on Survey Research. (NH)



# (95 & 97 · ERIC

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

US DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH FLUCATION & WELFARE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF

THE DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRO DIFFERENCE OF AS RECEIVED FROM THE HERSON ON ORGANIZATION ORIGIN AT NO. 1. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINION CATED TO NOT NECESSARIEY REPRE SENTINE A, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF CO. LAT ON POSITION OR POLICY



STUDENT SURVEY
VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL CENTERS

# POST-SECONDARY EDUCATION

201 East 6th Avenue Suite 5 Helena, Montana 59601

# STAFF REPORT NO. 7 VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL STUDENT SURVEY

#### Prepared for

COMMISSION ON POST-SECONDARY EDUCATION 201 E. 6th Avenue Helena, Montana 59601

#### **MEMBERS**

Ted James, Chairman
Linda Skaar, Vice-Chairman
Magnus Aasheim
V. Edward Bates
Tom Behan
Richard Chempoux
William A. Cordingley
Mary Craig
William F. Crowley
Ian Davidson

Carl M. Davis
William Diehl
Raymon Dore
Mary Fenton
Peter Gilligan, Sr.
Russell B. Hart
Joan Kennerly
Marjorie King
Sharon LaBuda
William Mackay

Russell McDonough
John L. McKeon
Dale Moore
John L. Peterson
Lawrence K. Pettit
Garry South
Mickey Sogard
Jessica Stickney
William Warfield

#### STAFF

Patrick M. Callan, Director JoEllen Estenson, Deputy Director Richard F. Bechtel, Research Analyst Beth Richter, Research Analyst

May, 1974



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY	1
Display of Survey Results	4
APPENDIX A - Members of the Vocational-Technical Sub-committee of the Technical Group on Survey Research	



#### VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL STUDENT SURVEY

#### INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

The five vocational-technical center representatives of the Technical Group on Survey Research formed a sub-committee\* for the purpose of developing a questionnaire to assess the vo-tech center student's perception of his school, its programs and policies; and the degree of student satisfaction with his progress and the staff and facilities at the center.

During the course of two meetings, the sub-committee reviewed several survey instruments which had been utilized for vocational-technical students in other states, and ultimately developed the questionnaire items which can be found beginning on Page 4.

A total of 170 students were sampled in the five vo-tech centers. The breakdown for each center was as follows:

Butte	25	(Total enrollment - 2 )
Billings	25	(Total enrollment - 270)
Great Falls	35	(Total enrollment - 3,1)
Helena	35	(Total enrollment - 387)
Missoula	50	(Total enrollment - 587)

The individual members of the sub-committee administered the questionnaire to the students at their respective institutions. The members agreed to select a cross-section of students to assure a distribution relative to a) program in which enrolled; b) age; c) sex; and d) ethnic background.

The survey instrument was "pre-tested" at the Bozeman Vo-Tech School on a sample of 27 students. The purpose of the pretest was to determine if the questions and the directions for completion of the instrument could be understood by the vocationaltechnical student. A few problems were uncovered by this pre-test and the instrument was corrected accordingly before utilization at the vocational-technical centers.

After the questionnaire had been administered at the centers, each member of the sub-committee tabulated the responses at his



<sup>\*</sup>See Appendix A page 10.

center and sent the questionnaires and the results to the Commission office, where the composite for the five centers was compiled.

The questionnaire was divided into two parts: "Goals of the Institution" and "The Student's View of His Education". For Part I, the instructions to the student were as follows:

"You will find listed below 33 presumptive goals or aims of Montana's Vocational-Technical Centers. Please indicate below each goal wnether you believe your Center presently adheres to such goal (DOES), does not adhere to such goal (DOES NOT), should adhere to such goal (SHOULD), and/or should not adhere to such goal (SHOULD). As an example, if you believe that a certain goal is presently a policy of your Center, but should not be a policy of your Center, you should indicate both DOES and SHOULD NOT."

Part II of the questionnaire was self-emplanatory, and thus did not contain special instructions.

On the pages which follow, the composite responses from the five centers are displayed.



DISPLAY OF SURVEY RESULTS



# BEST COPY AVAILABLE

PART I - GOALS OF THE INSTITUTION	. minite				
, 2,, 2, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	'W's	TOE'S TOO	SHALL	SHOULD	
DO YOU BELIEVE YOUR CENTER				···	
1helps students identify their own goals and provides them with a means of achieving them?	154	12	154	2	
2increases the desire and ability of students to educate themselves?	154	16	151	2	
3helps students develop self-confidence and an interest in involving thems was in solutions to the problems of our ety?	115	51	149	6	
4attempts to interest students in life-long learning?	114	51	140	1.7	
5attempts to ensure that students who graduate have achieved an adequate level of reading, writing and mathematics competency (in addition to their chosen		••	146	15	
vocational program)?	134	30	140	15	
6helps students be honest and trusting in their relationships with others?	129	35	144	10	
7helps students understand and respect neople from different backgrounds and cultures?	105	58	148	12	
8provides adequate opportunities for students to prepare for their chosen occupational careers (for example, accounting, nursing, etc.)?	162	7	149	2	
9provides opportunities for adult or continuing education on a part-time basis in the local area?	148	18	151	1	
10develops educational programs which keep pace with new and emerging career fields?	141	25	151	2	
11offers upgrading programs in occupations such as practical nursing, secretarial, mechanics, etc.?	151	15	149	o	
12provides re-training opportunities for individuals whose job skills have not kept page with current trends in their occupations?	136	29	152	2	



PART I	DOES	HOES MUT	SHOULD	SKOUD
DO YOU BELIEVE YOUR CENTER			····	
13assists students in deciding upon a career?	114	59	144	14
<pre>14provides properly-trained manpower for local area business, industry and government?</pre>	151	14	153	2
15attempts to ensure that people from disadvantaged communities acquire knowledge and skills they can use in improving conditions in their own communities?	133	31		8
16maintains a policy of open admissions and develops meaningful training for all who are admitted in their chosen occupational fields?	150	15	153	0
17offers remedial programs in basic skills (reading, writing, mathematics)?	125	39	139	14
18is responsive to local and national concerns and needs when developing new training programs?	126	36	149	4
19has developed a system of operating the Center which is responsive to the concerns of the students as well as the instructors, etc.?	126	36	156	1
20maintains an atmosphere which encourages the instructors to be as deeply committed to the goals and well-being of the Center as a whole as to their involvement with developing the careers of the students?	128	38	152	2
<pre>21ensures the freedom of the students to      choose their own life styles (mode of      dress, etc.)?</pre>	117	51	132	21
22has developed arrangements by which students, instructors and administrators can all be involved in decisions about the operation of the Center?	111	55	147	8



PART I	DOES	hoes bor	SHOULD	SHOULD WOL
DO YOU BELIEVE YOUR CENTER				
23maintains an atmosphere in which the views of everybody connected with the Center can be openly and honestly expressed?	133	35	150	3
24maintains an atmosphere in which differences of opinion can be expressed openly?	136	30	154	2
25maintains an atmosphere of mutual trust and respect among students, instructors and administrators?	131	32	151	1
26creates an atmosphere in which students and instructors may easily come together for informal discussion of ideas and mutual interests?	140	28	154	0
27experiments with different methods of assessing and grading student performance?	112	52	141	13
28experiments with new methods of instruction and program development?	132	30	147	8
29awards certificates to individuals solely on the basis of their performance?	101	61	119	28
30regularly demonstrates that the Center is actually achieving its stated goals?	118	45	146	5
<pre>31involves itself in continuous planning   for the Center?</pre>	157	7	152	0
32has achieved unanimous agreement among people at the school about the goals of the Center?	99	62	134	20
33offers job placement assistance to the students upon completion of their programs?	156	12	151	0



### PART II - THE STUDENT'S VIEW OF HIS EDUCATION

J4.	tra	ining program?	ion with your current
		I am highly satisfied	66
		I am satisfied	88
		I am dissatisfied but don't plan to change	11
		I am dissatisfied and plan to change	4
		I have no opinion	1
35.	Are app	you acquiring the specific occupational skills : licable to a job?	and knowledge directly
		Yes, definitely satisfied	91
		Yes, but it could be improved	70
		Fair	5
		Poor, needs much improvement	1
		No, I'm not happy with the training	1
		I have no opinion	1
36.	How pro	would you rate the training equipment and facil: gram?	ities in your vocational
		Good, up-to-date, like those on the job	99
		Acceptable, but could use some improvement	60
		Fair, substantial improvement required	8
		Bad, out-of-date, not like those on the job	1
		I have no opinion	0
37.	How	would you rate the teaching of your instructors	at this school?
		Most teach well	94
		The majority teach well, but some do not	69
		The majority do not teach well, but some do	4
		Most do not teach well	1
		I have no opinion	2



#### PART II

38. How would you rate the knowledge your instructors pos fields?	sess about their
Most are up-to-date in their knowledge	137
The majority are up-to-date, but some are not	30
The majority are not up-to-date, but some are	1
Most are not up-to-date in their knowledge	0
I have no opinion	1
39. How would you rate the interest shown toward you by	your instructors?
Most are interested in my progress	116
The majority are interested, but some are not	37
The majority are not interested, but some are	11
Most are not interested in my progress	0
I have no opinion	4
40. How would you rate your instructors' knowledge of th	e world of work?
Most are well-informed	143
The majority are well-informed, but some are not	21
The majority are not well-informed, but some are	2
Most are not well-informed	0
I have no opinion	4



# MEMBERS OF THE VOCATIONAL-TECHNICAL SUB-CCMMITTEE OF THE TECHNICAL GROUP ON SURVEY RESEARCH

#### Members

Dave Keltz, Butte Vocational-Technical Center
John Morrison, Billings Vocational-Technical Center
Loran Frazier, Great Falls Vocational-Technical Center
Dale Johnson, Helena Vocational-Technical Center
Dennis Lerum, Missoula Technical Center
Beth Richter, Staff Liaison

